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Abstract
Grazing management affects grassland carbon dynamics and soil microbial biomass, yet how
grazing management, including grazing intensity (GI) and grazing regime (GP), affects soil
respiration (Rs) and soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and nitrogen (SMBN) is not fully
understood. To determine how GI (0, 2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha⁻¹) and GP (warm-season grazing,
WG; cold-season grazing, CG) affect Rs, SMBC, and SMBN, an experiment was conducted in a
semi-arid grassland that had been rotationally grazed for the previous 9 years. Results suggest that
diurnal Rs in WG significantly decreased as stocking rate increased; however, in CG, diurnal Rs
was significantly higher at the GIs of 2.7 and 5.3 sheep ha⁻¹ than at 0 and 8.7 sheep ha⁻¹. Although
grazing (at the GIs of 2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha⁻¹) led to increased Rs in 2010 and decreased Rs in
2011, when compared with Rs in the non-grazing period (0 sheep ha⁻¹), the negative indirect effect
of GI on Rs offset its positive indirect effect on Rs over the whole experimental period. GP
affected Rs both directly and indirectly through the positive effect it had on soil moisture, soil
temperature, and aboveground biomass. Compared with WG, CG significantly stimulated an
increase by 22% in annual Rs. A significant difference in the soil temperature sensitivity (Q₁₀)
values of Rs was observed at the four stocking rates for both WG and CG, although the $Q_{10}$ of WG was significantly higher. Interactions between GI and GP had a significant effect on SMBC and SMBN, but GI alone did not affect SMBN. Regarding GP, compared with WG, CG caused a significant decrease of 11% in the mean concentration of SMBN. The monthly precipitation was significantly positively correlated with Rs, soil temperature, soil moisture, and SMBN, but was significantly negatively correlated with SMBC. The field experimental results indicated that the effects of grazing management on Rs processes in the grazing system mainly depend on GP, and the effects of grazing management on SMBC and SMBN mainly depend on the interactions between GI and GP. The results suggest that (1) In a long-term grazing grassland ecosystem, more attention should be paid the role of GP while determining the response of Rs to grazing management, and GP should be considered as an important factor in future evaluation models for studying the response of soil carbon dynamics to climate change; (2) the coupling of GI and GP should be taken into account in future studies on nutrient turnover in the soils of semi-arid grassland ecosystems.

1. Introduction

Grasslands cover about 41% of Earth's terrestrial surface, and support domestic livestock grazing in extensive agricultural grazing systems (Morgan et al. 2007). Owing to their large area and excellent ability to sequester and store carbon, grasslands can provide important ecosystem services (Zhao et al. 2017). Grasslands in China constitute 6–8% of global grasslands and significantly contribute to global carbon storage, thereby significantly affecting global carbon cycles (Ni 2002). Soil respiration (Rs) is the second largest carbon flux between the atmosphere and terrestrial biomes (Cox et al. 2000; Wan et al. 2007) and includes microbial and root respiration (Davidson and Janssens 2006; Jia et al. 2007; Ru et al. 2017). Numerous previous studies have indicated that biological and environmental variables, such as precipitation (Xu et al. 2016; Hawkes et al. 2017), soil temperature (Thomey et al. 2011), soil water content (Hawkes et al. 2017), climate, and microbial community composition (Monson et al. 2006), are major factors determining soil and ecosystem respiration in grasslands. In addition, anthropogenic factors, such as grazing, are strongly modifying biogeochemical cycles (Wang and Fang 2009). For example, the structure or species composition of plant communities, soil microclimates, soil chemical and physical properties, and global climate, are all affected by human activities, which in turn
might affect Rs rates (Raich and Schlesinger 1992).

Although a growing number of studies have shown that the health of grassland ecosystems strongly depends on grassland management strategies, such as grazing and grazing exclusion (Chen et al. 2015; Deng et al. 2017), the influence of grazing management on Rs is not well understood. This limits our understanding of how Rs responds to grazing management and our ability to predict carbon dynamic responses under continued climate change. Grasslands are widely distributed on the Loess Plateau, accounting for approximately 40% of the total area (Wang et al. 2017), and are subject to continuous and widespread stress. Most of the grasslands on the Loess Plateau have degenerated owing to their over-grazing and poor management (Fu et al. 2000). Efficient grassland management strategies have been considered to be an important way to promote soil carbon storage and to recover degraded grasslands (Conant et al. 2001; Ingram et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2011). Sustainable grazing intensity (GI) and a seasonal grazing regime (GP) with periodic resting are widely used grassland management practices (Cui et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017, Wu et al. 2017). Grazing-induced soil respiration has been well studied; however, how the effects of different GIs on soil respiration vary through time remains unclear. For example, previous studies have reported that grazing had a positive effect on Rs (Cao et al. 2004), had no effect on Rs (Jia et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2007; Cui et al. 2014), and had a negative effect on Rs (Chen et al. 2016). Furthermore, in different types of grassland, Chen et al. (2015) found that warm-season grazing (WG) decreased Rs, while Wang et al. (2017) reported that cold-season grazing (CG) significantly increased Rs. These inconsistent results could be attributed to the complex processes induced by GP. Therefore, limited information is available on the effects of GP, especially seasonal grazing, on Rs under different levels of GI. Quantifying the effects of GI and grazing season on Rs is critical to accurately estimate the carbon balance of grassland ecosystems and to better understand how global changes affect grazing management practices on the Loess Plateau.

