Point-by-point reply to the comments by the editor and the reviewers for manuscript bg-2019-118

(Joos et al. N₂O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial - part II: terrestrial N₂O emissions and carbon-nitrogen cycle interactions)

We thank the editor for his time and effort to assess our manuscript. A point-by-point reply to the comments by the editor and reviewer #1 is provided in the following. Reviewer #2 had no further comments on the manuscript and judged its scientific significance and its scientific quality as well as the presentation quality as excellent and recommended publication of the MS as is. The original comments are given in normal fonts, our reply in blue, and modifications to the manuscript text in gray. Line and page numbers refer to the manuscript version submitted in July 2019. In addition to the changes outlined in our reply below, we also corrected for typographical errors and updated figure 7 to correct for an inconsistency in the sign of one curve. A revised version of the manuscript, with changes highlighted, is attached at the end of this reply.

Reply to comments by editor

Dear authors,

My sincere apologies for the delay in coming to a decision with regard to your manuscript. This was partly due to the IPCC internal deadlines, but also because the two reviewer assessments had diverging views on the merit of the revised draft (accept as is / reject).

The revised manuscript by Joos et al. is somewhat improved with respect to the overall plausibility of the N cycle simulation (in particular related to the magnitude of the pre-industrial terrestrial N source (in the wording of the authors), and also with respect to removing the hypotheses criticised by one reviewer. There is a suitable set of analysis to understand the trends simulated by the model. There is an extensive discussion of the results, which in some cases could be shortened and focussed. We appreciate any editorial suggestions.

The dilemma with the current version of the manuscript is that the model does not convincingly capture the magnitude of the LGM to Holocene N₂O compared to what has been inferred in a companion paper based on ice core data. The authors provide an assessment of the underlying model trends, which is an interesting model exercise. However, the lacking ability of the model to reproduce the terrestrial N₂O increase makes it questionable whether the increase in biologically driven N fixation is indeed a major cause for the increase in the terrestrial N₂O source between LGM and Holocene as put forward by the authors, or the consequence of other processes or process rates not represented well in the model, or perhaps also issues with using the climatic reconstruction as input for the biosphere model. This weakens the message of the paper.

Our paper presents, to our knowledge, the first analysis that compares terrestrial N₂O emission changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial as simulated by a dynamic global vegetation model and as reconstructed from ice core data. We also provide a comprehensive analysis of underlying mechanisms and drivers for the spatio-temporal evolution of modelled N₂O response; results from nine
simulations extending over the past 21,000 years as well as additional sensitivity simulations are presented. The results are all novel and the work represents, in our opinion, a substantial scientific effort that has not been attempted before.

We do not agree that it is a dilemma of the manuscript that the model does not fully capture the magnitude of the LGM to Holocene N₂O change compared to what has been inferred from the ice core data. The CO₂ community is struggling since 40 years ago to model glacial-interglacial changes in atmospheric CO₂. Despite, or rather because of this, many model studies are published towards an improved understanding of past CO₂ changes. Here, we present a first attempt to model land biosphere N₂O emission changes over the deglacial and find that the direction and order of magnitude of change in modelled N₂O emissions are consistent with the ice core reconstruction, although with reduced amplitude compared to the proxy data. Major climate-related excursions such as the Heinrich Stadial1-Bølling/Allerød –Younger Dryas warm-cold swings are also to some extent visible in the model outcome. We see the glass here as half full rather than half empty, in particular, as the model is only calibrated relative to preindustrial conditions and no tuning has been carried out to optimize the reconstruction of the glacial/interglacial amplitude.

Given the complexity of the subject, any model is by necessity a simplification and subject to parameter and structural uncertainties. The LPX model is well documented in the literature and we describe the various components in an open and transparent manner in the method section. We document the model’s response to individual drivers and the dependency of the response on model assumptions (e.g., regarding biological N fixation) in carefully designed factorial simulations.

An important novel element of our work is that we expose a model to a new benchmark that captures the policy-relevant multi-decadal-to-century time scales for N₂O emissions, a time scale missing in modern observational and experimental data. We recommend that the setup of our deglacial simulation could be used to compare and evaluate models, e.g., in the framework of the model intercomparison initiated by the Global Carbon Project (P23, L30 in MS). In this sense, our work prepares the ground for follow-up studies that may overcome the limitations of our study.

We acknowledge that the model fails to simulate the full amplitude of the deglacial change. We discuss potential reasons on P23 L1-L7: “LPX-Bern is known for a relatively low sensitivity to increasing CO₂ and simulates a modest increase in NPP and the terrestrial carbon sink over the industrial period (Lienert and Joos, 2018a). A larger deglacial increase in NPP would tend to increase the implied N source in the model and potentially increase nitrification, denitrification and N₂O emissions. Further, denitrification processes are assumed to respond to the relevant substrate concentration following Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008). This limits N conversion rates and N₂O production at high substrate concentrations, whereas a recent synthesis of N fertilization experiments (Niu et al., 2016) points towards an exponential relationship between N load and N₂O emissions.”
We did not attempt to change the Michaelis-Menten formulation to an exponential formulation as we view this as unwarranted model tuning at this point.

We extensively discuss uncertainties associated with N₂O emissions in the discussion section. We refined the formulation of the last sentence in the abstract to emphasize that the major uncertainty in the explanation of the deglacial N₂O increase arises from the poorly known sensitivity of N₂O yield: A dominant uncertainty in the explanation of the reconstructed N₂O emissions is the poorly known N₂O yield per N lost through gaseous pathways and its sensitivity to soil conditions.

Unfortunately, I furthermore find the presentation of the results overreaching and misleading. This primarily relates to the following points:

1) In abstract and conclusion, the authors write that their study results are in reasonable agreement with the observations, which they are clearly not. While the N₂O emissions increase from LGM to Holocene, the magnitude of this increase is at best 2/3 (probably even less) of the inferred increase from ice cores, decidedly outside the error range of that estimate. In addition there is a time-delay in that response compared to the icecores, and the temporal development in the Holocene is opposite to the trend in the ice-cores. This misrepresentation is emphasised even clearer in the main text, where the authors state (p 22 L 32-33) that the model results are at the lower bound of the reconstructed range, whereas Fig. 3 clearly shows this not to be the case.

We thank you very much for pointing out these incorrect or misleading statements. We failed to update the text at several places after an upward revision in the ice core estimates during the writing process. We apologize for this mistake.

We reformulated the sentence on p1, L27 in the abstract to better reflect the data-model mismatch: LPX-Bern simulates a deglacial increase in N₂O emissions, but underestimates the reconstructed increase by 47 %.

We reformulated the first paragraph of section 5.1 (p12 L14ff) to better reflect the data-model mismatch: LPX-Bern v1.4N simulates a general increase in global land N₂O emissions over the deglacial period (Fig. 3). The modelled deglacial increase in N₂O emissions is only 0.96 TgN yr⁻¹ and about 47 % smaller than the reconstructed increase of 1.8±0.3 TgN yr⁻¹ (±1 standard deviation; Fig. 3). Modelled changes are typically less abrupt than reconstructed variations. The model shows emission variations during the Bølling/Allerød (B/A) and Younger Dryas (YD) periods with peaks in emissions at the onset of the BA (14.6 ka BP) and the preboreal (11.7 ka BP) and an emission peak around 13.5 ka BP and corresponding minima at 14 ka BP and during the YD (12.8 to 11.7 ka BP). Reconstruction and models show moderate and small changes in global terrestrial N₂O emissions over the last 11 ka, the Holocene period. Simulated terrestrial N₂O emissions decreased by 0.06 TgN yr⁻¹ between 10 and 0.5 ka BP, whereas reconstructed emissions increased by 0.41 TgN yr⁻¹ over the Holocene, leading to a discrepancy between simulated and reconstructed anomalies. The reconstruction suggests relatively constant emissions from the land biosphere during Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1) and a rapid rise in emissions at the start of the B/A, whereas the model simulates steadily increasing emissions over the second half of the HS1 interval, reflecting the gradual climate warming in the TraCE-21kyr climate input data during HS1 (see discussion below). The model also shows a much slower and a smaller emission increase at the YD/PB transition than reconstructed.

The last part of the sentence on p15 L25 was modified by replacing “as reconstructed” with “substantially” to read: ..simulated terrestrial N₂O emissions increase substantially.
The statement on P22 L32-33 was incorrect and deleted. The text now reads: LPX-Bern forced by TraCE-21kyr output simulates a deglacial increase in N₂O emission but underestimates the reconstructed increase by about 50%. This may be related to the model’s ...

We reformulated the sentence on p24, L19-L20 in the conclusion to better reflect the data-model mismatch: Here, we evaluated LPX-Bern in transient simulations over the past 21,000 years. The model simulates an increase in N₂O emission over the deglaciation, but underestimates the magnitude of the deglacial increase in comparison with the ice core data.

Finally, we replace the word “constrain” by “and” and add the word cycle in the title to read: N₂O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial - part II: terrestrial N₂O emissions and carbon-nitrogen cycle interactions

2) To this effect, the key figure of this manuscript, Figure 3, shows two model results in support of the results discussed in the abstract, one with the N source fixed and consequently little change in N₂O, and another one with the full simulation, which produces ~2/3 or less of the signal. This would have been sufficient to make the case the authors presented in the abstract. It remains unclear to me (and misleading) why Figure 3 also displays a third model output (which coincidentally is the only model configuration that nearly reaches the lower confidence bound of the N₂O source inferred from the ice-cores in agreement with the main text p22 L 32-33), based on the completely unrealistic assumption that land mass change did not change from LGM to present-day. While it is a useful scientific question to ask whether changes in N fixation did contribute to the change in terrestrial N₂O, it is not reasonable to assume that between LGM and Holocene neither sea level nor glacial extend did change. It is therefore unclear why it has been included here.

This criticism is not justified. The criticism is apparently a consequence of the issues raised under point 1). We included the result of the factorial simulation with constant land mask to demonstrate that changes in sea level are important and must be considered in any modelling work addressing deglacial N₂O emissions from the land biosphere. We make this, in our opinion, important point for the modelling community in the abstract (p1, L27) and in the main text (P13 L8-L12, P15 L32-L33) and in the discussion (p23 L11-L13). The factorial run in Fig. 3 is clearly labelled as such in the figure legend and the figure caption and a thin dashed line is used to distinguish it from our standard run (thick solid line). We did not anticipate that the reader would assume this to be something else than a factorial, hence counterfactual, simulation. We removed the simulation with constant land mask from Fig. 3 to avoid any further misinterpretation. We did not change the corresponding text (except removing the corresponding reference to Fig. 3). The effect of land mask change is also shown in Fig. 7, albeit less clear than it was the case in Fig. 3.

3) The model has undergone extensive calibration to address the reviewer concerns of round 1. The current version of the manuscript compares the old and the new models versions, as if this was a simple sensitivity study. This glosses over the fact that the updated version from the previous manuscript now has N₂O yield increasing with temperature, without there being a clear explanation for the experimental basis of this function, and the fact that literature cited by the authors suggests the opposite (their page 19, L 9, Firestone and Davidson, 1989). This is noteworthy, because this model change contributes to an increase of N₂O of about half of the simulated LGM to Holocene increase in Fig 3. This fundamentally undermines the authors assertion that the previous and revised model versions show comparable changes in LGM to Holocene N₂O irrespective of the pre-industrial or glacial N source magnitude, because this was only achieved by adding an additional temperature sensitivity on top of the
temperature sensitivities of organic N turnover and that of nitrification and denitrification.

The assumption of the editor is incorrect. The temperature function for the N\(_2\)O yield of denitrification is exactly the same in all our previous submissions of this manuscript and the same as in the original model published by Xu-Ri and Prentice.

The modification of the yield function for nitrification was introduced in response to the criticism of reviewer #1 who challenged the application of a spatially constant yield function. However, this change is of minor importance for global N\(_2\)O emissions and contributed only by about 0.06 TgN yr\(^{-1}\) or 6% to the deglacial emission increase. This change in parameterization is described in the main text (p9 L20-24) and documented in the appendix (p25 L14-L15 and Tab. A1). The sign of this function is supported by work of Smith 1997 as cited in the MS. The text on p25 L14-L15 in the appendix has been complemented with an additional sentence and reads now: In v1.4, the yield factor from nitrification is assumed constant. In v1.4N the same temperature dependency as for the yield for denitrification is assumed. This is a relatively minor modification as nitrification contributes only 12% to the modelled preindustrial N\(_2\)O emissions.

Our statement still holds that the previous and revised model versions show comparable changes in LGM to Holocene N\(_2\)O emissions. This agreement was NOT achieved by adding an additional temperature sensitivity. We added the magnitude of the deglacial emission change for v1.4 and v1.4N in the main text to allow the reader to judge the difference in emissions The text on P22 L24-L26 reads now: The most striking difference is that the implied N source is a factor of four higher in v1.4 compared to v1.4N. Nevertheless, results for deglacial N\(_2\)O emission changes remain similar between the version v1.4 (change 1.13 TgN yr\(^{-1}\)) and v1.4N (0.96 TgN yr\(^{-1}\)).

We describe how yield may change under environmental conditions on P18 L24 to P 19 L16. We write on p18 L29-30: “This yield factor is known to vary with environmental conditions and across N\(_2\)O production pathways, though quantitative experimental evidence is often equivocal.” We refined the discussion on the temperature sensitivity of the yield factor for denitrification and the text reads now: The ratio of N\(_2\)O to N\(_2\) emitted has been thought to decrease with increasing temperature (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). However, the observational challenges to determine N\(_2\)O and N\(_2\) emissions are substantial and available results are equivocal. Butterbach-Bahl et al. (2002) analyzing results for a series of soil cores at spruce and beech sites in Germany, find it difficult to derive a general trend on the effect of temperature on the ratio of N\(_2\)O and N\(_2\) produced via denitrification. Phillips et al. (2015) shows for New Zealand pasture soil samples that denitrified-N shifts towards N\(_2\)O with increasing temperature under anaerobic conditions.

