Commercial traceability of *Arapaima spp.* fisheries in the Amazon Basin: can biogeochemical tags be useful?
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Abstract. The development of analytical tools to determine the origin of fish is useful to better understand patterns of habitat use and to monitor, manage and control fisheries, including certification of food origin. The application of isotopic procedures to study fish calcified structures (scales, vertebrae, and otoliths) may provide robust information about the fish geographic origin and environmental living conditions. In this study, we used Sr and C isotopic markers recorded in otoliths of wild and farmed commercialized pirarucu (*Arapaima spp.*) to evaluate their prediction potential to trace the fish origin. Wild and farm fish specimens, as well as food used for feeding pirarucu in captivity, were collected from different sites. Isotope analyses of otoliths performed by IRMS (δ¹³C) and LAfs-MC-ICPMS (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr) were compared to the isotopic composition of water and of the food given to the fish in the farms. Wild fish specimens that lived in environments with the largest fluctuation of river water Sr isotope ratios over time presented the largest Sr isotope variations in otoliths. A quadratic discriminant analysis on otolith isotopic composition provided 58% of correct classification for fish production (wild and farmed) and 76% of correct classification for the fish region. Classification accuracy for region varied between 100% and 29% for the Madeira and the lower Amazon fishes, respectively. Overall, this preliminary trial is not yet fully satisfying to be applied as a commercial traceability tool. However, given the importance of *Arapaima spp.* for food security and the generation of economic resources for millions of people in the Amazon basin, further analyses are needed to increase the discrimination performance of these biogeographical tags.

1 Introduction

Food production is becoming increasingly associated with sustainable practices ensuring environmental preservation goals. Food origin and production conditions have become important issues in a national and international trade to attest of good practices. For instance, consumers want to know whether fish being consumed belongs to an endangered or vulnerable species and whether they have grown in natural or farmed conditions (Pracheil et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2015; Baffi and
Trincherini 2016). In order to address some of these questions, and further improve wild and farming fish management, recent studies have used biogeochemical tracers to better understand fish population dynamic, their ecological strategies and stock origin (Thresher 1999; Kennedy et al. 2005; Rojas et al. 2007; Kerr and Campana 2013; Pracheil et al. 2014; Brennan and Schindler 2017). These tools have also been used to control national and international fish trade (Pracheil et al. 2014) and to identify the geographical origin and conditions under which fish have been raised (Bell et al. 2007; Rojas et al. 2007; Barnett-Johnson et al. 2008; Turchini et al. 2009). A sustainable management is important to ensure a secure and fair food production, thus valorizing the local economy, respecting the ecosystems functioning, and maintaining ecosystem services.

Determination of fish geographic origin by stable isotopic analyses has been investigated by different authors aiming to certificate food origin, manage fisheries and fishes stocks, build knowledge of species life history, identify critical habitats for conservation, and avoid overexploitation of fish stocks (Pouilly et al. 2014; Pracheil et al. 2014; Hegg et al. 2015; Garcez et al. 2015; Baffi and Trincherini 2016; Duponchelle et al. 2016; Hauser 2018). For example, Turchini et al. (2009) discriminated the geographic origin of Australian Murray Cod (Maccullochella peelii peelii) using isotopic signatures of δ13C, δ18N and δ18O. In another study, Bell et al. (2007) analyzed δ13C and δ18O in the fat meat of sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) to distinguish farmed versus wild fish. Rojas et al. (2007) analyzed δ13C and δ18N in Sparus aurata muscle from four Mediterranean countries to distinguish wild specimens from farmed ones. Besides soft tissues, isotopic information can also be extracted from fish calcified structures (scale, otolith or vertebrae) in order to preserve fish integrity for the commercial use and eventually reconstruct fish life history (Campana 1999; Pouilly et al. 2014; Pracheil et al. 2014).

Strontium isotopes have been used as origin tracer of food products because of their robust response in terms of origin authenticity and fraud detection for vegetables, drinks, milk derivatives, meat and fishes (Fortunato et al. 2004; Barnett-Johnson et al. 2008; Rummel et al. 2010; Di Paola-Naranjo et al. 2011; Trincherini et al. 2014; Baffi and Trincherini 2016). Fish otoliths, or ear bones, are calcified structures that grow continually and record ambient condition along fish’s lives, from hatching to death (Campana 1999). Since Sr isotopes in otolith are not reabsorbed and do not fractionate during biological uptake, the isotopic ratio of this element is a robust geographic and trophic marker (Kennedy et al. 2000; Kerr and Campana 2013; Pouilly et al. 2014). Most studies using Sr isotopes in fish otoliths were performed on marine and freshwater ecosystems of temperate regions (Kennedy et al. 1997, 2000, 2002; Gillanders 2002; Woodhead et al. 2005; Comyns B. H. 2008). Only a few studies have focused on fish migration and living conditions in tropical river systems (Walther et al. 2011; Pouilly et al. 2014; Hegg et al. 2015; Garcez et al. 2015; Sousa et al. 2016; Duponchelle et al. 2016; Hauser 2018).

