Evaluation of the seasonal formation of subsurface negative preformed nitrate anomalies in the subtropical North Pacific and North Atlantic
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Abstract

Summertime mixed-layer drawdown of dissolved inorganic carbon in the absence of measurable nutrients in the ocean’s subtropical gyres and non-Redfieldian oxygen:nitrate relationships in the underlying subsurface waters are two biogeochemical phenomena that have thus far eluded complete description. Many processes are thought to contribute to one or both including lateral nutrient transport, carbon overconsumption or non-Redfield C:N:P organic matter cycling, heterotrophic nutrient uptake, and the actions of vertically migrating phytoplankton. To obtain insight into the likely magnitude of potential contributing mechanisms that can remove nitrate from the nutricline while supporting dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) drawdown 10’s of meters higher in the water column, we investigated the seasonal formation rates for negative preformed nitrate (preNO$_3$) anomalies (oxygen consumption without stoichiometric nitrate release) in the subsurface and positive preformed nitrate anomalies (oxygen production without stoichiometric nitrate drawdown) in the euphotic zone at the subtropical ocean time series stations ALOHA in the North Pacific and BATS in the North Atlantic. Non-Redfield –O$_2$:N stoichiometry for dissolved organic matter (DOM) remineralization accounts for up to ~15 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ of negative preNO$_3$ anomaly formation at both stations. We present a new formulation for calculating preNO$_3$ (residual preNO$_3$) that includes components resulting from non-Redfield DOM cycling. Residual negative preNO$_3$ anomalies in excess of that which can be accounted for by non-Redfield DOM cycling are found to accumulate at a rate of ~32 – 46 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ at station ALOHA and ~46 – 87 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ at the BATS station. These negative anomaly formation rates are in approximate balance with residual positive preNO$_3$ anomaly formation rates from the euphotic zone located immediately above the nutricline in the water column. We evaluate three mechanisms to explain
these anomalies, calculating that transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) cycling and heterotrophic nitrate uptake can contribute to the formation of both residual preNO₃ anomalies. However, a significant fraction estimated at ~50 – 95%, is unexplained by the sum of these processes. Vertically migrating phytoplankton possess the necessary distribution, nutrient acquisition strategy and biogeochemical signature to simultaneously remove nitrate at depth and transport it above the nutricline. Reported transport rates by known migrators equal or exceed the residual preNO₃ anomaly formation rates and potentially explain both the negative and positive residual preNO₃ anomalies as well as the mixed layer DIC drawdown at stations ALOHA and BATS within the limits of scarce detailed abundance profiles. However, the three processes examined are not independent and mutually exclusive. The model *Rhizosolenia* mat system (and perhaps other migrators) produce TEP suggesting that migration could provide accelerated vertical transport of TEP as well as provide labile carbon for heterotrophic nitrate uptake. These results based on geochemical distributions suggest that in the absence of additional mechanisms and rates, phytoplankton vertical migrators, although rare and easily overlooked, play a larger role in subtropical ocean nutrient cycling and the biological pump than generally recognized.

**Introduction**

Subtropical ocean gyre ecosystems exhibit low rates of primary productivity caused by thermal stratification of the water column that acts as an impediment to sustained nutrient supply to the surface ocean. Yet these regions exhibit significant annual net community production (ANCP), estimated at 3 ± 1 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for station ALOHA in the North Pacific (Hawaii Ocean Time-series) and the BATS station (Bermuda Atlantic Time-Series) in the North Atlantic (Emerson, 2014), contributing about half of the global biological carbon pump (Emerson et al.,
A seasonal drawdown of mixed layer dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) occurs at these two well-characterized time-series sites during the summer and early autumn months that is attributed to net community production with minor contributions from lateral mixing and air-sea CO₂ exchange (Gruber et al., 1998, 2002; Keeling et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2013).

The nutrient sources supporting this seasonal DIC drawdown at the time-series sites have eluded oceanographers since the phenomenon was first documented (Michaels et al., 1994; Toggweiler, 1994; Gruber et al., 1998; Keeling et al., 2004) and identifying the nutrient sources is essential to understanding how the drawdown occurs. Numerous nutrient input mechanisms have been investigated including vertical mixing, N₂ fixation, atmospheric deposition, and eddy movements with their sum still falling short of explaining the observed DIC drawdown or a stoichiometric equivalent subsurface oxygen consumption (Jenkins and Goldman, 1985; Jenkins and Doney, 2003; Johnson et al, 2010) assuming Redfield stoichiometry between organic matter production and remineralization. More recently, episodic vertical mixing events and lateral advection are two physical mechanisms that have been proposed to supply the surface subtropical gyres with the “missing” nutrients to explain observed ANCP (Johnson et al., 2010; Letscher et al., 2016). However, in order to explain the observed summertime DIC drawdown from the subtropical gyre mixed layer, these two physical mechanisms must supply nutrients, carbon, and oxygen in non-Redfield stoichiometries. Letscher et al. (2016) reported C-deficient, non-Redfieldian supply of inorganic carbon and nutrients within the lateral nutrient streams reaching the subtropical gyres, but this mechanism still falls short of explaining the observed DIC drawdown at stations ALOHA and BATS, even after accounting for non-Redfield C:N:P stoichiometry of organic matter production. Johnson et al. (2010) observed episodic, near monthly, vertical mixing events near station ALOHA that supply nitrate from the nutricline.
upwards into the euphotic zone to a depth of ~75-100 m. They concluded that the upper 250 m is in approximate balance between nutrient supply and demand and suggested that there are processes that redistribute nitrate within this region. To explain the surface DIC drawdown, these authors suggested the non-Redfieldian supply of nitrate into the mixed layer above ~50 m could be carried out by large, non-flagellated phytoplankton that migrate between the nutricline and the surface as part of their life-history strategy for nutrient acquisition (Villareal et al., 1993; 2014).

The oxygen:nitrate distributions in the nutricline provide clues to the possible supply mechanism supporting DIC drawdown. Subtropical gyres exhibit a deficit of sub-euphotic zone nitrate as expected from observed oxygen consumption and Redfield −O₂:N organic matter remineralization stoichiometry. This phenomenon is revealed when examining spatiotemporal patterns of the preformed nitrate (preNO₃) tracer (all abbreviations are defined in the Appendix, Supplementary Material). preNO₃ is traditionally calculated as preNO₃ = NO₃meas − AOU/R.₀₂:N, where AOU is the apparent oxygen utilization and R.₀₂:N is the stoichiometric ratio of oxygen consumed to N regenerated for the remineralization of Redfieldian organic matter, e.g. 150:16 (Anderson, 1995). preNO₃ quantifies the fraction of measured nitrate in a water parcel not attributable to remineralization of Redfieldian organic matter and was initially formulated as a conservative tracer for examining the distribution of water masses involved in the global thermohaline circulation (termed ‘NO’ by Broecker, 1974). Negative preNO₃ (NPN) anomalies occur within upper mesopelagic depths (~100-300 m) of the subtropical ocean, suggesting there are non-Redfieldian processes affecting the in situ dissolved oxygen and nitrate pools (Abell et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2010). That is, the observed negative anomalies are the result of oxygen consumption without concurrent Redfieldian nitrate accumulation. Johnson et al. (2010) suggested that the subsurface NPN anomaly (calculated using a modified Redfield ratio) could
be sustained by vertical separation between nitrate uptake in the nutricline and oxygen production from net community production near the surface. These authors concluded that observed mixed layer net community production is mediated by 1) directly observed episodic vertical physical mixing events that deliver nitrate above 125 m and 2) inferred transport by vertically migrating phytoplankton transporting this nitrate upwards along a near-zero concentration gradient within the euphotic zone. Working in the same ecosystem, Ascani et al. (2013) further reinforced this noting that upper euphotic zone oxygen:nitrate anomalies at station ALOHA could not be supported by ammonium or DON sources. However, Abell et al. (2005) approximated non-Redfieldian dissolved organic matter (DOM) cycling and concluded production, export, and remineralization of DOM with elevated C:N stoichiometry could quantitatively explain the subsurface preNO$_3$ anomaly distribution in the subtropical North Pacific.

