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Abstract. The North Atlantic is one of the major sinks for anthropogenic CO₂. In this study, we investigate the evolution of CO₂ uptake and ocean acidification in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre (50°N-64°N) using repeated observations collected over the last three decades in the framework of the long-term monitoring program SURATLANT (SURveillance de l’ATLANTique). Data obtained between 1993 and 1997 suggest an important reduction in the capacity of the ocean to absorb CO₂ from the atmosphere during summer, due to a rapid increase in the fugacity of CO₂ (fCO₂) in surface waters (5 times faster than the increase in the atmosphere). This was associated with a rapid decrease in surface pH (of the order of -0.014/yr) and was mainly driven by a significant warming and increase in DIC. Similar trends are observed between 2001 and 2007 during both summer and winter with a mean decrease of pH between -0.006/yr and -0.013/yr. These rapid trends are mainly explained by a significant warming of surface waters, a decrease in alkalinity during summer and an increase in DIC during winter. On the contrary, data obtained during the last decade (2008-2017) show a stagnation of surface fCO₂ (increasing the ocean sink for CO₂) and pH. These recent trends are explained by the cooling of surface waters, a small decrease of total alkalinity and the near-stagnation of dissolved inorganic carbon. Overall our results show that the uptake of CO₂ and ocean acidification in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre is substantially impacted by multi-decadal variability, in addition to the accumulation of anthropogenic CO₂. As a consequence, the future evolution of air-sea CO₂ fluxes, pH and the saturation state of surface waters with regards to aragonite and calcite remain highly uncertain in this region.

1 Introduction

Observations have shown an increase by more than 40% in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) since the industrial revolution, resulting in the strengthening of the greenhouse effect (IPCC, 2013 - Hartmann et al., 2013). Despite increasing efforts at the international level to tackle climate change, the last carbon report published by Le Quéré et al. (2018) shows that CO₂ emissions reached 11.3 ± 0.9 GtC in 2017, mainly due to the burning of fossil fuels (9.9 ± 0.5 GtC in 2017, three time higher than in 1960). The ocean plays an important role in climate regulation by absorbing between one quarter and one third of this
anthropogenic carbon (Le Quéré et al., 2018; Gruber et al., 2019). The North Atlantic is known as one of the main anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} sinks (Sabine et al., 2004; Khatiwala et al., 2013): this region (north of 50\textdegree N), covering 5\% of the global surface ocean, is responsible for 20\% of the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} (Khatiwala et al., 2013), with a mean annual air-sea CO\textsubscript{2} flux estimated at 0.27 PgC/yr (Takahashi et al., 2009). The uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} in the North Atlantic is mainly due to extensive biological activity during summer and considerable heat loss during winter. However, repeated observations have shown important variations in this natural sink for anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} in response to climate variability and climate change (Corbière et al., 2007; Metzl et al., 2010; McKinley et al., 2011; Landschützer et al., 2013).

In addition to climate change, CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are responsible for ocean acidification, a phenomenon known as the other CO\textsubscript{2} problem (Doney et al., 2009). Indeed, the accumulation of anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} in the ocean has led to a decrease in pH in surface waters by 0.1 unit since the industrial revolution (IPCC, 2013 - Hartmann et al., 2013). Due to the potential threat on marine life, the decrease in pH is recognized as a true indicator of global change, similarly as warming and sea level rise (World Meteorological Organization, 2018). The urgent need for documenting and understanding changes in oceanic pH and its impact on marine life has motivated more studies in recent years. Among them, the data syntheses from Lauvset et al. (2015) and Bates et al. (2014) indicated that pH is decreasing in most of the surface ocean as a result of the increase in oceanic CO\textsubscript{2}. In the North Atlantic they reported a decrease ranging between 0.001/yr and 0.003/yr. These estimates were obtained by combining all available data either at fixed monitoring stations in the Iceland Sea, Irminger Sea and offshore Bermuda over the period 1983-2014 (Bates et al., 2014), or in the North Atlantic Subpolar Seasonally Stratified biome over the period 1991-2011 (Lauvset et al., 2015). Here, we use repeated observations collected between Iceland and Newfoundland to investigate further the change in surface pH by evaluating separately summer and winter data, and by taking into account changes in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre (NASPG) circulation and water masses (Chafik et al., 2014; Desbruyères et al., 2015; Nigam et al., 2018). This study, based on Total Alkalinity (TA) / Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) pair observations, allows to evaluate their contribution; while most studies are based on observations of partial pressure of CO\textsubscript{2} (pCO\textsubscript{2}) and TA/Salinity (Lauvset and Gruber, 2014). The aim of this study is to document the evolution of the CO\textsubscript{2} system parameters in recent years (up to 2017) and to evaluate the drivers for the evolution of surface pH for winter and summer. In this respect, it extends previous analyzes based on SURATLANT observations collected during winter between 1993 and 2008 (Corbière et al., 2007; Metzl et al., 2010).