The Amazon basin has the largest rainforest on the planet and constitutes a complex system of rivers, lakes, and wetlands (Oliveira 1996). The region is also known to support a large diversity of fish species, many of which play an important economic role in the region, such as the Arapaima spp., known as one of the largest freshwater fish genus (Queiroz 2000; Hrbek et al. 2007; Stone 2007). The four described species (A. agassizii, A. mapae, A. leptosoma, and A. gigas) of this genus are endemic to the Amazon basin, where they are popularly called Pirarucu or Paiche (Arantes et al. 2010; Stewart 2013b, a). This genus is socially, economically and ecologically important in the region because it constitutes one of the main food sources for the local community, providing important economic resources on a local and regional scales (farming, fishing,
trading). Due to overexploitation, *Arapaima* spp. have been classified as vulnerable by CITES and fishing is subject to legal restriction, such as seasonal fishing prohibition, the minimum size of capture and, most important, *Arapaima*'s commercialization is restricted to fish proceeding from management areas or aquaculture farms (Feio and Mendes 2017). Paradoxically, *Arapaima* spp. are considered an exotic invasive species in the Upper Madeira watershed in Bolivia and Peru, after being introduced in the region in the 70' (Queiroz 2000; Arantes et al. 2010; Van Damme et al. 2011; Miranda-Chumacero et al. 2012; Araripe et al. 2013; Figueiredo 2013).

In this study, we hypothesize that farmed and wild fishes of different sub-basin would present different $\delta^{13}C$ and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values, depending on their food sources ($\delta^{13}C$) and geographical origins ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr). Hence, the main objective is to test whether $\delta^{13}C$ and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr measured on fish otoliths can be used as biogeochemical tags of the geographic origin and provenance (wild vs. farmed) of *Arapaima* specimens and, consequently, evaluate if these isotopes can be used as a traceability tool. We analyzed Sr and C isotopic composition of *Arapaima*'s otoliths from farmed and wild fishes from four different Amazonian regions (Madeira, Solimões, Central Amazon, Lower Amazon). Sr and C isotope data were analyzed across transect in *Arapaima*'s otoliths in order to identify habitat change or geographic mobility during the fish lifetime. These data were also compared to the C and Sr isotopic composition of fish food supplied by the farmers.

2. **Material and methods**

2.1 **Study area**

The Amazon basin represents a dynamic and heterogeneous ecosystem extending on more than 45% of South America. The Amazon River and its huge network of tributaries drain different geologic formations (Gibbs 1967; Stallard 1980; Stallard and Edmond 1983; Gaillardet et al. 1997; Santos et al. 2015) covered by primary forests, chaparral savannas, and swamps. These habitats support one of the highest biodiversity of the world, particularly for the Amazonian freshwater fish fauna, which is under pressure of degradation by dams building, mining, land cover and global climate change (Finer and Jenkins 2012; Castello et al. 2013; Carnicer et al. 2015; Castello and Macedo 2016; Lees et al. 2016; Winemiller et al. 2016; Forsberg et al. 2017; Latrubesse et al. 2017; Anderson et al. 2018).

The Amazon basin is a geomorphological depression located between two old and stable geologic regions: the Guiana shield at the North; and the Brazilian shield at the South. While the Andes Mountain chain limits the western border of the basin, cratonic terrains and the Atlantic Ocean limit its eastern border. Owing to its complex geological history, rivers of the Amazon basin drain rocks with a wide range of Sr isotope compositions (Santos et al., 2015). For example, the Madeira River and Negro River drain old rock formations, such as Precambrian and Ordovician rocks that imprint a strong radiogenic Sr isotope signature in their waters (respectively 0.7168 ± 0.0007 and 0.7318 ± 0.0074, Santos et al., 2015). In contrast, the Solimões river drains younger formations as well as carbonate rocks, so that their water is characterized by less radiogenic Sr isotope ratios (0.7091 ± 0.0002, Gaillardet et al., 1997; Santos et al., 2015). Because of this heterogeneity, Sr isotopes in
Amazon river waters may be used as a robust biogeographic marker for aquatic fauna (Pouilly et al. 2014; Hegg et al. 2015; Garcez et al. 2015; Sousa et al. 2016; Duponchelle et al. 2016; Hauser 2018).

