Enhanced microbial nitrogen transformations in association with macrobiota from the rocky intertidal
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\textbf{Abstract.} Microbial nitrogen processing in direct association with marine animals and seaweeds is poorly understood. Microbes can both attach to the surfaces of macrobiota and make use of their excreted nitrogen and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). We tested the role of an intertidal mussel (\textit{Mytilus californianus}) and red alga (\textit{Prionitis sternbergii}), as well as inert substrates for microbial activity using enclosed chambers with seawater labeled with \textsuperscript{15}N-enriched ammonium and nitrate. Chambers with only seawater from the same environment served as a control. We found that mussels, their shells from which tissue had been removed, and \textit{Prionitis} all elevated ammonium oxidation and nitrate reduction by two orders of magnitude over seawater alone, while an inert substrate produced relatively little change. Extrapolating to a square meter of shoreline, microbial activity associated with mussels could oxidize 2.5 mmol of ammonium and reduce per 1.2 mmol of nitrate per day. A square meter of seaweed could oxidize 0.13 mmol ammonium per day and reduce the same amount of nitrate. Seawater collected proximal to the shore versus 2-5 km offshore showed no difference in ammonium oxidation or nitrate reduction.
Microbial nitrogen metabolism associated with mussels was not influenced by the time of day. When we experimentally added DOC (glucose) as a carbon source to chambers with the red alga and inert substrates, no change in nitrification rates was observed. Added DOC did increase DIN and phosphorus uptake, indicating that DOC addition stimulated heterotrophic microbial activity, and suggests potential competition for DIN between heterotrophic and chemolithotrophic microbes and their seaweed hosts. Our results demonstrate that microbes in direct association with coastal animals and seaweeds greatly enhance nitrogen processing, and likely provide a template for a diversity of ecological interactions.

1 Introduction

Anthropogenic doubling of the supply of biologically available nitrogen (Galloway et al., 2008)(Fowler et al., 2013) has increased the importance of understanding the multiple components of the nitrogen cycle. In marine ecosystems, microbial activity has been shown to be a key driver in the nitrogen cycle, and while phototrophs can dominate uptake in the water column (Flombaum et al., 2013), chemolithotrophs and chemoheterotrophs have also been shown to be quantitatively significant to nitrogen cycling (Capone et al., 2008; Francis et al., 2007; Zehr and Ward, 2002). In coastal marine areas, the large biomass of macrofauna and macrophytes presents the opportunity for microbial taxa to form associations where microbes have habitat as well as a predictable nitrogen supply (Moulton et al., 2016). Many of these macrobiota are restricted in movement, making them reliable substrates for microbial populations.
There are many quantitative estimates of microbial nitrogen fluxes, including ammonium oxidation (nitrification), in seawater from disparate open ocean locales (Beman, et al., 2011; Ward and Bouskill, 2011). Comparatively, there is little knowledge of the microbially-mediated nitrogen fluxes associated with nearshore species, including whether the presence of animal and plant hosts enhance the diversity and/or intensity of microbial functions. With the harvest and loss of many marine species (Maranger et al., 2008; Worm et al., 2006), the importance of determining the biogeochemical role of microbes associated with macrobiota becomes more urgent. Here, we quantify microbial nitrogen processing in coastal and offshore water and in association with two key coastal species. Because dissolved organic matter is one of the microbial resources supplied by macrobiota in aquatic systems (Hansell and Carlson, 2015), we also manipulated dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration to examine the effect of carbon availability on microbial nitrogen processing.

Across diverse aquatic ecosystems, the metabolic activities of animals and plants can generate the environmental niches necessary for a variety of microbial metabolisms (Allgeier et al., 2014; Croll, 2005; Layman et al., 2011; Schindler et al., 2001; Subalusky et al., 2015; Vanni, 2002). Animals and plants create strong gradients in oxygen and inorganic and organic nutrients such that processes that vary over hundreds of meters or kilometers in the open ocean can change over scales of mm in proximity to an animal (de Goeij et al., 2013) or over a scale of meters relative to species aggregations (Clasen and Shurin, 2015). With respect to temporal variation, the photosynthetic and respiratory processes of coastal biota can drive wide fluctuations in oxygen, possibly leading to both oxidizing and reducing microbial metabolisms in the same location over a diel cycle (e.g., de Goeij et al., 2013; Pfister et al., 2016b).
While strong gradients in biogeochemical cycling and associated microbial activity has been demonstrated in soft sediment communities (Eyre et al., 2011; Grossmann and Reichardt, 1991; Murray et al., 2015), there is increasing documentation that microbial nitrogen processing, including nitrification and nitrate reduction, is enhanced in proximity to surface-dwelling animals in marine systems (Heisterkamp et al., 2013; Pfister et al., 2014b, 2014a, 2016a; Stief, 2013; Welsh and Castadelli, 2004). These enhanced nitrogen metabolisms also contribute to nitrous oxide production (Heisterkamp et al., 2010, 2013), as well as retention of nitrogen (Pfister et al., 2016a).