2 Study area

The NASPG as defined here extends from the east coast of Canada (65\textdegree W) to the west coast of Ireland (5\textdegree W) mostly north of 50\textdegree N. It consists of the Labrador, Irminger and Iceland basins, and the Rockall Plateau and Trough. The NASPG is a region of mixing between subtropical, subpolar and polar surface waters. The inflow of these surface waters is dominated by three surface currents: The North Atlantic Current (NAC), also known in this sector as the North Atlantic Drift, mostly of tropical origin, the East Greenland Current (EGC) and the Labrador Current (LC) which are the conducts to bring waters from further
north and the Arctic (Fig. 1a-b). The North Atlantic Drift delimits the NASPG in the south and transports warm (8°C to 15°C) and salty (35 to 36) tropical surface waters to the north. These waters are then cooled as they circulate in the NASPG due to a loss of heat to the atmosphere, while they become less salty due to excess local precipitation and freshwater inputs from the Arctic and the ice sheet. The East Greenland Current (EGC) and Labrador Current (LC) are the western branches of the NASPG and carry cold (<4°C) and low-salt (<34.6) waters of polar origin.

Hydrological and biogeochemical properties in the NASPG are impacted by very large variations associated with Atlantic Multi-decadal Variability (AMV), which result from atmospheric conditions such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Josey et al., 2011; Reverdin, 2010; Robson et al., 2016).

3 Material and Methods

3.1 Data collection and measurements

This study is based on observations collected in the framework of the long-term monitoring program SURATLANT (SURveillance de l’ATLantique) initiated in 1993. The main objective of this program is to monitor hydrological and biogeochemical properties in surface waters of the NASPG, notably Sea Surface Salinity (SSS) to improve the understanding of the role of salinity on the variability and predictability of climate as well as the water cycle (Reverdin et al., 2018a). To this aim, two to four cruises per year are conducted between Reykjavik (Iceland) and Newfoundland (Canada) aboard merchant ships. Seawater samples are collected every three to four hours from a pumping system (at approximately 5m deep) in order to measure salinity, as well as Total Alkalinity (TA, measured since 2001), Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), silicate, nitrate and phosphate concentrations.

Underway measurements of Sea Surface Temperature (SST) are obtained using a Seabird Thermosalinograph, with a precision of 0.10°C. SSS values in this paper are from sample measurements of conductivity using a Guidline AUTOSAL salinometer done since 1997 at the Marine and Freshwater Research Institute (MFRI) in Reykjavik (the associated error is estimated at 0.005). For TA and DIC, the 500 ml glass bottles are rinsed three times before introducing seawater (avoiding introducing air bubbles) with an overflow in order to remove the water in contact with air during filling. The samples are then poisoned with mercuric chloride and stored in a cool, dark place. Since 2001, these samples are measured within three months of collection at the SNAPO-CO2 (Service National d’Analyse des Paramètres Océaniques du CO2) located at the Laboratory LOCEAN in Paris. The accuracy is of the order of 3 μmol/kg for both DIC and TA based on CRMs analysis and occasional intercomparisons as explained by Reverdin et al. (2018b). Samples for the analysis of nutrients concentrations are frozen just after sampling. Spring or summer samples are filtered before analysis. The measurement is carried out by the MFRI team in Reykjavik according to the standard colorimetric method described by Olafsson et al. (2010) with an accuracy of 0.2 μmol/l for nitrate and silicate and 0.03 μmol/l for phosphate. Detail of the sampling and analyses for all properties are provided in Reverdin et al. (2018b). The SURATLANT dataset is freely available and is accessible at http://www.seanoe.org/data/00434/54517/. 
3.2 Calculations of the carbonate system parameters and contributions