The carbon isotopic composition of an organism depends primarily on the isotopic composition of the primary producer that constitutes the beginning of the trophic chain it feeds on. In particular, plants present two main photosynthetic pathways, C3 and C4, which produce a two-contrasted δ¹³C range of values (-32‰ to -24‰ for C3 plants; -14‰ to -9‰ for C4 plants; De Niro & Epstein, 1978). In general, wild Amazon fishes feed dominantly on a trophic chain derived from C3 carbon source (Araujo-Lima et al. 1986; Forsberg et al. 1993; Jepsen and Winemiller 2007; Marshall et al. 2008; Watson et al. 2013; Mortillaro et al. 2015). Feeding habits of Arapaima vary among populations from omnivorous (Watson et al. 2013) to carnivorous or piscivorous (Queiroz 2000; Domingues et al. 2006; Carvalho et al. 2018). Because of this variation on feeding sources (Castello 2008; Carvalho et al. 2018), a common strategy, strongly recommended by governmental manuals of Arapaima farming, is the nutritional training with three rations during different life stages (Ono and Kehdi 2013). These rations are generally based on soya bean (C3), corn (C4), and macrophytes (C3 or C4).

2.2 A sampling of fish otoliths and of farming food

Thirty-eight otolith samples of Arapaima spp were collected in four regions (Figure 1): 22 were obtained from professional fishermen for a commercial purpose; 6 were obtained from farmers, and 10 others were collected in Manaus and Santarém markets (Table 1). The sagittae otoliths of each specimen were extracted by head dissection after capture. Afterward, they were washed, dried, and kept under cool conditions until laboratory analyses.

Figure 1. Map of the Amazon basin showing the regions and sampling sites for Arapaima gigas. Wild collect sites are represented by red squares, farm collect sites by blue squares. The Amazon River (Lower Amazon and Central Amazon) is colored blue, the Solimões green, the Madeira purple, and the Negro orange.
Table 1. δ13C (mean ±SD) and 87Sr/86Sr (mean ±SD) measured in sagittae otolith for 38 wild and farmed *Arapaima gigas* proceeding from five Amazonian regions with correct classification and correspondent water 87Sr/86Sr reviewed from literature. 1Palmer and Edmond (1992), 2Gaillardet et al. (1997), 3Queiroz et al. (2009), 4Pouilly et al. (2014), 5Santos et al. (2015) and 6Duponchelle et al. (2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen code</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Geographic region</th>
<th>Site of collection</th>
<th>Otolith 87Sr/86Sr</th>
<th>Mean Water 87Sr/86Sr</th>
<th>QDA prediction provenience</th>
<th>Otolith δ13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COO</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Manacapuru farming</td>
<td>0.71543</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Itacoatiara fishers</td>
<td>0.71029</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Itacoatiara fishers</td>
<td>0.71267</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Itacoatiara fishers</td>
<td>0.71020</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I4</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Itacoatiara fishers</td>
<td>0.71041</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>-19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA1</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70997</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA2</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70977</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA3</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70926</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA4</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Central Amazon</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70982</td>
<td>0.7111±0.00042,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMn1</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70896</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMn2</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70894</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMn3</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Manaus market</td>
<td>0.70904</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Mamirauá Reserve</td>
<td>0.70932</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Mamirauá Reserve</td>
<td>0.70963</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Mamirauá Reserve</td>
<td>0.70941</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>Mamirauá Reserve</td>
<td>0.70944</td>
<td>0.7095±0.00082,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém Market</td>
<td>0.71382</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém Market</td>
<td>0.71291</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém Market</td>
<td>0.71479</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém fishers</td>
<td>0.70868</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém fishers</td>
<td>0.70873</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém fishers</td>
<td>0.70868</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Lower Amazon</td>
<td>Santarém fishers</td>
<td>0.70865</td>
<td>0.7112±0.00042,3,6</td>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>Ariquemes farming</td>
<td>0.72245</td>
<td>0.7188±0.00122,3,5,6</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>Ariquemes farming</td>
<td>0.71479</td>
<td>0.7188±0.00122,3,5,6</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>Ariquemes farming</td>
<td>0.71479</td>
<td>0.7188±0.00122,3,5,6</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>Ariquemes farming</td>
<td>0.71479</td>
<td>0.7188±0.00122,3,5,6</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>Ariquemes farming</td>
<td>0.71479</td>
<td>0.7188±0.00122,3,5,6</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YA-1</td>
<td>Wild</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>Yata fishers</td>
<td>0.72854</td>
<td>0.7245±0.00184,6</td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>-24.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additionally, three samples of farmed fish food commonly used to feed Arapaima were obtained from farmers. The three food types used to feed the different fishes life’s stages were collected, presenting different grain sizes: 0.8 to 2.5 mm to feed fingerlings (0+ fish), 4 mm to feed subadults (1+ and 2+ fish) and 10 mm to feed adults.