Soil microorganisms are an important component of terrestrial ecosystems and play important roles in global nutrient cycling and organic matter decomposition (Wang et al. 2013). In this context, soil microbial biomass adjusts the balance between the release of carbon during Rs and its sequestration as soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) (Lange et al. 2015; Thakur et al. 2015). Thus, microbial biomass is used to assess soil quality. Soil microbial biomass nitrogen (SMBN) is highly labile, and the nitrogen pool in soils is a key regulator of C sequestration (Deng et al. 2017). Elevated soil CO₂ reduces available soil nitrogen, imposing nitrogen constraints on microbes and reducing microbial
respiration per unit biomass (Hu et al. 2001). Reports on the effects of grazing on SMBC and SMBN in natural grasslands have been inconsistent (Fu et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012; Lange et al. 2015). Ambient environmental factors (precipitation, air temperature, soil moisture, and soil temperature), livestock type, and grazing management have been shown to affect belowground carbon and nitrogen dynamics (Zhou et al. 2017). Gallardo and Schlesinger (1992) found a succession in the control of microbial biomass from nitrogen to carbon when the ratio of carbon to nitrogen decreased. Fu et al. (2012) found that grazing significantly decreased SMBC and SMBN in an alpine meadow, while Wang et al. (2006) and de Faccio Carvalho et al. (2010) found that cattle grazing increased SMBC. Limited evaluations of soil microbial biomass in semi-arid grasslands and contradictory results regarding the effects of grazing underscore the need for additional research, especially regarding GI (Mahecha et al. 2010) and GP. Therefore, studies on the links between soil microbial biomass and environmental parameters provide a better understanding of the factors that control nutrient cycling in grassland ecosystems.

Given this context, a 2-year study based on a long-term rotational grazing experiment was conducted. The rotational grazing experiment began in 2001, and the Rs and soil microbial C and N were measured from 2010–2011. We hypothesized that the effects of GI on Rs could be offset by GP-induced direct and indirect biotic (above/below-ground biomass, SMBC, SMBN) and abiotic variables (soil temperature, soil moisture) in long-term grazing grassland ecosystems. In the present study, we investigated the following: 1) the effect of long-term rotational grazing on Rs under different GIs and two GPs (warm-season grazing, WG; cold-season grazing, CG); 2) the effect of long-term rotational grazing on SMBC and SMBN under different GIs and GPs; 3) the mechanisms driving the responses of Rs, and soil microbial C and N to the different grazing management practices (GI and GP).

2. Materials and methods

The study site was conducted in the core of the Loess Plateau at Huanxian Grassland Ecosystem Trial Station in eastern Gansu Province, northwest China (37.14°N, 106.84°E; 1650 m a.s.l.). The average annual air temperature of the study site was 7.1°C, with maximum temperature occurring in July and minimum in January; the annual mean air temperatures were 8.7°C and 7.9°C in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Fig. 2); the average annual rainfall was 360 mm, with >70% of rainfall occurring from mid-June to September (Fig. 2), and the mean monthly precipitations were 23.2 mm and 27.8 mm in
2010 and 2011, respectively (Fig. 2), and the precipitation in September 2011 was 104.4 mm, which far exceeded the precipitation in other months (mean monthly precipitation: 27.8 mm). The average annual potential evaporation was 1993 mm. The spring and autumn seasons at the study site were typically short; summer was hot and humid and occurred when most rainfall occurred; winter was long, cold, and dry; soil was classified as sandy, free-draining loess, and the rangeland was a typical temperate steppe (Hou et al. 2002). Dominant grassland species were *S. bungeana*, *Lespedeza davurica*, *Pennisetum flaccidum*, *Artemisia capillaris*, and *Setaria viridis* (Hou et al. 2002).