Any parameterization of yield must be viewed with caution. The factorial experiment added in the previously resubmitted version allow the reader to gauge the magnitude of the influence of our parameterization on yield. We added on P21, L10 in the discussion: In LPX-Bern v1.4N, the yield factor is varying as a function of temperature for nitrification and denitrification, whereas dependencies of the yield on NO\(_3\)^- availability, oxygen status, decomposable carbon, or pH are not considered (see discussion in previous section).

If the change in N\(_2\)O yield has the wrong sign in the model under deglacial change as compared to the real world, this would imply that the conversion of N by nitrification and denitrification and thus the N loss term would need to increase more than simulated by LPX. The need for additional BNF to balance N loss and N uptake by vegetation would likely be larger than suggested by our results. Such a scenario
would point towards an enhancement of BFN over the deglaciation. As this is already discussed in the MS we do not add further text on this issue.

4) The manuscript further suffers from a misrepresentation of their model. In P1 L28 and elsewhere, the authors claim that their N source estimates are plant-demand based, implying that changes in plant growth and/or N limitation would have direct consequences for fixation, ecosystem N availability (and thereby N2O emissions), but this is not the case: neither the N requirement for growth nor the lack of N availability for plant uptake do not enter the calculation of the N source. Rather it is simply based on the stoichiometric N requirement for litter decomposition compared to the soil N availability. If anything therefore, this representation is a soil decomposer demand based N fixation model. Other models have been published in which plant N demand for growth enters the calculation (Gerber et al. 2010, Meyerholt et al. 2016), and the labelling of the scheme of this paper as plant N demand based is therefore highly misleading. It is also incorrect to label such a representation of the nitrogen cycle as mechanistic (p 12 L 14), when it is based the assumption that biological N sources are in fact simply the consequence of the difference between organic N accumulation and ecosystem N losses (p 6 L 21-22). Our wording is not interpreted as intended. We do not claim that the N source formulation is plant-demand based. The way the N source is implemented is clearly described in the method section and in the discussion (e.g., P21 L13: “The N source and its changes in LPX are implied by maintaining soil C:N ratio at observed PFT-dependent values.”) . The N-demand based models by Gerber et al., 2010 and Meyerholt et al., 2016 are discussed in the section on N source (P21 L12 to P22 L5). The implicit assumption of the formulation in LPX is that the N source will increase in response to a higher NPP, involving higher N uptake, on the timescales of carbon turnover in vegetation and soils. We deleted the sentence on p1 L27-29 and reformulated the sentence on p1 L29-30 to not include the term N-demand in the abstract to avoid a potential misinterpretation by the reader: Assuming time-independent N sources in the model to mimic progressive N limitation of plant growth results in a decrease in emissions in contrast to the reconstruction. The text on P13 L12-L13 is modified to read: Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), computed to match prescribed N:C ratios in soils (see methods), is implicitly assumed to adjust on the timescales of vegetation and soil carbon turnover to an increase in N demand by rising NPP and partly alleviating N limitation of plant growth in LPX-Bern. We also adjusted the caption of Fig. 6 and deleted the word mechanistic on P12 L14.

5) All these above points reduce the validity of the claim that these results adds confidence of future simulations (p. 24 L 21) of this model published by Stocker et al. 2013, because the model has been strongly modified in terms of the N source, the scaling of N2O emissions to N availability and the temperature sensitivity of that scaling of N2O emissions. Together with the lower than inferred changed in the terrestrial N2O source, these results would if anything suggest that the climate-N2O feedbacks suggested by Stocker et al. is low biased.

We clarified our view on this point and modified the text on P24 L21 to read: This may add confidence in the sign of the climate-N2O feedback projected with this model for the next centuries (Stocker et al., 2013), while suggesting that the magnitude of the projected feedback may be underestimated.

While I do realise that the authors have put a lot of effort into addressing the comments of the authors during revision, and there is no question that this modelling effort is novel, the combination of a model that does only capture a proportion of the observed signal with the overreach of the presentation of the results leads me to follow the recommendation of reviewer #2 and deny publication of the manuscript in
Biogeosciences.
Thank for honoring our effort during the revision and in the preparation of the manuscript. We apologize for our unintended misrepresentation of results in important places, cf. your point 1. We hope that our modifications and explanations now mitigate all remaining misunderstandings. We believe that the proposed modifications lead to a manuscript with a balanced presentation of our results and of the topic as a whole which is of interest for the paleo and nitrogen cycle/N₂O community.

Sincerely,
Sönke Zaehle
I’m glad to see the hypotheses and the most serious over-reach of the inferences of this paper have been removed. And model tuning has at least moved the model towards something sensible on BNF. Given that, now the elephant in the room in this paper is that the model doesn’t do a very good job of simulating N2O over the deglaciation. The default model is over 1 TgN yr⁻¹ out (figure 3). The best simulation (we presume, since we’re only directly shown 3/9) is the purely theoretical simulation that assumes land area hasn’t changed in the last 21 kyr (clearly not realistic).

Please see our response to the editorial comments regarding the data-model mismatch and the factorial simulations.

Looking at the basic constraints on the model, two seem pertinent:

- The model is tuned to 5.9 TgN yr⁻¹ of N2O emissions at pre-industrial (p.9 l.15). This seems very sensible initially, as it is constraining the model to the observation-based data from the ice cores.
- The N ‘BNF’ input = the N output within the terrestrial realm. Putting aside whether this equates to an equilibrium, that assumption predicates that there is no net loss/gain of N in the terrestrial biosphere to the atmosphere or ocean (I’d call that equilibrium personally, but the authors prefer ‘mass conservation or mass balance’ (p6. l.22) and it amounts to the same thing). But what evidence is there for this?

Especially over the deglaciation where there’s a build up of soil carbon and nitrogen, it makes no sense for the inputs to equal the outputs. This determines much of the model behavior.

These latter statements are incorrect. There is no assumption that N input to the land biosphere equals N output. Rather, the sum of all N input fluxes to the land biosphere ($F_{in}$) equals the sum of all N loss fluxes from the biosphere ($F_{out}$) plus the change in N storage within the land biosphere ($dN/dt$). In other words, $dN/dt = F_{in} - F_{out}$. The sentence on P6 L22 in the introduction refers to this fundamental principle: “Following mass balance, losses of reactive N from ecosystems and changes in N stocks have to be compensated by N inputs, mainly by BNF.”

LPX-Bern simulates a build-up of carbon and nitrogen in soils and vegetation over the deglaciation as for example illustrated in Fig. 6 or in Tab. A1 and noted for example on p15 L4-L6: “In response to the increased N input, the availability of reactive N remained roughly constant or increased in most land areas in the standard run, despite increased storage of N in plant and soil organic matter and accelerated nitrification and denitrification (Fig. 6C).”

We agree that it would make no sense to assume equilibrium with $F_{in}$ equal $F_{out}$ over the deglaciation. This is not the case in LPX and the statement that such an assumption determines much of the model behavior is not justified.

Despite these constraints, particularly the model tuning to pre-industrial N2O and a more sensible BNF, the model still doesn’t do a good job (see figure 3, thick red line vs. thick green line). But this issue isn’t clear as the results, particularly the figures, seem to be very selective.

For instance, why isn’t BNF shown in figure 7? Why aren’t the climate aspects shown in figure 3? Why aren’t the yield effects shown in figure 3? Inferring from figure 3, if you put BNF on figure 7 it would show up as a negative of about 1 degree, i.e. less influential than temperature. Acknowledging this would change the main outcomes of the paper, as well as make clear that the model isn’t reliable.

We reject these statements. All figures have been carefully selected to best illustrate the results from our simulations. Regarding individual points:

- Spatial and integrated changes in BNF are already shown in Fig. 6 for the standard setup and for the setup with constant local N source. We follow the recommendation of the editor and show now the
result from our standard simulation and the result from the setup with constant local N source in Fig. 3.

The influence of changes in environmental drivers and of temperature-induced changes in yield on N₂O emissions are shown in Fig. 7 and there is no need to repeat the information in Fig. 3.

- We are not exactly sure what the wording of the reviewer means, but guess that with “put BNF” the reviewer refers to the difference in results between the standard model setup and the setup with constant local N source and with “1 degree” the reviewer means a change in N₂O emissions by -1 TgN yr⁻¹ (?). In case our interpretation is correct, this proposal is scientifically not permissible for the following reason.

The influence of environmental parameters on deglacial N₂O emissions is quantified for the standard model setup. The changes attributed to the individual environmental drivers are roughly additive and their sum is comparable to the result from the standard simulation (the non-linear term is given in the Fig 7). This, however, does not hold when factoring in the change due to a structural model modification, here in N source.

Repeating the same factorial simulations for the setup with constant local N source would yield different results for each climatic parameter. In such a model setup changes in temperature and precipitation have very likely a negligible influence on N₂O emissions. Such an additional set of factorial simulations would not change the main outcomes of the paper.

This is in addition to the fact that looking at constant BNF is no more valid than looking at constant temperature, and no more can be inferred from it. You wouldn’t hold temperature constant over the deglaciation and say it shows the temperature limitation effect. Similarly, it’s nonsense to set up a model to need BNF, restrict the BNF, and then show the results as meaning something other than the model is being used outside of its operating remit. And that isn’t even touching on the fact that the BNF in the model can be reduced 5-fold and apparently have no effect on the proportion of N₂O emissions, while changing almost all other carbon and nitrogen fluxes (Table A1), but still being held as being valid by the authors.

Once you strip away all of the hearsay and ‘context’ that attempts to make this paper’s results significant, you’re left with an experiment with a poor model that doesn’t show much. The authors even acknowledge as much in the last two lines of the abstract – it might support biological controls, or flexible C:N, or it might be due to N₂O emission differences. i.e. it doesn’t take us any further forward scientifically.

We reject these statements and the disrespectful wording.

- Factorial simulations are widely used in the scientific community and are rather standard than “nonsense”.
- As documented in Tab. A1, percentage changes in nitrogen fluxes from LGM to preindustrial are comparable in version v1.4 and v1.4N, though typically a bit smaller in v1.4N than in v1.4 (e.g. 12 % versus 15 % for denitrification). Therefore, relative changes in N₂O emissions are also similar as absolute emissions have been scaled to match preindustrial emissions of 5.9 TgN yr⁻¹.
- We present for the first time simulations of terrestrial N₂O emissions for the last 21,000 years and compare the results to a novel reconstruction of these emissions. We provide an in-depth analysis and interpretation using factorial simulations and document the results using 11 figures. It is not appropriate to dismiss this work as “hearsay” and “context”.
- LPX-Bern and its modules are described in the literature. The model is included in many international modelling intercomparison studies including those targeted to establish the global carbon, methane, and N₂O budget by the Global Carbon Budget.

We would like to point to the assessment by reviewer #2 who rated the scientific significance and the scientific quality as well as the presentation quality of our manuscript as excellent.
Some specific points (this is not a comprehensive list, just a few things I noticed and couldn’t not mention):

P1 l.21 Normative value judgments like ‘remarkably’, without any evidence or context to back-up why something is remarkable, have no place in an academic paper, especially not in the abstract.

We prefer to highlight to the reader that the reconstructed changes in N₂O emissions are fast.

p.8 l.19 The authors provide no reference for the “pre-industrial” BNF of 128 TgN yr⁻¹. I speculate that is because they know that it’s a mis-representation. Assuming that 128 TgN yr⁻¹ comes from Cleveland et al. (2013), as that’s basically the only legitimate source of that number, it isn’t pre-industrial BNF. Just like where they say in their response to reviewers that 128 is the value “preferred” by me as a reviewer, (which they have no evidence of) they’re hijacking numbers for their own convenience with no regard to the truth.

p.8 l.22 The authors have lifted the generalization of 100 – 150 TgN yr⁻¹ BNF from my review without any citation to original sources.

We reject this unfair criticism. We provide 7 references for BNF on non-agricultural ecosystem in this paragraph on P 8 L18-L32. We deleted the clause “with most published estimates around 100 to 150 TgN yr⁻¹”.

Figure 3. Green and red lines on this plot are not color-blind friendly. It’s really disrespectful to use color schemes that are exclusionary in 2019. Same for figure 10.

A dashed red and a solid green line as shown in Fig. 3 is easily distinguishable and color-blind friendly. We checked Fig. 10 with a color-blind person who find the colors to be distinguishable. Nevertheless, we will aim to further improve the color schemes for even better visibility in Fig.10 to the extent possible.

Terminology The terminology (in this case, which simulation has what description or short tag) is inconsistent. The terminology should be stated once in the methods and then clear and consistent in all places thereafter.