A further influence of macrobiota on microbial metabolisms, particularly seaweeds, is the production of dissolved organic matter (Reed et al., 2015). Macroalgae produce DOC, likely influencing microbial metabolism. DOC release by macroalgae may stimulate heterotrophic nitrate reduction, where microbes respire DOC with nitrate (NO$_3^-$) or nitrite (NO$_2^-$) as alternative electron acceptors. DOC can also stimulate the oxidation of NH$_4^+$ through heterotrophic nitrification (e.g. Joo et al., 2005). In addition to promoting microbial transformations between NH$_4^+$ and NO$_2$/NO$_3$, enhancing the DOC supply can result in competition between different microbial metabolisms for DIN. Work in streams suggests heterotrophic bacteria may compete with chemolithotrophs for DIN (Butturini and Sabater, 2000), a result that may depend upon the ratio of C:N of available substrates, where increasing DOC increases C:N and promotes nitrogen competition (Strauss and Lamberti, 2000). In sum, increasing the supply of DOC to marine microbes could have opposing effects on nitrification rates. While an increase in ammonium oxidation would indicate stimulation of heterotrophic nitrifiers, a decrease in NH$_4^+$ oxidation rate would be consistent with increased competition for NH$_4^+$ with heterotrophic microbes. Though the precise role of DOC in nitrogen metabolisms is likely varied and still not fully described,
DOC contributes greatly to heterotrophy in microbes and fuels the quantitatively significant ‘microbial loop’ (Azam, 1998).

Because the effects that macrobiota have on both nitrogen excretion and DOC release remain poorly understood, we tested how the presence of the California mussel (*Mytilus californianus*), a red alga (*Prionitis sternbergii*), and the proximity to shore affected microbial nitrogen transformations during both daylight and nighttime periods. We know that both species have a diverse microbial community (Pfister et al., 2014c) and that mussels are a source of nitrogen. Further, *Prionitis* is a common tidepool alga in association with animals. We thus hypothesized that macrobiota (both mussels and algae) would enhance microbial nitrogen cycling, and nearshore seawater would have greater microbial activity compared with offshore because it was in close proximity to the macrobiota. We used gas-tight chambers and added $^{15}$N-enriched ammonium or nitrate to estimate the flux of ammonium and nitrate attributable to microbial activity. Further, gas-tight chambers allowed us to test whether microbial denitrification resulted in loss of nitrogen via N$_2$ gas. By quantifying nitrification, nitrate reduction and denitrification, we could distinguish nitrogen retention versus loss. We then manipulated DOC (in the form of glucose) to test its specific effects on nitrogen transformations. In sum, we asked: 1) how does the presence of mussel, red algal tissue or inert substrates affect microbial nitrogen cycling?, 2) does the microbial activity in seawater differ between from nearshore versus 2-5 km offshore?, 3) are there diel cycles in these microbial nitrogen transformations, and 4) does the addition of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) alter microbial nitrogen metabolism?
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Chambers for assaying microbial metabolisms

In order to quantify how microbial nitrogen transformations contribute to loss and retention of dissolved nitrogen we enclosed seawater and some components of the rocky shore environment within 2 gas-tight Plexiglas chambers. Each 2.26 L chamber measured approximately 15 cm in diameter, 30 cm in height, and contained 2 ports at the top: one for an o-ring sealed connection to an oxygen probe and the other with a septate lid for gas-tight sampling of seawater. From 29 Jun to 22 Aug 2012, 54 assays (27 pairs) were done in the chambers either in situ in tidepools 2 km east of Neah Bay, WA, USA at Second Beach, WA (n=19) (48.23°N, 124.40°W), at the shore at Tatoosh Island, WA (n=26) 48.39°N, 124.74°W) or onboard the R/V Clifford Barnes using seawater 2-5 km from each of these shore-based sites (n=4). By using paired statistical contrasts to compare the treatments described below, we minimized the possibility that environmental variation drove differences between treatments. The Second Beach site is described in Pather et al., (2014) and has tidepools at a height of 1.2 to 1.5 m above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW), with a diversity of species (described in Pfister, 2007; Pfister et al., 2016b). The chambers were anchored into a number of these tidepools for 3-5 hours at a time during periods of low tides when the tidepools were emergent. Thus, the chambers contained tidepool water and were incubated to approximately half of their height (15 cm) under natural light and temperature conditions. Temperature could differ depending on the amount of sun and the air temperature during a given day, and ranged from 10.9 to 21.4°C, though was most often in the range of 13.0 to 14.0 °C. Experimental trials included tidepool seawater only (n= 5), seawater with the California mussel *Mytilus californianus* (n=9, estimated mean dry mass=4.4 g), or seawater with
bioballs and ceramic rings (n=5 or 6 each). Bioballs are topographically complex 26 mm plastic balls used in commercial aquaria to provide substrate for microbes with an estimated 15-20 cm$^2$ surface area, while Filstar™ ceramic rings (1 cm diam) are also used in filtration and have an estimate 6 cm$^2$ surface area (Aquatic Eco-systems™). Both inert substrates were anchored in the tidepools for one month to enable a natural microbial community to develop prior to the experiments.