### 2.2 Experimental design

Two sites with similar topography, vegetation, and cover were selected for separate warm-season (summer) and cold-season (winter) grazing trial plots. Each site was divided into 12 enclosed 0.5-ha replicate plots (i.e., three replicates for each of the four stocking rates); and stocked with 0, 4, 8, and 13 wether sheep of similar liveweight, representing stocking rates of 0, 2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 1). The classification of stocking rates was based on local habitat productivity, and the method of GI calculation was based on the number of wether sheep allocated to a specific GI treatment divided by the combined area of 1.5 ha (i.e., 2.7 sheep ha$^{-1}$ = 4 sheep/1.5 ha) for the three replicates in each grazing season (Chen et al. 2010). The specific methods used for rotational grazing were: In each grazing season, the wethers were allocated to three replicates for each of the four stocking rates, and rotationally grazed between each replicate plot allocated to that stocking rate. The WG plots were rotationally grazed from June to September (90 days), with a rotation cycle length of 30 days (10 days grazing and 20 days rest) and three rotations. The CG plots were grazed from mid-November to late December (48 days), with a rotation cycle length of 24 days (eight days grazing and 16 days rest) and two rotations. The rotational grazing system field experiment began in 2001. The Rs measurements were conducted in both WG and CG plots in 2010, after the trial site had been rotationally grazed for the previous 9 years.

### 2.3 Rs measurement

For the field measurement of Rs, soil CO$_2$ efflux chambers (six PVC collars permanently placed in each plot) were attached to each of the two gas analyzers (LI-COR 8150, Lincoln, NE, USA), which...
were rotated in a 2-h cycle around all plots in each GP group (WG and CG). The diurnal Rs flux in each plot was measured at 2h intervals between 6:00 am and 10:00 pm, on six fine days in mid-May (early growth stage of herbage), September (peak aboveground biomass), and December (dormancy) only in 2010 and 2011. Sampling sites were randomly selected at 30–40-m intervals along three 50-m long transects per plot, with two PVC collars (11 cm diameter × 5 cm height) per transect (i.e., six collars per plot). In preparation for Rs measurement, all aboveground vegetation in each PVC collar was clipped to ensure only Rs was measured. The measurement by gas analyzer took approximately 1.5 min to complete per chamber, connected to six soil efflux collars per plot for a total of 9 min.

2.4 Soil temperature and soil moisture

Soil temperature at 10 cm depth was measured in real time using a thermocouple probe attached to every soil efflux collar during each Rs measurement. The soil moisture samples for gravimetric analysis were taken from the top 10 cm, close to every soil efflux collar, once daily in mid-morning (9:00–11:00 h) on the Rs sampling days, and were then oven dried at 105°C for 48 h.

2.5 Soil sampling and biomass measurements

Soil samples were collected from random locations adjacent to each Rs measurement site at 5 cm and 10 cm depths during the Rs determination period. After soil samples were coarsely sieved (4.75 mm) to remove rocks and large roots, they were sealed in plastic bags and immediately transported to the laboratory for analysis. The aboveground biomass was estimated by cutting all vegetation in 1 m × 1 m quadrats (six per plot) after the plots were grazed during the second rotation in early September of 2010 and 2011, when the aboveground biomass peaked. The samples were oven dried at 65°C to a constant weight. Once the aboveground biomass and litter were harvested, soil cores (10 cm depth, 10.0 cm diameter) to a depth of 1 m were collected using a soil auger to calculate the belowground biomass in each quadrat, using the method described by Chen et al. (2015).

2.6 Soil microbial carbon and nitrogen

SMBC and SMBN were determined using a chloroform fumigation-extraction procedure and were calculated using the difference in dissolved organic carbon and dissolved organic nitrogen between the fumigated and non-fumigated soil subsamples (Brookes et al. 1985; Vance et al. 1987). Briefly, 10 g
soil samples were fumigated with chloroform for 24 h in a vacuum desiccator, and other 10 g samples served as non-fumigated controls. Carbon and nitrogen were extracted with 50 ml of 0.5 M K$_2$SO$_4$ for 30 min from fumigated and non-fumigated samples, and the extracts were filtered and frozen at −20°C before analysis with a Total Dissolved Organic Carbon and Nitrogen Analyzer-multi NC 2100S (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany).