To date, no work has attempted to partition the contribution of the multiple mechanisms to formation of the negative preNO$_3$ anomaly and their linkage to DIC drawdown in subtropical ocean gyres. Multiple lines of evidence indicate that a subset of the oceanic flora is migrating (Villareal et al., 2014) and transports new nitrogen into the euphotic zone (Villareal et al., 1993; Richardson et al., 1998; Villareal et al., 1996). Abundance and physiological data require specialized in-situ collections and/or optical enumeration methods (Villareal et al., 2014). As a result, neither time-series station collects data on this flora. Other hypotheses that have been advanced to explain the DIC drawdown and/or the subsurface NPN anomaly include heterotrophic uptake of nitrate and spatially segregated transparent exopolymer (TEP) production and consumption.
In this work, we expand the examination of preNO₃ anomalies to the BATS station in the North Atlantic and revisit the missing nutrient and NPN anomaly spatiotemporal distribution at both Station ALOHA and BATS. We build on the approach of Abell et al. (2005) by explicitly calculating the non-Redfield –O₂:N remineralization stoichiometry of DOM and incorporating it into the preNO₃ equation. This reformulated tracer (residual preNO₃) quantifies the preNO₃ distribution remaining after accounting for non-Redfield –O₂:N DOM remineralization stoichiometry. Positive or negative anomalies indicate regions where DOM and particulate organic matter (POM) recycling is unable to explain the residual preNO₃ values. Using this new tracer, we identify seasonal formation of a sub-euphotic zone residual negative preNO₃ (rNPN) anomaly at the BATS station and a persistent sub-euphotic zone rNPN anomaly at station ALOHA within the nutricline, qualitatively similar to previous results in the subtropical North Pacific using older formulations of preNO₃ (Abell et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2010). In addition, residual positive preNO₃ (rPPN) anomalies not previously reported are observed within the euphotic zone (0 – ~80 or ~100 m) at both sites, with integrated rates of formation that are in approximate stoichiometric balance with the rNPN anomalies located immediately below in the water column. These rNPN and rPPN anomalies account for the effects of both POM and DOM remineralization with elevated –O₂:N stoichiometry, thus requiring additional mechanisms for their formation. We undertake a quantitative examination of other potential contributing mechanisms to explain the subsurface rNPN anomalies, euphotic zone rPPN anomalies, and mixed layer DIC drawdown including transparent exopolymer particle (TEP) cycling, subsurface bacterial uptake of nitrate, and vertical migration by phytoplankton. We find that TEP cycling may explain a fraction of both the rNPN and rPPN anomalies; bacterial nitrate uptake accounts for only a small fraction of rNPN and none of the rPPN anomalies. In the absence of additional
unknown mechanisms, the remaining category (phytoplankton vertical migration) contributes the dominant flux of N to explain both the rNPN (via nitrate consumption and upward transport) and rPPN (via oxygen production during internal nitrate consumption after migration towards the surface) anomalies at both stations ALOHA and BATS. We then compare the calculated requirement to reported rates of nitrate transport by this flora. Distribution maps are provided to highlight the broad distribution of this group and justify comparison of rates from existing datasets to the time-series stations. We hypothesize that nitrate uptake and transport by vertically migrating giant phytoplankton in gyre systems can sustain development of rPPN and rNPN at the two time series stations. In addition, the observed production of TEP by migrating diatom mats (and by inference, other migrators) provides a linkage between at least two of the processes. These calculations provide a framework for evaluating the role of these processes in future studies.

Methods

Data

Time-series biogeochemical data including concentrations of total dissolved nitrogen, nitrate + nitrite, and oxygen for the years 1988-2016 were downloaded from http://bats.bios.edu for the BATS station and http://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/hot for station ALOHA. Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was calculated as total dissolved nitrogen (GF/F 0.7 μm filtered) minus [nitrate + nitrite]. This definition of DON includes ammonium; however, its open ocean concentration is typically < 50 nM and is not regularly measured as part of the two time-series. Global annual climatologies for dissolved O$_2$ and NO$_3^-$ from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA) 2013 (Garcia et al., 2014a; 2014b) were downloaded from http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5. The
Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP) v2 dataset (Olsen et al. 2016) including dissolved O$_2$, NO$_3^-$, CFC-11, and CFC-12 was downloaded from http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/GLODAPv2. The WOA and GLODAP data products provide our analysis with an annual time-mean picture of thermocline preNO$_3$ tracer distributions across the larger spatial scales of the North Atlantic, North Pacific, and the other subtropical gyre regions of the global ocean. Water mass ventilation ages on subsurface neutral density layers are computed from the GLODAP v2 CFC-11 and CFC-12 data using a method similar to that described in Doney and Bullister (1992) using the atmospheric time-history of the CFC gases provided by Walker et al. (2000) and the gas solubility constants of Warner and Weiss (1985). All calculations of rNPN and rPPN anomaly formation rates at the time-series sites are performed from analysis of the monthly-resolved station ALOHA and BATS datasets.

**Tracer computations**

The preformed NO$_3$ tracer defined by Broecker (1974) separates the observationally determined nitrate concentration for a given water parcel into contributions from water mass mixing (preformed) and regenerated from respiration of organic matter. Traditional formulations of preNO$_3$ assign a uniform –O$_2$:NO$_3$ stoichiometry, e.g. 9.0 (Broecker, 1974), of organic matter remineralization without discriminating between particulate vs. dissolved organic matter (DOM) pools. However, Abell et al. (2005) showed that DOM remineralization stoichiometry is significantly elevated with respect to Redfield proportions in the subtropical North Pacific, with –O$_2$:N stoichiometries of 23 to 30 within shallow mesopelagic density layers ($\sigma_0 = 24.4–26.1$) compared to Redfield values of 6.9 to 10.6 (Takahashi et al., 1985; Martin et al., 1987; Anderson and Sarmiento, 1994; Paulmier et al., 2009). This required an additional term in the calculation
of preNO₃ in their analysis, accounting for the fraction of oxygen consumption attributable to DOM remineralization and its separate stoichiometry. Abell et al. (2005) inferred the subsurface –O₂:N stoichiometry of DOM remineralization from regressions of observed O₂ vs. dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations within upper mesopelagic isopycnal layers. The regression was converted to N units with the separately measured DOC:DON ratio. Here we adopt the Abell et al. (2005) analysis and directly estimate the –O₂:N stoichiometry of DON remineralization within upper mesopelagic density layers at stations ALOHA and BATS to compute the residual preNO₃ tracer.

The residual preNO₃ tracer formulation including the effects of DOM remineralization is:

\[
\text{residual preNO}_3 = NO_{3\text{meas}} - \left(f_{\text{DOM}} \cdot \frac{AOU}{r_{\text{DOM}}} \right) - \left(f_{\text{POM}} \cdot \frac{AOU}{r_{\text{POM}}} \right) \tag{1}
\]

NO₃meas is the sum of dissolved nitrate + nitrite. Apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) is calculated as \( AOU = O_{2\text{sat}} - O_{2\text{meas}} \); the difference between O₂ saturation at a given temperature, salinity, and pressure with the observationally determined O₂ concentration. The two DOM terms, \( f_{\text{DOM}} \), the fraction of oxygen consumption attributable to DOM remineralization and \( r_{\text{DOM}} \), the stoichiometric ratio of O₂ consumed per mole of DON remineralized, can be determined empirically from the time-series O₂ and DON data. Values of \( r_{\text{DOM}} \) were determined empirically each year between 1989 – 2000 at station ALOHA and 1993 – 2016 at the BATS station by Model II linear regression (Ricker, 1973; Trujillo-Ortiz and Hernandez-Walls, 2010) of AOU vs. DON within discrete neutral density layers. The subsurface neutral density layers \( \gamma^n = 24.2 - 24.7 \) and \( \gamma^n = 24.7 - 25.2 \) were chosen at station ALOHA and \( \gamma^n = 25.8 - 26.3 \) at the BATS station for subsequent calculation of DOM –O₂:N remineralization stoichiometry based on a first examination of the depths exhibiting NPN anomalies using the traditional (Redfieldian) preNO₃ tracer, i.e. \( \text{preNO}_3 = NO_{3\text{meas}} - AOU/R_{O2:N} \). with the value of \( R_{O2:N} \) selected as 9.0 (Broecker,
The fraction of oxygen consumption attributable to DOM remineralization, $f_{\text{DOM}}$, is determined empirically for each neutral density layer following the equation of Abell et al. (2000):

$$f_{\text{DOM}} = \frac{\Delta \text{DOM}}{\Delta \text{DOM} + \Delta \text{POM}} = \frac{r_{\text{POM}}}{r_{\text{DOM}}}$$  (2)

The stoichiometric ratio of O$_2$ consumed per mole of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) remineralized, $r_{\text{POM}}$, cannot be uniquely determined from Eq. 2 or the time-series data and is taken from the literature (6.9 to 10.6; Paulmier et al., 2009). We used the climatological average $r_{\text{DOM}}$ in Eq. 2 due to a reduced number of years exhibiting statistically significant regressions of AOU vs. DON. Years with a regression correlation coefficient > 0.33 were included in the climatological average (~55% of years investigated). Thus, our approach assumes $r_{\text{DOM}}$ and $f_{\text{DOM}}$ are constant over time within each density horizon investigated at each station. Relative uncertainty in the literature values of $r_{\text{POM}}$ is larger (~55%) than the computed uncertainty in $r_{\text{DOM}}$ (~33%). The last remaining term in Eq. 1, $f_{\text{POM}}$, the fraction of AOU attributable to POM remineralization, is calculated as $1 - f_{\text{DOM}}$. We tested the sensitivity of our computed residual preNO$_3$ from Eq. 1 and 2 by allowing $r_{\text{POM}}$ to vary from 6.9 to 10.6, the upper and lower bound values for $r_{\text{POM}}$ reported in the literature (Paulmier et al., 2009).

The seasonal formation rate of rNPN anomalies at each time-series site was estimated by a linear regression of the residual preNO$_3$ tracer vs. time within the aforementioned subsurface neutral density layers for the years 1989-2016 at station ALOHA and 1993-2016 at the BATS station. The slope of this regression yields the volumetric rate of rNPN anomaly formation in units of $\mu$mol N m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$. The volumetric rates were depth and time integrated to provide annual rates of rNPN anomaly formation in units of mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. Depth integration was performed by estimating the thickness, $H$, each year of the subsurface neutral density layers of interest at the
BATS and ALOHA stations. Values of $H$ varied between 20 to 100 m. Time integration was calculated from the number of days elapsed between the first observation of decreasing residual preNO$_3$ values to the date when residual preNO$_3$ ceased decreasing within the annual cycle (Fig. S1, S2, S4). The volumetric rates of rPPN formation within the euphotic zone and their depth integration at each time-series site were performed within the 0 – 100 m layer at station ALOHA and within the 0 – 80 m layer at the BATS station. Time integration for rPPN anomalies was performed analogously to rNPN anomalies; from the time elapsed between observations of increasing residual preNO$_3$ within the euphotic zone over the annual cycle (Fig. S3, S5). For the calculation of the residual preNO$_3$ tracer within the euphotic zone, we made the assumption that the values of $f_{DOM}$ and $r_{DOM}$ were equivalent to those empirically derived for the upper mesopelagic density layer present immediately below the euphotic zone at each site. Seasonal rNPN anomaly formation duration ranged from a minimum of 2 months to a maximum of 10.5 months with a median of 7.5 months. Seasonal rPPN anomaly formation duration ranged from a minimum of 4 months to a maximum of 10 months with a median of 6 months.