2.3 Samples Preparation and Analytical Methods

The otoliths were sonicated in distilled water, dried and mounted in Araldite epoxy resin at MALBEC laboratory in Montpellier University (France). Afterward, they were transversally cut with a low-speed saw (Isomed Buchler, Düsseldorf, German, 2009) to obtain a dorso-ventral slice including the otolith core. The slices were then fine-polished until the core could be seen, sonicated in distilled water and mounted on glass using crystal bond glue. Sr isotope analyses were performed at the Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique Bio-inorganique et Environnement (LCABIE) from the Institut Pluridisciplinaire de Recherche sur l’Environnement et les Matériaux (IPREM), Université de Pau et des Pays de l’Adour and in the laboratory PSO-IFREMER (Pôle Spectrométrie Océan, Brest), France. Inter laboratory cross calibration was performed to confirm the reaptability and comparability of the analysis, (For more details see Hauser, 2018). The isotope ratios were measured using a fs-La-MC-ICPMS following the procedure detailed by (Claverie et al. 2009; Tabouret et al. 2010). Laser ablation conditions were 500 Hz, 20 μJ pulse energy until the depth limit ablation (<30 μm), the beam spot size of 10 μm, and velocity 5 μm/s. The Sr isotope ratios were obtained by transects (200 μm width, see Tabouret et al. 2010) along the major otolith axes, i.e. perpendicular to growth otolith lines. The laser ablated material was carried with He gas to a double torch chamber in which the laser aerosol was mixed with a 2% HNO₃ solution before introduction into the plasma (Barats et al. 2007). These conditions were adjusted to obtain the maximal plasma sensibility and stability. Interferent ⁸⁷Rb signal was monitored by ⁸⁵Rb, and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr was corrected following Barnett-Johnson et al. (2010) procedure. Similarly, ⁸³Kr was measured to control ⁸⁴Kr and ⁸⁶Kr impact in ⁸⁴Sr and ⁸⁸Sr values, respectively. Finally, the ratio ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr was used to correct ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁸Sr and mass bias using the exponential law (Walther and Thorrold 2008). Internal pattern ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio (NIESS 22, certificated by the National Institute of Japan Environmental Studies) was analyzed in the beginning and end of each session of analysis to check the reliability of the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr measures.

Complete transects from core to edge were performed on 10 wild otolith samples, all of which presented a flat ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio pattern along the transect. For the remaining samples, the transect was performed only on the final 1/3 part of the otoliths,
which records the environmental condition during the last life period of the adult fish (Figure 2). The results presented hereafter corresponding to the final part of the otolith for all the individuals.

Figure 2. Photography of an Arapaima’s otolith slice preparation. a) with the corresponding $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotopic profile over the raster, which corresponds to the final part of a core to edge transect representing the last period of life of the individual before its capture, analyzed by laser ablation. The yellow rectangle illustrates the range of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values of the Solimões waters in the Central and Lower Amazon. b) Illustration of the micro-drilling sampling performed in the same transect in order to analyze $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures.

To obtain dietary information based on carbon isotopes, the same last 1/3 portion of each otolith was micro-drilled on the same slice preparations of otolith used to gather the Sr isotope data. The slice preparations were drilled per 6.8 mm interval using a New Wave Microdrill at the Universidade de Brasília. The drilled carbonate powder samples were placed directly into vials for isotope analysis. Carbon isotopes were measured using a Delta Plus V Thermo-Fisher mass spectrometer connected to a Finnigan GasBench II at the Laboratório de Isótopos Estáveis (LAIS), Instituto de Geociências Rede de Estudos Geocronológicos, Geodinâmicos e Ambientais (GEOCHRONOS), University de Brasília, Brazil. The results were validated against reference standards NBS 18 and 19 (respectively $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -5.0\%_o$ and $1.9\%_o$).

2.1 Statistical Analysis

ANOVA was applied to test $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ mean difference in otoliths among 1) wild fish proceeding from the four regions, and 2) farmed fishes from the four regions. Also, a test t was applied to test the mean difference between all wild vs. farmed fishes. To evaluate the use of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ as a predictive tracer of fish origin (farmed or wild) and sub-
basin/region of capture (Upper Amazon: Madeira and Solimões, Central Amazon, Lower Amazon), a quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) (Anderson et al. 2010; Li et al. 2016) was carried out using a cross-validation by Jackknifed (leave one out) predictions procedure. All the statistical analyses were performed in R freeware (http://www.r-project.org/).

3. Results

3.1 Otolith Sr isotopic composition

Significant differences were observed (Figure 3) between 1) mean $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of wild fishes from the four sampled regions (ANOVA, F=18.397, p< 0.01); 2), mean $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of farmed fishes from the four sampled regions (ANOVA, F=5.614, p=0.0161), and 3) mean $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of wild vs. farmed of the same region ($t = -3.764$, df = 31.805, p-value p< 0.01).

![Figure 3. Boxplot of otolith $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio of wild (red) and farmed (blue) Arapaima spp. from four Amazonian regions.](image)

Except for two specimens, the wild fishes presented a narrow range of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr across the otoliths (Figure 4) and their average $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values are comparable to $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of the river waters in which they were living (Table 1). The first exception is a fish from Central Amazon-Itacoatiara (I2) that exhibited $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr similar to other individuals from the same site but also displayed a peak of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr value up to 0.7259 (Figure 4a). The second exception is a specimen from the Solimões-Mamirauá area (M2) that presented higher $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values (0.7223 +/- 0.0001) in comparison to the river values (0.7090-0.7100) and to other individuals from the same site (0.709-0.7110, Figure 4c).
The data also revealed differences in the variability of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values among specimens from the same region (Figure 3). Individuals from Solimões, Central Amazon (0.7090 to 0.7096) and lower Amazon (0.7086 to 0.7087) presented a low interindividual variation in comparison to individuals from the Upper Madeira (0.7144 to 0.7288) region.