2.7 Statistical analysis

One-way analyses of variances (ANOVAs) followed by least significant difference (LSD) tests were performed to examine the effects of GI (0, 2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha$^{-1}$) and GP (WG and CG) on diurnal fluctuations of Rs. One-way ANOVAs were also used to examine the effect of GI and GP on aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, soil temperature, and soil moisture. The repeated-measures ANOVAs were performed to examine the effect of GI and GP on seasonal variations in Rs (μmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and soil microbial carbon (g kg$^{-1}$) and nitrogen (g kg$^{-1}$) in the May, September, and December of 2010 and 2011 (sampling times). A Pearson correlation analysis was used to test the associations between monthly precipitation and Rs, SMBC, SMBN, soil moisture, soil temperature, and the responses of those variables to monthly precipitation (two-tailed test). Significant differences for all statistical tests were evaluated at the level of $P \leq 0.05$. To investigate the temperature sensitivity of Rs, regression analyses were conducted using $Rs = ae^{bT}$, where $Rs$ is soil respiration, $T$ is soil temperature, coefficient $a$ is the intercept of soil respiration at 0°C, and coefficient $b$ represents the temperature sensitivity of Rs that was used to calculate the respiration quotient $Q_{10} = e^{10b}$ (Luo et al. 2001). For means, a two-sample t-test was used to determine the significance of difference between the $Q_{10}$ values under different GPs. Unless specified, the significance level was set at $P < 0.05$, and uncertainty (±) always referred to a 95% confidence level. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to evaluate the pathways through which GP and GI affect Rs both directly and indirectly via biotic and abiotic factors. This was carried out according to the priori conceptual model to include all possible pathways (Supplementary Fig. 1), including (1) direct and indirect pathways of GP and GI influence on aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, soil temperature, and soil moisture; (2) direct and indirect pathways of GP and GI influence on soil microbial carbon and nitrogen; and (3) direct and indirect pathways of GP or GI influence on Rs via
biotic or abiotic factors. Before constructing the SEM models, a correlation matrix was derived for all variables using least-squares. To differentiate the effects of grazing management on Rs, grazing management was divided into two sections. The first SEM was based on bivariate regressions to focus on the direct and indirect effects of GI on Rs, and included aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, soil moisture, soil temperature, SMBC, and SMBN. The second SEM focused on both direct and indirect effects of GP on Rs. After first considering a full model that included all possible pathways, non-significant pathways were sequentially eliminated, until arriving at the final model. The $\chi^2$ test, Akaike information criteria, and root mean square error of approximation were used to evaluate the fit of model. The SEM analyses were conducted using AMOS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1 Diurnal and seasonal dynamics of Rs rate under four different GIs and two GPs

Daily maximum, minimum, and total Rs rates under the four GIs in the two grazing seasons are shown in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, respectively. GI significantly influenced the diurnal variation of Rs in the WG (Table 1, $P<0.001$) and CG plots (Table 1, $P<0.001$). In a WG plot, the diurnal Rs significantly decreased as the stocking rate increased (Table 1, $P<0.001$), but in a CG plot, the diurnal Rs was significantly higher at the GIs of 2.7 and 5.3 sheep ha$^{-1}$ than at 0 and 8.7 sheep ha$^{-1}$ (Table 1, $P<0.001$). The diurnal Rs was not significantly different between the WG and CG plots in the non-grazing period (Table 1, 0 sheep ha$^{-1}$, $P=0.964$); however, the Rs of a WG plot was significantly lower than that for a CG plot in the grazing period (Table 1, 2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha$^{-1}$, $P<0.001$), indicating that CG increases diurnal soil Rs.

From 2010 to 2011, Rs showed obvious seasonal and interannual changes in both WG and CG plots (Fig. 3, Table 2). Compared with the non-grazed plots (0 sheep ha$^{-1}$), GI significantly increased the Rs between sampling seasons by approximately 22% in 2010 (Table 2, $P=0.007$), but decreased it by approximately 16% in 2011 (Table 2, $P=0.011$). The interactions between the GIs and sampling seasons showed no effect on Rs in 2010 and 2011. The GIs (Table 2, $P=0.826$) and the interactions between the GIs and years (Table 2, $P=0.070$) had no significant effect on Rs over the whole experiment period. A significant difference in Rs was observed between the WG and CG plots in 2010 (Fig. 3, Table 2, $P<0.001$), but not in 2011 (Table 2, $P<0.964$). In both years, GP and year, as well as their interactions, had a significant effect on Rs ($P<0.001$), and Rs was approximately 22% higher in
the CG plots than in the WG plots \((P < 0.001)\) and was significantly and positively correlated with monthly precipitation (Table 3, \(P < 0.001\)).

### 3.2 SMBC and SMBN

SMBC and SMBN at 5 and 10 cm soil depths were characterized by pronounced temporal dynamics between and within sampling seasons over the investigated time across 2010 and 2011 (Figs 4 and 5).

Compared with WG, CG significantly increased SMBC by 6\% in 2010 (Table 2, \(P < 0.001\)), but decreased it by 19\% in 2011 (Table 2, \(P < 0.001\)). GI affected SMBC neither in 2010 (Table 2, \(P = 0.129\)), nor in 2011 (Table 2, \(P = 0.208\)). SMBC was significantly affected by the interactions between GPs and GIs in 2011 (Table 2, \(P < 0.001\)) and by the GPs and sampling seasons and their interactions in both 2010 (Table 2, \(P < 0.05\)) and 2011 (Table 2, \(P < 0.05\)). In both years, GP and GI alone did not significantly affect SMBC (Table 2, \(P < 0.001\)). Moreover, SMBC was significantly affected by the interaction between the year and GP (Table 2, \(P < 0.001\)), but not by the interaction between the year and GI (Table 2, \(P = 0.224\)).