The description and the terminology referring to individual simulations appears clear as there has not been any misunderstanding during the past reviews.
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Abstract. Carbon-nitrogen (C-N) interactions regulate N availability for plant growth and for emissions of nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) as well as the uptake of carbon dioxide. Future projections of these terrestrial greenhouse gas fluxes are strikingly divergent, leading to major uncertainties in projected global warming. Here we analyse the large increase in terrestrial N$_2$O emissions over the past 21,000 years as reconstructed from ice-core isotopic data and presented in part I of this study. Remarkably, the increase occurred in two steps, each realized over decades and within maximum two centuries, at the onsets of the major deglacial northern hemisphere warming events. The data suggest a highly dynamic and responsive global N cycle. The increase may be explained by an increase in the flux of reactive N entering and leaving ecosystems or by an increase in N$_2$O yield per unit N converted. We applied the LPX-Bern dynamic global vegetation model in deglacial simulations forced with Earth System Model climate data to investigate N$_2$O emission patterns, mechanisms, and C-N coupling. The N$_2$O emission changes are mainly attributed to changes in temperature and precipitation and the loss of land due to sea level rise. LPX-Bern simulates a deglacial increase in N$_2$O emissions, but underestimates the reconstructed increase by 47%. The reconstructed increase in terrestrial emissions is broadly reproduced by the model, given the assumption that sources of reactive N positively respond to increasing N demand by plants. In contrast, assuming time- and demand-independent N sources in the model to mimic progressive N limitation of plant growth results in a decrease in N$_2$O emissions in contrast to that are incompatible with the reconstruction. Our results appear consistent with suggestions of (a) biological controls on ecosystem N acquisition, and (b) flexibility in the coupling of the C and N cycles during periods of rapid environmental change. Alternative mechanisms A dominant uncertainty to in the explanation of the reconstructed N$_2$O emissions is the poorly known include changes in N$_2$O yield per N lost through gaseous pathways and its sensitivity to soil conditions. -[297-293 words]

1
1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) is a sensitive proxy of biogeochemical and ecosystem processes on land and in the ocean, and its past atmospheric variations are recorded in ice cores. N\textsubscript{2}O is an important greenhouse gas and contributes to ongoing global warming (Stocker et al., 2013). It is also involved in the destruction of stratospheric ozone (Myhre et al., 2013). N\textsubscript{2}O is produced primarily by nitrification and denitrification both on land and in the ocean, and photochemically decomposed in the stratosphere (Ciais et al., 2013). Atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O increased from 270 ppb (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006) to around 330 ppb (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/) over the industrial period due to human activities including fertilizer application, fossil fuel use and biomass burning (Bouwman et al., 2013;Ciais et al., 2013). Atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O varied naturally between around 180 and 300 ppb over glacial-interglacial cycles (Sowers et al., 2003;Sphäni et al., 2005;Schilt et al., 2010). A quantitative explanation of these variations is lacking and this knowledge gap renders projections of the feedbacks between N\textsubscript{2}O and climate change uncertain (Stocker et al., 2013;Battaglia and Joos, 2018;Kracher et al., 2016).

Variations in N\textsubscript{2}O emission, and thus in tropospheric N\textsubscript{2}O content, are closely linked to ecosystem processes governing the cycling of nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) on land and in the ocean (Gruber and Galloway, 2008). N availability to support land plant and phytoplankton growth and terrestrial and marine C storage is governed by the balance of N input and loss fluxes. Reactive N is added by biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) and, on land, by deposition, and weathering. Reactive N is lost from ecosystems through nitrification followed by denitrification, as well as leakage and mineral adsorption. Terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions are a sensitive indicator of the flow of reactive N entering and leaving land ecosystems (Firestone and Davidson, 1989) and reactive N needs to be available for the production of N\textsubscript{2}O as well as to support carbon uptake and storage by plants and the land biosphere.

The land biosphere sequesters about a quarter of anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and is the largest natural N\textsubscript{2}O source (Ciais et al., 2013). Yet key features of the C-N cycle are poorly understood, leading to major uncertainties in global warming projections (Joos et al., 2001;Arora et al., 2013;Friedlingstein et al., 2006;Friedlingstein et al., 2013;Plattner et al., 2008;Zickfeld et al., 2013). The question to what extent N availability will limit future land C uptake and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions is unresolved. In particular, large uncertainties remain as to what extent N sources, net N mineralization, N uptake, and N loss processes will adjust and influence plant growth and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions under climatic and environmental change (Niu et al., 2016). It is debated how global warming, increasing CO\textsubscript{2}, and increased N deposition affect the current land carbon sink (Körner, 2015;Faticchi et al., 2014;Terrer et al., 2016) and how the land carbon sink and greenhouse gas emissions will evolve. Apparently conflicting observations (Davidson et al., 2007;Luo et al., 2004;Reich et al., 2014;Vitousek et al., 2013;Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008), theories (Zhu et al., 2017;Menge et al., 2017), and model projections (Hungate et al., 2003;Todd-Brown et al., 2013;Wieder et al., 2015b) of the role of N limitation for plant growth and the land C sink (Meyerholt et al., 2016;Zaehle et al., 2014;Walker et al., 2015) represent a major uncertainty in future projections of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} and climate (Jones et al.,
Results from free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) and open-top chamber experiments show that N limitation reduces the response of aboveground biomass growth to elevated CO₂ (McMurtrie et al., 2008;Norby et al., 2010;Terrer et al., 2016) and that soil N and P availability are important controls on this magnitude in ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal systems, respectively. Increased N immobilization in plant litter, biomass and soil (Luo et al., 2004) and multiple nutrient limitation (Körner, 2015;Vitousek et al., 2010;Elser et al., 2007) have been put forward as explanations of reduced growth responses. Recent syntheses of the results from CO₂ enrichment experiments suggests that mycorrhizal association exerts an important control on the magnitude of the realized CO₂ fertilization effect (Terrer et al., 2016) and that soil N and P availability are important controls on this magnitude in ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal systems, respectively (Terrer et al., 2019).

Field and laboratory experiments provide important insights, but extrapolation of such results on the short-term response in C and N fluxes to the multi-decadal-to-century time scales, relevant for global warming projections, is uncertain. For example, Reich et al. (2018) found an unexpected reversal of C₃ versus C₄ grass response to elevated CO₂ and shifts in soil N mineralization rates during a 20-year field experiment. These authors concluded that even the best-supported short-term drivers of plant response to global change might not predict long-term results. Additional hindrances to the improvement of our quantitative understanding of C-N cycle coupling are related to large variations in fluxes and inventories on small spatial and temporal scales (Arias-Navarro et al., 2017;Barton et al., 2015) and the diversity of responses across different organisms and ecosystems. Largely missing are long-term observational constraints on the global coupled C and N cycle during periods of rapid climate change and increasing atmospheric CO₂.

High-resolution data on the isotopic composition of N₂O from Antarctic ice cores have the potential to provide precise information on past variations in terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions and thus on C-N coupling on time scales from decades to many centuries. A recent ice-core study on the stable isotope composition of N₂O demonstrated the power of this approach (Schilt et al., 2014). The isotopic data showed that both land and oceanic sources increased during the interval from 16 to 10 thousand years before present (ka BP), when ocean circulation and climatic changes strongly affected the global cycling of both CO₂ and N₂O (Schilt et al., 2014). Schilt et al. concluded that natural N₂O emissions will probably increase in response to global warming. In part I of this study (Fischer et al., 2019), this earlier work was extended to reconstruct the evolution in terrestrial versus oceanic emissions of N₂O from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; 21 ka BP) to the late preindustrial Holocene using novel N₂O stable isotope data. The ice core data reveal large step-like changes in terrestrial emissions at the onset of warming events, realized over decades and, given the proxy data resolution, last 200 years at maximum. But a detailed process-based investigation of terrestrial N₂O emission changes over the deglaciation, rapid past warming events, and the Holocene warm period, and their links to the flow of N and C in land ecosystems, has not been attempted before.
The aim of part II of this study is to improve our understanding of the cycles of N₂O, C and N. The unique, new terrestrial N₂O emission record of the past 21 kyr is used to explore mechanisms of the functioning of the C-N cycle on land and to quantify terrestrial drivers for atmospheric N₂O concentration changes. Controls on variations in terrestrial emissions are elucidated in the framework of a dynamic global vegetation model. Part I of this study (Fischer et al., 2019) presents the ice core N₂O concentration and isotope data and the reconstruction of global terrestrial and marine N₂O emissions for the past 21,000 years and provides a discussion on the reconstructed marine emissions in the context of past climate and oceanographic changes. A model-based interpretation of the reconstructed marine N₂O emission changes during past abrupt climate events is given by Joos et al. (2019). Here in part II, we focus on the interpretation of the terrestrial N₂O emission record and discuss terrestrial N₂O emissions and C-N responses in transient deglacial simulations with a dynamical vegetation/terrestrial biogeochemistry model.

2 Introduction to N₂O, terrestrial nitrogen and carbon flows

2.1 N₂O budget and production mechanisms

Prather et al. (2015) estimated that the pre-industrial atmospheric lifetime of N₂O was 123 years. Together with the atmospheric N₂O concentration from ice cores, this figure constrains the total net pre-industrial N₂O source to 10.5 ± 1 Tg N yr⁻¹. Marine N₂O emissions were recently estimated by an observation-constrained approach, using water-column and surface N₂O observations as targets, to be 4.6 (± 1 standard deviation range: 3.1 to 6.1) Tg N yr⁻¹. This calculation implies a natural terrestrial N₂O source of 5.9 (4.1 to 7.7) Tg-N yr⁻¹ (Battaglia and Joos, 2018), in line with IPCC AR5 estimates of 6.6 (3.3 to 9.0) Tg N yr⁻¹.

N₂O is produced through a variety of pathways both in the ocean and on land and N₂O production is closely linked to the flows of C and N (Wrage et al., 2001; Chapuis-Lardy et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2013; Battaglia and Joos, 2018; Trimmer et al., 2016; Babbin et al., 2015; Gilly et al., 2013; Bange, 2008; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Firestone and Davidson, 1989). The dominant pathways of net N₂O production are thought to be respiratory denitrification (NO₃⁻ → NO₂⁻ → NO → N₂O → N₂) under low oxygen conditions, and autotrophic nitrification (NH₃ → NO₂⁻ → NO₃⁻), mediated by archaea, bacteria and fungi. In addition heterotrophic nitrification, which is the oxidation of organic N to nitrite (NO₂⁻) and subsequent reduction to N₂O by incomplete denitrification, was found to be the dominant path in a grassland ecosystem after fertilizer application (Moser et al., 2018). N₂O is produced as a byproduct of nitrification and as an intermediate product during denitrification. N₂O is also produced from nitrite through nitrification, often termed nitrifier-denitrification, through anaerobic ammonium oxidation, chemautotrophic denitrification, abiotic processes or from photoautotrophic organisms in cryptogamic covers (Lenhart et al., 2015; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013).
Terrestrial N₂O production and emissions depend sensitively on environmental factors including precipitation, soil temperature, soil moisture, soil texture, soil oxygen concentration or pH, topography as well as on substrate and nutrient availability and on nutrient addition by deposition or fertilizer application (Zhuang et al., 2012; Stehfest and Bouwman, 2006; Wang et al., 2017). Field data- and model-based emission estimates show the highest emissions of N₂O in moist tropical areas, and lower emissions in high latitudes (Stehfest and Bouwman, 2006; Zhuang et al., 2012; Werner et al., 2007; Potter et al., 1996; Xu et al., 2017; Xu-Ri et al., 2012; Wells et al., 2018). Moist soils typically show relatively high N₂O emission (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Zhuang et al., 2012), but the environmental dependencies of N₂O emission are often complex (Diem et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2015; Schmid et al., 2001a; Matson et al., 2017) and dependent on the production pathway (Kool et al., 2011). Higher N₂O fluxes at high soil water contents have been reported from laboratory and field studies, and linked to increasing denitrification activity in response to reduced oxygen diffusion into the soil (Arias-Navarro et al., 2017). The sensitivity of denitrification to temperature is found to be higher than for CO₂ emissions from soil organic matter decomposition, and a positive feedback of soil warming on N₂O emissions is expected (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). Warming treatment increased measured N₂O emissions in boreal peatlands (Cui et al., 2018). However, responses to warming treatment are found to be highly variable across a range of conditions and ecosystems and it remains unclear whether warming will increase or reduce regional-to-global N₂O emissions (Dijkstra et al., 2012). Positive or neutral responses in N₂O emissions have been found in field experiments under elevated CO₂ in temperate and boreal forests and grasslands (van Groenigen et al., 2011; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Regan et al., 2011; Moser et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2018). Nitrogen addition by mineral and organic fertilizer causes enhanced N₂O emissions. Emission factors are reported to depend sensitively on soil pH and are typically estimated to be around 0.5 % to 2 % of added N (Charles et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017), but may vary by more than an order of magnitude.

The amount of N₂O produced per unit of N converted varies with environmental conditions and production pathway. For nitrification and denitrification this yield (or emission) factor depends on substrate availability linked to soil organic matter decomposition and C:N stoichiometry, on oxygen level influenced by soil moisture status and respiration, on acidity and temperature (Diem et al., 2017; Davidson et al., 2000; Firestone and Davidson, 1989; Smith, 1997; Phillips et al., 2015; Saggar et al., 2013). In addition, different N₂O production pathways and N loss processes and their relative importance may evolve through time and influence the N₂O yield on local to global scales.

### 2.2 C-N-N₂O coupling

The main flow paths of reactive N and its link to N₂O production and C flows on land (Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Vitousek et al., 2013; Zähle, 2013; Firestone and Davidson, 1989) are schematically sketched in Fig. 1. These ecosystem flows can be assigned to an internal and an external N cycle. Within an ecosystem (green arrows in Fig. 1), N is primarily taken up in the form of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ by plant roots to support growth, while a large fraction of reactive N is taken
up by soil microbes and fungi and is thus immobilized. Organic N is converted back to inorganic N during the mineralization of litter and soil organic matter. Gross N mineralization is thereby modified by the decomposers carbon-use efficiency (Manzoni et al., 2008). Net N mineralization (as in Fig. 1) thus reflects the modified gross N mineralization minus N immobilization. The NO$_3^-$ pool is replenished by nitrification, the conversion of NH$_4^+$ to NO$_3^-$.

We note the acid-base equilibrium between NH$_4^+$ and NH$_3$ in soil water; for simplicity, we generally refer to NH$_4^+$ only.