A residual preformed phosphate (prePO$_4$) tracer was computed as:

$$\text{residual prePO}_4 = PO_{4\text{meas}} - \left(f_{DOM} \cdot \frac{AOU}{r_{DOM}}\right) - \left(f_{POM} \cdot \frac{AOU}{r_{POM}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

Dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) concentration data density was not sufficient to allow for statistically significant regressions of AOU versus DOP needed to empirically estimate $f_{DOM}$ and $r_{DOM}$ terms specific to DOP remineralization at each site. To compute prePO$_4$ for each station, we used the values of $f_{DOM}$, $r_{DOM}$, and $r_{POM}$ from Table 1 calculated from regressions of AOU vs. DON, converting from N units to P units using a DON:DOP ratio of 16:1 for semilabile DOM remineralization in the subtropical North Atlantic and North Pacific (Letscher & Moore, 2015).
Results

**DOM remineralization in the upper mesopelagic at the ALOHA and BATS stations**

Empirically derived, annual values of $r_{\text{DOM}}$ at station ALOHA varied between 10.4 and 24.2 for the $\gamma^n = 24.2 - 24.7$ layer and between 12.7 and 23.5 for the $\gamma^n = 24.7 - 25.2$ layer. Values of $r_{\text{DOM}}$ at the BATS station varied between 14.0 and 25.3 in the $\gamma^n = 25.8 - 26.3$ layer.

Climatological average $r_{\text{DOM}}$ computed for each density layer yielded ratios of 18.1 to 18.9 at station ALOHA and 21.1 at the BATS station (Table 1; standard errors on $r_{\text{DOM}}$ are on the order of 33%). These climatological values of $r_{\text{DOM}}$ were used in subsequent calculations of residual preNO$_3$ and $f_{\text{DOM}}$ from equations 1 and 2. The empirically derived values of $r_{\text{DOM}}$ are consistently larger than the literature estimates of $r_{\text{POM}}$, indicating that remineralization of DOM in the upper mesopelagic returns less moles of nitrate per mole of O$_2$ respired at the two sites compared to Redfield stoichiometry.

Empirically derived values of $f_{\text{DOM}}$ varied between 0.38 and 0.59 for the $\gamma^n = 24.2 - 24.7$ layer and between 0.36 and 0.6 for the $\gamma^n = 24.7 - 25.2$ layer at station ALOHA. Values of $f_{\text{DOM}}$ at the BATS station varied between 0.33 and 0.5 in the $\gamma^n = 25.8 - 26.3$ layer. Climatological average $f_{\text{DOM}}$ values of 0.5 for both density layers at station ALOHA and a value of 0.4 at the BATS station (Table 1) were used in subsequent calculation of residual preNO$_3$ from Equation 1.

**Upper ocean residual preNO$_3$ climatology at the ALOHA and BATS stations**

*Station ALOHA* – The climatology of the residual preNO$_3$ [µM] tracer is presented for the upper 250 m at station ALOHA in Figure 1a. Residual preNO$_3$ varies between -1 to 1 µM in the upper ~200 m with increasingly positive values below 200 m. The resulting rNPN anomaly (blue colors) is a persistent feature within the 24.2 – 25.2 neutral density layer at a depth of ~100 to
200 m. Seasonal rPPN anomalies (red colors) are observed immediately above the 24.2 neutral density horizon within the euphotic zone, 0 to ~100 m. Pulses of rPPN anomalies penetrating the bottom of the rNPN anomaly layer are also observed that coincide with shoaling of the 25.2 neutral density horizon above 200 m.

The monthly averaged climatology of residual preNO₃ [µM] at station ALOHA for all data in years 1989 – 2016 is presented in Figure 1b. The subsurface rNPN anomaly is observed to grow in magnitude between the months of May/June through Oct/Nov. There also exists an increase in the magnitude of the rPPN anomaly within the euphotic zone (0 – 100 m), concomitant with the rNPN anomaly formation at ~100 – 200 m depth in summer.

Volumetric rates of rNPN anomaly formation at station ALOHA are estimated at 2.8 ± 1.4 and 3.0 ± 1.5 µmol N m⁻³ d⁻¹ for the γⁿ = 24.2 – 24.7 layer using rPOM values of 6.9 and 10.6, respectively (Table 1). Slightly lower rates of rNPN anomaly formation of 1.6 ± 0.8 and 2.5 ± 1.4 µmol N m⁻³ d⁻¹ are estimated for the deeper γⁿ = 24.7 – 25.2 layer at station ALOHA (annual regressions are provided in Fig. S1 & S2). Depth and time integrated, the estimates of rNPN anomaly formation become 28.3 ± 9.6 (rPOM = 6.9) and 17.9 ± 7.4 (rPOM = 10.6) mmol N m⁻² yr⁻¹ for the γⁿ = 24.2 – 24.7 layer and 18.1 ± 8.8 (rPOM = 6.9) and 13.7 ± 7.8 (rPOM = 10.6) mmol N m⁻² yr⁻¹ for the deeper γⁿ = 24.7 – 25.2 layer. Total rNPN formation rate estimates for station ALOHA are between 31.6 ± 10.8 to 46.4 ± 13.0 mmol N m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Table 1).

The volumetric rate of rPPN anomaly formation within the euphotic zone at station ALOHA is estimated at 3.3 ± 1.1 and 2.4 ± 0.8 µmol N m⁻³ d⁻¹ using rPOM values of 6.9 and 10.6, respectively (Table 1, Fig. S3). These rates are approximately equivalent within error of those estimated for rNPN formation rate within the γⁿ = 24.2 – 24.7 layer immediately below in the water column. Depth and time integrated, the estimate of rPPN anomaly formation is 61.2 ± 20.2
\( r_{\text{POM}} = 6.9 \) and 43.5 ± 10.5 \( r_{\text{POM}} = 10.6 \) mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). The euphotic zone integrated rPPN anomaly is approximately 33% higher than the estimated total integrated rNPN anomaly within the combined \( \gamma^n = 24.2 – 25.2 \) layer.

**BATS** – The climatology of the residual preNO\(_3\) \([\mu \text{M}]\) tracer in the upper 200 m at BATS is presented in Figure 2a. Residual preNO\(_3\) varies between -1 to 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) in the upper 200 m and seasonal subsurface rNPN anomalies at ~80 to 160 m depth are observed in most but not all years from 1993 to 2016. Similar to station ALOHA, seasonal pulses of rPPN anomalies are observed immediately above the 25.8 neutral density horizon within the euphotic zone, 0 to ~80 m. Pulses of rPPN anomalies penetrating from below the 26.3 neutral density horizon are present in a few years but are much less frequent than observed in the station ALOHA climatology. rPPN anomalies are observed immediately below the rNPN layer beginning at ~160 m.

The monthly averaged climatology of residual preNO\(_3\) \([\mu \text{M}]\) at BATS for all data in years 1993 – 2016 is presented in Figure 2b. The subsurface rNPN anomaly is observed to first appear beginning in Apr/May and grow in magnitude through the end of the calendar year. The rNPN feature starts shallow at a depth of ~60 to 100 m that deepens over the summer months to depths of ~80 to 160 m by years’ end. There also exists a layer of rPPN anomaly at 0 – ~80 m depth that increases in magnitude, concomitant with the rNPN anomaly formation at ~80 – 160 m depth in summer and autumn. Late winter convective overturn of the upper water column in late Jan/Feb at the BATS station (Hansell and Carlson, 2001) erases both the subsurface rNPN and 0-80 m rPPN anomaly.

The volumetric rate of rNPN anomaly formation at the BATS station is estimated at 5.5 ± 2.7 and 3.8 ± 3.1 \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1} \) for the \( \gamma^n = 25.8 – 26.3 \) layer using \( r_{\text{POM}} \) values of 6.9 and 10.6, respectively (Table 1, Fig. S4). Depth and time integrated, the estimate of rNPN anomaly
formation rate at the BATS station is between 46.0 ± 39.3 to 87.1 ± 41.0 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). The volumetric rate of rPPN anomaly formation within the euphotic zone at the BATS station is estimated at 5.8 ± 1.2 and 4.1 ± 0.8 μmol N m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) using \(r_{\text{POM}}\) values of 6.9 and 10.6, respectively (Table 1, Fig. S5). These rates are approximately equivalent within error of those estimated for rNPN formation rate within the \(\gamma^n = 25.8 – 26.3\) layer immediately below in the water column. Depth and time integrated, the estimate of rPPN anomaly formation is 82.1 ± 13.8 \((r_{\text{POM}} = 6.9)\) and 61.8 ± 12.2 \((r_{\text{POM}} = 10.6)\) mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). The euphotic zone integrated rPPN anomaly is approximately balanced \((r_{\text{POM}} = 6.9)\) or 33% higher \((r_{\text{POM}} = 10.6)\) than the estimated integrated rNPN anomaly within the \(\gamma^n = 25.8 – 26.3\) layer.