Because wave action was more significant at Tatoosh Island, chambers were placed on shore in a 15 cm water bath within a shaded styrofoam cooler rather than in tidepools. The cooler, with shading, protected the seawater from reaching high temperatures. The chambers were filled with seawater at the shore of Tatoosh Island and contained seawater only (n=8), seawater with the California mussel *Mytilus californianus* (n=9, mean estimated dry mass=6.2 g), seawater with mussel shells only (n=3, mean estimated dry mass=3.9 g), seawater with the red alga *Prionitis sternbergii* (n=3, estimated wet mass=21.2 g), or seawater with bioballs (n=3). Bioballs had been incubated at the lower edge of the mussel bed for one month prior to use in the chambers. For all experiments, the wet mass of *Prionitis* was weighed with a Pesola™ spring scale, while the mussel dry mass was estimated from individual length measurements of the mussels (Wootton, 2004). For the treatment with mussel shells only, animal tissue was removed immediately prior to the assay, thus testing the role of microbes residing only on the shell. By doing the experiments at each site, Mussels and *Prionitis* were always collected at the site of the experiment, inert substrates were incubated at each site, and seawater was local. We were thus able to make chamber incubations as realistic as possible. Some of the above paired replicates of seawater versus mussels were run during night hours to test for diel differences in microbial activity.
Microbial nitrogen metabolisms were compared in shore-based seawater collections versus seawater collected offshore in 2012. The offshore samples were collected with a CTD rosette system with 10 L Niskin bottles on the R/V Clifford Barnes 2-5 km offshore from Tatoosh Island (48.432°N, 124.73°W) or Second Beach (48.37°N, 124.57°W) at a depth of 1 m and adding $^{15}$N-enriched ammonium. The offshore assays were done with the chambers in a cooler with a water bath onboard the ship deck, again with a depth of 15 cm. Water temperature ranged from 10.7 to 15.5 °C, with most assays in the range of 11.0 to 12.0 °C. We compared ammonium oxidation in 4 replicates of each shore and offshore chambers during Jun and Jul of 2012 by pairing our offshore assays done aboard the R/V Barnes with assays run at the shore at Tatoosh Island or Second Beach within a week of two of the offshore assays.

We initiated each run by filling the chamber with seawater and any macrobiota or bioballs. Oxygen and temperature were immediately recorded by a probe that remained in the chamber through the duration of the experiment. We added sufficient volume of 0.05M of $^{15}$N-labeled ammonium chloride solution ($^{15}$NH$_4$Cl) or sodium nitrate solution (Na$^{15}$NO$_3$) give an approximate enrichment of $^{15}$N of 10000 ‰ (both tracers from Cambridge Isotopes). We thus increased $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ or $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ by a factor of ten with the intention of maximizing our ability to detect the enriched signal in dissolved N$_2$ gas. Both ammonium and nitrate concentrations in seawater in this region are typically high (>2 and >10 µmol L$^{-1}$, respectively), minimizing any concentration-related effects from a tracer addition that ranged from only 8 to 40 µL. The chamber was agitated by hand to mix the tracer and then agitated 3-4 more times during the 3- to 5-hour incubation period (approximately once per hour). No samples were taken during the incubation so that we did not compromise the gas-tight nature of the chambers. At the end of the incubation, we inserted a needle attached to a gas-tight syringe through a rubber septa, drew out
seawater and injected this into a 30 ml serum vial with a rubber stopper that had been evacuated to 160 mtorr with a Welsh 8905 Vacuum Pump. Samples had no head space and were stored at room temperature.

2.2 Testing the effects of adding DOC

We hypothesized that heterotrophic microbes in association with phototrophs would have the capacity to increase nitrification with added DOC. We thus compared bioballs and the red alga *Prionitis* to seawater in an additional experiment in 2014. We tested whether DOC additions enhanced microbial nitrogen processing by increasing the concentration of DOC approximately 6 times above the ambient nearshore concentration to 1000 µM DOC. We added 1.0 ml of a 1.96 M glucose solution to one chamber at the beginning of the experiment while the other served as a control across all paired experiments. We used glucose as a source for DOC because it is a general carbon and energy source for many organisms facilitating comparison with other published studies. All paired experimental runs with added glucose were performed at Tatoosh Island and resulted in 8 paired runs with seawater, and 4 paired experiments each with either bioballs or *Prionitis*. We used an enrichment target of 2000‰ of $\delta^{15}$NH$_4$ (as 0.001M ammonium chloride, $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl), a decreased enrichment compared to those described above because we were not trying to detect an enriched signal in N$_2$ gas. This tripling of $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ allowed us to test whether ammonium oxidation changed with added DOC; an increase in ammonium oxidation would indicate stimulation of heterotrophic nitrifiers, while a decrease would be consistent with increased competition for nitrogen by heterotrophs.