Turning to the external cycle, reactive N enters land ecosystems (blue arrows in Fig. 1) through the conversion of dinitrogen (N$_2$) to organic N and eventually to NH$_4^+$ by BNF (Cleveland et al., 1999; Vitousek et al., 2013; Zähle, 2013; Sullivan et al., 2014; Xu and Prentice, 2017), through rock weathering (Houlton et al., 2018) and the deposition (Lamarque et al., 2011; Vet et al., 2014; Dentener et al., 2006) of NH$_x$ and NO$_y$ (including sources by lightning). Reactive N is lost from land ecosystems through gaseous losses (including N$_2$O), leaching of NO$_3^-$ and dissolved organic matter by runoff, and emissions of N compounds by fire (Bouwman et al., 2013; Ciais et al., 2013; Hedin et al., 1995; Hedin et al., 2003). On larger scale, N lost by fires will be deposited again and a large part of this N flux is therefore fed again to land ecosystems. In this sense, the fraction of the fire flux not lost to the ocean may be viewed to belong to the internal global land N cycle. N leached as dissolved organic N is typically remineralized downstream and may undergo nitrification and denitrification or be taken up by aquatic organisms. N may also be absorbed by minerals and become unavailable for plants and microbiological assemblages. Following mass balance, losses of reactive N from ecosystems and changes in N stocks have to be compensated by N inputs, mainly by BNF.

The external and internal N cycles are coupled. Reactive N in mineral forms serves as substrate for the N loss fluxes (external cycle) as well as a reservoir for plant N uptake (internal cycle). Following mass balance, mineral N concentrations change until the balance of N input by BNF and other sources matches N loss and net ecosystem N uptake (reactive N uptake minus net N mineralization). For example, in a growing ecosystem an increasing amount of N is taken up by plants and converted from inorganic to organic forms. This net ecosystem N uptake tends to deplete reactive N in mineral forms. Correspondingly, N loss fluxes (including N$_2$O) would decrease and N limitation of plant growth would increase if N sources, such as BNF, do not adjust to the increasing ecosystem N demand. Whether N limitation increases or not in a growing ecosystem depends therefore critically on the flexibility of N input, hence BNF.

Empirical evidence from N-addition experiments, synthesized by Lue et al. (2011) and Niu et al. (2016), shows that the uptake of N by plants, net primary productivity and biomass as well as NH$_x$ and NO$_3^-$ pools in soils, nitrification, nitrate leaching, denitrification, and N$_2$O emissions all increase simultaneously with N input. This finding points to a tight coupling between the availability of reactive N for plant growth, nitrification and denitrification fluxes and N$_2$O. N$_2$O production on land is predominantly associated with denitrification, and to a smaller extent with nitrification. Large gaseous losses and N$_2$O emissions are thus indicative of a large N throughput (input and loss), where ecosystem functioning may have adjusted by
exploiting energetically costly, but evolutionarily advantageous BNF to compensate for the losses (Batterman et al., 2013; Pons et al., 2007; Vitousek and Hobbie, 2000) or where losses are compensated by N input from weathering or deposition.

In summary of section 2.1 and 2.2, changes in terrestrial N2O emissions may be linked (i) to changes in the magnitude of reactive N entering and leaving ecosystems, and (ii) to changes in the N2O yield per unit reactive N converted in land ecosystems.

3 Methods

3.1 The LPX-Bern(v1.4N) Dynamic Global Vegetation Model

The dynamic global vegetation and land surface process model LPX-Bern (“Land surface Processes and eXchanges” model as implemented at the University of Bern, version 1.4N) (Lienert and Joos, 2018b) is applied here in transient mode over the last 21,000 years (Ruosch et al., 2016). The LPX-Bern model describes dynamical vegetation and terrestrial biogeochemical processes, integrates representations of non-peatland (Gerten et al., 2004; Joos et al., 2004; Sitch et al., 2003) and peatland (Spahni et al., 2013; Wania et al., 2009) ecosystems and their C and N dynamics (Stocker et al., 2013; Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008; Xu-Ri et al., 2012), and describes the dynamic evolution of wetland and peatland extent (Stocker et al., 2014). The model calculates the release and uptake of the trace gases CO2, N2O (Stocker et al., 2013; Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008; Xu-Ri et al., 2012) and CH4 (Spahni et al., 2011; Wania et al., 2010; Zürcher et al., 2013).

Vegetation is represented by plant functional types (PFTs) that are in competition for resources (water, light, N) on each grid cell. Here a version with fifteen PFTs is used. Eight generic tree PFTs, and PFTs for C3 and C4-type grasses grow on natural land (excluding peat) and former peat. Two PFTs representing peat mosses and flood-tolerant C3 graminoids as well as three flood-tolerant tropical PFTs grow on peat and wetlands. The model accounts for the dynamic coupling of C and water cycles through photosynthesis and evapotranspiration, which also defines plant water use efficiency (Saurer et al., 2014; Keller et al., 2017). Seven C and N pools per PFT represent leaves, sapwood, heartwood, fine roots, aboveground leaf litter, aboveground woody litter, and belowground litter. Separate soil organic C and N pools receive input from litter of all PFTs. LPX uses a vertically resolved soil hydrology, heat diffusion and an interactive thawing–freezing scheme (Wania et al., 2009).

The LPX-Bern vegetation and soil components interact with a dynamic N-cycle module (Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008; Xu-Ri et al., 2012), accounting for the uptake of mineral N by N immobilization in soils (Bengtsson et al., 2003; Li et al., 2017; Gütlein et al., 2017) as in Xu-Ri and Prentice (2017). The module describes the relevant N and N2O fluxes and pools for plants and soils as schematically depicted in Fig. 1 and summarized below.
In LPX, the source of reactive N is implied by maintaining prescribed soil N:C ratios associated with each of the plant functional types, reflecting their different litter chemistries and decomposer assemblages. Due to lower N:C ratios of litter than soil pools, the transfer of mass from litter to soil pools during litter decomposition therefore implies a given amount of N, required to satisfy the soil N:C ratio. The required N is partly satisfied by a flux representing immobilization of mineral N. The remainder determines the total input of reactive N into the ecosystem, implicitly subsuming symbiotic and asymbiotic BNF, and any other potential N sources that may support plant growth, in addition to prescribed N deposition. The amount of N input required to close the N balance of soils and to maintain the soil pools at their high N:C ratios depends on the flux representing N immobilization. Constant fractions (frac_soil_immob, frac_litter_immob) of the N flux released by soil or litter remineralization are immediately returned to its pool of origin. Hence, the choice of these parameters simultaneously co-determine reactive N input rates and net N mineralization.

We assumed the parameters frac_soil_immob and frac_litter_immob to be invariant over time and space and calibrated their values (Tab. A.1) to match a total preindustrial reactive N source of 128 TgN yr\(^{-1}\). This value implicitly includes contributions from symbiotic and asymbiotic BNF, as well as other inputs of reactive N not included in the prescribed N deposition. Estimates of the global BNF for non-agricultural ecosystems are uncertain. They range from 40 to 470 TgN yr\(^{-1}\), with most published estimates around 100 to 150 TgN yr\(^{-1}\). Cleveland et al. (1999) used 100 plot-scale estimates of BNF to estimate global BNF on natural ecosystems to 195 TgN yr\(^{-1}\) (range: 100 to 290 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\)). Vitousek et al. (2013) suggest a plausible range for preindustrial BNF of 40 to 100 TgN yr\(^{-1}\) by computing BNF as the difference from all other global sources and sink fluxes of N. Cleveland et al. (2013) estimate symbiotic BNF to 105 TgN yr\(^{-1}\), based on cost-benefit modelling for N fixation. The same authors estimate asymbiotic N fixation to 22 TgN yr\(^{-1}\) by upscaling measurements reported in Cleveland et al. (1999).

In contrast, Elbert et al. (2012) estimate asymbiotic N fixation by cryptogamic covers alone to 49 TgN yr\(^{-1}\) (27-99 TgN yr\(^{-1}\)) by integrating experimental data from 200 studies. In addition, rock weathering is estimated to add 15 (10 to 20) TgN yr\(^{-1}\) to land ecosystems (Houlton et al., 2018). Xu-Ri and Prentice, (2017) estimated global N sources to 340 (230–470) TgN yr\(^{-1}\) for the parameter settings they adopted within the LPJ-DyN model; this estimate includes contributions from rock weathering and other inputs that are not explicitly prescribed or simulated by LPJ-DyN. Meyerholt et al. (2016) implemented six different BNF formulations in their model to predict modern BNF ranging from 108 to 148 TgN yr\(^{-1}\).

Plant net primary productivity (NPP) and a prescribed constant N:C ratio of new production in different tissues sets the N demand that is satisfied by N uptake from NH\(_4^{+}\) and NO\(_3^{-}\) pools which in turn depend on net N mineralization fluxes from litter and soil pools and loss fluxes of reactive N (e.g. denitrification, leaching, volatilization) (Fig. 1). In case that available inorganic N (sum of NH\(_4^{+}\) and NO\(_3^{-}\)) is insufficient to meet the demand, NPP is down-regulated, thereby inducing an effect of N limitation. The implied N source tends to re-establish a balance between the input and the loss of reactive N.
Nitrification is assumed to be proportional to the NH$_4^+$ soil pool with a temperature dependent rate coefficient. Denitrification is modelled as a two-step process whereby NO$_3^-$ is converted to nitrite (NO$_2^-$) and NO$_2^-$ is further converted to N$_2$, following Michaelis-Menten kinetics with dependence on the substrates NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, as well as on labile C availability. Reaction rates are temperature dependent. NO$_3^-$ leaching depends on soil NO$_3^-$, available water holding capacity, and daily runoff. Reactive N is also lost from a grid cell by fire, assuming complete loss of N from burned vegetation, and NH$_3$ volatilization.

N$_2$O emissions are computed by assuming that fractions of the N fluxes associated with denitrification, nitrification and N leaching are released as N$_2$O. The calibration of the denitrification and nitrification yield factors is done to satisfy the constraints from the global atmospheric N$_2$O budget, which suggests global terrestrial emissions to be 5.9 TgN yr$^{-1}$ at pre-industrial (Battaglia and Joos, 2018). Since global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions depend also on the N loss rates (denitrification and nitrification), we first calibrated the parameters $frac_{soil\_immob}$ and $frac_{litter\_immob}$, which co-determine these rates, and then calibrated the yield parameters, given the N loss rates. For denitrification, the globally-dominant N$_2$O source path, this fraction is the product of a constant ($RN2ODN$) and a temperature-dependent factor $f(T)$. $f$ is unity at 22°C and its value roughly doubles for a temperature increase of 10°C. The amount of N$_2$O released per unit N denitrified is therefore higher in warm than in cold regions. The constant $RN2ODN$ is set to 5.418% of the denitrification rate. The corresponding yield fraction for N$_2$O emissions from nitrification is nominally set to 0.231% at 22°C and the same temperature dependence (Smith, 1997) as for denitrification is assumed. The N$_2$O yield for the leaching N flux is assumed constant and, for simplicity, set to the nominal value of nitrification (0.231%).

The two-step calibration described above resulted in yield factors that are higher than the range of published estimates. The global mean yield for denitrification, expressed as N$_2$O per N$_2$ produced, is 5.6% and thus higher than the range of estimates (0.2–4.7%) summarized by Xu-Ri and Prentice (2008). Similarly, the global yield for nitrification (0.26%) is higher than observation-based estimates (0.01-0.2%). The mismatches in these estimates for yield may suggest that current best estimates for the N source, N$_2$O yield, and preindustrial N$_2$O emissions are not fully consistent. We carried out a sensitivity simulation to explore uncertainties: the immobilization fraction is set to 0% for litter and to 26.39% for soil mineralization, leading to a preindustrial N source of 523 TgN yr$^{-1}$. This is about a factor of four higher than in the standard setup. Correspondingly, yield factors are about a factor four lower in this sensitivity run than in the standard simulation.

The sensitivity of simulated N$_2$O emission changes over the deglacial period to these parameter choices is relatively small, while the absolute magnitude of the N source has some implications for N stress and thus NPP. The increase in NPP over the deglaciation is larger in simulations with a high compared to a low N source (10.1 versus 5.9 GtC yr$^{-1}$ in the standard). Importantly, the difference in relative changes in modelled global N source is small (16% versus 10% in the standard) and the deglacial increase in N$_2$O emissions is only 0.2 TgN yr$^{-1}$ higher in the sensitivity than in the standard run (see Sect. 3.2 and
3.2 Setup for transient glacial-interglacial simulations

A previously described LPX-Bern model setup for glacial-interglacial simulations is applied (Spahni et al., 2013; Ruosch et al., 2016) and input data are shown in Fig. 2. The evolution of monthly temperature, precipitation, cloud cover, and number of wet days, annual atmospheric CO$_2$ (Joos and Spahni, 2008), orbital insolation changes (Berger, 1978) modulating plant available light, and topography changes through ice-sheet and sea-level changes imposed by ICE-5G (Peltier, 2004) are prescribed. The monthly climate data are obtained by combining monthly values from the observation-based, modern climatology compiled by the Climate Research Unit (CRU) (Mitchell and Jones, 2005) with monthly anomalies for the past 21 kyr from a transient climate simulations over this period (TraCE-21kyr) (Liu et al., 2009; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2014) with the Community Climate System Model, version 3 (CCSM3) maintained by the National Centre for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). Here the LPX-Bern model was run with a spatial resolution of 3.75° longitude × 2.5° latitude and a daily time step was applied in the photosynthesis, water and N-cycle modules. Simulations started from an equilibrated spin-up at 21 ka BP. Annual N deposition from the atmosphere, distributed across days according to precipitation within a year, is prescribed at preindustrial values (Lamarque et al., 2011).