**Upper ocean residual prePO\(_4\) climatology at the ALOHA and BATS stations**

*Station ALOHA* – The climatology of the residual prePO\(_4\) [μM] tracer is presented for the upper 250 m at station ALOHA in Figure 3a. Residual prePO\(_4\) varies between -0.04 to 0.2 μM with positive values observed throughout the upper 250 m of the time-series with exception of a period exhibiting small (~0 – -0.04 μM) residual negative prePO\(_4\) anomalies at ~125 – 175 m in years 2002 – 2004. The monthly averaged climatology of residual prePO\(_4\) [μM] at station ALOHA for all data in years 1989 – 2016 is presented in Figure 3b. Positive residual prePO\(_4\) (0 – 0.2 μM) is observed throughout the upper 250 m within the monthly averaged climatology.

*BATS* – The climatology of the residual prePO\(_4\) [μM] tracer in the upper 200 m at BATS is presented in Figure 4a. Residual prePO\(_4\) varies between -0.2 to 0.2 μM in the upper 200 m. Seasonal subsurface residual negative prePO\(_4\) anomalies at ~80 to 160 m depth are observed in all years from 1993 to 2016 with the exception of 2015. Seasonal pulses of residual positive
prePO$_4$ anomalies (~0 – 0.1 µM) are observed immediately above the 25.8 neutral density horizon within the euphotic zone, 0 to ~80 m.

The monthly averaged climatology of residual prePO$_4$ [µM] at BATS for all data in years 1993 – 2016 is presented in Figure 4b. The subsurface residual prePO$_4$ anomaly is present throughout the seasonal cycle although it is observed to grow in magnitude beginning in May continuing through the end of the calendar year into Jan/Feb, before springtime vertical overturning circulation resets the residual prePO$_4$ concentration in the upper 200 m. The residual negative prePO$_4$ feature starts shallow at a depth of ~80 m in May/Jun that deepens over the summer months to depths of ~80 to 200 m by years’ end. There also exists a layer of residual positive prePO$_4$ anomaly at 0 – ~80 m depth that develops during Apr – Nov, concomitant with the seasonally increasing residual negative prePO$_4$ anomaly at ~80 – 200 m depth in summer and autumn.

Discussion

Our analysis found DOM remineralization within the upper mesopelagic to follow non-Redfield –O$_2$:N stoichiometry at station ALOHA (~18–19) and the BATS station (~21).

However, contrary to the findings of Abell et al. (2005) working in the subtropical North Pacific, this mechanism cannot quantitatively explain the negative preNO$_3$ anomaly present in the subsurface. Instead, we find the euphotic zone to exhibit residual positive preNO$_3$ anomalies and the upper mesopelagic exhibits residual negative preNO$_3$ anomalies at stations ALOHA and BATS. Our directly diagnosed DOM –O$_2$:N remineralization stoichiometry from observations of AOU and DON is significantly lower than that inferred by Abell et al. (2005) (~23–30) diagnosed from AOU vs. DOC remineralization stoichiometry and likely explains this
discrepancy. Thus, the fraction of AOU attributable to DOM remineralization and its AOU vs.
DON stoichiometry needs to be accounted for in any study that utilizes the preNO$_3$ tracer. The
traditional preNO$_3$ tracer calculation should be abandoned and replaced with the residual preNO$_3$
tracer formulation as defined in our study (Eq. 1).

We now undertake a quantitative examination of the potential contributing mechanisms
to explain the subsurface rNPN anomalies and euphotic zone rPPN anomalies.

**Physical mechanisms**

Both the ALOHA and BATS station climatologies of the residual preNO$_3$ tracer exhibit
annual cycles of rPPN anomaly formation in the euphotic zone and subsurface rNPN anomaly
formation beginning at ~100 m (ALOHA) or ~80 m (BATS) that intensifies from early summer
through to mid-autumn (Fig. 1 and 2). This timeframe coincides with the period of thermal
stratification of the water column at the BATS station, separating the surface mixed layer, ~0 –
30 m, from the deep chlorophyll max (DCM) present at ~100 – 120 m (Navarro and Ruiz, 2013).
Station ALOHA exhibits year-round stratification with the DCM present at ~125 m (Navarro and
Ruiz, 2013). The largest rPPN anomalies are observed in the vertical zone between the surface
mixed layer and the DCM at both sites, at a depth of ~50 – 100 m at station ALOHA and a depth
of ~40 – 80 m at the BATS station. This vertical zone of rPPN anomaly formation coincides with
the observed subsurface O$_2$ maximum (SOM) that has historically been a conundrum to
biogeochemical explanation given the *in situ* $^{14}$C-diagnosed net primary production estimates
(e.g. Jenkins and Goldman, 1985; Shulenberger and Reid, 1981; Platt et al., 1989). Our rPPN
volumetric rates of formation converted to O$_2$ units using the values in Table 1 are approximately
20 – 37% (at station ALOHA) of the estimated SOM formation rate in the subtropical central
North Pacific and ~34 – 41% (at the BATS station) of the estimated SOM formation rate in the
Sargasso Sea (Shulenberger and Reid, 1981).

Subsurface rNPN anomalies begin to appear at a depth of ~100 m at station ALOHA and
~80 m at the BATS station continuing down to depths of ~200 m and ~160 m, respectively. We
estimated the depth of the top of the nitracline by defining this as the depth where [NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻]
= 0.5 µM in the monthly averaged climatologies. At station ALOHA, the top of the nitracline
varies between 120 – 130 m depth with a mean of ~126 m over the annual cycle. At the BATS
station, the top of the nitracline varies between 90 – 120 m depth with an annual mean of ~102
m. Thus, the formation of rNPN anomalies is concurrent with the depth in the water column
where nitrate begins to accumulate from remineralization processes at both sites and continues to
form deeper into the nitracline.

What is the role of lateral physical mixing in creating the observed rPPN and rNPN
anomalies? To address this question, we turn to the World Ocean Atlas (WOA) annual O₂ and
NO₃⁻ climatologies (Garcia et al., 2014a; 2014b) on the subsurface isopycnals present in the
~100 – 250 m depth layer at each site. We computed the residual preNO₃ tracer for the
subtropical North Pacific using the values of f.DOM and r.DOM for station ALOHA and for the
subtropical North Atlantic using the values for the BATS station in Table 1. Examination of the
residual preNO₃ tracer on the γⁿ = 26.5 density surface (the surface approximately bisecting the
150 – 200 m layer near BATS exhibiting rPPN anomalies) reveals that the BATS station is
immediately surrounded by waters with a residual preNO₃ value of ~1 µM (blue colors; Fig. S6).
Waters with a residual preNO₃ content of ~2 – 3 µM are present to the southwest and to the
northeast (red colors, Fig. S6). The lack of a residual preNO₃ gradient on the γⁿ = 26.5 density
surface in the immediate vicinity of the BATS station suggests the observed ~1 µM residual
preNO$_3$ concentration present at ~150 – 200 m depth in Figure 2 represents a local signal with little mixing of waters with different residual preNO$_3$ content. Lateral advective mixing of the higher residual preNO$_3$ waters to the southwest and northeast of the BATS station would increase the residual preNO$_3$ on $\gamma^o = 26.5$ to values greater than 1 $\mu$M at the BATS station, which is not observed. Plots of residual preNO$_3$ versus the CFC-11 and CFC-12 age on $\gamma^o = 26.5$ in the North Atlantic reveal essentially no increasing or decreasing residual preNO$_3$ age gradient (Fig. S6). In summation, this evidence suggests the BATS station sits within the NW corner of a large region of the subtropical North Atlantic characterized by a residual preNO$_3$ content of ~1 $\mu$M on $\gamma^o = 26.5$ that is generated within the basin.

On the $\gamma^o = 26.0$ isopycnal which bisects the layer exhibiting the rNPN anomaly at the BATS station, the site sits surrounded by waters with a residual preNO$_3$ content of ~0 $\mu$M in the annual climatology, with more distant waters exhibiting rNPN anomalies south of ~27 °N and waters with rPPN anomalies north of ~37 °N (Fig. S7). Plots of residual preNO$_3$ versus CFC-11 and CFC-12 age on $\gamma^o = 26.0$ again reveal essentially no residual preNO$_3$ gradient with increasing age (Fig. S7). Given the lack of a residual preNO$_3$ tracer gradient with water mass age and the observation of a repeating seasonal pattern of rNPN anomaly formation at the BATS station on $\gamma^o = 26.0$ (Fig. 2), we conclude that lateral advective mixing cannot explain the observed seasonal rNPN anomalies, instead suggestive of biological mechanisms supporting rNPN anomaly formation at the BATS station.

Examination of the residual preNO$_3$ tracer on the $\gamma^o = 25.4$ density surface (the surface approximately bisecting the 200 – 250 m layer near ALOHA) in the North Pacific within the WOA climatology reveals that station ALOHA sits near the southern boundary of waters with a residual preNO$_3$ content of 0 – 1.5 $\mu$M that extends as far north as 40 °N and as far east as 130
W (Fig. S8). Waters with higher residual preNO$_3$ content of 2 – 4 µM are located to the south of station ALOHA, beginning around the vicinity of the big island of Hawaii (Fig. S8).

Examination of plots of residual preNO$_3$ versus CFC-11 and CFC-12 age on $\gamma^n = 25.4$ in the North Pacific reveals that station ALOHA lies between younger, low residual preNO$_3$ content waters to the north with older, higher residual preNO$_3$ content waters to the south (Fig. S8). Mixing of these waters along $\gamma^n = 25.4$ may explain the observed $\sim$1 µM residual preNO$_3$ concentration present at 200 – 250 m depth in Figure 1. This feature is noticeably absent at BATS, (Figure S6) consistent with a minor role for advective mixing there.