2.3 Quantifying enrichment results
In all experiments, a water sample was collected prior to tracer addition (T₀) to quantify concentrations of ammonium, nitrate, nitrite, phosphorus, and silica, as well as natural abundance isotope levels of δ¹⁵NH₄, δ¹⁵NO₂, and δ¹⁵NO₃. For all experiments, we used these initial measures to calculate the subsequent change in enrichment in unlabeled N pools for rate determination. For labeled forms of N, these data were used to calculate enrichment levels after the addition of tracer. We collected the T₀ sample by filtering ~180 ml of source water through a syringe-filter (Whatman GF/F) into HDPE bottles, which we kept frozen until analysis. For the final sample (Tₜ) after 3-5 hours of incubation, we filtered directly from the individual chamber. All nutrient concentrations were analyzed at the University of Washington Marine Chemistry lab (methods from UNESCO, 1994), while isotope determinations were done at University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth using methodology for isotopic composition reported previously (Pather et al., 2014; Pfister et al., 2014b, 2016b). Briefly, nitrogen stable isotopes of ammonium were measured according to a modified version of the NH₄⁺ oxidation method detailed in Zhang et al., (2007). Ammonium is oxidized to nitrite using a hypobromite solution and then reduced to N₂O using a sodium azide-acetic acid reagent before analysis on an IRMS (isotope ratio mass spectrometer). The stable isotope ratios of nitrate were measured by cadmium reduction to nitrite, followed by reaction with azide to N₂O (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). For the DOC analysis, an additional 25 ml were filtered into a 40 ml VOA vial (Shimadzu Inc) and analyzed at the University of Washington Marine Chemistry lab. We tested for the presence of enriched N₂ gas in the chambers deployed in 2012 using sample collection and analytical procedures described in (Charoenpong et al., 2014). Chamber oxygen and temperature were recorded with a Hach™ HQ4D and a LDO probe. All comparisons of the effect of each substrate
type on nitrogen processing was assessed with ANOVA or paired t-tests using R (www.r-project.org).

2.4 Quantifying microbial transformations

Stable isotope enrichment experiments quantify nitrogen processing in marine environments by tracking the transfer of the tracer between its source and product pools (Glibert, Pamela M. et al., 1982; Lipschultz, 2008). The traditional isotope tracer transfer model generally involves estimating a single rate parameter from time 0 to time t (Lipschultz, 2008) and has the general form:

\[ Rate = \frac{(R_k(t) - R_k(o))}{[(R_s(o) - R_k(o)) \times \Delta t] \times [\bar{k}]} \]  

Equation (1)

where \( k \) is the sink or product pool at time \( t \) (or the average \( \bar{k} \)), \( s \) is the source pool and \( R \) designates the atom % \( \frac{(^{15}N)(^{15}N + ^{14}N) \times 100}{ } \) of either the source or sink. The source-product model (Equation 1), is thus used to estimate individual nitrogen transformation rates. We estimated ammonium oxidation by quantifying \( ^{15}N \) enrichment in nitrite following \( ^{15}NH_4^+ \) addition. Similarly, nitrate reduction to nitrite was estimated from \( ^{15}N \) enrichment in nitrite following \( ^{15}NO_3^- \) addition.

A previous study of enrichment in tidepools showed substantial oxidation and reduction in inorganic nitrogen that was best described with differential equation models fit to multiple time points, and underestimated with source-product models (Pfister et al., 2016b). Source-product models likely underestimated the oxidation of ammonium here too because remineralization by species within the chamber diluted the \( ^{15}NH_4^+ \) tracer. We nevertheless used the simpler source-product models because we had only a two-sampling protocol, at the beginning and the end of the experiment, to prevent gas escape. Isotope dilution is important and indicates ammonium remineralization by species within the chamber. We quantified ammonium remineralization in
chambers with $^{15}$NH$_4^+$ tracer using the methods of (Pather et al., 2014). Briefly by fitting an exponential model to the decline in $\delta^{15}$NH$_4$ from the beginning to the end of the experiment $y=ae^{-bx}$. The parameters $a$ and $b$ were fitted where $b$ was the exponential decay constant in the $\delta^{15}$N$_{NH4}$ enrichment. Remineralization rates were thus calculated as:

$$\text{NH}_4^+ \text{ remineralization} = |b| \times [\text{NH4}]$$

(Equation 2) in nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, where $[\text{NH4}]$ was the mean concentration of ammonium in nM at the beginning and end of the experiment.

3 Results

3.1 Dynamics of nutrients and isotopes in chambers

The presence of either the California mussel or the red alga Prionitis amplified net changes to ammonium and nitrate concentration in the experimental chambers compared with chambers that contained bioballs or only coastal seawater during daylight hours (Fig 1). Chambers during daylight hours with Prionitis and mussels had increased ammonium over the course of the experiment compared with the relatively unchanged coastal seawater and bioball treatments (Fig 1a, $F_{5,51}$=6.150, p<0.001), while nitrate decreased with Prionitis and increased with mussels (Fig 1c, $F_{5,51}$=3.512, p=0.008). Changes in nitrite did not differ among treatments (Fig 1b, $F_{5,51}$=0.66, p=0.659).