Carbonate system parameters such as pH, the fugacity of CO$_2$ (fCO$_2$) and the saturation states for the calcium carbonate minerals calcite ($\Omega_{Ca}$) and aragonite ($\Omega_{Ar}$) are calculated using measurements of SST, SSS, TA, DIC, silicate and phosphate. For the latter two, we used climatological values derived from the SURATLANT data over the period 1993-2017 because interannual variability does not have a significant impact on the carbonate system parameters calculations (and because sometimes nutrients measurements were not performed). The calculation program used is CO2SYS developed by Lewis et al. (1998) and available for calculations in MS Excel (Pierrot et al., 2006). The constants of the thermodynamic equilibrium of CO$_2$ in seawater used are: K1 (for the dissociation of carbonic acid) and K2 (for the bicarbonate ion) defined by Mehrbach et al. (1973), refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987). The total boron value is calculated according to Uppström (1974) and the KHSO$_4$ dissociation constant is from Dickson (1990). The adopted pH scale is total scale.

When TA was not measured (notably before 2001), it was calculated from salinity data. The relationship between sea surface alkalinity and salinity (Eq. 1) was determined by Reverdin et al. (2018) based on all the reported SURATLANT data over the period 2001-2016. This equation was obtained for samples collected north of 50°S with SSS > 34.

$$TA = 45.5337 \times SSS + 713.58,$$  

(1)

Atmospheric fCO$_2$ values for the period 1993-2017 were calculated from the molar fraction (xCO$_2$) data at Mace Head provided by the Cooperative Global Atmospheric Data Integration Project (Dlugokencky et al., 2018). The data are available at [http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/dv/iaadv/](http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/dv/iaadv/). xCO$_2$ data were converted to fCO$_2$ at 100% humidity following Weiss and Price (1980). We did not take into account the interannual variability of surface atmospheric pressure, which can result in small errors on interannual variations of atmospheric fCO$_2$.

The trends in sea surface pH, fCO$_2$, $\Omega_{Ca}$ and $\Omega_{Ar}$ are driven by changes in SST, SSS, TA and DIC. These contributions are evaluated by allowing a change in only one parameter according to their observed trend, while setting the other parameters to their climatological values (Eq. 2). The contributions uncertainty was evaluated by performing 200 random perturbations within the range of the standard deviation of the observed trends in SST, SSS, TA and DIC.

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{dX}{dt} \left( \frac{SST}{SSS, TA, DIC} \right) + \frac{dX}{dt} \left( \frac{SSS}{SSS, SSS, TA, DIC} \right) + \frac{dX}{dt} \left( \frac{TA}{SST, SSS, TA, DIC} \right) + \frac{dX}{dt} \left( \frac{DIC}{SST, SSS, TA, DIC} \right)$$  

(2)

Here, $\frac{dX}{dt}$ correspond to the trends in pH, fCO$_2$, $\Omega_{Ca}$ and $\Omega_{Ar}$ over a given period; and $SST, SSS, TA, DIC$ correspond to their climatological values calculated over the same period.
3.3 Data selection

The sampled region was separated into five 4° latitude boxes, according to Reverdin et al. (2018) from 46°N to 64°N (Fig. 2). The southern box (box A: 46°N-50°N) covers the shelf and continental margin of North America and is excluded from this study because of insufficient sampling and large interannual variations due to fresh waters inputs from land and the Arctic.

Box B (50°N-54°N) incorporates only samples where SSS is between 34 and 35 (to avoid including continental shelf or NAC waters). For boxes C (54°N-58°N), D (58°N-62°N) and E (62°N-64°N) we used all available data.

Trends during summer (June to August) and winter (January to March) were analyzed separately because these are the seasons corresponding to the extrema in the seasonal cycle (see Sect. 3.1). In addition, they are also the most sampled periods for the SURATLANT surveys (Table 1). Because the seasonal cycle shows month-to-month deviations, we choose February and July as a reference (for winter and summer, respectively). The data collected in January and March (for winter) and in June and August (for summer) were corrected using the month-to-month differences calculated from the climatological cycle.

In order to evaluate the decadal changes within a large region, we combined the data collected between 54°N and 63°N (i.e., boxes C, D and E). The trends in box B are evaluated separately because their DIC and TA values differ from the other three regions.