On the $\gamma^n = 24.7$ isopycnal which bisects the density layer exhibiting the rNPN anomaly at station ALOHA, the site is surrounded by waters with rNPN anomalies on the order of $\sim$1 – 0 µM (Fig. S9). Plots of residual preNO$_3$ versus CFC-11 and CFC-12 age on $\gamma^n = 24.7$ reveal essentially no residual preNO$_3$ age gradient (Fig. S9). Similar to the BATS station, given the lack of a residual preNO$_3$ age gradient on $\gamma^n = 24.7$ and the observation of seasonal formation of rNPN anomalies, we conclude that lateral advective mixing cannot explain the observed rNPN anomalies, suggestive of biological mechanisms.

**Biological mechanisms**

Lateral mixing may explain the observed rPPN anomaly below $\sim$200 m at station ALOHA: however, the euphotic zone rPPN anomalies and subsurface rNPN anomalies at each site are generated by a local biological mechanism. Biological formation of rNPN anomalies requires either O$_2$ consumption without concomitant stoichiometric nitrate accumulation or nitrate drawdown without concomitant stoichiometric O$_2$ production. Formation of rPPN anomalies requires either O$_2$ production without concomitant stoichiometric nitrate drawdown or nitrate accumulation without concomitant stoichiometric O$_2$ consumption. Having accounted for
the non-Redfield–O$_2$:N DOM remineralization stoichiometry at each site (see Table 2 for the rate of NPN/PPN anomaly formation attributable to N-poor DOM remineralization), we hypothesize three other biological mechanisms to explain the observed seasonal formation rates and integrated quantities of concurrent rPPN accumulation in the euphotic zone and rNPN accumulation in the subsurface at the ALOHA and BATS stations: 1) vertically separated biological production, export, and remineralization of N-deficient transparent exopolymer particles (TEP); 2) heterotrophic bacterial uptake of nitrate to remineralize N-poor organic matter; 3) vertical migration of autotrophic phytoplankton down to the nutricline to acquire nitrate with subsequent photosynthetic oxygen production within the euphotic zone.

**TEP** – Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) are the polysaccharide-rich exudate of phytoplankton that accumulate in the size range <1 to >100 µm in aquatic systems (Mari et al., 2017). TEP is both sticky and positively buoyant in seawater (Azetsu-Scotte and Passow, 2004), leading to aggregation and flotation towards the surface, with large enrichments of TEP present in the sea surface microlayer (Wurl et al., 2009). Being comprised of nearly pure saccharide material, TEP is a carbon rich and essentially N-deficient pool of non-sinking particulate organic carbon formed within the euphotic zone of marine systems by phytoplankton production (Alldredge et al., 1993). TEP production has been hypothesized to contribute to “carbon overconsumption” in low nutrient oligotrophic marine ecosystems (Toggweiler, 1993) where organic matter is produced in non-Redfield, C-rich/N-poor proportions. Due to its positive buoyancy (Mari et al., 2017), TEP has been viewed as a non-contributor to upper ocean carbon export; however, some portion of the TEP pool is associated with sufficient ballasting particles (e.g. clays, biogenic minerals) to achieve negative buoyancy, and may comprise a slowly sinking (a few meters per year) pool of organic carbon exported below the euphotic zone (Mari et al.,
TEP production and remineralization stoichiometry has the correct sense; O₂ production without Redfieldian nitrate drawdown within the euphotic zone, O₂ consumption without Redfieldian nitrate accumulation within the mesopelagic, to contribute to both the observed rPPN anomalies within the euphotic zone and rNPN anomalies in the upper mesopelagic at the ALOHA and BATS stations.

We use field data of TEP concentrations near the BATS and ALOHA stations and a few simplifying assumptions to test for the importance of this process as a contributor to the dual rNPN/rPPN anomalies. Our estimates of the potential for TEP cycling to contribute to the observed euphotic zone rPPN and subsurface rNPN anomaly formation rates assume 1) that TEP is pure carbohydrate (CH₂O); without any N content, such that TEP production/remineralization C:O₂ stoichiometry can be assumed 1:1 (e.g. CO₂ + H₂O ⇄ CH₂O + O₂), and 2) that there exists a sufficiently large and dense TEP pool to sink rapidly enough to contribute to annual carbon export and O₂ remineralization budgets. Both assumptions, if incorrect, will overestimate the TEP contribution. In conjunction with TEP cycling, Coomassie stainable particles are transparent protein-containing particles (Long and Azam, 1996) that can reach similar concentrations within the oligotrophic euphotic zone as TEP (Cisternas-Novoa et al., 2015). Thus, the assumption that transparent exopolymer particle cycling delivers only C to the subsurface to drive rNPN anomaly formation may not be entirely valid. In addition, Mari et al. (2017) note that elevated TEP concentrations likely enhance material retention in the euphotic zone. Thus, our calculations that follow tend to maximize the contribution of TEP.

Cisternas-Novoa et al. (2015) measured TEP concentration depth profiles in the Sargasso Sea northeast of Bermuda on five separate occasions from February 2012 to June 2013. The profiles show a ~10 μg XG eq L⁻¹ (xanthum gum equivalent) concentration excess between the
upper 100 m and the 100-200 m layer (Fig. 15 in Cisternas-Novoa et al., 2015). We take this upper 100 m excess to represent the fraction of the euphotic zone TEP pool that is exported annually to the upper mesopelagic 100-200 m depth layer by a combination of physical sinking of a fraction of the TEP pool that becomes negatively buoyant or is delivered to the subsurface with wintertime vertical mixing. This assumption is supported by the observation that the euphotic zone to mesopelagic TEP concentration gradient is nearly erased in the winter profile, presumably due to wintertime convective mixing, and reappears following the spring bloom, remaining relatively unchanged throughout the late spring/summer month profiles. TEP in xanthum gum equivalents can be converted to $\mu$g C L$^{-1}$ units using a 0.63 conversion factor (Engel, 2004) and again into $\mu$M units, yielding a Sargasso Sea upper ocean concentration excess of ~0.5 $\mu$M TEP-C. Integrating over the surface to 80 m depth layer (the depth where rPPN anomalies switch to rNPN anomalies), we obtain a potential TEP production and export flux of 42 mmol TEP-C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ from the euphotic zone into the upper mesopelagic. Assuming 1:1 C:O$_2$ TEP production/remineralization stoichiometry, an O$_2$ production flux in the absence of nitrate drawdown of 42 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ can be ascribed to TEP production within the euphotic zone, and the same flux can be ascribed to O$_2$ consumption without concomitant nitrate accumulation from TEP remineralization in the mesopelagic. We can convert this O$_2$ flux to a residual preNO$_3$ equivalent using Equation 1 and the values in Table 1, yielding estimates of 3.2 – 4.5 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ residual preNO$_3$ anomaly formation rate equivalents, depending on the choice of $r_{POM}$. Thus, TEP formation within the euphotic zone of the Sargasso Sea has the potential to explain 5.2 – 5.4% of the estimated rPPN anomaly formation rate and 5.1 – 7.0% of the estimated rNPN anomaly formation rate within the $\gamma^u = 25.8 – 26.3$ layer (Table 2).
The potential contribution of TEP cycling to rPPN/rNPN anomaly formation near the BATS station can be also be estimated using published sinking rates for negatively buoyant TEP. Again, using the TEP profiles from the Sargasso Sea from Cisternas-Novoa et al. (2015), we estimate a concentration difference of ~5 µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\) between 100 m and 200 m depth (the approximate depths exhibiting rNPN anomalies). Converting to molar carbon concentration yields a quantity of ~0.26 µM TEP-C that is apparently remineralized within the rNPN anomaly layer. We apply the TEP sinking rate of 0.04 d\(^{-1}\) from Hamanaka et al. (2002) to estimate the rate of delivery of exported TEP from the euphotic zone into the upper mesopelagic, yielding a TEP supply and remineralization flux of 10 µmol C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). Depth and time integrated to 15 meters and one year, i.e. the depth slowly sinking TEP will sink after one year, this flux becomes 56.9 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) or O\(_2\) units assuming 1:1 C:O\(_2\) stoichiometry for TEP. Conversion of this O\(_2\) flux into residual preNO\(_3\) equivalents yields an estimated 4.3 – 6.0 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) of the observed rPPN/rNPN anomaly formation rate attributable to TEP cycling. Thus, computed using the sinking rate, TEP formation within the euphotic zone has the potential to explain 7.0 – 7.3% of the estimated rPPN anomaly formation rate and 6.9 – 9.3% of the estimated rNPN anomaly formation rate within the \(\gamma^n = 25.8 – 26.3\) layer.

Seasonal depth profiles of TEP concentration are unavailable for the subtropical North Pacific near station ALOHA. Wurl et al. (2011) measured TEP concentration profiles south of the island of Hawaii during August/September 2009. We use the upper ocean concentration excess present in these profiles with the TEP sinking rate of 0.04 d\(^{-1}\) to estimate the TEP cycling contribution to rPPN/rNPN anomaly formation rates near station ALOHA. The 100 to 200 m concentration excess in TEP-C is ~1 µM yielding an export and supply flux of 40 µmol C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). Depth and time integrating for 15 m and one year, this flux becomes 220 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\).
Conversion of this $O_2$ flux to residual pre$NO_3$ equivalents using equation 1 and values in Table 1, yields an estimated $16.2 - 21.8$ mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ of the observed rPPN/rNPN anomaly formation rate potentially attributable to TEP cycling at station ALOHA. Thus, TEP formation within the euphotic zone has the potential to explain $35.6 - 37.2\%$ of the estimated rPPN anomaly formation rate and $47.0 - 51.3\%$ of the total estimated rNPN anomaly formation rate within the combined $\gamma^n = 24.2 - 25.2$ layer (Table 2).

