Comments to reviews of our manuscript, # bg-2018-138

Dear editor,

we appreciate the positive evaluation of our manuscript entitled "A systematic look at chromium isotopes in modern shells – implications for paleo-environmental reconstructions" by two anonymous reviewers. We are thankful for their constructive comments and the suggestions added by associate editor Aninda Mazumdar. We have implemented their suggestions in a revised manuscript and respond in a point-by-point manner below:

Referee #1

We have implemented and corrected the technical corrections pointed out by this reviewer.

1. All units are now separated from the respective numbers
2. Corrected to $\delta^{53}$Cr
3. Corrected to $\delta^{53}$Cr
4. Corrected to Pereira et al. (2015)
5. “1” inserted
6. Excluded “a”

Referee #2

Technical comments: we have now transferred discussion text inter mingled the results chapter to the actual discussion chapter. We now instead discuss the seawater data and data from the respective shell transect in separate short sub-chapters (5.1 and 5.2).

There is a lack of modern seawater – carbonate sediment pair data that would make calculations of distribution coefficients for abiogenic carbonates possible. We have added, at the end of chapter 5.3, a respective distribution coefficient value from the data in Holmden et al. (2016) for seawater and carbonate sediment form Jamaica, to our knowledge the only data set that allows for calculation of an abiogenic distribution coefficient.

To our recollection we intensely discuss all the possibilities for explaining the isotopically light Cr uptake into calcifying organisms in our discussion. We here emphasize that the isotopically light Cr signatures measured in all shells are compatible with results from foraminifera and corals and all in all point to a redox process during Cr uptake into the shell carbonates. With the presently scarce data sets available, Cr(III) uptake via organic ligands would rather prefer isotopically heavy Cr as suggested by Saad et al. (2017), a contribution which we cite in our manuscript.

Line 371. Added the “.”

Line 650: changed to “on average”

Line 750: changed to “future”.

Associate editor Aninda Mazumdar
A. We have clarified the requirements for sample sizes in the respective chapter. We do not have TOC values of the sample studied that could substantiate the relation between Cr concentrations and organic material in the shells. Our incineration experiments however clearly point to the importance of organic matter in this respect.

B. We would like to keep the reference to the Farkas et al. paper as our study is a direct follow up on Farkas et al.’s investigations. The Farkas et al. manuscript is now in press with *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, and it will be potentially published completely at the time our study could be published in *Biogeosciences*. For your convenience, we have uploaded the “proofs” of the Farkas et al. paper soon to appear. The reason why we would like to keep referring to this study is that it is the only one to data that allow a comparison of marine mollusk Cr isotope data with ambient seawater and therefore sets an appropriate frame for our contribution. We allows us to amend (following the our own marked up revised manuscript) the proofs of the Farkas et al paper as a guarantee/proof that this article is now officially in press and soon to be allocated respective volume, issue and page numbers.

C. We fully agree that the Ce anomaly – Cr isotope relationship in Farkas et al. has no relationship to our study, and we have therefore deleted all the parts that refer to this peculiarity in our revised manuscript.

D. It is correct that we do not have Eh and oxygen data on the surface waters in which the mollusks thrived. In this respect, we do not have control on seasonal variations in Cr concentrations and Cr isotopes that could substantiate the intra-species variations we see in the transect samples. All we can say at this stage is that we have results that show redox variations in surface waters recorded in Baltic seawater (study by Paulukat et al. 2015, cited in our manuscript), which clearly are dictated by algae blooms that fractionate and strip Cr effectively form the water columns.

E. We would strongly disagree with this as hitherto no solid experimental data exist that constrains isotope effects during inorganic incorporation of Cr into carbonates. The only study that approaches this issue is that by Rodler et al. which we extensively cite in our manuscript.

F. We have tried to shorten the manuscript as much as possible, particularly we have deleted sections in the chapter that describes our model in relation to known observations of organic material in shell structures. Also, the many referring paragraphs to Farkas et al. with respect to Ce anomalies are deleted. However, we would like to keep the aspects of paleo redox reconstructions that potentially are doable when appropriate data on modern systems are available. The major aim with using shells as marine Cr isotope archives is their potential use for reconstructing redox variations in ancient oceans, with important implications and links to climate change on land.

We hope that our revised manuscript is a significantly improved version that meets the standards of *Biogeosciences* for publication.

Thanks in advance and very best regards

[Signature]

Robert Frei (on behalf of all coauthors)
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Abstract. The chromium isotope system \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr}/\delta^{52}\text{Cr} \) expressed as \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) relative to NIST SRM 979 in marine biogenic and non-biogenic carbonates is currently being evaluated as a proxy for the redox state of the ocean. Previous work has concentrated on using corals and foraminifera for this purpose, but investigations focusing on the behavior of Cr in bivalves as potential archives are lacking. Due to their often good preservation, fossil marine biogenic carbonates have the potential to serve as useful archives for the reconstruction of past ocean redox fluctuations and eventually link those to climatic changes throughout Earth’s history. Here, we present an evaluation of the Cr isotope system in shells of some modern bivalves. Shell species from Lucinidae, Cardiidae, Glycimerididae, and Pectenidae, collected systematically from one Mediterranean location (Playa Poniente, Benidorm, Spain) over a three year period, reveal \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) values ranging from 0.15 to 0.65 ‰, values that are systematically below the local seawater \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) value of 0.83 +/- 0.05 ‰. This attests for significant reduction of dissolved seawater chromium in the process leading to calcification and thus for control of Cr isotope fractionation during biological routes. A similar, constant offset in \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) values relative to surface seawater is observed in shells from \textit{Mytilus edulis} from an arctic location (Godhavn, Disko Bay, Greenland). Chromium concentrations in the studied shells are significantly controlled by organic matter and typically range from 0.020 to 0.100 ppm, with some higher concentrations of up to 0.163 ppm recorded in Pectenidae. We also observe subtle, species-dependent differences in average Cr
isotope signatures in the samples from Playa Poniente, particularly of Lucidinidae and Cardiidae, with considerably depressed and elevated $\delta^{53}$Cr values, respectively, relative to the other species investigated. Within-species heterogeneities, both in Cr concentrations and $\delta^{53}$Cr values, are favorably seen to result from vital effects during shell calcification rather than from heterogeneous seawater composition. This is because we observe that the surface seawater composition in the particular Playa Poniente location remained constant during July month of the three years we collected bivalve samples. Within single shell heterogeneities associated with growth zones reflecting one to several years of growth, both in $\delta^{53}$Cr and Cr concentrations, are observed in a sample of Placuna placenta and Mimachlamys townsendi. We suspect that these variations are, at least partially, related to seasonal changes in $\delta^{53}$Cr of surface seawaters. Recognizing the importance of organic substances in the bivalve shells, we propose a model whereby reduction of Cr(VI) originally contained in the seawater as chromate ion and transported to the calcifying space, to Cr(III), is effectively adsorbed onto organic macromolecules which eventually get included in the growing shell carbonates. This study, with its definition of statistically sound offsets in $\delta^{53}$Cr values of certain bivalve species from ambient seawater, forms a base for futures investigations aimed at using fossil shells as archives for the reconstruction of paleo-seawater redox fluctuations.

1. **Introduction**

Redox processes on land lead to mobilization of Cr from weathering rocks and soils into the run-off. It is now known that oxidation of silicate- and oxide mineral hosted Cr(III), potentially with catalytic help of MnO$_2$, to Cr(VI) is accompanied by an isotopic fractionation rendering the mobilized Cr(VI) isotopically heavier (Ellis et al., 2002; Zink et al., 2010; Døssing et al., 2011). Recently, an alternative, redox-independent pathway of Cr mobilization, through ligand-promoted dissolution of Cr-containing solids, was advocated by Saad et al. (2017). This mobilization path bases on the ability of organic acids and siderophores to efficiently bind Cr(III) whereby respective ligand formation is
accompanied by isotope fractionation effects, leading to Cr(III) being enriched in $^{53}$Cr very much like in redox-dependent mobilization paths.

The fate of Cr transported to the oceans, its transfer/removal to marine sediments and its cycling through marine organisms, is largely unexplored and complex. Much research focus today is on the understanding of the redox cycling of Cr in the ocean system, and on investigating marine sediments and marine organisms as potential archives for recording past redox conditions of the ocean-atmosphere system through geological time (Frei et al., 2009; Frei et al., 2011; Frei et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2016; Bonnard et al., 2013; Planavsky et al., 2014; Holmden et al., 2016; D'Arcy et al., 2017; Rodler et al., 2016a; Rodler et al., 2016b; Gilleaudeau et al., 2016). It is conceivable that the Cr isotope composition of seawater and marine chemical sediments reflect a complex signal of oxidation/reduction processes operating within the oceans (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Paulukat et al., 2016), and it is therefore that one must first understand the individual processes and mechanisms that governs the transfer of dissolved Cr in seawater into the respective potential archives. Recent studies (Rodler et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016) have paved the road, but further systematic investigations in both natural and laboratory-controlled settings are required.

Although naturally depleted in chromium (containing only ppb to ppm levels), marine carbonates provide a potentially valuable archive of (i) the paleo-seawater $^{53}$Cr composition, and/or (ii) the past redox conditions of the oceanic water masses. Due to basically continuous geological record of marine carbonates throughout most of the Earth’s history, the Cr isotope studies on marine carbonate archives are appealing and potentially relevant to addressing the bigger question of the Earth’s oxygenation and temporal evolution of atmospheric O$_2$-levels through geological time. Nevertheless, as shown by recent studies (Rodler et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016) the actual mechanism(s) of the redox-controlled isotope fractionation associated with the incorporation of Cr from seawater into inorganic and biogenic carbonates is rather complex and poorly understood, thus requiring further systematic investigations in both natural and laboratory-controlled settings.
Available results from inorganic calcite precipitation experiments revealed that the incorporation of Cr from a solution into CaCO$_3$ is facilitated as chromate anion (CrO$_4^{2-}$), which replaces carbonate anion (CO$_3^{2-}$) in the calcite lattice (Tang et al., 2007). This process of inorganic calcification tends to preferentially incorporate heavy $^{53}$Cr isotopes into the mineral, yielding the $\delta^{53}$Cr of calcite that is up to $\sim$0.3‰ more positive compared to the fluid; unless the latter is a Cr-poor solution (such as seawater) in which case the isotope fractionation between inorganic calcite and the fluid is negligible (Rodler et al., 2015).

In contrast, results from biologically produced CaCO$_3$ minerals, such as foraminiferal calcite (Wang et al., 2016) and/or coral aragonite (Pereira et al., 2015), confirmed that these marine organisms produce CaCO$_3$ skeletons that are systematically negatively fractionated, up to $\sim$1‰, compared to ambient seawater. Similarly, data by Holmden et al. (2016) from the modern Caribbean Sea show that the $\delta^{53}$Cr of bulk carbonate sediments is about 0.46 ± 0.14‰ lower relative to local seawater. These results therefore oppose those from inorganic calcite precipitation experiments (cf., Rodler et al. (2015)).

Furthermore, due to a local redox cycling and biological uptake of Cr in the oceans (Semeniuk et al., 2016), the Cr isotope signature of present-day seawater is not globally homogeneous (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Paulukat et al., 2016). This additionally complicates the application of $\delta^{53}$Cr measurements in marine carbonate archives with respect to deducing information regarding global ocean redox, and implications thereof for climatic changes, on Earth through time. Considering the abovementioned issues and limitations, the full potential of Cr isotopes for paleo-redox studies can only be realized with more detailed calibration work done on modern seawater-carbonate systems from different oceanographic settings and locations, where $\delta^{53}$Cr data can be collected simultaneously from (i) local ocean waters, and (ii) precipitated inorganic/biogenic carbonates.

This contribution is a follow-up of a recent study by Farkaš et al. (in press) who for the first time present a comprehensive Cr isotope investigation of a coupled seawater-carbonate system from one of the world’s largest carbonate-producing shelf ecosystems, the Great Barrier Reef (Lady Elliot Island).
Island, Australia). These authors present $\delta^{53}$Cr data from local seawaters and selected recent biogenic carbonates (i.e., gastropods, cephalopods, corals, and calcifying algae), complemented by additional $\delta^{53}$Cr analyses of marine skeletal carbonates (i.e., bivalves, gastropods, and cephalopods) collected from main oceanic water bodies including North and South Atlantic Oceans, North and South Pacific Oceans, and the Mediterranean Sea. A tight coupling between $\delta^{53}$Cr values and cerium anomaly (Ce/Ce*) data from the marine skeletal carbonates led these authors to conclude that marine biogenic carbonates that precipitated from seawater and/or calcifying fluid under the most oxic conditions (i.e., having the most negative Ce/Ce*) can actually reflect the Cr isotope signature of ambient ocean water, shown by a sample of microbial carbonate (i.e., coralline red algae) collected at Lady Elliot Island. Our study goes a step further in that we compare Cr isotope signatures of certain bivalve species from one location in the Mediterranean Sea collected over a period of 3 years with simultaneous collection of surface seawater from that location. This allows us to elaborate on inter-and intra-species Cr isotope variations, with the ultimate aim to eventually deduce systematic fractionation trends/offsets relative to ambient seawater compositions, that could later be used for reconstructing the redox state of past ocean waters.

2. Study sites and samples

Bivalve shells (from families Cardiidae, Veneridae, Glycymerididae, Pectinidae, and Lucinidae) and ambient surface seawater samples were collected during the first two July weeks in three successive years from 2015-2017, at Mediterranean Playa Poniente beach, Benidorm, Spain (N38°32′4.20″ W0°8′57.30″; Fig.1). In addition, in situ growing alive *Mytilus edulis* species and seawater samples were collected by researchers from the Center for Permafrost (CenPerm), University of Copenhagen, at a rocky coast section near arctic Godhavn (Qeqertarsuaq), Disko Island, Greenland (N69°14′44.14″ W53°31′38.34″; Fig.1) during fieldwork in June 2016. Respective seawater analyses from the same locations, except the 2017 Playa Poniente sample, were performed earlier and published in Paulukat
et al. (2016). Two additional bivalve shells (*Placuna Placenta; Mimachlamys townsendi*) from Kakinada Bay, Andhra Pradesh, India (N16°55′30.85″ E82°15′43.36″; Fig. 1) and from Hawke’s Bay Beach, Karachi, Pakistan (N24°51′36.46″ E66°51′36.66″; Fig. 1), respectively, were used to investigate intra-shell Cr isotope- and Cr concentration ([Cr]) variations. These specimen were cut along a growth transect into sub-samples and analyzed individually. Pictures of representative shell species (with the sub-sample growth transects of the two specimen studied for intra-shell variations) studied herein are depicted in Figure 2.