When compared with 2010, the mean concentrations of SMBC decreased by 58\% and 69\% in the WG and CG plots, respectively, in 2011 (Fig. 4). SMBC was significantly negatively correlated with monthly precipitation (Table 3, \(P < 0.001\)). SMBN was significantly affected by GP (Table 2, \(P < 0.05\)), sampling season (Table 2, \(P < 0.001\)), and the interactions between GI and GP (Table 2, \(P < 0.05\)) in 2010 and 2011. GI alone significantly affected SMBN (Table 2, \(P = 0.042\)) in 2011, but not in 2010 (Table 2, \(P = 0.601\)). In both years, a significant difference in SMBN was observed between the WG and CG plots \((P < 0.001)\); the interaction between GP and GI had a significant effect on SMBN (Table 2, \(P = 0.007\)). Relative to WG, CG significantly decreased the mean concentration of SMBN by 11\% over the entire study period (Table 3, \(P < 0.001\)). SMBN was significantly positively correlated with monthly precipitation (Table 3, \(P < 0.001\)).

### 3.3 Effects of grazing management on soil temperature, soil moisture, aboveground biomass, and belowground biomass.

The diurnal variation in soil temperature, measured at 2-h intervals at depths of 0–10 cm, changed significantly over time (Fig. S1, \(P < 0.05\)). No significant differences in soil temperature were observed
in both CG and WG plots under different stocking rates (2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha\(^{-1}\)) \(P = 0.63\). Soil temperature in the CG plots was 3.1\(^\circ\)C higher \((P = 0.02)\) than that in the WG plots (Fig. S2). The soil temperature varied significantly \((P < 0.001)\) by year, with the highest values observed in 2011 and the lowest in 2010 (Fig. S2). The annual mean soil moisture in 2011 was 7.9\% higher \((P < 0.001)\) than that in 2010 (Fig. S3). GI did not affect soil moisture \((P = 0.87)\); however, the CG plots increased soil moisture by 13.2\% compared with that in the WG plots \((P = 0.005)\). A significant positive correlation was observed between monthly precipitation and soil temperature and soil moisture (Table 3, \(P < 0.001\)).

3.4 Effect of grazing management on temperature sensitivity of soil respiration \((Q_{10})\)

During the whole experimental period, a significant exponential positive correlation between the rates of Rs change and soil temperature was observed in both WG plots and CG plots, indicating that Rs is strongly influenced by soil temperature \((P < 0.001, \text{ Table 3})\). A significant difference in the \(Q_{10}\) values of Rs was observed among the GIs in both WG and CG plots \((P < 0.001, \text{ Table 3})\). With regard to the GPs, the \(Q_{10}\) values of the WG plots were significantly higher than those of the CG plots (Table 3).

3.5 Structural equation models

The structural equation models showed that Rs was indirectly explained by GI, which explained 57\% of the total variation in Rs in the grazing ecosystem (Figs 6 and 7). GI decreased Rs via its negative effect on aboveground biomass, whereas it increased Rs via its positive effect on soil microbial biomass (Fig. 6). These negative and positive indirect effects of GI on Rs offset the direct effect of GI on Rs (Fig. 6). GP explained 57\% of the total variation in Rs in the grazing ecosystem (Fig. 7). We not only observed a direct positive effect of GP on Rs, but also an indirect effect of GP on Rs via its positive effects on soil moisture, soil temperature, and aboveground biomass. In addition, the negative effect of GP on Rs via its negative effect on SMBC and SMBN weakened the positive influence of GP on Rs.
4. Discussion