A clear relationship also existed between the average $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values in otolith of farming fish and in local river water. Farmed fishes also generally showed a flat profile of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values along the otolith (Figure 5), except for three individuals. One of the fishes collected directly with farmers at Manaus (COO) presented initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values similar to the Negro River waters. In contrast, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values of the other specimens of the same region presented isotope ratios in the range of Solimões River waters during all their life (Figure 5a). One specimen from the lower Amazon – Santarém area (CS1) presented important fluctuations of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values across the otolith, corresponding to values in the range of Tapajos river, although the two other specimens collected in the same area (CS2, CS3) presented flat profiles with values intermediate between the Amazon and Tapajos river waters (Figure 5b). Finally, one of the farmed specimens of the Madeira River (R1) also showed a fluctuating $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr profile (Figure 5c), although the other four fishes showed a flat and completely overlapping profile.
Food and Otolith Carbon isotopic composition

The δ¹³C values of otoliths were significantly different among wild and farming specimens (ANOVA, F=124.44, p<0.01). Most samples of wild fish present δ¹³C consistent with C3 sources, (mean -28.9 ± 1.2‰). The exceptions are all samples from Itacoatiara (Central Amazon), which display mean δ¹³C values (-18.4‰±1.8) that fall in between the C3 and C4 signatures (Figure 6). In contrast, otoliths of farmed fish present a wide range of δ¹³C (mean -17.1‰ ± 7.7, min = 26.0‰, max = 4.8‰). The otoliths of the fishes from the Madeira region farms present an averaged δ¹³C value of -8.5‰ ± 0.1, indicating a strong contribution of C4 plants in their feeding source. Farmed fish from the market of Santarém (Lower Amazon) presented a mean δ¹³C value of -14.4‰ ± 0.8 in their otoliths, thus revealing a contribution of both C3 and C4 plants in their feeding source. Otoliths of farmed fish from the market of Manaus (Central Amazon) presented a lower mean δ¹³C value (-24.7‰ ± 0.8), indicating a main C3 feeding source.

Figure 5. Variation of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr measured by LAfs-MC-ICPMS on farmed fish otoliths core - edge transects. Only the final part of the transect (approximately 1/3) is represented. Individual fishes were grouped by geographic region: a) Central Amazon (Manaus Market); b) Lower Amazon (Santarém Market) c) Madeira (Rondônia). Note that individuals R2, R3, R4, and R5 present the same ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr profile. The range of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of river water dissolved matter for each geographic region is indicated by a colored rectangle (based on literature and additional analyses, see appendix 1).
Figure 6. Biplot of mean δ\textsuperscript{13}C and 87Sr/86Sr for 41 wild (circle) and farmed (triangle) Arapaima otoliths from five geographic regions. Large blue circles represent farmed and wild fish from the Madeira, and yellow squares wild and farmed fishes from Low Amazon, Central Amazon, and Solimões. The only two samples that are out of their group are tagged with *.

As a reference, δ\textsuperscript{13}C values of the food used on farming activity varies between -19.3‰ and -14.9‰, thus corresponding to a diet based mostly on C4 macrophytes, corn, and soya beans.

### 3.2 QDA Discriminant Analysis

The 87Sr/86Sr and δ\textsuperscript{13}C isotopes biplot shows that all otolith samples fall within four main groups (Figure 6). The carbon isotopic composition combined with the average 87Sr/86Sr ratio of the fish otolith allows to partially distinguish the different fish origin (farmed or wild) as well as their geographical region.

Wild fishes presented more negative δ\textsuperscript{13}C values (mean -24.3‰ ± 3.2) corresponding to a diet more influenced by C3 macrophytes carbon source, whereas farmed fishes presented less negative δ\textsuperscript{13}C values (mean -17.1‰ ± 7.7), corresponding to a higher influence of C4 carbon source. However, farmed fishes presented a higher variability indicating different sources of food depending on the region or farm. This variability led to a low predictability of fish origin (58% of correct classification, Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>farm</th>
<th>wild</th>
<th>correct prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>farm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wild</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total correct prediction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the contrary, QDA analysis gave a higher score of correct classification of fish’s region (76%, Table 3). This percentage varied between sub-basins, from 100% (Madeira) to 29% (Lower Amazon).

Table 3 Confusion matrix of fish region classification by QDA (sample origin in a row, predicted origin in the column). CA = Central Amazon; LA = Lower Amazon; MA = Madeira Basin; SO = Solimoes Basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>correct prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total correct prediction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
composition of exogenous food source could be different from water and may result in a gap between fish and water strontium composition corresponding to the relative importance of food in the uptake.