Bivalve species studied from Playa Poniente all live in sand in an intertidal setting to about 20 m depth. While exact ages of the species studied are not known, based on the relatively small sizes and number of annual growth zones in Glycymeris (Beaver et al., 2017; Yamaoka et al., 2016) and *Callista chione* (Moura et al., 2009) we estimate the age range of the majority of shells sampled between ~2-5 years. *Mytilus edulis* lives in the intertidal and sublittoral (up to 5m depth) on a wide range of habitats from rocky shores to estuaries. Our samples were collected from a rocky coast intertidal environment near Godhavn. Growth rates in *Mytilus edulis* are highly variable and dependent on location and environmental conditions. Typically, under optimal conditions, *Mytilus edulis* can grow up to 60-80 mm in length within 2 years (Seed and Suchanek, 1992). *Placuna placenta* (window pane oyster, Capiz) species from Kakinada Bay were purchased from a fisherman who hand-picked them at low tide in a water depth of ~1 m. Windowpane oysters from this location have been reported to attain an average length of 122 mm in 1 year and 157 mm in 2 years (Murthy et al., 1979). The *Placuna placenta* sample studied herein, measuring ~10 cm from the apex to the rim (Fig. 2), therefore represents about a one year growth period. The Pectenidae species, determined as *Mimachlamys townsendi*, from Hawke’s Bay was collected on the sandy beach in 1969 by the lead author himself. There is an extensive variation in growth rates and attained ages of Pectinidae. Commonly, Mimachlamys has a life time of up to 6 years and in this time reaches sizes between 6-10 cm. Our specimen of *Mimachlamys townsendi* with ~8 cm in length therefore represents a fully grown-up shell and our transect (Fig. 2) is representative of several years of growth. These scallops live
usually intertidally in shallow water of up to 10 m depth. Some biological and ecological characteristics of scallops can be found in Minchin (2003).

3. Analytical details

3.1 Sample preparation and dissolution

Seawater samples were collected into pre-cleaned plastic bottles, filtered through 0.45 μm nylon membrane filters using a vacuum pump and then acidified and spiked with a $^{50}$Cr-$^{54}$Cr double spike within 1 week from collection.

In order to recover enough Cr for isotopic analyses (>50 ng Cr are usually required for a precise mass spectrometrical analysis), shell samples (single shell pieces, transect pieces) weighing between 1.5-3 g were required. In cases where individual shell specimen weighed less than this amount, multiple (up to 7 combined individual shells in case of Chamelea striatula and Loripes lucinalis) shells from the same species (up to 7 individual shells in case of Chamelea striatula and Loripes lucinalis) were combined. Samples were first physically brushed and washed in Milli-Q™ water (MQ, resistivity 18 MΩ), and then immersed in 2% hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) for 10 minutes. They were briefly leached in 0.5N HCl and finally thoroughly washed in MQ water. Ten Mytilus edulis shells (five dorsal and 5 ventral shells) were combined and powdered in an agate mortar to be used as a so-called “mixed” sample. With the exception of the Mytilus edulis samples from Godhavn which were dissolved directly in aqua regia after removal of the mussel tissue, pre-cleaned shells (also including 3 samples of Mytilus edulis for comparative purposes) were weighed into chemical porcelain crucibles (CoorsTek™, 15ml capacity) and ashed in a furnace at 750°C for 5 hours prior to dissolution in 6N HCl. The aim with this incineration was to achieve a total dissolution of the respective shells, including the organic material known to have chromium associated with.
Methods used in this study for the purification and isotope analysis of Cr in seawater samples and biogenic carbonates follow those described in Paulukat et al. (2016) and Pereira et al. (2015), with small modifications. Briefly, filtered and spiked seawater samples were transferred into 1 liter Savillex™ teflon beakers, evaporated, re-dissolved in 50 ml of aqua regia, and evaporated again. Respective spiking (aiming at a $^{50}$Cr/$^{52}$Cr ratio in the sample-spike mixture of between 0.15 to 0.75) of biogenic carbonates was done during the attack with aqua regia or during the 6N HCl attack of incinerated samples. Spiking prior to ion chromatographic separation procedures enables correction of any mass-dependent Cr isotope fractionation effects that could occur during the chemical purification and/or mass spectrometric analysis of the samples. The acid-digested and dried down samples (i.e., filtered seawaters and pre-cleaned carbonates) were then processed through a two-step Cr purification chromatography, using a combination of anionic and cationic exchange columns. The first step used a pass over columns (Spex™) loaded with 2 ml anion exchange resin. The spiked and dried samples were re-dissolved in 40 ml of 0.1N HCl together with 0.5 ml of a freshly prepared 1N ammonium persulfate ((NH$_4$)$_2$S$_2$O$_8$; Sigma-Aldrich, BioXtra, ≥98%, lot#MKBR5789V) solution, which acts as an oxidizing agent. The sample solutions, contained in 60 ml Savillex™ teflon vials, were placed in a microwave oven and heated with closed lids for 50 minutes using a low energy thawing program to ensure full oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(IV). After the samples cooled to room temperature, they were passed through anion exchange columns loaded with 2 ml of pre-cleaned Dowex AG 1 × 8 anion resin (100-200 mesh). The matrix was washed out with 10 ml of 0.2N HCl, then with 2 ml of 2N HCl and finally with 5 ml of MQ H$_2$O, before Cr was collected through reduction with 6 ml 2N HNO$_3$ doped with a few drops of 5% H$_2$O$_2$. The so-stripped Cr bearing solution was then dried down at 130°C.

The second step used a pass over columns (BioRad™ Econo) loaded with cation exchange resin. For this, the Cr-bearing samples from the anion columns were re-dissolved in 100 μl of concentrated HCl and diluted with 2.3 ml ultrapure MilliQ water. This solution was added to the extraction columns...
loaded with 2 ml of pre-cleaned Dowex AG50W-X8 cation resin (200-400 mesh). The extraction procedure principally adhered to that published by Bonnard et al. (2011) and Trinquier et al. (2008) with only small modifications. The final Cr-bearing liquid cut was dried down at 130°C, ready to be loaded for Cr isotopic analysis on the thermal ionization mass spectrometer.

Total procedure Cr blanks, including incineration, dissolution, and ion chromatography procedures remained below 4 ng Cr. In the worst case scenario, using the sample with the lowest [Cr] in our study (sample Pec-B; [Cr] = 0.021, sample weight = 2.8 g), such blank contribution (assuming the blank Cr composition is of an igneous Earth inventory one), would induce a change in the δ53Cr signature of 0.04 ‰. This is below our current level of analytical precision achieved on the samples studied herein, and below the external reproducibility of between +/- 0.05 to 0.08 ‰ for double-spiked NIST SRM 979 (see below) under similar measuring conditions. We therefore did not perform a blank correction of our measured sample Cr isotope signatures.

3.3 Mass spectrometric analyses of Cr

The Cr isotope measurements were performed on an IsotopX Ltd. PHOENIX thermal ionization mass spectrometer (TIMS) equipped with eight Faraday collectors that allow simultaneous collection of the four chromium beams (50Cr+, 52Cr+, 53Cr+, 54Cr+) together with interfering 49Ti+, 51V+, and 56Fe+ masses.

The separated Cr residues were loaded onto outgassed Re-filaments using a loading solution consisting of 1 μl of 0.5N H3PO4, 2.5 μl silicic acid (Gerstenberger and Haase, 1997), and 0.5 μl of 0.5N H3BO3. The samples were analyzed at temperatures between 1050-1250°C and 52Cr beam intensities of between 0.35 and 1V. One run consisted of 120 cycles and where possible, every sample was run at least twice. The final δ53Cr values of the samples were determined as the average of the repeated analysis and are reported in per mil (‰) with ± standard deviation (2σ) relative to the international standard reference material NIST SRM 979 as:
\[ \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \text{ (‰)} = \left[ \left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{SAMPLE}} / \left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{NIST SRM979}} - 1 \right] \times 1000 \]

The within-run two standard errors of the measurements reported in this study were consistently \( \leq 0.1\% \). The external reproducibility was determined using average \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) values of double spiked NIST SRM 979 measured under the same conditions as the samples on the Phoenix. Figure 3 depicts the averages of 10 runs each from the same filament loaded with 200 ng of double spiked NIST SRM 979 at beam intensities of 0.35V and of 1V. The external reproducibility of the standard under these conditions was +/- 0.08‰ and 0.05‰ (2\( \sigma \)), respectively, at the above mentioned \( ^{53}\text{Cr} \) beam intensities (Fig. 3). The average composition of the 0.35V and 1V multiple NIST SRM 979 runs analyzed during the course of this study shows an average offset of +0.04 ± 0.03‰ (2\( \sigma \); n=11; Fig. 3) on our machine compared to the 0‰ certified value of this standards. This offset stems from the original calibration of our double spike relative to the NIST 3112a Cr standard, and the observed offset of 0.04‰ was deducted from the raw \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) results to account for this small discrepancy.

4. Results

4.1. Surface seawater - Chromium isotope compositions \( \{\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\} \) and elemental chromium concentrations

Table 1 lists the Cr isotope compositions and Cr concentrations the of surface seawater samples relevant to this study. Waters collected during 4 subsequent years in July from Playa Poniente yield surprisingly homogeneous Cr isotope compositions and dissolved [Cr] that range from \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} = 0.81 \text{ – 0.85‰} \), and from 222 – 280 ng/kg, respectively. These are comparable with surface seawater data \( \{\delta^{53}\text{Cr} = 0.81 \text{ – 0.96‰}, [\text{Cr}] = 239-306 \text{ ng/kg} \} \) collected from Playa Albir, a beach situated ca. 9.5 km to the ENE of Playa Poniente (Fig. 1), in the years 2013 through 2015 (data published by Paulukat et al. (2016)). The Playa Poniente data are compatible with data from other Mediterranean surface
seawaters, which distinguish in [Cr] vs. δ⁵³Cr space from Baltic Sea seawater, but are compatible with the trend of an inverse logarithmic relationship between δ⁵³Cr and [Cr] defined by Scheiderich et al. (2015) and substantiated later by Paulukat et al. (2016) of worldwide Atlantic and Pacific ocean waters. Three separate analyses of seawater from Disko Island, published by Paulukat et al. (2016), are characterized by slightly lower [Cr] and δ⁵³Cr values compared to the Mediterranean waters, but are similar to other waters from the North Atlantic (c.f., Fig. 2 in Paulukat et al. (2016)).

4.2 Shells - Chromium isotope compositions (δ⁵³Cr) and chromium concentrations

Cr isotope compositions and [Cr] of a variety of bivalve species from Playa Poniente (an undefined species of Cardiidae, Callista chione, Chamelea gallina, Chamelea striulata, an undefined species of Glycymeris, Loripes lucinalis, Pecten jacobaeus, Venus verrucosa, Venus nux, and Arca Navicularis), of Mytilus edulis species from Godhavn, and of sub-samples from a window pane oyster (Placuna placenta) from Kakinada Bay and of a specimen of Mimachlamys townsendi (Pectenidae) from Hawke’s Bay Beach are listed in Table 2. Respective data are plotted in Figs. 4-7, together with the ranges of local surface seawaters and the range of igneous Earth reservoirs as defined by Schoenberg et al. (2008). There is no obvious correlation between δ⁵³Cr and [Cr] data (r² = 0.18), diagram not shown) of the samples analyzed herein.

Bivalves collected from Playa Poniente generally show low and scattered Cr concentrations ranging from 0.027 to 0.163 ppm, with the largest variations recorded in Glycymeris, and the systematically highest concentrations measured in species of Pecten jacobaeus (Fig. 4; Table 2). Isotopically, the assembly of shell data from Playa Poniente point to a rather restricted compositional band with δ⁵³Cr data ranging from 0.157 to 0.636 ‰, significantly lower than the local surface seawater average over four consecutive years of δ⁵³Cr = 0.83 +/- 0.05 ‰ (Fig. 4). On closer inspection, we however note some distinctive Cr isotope ranges defined by the different bivalve species analyzed. So, for example, samples from Cardiidae exhibit the highest (δ⁵³Cr = 0.60+/−0.13 ‰), while samples
from *Loripes lucinalis* show the lowest Cr isotope compositions ($\delta^{53}Cr = 0.21 +/- 0.10 \%$; Table 2, Fig. 4). One subsample of *Loripes lucinalis* (sample PP 15 D unashed; Table 2) was dissolved in *aqua regia* without prior incineration. This specific sample yielded a distinctively lower Cr concentration ([Cr] = 0.027 ppm) compared to all other *Loripes lucinalis* samples, but at the same time a $\delta^{53}Cr$ value which statistically cannot be distinguished from the other *Loripes lucinalis* samples (Fig. 4). While this, as expected, points to the fact that a significant fraction (in fact roughly 50% in case of *Loripes lucinalis*) of the total Cr budget in biogenic carbonates is associated with organic material, and not with carbonate itself, it also points to the likelihood that there is not much difference in the Cr isotope composition of these two potential Cr host materials. This result is substantiated and supported by our study of *Mytilus edulis* from artic Godhavn (see below). Last not but least, we do not see any statistically significant and systematic differences in Cr isotope compositions and Cr concentrations between bivalve species collected during the consecutive sampling years. This conforms to the rather homogeneous surface seawater compositions analyzed from Playa Poniente and the neighboring location Playa Albir during the entire sampling period (Table 1).

Results of entirely *aqua regia* dissolved half-shells of *Mytilus edulis* from Godhavn in the Disko Bay, Greenland, are plotted in a similar combined $\delta^{53}Cr$ – [Cr] diagram as the shells from Mediterranean Playa Poniente in Figure 5. These analyses are complemented by a bulk analysis of powdered multiple *Mytilus edulis* specimen from the same location. In addition, two specimen (in each case the dorsal or ventral shell counterparts of the respective *Mytilus edulis* specimen dissolved by *aqua regia*; i.e. samples God-4 and God-5; Table 2) were ashed before final dissolution, in order to evaluate the importance of Cr associated with organic material in these shells compared to the total Cr budget. This was also done with an aliquot of the powdered *Mytilus edulis* mix. All data define an average $\delta^{53}Cr$ value of 0.11 +/- 0.05 % (n=10; 2$\sigma$), significantly lower than the local surface seawater of 0.73 +/- 0.05 % (Paulukat et al. (2016); Fig. 5). [Cr] for *aqua regia* dissolved specimen are a bit more variable, defining an average of [Cr] = 0.039 +/- 0.009 ppm (n=7, 2$\sigma$). Again, the incinerated aliquots,
while isotopically not distinguishable from the unashed samples, yielded about twice as high a [Cr] ([Cr] = 0.068 +/- 0.004 ppm (n=3, 2σ)). As mentioned above, this indicates that organic material, effectively attacked by incineration, is a major and significant host of Cr in these bivalves, besides the biogenic carbonate. Again, as already emphasized by the results of Loripes lucinalis from Playa Poniente, the two Cr host materials seem not to be isotopically distinguishable. While, with the exception of Loripes lucinalis, the negative offset (ΔCr) of different bivalve species from local surface seawater at Playa Poniente is between ~0.4 to ~0.2 ‰, the respective ΔCr value for Mytilus edulis from Godhavn is higher, ~0.7‰, and comparable to ΔCr ~0.6‰ defined by Loripes lucinalis from Playa Poniente (see details below).