4.1 Effect of grazing management on Rs

The diurnal changes in Rs under different GPs (warm and cold season) with four GIs (0, 2.7, 5.3, and 8.7 sheep ha\(^{-1}\)) observed in our study were within the range of the daily Rs reported by several previous studies (Zhang et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2015; Rong et al. 2017). We found that GI significantly affected the diurnal changes of Rs in both WG (\(P < 0.001\)) and CG plots (\(P < 0.001\)), but the response was different. Since the diurnal changes in Rs followed a unimodal pattern through time, consistent with soil temperature, this could be due to the differences in sensitivity to temperature in grazing seasons or could be due to the spatial heterogeneity of Rs (Wang et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2017). Our study revealed that CG enhances the diurnal Rs rate. These results are consistent with those of a previous study that performed a meta-analysis of Tibetan grasslands (Wang et al. 2017). The GI had a significant effect on seasonal changes in Rs in both 2010 (\(P = 0.007\)) and 2011 (\(P = 0.011\)); however, the interaction between season and GI had no significant effect on Rs in 2010 (\(P = 0.253\)) and 2011 (\(P = 0.153\)). It was also found that both GI (\(P = 0.826\)) and the interaction between GI and year (\(P = 0.070\)) had no effect on Rs throughout the whole experimental period; this could be explained by both biotic and abiotic pathways (Fig. 6) in the following two ways: 1) The negative impact of GI on Rs was achieved indirectly by directly negatively affecting the aboveground biomass or by indirectly negatively affecting the microbial biomass; 2) At the same time, GI had a positive and indirect effect on Rs, which was achieved by directly negatively affecting the belowground biomass or by directly affecting soil moisture. Consequently, these two different types of pathways offset each other within a certain range.

The results of this study indicate that GP (\(P < 0.001\)), year (\(P < 0.001\)), and their interaction (\(P < 0.001\)) have strong effects on Rs. Compared to WG, CG promoted soil respiration by 22%. These results were supported by the SEM analyses performed in this and previous studies (Jiang et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2016). The response of Rs to GP can be explained by the following three mechanisms (Fig. 7): 1) The CG increased soil temperature by 3.1°C and increased soil moisture by 13.2%, and both soil temperature and soil moisture had direct positive effects on Rs. Soil temperature and water availability effect Rs by altering the activity of plant roots and soil microbes, and they also indirectly affect soil respiration by altering plant growth and substrate supply (Wan et al. 2013).
In addition, the effect of soil temperature on Rs can be explained by the distribution of seasonal precipitation and interannual precipitation (Ru et al. 2017). This study found a significant correlation between monthly precipitation and Rs ($P < 0.001$); the precipitation of the semi-arid grassland peaked in September 2011, strongly influencing soil respiration. The significant interannual variations in Rs might be mainly caused by seasonal precipitation fluctuations; this could be proved by setting up a controlled field experiment. (2) Compared with WG, CG significantly increased the aboveground biomass ($P < 0.001$), which directly affected Rs. This might be due to the increased sensitivity of the aboveground biomass to low temperatures (Abdalla et al. 2010). On one hand, CG reduces litter and increases sunshine exposure, which is beneficial for plant growth in the subsequent year (Coughenour 1991; Altesor et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2017). On the other hand, grazing leads to a warm and dry microclimate by removing aboveground plants and compacting the soil (Rong et al. 2017). (3) GP has a negative effect on Rs through its negative effects on soil microbial biomass and microbial nitrogen. Since Rs is a process of converting organic carbon to inorganic carbon, the rate of Rs is ultimately controlled by the supply of carbon substrates (Xu et al. 2016; Bagchi et al. 2017). Soil microbial communities exhibit high substrate utilization rates at low temperatures (Monson et al. 2006); thus, a decrease in microbial biomass will reduce soil carbon emissions (Allison et al. 2010). Previous studies have reported that SMBC primarily determines microbial respiration (Zhang et al. 2014). Significant changes in SMBC and SMBN have significant effects on CO$_2$ emissions under different land-use patterns (Iqbal et al. 2010).

Previous studies have been conducted on the temperature sensitivity of Rs ($Q_{10}$), both globally and in different ecosystems of China (Luo et al. 2001; Curiel et al. 2004; Davidson et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2016). In our study, under different grazing rates, the $Q_{10}$ values ranged between 1.31 and 1.57 for the WG plots and between 1.21 and 1.36 for the CG plots, agreeing with the results of Chen et al. (2015). The $Q_{10}$ values of the WG plots were higher than those of the CG plots. This might be due to soil water freezing at low temperatures, which inhibits soil microbial activity, and thus reduces $Q_{10}$ in the CG seasons (Mahecha et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2016). The $Q_{10}$ values for different ecosystems on a global scale are different, but the median is 1.4 (Mahecha et al. 2010). Our results are similar to this median, indicating that it is necessary to consider the impact of Rs on climate warming under different GIs and different grazing systems in order to accurately assess the carbon cycle of semi-arid grassland ecosystems. Overall, our results indicate that the mechanisms underlying the
effects of grazing management on Rs mainly depend on GP, but not on GI. This indicates that the
effects of GP, especially seasonal and long-term grazing, should be considered in future manipulation
experiments and carbon models to accurately simulate soil carbon dynamics in semi-arid grassland
ecosystems.