The dynamics of $\delta^{15}$N$_{NH4}$, $\delta^{15}$N$_{NO2}$, and $\delta^{15}$N$_{NO3}$ within the chambers revealed transfer of $^{15}$N isotope and thus microbial transformations. When $^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ was added, enrichment in $\delta^{15}$N$_{NO2}$, and $\delta^{15}$N$_{NO3}$ and any dilution in the $\delta^{15}$N$_{NH4}$ signal was measured (Figure A1 a, b). Similarly, enrichment in $\delta^{15}$N$_{NH4}$ and $\delta^{15}$N$_{NO2}$ followed the addition of $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ (Figure A1 c, d). Deviations in our target of initial enrichment (10000 and 2000‰) occurred due to natural
variation in nutrient concentrations at the time of tracer addition and was factored into rate calculations.

3.2 Nitrogen transformation rates

Microbial nitrogen processing rates increased when either the California mussel or the red alga *Prionitis* was present. Ammonium oxidation rates with the mussel (mean of 14.1 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) or red alga (32.8 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) were two orders of magnitude greater than ammonium oxidation in seawater only or with bioball surfaces which were less than 1 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (Fig 1d, F$_{5,25}$=15.19, p<0.001, logged values). Our estimates of nitrate reduction from the addition of Na$^{15}$NO$_3$ were also 2 orders of magnitude greater with *Prionitis* (63.7 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and mussels (6.7 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) compared with bioballs and seawater (Figure 1e, F$_{5,19}$=17.64, p<0.001, logged values). For all these estimates of microbial nitrogen processing, we found high overlap in the rates estimated with living, intact mussels compared with mussel shells only, indicating that the responsible microbes reside on the shell surface, rather than the mussel tissues (Figure 1d, e).

The presence of mussels was further associated with increased ammonium remineralization and was double the rate with with bioballs and the red alga *Prionitis*, and an order of magnitude more than that for seawater alone (Figure 1f).

Our estimates of mussel or algal mass within each chamber resulted in per gram estimates of the effect of these macrobiota on nitrogen transformation rates. For every gram of mussel dry mass, 3.21 nmol (se=0.64) of ammonium were oxidized per L per hour, while 1.60 nmol of nitrate were reduced (se=0.41). A comparable contribution is made per g of *Prionitis* wet mass with 1.50 nmol ammonium oxidized (se=0.27) and 1.56 nmol nitrate reduced (se=0.72).

3.3 Day versus night nitrogen transformations
There was no difference between daytime and nighttime nitrification rates in association with mussels or in seawater alone (Figure 2, $F_{1,11}=0.583$, $p=0.461$) recalling that mussel-associated ammonium oxidation rates were two orders of magnitude higher than for seawater only. Seawater nitrate reduction rates during the day (1.15 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) were four times greater than those at night (0.26 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, Fig 2b; day>night, $F_{1,14}=5.83$, $p=0.030$) also keeping in mind that overall rates were ten times higher when mussels were present as compared to only seawater (mussels>seawater, $F_{1,14}=68.1$, $p<0.001$).

DIN uptake in chambers could be due to both microbial transformations or seaweed uptake. Comparing tracer-based rate estimates with changes in concentration, we find that nitrate reduction accounted for as little as 4.2% of the decrease in nitrate concentration during the day, but as much as 87.2% at night. Estimates of ammonium oxidation revealed that ammonium oxidation made up 5.2 – 7.4 % of total ammonium uptake during the day.

### 3.4 Onshore versus offshore microbial nitrogen transformations

The seawater only chambers showed no difference in ammonium oxidation (nitrification) rates whether collected at the shore (mean=0.23 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) or offshore (mean=1.12, Fig 3a, $t_{3.8}=1.65$, $p=0.177$), although the sample size was low ($n=4$). Overall, there was little change in nutrient concentration when seawater from either offshore or nearshore was isolated; the overall mean change in DIN was less than 1 µM for both nearshore (-0.70) and offshore (0.63) no significant difference between them ($t=1.31$, $p=0.260$). There was also no difference in silicate uptake between the two regions ($t=-0.679$, $p=0.525$), indicating that diatom activity did not differ in the two regions.
3.5 Nitrogen transformation rates with added DOC

On average, the coastal seawater that was used in the chambers had a DOC concentration of 145 µM; replicates with the addition of glucose increased DOC approximately 6 times that amount to 1000 µM. In the presence of Prionitis, DOC also increased with a mean of 9.31 mmol C per L per hour over the course of the experiment (n=4). Nitrification rates did not change significantly when glucose was added (Fig 4a), although we acknowledge that our sample size was small and nitrification was not detected in some instances across both treatments, perhaps impeding a strong test of glucose effects as nitrification was not detected in some instances across both treatments. However, DOC addition did change nutrient uptake rates. The addition of DOC to experimental chambers with Prionitis, bioballs, or in seawater alone generally resulted in greater uptake of nitrite and nitrate with Prionitis, bioballs or in seawater alone while ammonium showed a trend toward greater uptake only with Prionitis, otherwise there was little overall change in ammonium concentration (Fig 4 b,c,d). DOC addition was also associated with an increased uptake of DIN and phosphorus, regardless of the composition of the chamber (Fig 4 e,f). Silica was unchanged with bioballs or seawater alone, while there was greater uptake of silicate with Prionitis, suggesting Prionitis hosts diatoms (Fig 4g).