Results from two profiles along respective major growth transects of a specimen of Placuna placenta from Kakinada Bay, Andhra Pradesh, India, and a species of Pectinidae (Mimachlamys townsendi) from Hawke’s Bay, Karachi, Pakistan, are plotted in Figure 6 (Placuna placenta) and Figure 7 (Mimachlamys townsendi).

[Cr] along the Placuna placenta growth profile vary from 0.03 to 0.25 ppm, but this variation is much smaller if the first sample (Cap-A) is excluded. Sample Cap-A incorporates the beak of the shell and a first growth zone which is visually characterized by a more brown (organic-rich) color (Fig. 3). We here attribute the exceptionally high Cr concentration in this sample to increased, organic-rich components which seem to act as efficient Cr hosts, basically reflecting our experiments on Mytilus edulis from Godhavn (Fig. 5). Although some fluctuations in [Cr] and δ53Cr values beyond the statistical errors across the beak-margin profile of the studied Placuna placenta specimen exist (which we may attribute to local (seasonal?) changes in seawater composition during growth and/or to changing reductive efficiencies during the calcification process), the studied specimen pretty much averages such environmental and biogenic changes out over its entire growth period estimated to be about 2 years. Chromium concentrations in this oyster from the Indian Ocean are comparable with those of the Mediterranean shells studied from Playa Poniente (Table 2, Fig. 4). In contrast, δ53Cr values of Placuna placenta are lower than those recorded in the Mediterranean bivalves and show values that are
just about statistically distinguishable from the igneous Earth inventory value of \(-0.12 +/- 0.11\)% defined by Schoenberg et al. (2008). Lack of a respective surface seawater sample from Kakinada Bay itself does not allow for a concrete definition of the \(\Delta_{\text{cr}}\) value—the nearest surface seawater sample from which we have a Cr isotope composition available is from the Bay of Bengal with \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} = 0.55 +/- 0.08\)% (Paulukat et al., 2015). If we assume that the local surface seawater in Kakinada Bay has a similar Cr isotope composition, then \(\Delta_{\text{cr}}\) would be \(\approx 0.5\)%, an offset which is similar to that of Loripes lucinalis from Playa Poniente, but higher than most other species from this Mediterranean location.

Intra-species variations of \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) and [Cr] of Mimachlamys townsendi from Hawke's Bay are depicted in Figure 7. The average \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) value of all 8 profile samples is 0.07 +/- 0.11 % (2σ) and statistically indistinguishable from that of Placuna placenta (average \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} = 0.05 +/- 0.19\)%, n= 7, 2σ).

With the exception, like in Placuna placenta, of the sample closest to the apex/hinge of the shell (sample Pec-H; Fig. 7) which yielded by far the highest [Cr] in the profile, the [Cr] of the remaining profile samples are \(~0.04\) ppm. In the specimen studied, the \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) values along the profile are statistically indistinguishable from each other. If Hawke's Bay surface seawater has a \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) similar to that of the Bengal Bay (Paulukat et al., 2015); and assuming it has remained about the same since the collection of the Mimachlamys townsendi sample), then Mimachlamys townsendi exhibits the same \(\Delta_{\text{cr}}\) value (~0.5 %) as Placuna placenta from Kakinada Bay. If calcification processes in Mimachlamys townsendi remained constant in terms of biogenic reduction of Cr(VI) to isotopically lighter Cr(III) over the several years of growth of the specimen studied, then this would signify are more or less constant \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) of surface water in this location.

5. Discussion

The Playa Poniente data are compatible with data from other Mediterranean surface seawaters, which distinguish in [Cr] vs. \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) space from Baltic Sea seawater, but are compatible with the trend of an inverse logarithmic relationship between \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) and [Cr] defined by Scheiderich et al. (2015) and
5.14 Present state of knowledge of the behavior of chromium in the marine biogenic carbonate system

Chromium-isotope compositions of recent and ancient skeletal and non-skeletal carbonates are currently explored as a (paleo-) redox-proxy for shallow seawater (corals: Pereira et al. (2015); foraminifera: Wang et al. (2016); calcifying algae, mollusks, corals: Farkaš et al. (in press)). The idea behind this approach is that biogenic and non-biogenic carbonates could potentially be used as archives recording the Cr-isotope composition of seawater in which they formed, and with this contribute to the reconstruction of past paleo-environmental changes in the marine realm that may have potentially resulted from climate changes on land. However, investigations addressing the behavior and uptake mechanism of Cr, and the potential isotope fractionations between seawater and biogenic carbonates are scarce. All studies so far conducted on marine biogenic carbonates have revealed the incorporation of isotopically lighter chromium into skeletal and non-skeletal carbonates compared to Cr isotope signatures of seawater at the respective sampling sites (e.g., Pereira et al. (2015), Holmden et al. (2016); Farkaš et al. (in press)). Due to lack of ambient seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ data to compare the $^{53}\text{Cr}$ data of various foraminifera analyzed by Wang et al. (2016), conclusion with respect to using $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ data of foraminiferal species as reliable proxy of seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ could not be made in the respective study. However, these authors observed large $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ variations between species within and among samples. Such variations in $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ among different samples could be explained by heterogeneous seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$. However, Wang et al. (2016) also found that foraminifera species with similar depth habitats from the same core-top sample also yielded different $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values. In addition,
within samples, foraminifera with shallower habitats yielded consistently lower $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ than those with deeper habitats, which these authors correctly described as opposite to the general patterns expected in seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ (Bonnand et al. (2013); Scheiderich et al. (2015); Paulukat et al. (2016)). The study of Farkaš et al. (in press) deals with chromium isotope variations in recent biogenic carbonates and ocean waters from Lady Elliot Island located in the southern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. The $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ isotope data from the Lady Elliot Island seawater-carbonate system, representing the South Pacific region, were complemented by $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ analyses of recent skeletal carbonates originating from the North Pacific, North and South Atlantic Oceans, and from the Mediterranean Sea. The results of Farkaš et al. (in press), combined with the published seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ data from the above oceanic water bodies, confirm the results of Pereira et al. (2015) that marine biogenic carbonates are systematically enriched in light Cr isotopes compared to ambient ocean waters. There is growing debate about the mechanisms inherent to Cr isotope fractionation during calcifying processes: Results published so far point into a direction whereby vital processes (i.e., biology) could potentially play a major role in controlling Cr isotope fractionation during skeletal, foraminiferal, algal and shell calcification. The apparent variability of foraminiferal $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values in the study of Wang et al. (2016) could be envisaged as to result from variable Cr uptake mechanisms. These authors propose, that in regions with high dissolved organic matter, foraminifera could preferentially uptake Cr(III) associated with dissolved organic phases and/or organic matter, as observed for some phytoplankton (Semeniuk et al., 2016). In regions where dissolved organic concentration is low, foraminifera may switch to the reductive Cr(VI) uptake mechanism, as proposed for coral growth (Pereira et al., 2015). As Cr(III) is typically isotopically lighter than Cr(VI) in both equilibrium and kinetic fractionations (e.g., Ellis et al. (2002); Schauble et al. (2004); Wang et al. (2015)), Cr(III) uptake mechanism via organic matter would lead to relatively low $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values. A reductive Cr(VI) uptake mechanism is also expected to lead to lower- than seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values in marine biogenic carbonate systems. In this case, the exact $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ value would depend on the extent of reduction and specific metabolism. A small extent of reduction would lead to low $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values, while quantitative reduction would lead to similar to seawater values (Wang et al., 2016).
Direct incorporation of organic acid and/or siderophore bound Cr(III), as recently proposed by Saad et al. (2017) to have a significant impact on the Cr cycle via their release from the continents to the oceans, can also be considered to play a role in the marine biogenic calcification processes as these compounds have been shown to carry isotopically heavy Cr(III) compositions that are reached through redox-independent chromium isotope fractionation induced by ligand-promoted Cr(III) dissolution on land.

5.2 Surface seawater

The Playa Poniente seawater data are compatible with data from other Mediterranean surface seawaters, which distinguish in [Cr] vs. δ53Cr space from Baltic Sea seawater, but are compatible with the trend of an inverse logarithmic relationship between δ53Cr and [Cr] defined by Scheiderich et al. (2015) and substantiated later by Paulukat et al. (2016) of worldwide Atlantic and Pacific ocean waters. Three separate analyses of seawater from Disko Island, published by Paulukat et al. (2016), are characterized by slightly lower [Cr] and δ53Cr values compared to the Mediterranean waters, but are similar to other waters from the North Atlantic (c.f., Fig. 2 in Paulukat et al. (2016)). Our data therefore support the hypothesis put forward by Scheiderich et al. (2015) that the observed Cr isotope signature in worldwide seawater likely arises from fractionation during the reduction of Cr(VI) in surface waters, scavenging of isotopically light Cr(III) to deeper water and sediment, and subsequent release of this seawater-derived Cr(III) back into seawater, either as organic complexes with Cr(III) or after oxidation to Cr(VI).

5.3. Shell transects

*Placuna Placenta* from Kininada Bay: We here attribute the exceptionally high Cr concentration in this sample to increased, organic-rich components which seem to act as efficient Cr hosts, basically
reflecting our experiments on *Mytilus edulis* from Godhavn (Fig. 5). Although some fluctuations in [Cr] and $\delta^{53}$.Cr values beyond the statistical errors across the beak-margin profile of the studied *Placuna placenta* specimen exist (which we may attribute to local (seasonal?) changes in seawater composition during growth and/or to changing reductive efficiencies during the calcification process), the studied specimen pretty much averages such environmental and biogenic changes out over its entire growth period estimated to be about 2 years. We here attribute the exceptionally high Cr concentration in the beak sample (CAP A) to increased, organic-rich components which seem to act as efficient Cr hosts, basically confirming our experiments on *Mytilus edulis* from Godhavn (Fig. 5). Chromium concentrations in this oyster from the Indian Ocean are comparable with those of the Mediterranean shells studied from Playa Poniente (Table 2, Fig. 4). In contrast, $\delta^{53}$.Cr values of *Placuna placenta* are lower than those recorded in the Mediterranean bivalves and show values that are just about statistically distinguishable from the igneous Earth inventory value of $-0.12/\pm 0.11$‰ defined by Schoenberg et al. (2008). Lack of a respective surface seawater sample from Kakinada Bay itself does not allow for a concrete definition of the $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ value- the nearest surface seawater sample from which we have a Cr isotope composition available is from the Bay of Bengal with $\delta^{53}$.Cr = $0.55/\pm 0.08$‰ (Paulukat et al., 2015). If we assume that the local surface seawater in Kakinada Bay has a similar Cr isotope composition, then $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ would be $\sim 0.5$‰, an offset which is similar to that of *Loripes lucinalis* from Playa Poniente, but higher than most other species from this Mediterranean location.

*Mimachlamys townsendi* from Hawke’s Bay: If Hawke’s Bay surface seawater has a $\delta^{53}$.Cr similar to that of the Bengal Bay (Paulukat et al., 2015; and assuming it has remained about the same since the collection of the *Mimachlamys townsendi* sample), then *Mimachlamys townsendi* exhibits the same $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ value ($\sim 0.5$‰) as *Placuna placenta* from Kakinada Bay. If calcification processes in *Mimachlamys townsendi* remained constant in terms of biogenic reduction of Cr(VI) to isotopically lighter Cr(III) over the several years of growth of the specimen studied, then this would signify are more or less constant $\delta^{53}$.Cr of surface water in this location.
Individual shells - Chromium distribution coefficients (D<sub>Cr</sub>) between bivalve shell carbonates and seawater

Our sample sets from Playa Poniente and from Godhavn, which contain both surface seawater and bivalve shell data, allow for a direct calculation of the distribution coefficients (D<sub>Cr</sub>) describing the partitioning of chromium between biogenic CaCO<sub>3</sub> and seawater at the respective study sites. The D<sub>Cr</sub> is calculated as:

\[
D_{Cr} = \frac{[Cr]_{CaCO3}}{[Cr]_{seawater}}
\]

where [Cr]<sub>CaCO3</sub> represents the measured total concentration of chromium in the bivalve shell (CaCO<sub>3</sub> and organic matter hosted) and [Cr]<sub>seawater</sub> the measured dissolved chromium concentration of the surface seawater at the respective location (e.g., 0.000300 ppm; 0.000176 ppm, and 0.000254 ppm, respectively, for Hawke’s Bay/Kakinada Bay, Godhavn and Playa Poniente).