4.2 Effects of grazing management on SMBC and SMBN

The results of our study revealed that SMBC was higher at the beginning (May) and in the middle
(September) of the growing season than in the dormant period (December) (Fig. 4). These results are
consistent with the findings of previous studies conducted in the grassland ecosystems of the
trans-Himalaya (Bagchi et al. 2017) and the Tibetan Plateau (Fu et al. 2012). We found that SMBN in
the WG plots was significantly higher than that in the CG plots ($P < 0.001$). The reason for this might
be that the response of SMBN is more sensitive to grazing than that of SMBC (Fu et al. 2012). CG
increased the soil temperature, which increased microbial biomass (Lu et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2017).

Our results show that GI did not have an effect on SMBC ($P > 0.05$) and SMBN ($P > 0.05$), but the
interactions between GI and GP significantly affected SMBC ($P < 0.001$) and SMBN ($P < 0.007$). These
results indicate that the response of SMBC is coupled with GI and GP. On one hand, high GI increases
bulk density and urine input and decreases soil porosity and aggregation, affecting microorganism
metabolism (Prieto et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2012,). On the other hand, GI leads to a variation in SMBC as
a direct result of the soil water content from higher precipitation and temperature.

According to our SEM analysis, there are two pathways to explain the effects of GI (Fig. 6) and GP
(Fig. 7) on SMBC and SMBN. (1) The effect of GI on SMBC and SMBN occurs mainly via its adverse
effects on aboveground biomass, which directly stimulates SMBC. Recent studies have shown that the
effects of grazing on soil microbial community size are largely dependent on GI via biotic factors
(Zhao et al. 2017); grazing decreases the aboveground and belowground biomass (Koerner and Collins
2014). Our results support the theory that grazing management could change soil microbial activities
by regulating the aboveground and belowground biomass, which in turn changes the microbial biomass
in the soil (Stark et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2017). (2) GP positively affects soil temperature and soil
moisture, both of which stimulate SMBC and SMBN. In our study, compared with WG, CG
significantly increased soil moisture ($P = 0.005$) and soil temperature ($P = 0.020$), which might
stimulate more efficient enzymes to catalyze the reactions of soil organic matter decomposition (Stark
et al. 2015). Moreover, the dissolved organic carbon was metabolized only after rewetting, and the chemical signals released by the roots regulate the microbial communities, some of which have powerful feedbacks in carbon cycling (Schimel et al. 2013). Monthly precipitation events were significantly negatively related to SMBC ($P < 0.001$), but significantly positively related to SMBN in our study ($P < 0.001$). This agrees with the previous studies that found that the precipitation events stimulating microbial activity might shift the C-balance of grassland ecosystems (Curiel et al. 2007) and that grazing interacts with precipitation to affect the belowground biomass (Koerner and Collins 2014). Overall, our results indicate that the effects of grazing management on SMBC and SMBN mainly depend on the interactions between GI and GP. This suggests that integrated grazing management strategies should be taken into account in future studies on nutrient turnover in the soils of semi-arid grassland ecosystems.

**Author contributions.** Fujiang Hou designed and directed the study, Zhen Wang carried out the data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. Xiuli Wan, Junbo Chen, Mei Tian, Xiayan Wang, Xianjiang Chen, Shenghua Chang collected samples, analyzed the data, and contributed to the final writing of the manuscript.

**Data availability.** From the corresponding author, Fujiang Hou (cyhoufj@lzu.edu.cn), upon request.

**Competing interest.** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Acknowledgements.** We wish to thank for the help in the revision of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT17R50), the National Key Basic Research Program of China (2014CB138706), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31172249), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA2010010203), and the 111 project (B12002).
References


Fu, B. J., Chen, L. D., Ma, K. M., Zhou, H. F., & Wang, J.: The relationships between land use and soil conditions in the hilly area of the loess plateau in northern Shaanxi, China, Catena. 39, 69-78,


Prieto, L. H., Bertiller, M. B., Carrera, A. L., and Olivera, N. L.: Soil enzyme and microbial activities
in a grazing ecosystem of Patagonian Monte, Argentina, Geoderma, 162, 281-287.


Wan, S. Q, Norby, R. J., Ledford, J., and Weltzin, J. F.: Responses of soil respiration to elevated CO2, air warming, and changing soil water availability in a model old-field grassland, Global