4 Results

4.1 Seasonal cycle

In order to increase the number of data used in our long-term analysis (because data were not available each year in the reference months February and July, Table 1), we first constructed a monthly climatology for each property and each box, which was used to correct the data collected in January, March, June and August. The mean seasonal cycles constructed from data collected over the period 1993-2017 are portrayed in Fig. 2. SST data (Fig. 2a) show an increase from south to north during winter (5.5°C to 6.8°C), which is not observed during summer (around 12°C in all boxes), while SSS data (Fig. 2b) and TA data (Fig 2.c) show a decrease from south to north, and small seasonal variations. DIC data (Fig. 2d) show much larger seasonal variability than TA, with a maximum in winter due to enhanced vertical mixing, a steep decline from April to May due to phytoplankton blooms, and a minimum at the end of summer. The mean seasonal cycles of fCO$_2$, pH and Ω (Fig. 2e-h) show fairly similar variations in boxes B, C, D and E. The seasonal changes in fCO$_2$ and pH are anticorrelated, and the seasonal variability of Ω is relatively similar to that of pH. In all boxes, the maximum in fCO$_2$ (minimum in pH and Ω) is observed between October and April and related to the maximum in surface DIC, despite the cooling of surface waters. The minimum in fCO$_2$ (maximum in pH and Ω) is observed during summer (between June and August), and is mainly explained by enhanced primary production, only slightly counterbalanced by sea surface warming.
4.2 Decadal trends

Figure 3 clearly shows pluriannual trends in hydrological and biogeochemical properties of the NASPG. For this reason, and according to Metzl et al. (2010), the dataset is split into three distinct periods: 1993-1997, 2001-2007 and 2008-2017. Below, we first present the results obtained by combining the boxes C, D and E that are probably more representative of changes in the NASPG than the results in box B that are also influenced by continental inputs.

4.2.1 Trends in region C-D-E

Data collected between 1993 and 1997 show a large increase in surface fCO$_2$ during summer, accompanied by a sharp decrease in pH and Ω (Fig. 3e-h). This is mainly explained by a rapid increase in SST (Table 2, Fig. 4a, d, g, f). The trend in oceanic fCO$_2$ (+10.6 µatm/yr) is approximately 5 times faster than the trend in atmospheric fCO$_2$ (around +2 µatm/yr), which implies important changes in oceanic processes causing a reduction in the summer CO$_2$ sink over this period. On the opposite, during winter, both hydrological and biogeochemical properties are steady (Fig. 3).

Data collected during the second period (2001-2007) show a large increase in surface fCO$_2$ during both summer and winter associated with a sharp decrease in pH and Ω (Fig. 3e-h). The trend in oceanic fCO$_2$ (+11.7 µatm/yr) is about 6 times faster than the trend in atmospheric fCO$_2$, which implies a reduction in the ability of the ocean to absorb CO$_2$ from the atmosphere over the period 2001-2007 during both summer and winter. At the end of this period, in winter 2007, oceanic fCO$_2$ (more than 400 µatm) became higher than atmospheric fCO$_2$ (around 380 µatm; i.e., the ocean became a source of CO$_2$ for the atmosphere). Since small trends are observed in SST over this period (Fig. 3a, Table 2), the rapid trends in fCO$_2$ and pH can be attributed to the increase in DIC and a decrease in TA.

Despite the continuous increase in atmospheric fCO$_2$ over the last decade (around 2.0 µatm/yr), data collected over the period 2008-2017 show the near-stagnation of surface fCO$_2$, pH and Ω. As a result, the air-sea CO$_2$ disequilibrium increased, which means that the ocean was able to absorb more CO$_2$ from the atmosphere than during the previous periods. In contrast to the previous periods, large interannual variations are observed during summer. Overall, SST, DIC and TA show small or not significant trends over the period 2008-2017 (Table 2).

4.2.2 Trends in region B

The trends in fCO$_2$, pH and Ω observed in box B show some similarities with those in boxes C, D and E (Fig. 3), but the mechanisms may be different (Fig.4). For example, a rapid increase in fCO$_2$ (decrease in pH) is also observed in box B during summer over the first period (1993-1997), but it is due to a large increase in DIC rather than warming (Table 2), and as a consequence, it is accompanied by a rapid decrease in Ω (Fig. 3g, h, Table 2). Over the second period (2001-2007), a rapid increase in fCO$_2$ (decrease in pH and Ω) is observed in all boxes during both summer and winter, mainly explained by an increase in DIC and/or a decrease in TA (depending on the region and season). Over the last period (2008-2017), the trends in fCO$_2$, pH and Ω in all boxes are smaller than during the previous periods (Table 2). This change in the behavior of the NASPG
appears to be more pronounced in box B during summer with a reversal of the trends (decrease in fCO₂ and increase in pH and Ω).