The calculated D<sub>Cr</sub> values for biogenic carbonates are listed in Table 2. Our data span a wide range with values from 70 to 1297, but the upper data limit is characterized by a few exceptionally high D<sub>Cr</sub> values, f.e. that of sample Cap A (D<sub>Cr</sub> = 1297) and Pec H (D<sub>Cr</sub> = 820) from the respective hinges of the Placuna placenta species from Kakinada Bay and the Mimachlamys townsendi specimen from Hawke’s Bay which probably are characterized by elevated organic matter. By far most samples have a more restricted D<sub>Cr</sub> range with values between 70 and 640. The D<sub>Cr</sub> range presented herein for bivalves is much more narrow compared to data from the study of Farkaš et al. (in press) in which these authors present D<sub>Cr</sub> values spanning more than three orders of magnitude (from 79 up to 10895) in marine biogenic carbonates from Lady Elliot Island and other worldwide locations. However, as Farkaš et al. (in press) note, skeletal carbonates (i.e., corals, mollusks) in their study tend to have
systematically lower values (from ~80 to ~780) than microbial carbonates (i.e., calcifying algae) that yielded much higher $D_{Cr}$ of ~1000 and 2356. The range of $D_{Cr}$ values for corals and mollusks in the study of Farkaš et al. (in press) otherwise compares well with the range of $D_{Cr}$ values for bivalve shells in our study, and are within the range of $D_{Cr}$ calculated for foraminifera that vary from ~300 to 4000 (Wang et al., 2016) and with $D_{Cr}$ values for corals in the range of 135 to 253 calculated from data in Pereira et al. (2015). Such high $D_{Cr}$ values observed in biogenic carbonates produced by different marine organisms point to a strong biological control over the incorporation of Cr from seawater into CaCO$_3$ skeletons, where it could be incorporated either as Cr(III) and/or Cr(VI) depending on species-specific redox cycling of Cr (cf., Wang et al. (2016); Semeniuk et al. (2016)) and/or, as recently suggested, directly assimilated as organic ligand-bound Cr during biological uptake (Saad et al., 2017). It is too premature to compare the biogenic distribution coefficients with abiogenic values, simply because there is a lack of suitable modern seawater – carbonate pairs from which such values could be calculated. To our knowledge, the only suitable pair that allows for an estimation of a seawater-carbonate sediment distribution coefficient is that published by Holmden et al. (2016) for Jamaica. Using their average [Cr] of 140 ng/kg for Jamaican surface seawater and 9 ppm for Jamaican carbonate sediment (their Table 3), we calculate a $D_{Cr}$ value of ~64'000. This value is significantly higher than $D_{Cr}$ values from biogenic carbonates calculated in this study and from data in Farkaš et al. (in press), and possibly point to the potential discrimination of Cr against incorporation into marine calcifying skeletons.

5.53 Evidence for isotopically fractioned Cr incorporated in bivalve shell carbonates

Our study confirms the outcome of previous investigations (Wang et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2015; Farkaš et al., in press) showing that marine (skeletal and non-skeletal) biogenic carbonates are characterized by isotopically variably fractionated, but systematically $^{53}$Cr enriched Cr compositions that have $\delta^{53}$Cr values above the Earth’s igneous inventory value of 0.12 +/- 0.11 ‰. From data which
allow direct comparison with ambient seawater compositions, including those presented in this study, it can also be deduced that the biogenic carbonates so far analyzed all have Cr isotope compositions which are depleted in \[^{53}\text{Cr}\] relative to respective seawater values, implying redox cycling, in particular reductive processes, to take place somewhere during the uptake and calcification processes.

In order to explain the isotopically light Cr incorporated in coral skeletal carbonate, Pereira et al. (2015) propose a mechanism whereby initial photoreduction of isotopically heavy Cr(VI) in the surface seawater to isotopically lighter Cr(III) in the endodermal layer of corals must be followed by efficient and effective re-oxidation of reduced Cr species to favor subsequent chromate (CrO\(_4^{2-}\)) substitution during the calcifying processes ultimately leading to the coral skeleton.

To further test a possible role of biologically mediated redox processes during the incorporation of Cr from seawater into biogenic carbonate, Farkaš et al. (in press) investigated the relationship between \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) and cerium anomaly (Ce/Ce*) data in their biogenic carbonate samples from Lady Eliot Island. The strong and statistically significant negative correlation between Ce/Ce* and \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) data in their study, and the fact that the intercept of the \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) vs. Ce/Ce* correlation line overlaps with the Cr isotope composition of a local ocean water, led these authors to suggest that marine biogenic carbonates that precipitated from seawater and/or calcifying fluid under the most oxic conditions (i.e., having the most negative Ce/Ce*) can actually reflect the Cr isotope signature of ambient ocean water.

### 5.64 Biomineralization / calcification and incorporation of chromium into shells

A central question is that regarding the mechanisms on how dissolved chromium from the seawater behaves during biomineralization and calcification processes, and ultimately is incorporated into marine biogenic carbonates. It becomes evident from recent studies (Wang et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2015; Farkaš et al., in press) that redox mediated processes play a role during calcification because marine biogenic carbonates measured so far all are characterized by significantly
$^{53}$Cr depleted (i.e., isotopically lighter) signatures relative to ambient seawaters. Reduction processes of dissolved Cr(VI) complexes to Cr(III) species in ocean water have been used by Scheiderich et al. (2015) and Paulukat et al. (2016) to explain the $\delta^{53}$Cr variations in world’s oceans. Scavenging of isotopically light Cr(III) to deeper water and sediment, potentially by phytoplankton (Semeniuk et al., 2016), and subsequent release of this seawater-derived Cr(III) back into seawater, either as organic complexes with Cr(III) or after oxidation to Cr(VI), are advocated as potential processes to explain the $\delta^{53}$Cr vs [Cr] fractionation trend in seawater.

It is unclear, whether Cr can be directly incorporated into the carbonate structures as Cr(VI) forming part of CrO$_4^{2-}$ compounds, or whether reduced species of Cr(III) can be assimilated/adsorbed or structurally bound into skeletal carbonates or associate with a multitude of known organic matrices contained within and along cleave/grain boundaries of calcifying layer carbonates. In one way or the other, models that address the mechanisms of Cr uptake during calcification processes need to involve the fact that bulk marine biogenic carbonates are isotopically lighter than ambient seawater in which they are formed. Pereira et al. (2015) proposed a model for skeletal carbonates of corals whereby initial photoreduction of isotopically heavy Cr(VI) to isotopically lighter Cr(III) in the endodermal layer of corals must be followed by efficient and effective re-oxidation of reduced Cr species to favor subsequent chromate (CrO$_4^{2-}$) substitution during the calcifying processes ultimately leading to the formation of the coral skeleton.

A vast number of studies dedicated to biomineralization processes of marine biogenic carbonate producers have recognized the importance of organic network matrices (Griesshaber et al., 2013), and of organic macromolecules in particular (e.g., (Suzuki et al., 2011; Okumura et al., 2013), in the organic–inorganic interaction in biomineralization of, particularly, molluscan shells. Major components of the shell are calcium carbonate, which ordinarily exists as a crystalline polymorph, either calcite or aragonite. The type of polymorph, crystal orientation, morphology and texture of the crystals are regulated in the shell. Studies have shown that shells are not composed of purely inorganic carbonate crystals, but contain small amounts of organic substances to regulate the structure and
property of these crystals (e.g., (Falini et al., 1996; Belcher et al., 1996; Okumura et al., 2013). Suzuki et al. (2011) visualized intra-crystalline spherular structures in shell carbonates containing carbon from organic macromolecules. The size of the spherules identified by these authors roughly corresponded to that of soluble organic macromolecules that these authors extracted from the nacreous layer (innermost layer of the shell of a mollusk secreted by the mantle epithelium layer). Their function for the crystal formation of molluscan shells remains unclear though. A comprehensive review on the presence and role of organic matrices for the growth of mollusk shells is contained in Suzuki and Nagasawa (2013).

While recognizing that individual processes leading to the presence of various organic compounds in mollusk shell calcification and growth, and biomineralization processes are complex and topic of intensified research in the last years, we like to focus our attention on the potential role of such organic matrices as hosts for Cr in mollusk shells. A hint that organics may play a defining role stems from our few results which compare [Cr] in incinerated shell material that has been incinerated to corresponding [Cr] in aliquots which were attacked with aqua regia to preferentially attack the carbonate. While it is clear from our study of *Mytilus edulis* from Godhavn with an apparent organic-rich periostracum that this outermost shell layer itself may contain elevated [Cr], based on a similar result (c.f., Fig. 3, Table 2) performed on *Loripes lucinalis* from Playa Poniente where the actual periostracum has been mechanically removed by tidal abrasion in the beach sand, we suspect that organics contained in the nacreous layer are equally important as potential Cr hosts. In all cases (see above and Table 2) we note significantly higher [Cr] in the ashed samples, which we see as a consequence of effective release of organic-material bound Cr (otherwise only weakly- or even not attacked at all by the hydrochloric acid) during burning of the organic material. So, for example, Suzuki et al. (2007) hydrolyzed the insoluble organic matrices from the prismatic layer in the mollusk shell with 6 M HCl. These authors detected D-glucosamine hydrochloride, known as a degradation product of chitin, using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy measurements. In the nacreous layer of mollusk shells, chitin serves as the major component of the organic framework, building up the...
compartment structure and controlling the morphology of calcium carbonate crystals (Falini and Fermani, 2004).

### 5.75 A model explaining the occurrence of Cr in molluscan shells

Adopting the schematic framework that includes the representation of the localization and function of organic matrices with respect to calcium carbonate crystals in the nacreous layer of mollusks we like to propose a model that explains the transfer of Cr from the water into the calcifying space and the incorporation of Cr into shell carbonates (Fig. 8). During adult shell formation, the periostracum, which is not mineralized and covers the external surface of the shell, is formed first, and the calcified layer subsequently forms on the periostracum (e.g., (Checa, 2000)). The shell is in contact with the mantle, which supplies the periostracum and calcified layers with inorganic ions and organic matrices through the extrapallial fluid (for a review, see Marin et al. (2012)). This extrapallial space is supposed to be the confined medium where all the ingredients for calcification self-assemble. The extrapallial fluid, filling this space, is supersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate. In addition to the precursors ions for mineralization—calcium and bicarbonate—this fluid contains several other inorganic ions, such as Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻, and minor elements, such as Sr and Fe. Its pH is usually slightly basic, in the range of 7.4 – 8.3, for marine and freshwater mollusks (Marin et al., 2012). This fluid also contains organic molecules. As the fluid is supersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate, these macromolecules—in particular acidic proteins and GAGs (group specific antigen)—are supposed to transiently maintain calcium in solution, by inhibiting the precipitation of calcium carbonate, and by allowing it to precipitate where needed (Marin et al., 2012). The manner the inorganic precursors of calcification are driven to the site of mineralization is still speculative. Figure 8 schematically shows the growth front in an interlamellar space of the nacreous layer, confined by chitinous membranes, as proposed by Suzuki and Nagasawa (2013). We emphasize that under neutral to basic pH, as inferred for an extrapallial fluid, Cr is present either as dissolved Cr(VI) compound, as.
Cr(III) species adsorbed onto organic macromolecules and/or as dissolved organic substances. The fact that $\delta^{53}$Cr measured in bivalve shells is systematically lower than ambient seawater implies that reduction of dissolved Cr(VI) in seawater, transferred to the calcifying space, is likely promoted by the organic macromolecules, which are densely localized on the surface of the interlamellar membranes (Suzuki and Nagasawa, 2013; Suzuki et al., 2011). So-formed isotopically light Cr(III) species, effectively adsorbed onto organic macromolecules, adhere to the chitinous membranes where they are incorporated inside growing carbonate crystals filling the space, whereas other organic molecules cover the surface of these crystals. Finally, surface-covering organic macromolecules are also incorporated inside the crystal when the space is filled with the crystal. Some Cr might also be directly incorporated into the carbonate lattices during growth, where chromate ions may coprecipitate with calcite (Tang et al., 2007). In such a scenario, the measured bulk $\delta^{53}$Cr values of mollusk shells would reflect a mixture of both Cr(VI) and Cr(III) characteristic of the ambient seawater and an isotopically lighter, Cr(III) fraction ultimately associated with the organic molecules in the shells. The exact $\delta^{53}$Cr value would depend on the extent of reduction and specific metabolism. A small extent of reduction would lead to low $\delta^{53}$Cr values while quantitative reduction of dissolved Cr(VI) would lead to similar to seawater values.

5.86 Inter-and intra-species shell variations

While from the studies conducted earlier (e.g., (Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016) and from this study it is now evident that marine biogenic carbonates are characterized by $\delta^{53}$Cr values that are less fractionated compared to ambient seawater, it remains unclear whether these isotopic offsets are species dependent. Wang et al. (2016) observed large $\delta^{53}$Cr variations between foraminifera species within and among samples. As advocated by these authors, the variation in $\delta^{53}$Cr among different samples of the same species could be explained by heterogeneous seawater $\delta^{53}$Cr. However, Wang et al. (2016) also found that foraminifera species with
similar depth habitats from the same core-top sample also yielded different \(\delta^{53}\)Cr values. Species dependent \(\delta^{53}\)Cr variations are furthermore complicated by the observation that species with shallower water depth habitats yielded consistently lower \(\delta^{53}\)Cr than species preferring deeper water environments, which is opposite to the general patterns expected in seawater \(\delta^{53}\)Cr (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015). These observations hint at the possibility that species-dependent biological (metabolic) processes may play a major control on Cr isotope fractionation during biomineralization/calcification processes of marine biogenic carbonate producers in general, not only in foraminiferal calcification. Our data herein contribute to a more systematic assessment of the above: The systematic sampling of some bivalve species from the same location over several years, together with respective ambient surface seawaters, reveals that subtle inter-species differences of average bulk \(\delta^{53}\)Cr signatures exist amongst different species. While 5 species (Calista chione, an unidentified species of Cardiidae, Chamelea striulata, Glycymeris glycymeris, and Pecten jacobaeus) however, at the 2\(\sigma\) level, cannot be statistically distinguished by their average \(\delta^{53}\)Cr values (Fig. 3), Loripes luncinalis is an exception and yielded, at average, lower \(\delta^{53}\)Cr values than the other species. Thus, while we observe subtle differences in the average \(\delta^{53}\)Cr signatures of individual bivalve species from Playa Poniente, intra-species variations, as observed by Wang et al. (2016) for certain foraminifera, are statistically not discernable. The exception to this are two samples of Arca Navicularis, sampled simultaneously in 2015, which both show distinctly different \(\delta^{53}\)Cr signatures of 0.570 and 0.166 \(^\circ\), and also significantly different [Cr] of 0.052 and 0.166 ppm, respectively. We are unable, at this point, to explain these discrepancies observed in Arca Navicularis. Last not but least, while [Cr] in the samples studied scatter considerably between ~0.03 to 0.10 ppm and do not correlate with bivalve species, there is an exception to this which is reflected by the data of Pecten jacobaeus. The three samples of this species all revealed elevated [Cr] in the range of 0.127 to 0.163 ppm (Table 2, Fig. 3). Whether or not the intensity of pigmentation (Pecten jacobaeus shows a red pigmentation that increases from the hinge to the margin of the shell; Fig. 2) is not clear, but it could partially explain the increased [Cr] scatter in the analyses from Glycymeris glycymeris (c.f., Fig. 2, Table 2) which
exhibits similar variations in pigmentation amongst individual samples. Importantly, however, is the fact that the increased scatter of [Cr] does not seem to translate into an increased scatter of bulk $\delta^{53}$Cr values of the bivalve shells studies, nor does [Cr] seem to correlate with $\delta^{53}$Cr in any of the species studied either. If, as emphasized in our preferred scenario, that [Cr] in the bivalve shell is significantly associated with organic matter, it implies that intralamellar reductive processes eventually leading to adsorption of isotopically light Cr(III) onto organic macromolecules. The and the production rate of these macromolecules, are likely metabolically controlled/buffered prior to their encapsulation into the shell carbonates. This is maybe best exemplified by the Mytilus edulis sample suite from Godhavn. This suite of samples reveals limited intra-species variations both in $\delta^{53}$Cr and [Cr] among the 6 half-shells analyzed, which we take as an indication for an effective and stabilizing biological control, potentially via organic macromolecule production, of biomineralization processes in general, and of Cr incorporation into the shell carbonates.