4.1 Isotope record of wild Arapaima

The relationship between Sr isotope ratios in water and fish otoliths or scales has revealed to be a robust tool to study fish migration and geographical origin of population in the Amazon basin (Pouilly et al. 2014; Hegg et al. 2015; Garcez et al. 2015; Sousa et al. 2016; Duponchelle et al. 2016; Hauser 2018). The $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values of wild fishes from the Lower and Central Amazon and from the Upper Madeira and Solimões rivers presented differences according to the regions (Figures 3 and 4). Fishes from Lower and Central Amazon presented the narrowest variation of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values during their life. These values were around 0.7100, which agrees with the reported values for this river waters (Santos et al. 2015). One fish presented a peak of the high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr value of >0.7250 (Figure 4a), which could correspond to a period during which this fish has lived in a habitat with water $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values close to the Madeira River waters or some granitic shield tributaries. Because of the sharpness of the peak, it could also be interpreted as an irregularity in the otolith. In contrast, fishes from the Madeira region, including from the Upper watersheds of the Beni, Mamoré, Yata, and Madre de Dios rivers, presented a higher degree of variability in $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values (Figure 4d, from 0.7150 to 0.7350). Fishes from this region also presented a higher $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr variation across each otolith profile when compared to fishes from other sites, which is consistent with the natural seasonal variation of these older geological regions. In the Madeira waters and its Upper tributaries, Santos et al (2015) observed dissolved $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr data with a high seasonal variation, owing to the nature of rocks being eroded during the rainy and dry seasons. The data presented here suggest that fish otolith also record these seasonal variations.

The wild fishes from the Solimões River were caught in the Mamirauá Reserve. This reserve does not correspond to the main channel of the Solimões channel, but to lateral lakes that developed in a mixing zone with other tributaries, some of which may be of black waters, that generally present $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr similar to that reported for the Negro river (Santos et al. 2015). One of the five fishes analyzed presented higher $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values (>0.720), suggesting it may have lived part of its life in such a blackwater tributary. Besides this regional variation, the data presented revealed that in general individual fish lived in waters with a limited range of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values, suggesting a resident behavior. The pattern of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr along the otolith from the Madeira fishes presented higher variations. This could either result from movements between habitats with contrasted $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr water signatures (e.g. adjacent lakes and lagoon, as shown by Pouilly et al. 2014 for the Beni River) or from the integration of the important natural seasonal variations of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr signature in the Madeira waters described by Santos et al. (2015).

Previous studies also concluded to a resident behaviour of Arapaima species (Queiroz 2000; Castello 2004, 2008; Viana et al. 2007; Araripe et al. 2013; Hermann et al. 2016), including a study of individual behaviour of restocked and wild Arapaima using radio telemetry (Núñez-Rodríguez et al. 2015). A flat $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr profile along the otolith does not directly implicate an absence of movement. Indeed, if a fish moves across two habitats presenting the same isotopic signature, the movement would not be revealed by otolith microchemistry analyses. On the other hand, Castello (2008) demonstrated lateral migration of Pirarucu between the Solimões River and the floodplain during water pulse in the Mamirauá reserve with other observation...
methods. Based on our results, we can conclude that studied Arapaima didn’t show movements across contrasted habitat (like for example white vs. blackwater systems, Santos et al. 2015). We cannot, however, exclude lateral movements across habitats with similar water signatures. We argue that the $^{87}\text{Sr}^{86}\text{Sr}$ variations observed in each otolith can be a combination of (1) small changes in the isotopic composition of water due to the hydrological seasonal cycle and/or (2) a lateral migration. An example is one fish from the Mamiraua reserve (M3), which showed ripples that might be interpreted as lateral movements between the Solimões white waters and adjacent lagoons or lakes with slightly higher signature (see Pouilly et al. 2014). Due to the weakness of the pattern and the absence of $^{87}\text{Sr}^{86}\text{Sr}$ seasonal data from lakes and rivers in the Amazon basin, a more detail studies would be necessary to confirm one or the other hypothesis of movement behavior, which are probably complementary.

Strontium and carbon isotopes in fish otolith record different parameters during the specimen life. As $^{87}\text{Sr}^{86}\text{Sr}$ could be used as a robust fish geographical indicator, even in small scales (Pouilly et al., 2014), carbon isotopes composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) are related to the feeding source of the fish.