The greater uptake of DIN in chambers with supplemental DOC could be due to increased microbial assimilation and respiration or both with DOC. The effect of glucose on the uptake of DIN or phosphorus did not differ based on whether seawater, bioballs or Prionitis was in the chamber (F_{2,31}=0.645, p=0.531 and F_{2,31}=0.264, p=0.770, respectively), suggesting that the background metabolism of heterotrophic bacteria was the same regardless of the macrobiota or substrate available. If microbial respiration increased with added DOC, we were unable to detect it by measuring oxygen concentrations. Whether we pooled treatments for seawater, bioballs and
or examined them separately, dissolved oxygen measurements did not differ (t= 1.125, p=0.277, df=16).

4 Discussion

4.1 Seascape Scale Importance of Macrobiota for Microbial N Metabolism

The per mass estimates of microbial nitrogen transformations that we measured reveal significant macrobiota-associated microbial processing rates along coastal shorelines. Studies from (Wootton, 2004) estimate that a square meter of mussel bed can contain 32,425 g dry mass of mussel. Extrapolating from our measurements for both day and night, microbial nitrification in a square meter of mussel bed would amount to 2.5 mmol per day, with an additional 1.2 mmol of nitrate reduction. As a comparison, at this site it would take a volume of seawater of 1 million liters to host the same microbial nitrogen metabolism, the equivalent of a 10 m by 10 m area of the ocean to 10 m depth (1000 m$^3$).

A similar calculation can be done for macroalgae using Paine's (2002) estimates of macroalgal mass in control plots in the intertidal at Tatoosh Island (8.6 kg per square meter). If *Prionitis* has any functional similarity to other seaweeds sampled by (Paine, 2002), then ammonium oxidation could reach 0.13 mmol per day for a square meter of seaweed with a mass of 8.6 kg, while nitrate reduction would occur at approximately the same rate. While these rates are an order of magnitude less than mussels, the macroalga contribution is still substantial and comparable to water column nitrification or nitrate reduction only when we consider a volume greater than 129,000 liters, or a sea surface area in excess of a meter on a side and 10 m depth. Even if *Prionitis* is exceptional with respect to microbial function when compared with other seaweeds, the potential contribution of macroalgae to microbial function could be substantial.
Thus, independent of macroalgal effects on DIN uptake (Fig 1a, b, c) or ammonium remineralization by mussels (Fig 1f), the microbiome of each of these species makes distinct contributions to nitrogen cycling. Our measurements emphasize the quantitative importance of common nearshore species to the nitrogen cycle, and highlights how nearshore areas may differ from those offshore.

We demonstrated that seawater isolated from the immediate vicinity of benthic substrates had similar rates of nitrogen metabolism to offshore water (Fig 3). As this measurement indicates no difference in the activity of suspended microbes, we conclude that microbial metabolism was elevated due to microbes directly associated with the mussel and the red alga. Previous analyses of 16s rRNA sequencing of mussels tissue, mussel shell, *Prionitis*, seawater and inert surfaces show that microbial communities can be distinct on these substrates (Pfister et al., 2014b). Further, metagenomic analysis of mussel shell microbes both indicate DNA sequences associated with a diversity of nitrogen metabolisms (Pfister et al., 2010). The similarity of nearshore to offshore microbial function would appear at odds with our previous work showing that natural isotopes of ammonium and nitrate (δ^{15}N\textsubscript{NH4} and δ^{15}N\textsubscript{NO3}) are enriched near the shore, indicating increased microbial processing. However, the nearshore results likely reflect benthic-associated activity influencing adjacent seawater.

### 4.2 Microbial Metabolism and Dissolved Organic Carbon

When considering the effect of DOC in microbial assemblages, there are 3 groups of microbes that might be affected. There are nitrifiers that are either heterotrophic or chemolithotrophic (Ward, 2008), as well as heterotrophic bacteria that might consume DOC and assimilate ammonium, but not nitrify (e.g. Kirchman, 1994). Thus, added DOC might be expected to increase heterotrophic nitrification if DOC was limiting nitrifier growth.
Alternatively, added DOC could decrease nitrification if generalist heterotrophic bacteria assimilating ammonium were stimulated and then outcompete chemolithotrophs oxidizing ammonium (Butturini and Sabater, 2000), although we do not know if ammonium was ever limiting. A third possibility is that heterotrophic nitrifiers are such a small percentage of nitrification activity that there is no detectable effect of elevated DOC. We found mixed evidence for the effects of DOC on nitrification. Ammonium oxidation was never stimulated by DOC (Figure 4); if anything, there was a nonsignificant trend of decreased ammonium oxidation with glucose, suggesting that general heterotrophic bacteria were consuming the elevated DOC. Our DOC additions were accompanied by decreased dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus in the surrounding seawater, suggesting that heterotrophic microbial metabolism increased, a result consistent with other glucose addition studies with microbes (Zhang et al., 2013). Bacterial production in seawater has been shown to increase with glucose addition (Caron et al., 2000; Jacquet et al., 2002), with heterotrophic bacteria released from carbon limitation when DOC is added (Jacquet et al., 2002; Joint et al., 2002). In streams, glucose additions have resulted in decreased nitrification (Strauss and Lamberti, 2000), a result attributed to heterotrophic bacteria in direct competition with nitrifiers. While Strauss and Lamberti (2000) documented decreased oxygen concentration and increased respiration with added DOC, we detected no effect of DOC on the change in oxygen within chambers (Fig. 4h). The unknown contribution of photosynthesis to oxygen concentrations, as well as the relatively high oxygen content of the seawater in these locales could have masked oxygen differences. Nonetheless, DOC stimulated nutrient uptake, presumably by heterotrophic microbes, and the effect of DOC was the same whether seawater, bioballs or Prionitis was in the chamber (Fig 4b-i). Thus, the background metabolism of heterotrophic bacteria was unchanged even when Prionitis was
present and reduced chamber DIN concentrations 6.5 μM over the course of the experimental
runs.