Our study may eventually also contribute to the understanding of the environmental stability over relevant growth periods (several years) around the calcifying space of bivalves. However, such investigations are dependent on the knowledge of the seawater Cr isotope composition during the respective growth periods (in our case during growth of the Placuna placenta from Kakinada Bay and the Mimachlamys townsendi sample form Hawke’s Beach, which we do not have at hand. It is strongly perceivable that surface seawater conditions at a specific location are not, and have not been constant, and this has been shown for the $\delta^{53}$Cr values of surface water from the Baltic Sea by Paulukat et al. (2016). These authors correlated seasonal fluctuations in $\delta^{53}$Cr with algae bloom periods, and thus with the seasonal presence of strong Cr(VI) reducers capable of depleting the [Cr] in the surface waters considerably by reductive adsorption mechanisms. Seasonal fluctuations could explain the sinusoidal $\delta^{53}$Cr growth pattern in the studied Placuna placenta shell (Fig. 6) whose size roughly implies a ~1 year’s growth period. Likewise, small fluctuations in Mimachlamys townsendi of $\delta^{53}$Cr signatures over the entire growth period of the specimen studied could reflect seasonal changes of the ambient surface seawater during this several years long growth period. We however want to
emphasize that these within-shell $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ fluctuations, in the order of $\pm 0.15\%$, compare well with inter-species fluctuations of the same order observed in all the Playa Poniente bivalve species. This makes the average $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ signature of a bivalve shell still a valuable parameter which, given that the isotopic offset from ambient seawater is known, potentially can be used for recording the seawater Cr isotope signature prevailing at the habitat location of the respective bivalve.

5.97 A first attempt to define average $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ offsets of specific bivalves from ambient seawater

Our data set allow for a preliminary definition of Cr isotope offsets between certain bivalve species and ambient seawater, which potentially could be used in paleo-seawater reconstructions using suitable fossil aliquots. Instead of using average $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values defined by our sample suites, and average seawater $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values, we prefer to define such offsets ($\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$) conservatively, using band widths (rather than comparing average values) that take analytical uncertainty into consideration (i.e., minimum $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ values defined by difference between $(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} + 2\sigma)_{\text{sample}}$ and $(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} - 2\sigma)_{\text{seawater}}$; maximum $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ values defined by difference between $(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} - 2\sigma)_{\text{sample}}$ and $(\delta^{53}\text{Cr} + 2\sigma)_{\text{seawater}}$). These ranges are listed in Table 3 and plotted in Figure 9 for all species where we have multiple analyses and ambient seawater values. The $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ offset range of *Placuna placenta* is not strictly comparable to the other values as it includes growth segment analyses covering the growth period of the entire shell. These introduce enhanced scatter that is most likely due to seasonal changes of seawater, a factor which is smoothed out by the analyses of entire shells as is the case for the other species. This explains the rather large $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ range calculated for *Placuna placenta*.

Although preliminary (additional data need to be collected to more precisely define species dependent ranges), our data allow for a first order estimation on the use of the $\Delta_{\text{Cr}}$ seawater offset ranges defined herein to ultimately reconstruct the local surface seawater redox state. On average, $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values of ambient seawater can be reconstructed to $\pm 0.3 \%$. At first sight, this seems to be rather imprecise, but considering that surface seawaters today exhibit $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ variations...
between +0.13‰ and +1.24‰ (Paulukat et al., 2016), this uncertainty nevertheless allows for placing reconstructed seawater compositions into a meaningful redox framework. The usefulness of this tool for the reconstruction of paleo-seawater compositional changes awaits the assessment, testing and acquisition of Cr isotope composition of fossil calcifiers that can be compared to data from modern respective species.

6. Conclusions

We have conducted bulk $\delta^{53}$Cr and [Cr] analyses of a set of common bivalve species from two locations, one at Playa Poniente on the Mediterranean Sea, and one from Disko Bay in arctic north Atlantic, from where we also measured the ambient seawater. Collection of same species during a specific period in July over several years, and of multiple samples from some of the species, allowed us to monitor the stability of Cr isotope signatures in each of the species, and to define long-term $\delta^{53}$Cr offsets from ambient seawater. The outcome of our study can be summarized as follows:

1. The local surface seawater Cr isotope composition and [Cr] concentrations at Playa Poniente at times of sample collection over a three years period is surprisingly homogenous, with $\delta^{53}$Cr = 0.83 +/- 0.05 ‰, and with [Cr] = 254 +/- 54 ng/kg.

2. Offsets ($\Delta_{Cr}$) from different bivalve species from this value show subtle differences, with typical values of ~0.3 to 0.4 ‰ lower than ambient seawater. Of all the species investigated, Loripes lucinalis exhibits the largest $\Delta_{Cr}$ of ~0.6 ‰. The systematically lighter Cr isotope compositions of all bivalves studies herein relative to ambient seawater confirms earlier studies by Pereira et al. (2015) on corals, by Wang et al. (2016) on foraminifera, and by Farkaš et al. (in press) for various marine calcifiers from a location in the Barrier Reef.

3. Recognizing the importance of organics in the shell structures of bivalves, and considering our results from incinerated vs. solely 6N HCl dissolved bivalve shells
systematically showing recovery of higher [Cr] in ashed samples, we propose a model
whereby reduction of Cr(VI) originally contained in the seawater and transported to
the calcifying space, to Cr(III), and its effective adsorption onto organic
macromolecules that adhere to chitinous interlamellar coatings, plays a central role.
In such a scenario, organic matter-bound, isotopically light Cr, forms preferable loci
for the nucleation of carbonates, and it is eventually included into the growing shell
carbonates, possible together with dissolved chromate that may substitute for CO$_3^{2-}$
directly in the carbonate lattice.

4. Inter-species Cr isotope variations, tested on a suite of contemporaneously sampled
alive *Mytilus edulis* samples from Godthavn (Disko Bay), are small, in the range of
$\delta^{53}$Cr = +/- 0.05 ‰, and independent of [Cr]. Although not knowing the exact host of
Cr in these shells (periostracum, organic macromolecules, chitinous interlamellar
membranes? etc.), the homogenous Cr isotope composition measured in this suite of
samples renders *Mytilus edulis* a potential archive for the reconstruction of the redox
state of ambient local seawater. This needs to be verified by studies of this species
from other locations before attempts to use fossil aliquots for the reconstruction of
paleo-seawater redox.

5. Intra-shell variations of $\delta^{53}$Cr and [Cr] over respective entire growth periods was
investigated on two examples, a sample of *Placuna placenta* (window pane oyster,
Capiz) and a sample of *Mimachlamys townsendi* (Pecinidae), from Kakinada Bay (Bay
of Bengal) and from Hawke’s Beach (Karachi, Pakistan). We observe subtle fluctuation
of both parameters of the growth period of ~1 year and several years, respectively,
which are in the order of 0.1 to 0.2 ‰. These fluctuations may arise from either
seasonal changes in ambient seawater compositions, and/or from metabolic
instabilities in the calcifying space affecting reduction of Cr(VI) and production of
organic macromolecules.
6. Our study can be used as a base for future, more detailed investigations of marine biogenic carbonates, including fossil marine calcifiers, aimed at the reconstruction of paleo-seawater redox state fluctuations, and eventually to correlate these with climate change aspects in certain periods of Earth’s history.
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Figure captions

Figure 1.
World sketch map with locations from where bivalve and seawater samples were collected.

Figure 2.
Photographs of representative bivalve species studied herein. 1-8 from Playa Poniente, 9 from Kakinada Bay, 10 from Hawke’s Bay, and 11 from Godhavn (Qeqertarsuaq). Black scale line corresponds to 1 cm.


Figure 3.
Plot depicting average $\delta^{53}$Cr values from multiple filament runs with 200 nanogram loads of NIST SRM 979 measured on the PHOENIX thermal ionization mass spectrometer at 53Cr beam intensities of 350mV and 1V, respectively. The yellow colored range indicates the +/- 0.08 ‰ external reproducibility of the 10 filaments loads ran at 350mV 53Cr beam intensities, which correspond to typical beam intensities obtained from our samples.

Figure 4.
Plot showing the chromium concentrations ([Cr]; blue filled symbols) and chromium isotope compositions ($\delta^{53}$Cr; red filled symbols) of various incinerated bivalve species analyzed from Playa...
Poniente. 1 *Callista Chione*; 2 Cardiidae (species unknown); 3 *Chamelea gallina*; 4 *Chamelea striatula*; 5 *Glycymeris glycymeris*; 6 *Loripes lucinalis*; 7 *Pecten jacobaeus*; 8 *Venus verrucosa*. Stippled red lines mark the average values of inter-species analyses, the red ranges the two standard deviation errors of these analyses. The light gray horizontal bar depicts the Igneous Earth inventory composition (Schoenberg et al., 2009), and the blue horizontal bar the local surface seawater composition measured from this location. One sample of *Loripes lucinalis* (marked with a light red - and a light blue filled symbol) has been dissolved in *aqua regia* without previous incineration. For details see text.

Figure 5.

Plot showing the chromium concentrations ([Cr]; blue filled symbols) and chromium isotope compositions (δ⁵³Cr; red filled symbols) of various *Mytilus edulis* shells and a of a shell mixture from Godhavn, Disko Bay, Greenland. The darker red - and darker blue filled symbol mark analyses on incinerated shells, whereas the lighter colored respective symbols depict analyses from solely *aqua regia* dissolved shells. The light gray horizontal bar depicts the Igneous Earth inventory composition (Schoenberg et al., 2009), and the blue horizontal bar the local surface seawater composition measured from this location (Paulukat et al., 2016). For details see text.

Figure 6.

Plot showing the chromium concentrations ([Cr]; blue filled symbols) and chromium isotope compositions (δ⁵³Cr; red filled symbols) of samples along a growth transect of a *Placuna placenta* sample (depicted in Fig. 2) from Kakinada Bay. Sample Cap-A is characterized by an elevated [Cr] which is potentially due an elevated organic content in the initial growth zone comprising the apex of the shell. The sinusoidal distribution of δ⁵³Cr values along the transect potentially reflects seasonal changes of ambient surface seawater. For details refer to text.

Figure 7.
Plot showing the chromium concentrations ([Cr]; blue filled symbols) and chromium isotope compositions (δ^{53}Cr; red filled symbols) of samples along a growth transect in a *Mimachlamys townsendi* specimen from Hawke’s Bay. Sample Pec-H is characterized by an elevated [Cr] which is potentially due an elevated organic content in the initial growth zone comprising the apex/hinge of the shell (c.f., Fig. 2). For details refer to text.

**Figure 8.**

Schematic representation of a simplified model for the transfer of chromium from an extrapallial fluid within an interlamellar space into shell carbonate nuclides (modified from Suzuki and Nagasawa, 2013). The insoluble frameworks consist of chitin (black and long rectangles) that make a scaffold to supply the space for precipitation of calcium carbonate crystals. A. The interlamellar space is filled with a supersaturated extrapallial fluid with respect to CO_3^{2-} and Ca^{2+}. Cr(VI) likely occurs as dissolved compounds in the fluid and eventually is reduced to isotopically lighter Cr(III) by dissolved organic macro-molecules (gray circles) onto which it is efficiently adsorbed. Insoluble matrix proteins (gray discs) have the hydrophobic region for organic macro-molecule (protein)–chitin interaction and the hydrophilic–acidic region for the calcium carbonate binding ability mediate the connection between the organic scaffolds. B. The soluble matrix proteins that have hydrophilic region for calcium carbonate binding adhere to the chitinous layers and probably regulate nucleation, crystal polymorph and crystal orientation of inorganic calcium carbonate crystals (gray rectangles). C. As the crystals grow, insoluble matrix proteins are used for organic framework formation as intercrystalline organic matrices and soluble matrix proteins, including adsorbed Cr(III), are eventually included in the calcium carbonate crystals as intracrystalline organic matrices. Cr(VI) potentially present as chromate (CrO_4^{2-}) ions likely also substitute for carbonate (CO_3^{2-}) ions directly in the calcium carbonate lattice (Tang et al., 2009).
Figure 9. Bar graph showing the conservative offset ranges ($\Delta r$) of $\delta^{53}$Cr values of bivalve species from ambient seawater. The larger range of *Placuna placenta* is due to within-shell heterogeneities probably resulting from seasonal surface seawater fluctuations which are smoothed out by the bulk shell analyses of the other species (see text for details).
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Chromium isotope fractionation between modern seawater and biogenic carbonates from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia: Implications for the paleo-seawater $\delta^{53}$Cr reconstruction
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Abstract

This study investigates chromium isotope variations ($\delta^{53}$Cr) and REE patterns in present-day biogenic carbonates and ocean waters from Lady Elliot Island (LEI) located in the southern Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Australia, which is one of the world’s largest carbonate-producing shelf ecosystems. Our results from thoroughly cleaned biogenic carbonates collected at LEI, with no detectable evidence for lithogenic Cr and/or Mn–Fe oxide coating contamination, revealed a systematic and statistically significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.83$, $p < 0.05$) between $\delta^{53}$Cr and cerium anomaly (Ce/Ce*) data in molluscan shells (i.e., gastropods). This in turn implies a redox-controlled incorporation of Cr from seawater into a shell during mineralization mediated by the organism. In particular, shells with higher $\delta^{53}$Cr values, which approach the Cr isotope composition of local seawater, tend to be associated with more negative Ce/Ce* values. Importantly, the intercept of the above $\delta^{53}$Cr vs. Ce/Ce* correlation points to the Cr isotope composition of local ocean water, which has an average $\delta^{53}$Cr of $+0.82\pm0.13\%_{\text{o}}$ (2σ, relative to SRM 979). These findings thus indicate that the above multi-proxy approach could be used to reconstruct the $\delta^{53}$Cr signature of local paleo-seawater based on Ce/Ce* and $\delta^{53}$Cr data in a set of well-preserved fossil skeletal carbonates (i.e., molluscan shells) collected at a specific site. Interestingly, the only calcifying organism from LEI that yielded identical $\delta^{53}$Cr vs. Ce/Ce* values as those in ambient ocean water was a microbial calcitic carbonate produced by red coralline algae (Lithothamnion sp.). This organism thus seems to incorporate Cr isotopes and REE from seawater without additional biological discrimination and/or isotope fractionation effects. Considering that calcite is a more stable CaCO3 polymorph during post-depositional alteration and diagenetic stabilization of marine carbonates (compared to aragonite), the fossil counterparts of these algal-microbial carbonates (microbialites) might thus represent ideal natural archives of the paleo-seawater $\delta^{53}$Cr and Ce/Ce* variations over geological time.