**Bacterial nitrate uptake** – Multiple studies have shown the uptake of nitrate and/or phosphate by heterotrophic bacteria during the remineralization of organic matter or that inorganic nutrients can limit bacterial OM consumption (e.g. Zweifel et al., 1993; Kirchman, 1994; Cotner et al., 1997; Rivkin and Anderson, 1997; Caron et al., 2000; Letscher et al., 2015).

Bacterial nitrate uptake to remineralize OM has the effect of creating an NPN anomaly ($O_2$ consumption without concomitant nitrate accumulation) and thus can only contribute to the observed subsurface rNPN anomaly formation. It cannot contribute to either the formation of the euphotic zone rPPN anomaly or surface mixed layer drawdown of DIC. If TEP cycling is an important contributor to oligotrophic ocean C export and shallow subsurface $O_2$ consumption, heterotrophic bacterial nitrate and/or phosphate uptake is a likely complementary biological process, since the nutrient deficient content of TEP could require exogenous uptake of N and P from the seawater media for bacterial production when growing on TEP organic matter. This would result in an imbalance between rPPN and rNPN, in contrast to the results presented earlier.

To assess the potential contribution of bacterial nitrate uptake to our observed rNPN anomaly formation rates at the ALOHA and BATS stations, we examined the literature for estimates of bacterial C production rates and bacterial biomass C:N ratios for the Sargasso Sea
and subtropical North Pacific. Carlson et al. (1996) measured bacterial production rates at the BATS station using the \(^3\)H-thymidine and \(^3\)H-leucine uptake methods with average euphotic zone rates of 23 pmol C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) by \(^3\)H-thymidine and 0.41 nmol C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) by \(^3\)H-leucine. Using a bacterial biomass C:N of 5:1 for this region (Gunderson et al. 2002) and integrating for one year and over the \(\sim 80 - 160\) m thick layer exhibiting rNPN anomaly, yields an estimate of 0.17 – 3.0 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) bacterial N demand. If we assume that bacteria satisfy all of their N demand via uptake of seawater nitrate in this shallow subsurface layer, bacterial nitrate uptake can explain at most 0.5 – 3.5% of the estimated rNPN anomaly formation rate at the BATS station in the \(\gamma^n = 25.8 - 26.3\) layer. This proposed mechanism still requires a process that removes bacterial N from the \(\gamma^n = 25.8 - 26.3\) layer such that a rNPN anomaly is observed due to NO\(_3\) uptake in the absence of stoichiometric O\(_2\) accumulation. Diel vertically migrating grazers are a candidate mechanism whereby grazing on bacterial biomass and its eventual remineralization to NO\(_3\) is spatially separated from respiration and O\(_2\) consumption.

We can make a similar calculation for station ALOHA using published \(^3\)H-leucine bacterial production rate measurements (Church et al., 2004). The mean bacterial C production rate at 100 m is \(\sim 0.46\) nmol C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\). Using the same bacterial biomass C:N of 5:1 and integrating for one year over the \(\sim 100 - 200\) m thick layer exhibiting rNPN anomaly, yields an estimated 3.4 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) bacterial N demand. If we again assume that bacteria satisfy all of their N demand from nitrate uptake, this process can explain at most 0 – 7% of the estimated rNPN anomaly formation rate at station ALOHA in the combined \(\gamma^n = 24.2 - 25.2\) layer.

Vertically migrating phytoplankton – From our analyses of the available published data, neither remineralization of N-poor DOM, TEP cycling, or heterotrophic bacterial nitrate uptake can quantitatively explain both the seasonal rPPN anomaly formation within the euphotic zone.
and subsurface rNPN anomaly formation observed at the ALOHA and BATS stations, even with the generous assumptions made. We now examine the vertical migration of phytoplankton down to the nutricline as a potential biological mechanism to explain the dual rPPN/rNPN anomalies. In the absence of other mechanisms, the potential contribution of vertically migrating phytoplankton to the rNPN and rPPN anomaly features at the two stations can be determined by subtracting the contributions of TEP cycling and bacterial nitrate uptake to rNPN and/or rPPN formation from the total observed rNPN/rPPN anomaly formation rates (presented in Table 2). By difference, the phytoplankton vertical migration category must provide 16 – 21 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) or 45 – 50% of rNPN anomaly formation and 27 – 36 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) or 59 – 62% of rPPN anomaly formation at station ALOHA (Table 2). At the BATS station, phytoplankton vertical migration must provide 43 – 78 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) or 90 – 93% of rNPN anomaly formation and 59 – 76 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) or 87 – 95% of rPPN anomaly formation. These are likely underestimates because the bacterial nitrate uptake and TEP contribution are maximum estimates.

As a rare, giant component of the flora, net collections, in-situ observations by divers, and towed optical systems constitute the bulk of observations; hence vertical migrators are somewhat out of the mainstream of current phytoplankton observations. The unique attributes and evidence for vertical migration are briefly discussed here for background, as well as documentation of their presence in both oceans at or near the time-series stations. Nitrate transport rates are then summarized for comparison to the required contribution from the residual preNO\(_3\) anomaly flux budgets.

Flagellate motility and cyanobacteria buoyancy control has been recognized for decades as a strategy to exploit spatially disjunct light and nutrient fields (Cullen, 1985; Eppley et al.,
1968, Ganf and Oliver, 1982; Hasle, 1950; Steemann Nielsen, 1939) and was suggested for non-
flagellated marine species in *Pyrocystis* (Sukhanova and Rudyakov, 1973; Ballek and Swift,
1986; Rivkin et al., 1984). *Rhizosolenia* mats (macroscopic, multi-species (up to 7) associations
of *Rhizosolenia* spp.; Villareal and Carpenter, 1989) have been the model for understanding this
process (Villareal et al., 2014), with migration inferred for the diatoms *Ethmodiscus* spp. and
large *Rhizosolenia* spp., as well as the phycomate prasinophyte *Halosphaera* spp. in addition to
*Pyrocystis*. There is consistent evidence of high δ^{15}N composition of *Rhizosolenia* biomass
similar to sub-nitracline N (Villareal et al., 1993, Villareal et al., 2014), internal millimolar
nitrate pools that can only be acquired by direct uptake at μM concentrations (Villareal and
Lipschultz, 1995; Villareal et al., 1996; Woods and Villareal, 2008), buoyancy reversals linked
to nutrient status (Richardson et al., 1996), nitrate reductase activity (Joseph et al., 1997) induced
only when nitrate is the primary N source, ascent/descent rates of multiple m hr^{-1} (Villareal et al.,
2014; Moore and Villareal 1996), observation of *Rhizosolenia* mats from the surface to 305 m
(Pilskaln et al., 2005), and compositional difference in floating and sinking mats that mirror
physiological changes associated with nutrient depletion and carbohydrate ballasting (Villareal et
al., 1996). A key attribute in all giant phytoplankton (10^{7+} μm^{3}) migrators is multiple m hr^{-1}
ascent/descent rates that permit 50–100 m vertical excursions (Moore and Villareal, 1986). This
large vacuole of these giant phytoplankton allows the necessary storage (mM concentrations in
vacuoles that are 90+% of total cell volume) for a multiple day migration and division cycle.
Unlike the more widely known zooplankton vertical migration, open ocean phytoplankton
migration is a multi-day cycle (Richardson et al., 1998; Villareal et al., 1996). It is asynchronous
and not cued to diel rhythms as in coastal dinoflagellates or vertically migrating zooplankton.
Giant phytoplankton are found throughout the warmer oceans of the world and are specifically noted at both stations ALOHA and BATS (Figure S11-13). The required characteristics of buoyancy reversals, high internal nitrate pools and rapid ascent/descent have been found in multiple taxa from the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (Villareal et al., 2014). The taxa *Halosphaera* (Villareal and Lipschultz, 1995), *Ethmodiscus* (Villareal and Lipschultz, 1995), *Rhizosolenia* (Villareal and Lipschultz, 1995), and *Pyrocystis* (Swift et al. 1973, Rivkin et al. 1984, Villareal and Lipschultz 1996) occur in the Sargasso Sea and all exhibit positive buoyancy and have mM internal nitrate pools. In the Sargasso Sea, the non-motile dinoflagellate *Pyrocystis* has been the most extensively studied by E.J. Swift’s lab in the 1980s. A ‘once in a generation’ migration cycle (Sukhanova and Rudyakov, 1973) was proposed for *Pyrocystis* based on differences in vegetative and reproductive cell maxima (~60 and 120 m, respectively). Vegetative stages were positively buoyant (up to 0.2 m h\(^{-1}\); Kahn and Swift, 1978) while reproductive stages were negatively buoyant at up to 18 m h\(^{-1}\) in the Mona Passage, southwest N. Atlantic (Swift et al. 1976). In the Sargasso Sea, carbon budgets (Rivkin et al., 1984) and buoyancy changes upon nutrient depletion and addition (Ballek et al., 1986) all supported a vertical migration life cycle. In single-cell analysis from field material collected off Bermuda, *Pyrocystis* internal nitrate concentrations ranged from 0-8 mM with floating cells containing significantly higher concentrations (\(p=0.05\)) than sinking cells (Villareal and Lipschultz, 1995). Such elevated internal nitrate can only be acquired at \(\mu\)M nitrate concentrations found in the nutricline. Abundance maxima in the upper ~200 m of the Sargasso Sea/southwest N. Atlantic range from 100-200 cells m\(^{-3}\), with typical values reported of 18-75 cells m\(^{-3}\) (Rivkin et al., 1984), 10-50 cells m\(^{-3}\) (Ballek and Swift, 1986) and 10-30 cells m\(^{-3}\) (Swift et al., 1981). While the other migrating taxa are found in the Sargasso Sea near Bermuda, there is no data on
abundance in the N. Atlantic other than for *Ethmodiscus*. *Ethmodiscus* was found at every station sampled on three cruises in the southwest N. Atlantic (n=18, ~17-25°N, 66-77°W) with abundance ranging from 0.03-4.7 cells m\(^{-3}\). Free-living chains of *Rhizosolenia* were routinely isolated by hand-collection with SCUBA at Bermuda (Moore and Villareal, 1996a,b; Richardson et al., 1996) and were widely noted by Carpenter et al. (1977) in the Caribbean. Cleve (1900) reported *R. castracanei* (a giant, migrating diatom found both free-living and in *Rhizosolenia* mats) to occur in the Sargasso Sea as well as the tropical Atlantic, Caribbean Sea, Florida current to Newfoundland Banks, and the Azores.