5 Discussion

Observations collected in the framework of the SURATLANT program show that long-term surface trends in fCO₂ (+1.6 μatm/yr) and pH (-0.0017 /yr) over the period 1993-2017 (Table 2, all boxes, summer and winter) are in the range of the “canonical” views for large-scale surface ocean, generally interpreted by anthropogenic CO₂ uptake, but these trends are not steady and modulated by significant interannual to decadal changes. Depending on the period and season, fCO₂ and pH increase or decrease due to contrasting and competing processes (Fig. 4). Our analysis confirms previous results, such as the rapid fCO₂ increase during winter over the period 2001 – 2007 (Metzl et al., 2010), but this signal is no longer observed over the period 2008-2017. It also highlights significant changes during summer over the period 2001-2007 not previously described. Thereafter we will first discuss the rapid trends observed during summer, then the recent trends observed during winter. Our observations show remarkable and rapid trends in surface pH and fCO₂ during summer both in 1993-1997 and 2001-2007; which result from competition between SST, DIC and TA changes. Indeed, during the first period, variations in DIC alone (for southern box) and SST (for northern box) are responsible for these trends (Fig.4). This results in a larger coherent regional response. For example, the rapid increase of the DIC in Box B in summer 1993-1997 (+9 μmol/kg/yr) much faster than the anthropogenic signal suggests an increase in productivity at the beginning of the period compared to the end. During the second period, the effect of the TA decrease (potentially related to an advective process or an increase calcification) on fCO₂ and pH is not negligible, as well as the increase in SST and DIC. The trends in pH and fCO₂ presented here are homogeneous spatially during summer and have similar magnitudes than the trends observed during winter. Thus, fCO₂ increased more rapidly in the ocean than in the air, suggesting that the CO₂ sink would have decreased over the period 2001-2007. This period is followed by the near-stagnation of fCO₂ and pH over the last decade (2008-2017). This is concomitant with a strengthening of the winds during some winters, which likely enhanced vertical mixing (Fröb et al., 2018). Trends in hydrological properties show the same sign (summer and winter, north and south), indicating a large-scale behavior. The weak trends in pH and fCO₂ over the last decade deviate from the already published long-term trends (Bates et al., 2014; Lauvset et al., 2015). This result highlights the sensitivity of trends in CO₂ uptake and ocean acidification to the sampling period, which must be considered when comparing results with earlier estimates. These different results could be explained by contrasted natural or climate change induced variability in the NASPSS biome having compensating effects, whereas the increase in DIC due to the accumulation of anthropogenic CO₂ is more homogeneous in space. More regional studies are needed to check this hypothesis and to validate estimates obtained by combining data over large regions such as the North Atlantic SubPolar Seasonally Stratified (NASPSS) biome. There is also a need to further investigate the drivers of TA variability, which seem partially decoupled from surface salinity in this part of the North Atlantic subpolar gyre.
To summarize, our observations collected over the last three decades show an abrupt change in the evolution of hydrological and biogeochemical properties in the NASPG around the year 2007. This result is consistent with the studies by Robson et al. (2012, 2016) who reported a rapid warming since the mid-1990s, followed by a cooling by approximately 0.45°C since about 2005. This change is associated with a change in the size of the gyre and increased inputs of subtropical waters from the south (Chafik et al., 2014; Desbruyères et al., 2015), as well as very large heat loss during positive Nord Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) years. Furthermore, the recent study of Landschützer et al. (2019) highlights the dominant role of the Atlantic Multi-decadal Variability (AMV) which transitioned to a positive phase (i.e., warming) in the 1990’s (McKinley et al., 2011), and shows a strong correspondence between AMV and thermally driven pCO₂ variations, which can be extrapolated to our study. Indeed, the region investigated in this study (boxes C, D, E) is directly imprinted by NAO (at all periods, according to the NAO index of Jones et al. (1997)) and presents large (AMV)-related multi-decadal SST variability. This result suggests that the uptake of CO₂ could be modulated by NAO with periodicity of nearly 10 years (Nigam et al., 2018), as well as changes in AMV. Fröb et al. (2018) also showed a large increase in DIC (enhanced vertical mixing) during positive NAO conditions (near 60°N, in particular in the Irminger Sea). Along the SURATLANT cruise track, that lies west of the Reykjavik Ridge, the reversal in the SST trend may be slightly delayed (around 2007, Fig. 3a) compared to the basin average. Also the effect of changes in vertical mixing on DIC appears less prominent than further west in Fröb et al. (2018), as it is far from the deep convection areas. However, interannual changes in the nearby Reykjanes Ridge mode waters have been documented (Thierry et al., 2008) that could influence the change in surface DIC.