The same model parameter values as determined by Lienert and Joos (2018b) are used, except for the immobilization fractions and N$_2$O yield factors (Tab. A.1). Regarding the N module, the parameters are the same as in a previous studies (Stocker et al., 2013; Schilt et al., 2014) addressing N$_2$O emissions over the past and under future global warming. An exception is an adjustment in the upper limit of the fraction of NH$_4^+$ nitrified per day from 0.1 day$^{-1}$ to 0.09096 day$^{-1}$ at 20 °C and in the yield factors for denitrification, nitrification, and leaching in response to new observation-constrained estimates of marine N$_2$O emissions and to a slightly revised estimate of the atmospheric N$_2$O life time from 120 yr to 123 yr (Prather et al., 2015) as well as the simple representation of N uptake by N immobilization as discussed above.

Changes in N$_2$O emissions and other model outcomes are attributed to individual driving factors (temperature, precipitation, CO$_2$, orbital insolation, and land mask). One driver is kept at its preindustrial state in factorial simulations. BNF was kept at LGM values in an additional factorial run for each land use class and grid cell. In a further simulation, the yield factors for denitrification and nitrification were set to be constant in space and time for each land use class. The difference in results between the standard model setup (baseline) and a factorial run is attributed to the relevant driver. An interaction or synergy term, called “other drivers” is quantified by the difference between the change in N$_2$O emissions (ΔeN$_2$O) simulated in the baseline run and the sum of the emission changes attributed to individual drivers: ΔeN$_2$O$_{other-drivers}$ = ΔeN$_2$O$_{baseline}$ - ΔeN$_2$O$_{temperature}$ - ΔeN$_2$O$_{precipitation}$ - ΔeN$_2$O$_{CO2}$. The dominant driver is identified as having the largest contribution to ΔeN$_2$O
in the baseline run with the same sign of change. Grid cells that submerge under sea water or emerge from waning ice sheets during the period considered and grid cells with insignificant changes ($|\Delta eN_2O| < 1 \text{ mg N m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) are excluded from the spatially-resolved attribution.

The response time scales of LPX are investigated in a further “step-change” sensitivity simulation. Starting from the equilibrated spin-up at 21 ka BP, climatic conditions and atmospheric CO$_2$ are abruptly changed to conditions for 2.5 ka BP. The run is continued for another 1500 years with climate and CO$_2$ forcing for the period from 2.5 ka BP to 1 ka BP. The land mask is kept constant at the maximum extent possible for both LGM and late Holocene conditions. A corresponding reference simulation without step change was performed. The simulations, together with the above factorial runs, permit us to address how fast N$_2$O emissions in the LPX model are able to respond to a sudden warming event, similar to the onset of the B/A and the end of the Younger Dryas.

4 Reconstructed terrestrial N$_2$O emissions and implications

We start the presentation of results by summarizing the main feature of the terrestrial N$_2$O emission record (Fig. 3, green line) presented in part I of this study (Fischer et al., 2019). In part I, the global N$_2$O emissions from land and from the ocean are jointly reconstructed by deconvolving novel ice core data of N$_2$O and of its isotopic signature, $\delta^{15}$N(N$_2$O), using an established method and relying on differences in the isotopic signature of land versus marine N$_2$O emissions. Terrestrial emissions increased between LGM (21 kyr BP) and PI (1500 CE) by about 1.87 TgN yr$^{-1}$. Terrestrial emissions remained approximately invariant during the Heinrich Stadial I Northern Hemisphere (NH) cold phase (HS1; 17.4 to 14.6 ka BP, (Rasmussen et al., 2014)) until the start of the Bølling/Allerød NH warm period (B/A; 14.6 to 12.8 ka BP). Then, land emissions increased at the start of the B/A, declined again during the Younger Dryas NH cold period (YD, 12.8 to 11.7 ka BP) and peaked at the start of the Preboreal period (PB), followed by a modest increase during the Holocene.

Remarkably, the overall deglacial increase in terrestrial N$_2$O emissions was mainly realized in two large steps at the onset of the B/A and at the end of the YD, two major northern Hemisphere warming events. The detailed analysis of the ice core N$_2$O concentration and isotope data (see Fischer et al. (2019)) reveals that global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions started to rise at the beginning of the warming events. The enclosure process of atmospheric air into firn and ice acts like a low pass filter, smoothing any fast variations in atmospheric N$_2$O, its isotopic signature, and, correspondingly, in inferred emissions. Fischer et al. conclude that global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions reacted within maximum 200 years to the large scale climate reorganizations associated with the two major deglacial northern Hemisphere warming events.

Overall, the ice core data show that land ecosystem N$_2$O emissions responded sensitively to climatic and environmental changes over the deglaciation. The rapid increase in terrestrial N$_2$O emissions at the onset of the B/A and at the end of the YD
and the overall increase in emissions over the past 21,000 years either point (i) to an increase in N$_2$O yield per unit N converted for emissions to the atmosphere, averaged globally and across all N$_2$O production pathways, or/and (ii) to an increase in the global flux of converted N. Reactive N was available in sufficient amount to support an increase in global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions during periods where environmental conditions became, on a global scale, more favorable for plant growth and C sequestration (Ciais et al., 2012; Bird et al., 1994; Joos et al., 2004; Jeltsch-Thömmes et al., 2019).

5 Transient simulations of terrestrial N$_2$O emissions and the C-N cycle over the past 21,000 years

5.1 Simulated changes in global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions and spatial patterns of change

We next explore governing mechanisms of the deglacial terrestrial N$_2$O emissions and potential implications for the C-N cycles in the spatially resolved, mechanistic LPX-Bern model. LPX-Bern v1.4N simulates a general increase in global land N$_2$O emissions over the deglacial period (Fig. 3). The modelled deglacial increase in N$_2$O emissions is only 0.96 TgN yr$^{-1}$ and about 47% smaller than the reconstructed increase of 1.8±0.3 TgN yr$^{-1}$ ($±1$ standard deviation; Fig. 3). The simulated evolution matches the reconstructed change in terrestrial N$_2$O emissions from the ice core isotopic records relatively well, although modelled changes are smaller and typically less abrupt than reconstructed variations. The model represents the emission variations during the Bølling/Allerød (B/A) and Younger Dryas (YD) periods with peaks in emissions at the onset of the BA (14.6 ka BP) and the preboreal (11.7 ka BP) and an emission peak around 13.5 ka BP and corresponding minima at 14 ka BP and during the YD (12.8 to 11.7 ka BP). Reconstruction and models both show moderate and small changes in global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions over the last 11 ka, the Holocene period. Simulated terrestrial N$_2$O emissions decreased somewhat by 0.06 TgN yr$^{-1}$ between 10ka and 0.58 ka BP, whereas reconstructed emissions slightly increased by 0.41 TgN yr$^{-1}$ over the Holocene, leading to a discrepancy between simulated and reconstructed anomalies. Overall, the agreement between proxy reconstruction and model results supports the plausibility of the LPX-Bern model as well as of the underlying TraCE-21 kyr climate input data used to force LPX-Bern on these long timescales. On the other hand, the reconstruction suggests relatively constant emissions from the land biosphere during Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1) and a rapid rise in emissions at the start of the B/A, whereas the model simulates steadily increasing emissions over the second half of the HS1 interval, reflecting the gradual climate warming in the TraCE-21 kyr climate input data during HS1 (see discussion below). The model also shows a much slower and a smaller emission increase at the YD/PB transition than reconstructed.

The changes in global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions are the result of spatially differentiated responses. LPX-Bern simulates high natural emissions of N$_2$O in the tropics and low emissions at high latitudes (Fig. 4A). The spatial pattern and the magnitude of emissions are consistent with data-based estimates of natural N$_2$O emissions from soils (Zhuang et al., 2012; Stehfest and Bouwman, 2006; Potter et al., 1996). At 1450 AD, emissions in the tropics can be as high as 250 mgN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and the integrated flux between 20° S and 20° N amounts to 54% of the global emissions, while emissions per unit area are low in high latitudes and northern and southern extra-tropics contribute only a share of 29% and 17% to the global terrestrial emissions. In contrast,
emissions increased strongly in the northern extra-tropics over the glacial termination (Fig. 4B), while the integrated change in emissions is negligible in the tropical belt. Large increases per unit area are simulated over the termination in mid- and low-latitudes on the North and South American continents, in the southern boreal zone in Eurasia and in parts of eastern Asia, India, Indonesia and Africa. N$_2$O emissions decreased in a few regions, namely in Africa around 15°S and in northern Australia (Fig. 4B). Loss of tropical land due to rising sea level and the addition of land emerging from waning ice sheets (Peltier, 2004) are important drivers of modelled terrestrial N$_2$O emissions between 15 and 8 ka BP. The net loss of terrestrial N$_2$O emissions by land area changes was dominated by the submergence of the high-productivity Sunda and Sahul Shelf regions. This loss offsets about one-third of the G-IG increase in global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions (Fig. 3). Changes in the land extent caused by changes in sea level represent an important factor for past global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions.

The patterns of change in terrestrial N$_2$O emissions (Fig. 4C), as well as spatial and seasonal patterns in precipitation and temperature, are complex for the Holocene period. Despite small changes in global terrestrial N$_2$O emissions during the Holocene, LPX-Bern simulated large regional shifts in source strength, linked to changes in temperature and precipitation. This includes for example a decrease in N$_2$O emissions from 11 to 0.5 ka BP in boreal Asia, in the sub-Saharan region in Africa and in parts of the conterminous United States of America (USA) and an increase in emissions in tropical Africa, parts of Australia and Latin America as well as in western Europe.

5.2 Biological Nitrogen Fixation and carbon-nitrogen coupling

In this section, we address C-N coupling in LPX-Bern and analyze the spatial patterns for the source of reactive N, soil mineral N, net primary productivity (NPP), and C stocks (Fig. 5) and their changes over the deglaciation (Fig. 6). We quantify two decisive factors for N$_2$O emission change in the model: (i) changes in the source of reactive N, fueling nitrification and denitrification, and (ii) changes in the N$_2$O yield per unit N converted.

We first address C and N fluxes for the preindustrial mean state (Fig. 5), before turning to deglacial change. The N source (Fig. 5A) is typically smaller than 0.5 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ in northern mid and high latitudes and around 1 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ in tropical rainforest and in Central America, comparable to observational estimates (Sullivan et al., 2014; Wurzburger and Hedin, 2016a, b). An N source in the range of 2 to 6 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ is simulated in many semi-arid regions, including southern Africa, the sub-Saharan region, India, northern Australia, and in the southern parts of North America. Soil mineral N (Fig. 5B) is typically below 0.5 gN m$^{-2}$ in tropical forests and around 1 gN m$^{-2}$ in temperate and boreal forests and tundra regions, while higher values of up to and more than 10 gN m$^{-2}$ are simulated in exatratropical semi-arid and arid regions. Annually integrated NPP (Fig. 5C) is largest in the tropics, while large carbon stocks (Fig. 5D) are simulated in tropical forests and in the northern boreal zone. We note that the patterns of these C and N fluxes and stocks are all different, pointing to spatially distinct relationships between these four variables.
The N\textsubscript{2}O yield factors, i.e., the N\textsubscript{2}O produced per unit N converted by denitrification and nitrification, are assumed to vary with temperature and thus in space and time in LPX-Bern v1.4N. In a sensitivity run, these yield factors are set constant with all other settings as in the standard. The deglacial warming leads to a higher N\textsubscript{2}O yield in the standard compared to this sensitivity run and 0.44 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} of the deglacial increase in land N\textsubscript{2}O emissions are attributed to this change in yield (Fig. 7, black line). In other words, changes in the yield factors further amplify the increase in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions as driven by the increase in the flow of reactive N in LPX-Bern.

Turning to changes in the sources of reactive N, the simulated N source increased by 12 % from 113 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} at the LGM to 128 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} at 0.5 ka BP in the standard setup. Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), computed to match prescribed N:C ratios in soils (see methods), is implicitly assumed to adjust dynamically on the timescales of vegetation and soil carbon turnover to an increase in N demand by rising NPP and partly alleviating N limitation of plant growth in LPX-Bern. It is implicitly assumed that limitation by other nutrients does not affect the cycling of N and C through ecosystems on multi-decadal to century time scales and that nutrient input into ecosystems by deposition, and weathering (plus BNF for N) is large enough to support plant growth. These assumptions are controversial (Hungate et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2004; Körner, 2015; Wieder et al., 2015b; Vitousek et al., 2010; Fatichi et al., 2014). Our novel ice core isotope reconstruction of terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions allows us to critically evaluate this assumption in a quantitative way on the multi-decadal to centennial time scales as relevant for the anthropogenic perturbation. To this end, we implement an N cycle representation leading to strong long-term nutrient limitation in the model. This is achieved by fixing the rate of the variable N source to its glacial value in each grid cell and land use class and by keeping these rates of N source constant in a sensitivity simulation over the past 21 kyr. This more strongly N-limited simulation yields not an increase, as reconstructed, but a decrease in global terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions (Fig. 3, black line). The decrease in global land N\textsubscript{2}O emissions is related to the loss of land in response to sea level rise (-0.11 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1}, and a small decrease in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions on remaining land (-0.088 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1}) in this sensitivity simulation.

The comparison of changes in C and N fluxes and stocks between the simulations with variable and constant N source provides also further insight into the N and C coupling (Fig. 6). The setup with constant N source leads to smaller changes in the N cycle, a small deglacial decrease (-1.2 PgC yr\textsuperscript{-1}) instead of an increase (5.9 PgC yr\textsuperscript{-1}) in global NPP, and a decrease in terrestrial C stocks of 47 PgC compared to an increase of 482 PgC simulated in the standard setup.