Most wild fishes analyzed presented $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between -24‰ and -30‰ (Figure 6). Hence, wild Arapaima in this study had $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ mostly derived from C3 plants (-28.9 $\pm$ 1.2‰), as also observed in previous studies (Forsberg et al. 1993; Domingues et al. 2006; Watson et al. 2013). However, a higher contribution of C4 plants could be observed in some specimens, in particular, fishes from the Central Amazon region (Itacoatiara site) that presented more positive $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (-15.4‰ and -20.1‰). Forsberg et al. (1993) showed that C3 may account for 82.4% to 97.5% of the fish diet in the Amazon basin. Nonetheless, it is worth mentioning that these studies analyzed muscle tissue and there may exist isotopic differences between carbon in muscle tissue and in bone structures because of physiologic pathway incorporation. Although this fractionation is not known in Amazonian fishes, available data from Atlantic cod indicate that $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value can be 15.9‰ higher in otoliths than in body tissues (Radtke et al. 1996). If this same fractionation were applied to the otoliths of Arapaima, the carbon source would have an unlikely value of -44.8‰. This fractionation difference is likely lower for Amazonian fish as also suggested by the carbon isotopic composition of other calcified tissues, like scales, reported by Domingues et al. (2006). They showed that these calcified tissues have $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values between -18.0‰ and -29.2‰, which are in the same range as samples from the present study. The more positive $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values observed in fishes from Itacoatiara in Central Amazon may reflect environmental heterogeneity related to water types (white, black and clear), channels formations in dry season, and other hydrologic seasonality related to the Flood Pulse Concept (Junk et al. 1989; Domingues et al. 2006; Oliveira et al. 2006). Moreover, these isotopic values may be related to seasonal resource availability, such as *Schizodon fasciatus* that presents major digestibility of C4 macrophytes in the varzea areas (Forsberg et al. 1993; Oliveira et al. 2006; Mortillaro et al. 2015).

### 4.2 Isotopic variations in farmed fish otolith

In general, farmed fishes also presented a flat $^{87}\text{Sr}^{86}\text{Sr}$ profile, except for three fishes (CS1, FMn2, and R1) that showed a larger $^{87}\text{Sr}^{86}\text{Sr}$ profile variation when compared with wild fishes from the same region (Figure 5). These variations could be related to the diversified water and pond conditions in which they have been raised and also to abrupt changes in water type, such as seasonal pond transfer. We argue that these fishes were probably used as breeders and that the changes in
Sr isotope ratio indicate that they were transferred between different ponds with different Sr isotope compositions. Indeed, fish farming manuals indicate that changing the breeders from one pond to another is an important strategy to increase reproduction (Ono & Kehdi, 2013; SEBRAE, 2010). On the other hand, four fish (R2, R3, R4, and R5) of the Madeira farm had the exact same Sr isotopic profile, suggesting they have lived the last part of their lives in the same common pond.

Compared to wild fish, farmed fish also showed a higher variation of δ13C, thus indicating more diversified food sources. Fishes from the Madeira (Ariquemes farm) presented less negative δ13C values (-4.8‰ - -8.7‰), which could be related to C4-based food (DeNiro and Epstein 1978; Sant’Ana et al. 2010), probably made of corn. Farmed fishes from the Lower Amazon (Santarém farms) presented intermediate values (-13.7‰ - -15.6‰) and those from the central Amazon (Manaus farms) had more negative values (-23.2‰ - -26.0‰) more related to C3-based food or food web. Therefore, we conclude that our hypothesis of an artificial alimentation based on C4 plants is not always verified, and that food farming seems to depend from local or regional production or from feeding strategies used by the farm.

4.3 Combining 87Sr/86Sr and δ13C signatures

We aimed at verifying if the combination of Sr and C isotopes may be a powerful tool to distinguish between farmed and wild specimens from different Amazonian regions. The quality of information concerning fish origin is an important parameter for commercialization. This is also a sensitive question when there is a restriction of the commercialization of fishes proceeding from farming or management areas. In this sense, the isotope tool used in this study would be useful, pending improved precision and performance, as it is independent of any information provided by fishers or sellers.

The QDA analyses presented in our study gave the proportion of correct indication of the origin of fishes (production method: farm or wild, geographic regions). The results showed a good but not sufficient enough (>75%) proportion of correct classification of the geographic origin (mainly based on 87Sr/86Sr values). This percentage is downgraded by the overlaps of 87Sr/86Sr values of some regions (Solimões, Central and Lower Amazon). The lack of contrast in 87Sr/86Sr between Lower Amazon, Central Amazon, and Solimões regions leads to a higher confusion: four fishes from Lower Amazon (on a total of 7) and two fishes from Solimões (on a total of 5) were misclassified in Central Amazon region, most of them prevenient from farmed sources. On the contrary, it is upgraded by some clear contrasts existing in different Amazonian sub-basins, such as the Madeira, but we can also indicate the Tapajos or Negro rivers that also presented specific values (Santos et al., 2015; review in Hauser, 2018).

On the other hand, results showed low predictability (58%) of fish origin (farmed or wild). This is mainly due to the variety of food sources used to feed the farmed fishes. We hypothesized that farms used food based on a mixture of C3 and C4 plants (soya bean, corn) but some farms apparently used food based on C3 plants, generating confusion with the food of wild fishes. On the other hand, all fishes sold in Manaus marked as farmed fishes presented C3-based δ13C signatures. This could mean that these supposedly farmed-fish actually came from wild provenance, which is illegal and contributes to the over exploration of this natural resource. False information on the fish provenance would also hamper the precision of our approach.