Table 1. Effect of warm-season grazing (WG) and cold-season grazing (CG) on daily soil respiration (Rs) under different grazing intensities (GI). F- and P-values were obtained by the one-way ANOVA analyses. Different letters in the same column indicate statistical significant differences between grazing intensity under certain grazing season (P < 0.05). Data are shown as the mean ± SE (n=800).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GI (sheep ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Rs (µ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>WG</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.02a</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.02a</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>307.444</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.02a</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>380.222</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>288.455</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Effects of grazing regime (GP), grazing intensities (GI), year, sampling season, and their interactions on the rates of Rs, SMBC, and SMBN. F- and P-values are obtained by the repeated-measures ANOVA analyses. Values in bold indicate significance levels of $P < 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Rs</th>
<th></th>
<th>SMBC</th>
<th></th>
<th>SMBN</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>F Value</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>F Value</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83.672</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.270</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.234</td>
<td><strong>0.007</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.922</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×GP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.427</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.321</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>218.389</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68.065</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP×Season</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.186</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.389</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×Season</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.828</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×GP×Season</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.417</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.930</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.853</td>
<td><strong>0.011</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.534</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×GP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.186</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>333.087</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.162</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP×Season</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.640</td>
<td><strong>0.002</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.353</td>
<td><strong>0.038</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×Season</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.593</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.682</td>
<td><strong>0.002</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×GP×Season</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.498</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.955</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.391</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.470</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×GP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.313</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.942</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1280.544</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP×Year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.867</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.170</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×Year</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GI×GP×Year</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.096</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Pearson correlation between monthly precipitation (P) and soil temperature (ST), soil moisture (SM), soil respiration (Rs), soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and soil microbial biomass nitrogen (SMBN). *** indicate significance level at $P < 0.001$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SMBC</th>
<th>SMBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.298***</td>
<td>0.737***</td>
<td>0.475***</td>
<td>-0.162***</td>
<td>0.543***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration ($Q_{10}$) for the grazing regimes (warm-season grazing, WG; cold-season grazing, CG) with different grazing intensities (GI, 0, 2.7, 5.3, 8.7 sheep ha$^{-1}$). $a$ and $b$ are two coefficients in the regression line $Rs = ae^{bT}$, where $Rs$ is soil respiration and $T$ is soil temperature. $r_{adj}^2$ is the adjutant determinant coefficient, $Q_{10}$ is the temperature quotient (=$e^{10^b}$). Significance levels of $P < 0.05$ indicated in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GP</th>
<th>GI</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>$r_{adj}^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>$Q_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(sheep ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>1.259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Long-term rotational grazing experimental design at the study site. Green boxes represent warm season grazing plots; brown boxes represent cold season grazing plots.
Figure. 2. Temporal variation in measured values of air temperature, precipitation, at the study site from January 2010 to December 2011. Straight line and line of dashes represents mean annual precipitation represents and mean annual air temperature from 2001 to 2009. The vegetation of the grassland starts to regreen in late April to early May, and starts to wither in late October. Air temperature and precipitation did not change significantly during 2010 and 2011.
Figure 3. Seasonal dynamics of Rs with different grazing intensities (a) in warm season grazing area, (b) in cold season grazing area. Vertical bars indicate mean bars standard errors (n=9).
Figure 4. Seasonal dynamics of Soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) (a) 0-5 cm soil layers in warm-season grazing plots; (b) 0-5 cm in cold-season grazing plots; (c) 5-10 cm in warm-season grazing plots; (d) 5-10 cm in cold-season grazing plots. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the measurement mean (n =3) for each observation date.
Figure 5. Seasonal dynamics of soil microbial biomass nitrogen (SMBN) (a) 0-5 cm in warm-season grazing plots; (b) 0-5 cm in cold-season grazing plots; (c) 5-10 cm in warm-season grazing plots; (d) 5-10 cm in cold-season grazing plots. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the measurement mean (n =3) for each observation date.
**Figure. 6.** Structural equation model of grazing intensities (Mahecha et al.) effects on soil respiration (Rs) via direct or indirect effect on aboveground biomass (AM), belowground biomass (BM), soil temperature (ST), SM (soil moisture), SMBC (soil microbial carbon), soil microbial nitrogen (SMBN). Red and black arrows represent significant negative and positive pathways, respectively. Bold numbers indicate the standard path coefficients. Arrow width is proportional to the strength of the relationship. R² represent the proportion of variance explained for each dependent variable in the model. ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *<0.05; χ²=10.746; P=0.057; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) =0.059; P =0.057; Akaike information criteria (AIC) =88.746.
Figure 7. Structural equation model of grazing regime (GP) effects on soil respiration (Rs) via direct or indirect effect on aboveground biomass (AM), soil temperature (ST), SM (soil moisture), SMBC (soil microbial carbon), soil microbial nitrogen (SMBN). The structural equation model considered all plausible pathways through which experimental treatments influence Rs. Red and black arrows represent significant negative and positive pathways, respectively. Bold numbers indicate the standard path coefficients. Arrow width is proportional to the strength of the relationship. $R^2$ represent the proportion of variance explained for each dependent variable in the model. ***$P<0.001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$; $\chi^2=2.418$; $P=0.299$; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) =0.025; $P =0.299$; Akaike information criteria (AIC) =68.418.