

Interactive comment on “Reconstructing past variations in environmental conditions and paleoproductivity over the last ~ 8000 years off Central Chile (30° S)” by Práxedes Muñoz et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 12 October 2018

The paper presents a multiproxy analysis of two short coastal sediments cores collected off Coquimbo, Chile, with the aim to document paleoclimate and paleoceanographic variability during the Holocene. The data presented is original and valuable to understand the millennial dynamics of the South East Pacific coastal upwelling. Authors analyzed a broad range of geochemical and microfossil indicators which should lead to a robust interpretation. However, substantial work is still needed on the manuscript before being published. I have a few methodological concerns with the chronology and with the way metal concentrations are used, that need to be addressed. The text also requires a lot of work. Except for method sections, the text in general lacks clarity, partly because of inappropriate word choices, and partly because of a lack of

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



focus. The introduction needs to be rewritten since it does not present the context, the research motivation, or the objectives of the work. A proper paleoclimate discussion is missing. Almost no comparison with published results was made and none of the relevant literature on the regional paleoceanography or paleo ENSO is cited.

This study deserves to be published but the manuscript requires substantial revision. So far the article is essentially focused on sediment chemistry but lacks depth in the paleoceanographic interpretation and discussion which is the objective. I recommend a more active contribution of co-authors in writing the introduction, discussion and conclusions.

Detailed comments:

- The presentation of results in the abstract is unclear
- The introduction is a lengthy, disorganized list of unfocused information about upwellings in general and sediment proxies. It needs to be entirely rewritten to present the context, the motivation of the research, the scientific questions, the objectives and the scientific strategies chosen to achieve them.
- L132-139: this paragraph on pigments seems unnecessary
- L145: the words “relevance” and “relevant” are repeatedly used in an inappropriate way throughout the manuscript.
- L167-172: unprecise
- L176-178: the fact that two sediments cores were analyzed and their location should be mentioned in the introduction
- Trace metal concentrations:

The normalization of Me concentrations using Al does not seem justified to me. The analytic technique used here (ICPMS analyses of dissolved samples) yields quantitative and absolute concentration values thanks to the standards used. As far as I know,

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

uncertainties related to machine variability and matrix effects are not an issue with this technique as it would be with laser ablation technique. In addition, Al does not have a conservative behavior as mentioned: figure 10 shows on the contrary a substantial increase of Al concentration through the Holocene. Normalizing systematically with this element may actually produce biased interpretations.

I recommend to use the accumulation rate from the age model and absolute Me concentration to calculate metal fluxes to the sediment.

Since Al has mainly a continental origin, ratios with Al is informative for elements whose flux is related to productivity to discuss relative contribution of marine vs terrestrial contributions in the sediment.

Finally, the usefulness of the enrichment factors is not obvious. Figure 9 is barely discussed. In addition, I wonder if wetland sediments are really representative of crustal metal concentrations since they also contain organic matter.

- Geochronology

L248: Calpal2007_HULU calibration curve is an odd choice for radiocarbon calibration. It is also inconsistent with L255 in which Marine13 is mentioned (which is the correct calibration curve to use). There is a couple of issues with the regional radiocarbon reservoir age used for calibration. First, the method to calculate it is not correct. 14C reservoir age should be calculated in the 14C age scale, not in the calendar scale as it was done here. dR is the difference between the marine sample 14C age and the 14C age that corresponds to the absolute age (here obtained from the 210Pb model) using the Marine13 curve. See Southon et al. (1995) for details on the technique. The dR value obtained here is larger than any dR values obtained previously on the Chilean coast Authors should read and use Ortlieb et al., 2011; Carré et al., 2016; and Merino-Campos et al., 2018. The latter reference presents 37 prebomb dR values all along the Chilean coast measured with a reliable technique. Using a value from this publication would be more reliable. The first 2 references show changes in dR values through the

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



Holocene that should also be discussed. Finally, instead of BC/AD, ages should all be presented in the BP scale as it is usual in paleoceanography for Holocene studies.