However, as a preliminary intent, the method gave some interesting results that emphasize the potential of such analyses to obtain a performing tool. In only a few cases the 87Sr/86Sr values recorded in wild fish otoliths were not in agreement
with the water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of the reported origin. For instance, the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values of wild Arapaima obtained from Santarém market, lower Amazon, were similar to those observed in the Solimões River (CS3). Hence, it is possible that these wild specimens were caught in the Solimões River (e.g. Mamirauá Reserve) and not in the Santarém area as reported by the fish seller. Nonetheless, because of the scarcity of water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ baseline in this area, a Santarém origin cannot be completely ruled out.

Some farmed fishes may also have $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ that is not in agreement with the expected values of the reported origin. For example, farmed fishes from the lower Amazon (Santarém) were probably raised in a pond filled with water from both the Amazon and Tapajós River. Thus, the farming conditions are likely to interfere with the two tracers used in this study.

5. Conclusion

The expected differences of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between farmed and wild fishes (related to artificial vs. natural food sources) could not be confirmed, owing mainly to the C4 macrophyte contribution to the natural alimentation of wild fishes and to the use of C3-based food sources for farmed fishes. False information on the fish provenance in markets may also have contributed to decreasing the precision of the approach and market sampling should be avoided in future studies. Another weakness of our approach is the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ overlapping among Amazon sub-basins and the lack of a more extensive $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ water baseline. Hence, this preliminary result is not yet fully sufficient to be applied as a commercial traceability tool and further analyses are needed to increase the discrimination performance because millions of people rely on Arapaima spp. to subsistence and income. Nonetheless, these initial results encourage a more detailed seasonal $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ water sampling in lakes and rivers in all the four regions analyzed, and especially in the Madeira and in the Mamirauá reserve, in order to refine the spatial water base and consequently to understand the causes of the otolith profile variation in wild Arapaima spp. They also suggest further axes of the investigation, such as controlled physiological experiment to clarify the sources (water and food) for $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ otolith assimilation pathway in farmed conditions and investigating the actual importance of C4 macrophyte influence to both farmed and wild Arapaima according to seasons.

Appendix A. Water sampling and respective dissolved $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local sampling</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manacapuru</td>
<td>3°17.383'S</td>
<td>60°37.914'W</td>
<td>0.7091+-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this work, Marc Pouilly designed the overall project ideas; Roberto V. Santos and Luciana A. Pereira developed the sampling plan. In the project execution, Luciana A. Pereira collected samples from Central and Lower Amazon and Fernando Carvajal and Marilia Hauser sampled in Bolivia and Madeira. Then, the sample preparation, δ¹³C otolith analysis, and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr water analysis were made by Luciana A. Pereira with the supervision of Roberto V. Santos while, the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr otolith analysis was performed by Marilia Hauser, Christophe Pecheyran and Sylvain Bérail with the supervision of Marc Pouilly and Fabrice Duponchelle. Later, Marc Pouilly and Luciana A. Pereira developed the statistical and data analysis. Finally, Luciana A. Pereira prepared the manuscript with the contributions from all coauthors.

**Author contribution**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thanks CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e tecnológico) for the financial support, the Graduate Program in Ecology at University of Brasília, Lab of Ichthyology and Fishery at Universidade Federal de Rondônia, Porto Velho, the Mamirauá Institute for the logistical support on field sampling, the IRD (Institute pour Recherche et Development), the Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour/CNRS,LIABLE-IPREM, Pau, France and Geochronology Lab of University of Brasilia.

**References**


Feio TA, Mendes G (2017) Commercialization of Fish at the Fairs of Manaus, Amazonia, During the Closed and Unclosed Seasons. 3:7–11

Figueiredo (2013) Biologia, conservação e manejo participativo de pirarucus na Pan- Amazônia, IDSM. Tefé


Gibbs RJ (1967) The geochemistry of the Amazon River system: Part I. The factors that control the

Hauser M (2018) Migração dos grandes bagres Amazônicos pela perspectiva dos isótopos de Estrôncio em otólitos. Universidade Federal de Rondônia (UNIR)


Stallard (1980) MAJOR ELEMENT GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE AMAZON RIVER SYSTEM. Massachusetts Institute of Technology


Stewart DJ (2013a) Re-description of Arapaima agassizii (Valenciennes), a Rare Fish from Brazil (Osteoglossomorpha: Osteoglossidae). Copeia 2013:38–51. doi: 10.1643/CI-12-013

Stewart DJ (2013b) A New Species of Arapaima (Osteoglossomorph: Osteoglossidae) from the Solimões River, Amazonas State, Brazil. Copeia 2013:470–476. doi: 10.1643/CI-12-017