Another indirect problem of the increase in anthropogenic emissions to the atmosphere is the decrease in the degree of saturation with respect to calcium carbonate (Ω). Because of changes in the trends of the carbonate system parameters, prediction of the time when surface waters will become undersaturated for aragonite and calcite (Ω<1) is highly uncertain. On one hand, when assuming that the slow decrease in Ω observed over the period 2008-2017 will persist in the future (optimistic scenario), undersaturation of surface waters might not be reached in the next 100 years. On the other hand, when considering the average evolution over the last two decades, undersaturation of surface waters could be reached in about 80 years during winter for both aragonite and calcite (30 and 40 years later during summer for aragonite and calcite, respectively).

6 Conclusion and perspectives

The aim of this study was to pursue the study by Metzl et al. (2010) and Reverdin et al. (2018) by evaluating the evolution of the parameters of the carbonate system in surface waters of the NASPG (known as one of the main sinks for anthropogenic CO₂) using data collected over the last three decades, and to better understand the mechanisms responsible for these evolutions. Our study shows a change in the trends around 2007 during both summer and winter for pH and fCO₂, which led to an increase in the ocean capacity to absorb atmospheric CO₂ in the last decade, a near-stagnation of pH and the slowdown of the decline in Ω (carbonate ion concentration) in surface waters. This abrupt change in the evolution of the parameters of the carbonate
system, which could be due to a change in the gyre circulation (inducing changes in the evolution of DIC, SST and TA), followed a period with a rapid increase in fCO$_2$ and decrease in pH during both summer and winter starting around the year 2001 (this study, Metzl et al., 2010), following a period with a rapid increase in fCO$_2$ and decrease in pH during summer in the 1990s (this study). Such a multi-decadal variability in hydrological and biogeochemical properties of the NASPG makes it difficult to predict the future evolution of CO$_2$ uptake and ocean acidification, which advocates for the continuation of long-term monitoring programs in this region. More regional studies are also needed in order to understand the evolution of the parameters of the carbonate system in different areas of the North Atlantic Ocean, where contrasted changes may occur. In addition to ship-based observations, the analysis of data from BGC-Argo floats equipped with pH sensors (together with temperature and salinity sensors, from which TA, DIC and fCO$_2$ can be estimated) will help to better constrain spatial, seasonal and interannual variability.
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Figure 1. SURATLANT cruises track (in black, AX2 on panel b) with a) the five boxes [Box A : 46°N-50°N (in yellow), Box B : 50°N-54°N (in red), Box C : 54°N-58°N (in green), Box D : 58°N-62°N (in blue) and Box E : 62°N-64°N (in black)] and b) surface currents in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre (NASPG). The NASPG circulation (resulting from the Gulf Stream and the North Atlantic Current) is shown in red, fresher waters of polar or continental origins are shown in blue, and the export of surface waters to depth is shown in purple (figures from Reverdin et al., 2018b)
Figure 2. Mean seasonal cycles of a) SST, b) SSS, c) TA, d) DIC, e) pH, f) $f$CO$_2$, g) $\Omega$Ca, and h) $\Omega$Ar over the period 1993-2017 in the boxes B, C, D and E identified with corresponding colors in Figure 1a (panel d, Reverdin et al., 2018). Errors bars result from both interannual and spatial variability within a box.