In the Pacific, the range of *Ethmodiscus, Pyrocystis*, and *Rhizosolenia* mats includes the study site at station ALOHA (Figures S11-13). Unlike the Atlantic where mats are generally very rare (~0.001 mats m\(^{-3}\), Carpenter et al., 1977), *Rhizosolenia* mats dominate in the Pacific. Abundance ranges up to several hundred mats m\(^{-3}\), depending on the mat size and enumeration methods (Villareal et al., 1996, Villareal et al., 1999; Villareal et al., 2014). Quantitative net surveys of *Ethmodiscus* north of Hawaii yielded abundance ranges of <0.1 – >2 cells m\(^{-3}\) (Villareal et al., 2007). Both *Halosphaera* and *Pyrocystis* were present, but not quantified. Further to the west, Soviet-era literature documents *Pyrocystis* at 10-50 cells m\(^{-3}\) in the upper 200 m (Sukhanova and Rudyakov, 1973; Sukahova, 1973). Free-living giant *Rhizosolenia* were observed by divers at all stations sampled in the N. Pacific (Villareal, unpubl. obs.), and overlapped 100% with *Rhizosolenia* mats (Fig. 2 in Villareal et al., 2014); *R. castracanei* was reported across the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 8 in Semina and Levashova, 1977). It should be noted that net collections disrupt *Rhizosolenia* mats (Alldredge and Silver, 1982) and the large diameter species such as *R. castracanei* in the mats will appear as free-chains and cells in the literature.
For rNPN and rPPN development, the unique characteristics of vertical migration are that cells acquire and store nitrate at depth, transport it into the euphotic zone, and then reduce it internally to biomass concomitant with oxygenic photosynthesis. Nitrate transport calculations by vertical migration have a number of assumptions and caveats including variability in abundance, particularly for *Halosphaera* spp. (Villareal et al., 2014). Inclusion of small-sized *Rhizosolenia* mats missed by divers (Villareal et al. 1999) in estimates yielded rates of 6–444 \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \) (mean = 171 ± std. dev.187 \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \)) at four stations north of station ALOHA. Assuming a 180 day production period, this converts to 1.1 – 80 mmol N m\(^{-2} \text{y}^{-1} \) (mean = 30 ± std. dev. 34 mmol N m\(^{-2} \text{y}^{-1} \)). Using a generalized gyre abundance (Villareal et al. 2014), four other taxa yielded transport rates of 3.2 (*Ethmodiscus* spp.), 9.2 (free living *Rhizosolenia* spp.), 17.0 (*Pyrocystis* spp.) and 33.2 (*Halosphaera* spp.) \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \) for a total of 62.5 \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \) (11.2 mmol N m\(^{-2} \text{y}^{-1} \)). These taxa exhibit basin-specific abundance patterns (i.e. *Rhizosolenia* mats are rare in the N. Atlantic; Carpenter et al., 1977, *Halosphaera viridis* has an extensive subsurface presence in the deep Mediterranean in winter (Wiebe et al., 1974)), but the generalized abundance and distribution data are consistent with required rNPN and rPPN rates at both the BATS station (43–78 and 59-76 \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \), respectively) and station ALOHA (16-21 and 27-36 \( \mu \text{mol N m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \), respectively). *Rhizosolenia* mats alone may be sufficient to support rNPN and rPPN rates at station ALOHA. There are several implications of this. Lower abundance or turnover times of this flora will still have substantial effects on rNPN and rPPN. Patchiness in abundance (Villareal, 2007; Villareal et al., 2014) or concentration of buoyant particles by mesoscale fronts (Guidi, 2012) could lead to significant local perturbations on rNPN and rPPN.
Fraga (2001) developed an independent assessment of the impact of vertical migration on the NO tracer (functionally related to our residual preNO₃ tracer) based on first principles of photosynthetic production and biosynthesis of biomass. For ecosystems containing both coastal dinoflagellates and oceanic diatoms, a subsurface minimum in NO and a related tracer, NCO (NO corrected for the stoichiometry of carbohydrate synthesis) formed. For migrating *Rhizosolenia*, the NO and NCO minimum was at ~150 m with negative anomalies from ~80 to ~200 m (Fig. 6 in Fraga, 2001). The Fraga (2001) formulation also included an explicit term for release of soluble carbohydrate (functionally equivalent to TEP). Migrating phytoplankton can clearly provide a mechanistic linkage via upward nutrient transport to the observed NO anomalies.

**Contributions to carbon and phosphorus budgets**

DIC – Vertically migrating phytoplankton can also help explain the observed summertime DIC drawdown (Gruber et al., 1998; Keeling et al., 2004) in the absence of measurable nitrate from the mixed layer at both the ALOHA and BATS stations. The concurrently operating migration cycles of different individuals would continually bring intracellular nitrate-rich migrators into the surface mixed layer where their oxygenic photosynthesis would draw down DIC and release dissolved O₂, contributing to rPPN anomaly formation within the mixed layer. High intracellular nitrate gradients within migrators (mM to nM across cell membranes) can also lead to excretion of dissolved nitrate into the water column (Singler and Villareal, 2005). Thus, nitrate leakage from migrators could help explain the observation of a presumed subsurface nitrate source supporting pico-eukaryotic phytoplankton in the mixed layer, even during the stratified summer months at the BATS station (Fawcett et al., 2011). However, nitrogen derived from migrator-mediated nitrate excretion is required to sink
out of the mixed layer in some form in order to contribute to summertime net DIC drawdown at these two sites. Regardless of how nitrate is acquired and internally reduced (by migrators or by release and uptake by non-migrating phytoplankton), it will contribute to the observed rPPN.

We can compare our estimated rates of rPPN formation within the euphotic zone with the summertime mixed layer DIC drawdown at each time-series site using assumptions on the appropriate O$_2$:C:N stoichiometry of production. Although seasonal rPPN formation exhibits the largest increase in preNO$_3$ concentrations at ~50 – 100 m depth, seasonal accumulation of residual positive preNO$_3$ is observed within the mixed layer (~0 – 30 m) at both sites (Fig. 1, 2).

At station ALOHA, net community production during the six-month summer to fall period represents ~40% of the 2.3 mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ANCP (Keeling et al., 2004), yielding a summertime mixed layer DIC drawdown of ~920 mmol C m$^{-2}$. Our estimated rate of euphotic zone rPPN formation during this same seasonal period is 33 – 81 mmol N m$^{-2}$ (Table 1). The summertime DIC drawdown can be converted to residual preNO$_3$ nitrogen equivalents using the literature range in O$_2$:C stoichiometries of production of 1.0 – 1.6 (Paulmier et al., 2009), and the DOM and POM weighted O$_2$:N stoichiometries of production (using the values in Table 1) yielding values of 7.8 – 14.3 for the C:N of summertime new production at station ALOHA. Summertime DIC drawdown converted to preNO$_3$ equivalents is 64 – 118 mmol N m$^{-2}$. Thus, our estimated rPPN formation within the euphotic zone can potentially explain 28 – >100% of the mixed layer DIC drawdown at station ALOHA.