The N source increases in many land regions in the standard setup, but remains by design almost constant on non-flooded land in the simulation with constant N source per land use class (Fig. 6A,B). In the standard, the N source decreased over the deglaciation by 7 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} in the tropics, due to land loss and a decrease in parts of Africa, while the N source increased by 16 and 4 TgN yr\textsuperscript{-1} in the northern and southern extratropics, respectively. Corresponding changes are simulated for nitrification and denitrification in the standard setup, whereas changes in nitrification and denitrification remain small on non-flooded land in the N-limited run.
In response to the increased N input, the availability of reactive N remained roughly constant or increased in most land areas in the standard run, despite increased storage of N in plant and soil organic matter and accelerated nitrification and denitrification (Fig. 6C). A decrease in mineral N is simulated in the standard in regions where also C stocks decreased or changed little including parts of mid-latitude Eurasia, Africa, Australia and of the southern US. In contrast soil reactive N concentrations decreased in many extratropical regions in the simulation with constant N source (Fig. 6D). Globally integrated, soil mineral N decreased by 19% over the deglaciation in the run with constant N source, compared to a negligible change in the standard setup.

These differences in BNF (including abiotic input) and the N cycle between the run with constant N source and the standard case have profound impacts, not only for the emission of N₂O, but also for NPP (Fig. 6E,F) and C sequestration (Fig. 6G,H). Global NPP increased over the deglaciation by 13% in the standard case, compared to a decrease by 3% in the run with constant N source. LPX-Bern predicts that most of the NPP increase is realized in the northern extratropics. The NPP increase in the extratropics is almost twice as large in the standard than in the sensitivity run. As a result of the generally higher NPP, the deglacial change in C storage is in the standard about 402 PgC larger in the extratropics and about 127 PgC larger in the tropics than in the run with constant N source.

In summary, the simulation with constant N source completely fails to reproduce the reconstructed N₂O emissions from the land biosphere. The increase in N₂O yield, as well as in soil and litter C and N turnover rates, under deglacial warming are not sufficient to overcome the effect of N limitation on N₂O emissions in this sensitivity simulation. We note, however, that changes in yield due to processes not incorporated in LPX-Bern could potentially explain the reconstructed increase in N₂O emissions. If the model is allowed to satisfy the demand of N, and thereby implicitly of other elements to support the growth of N fixers, nitrifiers and denitrifiers, and plants, simulated terrestrial N₂O emissions increase substantially, as reconstructed.

### 5.3 Attribution of simulated terrestrial N₂O emissions to climatic and environmental drivers

The complex spatio-temporal changes in land N₂O emissions are attributed to climatic and environmental drivers (Fig. 7 to 10). This attribution helps us to better understand the simulated changes and data-model mismatches. Globally, deglacial warming is the most important factor in the model contributing 1.4 TgN yr⁻¹ to the overall emission increase, followed by changes in precipitation (0.7 TgN yr⁻¹, Fig. 7). Changes due to increasing atmospheric CO₂ and orbitally driven changes in photosynthetically active radiation slightly offset the emission increase, while non-linear interactions among the different drivers contribute negatively to the global increase. The change in land extent due to ice sheet melting and sea level rise leads to a reduction in terrestrial N₂O emissions (~0.5 TgN yr⁻¹). As described in the previous section, a substantial part of the increase attributed to temperature is due to a temperature driven change in the yield factor of nitrification and denitrification (Fig. 7, red and black lines).
Turning to HS1 and the transition to the B/A, the majority of the simulated emission increase is in response to changes in physical climate, i.e., changes in temperature and precipitation (Fig. 7). In other words, the simulated rise in land N₂O emissions, which occurred earlier in the simulation than the ice core reconstruction, is not driven by CO₂ fertilization and an associated change in N ecosystem flows, but by the prescribed climate change from TraCE-21kyr. It is not clear whether the model’s failure to represent the reconstructed emission changes during the HS1 period and at the onset of the BA in the standard simulation is due to deficiency in the response of the LPX-Bern to early deglacial climate change, or to deficiencies in the climate input data, or a combination of the two.

Individual drivers exert regionally distinct influences and these may vary between different periods. Here, we attribute the spatial changes to changes in temperature, precipitation, CO₂ and their non-linear interactions for the glacial termination and the Holocene using factorial simulations (Fig. 8) and by examining the temperature and precipitation changes of the TraCE-21kyr input data (Fig. 9). Generally, attributed changes in emissions find their counterpart in underlying changes in individual drivers, but sometimes non-linear interactions and non-additivity of individual responses hamper the attribution to individual drivers.

Changes in temperature over the termination caused emissions to rise substantially in Eurasia, in the conterminous USA as well as in Argentina and southern Brazil and in eastern Australia (Fig. 8A). On the other hand, regional cooling in parts of the Amazon region and in parts of Africa and India caused emissions to fall in this period. The pattern of change in N₂O emissions attributed to changes in temperature (Fig. 8B) is very different for the Holocene compared to the termination. The summer (June, July, August) cooling also found in climate data (Wanner et al., 2008) and simulated over the Holocene period in boreal Eurasia and the western part of North America results in a decrease in N₂O emissions over large parts of Eurasia and North America. The slight summer warming in eastern Canada and Scandinavia in the model has little impact on simulated emissions. An increase in terrestrial emissions is simulated in tropical Africa and Latin America, including the Amazon region, in response to changing temperatures.

The attribution of emissions to changes in precipitation (Fig. 8C,D) reveals some well-expressed dipole patterns, partly indicative of spatial shifts in precipitation regions (Fig. 9C). Attributed emission changes reflect corresponding changes in precipitation with generally increasing emissions under increasing precipitation. Increasing CO₂ exerts a generally positive influence on simulated emissions in tropical regions, except in semi-arid regions including eastern Africa and Brazil which dominate the globally integrated signal (Fig. 8E,F). Non-linear interactions between the three drivers can be significant regionally and either enhance or reduce simulated emission changes (Fig. 8G,H). The temperature-attributed decrease in emissions over the termination at around 15° S to 20° S in Africa (Fig. 8A) is not linked to a corresponding change in seasonal
or annual temperatures. This particular attribution as well as the attribution of negative emission changes to increasing CO\textsubscript{2} in this region (Fig. 8E,F) most likely reflect non-linear interactions between precipitation, temperature and CO\textsubscript{2}.

Figure 10 further illustrates which driver exerts the largest influence on simulated regional emission changes in a given grid cell. Over the termination, changing temperature is the dominant influence on the simulated emission changes in mid- and high-latitude Eurasia and North America, while changes in precipitation dominate emission changes south of the Sahara, in India and large parts of Australia, where temperatures were already rather high. Over the Holocene, temperature is the dominant driver in northern Eurasia, while changes in precipitation dominate the emission response in Africa. Changes in CO\textsubscript{2} generally play a secondary role.

5.4 The time scales of response to a step change in climate and CO\textsubscript{2}

Next, we investigate potential causes of the relatively slow N\textsubscript{2}O increase during past abrupt NH warming events in the standard simulation compared to the ice core reconstruction. To this end, we assess on which time scales N\textsubscript{2}O emissions adjust in LPX-Bern after a sudden change in environmental conditions. The question is whether LPX-Bern can simulate an equally fast response in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions as reconstructed from the ice core data for the abrupt warming events at the onset of the B/A and the end of the YD or whether the intrinsic response time scales of the model are too long to match reconstructed emissions. We construct an extreme case for rapid warming events by switching climate (and CO\textsubscript{2}) instantaneously, step-like from LGM to late Holocene conditions in LPX-Bern (Fig. 11A).

LPX-Bern shows a fast response, followed by a relatively small century scale adjustment (Fig 11). About 80% of the final response in global N\textsubscript{2}O emissions to the step change is realized within 40 years and about 90% within a century, while it takes about 700 years to reach a near equilibrium (Fig. 11B). Taking the atmospheric lifetime of N\textsubscript{2}O of more than 100 years into account, such a fast multi-decadal increase in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions would cause a century scale increase in atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations, similar to what is seen in the ice core record. The adjustments in global nitrification and denitrification fluxes evolve similar as for N\textsubscript{2}O emissions with the main increase in these fluxes again realized within about 40 years (not shown).

The global N source peaks 20 years after the step (not shown). Then, it decreases again to reach a new steady state value, which is about 20% higher than before the step. In contrast, the model’s global N–leaching flux decreases within about a decade (by about 20% relative to LGM) after the step, followed by a slight increasing trend over the next 1500 years. This transient evolution in modelled N leakage is indicative of a corresponding evolution of soil NO\textsubscript{3} availability. Modelled global NPP (Figure 11C) increases immediately after the step and about three quarters of the NPP change are realized in the first year after the step. Then it takes again about 700 years to reach the new equilibrium. The fast initial response is explained as follows. NPP responds immediately to the change in environmental conditions. The associated enhanced plant N uptake depletes soil NO\textsubscript{3} leading to the decrease in N leakage, while warming accelerates soil decomposition and the release of NH\textsubscript{4}. The newly assimilated C and N is allocated to leaves, sapwood and hardwood and roots, before released to litter and labile soil organic
matter overturning on time scales from years to decades. These annual-to-decadal vegetation and litter turnover time scales govern the initial response time of N source, nitrification, denitrification and N$_2$O emissions. The century-scale response is linked to the equilibration time scales of C and N in the slowly overturning soil pools as well as to the poleward expansion of vegetation. Finally, there is a small remaining offset between the reference run and the near equilibrium of the step simulation which is linked to remaining peat in the step-simulation formed under LGM conditions, but absent in the reference simulation.

In conclusion, this sensitivity experiment demonstrates that N$_2$O emissions in the LPX-Bern model are indeed able to adjust within decades to less than a century to abrupt warming events, given prescribed forcing is changing fast enough. We conclude that the exact timing of simulated N$_2$O emissions at the onset of the B/A and the end of the YD depends sensitively on the climate forcing data prescribed in the LPX-Bern model.

6 Discussion

6.1 Reconstructed terrestrial N$_2$O emissions and implications for the C-N cycle

Terrestrial N$_2$O emissions show a 40% increase from the Last Glacial Maximum to the late preindustrial period (Fischer et al., 2019). Most of the deglacial increase was realized in two large steps, linked to rapid, decadal-scale and widespread northern hemisphere warming and to shifts in the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and precipitation patterns. Each step was realized within maximum 200 years, and thus on a time scale similar to that of the ongoing anthropogenic climate perturbation.

It has remained somewhat unclear whether warming will increase or reduce regional to global scale N$_2$O emissions, as responses to warming treatment are found to be highly variable across a range of conditions and ecosystems (Dijkstra et al., 2012). The ice-core record shows that past warming events, such as those at the onset of the B/A and the end of the YD, strongly promoted N$_2$O emissions globally. This suggests, as noted earlier (Schilt et al., 2014) and as projected (Stocker et al., 2013), that terrestrial N$_2$O emissions from natural land will likely increase further as climate warms, implying the existence of a positive climate feedback linked to the terrestrial N cycle (Xu-Ri et al., 2012).

The increasing terrestrial N$_2$O emissions imply either an increase in N$_2$O yield per unit N converted, averaged globally and across all N$_2$O production pathways, or an increase in the global flux of converted N or a combination of these factors. Regarding yield, uncertainties in the interpretation of the terrestrial N$_2$O emission record are linked to uncertainties in the ratio between N$_2$O produced to N converted. This yield factor is known to vary with environmental conditions and across N$_2$O production pathways, though quantitative experimental evidence is often not unequivocal (Diem et al., 2017; Davidson et al., 2000; Firestone and Davidson, 1989; Saggar et al., 2013; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002). For nitrification, the ratio of N$_2$O/NO$_3^-$ produced increases with increasing acidity and decreasing oxygen (Firestone and Davidson, 1989) and increasing temperature (Smith, 1997). For denitrification, the production ratio of N$_2$O/N$_2$ yield increases with increasing NO$_3^-$ availability, increasing
Changes in precipitation may have altered the N₂O yield over the deglaciation. Higher soil water content and associated anoxic conditions generally favor the conversion of N₂O to N₂ and results in a low yield of N₂O (Weier et al., 1993), though dependencies of yield on water filled pore space are sometimes complex (Diem et al., 2017) and soil texture and drainage affect water filled pore space and yield (Bouwman et al., 2002). Yield is low under low NO₃⁻ availability (Diem et al., 2017) and generally found to increase when NO₃⁻ becomes more available (Weier et al., 1993). This relation, when considered in isolation, implies increasing N₂O emissions to be indicative of increasing NO₃⁻ availability. The ratio of N₂O to N₂ emitted has been generally thought to decrease with increasing temperature (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). However, the observational challenges to determine N₂O and N₂ emissions are substantial and available results are equivocal. Butterbach-Bahl et al. (2002), analyzing results for a series of soil cores at spruce and beech sites in Germany, find it difficult to derive a general trend on the effect of temperature on the ratio of N₂O and N₂ produced via denitrification. Phillips et al. (2015) shows for New Zealand pasture soil samples that denitrified-N shifts towards N₂O with increasing temperature under anaerobic conditions. On the other hand, warming over the glacial termination is also expected to accelerate organic matter turnover and thus availability of decomposable C which would tend to lower this ratio (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). Further, experimental studies on grasslands yield both higher and lower ratio of N₂ to N₂O under elevated CO₂ compared to ambient CO₂ (Zhong et al., 2018; Baggs et al., 2003). In addition, different N₂O production pathways and their relative importance may evolve through time and changes in soil organic matter decomposition pathways and in the stoichiometry of plant-derived material may affect yield. Given this complexity, we are not in the position to evaluate whether the N₂O yield factor for the combined N conversion processes increased or decreased over the deglaciation on the global scale. Changes in globally-averaged yield may possibly explain the reconstructed terrestrial N₂O emission increase.