Finally, our compilation of $\delta^{53}$Cr data from recent marine biogenic carbonates originating from the main oceanic provinces (South/North Pacific, South/North Atlantic, Caribbean, Mediterranean Sea) confirms that marine carbonates tend to be systematically enriched in light Cr isotopes relative to local ocean waters. Trace element constraints, however, indicate that some of these shifts to lower $\delta^{53}$Cr values (i.e., approaching $-0.1$ per mil) are related to a presence of lithogenic Cr in the shells, causing a diagenetic overprint of the primary marine $\delta^{53}$Cr signal.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Chromium (Cr) is a redox-sensitive transition metal with four naturally occurring isotopes ($^{50}$Cr, $^{52}$Cr, $^{53}$Cr and $^{54}$Cr) that could...
be used as tracers to constrain present and past redox conditions on Earth and other solar system objects (Bonndal et al., 2016; Schoenberg et al., 2016; Crowe et al., 2013; Planavsky et al., 2014; Frei et al., 2009). Stable Cr isotopes can also be utilized to monitor the sources and biogeochemical pathways of chromium within terrestrial reservoirs including geological, hydrological and biological systems (Paulukat et al., 2016; Holmden et al., 2016; D’Arcy et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2015; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Farkaš et al., 2013; Bonndal et al., 2013; Schoenberg et al., 2008; Ellis et al., 2002). Although Cr can occur in numerous oxidation states (ranging from −2 to +6; cf., Daulton and Little, 2006), in most near-surface terrestrial environments including the oceans, it is typically present either as trivalent Cr(III) or hexavalent Cr(VI) species, depending on local redox conditions (Kotaš and Stasiačka, 2000; Elderfield, 1970; Pettine and Millero, 1990).

Importantly, there is a systematic fractionation of stable Cr isotopes (i.e., $^{53}$Cr/$^{52}$Cr ratios or $\delta^{53}$Cr in nature due to redox processes, where the reduction of Cr in near-surface environments produces dissolved Cr(VI) that is isotopically heavier, relative to a less soluble Cr(III) species (Ellis et al., 2002; Zink et al., 2010; Dessing et al., 2011). The Cr isotope composition of seawater thus reflects a complex signal of oxidation/reduction processes operating within the oceans (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Paulukat et al., 2016), and the $\delta^{53}$Cr record of marine sedimentary archives has potential to be used to infer the past redox conditions of the ocean-atmosphere system through geological time (Frei et al., 2009, 2011, 2013, 2016; Bonndal et al., 2013; Van Zulien and Schoenberg, 2013; Planavsky et al., 2014; Holmden et al., 2016; D’Arcy et al., 2016; Rodler et al., 2016; Gilleadeau et al., 2016).

Due to a basically continuous geological record of marine carbonates throughout most of the Earth’s history, i.e., the last ~3.7 billion years (Nutman et al., 2016; Shields and Veizer, 2002), Cr isotope studies of marine carbonate archives are particularly appealing for paleo-redox reconstructions. However, as shown by recent studies (Rodler et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016), the actual mechanism(s) of Cr incorporation and redox-controlled isotope fractionation during the formation of inorganic and biogenic carbonates is rather complex and poorly understood, thus requiring further systematic investigations in both natural and laboratory-controlled settings. Available results from inorganic calcite precipitation experiments have revealed that the incorporation of Cr from a solution into CaCO$_3$ is facilitated as chromatate anion (CrO$_4^{2-}$), which replaces carbonate anion (CO$_3^{2-}$) in the calcite lattice (Tang et al., 2007). This process of inorganic calcification tends to preferentially incorporate heavy $^{53}$Cr isotopes into the mineral, yielding a $\delta^{53}$Cr of calcite which is thus up to ~0.3‰ more positive compared to the fluid (Rodler et al., 2015). In contrast, biologically produced marine CaCO$_3$ minerals, such as foraminiferal calcite (Wang et al., 2016), coral aragonite (Pereira et al., 2015) and bulk carbonate sediments (Holmden et al., 2016), are all systematically enriched in light Cr isotopes compared to ambient seawater. This fractionation trend is thus the exact opposite of the situation observed in inorganic calcite (cf., Rodler et al., 2015).

Furthermore, due to local redox cycling and biological uptake of Cr in the oceans (Semeniuk et al., 2016), the Cr isotope signature of present-day seawater is not globally homogeneous (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Paulukat et al., 2016), further complicating the application of the $\delta^{53}$Cr proxy in marine carbonate archives. Considering the abovementioned issues and limitations, the full potential of Cr isotopes for paleo-redox studies can only be realized with more detailed calibration work done on the modern seawater-carbonate system from different oceanographic settings, by analyzing $\delta^{53}$Cr data from both local seawater and precipitated marine carbonates.

This study is the first to present such a comprehensive Cr isotope investigation of the seawater-carbonate system from one of the world’s largest carbonate-producing shelf ecosystems, the Great Barrier Reef (Lady Elliot Island, Australia), where $\delta^{53}$Cr data were acquired from local ocean waters and selected recent biogenic carbonates (i.e., gastropods, cephalopods, corals, and calcifying algae). In addition, an alternative and complementary redox proxy, i.e., Cerium anomaly (Ce/Ce$^+$), is applied here to further test and quantify the possible role of redox processes during Cr incorporation into marine biogenic carbonates. Elemental abundances of selected elements (Al, Mn, Fe, and REE), coupled with $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr analysis, are also used as indices to evaluate possible contamination by non-marine Cr sources originating from lithogenic (detrital minerals, clays) and/or Mn–Fe oxide components (Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Rodler et al., 2015; Gilleadeau et al., 2016).

The Cr isotope data acquired from the seawater-carbonate system at Lady Elliot Island are complemented by additional $\delta^{53}$Cr analyses of marine skeletal carbonates (i.e., bivalves, gastropods) collected from the main oceanic provinces including: North and South Atlantic, North and South Pacific Oceans, and the Mediterranean Sea. Finally, these are then compared to published seawater $\delta^{53}$Cr signatures for the above oceanic provinces (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Paulukat et al., 2016), and conclusions are made about the redox-controlled mechanism(s) behind the Cr isotope fractionation in a seawater-carbonate system, with implications for paleo-seawater $\delta^{53}$Cr reconstructions.

2. Study sites and samples

Lady Elliot Island (24°06′47″S, 152°44′50″E) is the southernmost tropical coral cay of the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), which is the largest coral reef ecosystem on Earth with in-situ production of skeletal carbonates within an area comprising 344,400 km$^2$, stretching along ~2300 km off the coastline of Queensland, Australia (Fig. 1). (De’ath et al., 2012; Chivas et al., 1986). Lady Elliot Island (LEI) represents a particularly interesting and unique site for Cr isotope studies mostly because of (i) its remote location from the Australian mainland (ca. 80 km from the nearest coastline), and (ii) the effect of prevailing south-easterly trade winds blowing from the open ocean towards the mainland (Kenn and Brander, 2006). Together these presumably result in a minimum input of possible continentally-derived Cr sources (i.e., mineral dust, silicate detritus) into the studied seawater-carbonate system. This unique setting of LEI, in turn, minimizes potential contamination of our samples with lithogenic/detrital Cr, which has been proven to impact $\delta^{53}$Cr measurements in naturally Cr-poor marine carbonates (Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Rodler et al., 2016).

The reef platform of LEI is kidney-shaped, measuring ~1.2 km along its longest (NE–SW) and ~0.8 km along its shorter (SE-NW) axis, with typical water depths ranging from <1 to about 25 m, across the reef-flat and reef-slope areas, respectively (Harmony, 2014). Seawater samples analyzed in this study (Fig. 1C) were collected in 2015 by the LEI’s Eco Resort scuba-diving team on (i) the leeward side of the island from the reef-flat and slope areas (near Coral Garden), and also on (ii) the windward side from the north-east lagoon (i.e., a reef-flat) and the northerly surf-break area (i.e., near a reef slope), (see also Table 1).

The main shallow-water calcifying organisms and benthic carbonate producers at the LEI’s reef platform are: (i) scleractinian corals, (ii) red coralline algae, (iii) green calcifying algae (Halimeda), and marginally also (vi) benthic foraminifera, bivalves, and gastropods (Harmony, 2014). Samples of recent biogenic carbonates were collected in 2013 from the leeward side of LEI, in an area called the ‘Coral Gardens’ (Fig. 1), and these specimens were sampled under the Queensland Museum general purposes permit.
3. Methods

3.1. Sample preparation

Seawater samples from LEI were collected into pre-cleaned plastic bottles and stored in a fridge until elemental and isotope analyses. Prior to analysis the samples were filtered through 0.45 μm nylon membrane filters (Advantec MFS) and acidified.

Biogenic carbonates used for the isotope and elemental analysis were first physically scapped and washed in Milli-Q water (MQ, resistivity 18 MΩ cm), and the shell fragments were then immersed in hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and ethanol (C₂H₅OH), followed by a brief leach in 1 N HCl and a final thorough washing in MQ water (following cleaning procedure P-5 from Zaky et al., 2015).

3.2. Elemental concentration analysis (major, trace and REE)

Major and trace element concentrations in biogenic carbonates were analyzed, respectively, by ICP-OES (Agilent 5100) and a sector field ICP-MS Element II (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at the Institute of Geology, Czech Academy of Sciences (for details see Appendix). The REE concentrations in filtered seawater and pre-cleaned LEI carbonates were determined using a seaFAST online pre-concentration system (Elemental Scientific Inc., USA) and ICP-MS (Agilent 7500ce) at GEOMAR. Our methods followed the analytical approach of Hathorne et al. (2012) and Osborne et al. (2017), for seawater and carbonate samples, respectively. Details on analytical errors, reproducibility, detection limits and procedural blanks of the seaFAST REE analyses are available in the Appendix (Tables A3 and A4).

The shale-normalized cerium anomaly (Ce/Ce*) reported in this study is calculated based on the following equation (Webb and Kamber, 2000, and Bau and Dujik, 1996):

$$\text{Ce/Ce}^* = \frac{\text{Ce}}{\text{Ce}_{\text{PAAS}}} = \left[ \frac{\text{Ce}}{\text{La}_{\text{PAAS}}} \left( \frac{\text{La}}{\text{La}_{\text{PAAS}}} \right) + 0.5 \times \left( \frac{\text{Pr}}{\text{Pr}_{\text{PAAS}}} \right) \right]$$

where PAAS represents the 'Post-Archean Average Australian Shale' (cf., Taylor and McLennan, 1985).

3.3. Strontium isotope analysis ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) by TIMS

Selected samples of biogenic carbonates and seawaters (both filtered and unfiltered) from LEI were analyzed for $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios by TIMS (VG Sector 54 I'T) at the University of Copenhagen. Briefly, Sr was purified from samples using SrSpec resin, and eluted Sr was loaded on single Re filaments with a Ta₂O₅·H₂PO₄·HF activator. The presented $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values were corrected for the offset relative to the certified NIST SRM 987 of 0.710248 (McArthur et al., 2006). The reported errors (2σ) are within-run precisions of the individual analysis.
3.4. Chromium isotope analysis ($\delta^{53}/^{52}$Cr) by TIMS

The acid-digested samples (i.e., filtered seawaters and pre-cleaned carbonates) were spiked with $^{50}$Cr–$^{54}$Cr tracer (i.e., double spike) and processed through a two-step Cr purification chromatography, using a combination of anionic and cationic exchange columns. Purified Cr fractions were analyzed on an IsotopX Phoenix thermal ionization mass spectrometer (TIMS) at the University of Copenhagen (Frei et al., 2009; Pereira et al., 2015). The Cr isotope composition of a sample is expressed as $\delta^{53}$Cr notation (normalized to SRM 979) in per mil (%), according to the following equation:

$$\delta^{53}Cr(\%) = \left(\frac{^{53}Cr/^{52}Cr}_{SAMPLE}/^{53}Cr/^{52}Cr_{SRM979} - 1\right) \times 1000$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The external reproducibility of the $\delta^{53}$Cr data is about $\pm 0.05\%$ (2sd), based on repeated measurements of certified standards (SRM 979, JDo-1 and JLS-1) and samples (Tables 2 and 3).

4. Results

4.1. Oceanic waters – chromium isotope compositions ($\delta^{52}$Cr) and elemental concentrations

A total of 4 seawater samples (i.e., South Pacific ocean waters) collected at different sites in the vicinity of Lady Elliot Island (Fig. 1C) were analyzed for $\delta^{53}$Cr, Cr and REE concentrations (see data in Table 1, and Table A5, Appendix). The Cr concentrations of LEI seawater range from 173 to 220 ng/kg, and their $\delta^{52}$Cr spread from $\sim 0.72\%$ to $\sim 1.01 \pm 0.05\%$ (Fig. 2A), thus overlapping with published data for ‘global surface ocean’ waters collected from other locations (Paulukat et al., 2016; Scheiderich et al., 2015). Importantly, our results reveal a strong and statistically significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.96$, p < 0.05) between $\delta^{52}$Cr and concentration [Cr] data in LEI seawaters (Fig. 2B). Such coupling between Cr isotope compositions and concentrations has also been observed in ocean waters collected worldwide (Paulukat et al., 2016; Scheiderich et al., 2015). But the latter global dataset yields a specific slope of $\sim 0.79$ which thus differs from that of the LEI’s seawaters. The latter yield a slope of $\sim 1.93 \pm 0.27$ (1σ) in a cross-plot with $\delta^{53}$Cr vs. ln([Cr]) coordinates (Fig. 2C). The REE analysis of LEI seawaters (with the exception of a sample SW 1) show patterns typical for coastal seawater from the Great Barrier Reef, with characteristic enrichments of heavy REE and generally less negative Ce/Ce* anomalies ranging from $\sim 0.322$ to $\sim 0.592$ (i.e., data from this study and Wyndham et al., 2004), compared to typical seawater from the Pacific Ocean with a more pronounced negative Ce/Ce* anomaly of $\sim 0.054$ (see data in Tables A7 and A8, Appendix).

