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Sparrow and Kessler raise some valid points regarding the potential for contamination in the Sapart et al. study of marine 14CH4 in the ESAS.

To further demonstrate the vulnerability for 14CH4 contamination (which I agree is not well addressed by Sapart et al.), I would refer the readers to Figure 3 in Dean et al. 2017 (doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.009) - Figure 1 here - where we showed how important the correction for atmospheric 14CH4 contamination is in natural abundance...
14CH4 samples. This demonstrates that very small inputs of extreme 14C outliers can have a significant effect on bulk natural abundance 14C samples, and therefore assessing blanks etc are crucial to a study of this nature (although having worked with this lab group myself I feel confident they can comfortably address these questions).

I look forward to the response from Sapart et al., as their study is an important contribution on the subject of methane emissions from the ESAS.
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