Regarding the flow of reactive N, the reactive N lost from ecosystems must be replaced if ecosystem N pools are not to be depleted. This mass balance consideration suggests that the total input flux of N changed hand in hand with related loss fluxes over the deglacial period and sufficiently to support changes in ecosystem N storage. An alternative to a balanced N input, N storage change and output flux would be that N losses are fueled by existing reservoirs of reactive N. Large nitrate deposits (“caliche”) are currently found in the hyperarid Atacama desert (Ericksen, 1981; Tapia et al., 2018). But such large deposits are very unusual, and require several hundred thousand of years to accumulate (Michalski et al., 2004). Microorganisms require readily decomposable carbon substrate for the denitrification of nitrate, otherwise nitrate may accumulate under the absence of substrate availability (Weier et al., 1993). Carbon substrate availability for denitrifiers might have increased at the onset of the B/A and at the end of the YD when climate warmed, precipitation pattern shifted and organic matter remineralization accelerated in many regions. This in turn could have led to the conversion of nitrate that had potentially accumulated previously. This would lead to a depletion of this nitrate pool and, in turn, one would expect a decrease in N₂O emissions. Such a scenario appears in conflict with the reconstruction, given that reconstructed N₂O emissions remained high during the early B/A and even slightly increased throughout the Holocene.
Terrestrial N$_2$O emissions result primarily from nitrification and denitrification (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). A requirement for these processes to take place is the availability of ammonium and nitrate, as consumed by nitrifiers, denitrifiers and plants alike. Variation in reactive N availability among diverse land classes are found to correspond to variations in N$_2$O emissions (Davidson et al., 2000). In addition, cryptogamic covers fix large amounts of N and contribute substantially to global N$_2$O emissions (Elbert et al., 2012). The ice core data show that reactive N must have been available in sufficient quantity to support increasing terrestrial N$_2$O production over the deglacial period. Sources of reactive N on land, e.g., from BNF and weathering, may possibly have increased under warming climate and increasing CO$_2$ over the deglacial period and contributed to meet the N demand of plants, nitrifiers, denitrifiers and cryptogamic covers under more favorable growth conditions. At the same time, the ice core reconstructions do not suggest that reactive N for the production of N$_2$O remained scarce and that marine emissions dominated past atmospheric N$_2$O variations. The magnitude of possible adjustments in the N source is unclear. Wang and Houlton (2009) modelled an increase in N fixation under increasing CO$_2$ and temperature. Yet their modelled increase in BNF was too small to meet projected N demand in global warming scenarios; the authors therefore proposed to downscale future C uptake in the warming projections of C4MIP models. However, this conclusion is based on an assumed optimum temperature for BNF of around 25°C, an assumption that has been challenged by Liao et al. (2017) who found the abundance of N-fixing trees to increase monotonically with temperature by analyzing more than 125,000 forest plots in the USA and Mexico. More work is needed to improve projections of BNF under past and future environmental change, considering also the cost of BNF (Shi et al., 2018) and relying on data-based and model approaches (Fisher et al., 2012). Similarly, it remains unclear how other smaller sources of reactive N changed over the deglacial period and influenced N$_2$O emissions.

The ice-core data and emission estimates represent global values with century-scale resolution. They do not permit us to discriminate regional variations, nor interannual to decadal changes. In particular, N-limitation is considered to be common in high-latitude regions. But this does not exclude a decadal-to-century scale increase in N sources under changing environmental conditions. Sufficient N and other nutrients were available to support the northward expansion of boreal forest during the deglaciation. Indeed, it has been hypothesized that an increase in BNF may become cost-effective under more severe N limitation in mid and high latitudes (Menge et al., 2017). Field data support a role of BNF in supporting forest growth in particular for early successional forests. Experimental evidence comes from chronosequence studies in the Amazon region and in Panama (Batterman et al., 2013; Gehring et al., 2005), from forest clay and sand box studies in Brazil and in the USA (Davidson et al., 2018; Bormann et al., 2002) as well as from forest inventory data covering tropical-to-temperate climatic conditions (Liao et al., 2017). Regional changes may have contributed to the deglacial N$_2$O emission increase.
6.2 Simulating deglacial terrestrial N$_2$O emission changes with LPX-Bern

We applied the LPX-Bern dynamic global vegetation model to investigate regional N$_2$O emissions patterns, governing mechanisms, and C-N coupling in simulations over the past 21,000 years. The model represents the cycling of N and C in soils and plants in a simplified way. C and N is stored in PFT-specific plant and litter pools and a fast and a slow overturning soil pool in each grid cell. This chain of pools represents a spectrum of overturning time scales on each grid cell. LPX-Bern, being a spatially explicit model, reacts differently in different regions to changes in climatic and environmental drivers (Fig. 4,8). However, microbial and fungal biomasses are - unlike in microbial-explicit models (Schimel and Weintraub, 2003;Zhu et al., 2017;Allison and Gessner, 2012) - not explicitly modelled and organic matter decomposition does not depend on microbial mass and physiology. Instead, a mass balance approach is applied with C:N stoichiometry prescribed at observation-based PFT-dependent values for litter and soils. A constant fraction of remineralized N is returned immediately to its source soil pool and the N budget is closed by the implied N source flux (Fig. 1). There is also no distinction between different classes of organic matter according to their accessibility to microbial action (Averill and Waring, 2018). Potential N$_2$O release by lichens and bryophytes is also not modelled (Porada et al., 2017). Only net N$_2$O production during denitrification is considered, while gross production and consumption of N$_2$O during denitrification (Schmid et al., 2001b) are not explicitly modelled. In future work, N$_2$O may be treated as an intermediate product of denitrification to explicitly simulate N$_2$O consumption as well as the related ratio of N$_2$O to N$_2$ emissions, i.e., the yield factor. In LPX-Bern v1.4N, the yield factor is varying as a function of temperature for nitrification and denitrification, whereas dependencies of the yield on NO$_3^-$ availability, oxygen status, decomposable carbon, or pH are not considered (see discussion in previous section).

Uncertainties in modelled N$_2$O emissions are also associated with uncertainties in the representation of N sources and N loss processes. The N source and its changes in LPX are implied by maintaining soil C:N ratio at observed PFT-dependent values. This N source thereby accounts for any other source, except explicitly prescribed N deposition (Fig. 1). Carbon costs of N acquisition are not directly considered. Yet, a fraction of 6% of NPP is directly transferred to a pool with a short overturning time to represent root exudates. The by far largest contribution to the implied N source is thought to come from BNF.

Different approaches to represent BNF are used in different models and their effects on modelled BNF, NPP, carbon stocks, and N$_2$O emissions are compared in recent studies for modern conditions and future projections (Meyerholt et al., 2016;Wieder et al., 2015a). The simplest approach is a prescribed static map of BNF (Zaehle and Friend, 2010a). Most frequently, empirical models are used describing BNF as a linear function of evapotranspiration (Cleveland et al., 1999) or as an exponential function of NPP (Thornton et al., 2007). More process-oriented models heuristically account for the dependency of symbiotic BNF on N demand by vegetation, soil N status, and light limitations in extratropical regions (Gerber et al., 2010) or on the optimization of plant C investment into resource acquisition (Fisher et al., 2010). Meyerholt et al. (2016) describes asymbiotic BNF as a function of temperature, shading and soil moisture.
The implementation of such different parameterizations in LPX-Bern would likely lead to different estimates for deglacial changes in BNF and N$_2$O emissions. We may expect that prescribing a constant modern BNF field would lead to approximately constant fluxes for nitrification, denitrification and leaching. In turn, deglacial changes in N$_2$O emissions would only be due to changes in yield factors and be smaller than modelled in our standard simulation and smaller than reconstructed. A similar result is expected for BNF depending on evapotranspiration, because globally-averaged evapotranspiration changes little over the deglaciation in our standard simulation (Tab. A.1) and global BNF would remain at its modern value. In contrast, some parameterizations would likely yield similar or larger responses than simulated here. A strong increase in BNF is found in global warming simulations for the N-demand (Meyerholt et al., 2016) and the NPP-based (Wieder et al., 2015a) BNF parameterizations. In this latter parameterization, BNF responds immediately to NPP and grows exponentially with NPP, whereas the deglacial increase in BNF of 11 % is smaller than in NPP (13 %) in our standard run (Tab. A.1). Overall, one might expect a similar or even larger increase in BNF and N$_2$O emissions over the deglaciation when replacing our implicit N source approach with a demand, NPP or cost driven BNF parameterization. However, a corresponding quantitative analysis is beyond the scope of this study.

The N loss in LPX is predominantly driven by local gaseous loss from denitrification, with a much smaller role for fire, leaching, and minor contributions from volatilization of NH$_3$, and gas release during nitrification (Fig. 1). Denitrification and nitrification are thought to occur at anaerobic and aerobic microsites in the soil, which are challenging to represent. In LPX-Bern the fraction of NH$_4^+$ available for aerobic nitrification and of NO$_3^-$ available for anaerobic denitrification within a grid cell is assumed to scale linearly with water-filled pore space in the top 50 cm (Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008). Meyerholt and Zaehele (2018) investigated different algorithms for N loss processes and find variable responses in N loss and in the partitioning of N losses between gaseous and leaching losses under elevated CO$_2$.

LPX-Bern was applied in an earlier study to simulate climate-N$_2$O feedbacks under global warming (Stocker et al., 2013) and results for global emissions for the period from 16 to 10 ka BP are presented in Schilt et al. (2014). Recently, model parameters have been updated using a set of modern observational constraints in a Bayesian approach (version v1.4) (Lienert and Joos, 2018a) and here further modified towards a lower N source (version v1.4N) by adjusting the fraction of N remineralized that is returned to the soil pool. Except for the matching of pre-industrial N$_2$O emission estimates, the model has not been tuned in any way towards matching the ice core reconstruction. These updated versions also account for the uptake of mineral N by N immobilization in soils. Results in terms of deglacial N$_2$O emission changes are similar between these different versions. Changes in parameter values and selected globally-averaged model results for version v1.4 versus v1.4N are documented in the Appendix Tab. A.1. Appendix Figs. A.1 and A.2 show the spatial patterns for the implied N source, soil mineral N, NPP, total carbon and their changes over the deglaciation. The most striking difference is that the implied N source is a factor of four higher in v1.4 compared to v1.4N. Nevertheless, results for deglacial N$_2$O emission
changes remain basically unchanged similar between the version v1.4 (change 1.13 TgN yr\(^{-1}\)) and v1.4N (0.96 TgN yr\(^{-1}\)). The reason is that the relative, percentage changes in the N source flux and in N loss fluxes are similar between the two versions, however, the N\(_2\)O yield factors are calibrated in both versions to the same preindustrial N\(_2\)O emissions of 5.9 TgN yr\(^{-1}\). The lower N source leads, however, to smaller mineral N concentration. In turn, N limitation of NPP is larger and, hence, NPP and carbon storage are smaller, both in steady state and for deglacial change, in v1.4N than in v1.4.

LPX-Bern forced by TraCE-21kyr output simulates a deglacial increase in N\(_2\)O emission but underestimates the reconstructed increase by about 50 %, represents the reconstructed emissions reasonably well, while differences between reconstructed and simulated global land N\(_2\)O emission remain. The modelled deglacial increase in global N\(_2\)O emissions is at the lower bound of the reconstructed range. This may be related to the model’s C cycle and/or to the model formulation for denitrification and other shortcomings discussed above. LPX-Bern is known for a relatively low sensitivity to increasing CO\(_2\) and simulates a modest increase in NPP and the terrestrial carbon sink over the industrial period (Lienert and Joos, 2018a). A larger deglacial increase in NPP would tend to increase the implied N source in the model and potentially increase nitrification, denitrification and N\(_2\)O emissions. Further, denitrification processes are assumed to respond to the relevant substrate concentration following Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008). This limits N conversion rates and N\(_2\)O production at high substrate concentrations, whereas a recent synthesis of N fertilization experiments (Niu et al., 2016) points towards an exponential relationship between N load and N\(_2\)O emissions. Regarding the time scales of response, results of a sensitivity simulation demonstrate that LPX-Bern is able to represent abrupt, multi-decadal scale change in global terrestrial N\(_2\)O emissions as reconstructed for past rapid warming events. Further, factorial simulations demonstrate a complex interplay of different driving factors for deglacial N\(_2\)O emissions with considerable synergistic or antagonistic interactions related to changes in temperature, precipitation, and CO\(_2\). Remarkably, about a third of the simulated global increase in terrestrial N\(_2\)O emissions over the past 21,000 was counteracted by land loss due to flooding of previously exposed shelf regions.

We applied LPX-Bern to analyse the consequences of alternative C-N cycle hypotheses. In the model’s standard setup, the N cycle responds dynamically to changes in environmental conditions; the N source increases under increasing ecosystem N demand. This additional N source contributed to meet the rising N demand of plants simulated under more favorable growth conditions and resulted in a higher flow of N through land ecosystems and increased N\(_2\)O production from terrestrial ecosystems as well as increased carbon storage on land. The increase in N\(_2\)O emissions, linked to this increase in N source, is further amplified by an increase in the yield of N\(_2\)O per N converted by nitrification and denitrification.