Figure 2. Mean seasonal cycles of a) SST, b) SSS, c) TA, d) DIC, e) pH, f) $f$CO$_2$, g) $\Omega$Ca, and h) $\Omega$Ar over the period 1993-2017 in the boxes B, C, D and E identified with corresponding colors in Figure 1a (panel d, Reverdin et al., 2018). Errors bars result from both interannual and spatial variability within a box.
Figure 3. Evolution of a) SST, b) SSS, c) TA, d) DIC, e) pH, f) fCO$_2$, g) Ω$_{Ca}$ and h) Ω$_{Ar}$ between 1993 and 2017, are obtained in box B (open symbols) and by combining all data in boxes C, D, E (filled symbols) during summer (in red) and during winter (in blue). Data from February and July are indicated with circles and the reconstructed data are depicted with triangles. Only trends whose error is below a defined threshold are represented with dash lines (for box B) and solid lines (for boxes C, D, E). The thresholds are: ±0.12 °C/yr (SST), ±0.03 psu/yr (SSS), ±1.0 µmol/kg/yr (TA), ±1.5 µmol/kg/yr (DIC), ±0.0032 /yr (pH), ±2.5 µatm/yr (fCO$_2$), ±0.020 /yr (Ω$_{Ca}$) and ±0.013 /yr (Ω$_{Ar}$).
Figure 4. Decomposition of the trends in surface pH (a-c), fCO$_2$ (d-f), $\Omega_{Ca}$ (g-i) and $\Omega_{Ar}$ (j-l). The effect of the changes in SSS, SST, TA and DIC is shown for the three periods: 1993-1997 (left column), 2001-2007 (middle column) and 2008-2017 (right column). Color coding is the same as in Figure 3.
Table 1. SURATLANT sampling since 1993 for each box in Figure 1a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>A-B-C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>B-C-D-E</td>
<td>C-D-E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Trends (per year) evaluated from data presented in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box</th>
<th>SST (°C/yr)</th>
<th>SS (µmol/kg/yr)</th>
<th>TA (µmol/kg/yr)</th>
<th>DIC (µmol/kg/yr)</th>
<th>pH (µatm/yr)</th>
<th>fCO2 (µatm/yr)</th>
<th>Ωca (µatm/yr)</th>
<th>Ωar (µatm/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993-1997</td>
<td>-0.10 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.041 ± 0.034</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2*</td>
<td>-0.0143 ± 0.0031*</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.6*</td>
<td>-0.107 ± 0.015*</td>
<td>-0.069 ± 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>C.D.E</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.006*</td>
<td>0.032 ± 0.026</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-0.0123 ± 0.0031*</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.4*</td>
<td>-0.005 ± 0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>-0.19 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.045 ± 0.032</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.0075 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>-7.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2007</td>
<td>-0.09 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.011 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>0.0002 ± 0.001</td>
<td>-0.4 ± 1</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-0.030 ± 0.013*</td>
<td>-4.3 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>0.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-0.0105 ± 0.00012*</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1*</td>
<td>-0.068 ± 0.015*</td>
<td>-0.043 ± 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.D.E</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.008*</td>
<td>-1.7 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>-0.0134 ± 0.00013*</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>-0.090 ± 0.011*</td>
<td>-0.057 ± 0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.020 ± 0.008*</td>
<td>-0.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-0.0061 ± 0.00014*</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.3*</td>
<td>-0.031 ± 0.018</td>
<td>-0.020 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2017</td>
<td>-0.05 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.002 ± 0.012</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 0.7*</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4*</td>
<td>-0.0074 ± 0.0009*</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.9*</td>
<td>-0.051 ± 0.006*</td>
<td>-0.032 ± 0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>-0.10 ± 0.06</td>
<td>-0.012 ± 0.009</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.0052 ± 0.00013*</td>
<td>-4.3 ± 1.1*</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.011*</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.D.E</td>
<td>-0.14 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>-0.007 ± 0.004*</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.001</td>
<td>-0.06 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.008</td>
<td>-0.005 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.004 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-0.0023 ± 0.00012</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-0.011 ± 0.01</td>
<td>-0.007 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2017</td>
<td>-0.07 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-0.007 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4*</td>
<td>-0.0007 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.005</td>
<td>-0.007 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>-0.004 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.0017 ± 0.00004*</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>-0.005 ± 0.003</td>
<td>-0.003 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.D.E</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.001*</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>-0.0022 ± 0.00003*</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>-0.011 ± 0.002*</td>
<td>-0.007 ± 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>-0.0021 ± 0.00002*</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>-0.010 ± 0.002*</td>
<td>-0.006 ± 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.001*</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>-0.0016 ± 0.0001*</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.001*</td>
<td>-0.004 ± 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.001*</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>-0.0016 ± 0.0001*</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>-0.006 ± 0.001*</td>
<td>-0.004 ± 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant trends (Student test).

In bold, the trends with small errors (criteria according to Figure 3).