4.2. Biogenic carbonates – chromium isotope variations ($\delta^{53}$Cr) and elemental concentrations

A total of 29 recent marine biogenic carbonates were analyzed for $\delta^{53}$Cr values and elemental concentrations (i.e., Cr, Mg, Sr, Al, Fe, Mn, and REE), including 12 samples from LEI (Table 2), and 17 skeletal carbonates originating from ‘other locations’ (OL) worldwide. For details see also the Appendix and elemental data for LEI and OL samples listed in Tables A5 and A6, respectively (REE data are in Tables A9 and A10).

Overall, the investigated biogenic carbonates (n = 29) yielded Cr concentrations ranging from $\sim 0.008$ to $\sim 2.081$ ppm, and their $\delta^{52}$Cr values range from $\sim 0.05\%$ to $\sim 0.86 \pm 0.07\%$, with no statistically significant correlation between $\delta^{53}$Cr and Cr concentration data ($r^2 = 0.29$, p = 0.12). Nevertheless, there is an apparent systematic difference between $\delta^{53}$Cr signatures of carbonates from LEI, and those from OL (p < 0.0001). The former yields an average $\delta^{53}$Cr of $\sim 0.42 \pm 0.16\%$ (1σ, n = 12), whereas the latter sample set gives a much lower average of $\sim 0.14 \pm 0.12\%$ (1σ, n = 17) (Tables 2 and 3). There seems to be no obvious correlation between $\delta^{53}$Cr signatures and Al or Fe concentrations (or Al/Cr and Fe/Cr elemental ratios) of the investigated carbonates.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Oceanic/seawater body</th>
<th>Location country</th>
<th>Organism class/phyllum</th>
<th>Cr (ppm)</th>
<th>δ^{53}Cr (%)</th>
<th>2sd</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Al (ppm)</th>
<th>Fe (ppm)</th>
<th>Mn (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL 1</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 2</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 3</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Bellaria</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 4</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Bellaria</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 5</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Bellaria</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 6</td>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>Brittany</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 7</td>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>Brittany</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 8</td>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>Brest</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 9</td>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>Brittany</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 10</td>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 11</td>
<td>South Atlantic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 12</td>
<td>South Atlantic</td>
<td>Viedma</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 13</td>
<td>South Atlantic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 14</td>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Mollusca</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 15</td>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>Mollusca</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 16</td>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL 17</td>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>Mollusca</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. (A) The Cr isotope compositions (δ^{53}Cr) and concentrations (ng/kg) in ocean waters collected from Lady Elliot Island (LEI), with a linear regression trend (i.e., red line) fitted to seawater data. (B) δ^{53}Cr versus 1/[Cr] with logarithmic trends through data, where the red line represents a log fit function for LEI seawater samples, and the blue trend was constructed using the 'global seawater dataset' compiled by Paulakut et al. (2016); see also references therein. (C) δ^{53}Cr versus ln[Cr] cross-plot with linear regression trends; red line = LEI data; and blue line = Global Oceanic Water dataset from Paulakut et al. (2016).

from LEI and OL (Fig. A4), which might suggest a lack of contamination from detrital clays and/or Fe-oxides (Wang et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2015). However, a cross-plot of Cr isotopes versus Mn/Cr ratios (Fig. A5) reveals that biogenic carbonates from OL yield systematically lower δ^{53}Cr and relatively higher Mn/Cr compared to samples from LEI. This difference was also confirmed by the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U statistic test (an equivalent for the parametric Student t-test; for details see the Appendix). This, in turn, points to a possible contamination issue related to Mn-oxide coatings on the measured Cr isotope compositions of carbonates collected from other locations (OL), which are indeed expected to be more impacted by terrestrial inputs and lithogenic Cr sources (due to their proximity to continents) relative to samples from LEI.

As for REE, the samples from LEI exhibit rather low ΣREE ranging from ~1.5 to 93 (ppb), and variable true negative Ce/ Ce* (PAAS) anomalies from ~0.298 up to ~0.825 (Table A9), whose fidelity was confirmed also via Ce/Ce* vs. Pr/Pr* and La/Ce cross-plots (see Fig. A3, Appendix). A very similar range was observed in the samples from OL, which yielded Ce/Ce* from ~0.375 to ~0.925, but
their $\Sigma$REE are systematically higher, i.e., ranging from ∼37 up to 998 (ppb), (Table A10). Interestingly, the biogenic carbonates from LEI (i.e., benthic molluscs) reveal a strong and statistically significant negative correlation ($r^2 = 0.83$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 6$) between $\delta^{53}$Cr and Ce/Ce*, the latter being a redox proxy. A very similar relationship between Cr isotopes and Ce/Ce* anomaly was observed recently by Bonnad et al. (2013) in modern marine ooids from the Bahamas ($r^2 = 0.93$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 4$). However, no such correlation between $\delta^{53}$Cr and Ce/Ce* was observed in our samples of corals and calcareous algae from LEI, nor in our data from the OL samples (see Appendix, Fig. A6).

4.3. Strontium isotopes ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) in seawaters and biogenic carbonates from LEI

Seawater collected from LEI (filtered and unfiltered) yielded $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios ranging from 0.709162 to 0.709171, with a mean of 0.709166 ± 0.000005 (2σ, $n = 10$; Table A12, Appendix), which is consistent with present-day global ocean water (McArthur et al., 2006; Pereira et al., 2015). As for biogenic carbonates from LEI (Table A13), their $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ranges from 0.709161 to 0.709175, giving a mean of 0.709168 ± 0.000008 (2σ, $n = 24$), which thus overlaps within error with the mean $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of LEI seawater (Fig. 4).

5. Discussion

5.1. Evaluating the effect of contamination by detrital/lithogenic components and Mn/Fe oxide coatings via $\Sigma$REE, trace elements and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr indices

Numerous studies have shown that adsorbed detritus and/or oxide coatings on marine biogenic carbonates may lead to (i) elevated $\Sigma$REE concentrations, (ii) positive and non-marine Ce/Ce* values, and/or (iii) increased Mn and Fe concentrations (Zaky et al., 2015, and references therein). To evaluate these effects and their possible impact on the measured Cr/Ce* and Cr isotope variations in the studied biogenic carbonates, we adopted the approach of Zaky et al. (2015) and plot our data in Ce/Ce* vs. $\Sigma$REE, and Mn vs. Fe concentration plots (Fig. 3).

For the purposes of comparison, we present our results (i.e., LEI and OL samples) along with data from Zaky et al. (2015) that represent (i) ‘thoroughly cleaned’ carbonate shells (i.e., physical and chemical treatment = P4 and P5 procedures), and (ii) ‘partially cleaned’ samples, where the detrital components and oxide coatings were not properly removed (i.e., P1, P2 and P3 procedures). Importantly, this comparison reveals that our data from LEI and OL carbonates do not show any obvious correlation between Ce/Ce* and $\Sigma$REE, where the latter would be indicative of contamination issues. Overall, our data plot within the range of $\Sigma$REE, Mn and Fe concentrations measured in the ‘thoroughly cleaned’ samples of Zaky et al. (2015). This in turn points to the effectiveness and suitability of our selected cleaning procedure (i.e., P5, as defined by Zaky et al., 2015).

However, the OL samples exhibit generally higher $\Sigma$REE and Mn/Cr ratios, coupled with systematically lower $\delta^{53}$Cr values (compared to the LEI samples; see Fig. 3B, Fig. A5), and these differences between OL and LEI data sets are statistically significant, as confirmed by the Mann–Whitney test (see the Appendix). Such elevated Mn/Cr coupled with low $\delta^{53}$Cr values, the latter approaching ∼0.1 per mil (i.e., the Cr isotope signature of the Earth’s silicate crust; Schoenberg et al., 2008; Farkaš et al., 2013), might thus indicate possible contamination of OL samples by lithogenic Cr sources, possibly related to the presence of Mn-oxide coatings. We speculate that perhaps Mn oxhydroxides could impact the $\delta^{53}$Cr of marine skeletal carbonates due to oxidation of lithogenic and isotopically light Cr(III) present in local sediments and pore waters to more soluble and mobile Cr(VI) species, and their subsequent incorporation into carbonate shells. The latter could be facilitated either via (i) adsorption of such sediment-derived Cr onto reactive surfaces of oxide coatings or directly onto a shell, or alternatively via (ii) chemical exchange and diffusion of Cr between the shell and local pore fluids at the sediment–seawater interface. These hypothesized pathways can thus explain the generally ‘non-marine’ and very low Cr isotope signatures observed in the OL samples and their association with elevated Mn concentrations (Fig. A5, Table A6). Note that pore waters are expected to have $\delta^{53}$Cr signatures close to ∼0‰ due to a large reservoir of lithogenic Cr hosted in marine sediments that are typically dominated by silicilastic detrital and/or clay minerals.

Thus, our cleaning procedure seems to be sufficient for the LEI carbonates, which originated from a pristine and remote marine setting with minimum input of detrital and lithogenic Cr sources. This procedure seems, however, to be inadequate for a thorough cleaning and removal of lithogenic Cr from the OL carbonate samples, likely because these have been more exposed and impacted by non-marine Cr sources. Overall, our data seem to indicate that a large part of Cr hosted in the shells from OL might have originated from lithogenic or sediment/pore water derived Cr sources.
which thus overprinted the primary and isotopically heavy marine δ53Cr signal in certain shells.

The above diageneric interpretation is supported by a single sample of bivalve shell from the OL dataset (i.e., a sample OL 15, from Alaska, North Pacific) that yielded the heaviest Cr isotope composition of +0.54‰, which is thus very close to the expected δ53Cr of ambient seawater (Paulukat et al., 2016). This sample also has the lowest Mn and Al concentrations of all the OL carbonates analyzed (Tables A6, Figs. A4 and A5). Hence, it seems that apart from this sample, which records a close-to original seawater δ53C signal, the rest of OL shells seem to have their Cr isotope compositions impacted to a variable degree by secondary processes, involving later incorporation of lithogenic Cr mediated by the presence of Mn in the system.

Consequently, our further discussion of Cr isotope variations between seawater and biogenic carbonates is focused primarily on the samples from LEI, which showed minimum or no obvious impact of lithogenic and/or Mn-Fe oxide coating contamination on their measured δ53Cr and Ce/Ce* values. To further corroborate the lack of secondary contamination in the LEI samples, we adopted the approach of Pereira et al. (2015) and also analyzed δ18O/δ16O in biogenic carbonates and local seawater from the Lady Elliot Island (Tables A12, A13). The above isotope proxy is useful, as shifts to more radiogenic δ87Sr/δ86Sr values in marine carbonates (relative to seawater) would indicate the presence of lithogenic/detrital contamination. Importantly, our data confirmed no detectable radiogenic or non-marine Sr sources in the studied LEI carbonates. As the latter yielded δ87Sr/δ86Sr ratios that are identical (within the analytical error) to the local seawater (see Fig. 4), which in turn is consistent with the global ocean Sr isotope composition. Considering the above constraints from Sr isotopes, as well as Ce/Ce* vs. εREE, and Mn vs. Fe concentration plots (Fig. 3, and Fig. A5), we are confident that the geochemical data acquired from the LEI carbonates have not been affected by contamination and early diageneric processes. This is likely related to the fact that LEI samples originate from a remote carbonate-dominated and detrital-poor marine setting of the Great Barrier Reef, while OL samples are from generally carbonate-poor coastal areas more affected by continental inputs (see Table 3). Therefore, we conclude that δ53Cr and Ce/Ce* values measured in LEI carbonates reflect the primary signal related to redox cycling of Cr and Ce in a local marine environment, and/or micro-environment associated with the biological uptake of these redox-sensitive elements during biocalcification.

5.2. Chromium isotope composition of LEI seawater and the impact of a local redox cycling?

Recent studies have revealed significant heterogeneities with respect to Cr cycling in the oceans, where δ53Cr of seawater ranges from ~0.2‰ to ~1.6‰ as a function of water depth (Scheiderich et al., 2015) and/or geographical location (Paulukat et al., 2016). Importantly, these changes in δ53Cr are tightly coupled to changes in seawater Cr concentrations, following a globally recognized correlation trend (i.e., a linear relationship) with a slope of −0.79 ± 0.06 (Paulukat et al., 2016) when plotted in δ53Cr versus ln[Cr] space (Fig. 2C). Assuming a closed-system Rayleigh fractionation of Cr isotopes in the oceans, the above slope of −0.79 could be interpreted as a common 'global' fractionation factor (ε) associated with the redox cycling of Cr in seawater, specifically with the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in the surface oceans and/or oxygen minimum zones (Paulukat et al., 2016; Scheiderich et al., 2015). Accordingly, the fractionation factor (ε) for a closed-system behavior of Cr isotopes can be calculated based on the following relationship (cf., Scheiderich et al., 2015):

$$\Delta^{53} \text{Cr}_{SW} = \varepsilon \ln[Cr]$$  \hspace{1cm}(3)

where $\varepsilon = 1000 \times (a - 1)$ is the fractionation factor in %o associated with the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III), and $a$ is the fractionation coefficient; and $\Delta^{53} \text{Cr}_{SW}$ is the relative change (i.e., difference) in the δ53Cr signature of dissolved Cr remaining in the seawater. Thus, $\Delta^{53} \text{Cr}_{SW}$ versus ln[Cr] should have a slope of $\varepsilon$ (Scheiderich et al., 2015).

Consequently, the fractionation factor (ε) associated with the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in marine settings can be determined from the logarithmic relationship between Cr concentrations (ln[Cr]) and isotope compositions (δ53Cr) in a suite of seawater samples (Fig. 2C), (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Smith and Kroopnick, 1981).

Interestingly, seawater from LEI also shows a strong coupling between δ53Cr and ln[Cr] data, but with a much steeper slope of $-1.93 \pm 0.27$ (1σ) (Fig. 2C), suggesting significantly larger local isotope fractionation effects during the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III). Alternatively, there could be a locally enhanced input of reduced Cr(III), originating from the oxidation of isotopically light Cr(III) present in bottom pore waters and/or organically-complexed Cr sources (Paulukat et al., 2016; Semeniuk et al., 2016). With our
limited dataset, we cannot differentiate between the above scenarios (i.e., a local mixing versus Rayleigh). Future detailed studies of the Cr isotope cycling in shallow-water reef environments could help to better understand these local redox processes, their controlling factors, and effects on the Cr isotope composition of seawater (for details see also the discussion in Appendix).