In an alternative deglacial simulation, the sources of reactive N were prescribed at the level simulated for the Last Glacial Maximum. In this case, the model yields a decrease in N\(_2\)O emissions, in clear conflict with the ice core data. The increase in N\(_2\)O emissions attributable to the increase in the model’s N\(_2\)O yield factors for denitrification and nitrification under deglacial
warming as well as the positive response of soil and litter turnover rates to increasing temperatures are not sufficient to offset the decrease in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in this run with constant N source. The simulated terrestrial C inventory decreased over the deglaciation in this simulation, again in conflict with data-based reconstructions (Lindgren et al., 2018; Jeltsch-Thömmes et al., 2019) that suggest a growing land biosphere carbon inventory as also predicted in the standard setup.

Within the current setup of LPX-Bern, acknowledging its limitations, a growing N source appears necessary to simulate increasing N\textsubscript{2}O emissions over the last deglaciation. It would be interesting to see whether other models incorporating the cycling of N and C (e.g., (Zaehle et al., 2014; Averill and Waring, 2018; Thornton et al., 2007; Fisher et al., 2010) and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions (Zhu et al., 2016; Saikawa et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017; Huang and Gerber, 2015; Zaehle and Friend, 2010b; Olin et al., 2015; Goll et al., 2017) reveal similar or alternative mechanisms and whether these models are able to represent the reconstructed terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions over the past 21,000 years. The setup of our deglacial simulation could be used to compare and evaluate models, e.g., in the framework of the model intercomparison initiated by the Global Carbon Project (Tian et al., 2018).

7 Conclusions

Part I (Fischer et al., 2019) and II of this study present three novel elements to gain insights into the functioning of the global carbon-nitrogen cycle: First, a record of the N\textsubscript{2}O isotopic history over the past 21,000 years, complementing the existing records over parts of the termination (Schilt et al., 2014); second, the first reconstructions of marine and terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) to the preindustrial period as obtained by deconvolving the N\textsubscript{2}O and isotope ice core records using a robust, established method; third, the application of a dynamic global vegetation model to simulate deglacial terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions and to attribute deglacial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions changes to different regions and governing processes. Our model results provide insight into the multi-decadal-to-millennial dynamics of the terrestrial C-N cycling by showing that the ice core terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emission record could potentially be explained with a rapid adjustment of N cycling to the climate and CO\textsubscript{2}-driven acceleration of the C cycle, but they do not exclude the possibility that alternative explanations linked to changes in N\textsubscript{2}O yield could be important.

The records provide the opportunity to evaluate models that simulate biological nitrogen fixation and nitrogen cycling (e.g. (Meyerholt et al., 2016; Nevison et al., 2016; Thornton et al., 2007; Xu and Prentice, 2017; Wieder et al., 2015a) and feedbacks between climate change, land carbon, and terrestrial greenhouse gas emissions (Arneth et al., 2010; Stocker et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2018) for improved projections. Here, we evaluated LPX-Bern in transient simulations over the past 21,000 years, and found that the model simulates an increase in N\textsubscript{2}O emission over the deglaciation, but underestimates the magnitude of the deglacial increase in comparison with the ice core data, able to simulate the evolution in global terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions over the past 21,000 years in reasonable agreement with the ice core emission data. This may add confidence in the sign to
The ice core data presented in part I reveal a highly dynamic terrestrial nitrogen cycle. Remarkably, substantial changes in global terrestrial N₂O emissions were realized within maximum 200 years, and possibly faster, in response to past northern Hemisphere warming events. Reconstructed N₂O emissions changed by up to 1 TgN yr⁻¹ and within less than two centuries at the onset of the NH warming events around 14.6 and 11.7 ka BP. These time scales are relevant for 21st century climate projections and much longer than accessible in typical laboratory or field experiments. Taken at face value, these results suggest that the nitrogen cycle and N₂O emissions will also adjust on the global scale in the coming centuries.

The ice-core data and the N₂O emission reconstruction in combination with models provide a new window to integrate across systems and relevant time scales to improve our understanding of the coupled C-N cycle in the Earth system. The results may help to put emerging results from observational field and laboratory studies into the context of decadal-to-century scale environmental and climate change.

**Appendix: Difference between LPX-Bern v1.4 and LPX-Bern v1.4N**

A few parameters of the nitrogen module of LPX-Bern are updated for this study compared to the previous version (v1.4) (Lienert and Joos, 2018a). These updated parameter values are set to obtain a preindustrial implied N source of 128 TgN yr⁻¹ and land biosphere N₂O emissions of 5.9 TgN yr⁻¹. The difference in parameter values and in key model outcomes between the version v1.4N, used here, and version (v1.4) are summarized in Tab. A.1. The parameter frac_soil_immob governing the fraction of the C and N flux from gross soil organic matter remineralization which is transferred back to the soil organic matter pool is adjusted to a higher value. This yields a smaller simulated BNF and smaller simulated N loss fluxes in v1.4N compared to v1.4. In turn, the parameters governing the yield in N₂O emissions per unit N converted by nitrification (RN2ON), denitrification (RN2ODN), and leaching (RN2ONL) are re-calibrated in order to get preindustrial N₂O emissions from the land biosphere of 5.9 TgN yr⁻¹ as in v1.4 and as suggested by observational estimates. In v1.4, the yield factor from nitrification is assumed constant. In v1.4N the same temperature dependency as for the yield for denitrification is assumed. This is a relatively minor modification as nitrification contributes only 12 % to the modelled preindustrial N₂O emissions. We note that corresponding results and figures for version v1.4 are given in the manuscript published in Biogeosciences Discussion (https://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/bg-2019-118/).
Table A.1: Differences between LPX-Bern version v1.4N used in this study and the previous version v1.4. Model results represent global average values. Percentage changes are given in parentheses and are relative to preindustrial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model parameters</th>
<th>v1.4N</th>
<th>v1.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frac_soil_immob, fraction of soil remineralization</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N flux converted back to soil N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frac_soil_immob, fraction of litter remineralization</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N flux converted back to soil N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN2ODN, N₂O yield factor for denitrification at 22°C (%)</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN2ON, N₂O yield factor for nitrification at 22°C (%)</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN2OL, N₂O yield factor for N leaching (%)</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(T)$, function for the temperature dependency of the N₂O yield for nitrification (T in Kelvin)</td>
<td>$f(T) = \exp[270 \cdot (1/68.02 - 1/(T_{soil}+46.02))]$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

model results: preindustrial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>v1.4N</th>
<th>v1.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implied N source (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrification (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denitrification (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N leaching (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O emissions (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net primary productivity (PgC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total C inventory (PgC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>3190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transpiration and interception (mm yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

model results: deglacial change (0.5 kyr BP minus 21 kyr BP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>v1.4N</th>
<th>v1.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔImplied N source (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>12.0 (9 %)</td>
<td>71.3 (14 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔNitrification (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>27.8 (12 %)</td>
<td>115 (14 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔDenitrification (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.3 (12 %)</td>
<td>61.6 (15 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔN leaching (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>-4.1 (-24 %)</td>
<td>-4.4 (-6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔN₂O emissions (TgN yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.96 (16 %)</td>
<td>1.13 (24 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔNet primary productivity (PgC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.9 (12 %)</td>
<td>10.1 (24 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔTotal C inventory (PgC yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>482 (21 %)</td>
<td>1062 (42 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔTranspiration and interception (mm yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>-2.5 (-1 %)</td>
<td>-5.8 (-2 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Battaglia for helpful comments on the manuscript and T. Stocker for discussion. Long-term financial support of this research by the Swiss NSF (#200020_172476 (FJ), #200020_172506 (HF)) is gratefully acknowledged. Part of the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Advanced Grant Agreement n. 226172 (MATRICs) awarded to H.F. ICP has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No: 787203 REALM). This work is a contribution to the AXA Chair Programme in Biosphere and Climate Impacts and the Grand Challenges in Ecosystems and the Environment initiative at Imperial College London (CP). The TraCE-21ka project was supported by the US NSF and the US Department of Energy (BOB&ZL).
Figure 1: Terrestrial C and N cycles. Simple schematic of global annual C fluxes (black), recycling (green) and input/output (blue) N fluxes, and N$_2$O emissions (magenta) from nitrification and denitrification processes. Fluxes are illustrated with a quantitative budget from the LPX-Bern(v1.4N) model for pre-industrial conditions (1450 AD) Plant net primary productivity (NPP) sets the N demand that is satisfied by N uptake from ammonium (NH$_4^+$) and nitrate (NO$_3^-$). N enters the ecosystem mainly through biological N fixation (BNF) by plant symbiotic and free-living microorganisms in the soil.
Figure 2: Aggregated LPX-Bern(v1.4N) input data. (A) Evolution of atmospheric CO$_2$, (B) temperature and precipitation, and (C) of changes in tropical and global land as available for plant growth relative to 21 ka BP (bottom). Temperature and precipitation represent mean values over global land areas that were not flooded and not ice-covered at 21 ka BP and at 0.5 ka BP.
Figure 3: Changes in global terrestrial emissions of N₂O. Reconstructed changes in global land N₂O emissions are shown by the solid green line together with an uncertainty estimate (±1 standard deviation; shaded area). Reconstructed changes are calculated with an atmospheric two-box model (Schilt et al., 2014) from ice records of N₂O concentration and δ¹⁵N(N₂O). Reconstructed changes are compared to LPX-Bern (v1.4N) for the baseline simulation (red line), a constant land area simulation (thin dashed red line), and a simulation with biological nitrogen fixation kept constant at Last Glacial Maximum values (thin black line).
Figure 4: Preindustrial patterns (A) and changes in terrestrial N\textsubscript{2}O emissions for the last glacial termination (B; 11 ka BP minus 21 ka BP) and the Holocene (C; 0.5 ka BP minus 11 ka BP) as simulated with the LPX-Bern(v1.4N). Numbers indicate integrated values for three latitudinal bands (90° S–20° S; 20° S–20° N; 20° N–90° N).
Figure 5: Preindustrial patterns of the input flux of new nitrogen (N) into ecosystems (A), the bioavailable concentration of N in the form of ammonium and nitrate in soils (B) net primary productivity (C) and total carbon in the land biosphere (D) as simulated by LPX-Bern(v1.4N). The N source in (A) does not include the prescribed N deposition. Deserts with high mineral nitrogen concentrations are masked for clarity in (B). Numbers indicate integrated values for three latitudinal bands (90° S–20° S; 20° S–20° N; 20° N–90° N).
Figure 6: Biological nitrogen fixation allowed to adjust to plant-demand (left) versus time-invariant N source (right). The figures shows simulated deglacial changes (0.5 ka BP minus 21 ka BP) for the standard setup of LPX-Bern(v1.4N) (left column) and a setup where the nitrogen source flux is kept constant at values simulated for the Last Glacial Maximum (right column) for the same variables shown in Fig. 5. Note that a decreasing N source is shown in panel B for land areas lost due to deglacial sea level rise and in some tropical cells where mineral soils with relatively high N source are replaced by peat with relatively low N source.
Figure 7: Attribution of simulated global terrestrial N₂O emission changes to individual drivers. Results are from LPX-Bern(v1.4N) for the standard and factorial simulations, where an individual driver (temperature (red), precipitation (blue), atmospheric CO₂ (green), orbital parameters affecting photosynthetic active radiation (blue), land mask accounting for sea level changes) is kept at preindustrial level. The violet curve (Other non-linear effect) is the difference between simulated emissions in the standard setup and the sum of all these attributed emissions; it reflects the effect of non-linear interactions between different drivers. In addition, the black curve indicates the change in N₂O emissions attributed to variations in the N₂O yield factor for nitrification and denitrification.
Figure 8: Attribution of simulated global terrestrial N₂O emission changes to individual drivers for the last glacial termination (11 ka BP minus 21 ka BP; left) and the Holocene (0.5 ka BP minus 11 ka BP; right). Changes are attributed to changes in temperature (A,B), precipitation (C, D), atmospheric CO₂ (E,F) and to non-linear interactions among the drivers plus the small effect due to changes in incident solar radiation (G,H).
Figure 9: Changes in northern hemisphere summer temperature (June, July, August, panels A and B) and annual precipitation (C,D) as simulated by CCSM3 and prescribed to LPX-Bern(v1.4N) for the glacial termination (A,C) and the Holocene (B,D)
Figure 10: The dominant drivers for changes in terrestrial \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions over (A) the glacial termination and (B) the Holocene are temperature (red), precipitation (blue), \( \text{CO}_2 \) (green), and other non-linear effects in LPX-Bern(v1.4N) to these driver combinations (violet). The color shading indicates the fraction of the total emission change attributed to the dominant driver typically explaining between 40 and 90 %. Areas with minimal changes are masked.
Figure 11: Response to a step-like change in (A) environmental conditions for globally averaged terrestrial (B) N₂O emissions and (C) NPP. Atmospheric CO₂ (dotted line in (A)) and climate is prescribed to suddenly change from Last Glacial Maximum conditions to late Holocene conditions in a sensitivity simulation with LPX-Bern(v1.4N) (“step run”, blue lines). Annual values for the step run are shown in dark blue and smoothed (31-yr average) values in light blue for the step run and in dark green for a corresponding reference run. The dashed horizontal lines represent averages from the reference run over the model period 0 to 1200 years. Surface air temperature in (A) represents the average over the model’s land area. Note the change in the time axis scaling at year 100.
Figure A.1: Comparison of model version LPX-Bern v1.4N (left) versus version v1.4 (right) for the preindustrial (0.5 ka BP) patterns in the implied N source (A, B), bioavailable concentrations of ammonium and nitrate in soils (C,D), net primary productivity (E,F), and total carbon (G,H)
Figure A.2: Comparison of model version LPX-Bern v1.4N (left) versus version v1.4 (right) for simulated deglacial changes (0.5 ka BP minus 21 ka BP) in the implied N source (A, B), bioavailable concentrations of ammonium and nitrate in soils (C,D), net primary productivity (E,F), and total carbon (G,H)
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