A final explanation for increased DIN uptake with added DOC is that bacteria are able to
compete with any phototrophs for nitrate when an organic carbon source is increased (e.g. (Diner
e et al., 2016). Nitrate reduction rates are high with Prionitis and this alga also provisions DOC,
perhaps promoting the coupling of heterotrophy and nitrate reduction. Whether any of the
decreased nitrate concentration associated with Prionitis in chambers could be attributed to
heterotrophic nitrate reduction is unknown at this time, because our experiments with added
DOC did not assay nitrate reduction, only ammonium oxidation.

In sum, while DOC concentrations can be elevated in nearshore areas compared with
offshore, there was little evidence that enhanced DOC changed nitrification rates, even in the
chambers with Prionitis, where DIN levels were lower due to seaweed uptake. Whether
heterotrophic nitrifiers are present remains unknown, though previous analysis of microbes at
these sites suggest the presence of taxa associated with heterotrophic nitrification (e.g.
Arthrobacter (Hynes and Knowles, 1982), Crenarchaeota (Offre et al., 2013), and Alcaligenes
faecalis (Joo et al., 2005), though they were detected in only a small fraction of samples (Pfister
et al., 2014b). Analysis of 16s rRNA of seawater, mussels and Prionitis do show sharp
distinctions in β–diversity, with some taxa unique to each (Pfister et al., 2014b).

Taken together our data suggest that chemolithotrophic nitrifiers are dominating
nitrification in this area. Other heterotrophic bacteria can noticeably depress DIN and phosphate
concentrations when DOC is supplemented, suggesting there may be some carbon limitation for
heterotrophic microbial metabolisms. If, as suggested by (Strauss and Lamberti, 2000), the C:N
ratio available to microbes, either in the water column or in the substrate they are using,
determines the relative fitness of heterotrophic bacteria versus chemolithotrophic nitrifiers, then
the many regions where DIN concentrations in seawater are lower than they are at our
Washington coastal sites may show a different result.

Of note is that many seaweeds produce detectable amounts of DOC in coastal areas (Wada
and Hama, 2013), with as much as 14% of net primary production being released as DOC in a
kelp species (Reed et al., 2015). Among other seaweeds, 20 to 30% of released DOC can be
taken up within 2 hours (Brylinsky, 1977), suggesting an active heterotrophic assemblage in
proximity. Seaweeds also have a diverse assemblage of microbial associates (Lemay et al., 2018;
Marzinelli et al., 2018; Michelou et al., 2013; Pfister et al., 2014b). Which of these associated
microbes benefit from this DOC and whether others are inhibited is unknown. While we tested
the effect of elevated glucose on nitrification with $^{15}$N-enriched ammonium, a next step is to test
if those microbes involved in the nitrate reduction pathways are affected by glucose addition.

Macrobiota that serve as hosts for microbes provide a predictable substrate for attachment
in a fluid environment and provide dissolved organic matter in many forms (Carlson and
Hansell, 2015). The mussels studied here also excrete ammonium and likely DON (Bayne and
Scullard, 1977; Pather et al., 2014). Their filter feeding activities release DOC in many forms,
and continually process organic matter that can be utilized by microbes (Jacobs et al., 2015).
Through filter feeding and mucus production, there is increasing evidence that marine
invertebrates and microbes are connected through their production and use of dissolved organic
matter (Rädecker et al., 2015; Rix et al., 2016).

4.3 The multiple factors influencing nitrogen availability
Our experiments provide insight into the fate of nitrogen in coastal systems. While ammonium oxidation and nitrate reduction rates were two orders of magnitude higher than any water column estimates, we have no evidence that nitrate reduction continued through to denitrification and the release of N$_2$ gas as we never detected enriched $^{15}$N in N$_2$ gas (e.g. (Jensen et al., 2011), despite our ability to detect a 1.0 per mil enrichment in N$_2$ gas. Thus, nitrogen was being retained in our experimental system. If ammonium oxidation and nitrate reduction are occurring relatively constantly, as suggested by our experiments, then a diversity of microbially-mediated DIN dynamics may take place across microenvironments that differ in oxygen levels. The net result could be continued microbial use of ammonium and nitrate and the ability for the microenvironment surrounding the animal or seaweed to sustain a range of microbial metabolisms, a result obtained for other marine invertebrates (de Goeij et al., 2013; Heisterkamp et al., 2013). Research in tidepools containing these same species has also shown both nitrogen oxidation and reduction processes (Pfister et al., 2016b). In all instances to date, the metabolism of the host macrobiota results in a daily range of oxygen levels, thus providing a diversity of environmental niches that favor different microbial transformations through time.