- Discussion:

L505-L514: unclear

L521-L536: the discussion about $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values is unclear, in part because there seem to be a confusion between Total organic carbon (TOC) in the water column and suspended particulate organic Matter (SPM). Is it possible that the difference between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in the water and in the sediment are due to the difference between TOC and SPM? A preferential degradation of ^{13}C enriched particles is mentioned (L528-529): could you support this with a reference?

L563-L568: the discussion about K is not very convincing. A reference about the detritic origin of K is needed. Ca could also have a detritic origin so close to the shoreline. Al, Fe are also clear terrestrial input indicators. Why not discuss them together?

L602-L606: references needed

Section 5.3 should be shortened. It is somewhat redundant with other discussion sections and the result section.

- Climatic interpretations

This section lacks in-depth discussion. The results here should be compared to published results to understand how they contribute, support or contradict existing hypothesis about millennial oceanographic variability in Chile.

L720-L723: "past changes are analogue with the present meridional displacement of the ITCZ and the SPCH". This should not be taken as a fact. It is only a hypothesis used as an interpretation model.

L744-L747: this part is unclear and sounds contradictory (a poleward shift of SWW

should not promote humid conditions in central Chile). In addition, this is a model result. Why not compare with existing paleoenvironmental and paleoceanographic data?

There is a series of sediment cores that document past oceanographic conditions in the Peru-Chile upwelling system during the Holocene. This includes Lamy et al (1999, 2001, 2002, 2010), Kim et al. (2002), Hebbeln et al. (2002), Rein et al. (2005), Salvatecci et al. (2014, 2016). On a regional scale, the data presented here confirm a La Niña-like situation in the early to mid-Holocene, which is in agreement with previous datasets including Koutavas et al. (2002), Fontugne et al. (2004), Conroy et al. (2008); Carré et al. (2012), and model experiments such as Brown et al. (2008); Braconnot et al. (2012), Luan et al. (2015). This list is clearly not exhaustive.

The influence of ENSO variability needs obviously to be discussed. It is here briefly mentioned in the text, appears in the key words, but there is no discussion. Data on past ENSO activity do exist (Koutavas et al., 2006; Cobb et al., 2013; Carré et al., 2014) and they need to be included in the discussion if the role of ENSO in the presented data is to be evaluated.

Figure 2: what about st14? Font on Y scale too small Figure 3: SPM is not the same as TOC Figure 5: it is not clear which curve is grain size and which is susceptibility Figure 6: Al and Fe are both related to terrestrial input. What information does Fe/Al provide? Figure 9: This figure is not commented in the text. EF calculation does not seem useful.

References: Braconnot, P., Y. Luan, S. Brewer, and W. Zheng (2012), Impact of Earth's orbit and freshwater fluxes on Holocene climate mean seasonal cycle and ENSO characteristics, *Climate Dynamics*, 38, 1081-1092.

Brown, J., M. Collins, A. Tudhope, and T. Toniazzo (2008), Modelling mid-Holocene tropical climate and ENSO variability: towards constraining predictions of future change with palaeo-data, *Climate Dynamics*, 30, 19-36.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Carré, M., M. Azzoug, I. Bentaleb, B. M. Chase, M. Fontugne, D. Jackson, M.-P. Ledru, A. Maldonado, J. P. Sachs, and A. J. Schauer (2012), Mid-Holocene mean climate in the south-eastern Pacific and its influence on South America, *Quaternary International*, 253, 55-66, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2011.02.004.

Carré, M., D. Jackson, A. Maldonado, B. M. Chase, and J. P. Sachs (2016), Variability of ^{14}C reservoir age and air-sea flux of CO_2 in the Peru-Chile upwelling region during the past 12,000 years, *Quaternary Research*, 85, 87-93, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2015.12.002.

Carré, M., J. P. Sachs, S. Purca, A. J. Schauer, P. Braconnot, R. Angeles Falcón, M. Julien, and D. Lavallée (2014), Holocene history of ENSO variance and asymmetry in the eastern tropical Pacific, *Science*, 345, 1045-1048, 10.1126/science.1252220.

Cobb, K. M., N. Westphal, H. R. Sayani, J. T. Watson, E. Di Lorenzo, H. Cheng, R. L. Edwards, and C. D. Charles (2013), Highly Variable El Niño-Southern Oscillation Throughout the Holocene, *Science*, 339, 67-70, 10.1126/science.1228246.