The estimated rate of euphotic zone rPPN formation during summer-to-fall at the BATS station is 50 – 96 mmol N m$^{-2}$ (Table 1). Net community production during this seasonal period is ~45% of the 2.3 mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ ANCP (Gruber et al., 1998), yielding a summertime mixed layer DIC drawdown of ~1035 mmol C m$^{-2}$. Conversion of the summertime DIC drawdown to
preNO$_3$ nitrogen equivalents following a similar approach as our calculation at station ALOHA uses a DOM and POM weighted C:N of 7.9 – 14.8 for summertime new production at the BATS station, yielding 70 – 131 mmol N m$^{-2}$. Thus, our estimated rPPN formation within the euphotic zone can potentially explain 38 – >100% of the mixed layer DIC drawdown at the BATS station.

prePO$_4$ – We also investigated for the presence of subsurface residual negative prePO$_4$ anomalies at the ALOHA and BATS stations. Station ALOHA does not exhibit a subsurface residual negative prePO$_4$ anomaly (Fig. 3), with the exception of a small anomaly present in years 2002 – 2004. There does exist a subsurface residual negative prePO$_4$ anomaly and euphotic zone residual positive prePO$_4$ anomaly at the BATS station (Fig. 4), present throughout the time series and monthly averaged climatology that is coincident in both seasonal timing and depth/density layer location as the rNPN and rPPN anomalies (Fig. 2). Carbon to phosphorus ratios exhibit their global maxima in the subtropical North Atlantic for phytoplankton biomass (Martiny et al., 2013), dissolved organic matter (Letscher and Moore, 2015), and exported organic matter (Teng et al., 2014). The hypothesized phosphorus limitation of this basin (Ammerman et al., 2003; Moore et al., 2004) may explain the contrasting observations of the presence of a residual negative prePO$_4$ anomaly at the BATS station in the North Atlantic while not at station ALOHA in the North Pacific. Because of the many observations of elevated C:P across organic matter pools in the North Atlantic, we tested for the theoretical value of R$_{-O_2:P}$, the stoichiometric ratio of O$_2$ consumed to phosphate released during the remineralization of organic matter, needed to eliminate the subsurface residual negative prePO$_4$ anomaly at the BATS station. This theoretical value of R$_{-O_2:P}$ was found to be ~1000:1 (Fig. S10), which is ~4x higher than the inferred R$_{-O_2:P}$ for the North Atlantic from an inversion of subsurface O$_2$ and phosphate data (DeVries and Deutsch, 2014).
We hypothesize that P-limited or P-stressed vertically migrating phytoplankton also take up phosphate at the nutricline in combination with nitrate to contribute to both the observed rNPN/rPPN and the subsurface residual negative prePO₄ anomaly present at the BATS station. Limited sampling in the waters between Hawaii and California indicated N:P ratios were not significantly different between sinking and ascending *Rhizosolenia* mats (N:P ~26-30) while C:P ratios were significantly different ($p = 0.05$, C:P sinkers = $388 \pm 66$; C:P ascending = $221 \pm 43$). This is consistent with simultaneous uptake of N and P at depth, but carbon consumption at depth relative to the surface. Further data on phosphate composition of vertically migrating phytoplankton are needed to confirm our hypothesis.

**Concluding Remarks**

Subsurface residual preNO₃ anomalies cover a large portion of the subtropical ocean (Fig. 5). Our analyses have confirmed and emphasized that the Abell et al. (2005) use of non-Redfield DOM stoichiometry in the calculation of preNO₃ is required, and an explicit derivation of rDOM is needed. The residual preNO₃ calculation revealed a subsurface rNPN anomaly that is not accounted for by organic material remineralization. Our consideration of three possible contributing biological mechanisms suggest that the dominant role (in decreasing order of importance) is played by vertically migrating giant phytoplankton, vertically separated TEP formation and remineralization, and bacterial utilization of nitrate (Table 2). Basin-specific differences in the budgets are evident with TEP appearing to be more important at station ALOHA than at BATS. The analyses also revealed a near-surface rPPN anomaly that is approximately balanced and concurrent with the rNPN anomaly. This feature is coherent with the subsurface oxygen maximum (SOM) and we interpret this as evidence that the “missing” nitrate
from the rNPN anomaly is mechanistically linked to the SOM. The seasonal euphotic zone rPPN anomaly can also explain a significant fraction of the unexplained summertime drawdown of DIC from the mixed layer at both sites. Whether this phenomenon is predominately carried out by TEP production and export, vertically migrating phytoplankton, or a combination of both awaits more detailed and direct field observations. More observations of upper ocean, surface to ~200 m, abundance estimates of the vertically migrating phytoplankton taxa, their intracellular nitrate and phosphate contents, and their migration timescales are needed to confirm the hypothesis by multiple authors (e.g. Cullen, 1985; Richardson et al., 1998; Fraga, 2001; Villareal et al., 2014) of this flora’s important role in nutrient transport and rNPN/rPPN anomaly formation at the time-series stations (this study). Given the circumglobal, warm-water range of this oligotrophic flora (Fig. S11-S13), it is reasonable to expect upward nutrient transport throughout the subtropical gyres. Nitrogen-fixation may locally play a role in modifying rPPN, although Johnson et al.’s (2010) budgets suggested additional nitrate-based production was required. TEP may contribute as well, depending on the stoichiometry of production/remineralization and shifts in buoyancy characteristics. More information on the mass quantities of the positively and negatively buoyant TEP within the euphotic zone and its C:N content is needed to better constrain the role of this mechanism in rPPN/rNPN anomaly formation in the subtropics. In addition, *Rhizosolenia* mats are embedded in a TEP matrix (Pilskaln et al., 2005), so it is likely that all three biological mechanisms we investigated are non-mutually exclusive with vertically migrating phytoplankton contributing to TEP production/export, nitrate transport across the nitracline into the euphotic zone, and bacterial nitrate uptake to remineralize N-deficient TEP. While phytoplankton TEP production appears to be favored in the upper water column, positively buoyant TEP production/formation in the
nutricline could also serve to provide a means for transporting entrained particulates upward into
the euphotic zone. Both migration and TEP are difficult to measure and the challenge will be to
develop methods and time-series to record these biologically driven processes at the requisite
time and space scales.
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**Table 1.** Residual negative preNO\(_3\) (rNPN) and residual positive preNO\(_3\) (rPPN) anomaly formation rates at stations ALOHA and BATS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m) or</th>
<th>Neutral Density</th>
<th>(f_{\text{DOM}})</th>
<th>(\rho_{\text{DOM}})</th>
<th>(\rho_{\text{POM}})</th>
<th>(\text{rNPN})</th>
<th>(\text{rPPN})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-3}\text{ d}^{-1})</td>
<td>(\text{mmol N m}^{-2}\text{ yr}^{-1})</td>
<td>(\mu\text{mol N m}^{-3}\text{ d}^{-1})</td>
<td>(\text{mmol N m}^{-2}\text{ yr}^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOHA 0 – 100 m</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.2 – 24.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>17.9 ± 7.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.7 – 25.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>28.3 ± 9.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (\rho_{\text{POM}} = 10.6)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>18.1 ± 8.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (\rho_{\text{POM}} = 6.9)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>31.6 ± 10.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATS 0 – 80 m</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.8 – 26.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>46.0 ± 39.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>87.1 ± 41.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Contribution of N-poor DOM remineralization to NPN and PPN anomaly formation rates as well as contributions to rNPN and rPPN anomaly formation rates by presumed processes at the time-series sites. NPN/rNPN is for feature on $\gamma^o = 24.2 – 25.2$ at station ALOHA and $\gamma^o = 25.8 – 26.3$ at the BATS station. Ez PPN/rPPN is for feature at 0 – 100 m depth at station ALOHA and 0 – 80 m depth at the BATS station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>preNO$_3$ Feature</th>
<th>N-poor DOM remineralization</th>
<th>Residual preNO$_3$ Feature</th>
<th>TEP production &amp; remineralization</th>
<th>Bacterial nitrate uptake</th>
<th>Phytoplankton vertical migration</th>
<th>Proposed attributable process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALOHA</td>
<td>NPN</td>
<td>1 – 16 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>rNPN</td>
<td>16 – 22 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.0 – 3.4 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>16 – 21 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Ez PPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ez PPN</td>
<td>2 – 21 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Ez rPPN</td>
<td>16 – 22 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>27 – 36 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>% rNPN: 47 – 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% Ez rPPN: 37 – 41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATS</td>
<td>NPN</td>
<td>1 – 14 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>rNPN</td>
<td>3.2 – 6.0 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.2 – 3.0 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>43 – 78 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Ez PPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ez PPN</td>
<td>2 – 17 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Ez rPPN</td>
<td>3.2 – 6.0 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>59 – 76 mmol N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
<td>% rNPN: 6 – 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% Ez rPPN: 5 – 7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. (a) Climatology of the residual preNO₃ tracer [µM] in the upper 250 m at station ALOHA (22.75 °N 158 °W) for a value of $r_{POM} = 10.6$. Black contour lines show neutral density $\gamma^n = 24.2, 24.7, \text{and } 25.2$. (b) The monthly averaged residual preNO₃ [µM] climatology for all data in years 1989 – 2016.
Figure 2. (a) Climatology of the residual preNO$_3$ tracer [$\mu$M] in the upper 200 m at the BATS station (31.67°N 64.17°W) for a value of $r_{POM} = 10.6$. Black contour lines show neutral density $\gamma^n = 25.8$ and 26.3. (b) The monthly averaged residual preNO$_3$ [$\mu$M] climatology for all data in years 1993 – 2016.
Figure 3. (a) Climatology of the residual prePO$_4$ tracer [$\mu$M] in the upper 250 m at station ALOHA (22.75°N 158°W) for a value of $r_{POM} = 10.6$. Black contour lines show neutral density $n = 24.2$, 24.7, and 25.2. (b) The monthly averaged residual prePO$_4$ [$\mu$M] climatology for all data in years 1989 – 2016.
**Figure 4.** (a) Climatology of the residual prePO$_4$ tracer [µM] in the upper 200 m at the BATS station (31.67 °N 64.17 °W) for a value of $r_{POM} = 10.6$. Black contour lines show neutral density $\gamma^n = 25.8$ and 26.3. (b) The monthly averaged residual prePO$_4$ [µM] climatology for all data in years 1993 – 2016.
**Figure 5.** Seasonal climatology of the residual preNO$_3$ tracer [$\mu$M] at 150 m depth from the World Ocean Atlas, 2013, 1º climatologies of O$_2$ anomaly and nitrate. Residual preNO$_3$ is calculated using values of $f_{\text{DOM}} = 0.5$, $r_{\text{DOM}} = 20.0$, and $r_{\text{POM}} = 8.75$. 