5.3. Evidence for redox-controlled incorporation of Cr into marine biogenic carbonates

5.3.1. Lady Elliot Island – chromium isotopes ($^{53}$Cr) versus Cerium anomalies (Ce/Ce$^*$)

To further investigate a possible role of biologically mediated redox processes during the incorporation of Cr from seawater and/or a calcifying fluid into biogenic carbonate, we investigated the relationship between $^{53}$Cr and Ce/Ce$^*$ data in our samples, as the latter proxy represents a sensitive redox indicator in marine settings (de Baar et al., 1985; Zaky et al., 2015). Briefly, under reducing marine conditions (i.e., anoxic and suboxic settings), Ce in seawater will be present mostly as soluble Ce(III) species, but in oxidizing environments the removal of Ce via uptake by Mn oxides and its subsequent precipitation as insoluble Ce(IV) will be promoted (Elderfield, 1988; German and Elderfield, 1990). Due to the above redox-controlled partitioning of Ce in seawater, the oxidative removal of Ce from a solution can be quantified via measured Ce abundances normalized to the rest of REE concentration data (i.e., Ce/Ce$^*$ anomaly, see Eq. (1); Bau and Dulski, 1996; Webb and Kamber, 2000). In addition, biological processes such as the microbial oxidation of Ce in marine environments play also an important role in controlling the local redox cycling of Ce in seawater, and/or calcification fluids from which marine carbonates precipitate (Moffett, 1990; Wyndham et al., 2004).

Interestingly, our results from LEI biogenic carbonates, specifically benthic molluscan shells (i.e., gastropods), reveal a strong and statistically significant negative correlation between Ce/Ce$^*$ and $^{53}$Cr data ($r^2 = 0.83, p = 0.01, n = 6$; see Fig. 5A, B). In particular, shells with heavier Cr isotope compositions (approaching the $^{53}$Cr of local seawater) yield more negative Ce anomalies (Ce/Ce$^*$ ~ -0.3) which in turn suggest relatively more oxic conditions, or oxidizing micro-environment, at the site of calcification during the biological uptake of Ce and Cr from seawater (Fig. 5A). Alternatively, the observed coupling between Ce/Ce$^*$ and $^{53}$Cr data in shells could also perhaps be related to specific biological activity and ontogeny of an organism, causing a preferential uptake of Ce and light Cr isotopes into organic matter (or the expulsion from a calcifying fluid), leaving the composition of a shell with lower Ce/Ce$^*$ and higher $^{53}$Cr.

It should be pointed out, however, that a very similar negative correlation between Ce/Ce$^*$ and $^{53}$Cr data ($r^2 = 0.94, p = 0.03, n = 4$), with a similar slope, was also documented recently for modern non-skeletal (microbial) marine carbonates, i.e., ooids, from the Bahamas Bank (Fig. 5B; data from Bonnard et al., 2013). Importantly, for both of these relationships (i.e., our shells and published ooids) the intercepts of Ce/Ce$^*$ versus $^{53}$Cr correlation overlap with the measured Cr isotope composition of local ocean waters (Fig. 5B). Specifically, the intercept for Leeward Island is $+0.83 \pm 0.10$ (1σ), and local Leeward Island yielded an average $^{53}$Cr of $+0.82 \pm 0.13\%$ (σ, n = 4), (see data in Table 1). As for Bahamas samples, the intercept for ooids is +1.09, while the published data for Caribbean seawaters have an average $^{53}$Cr of $+1.14 \pm 0.03\%$ (σ, n = 3), (Holmden et al., 2016).

These purported agreements between $^{53}$Cr of local seawaters and intercepts derived from Ce/Ce$^*$ versus $^{53}$Cr relationships (Fig. 5) perhaps suggest that marine biogenic carbonates that precipitated under more oxidizing conditions or micro-environments (i.e., yielding the most negative Ce anomaly) might actually reflect the Cr isotope signature, approaching the composition of ambient ocean water. The latter might then be inferred from the intercept of Ce/Ce$^*$ versus $^{53}$Cr correlation acquired from a set of suitable marine carbonate archives (e.g., molluscan shells and/or microbial ooids). If validated by future studies, this approach could be used to reconstruct the $^{53}$Cr signature of paleo-seawater based on Ce/Ce$^*$ and $^{53}$Cr data in a set of well-preserved fossil marine carbonates collected at a specific site.

Interestingly, the only calcifying organism from the LEI location that yielded identical $^{53}$Cr and Ce/Ce$^*$ values to those measured in ambient ocean water was a microbial carbonate (i.e., high-
Mg calcite) produced by calcifying red coralline algae (Lithothamnion sp.). This organism thus seems to incorporate Cr isotopes and REE from seawater without additional biological discrimination and/or redox-controlled isotope fractionation during biocalcification. Hence, microbial carbonates produced by certain species of calcifying algae, and their fossil counterparts (i.e., algal micro-bialites), could perhaps also be used as unique natural archives to trace the paleo-seawater δ53Cr signature over geological time.

5.4. Plausible mechanism(s) for Cr isotope fractionation in biogenic carbonates

Pioneering studies by Wang et al. (2016) and Pereira et al. (2015) that investigated the Cr isotope fractionation between recent marine biogenic carbonates (e.g., corals, foraminifera, algae) and ambient seawater revealed that the above organisms preferentially incorporate lighter Cr isotopes into their CaCO3 skeletons. These studies proposed that such enrichments in light Cr isotopes in present-day biogenic carbonates could be due to (i) the incorporation of organically complexed and particle-reactive Cr(III) species directly from seawater into CaCO3, and/or (ii) via biologically-mediated redox cycling involving an initial reduction of marine Cr(III) to isotopically light Cr(III), and its subsequent re-oxidation and incorporation into a shell. The following section models the latter scenario quantitatively, i.e., the reduction/re-oxidation pathway, and discusses plausible mechanisms for the observed coupling between redox-sensitive δ53Cr and Ce/Ce* tracers documented in the studied molluscan shells from LEI (Fig. 5B).

5.4.1. Rayleigh Cr-isotope fractionation model for redox-controlled biocalcification

Assuming that Cr is incorporated into CaCO3 minerals primarily as oxidized Cr(Ⅵ) ions (i.e., chromate CrO42−, anions; Tang et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2015), then the entire variability of a purported redox-controlled correlation between δ53Cr and Ce/Ce* data in LEI molluscan shells (and/or Bahamas microbial ooids, Fig. 5B) could be explained via a partial reduction of marine Cr(Ⅵ) to Cr(Ⅲ) by the organism, followed by biologically mediated re-oxidation of isotopically light Cr(Ⅲ) to Cr(Ⅵ), and its eventual incorporation into CaCO3 biominals (cf., Pereira et al., 2015). The latter redox pathway (i.e., reduction and re-oxidation), and its effects on the δ53Cr proxy, can be described quantitatively via a Rayleigh fractionation model that simulates a progressive reduction of marine Cr(Ⅵ) to Cr(Ⅲ) and the associated isotope fractionation effects. Here we consider two scenarios, i.e., a Rayleigh and equilibrium fractionation models (see below, Eqs. (5) and (6)), and our calculations are based on the Cr isotope composition of a local LEI seawater (δ53Cr ≈ 0.82‰) and a common fractionation factor (ε) of −0.80‰ for the reduction of Cr in marine settings (Paulukat et al., 2016; Scheiderich et al., 2015). Using this model parameterization, the associated changes in δ53Cr of a calcifying fluid, and by inference of the precipitated biogenic carbonates (i.e., molluscan shells), can be calculated.

For the closed system Rayleigh fractionation (Fig. 6A) with a finite reservoir of Cr(Ⅵ) in the calcifying pool, and no exchange between the reactant Cr(Ⅵ) and produced Cr(Ⅲ) species, the evolving δ53Cr of a calcifying fluid (due to biologically-mediated Cr reduction) is modeled using the following equation (Ellis et al., 2002):

\[
\delta^{53}\text{Cr}(\text{VI}) = \left(\left(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}_{\text{in}} + 10^3\right)^{\alpha(\text{red})} - 1\right) 
\]

(4)

where δ53Cr refers to the initial isotope composition of an unreacted Cr(Ⅵ) pool in seawater or a calcifying fluid; \( f \) is the fraction of Cr(Ⅵ) remaining in the pool; and \( \alpha \) is the fractionation coefficient for the biologically-mediated reduction of Cr(Ⅵ) to Cr(Ⅲ), where the latter relates to the fractionation factor (ε) according to \( \varepsilon \approx 1000 + \ln(\alpha) \).

5.4.2. Equilibrium Cr-isotope fractionation model for redox-controlled biocalcification

An alternative equilibrium model considers steady-state conditions where Cr(Ⅵ) and Cr(Ⅲ) species interact (Fig. 6B), and here the δ53Cr of a remaining Cr(Ⅵ) reactant in calcifying fluid is calculated based on (Frings et al., 2016):

\[
\delta^{53}\text{Cr}(\text{VI}) = \varepsilon \cdot (1 - f)
\]

(5)

and an instantaneous reduced Cr(Ⅲ) product being:

\[
\delta^{53}\text{Cr}(\text{III}) = \delta^{53}\text{Cr}_{\text{in}} + \varepsilon \cdot f
\]

(6)
In the context of the proposed reduction and re-oxidation pathway for Cr incorporation into biogenic carbonates, the above equations (Eqs. (5) and (6)) describe the first step involving the biologically-mediated partial reduction of marine Cr(VI) to Cr(III) at calcification sites (see also ‘redox trajectories’ 1–2 and 2–3 in Fig. 6). The second step (i.e., a trajectory 3–4) will then involve either (i) a direct incorporation of the produced and isotopically light Cr(III) into carbonate, or (ii) a quantitative and near complete re-oxidation of such light Cr(III) to Cr(VI) and its subsequent incorporation as isotopically light Cr(VI)-oxyanions into CaCO₃ (cf., Pereira et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). The latter scenario, i.e., the re-oxidation step (via Mn(IV) and/or microbially mediated) is however more likely, considering that under alkaline and high pH conditions typical for calcification sites, the more stable and dominant form of chromium in a solution is Cr(VI) (Pereira et al., 2015). Hence, as illustrated by ‘redox trajectories’ in Fig. 6, one can explain the entire variability in δ⁵³Cr and Ce/Ce* data of LEI mollusc shells via the above two-step reduction/re-oxidation pathway.

5.5. Chromium isotope fractionation between biogenic carbonate and seawater – a ‘global ocean’ perspective

To evaluate the systematics of Cr isotope fractionation between marine biogenic carbonates and ocean water on a global scale, we present a compilation of δ⁵³Cr datasets that include (i) our data from LEI and (ii) recent bivalves and gastropods from numerous locations worldwide (i.e., other locations (OL), Tables 2 and 3), which are complemented by (iii) published δ⁵³Cr data from seawaters representing the main oceanic water bodies/provinces including: North and South Atlantic, North and South Pacific Oceans, Caribbean and Mediterranean Seas (Paulukat et al., 2016; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Holmden et al., 2016). As shown in Fig. 7, marine biogenic carbonates tend to be systematically enriched in light Cr isotope relative to local ocean waters. However, some of these shifts to lower δ⁵³Cr (i.e., those observed in OL carbonates) could also be due to the aforementioned contamination issues linked to a presence of lithogenic Cr, the latter causing an early diagenetic overprint of the primary marine δ⁵³Cr signal. Nevertheless, the observed pattern of biologically controlled Cr isotope fractionation in marine carbonates is likely a common phenomenon, as it is confirmed for all our studied sites and oceanic provinces, including also our uncontaminated samples from LEI. All these sample sets showed generally lower δ⁵³Cr in marine skeletal carbonates relative to local ocean waters (Fig. 7).

5.6. Implications for δ⁵³Cr based paleo-seawater reconstructions

Due to the complex nature of Cr isotope fractionation in the seawater-carbonate system, which is controlled by both biological/kinetic and redox processes, it is challenging to interpret the Cr isotope compositions of fossil carbonates in terms of a paleo-seawater δ⁵³Cr signature. Here we use a combination of δ⁵³Cr and Ce/Ce* proxies to resolve some of these issues, as the latter can be used as an independent redox indicator with the aim of separating (i) the redox-controlled Cr isotope effects from (ii) a local seawater Cr isotype signal. Our results from LEI suggest that the δ⁵³Cr of paleo-seawater can be inferred from the intercept of the Ce/Ce* vs. δ⁵³Cr correlation trend for a set of well-preserved marine carbonates (e.g., molluscan shells) collected at a specific site. A similar correlation trend between δ⁵³Cr and Ce/Ce* data was also observed recently for modern ooids from Bahamas (Bonnard et al., 2013) and the intercept of this correlation also agrees with the average δ⁵³Cr of Caribbean seawater (Holmden et al., 2016).

6. Conclusions

This study investigated the chromium isotope composition (δ⁵³Cr) in present-day biogenic carbonates and seawaters collected from the southern Great Barrier Reef – Lady Elliot Island, LEI, Australia. These data from the South Pacific region are complemented by Cr isotope analysis of recent skeletal carbonates originating from the North Pacific, North and South Atlantic Oceans, Caribbean and Mediterranean Seas. Overall, the results from these globally distributed marine biogenic carbonates and local ocean waters (i.e., our and published seawater data) reveal a preferential incorporation of light Cr isotopes into marine biogenic/skeletal carbonates. However, secondary processes such as early diagenetic contamination of shells by detrital and/or lithogenic Cr phases – likely linked to Mn availability in a sediment-pore water system – can additionally shift the δ⁵³Cr of marine skeletal carbonates to lower values (i.e., approaching ~0.1‰).

Results from LEI biogenic carbonates, with no detectable evidence for contamination, confirm the complex nature of biologically controlled incorporation of Cr into marine skeletal carbonates, which seems to be specific for different groups of calcifying organisms. In particular, molluscan shells (gastropods) showed a strong and statistically significant negative correlation between δ⁵³Cr and Ce/Ce* data (r² = 0.83, p = 0.01). The latter can be explained by considering (i) a partial reduction of isotopically heavy marine Cr(VI) to Cr(III) at calcification sites, (ii) a subsequent re-oxidation of isotopically light Cr(III) to Cr(VI), and (iii) its final incorporation into a shell as Cr(VI)-oxyanions replacing carbonate CO₃²⁻ anions. In contrast, corals show no obvious systematic relationship with respect to local seawater Ce/Ce* and δ⁵³Cr values, but their Cr isotope composition tends to be generally lower and highly fractionated with respect to LEI ocean water. Interestingly, the only calcifying organism from LEI that yielded identical δ⁵³Cr and Ce/Ce* to those in local seawater was a microbial carbonate (i.e., high-Mg calcite) produced by calcifying red algae (Lithothamnion sp.).

To conclude, different carbonate producing organisms can be used in different ways to infer the Cr isotope signature of local
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