5 Conclusions

The marine mussel and alga species studied here were loci for microbial nitrogen metabolism, elevating ammonium oxidation and nitrate reduction two orders of magnitude over that of seawater alone. For mussels, microbial nitrogen processing did not differ between daylight and nighttime hours. While the addition of DOC did not increase ammonium oxidation, it resulted in greater uptake of DIN, suggesting that DOC stimulated heterotrophic microbial activity. In addition to providing a template for a diverse set of ecological interactions, the
marine macrobiota studied here hosted a diverse set of microbial metabolisms and enhanced rates of carbon and nitrogen cycling in coastal ecosystems.

**Code and data availability.** Available from the authors upon request.

**Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to the Makah Tribal Council for access to Tatoosh Island and Second Beach. We thank S. Betcher, L. Harris, G. Siegmund, K. Thomas, A.M., J. B. and J. T. Wootton for help in the field, J. Larkum for analyzing seawater isotopes, and S. Pather for foundational work. B. Weigel provided helpful feedback and discussion. An anonymous reviewer improved a previous version of the manuscript. The University of Chicago Physics shop ably helped with the design and construction of the chambers. Funding was provided by NSF-OCE 09-28232 (CAP), NSF-OCE 09-28152 (MAA). Captain R. McQuin and the crew of the R/V C. Barnes, especially J. Postels, facilitated the offshore sampling.

**References**


**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

Figure 1. The change in the concentrations of DIN (a. ammonium, b. nitrite, c. nitrate) in uM over the course of daytime experiments when only seawater was present, versus the addition of bioballs or mussels or *Prionitis* displayed as boxplots. Nitrogen transformation rates (in nmol L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) for d. ammonium oxidation, e. nitrate reduction, and f. the ammonium remineralization rate. Letters indicate statistical differences with ANOVA and Tukey HSD. The box shows 50% of the data, the horizontal line is the median, and the vertical lines represent the first and fourth quartiles. Where vertical lines are absent, they are contained within the boxes. Outliers are shown as individual points outside the vertical lines and are 1.5 times the value beyond the top or bottom of the box.

Figure 2. The ammonium oxidation rate (a.) and the nitrate reduction rate (b.) contrasted between day and night hours for mussels or seawater at the shore. Data are log-transformed (from nmol L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) to facilitate comparison. Rates with mussels were always greater (for a. \( F_{1,11}=52.59, p<0.001 \), and b. \( F_{1,14}=68.14, p<0.001 \)). Ammonium oxidation rates in association with mussels or in seawater alone did not differ between day and night (\( F_{1,11}=0.58, p=0.461 \)), while nitrate reduction in seawater was greater during the day (\( F_{1,14}=5.83, p=0.030 \)). Boxplots as in Figure 1. Changes to the isotopes of inorganic nitrogen are shown in Figure A1.

Figure 3. a. The ammonium oxidation rate in surface seawater collected at the shore versus 2-5 km offshore, based on 4 trials in each locale in Jun and Jul of 2012. The rates did not differ
(t= t_{3,8}=1.65, p=0.177). The change in b. DIN and c. Silica also did not differ whether seawater was from the shore or offshore (b. DIN t_{3,8}=1.31, p=.260, c. Silica t_{3,8}=0.68, p=0.525). All rates in nmol L^{-1} h^{-1}. Boxplots as in Figure 1.

Figure 4. The effect of supplemental DOC on a. the rate of ammonium oxidation (in nmol L^{-1} h^{-1}), b-g the change in nutrient concentrations (µM), and h. the oxygen concentration (in mg L^{-1}). An * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) between the control and the DOC addition for each of seawater alone, or seawater with bioballs or the red alga Prionitis. ‡ indicates 0.10>p<0.05. Boxplots as in Figure 1.
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Figure A1. An example of each substrate type in an enrichment with $^{15}$N-$\text{NH}_4^+$ (a, b) and $^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$ (c, d). When $^{15}$N-$\text{NH}_4^+$ was added, enrichment in $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{NO}_2}$ (solid line) and $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{NO}_3}$ (dashed line) was measured (b), while enrichment in $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{NH}_4}$ (dotted line, c.) and $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{NO}_2}$ (d) followed the addition of $^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$. Ammonium regeneration in the chambers, particularly mussels, diluted the $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{NH}_4}$ signal (a. below and Fig 1f). Deviations in our target of initial enrichment (10000 and 2000‰) occurred due to natural variation in nutrient concentrations at the time of tracer addition.