Conroy, J. L., J. T. Overpeck, J. E. Cole, T. M. Shanahan, and M. Steinitz-Kannan (2008), Holocene changes in eastern Pacific climate inferred from a Galápagos lake sediment record, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 27, 1166-1180.

Fontugne, M., M. Carré, I. Bentaleb, M. Julien, and D. Lavallée (2004), Radiocarbon reservoir age variations in the south Peruvian upwelling during the Holocene., *Radio-carbon*, 46, 531-537.

Hebbeln, D., M. Marchant, and G. Wefer (2002), Paleoproductivity in the southern Peru-Chile current through the last 33 000 yr, *Marine Geology*, 186, 487-504.

Kim, J.-H., R. R. Schneider, D. Hebbeln, P. J. Müller, and G. Wefer (2002), Last deglacial sea-surface temperature evolution in the Southeast Pacific the South American continent, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21, 2085-2097.

Koutavas, A., P. B. deMenocal, G. C. Olive, and J. Lynch-Stieglitz (2006), Mid-Holocene El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) attenuation revealed by individual foraminifera in

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

eastern tropical Pacific sediments, *Geology*, 34, 993-996.

Koutavas, A., J. Lynch-Stieglitz, T. M. Marchitto Jr., and J. P. Sachs (2002), El Niño-like pattern in ice age tropical sea surface temperature, *Science*, 297, 226-230.

Lamy, F., D. Hebbeln, U. Röhl, and G. Wefer (2001), Holocene rainfall variability in southern Chile: a marine record of latitudinal shifts of the southern westerlies, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 185, 369-382.

Lamy, F., D. Hebbeln, and G. Wefer (1999), High-resolution marine record of climatic change in mid-latitude Chile during the last 28,000 years based on terrigenous sediment parameters, *Quaternary Research*, 51, 83-93.

Lamy, F., R. Kilian, H. W. Arz, J.-P. Francois, J. Kaiser, M. Prange, and T. Steinke (2010), Holocene changes in the position and intensity of the southern westerly wind belt, *Nature Geosci*, 3, 695-699.

Lamy, F., C. Rühlemann, D. Hebbeln, and G. Wefer (2002), High- and low-latitude climate control on the position of the southern Peru-Chile current during the Holocene, *Paleoceanography*, 17, 16, 11-10.

Luan, Y., P. Braconnot, Y. Yu, and W. Zheng (2015), Tropical Pacific mean state and ENSO changes: sensitivity to freshwater flux and remnant ice sheets at 9.5 ka BP, *Climate Dynamics*, 1-18, doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2467-7.

Merino-Campos, V., R. De Pol-Holz, J. Southon, C. Latorre, and S. Collado-Fabbri (2018), Marine radiocarbon reservoir age along the Chilean continental margin, *Radio-carbon*, 1-16.

Ortlieb, L., G. Vargas, and J.-F. Saliège (2011), Marine radiocarbon reservoir effect along the northern Chile-southern Peru coast (14-24°S) throughout the Holocene, *Quaternary Research*, 75, 91-103.

Rein, B., A. Lückge, L. Reinhardt, F. Sirocko, A. Wolf, and W.-C. Dullo (2005),

BGD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



El Niño variability off Peru during the last 20,000 years, *Paleoceanography*, 20, 10.1029/2004PA001099.

Salvatteci, R., D. Gutiérrez, D. Field, A. Sifeddine, L. Ortlieb, I. Bouloubassi, M. Bousafir, H. Boucher, and F. Cetin (2014), The response of the Peruvian Upwelling Ecosystem to centennial-scale global change during the last two millennia, *Clim. Past*, 10, 715-731.

Salvatteci, R., D. Gutierrez, A. Sifeddine, L. Ortlieb, E. Druffel, M. Bousafir, and R. Schneider (2016), Centennial to millennial-scale changes in oxygenation and productivity in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific during the last 25,000 years, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 131, Part A, 102-117, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.10.044.

Southon, J. R., A. Oakland Rodman, and D. True (1995), A comparison of marine and terrestrial radiocarbon ages from northern Chile, *Radiocarbon*, 37, 389-393.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-396>, 2018.

BGD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

