Modelling the processes driving Trichodesmium sp. spatial distribution and biogeochemical impact in the tropical Pacific Ocean
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Abstract. Dinitrogen fixation is now recognized as one of the major sources of bio-available nitrogen in the ocean. Thus, nitrogen fixation sustains a significant part of the global primary production by providing an input of the most common limiting nutrient for phytoplankton growth. Evidences of the Western Tropical South Pacific being a hotspot of nitrogen fixation, and a data coverage complemented by OUTPACE, lead us to develop an explicit nitrogen fixation compartment based on the Trichodesmium physiology (the most studied nitrogen fixer) within a 3D coupled dynamical-biogeochemical model (ROMS-PISCES). We performed a first 20-year tropical Pacific simulation that is able to reproduce the main physical (e.g Sea Surface Temperature) and biogeochemical conditions (nutrients, and chlorophyll concentrations as well as dinitrogen fixation). This simulation showed a possible Trichodesmium regional distribution that extends from 150°E to 120°W in the south tropical Pacific, and from 120°E to 140°W in the north tropical Pacific. The local simulated maxima were around islands (Hawaii, Fiji, Samoa, New Caledonia, Vanuatu). We assessed that 15% of the total primary production may be due to Trichodesmium in the Low Nutrient, Low Chlorophyll regions (LNLC). We also argue that implicit parameterization of N\textsubscript{2} fixation (often used in biogeochemical models) leads to underestimate nitrogen fixation rates by about 25% in LNLC regions compared to our explicit formulation. Finally, we showed that iron fluxes from island sediments control the spatial distribution and the abundance of Trichodesmium in the western tropical south Pacific. Noteworthy, this last result does not take into account the iron supply from rivers and...
hydrothermal sources, which may well be of importance in a region known for its strong precipitation rates and volcanic activity.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen is known to be the most common limiting nutrient for phytoplankton growth in the modern world ocean (Moore et al., 2013), especially in the Low Nutrient, Low Chlorophyll (LNLC) ecosystems (Arrigo, 2005; Gruber, 2005). Characterizing the processes governing nitrogen sources and sinks to and from the ocean is therefore central to understanding oceanic production, organic matter export and food web structure. Atmospheric dinitrogen (N$_2$) dissolved in seawater is by far the dominant form of N present in the ocean, i.e. the N$_2$:NO$_3^-$ ratio typically exceeds 100 in surface waters. However, most phytoplankton species cannot assimilate N$_2$, and grow using reactive forms of nitrogen such as nitrate, ammonium and dissolved organic compounds. Some planktonic prokaryotic microorganisms, called “diazotrophs” use an enzyme, the nitrogenase, to fix N$_2$ and convert it into ammonia (NH$_3$) and ultimately ammonium (NH$_4^+$). At the global scale, they provide the major external source of reactive nitrogen to the ocean (Gruber, 2008), and support up to 50% of new production in tropical and subtropical (LNLC) regions (Bonnet et al., 2009; Capone, 1997; Deutsch et al., 2007; Karl et al., 1997; Moutin et al., 2008; Raimbault and Garcia, 2008). These organisms are physiologically and taxonomically diverse including cyanobacteria, bacteria, archaea (Zehr and Bombar, 2015; Delmont et al., 2017; Zehr and Bombar, 2015).

Autotrophic diazotrophs have been far more intensively studied than heterotrophic diazotrophs, whose contribution to global N$_2$ fixation remains unclear (Turk-Kubo et al., 2014; Bombar et al., 2016; Moisander et al., 2017; Turk-Kubo et al., 2014). Autotrophic diazotrophs have been characterized both in the field and through laboratory experiments and their physiology is consequently better (Bergman et al., 2013; Küpper et al., 2008; Mulholland et al., 2001; Mulholland and Capone, 2000; Ohki et al., 1992; Ramamurthy and Krishnamurthy, 1967; Rubin et al., 2011). Cyanobacterial (autotrophic) diazotrophs are composed of 3 main groups: 1) the filamentous diazotrophs including the colonial, non-heterocyst-forming Trichodesmium, 2) the heterocyst-forming symbionts associated with diatoms (DDAs), and 3) the unicellular cyanobacterial diazotrophs (UCYN, phylogenetically divided into three groups: UCYN-A, B, and C). It has been established that autotrophic diazotrophs growth rates are typically one order of magnitude lower than those of non-diazotrophs (Breitharth et al., 2008; Falcón et al., 2005; Goebel et al., 2008; LaRoche and Breitbarth, 2005). This can be related to the high energetic demand (Postgate, 1982) required to convert N$_2$ to NH$_3$ as compared to that necessary to assimilate nitrate or ammonia. This low growth rate (compared to other phytoplankton species) mainly constrains their ecological niches to nitrate-poor regions, where they can be competitive. Moreover, their geographical distribution is constrained by nutrient availability in the photic layer (mainly iron and phosphate) (Berman-Frank, 2001; Bonnet et al., 2009; Mills et al., 2004; Moutin et al., 2005, 2008; Rubin et al., 2011; Rueter, 1988) and temperature (Staal et al., 2003). Trichodesmium sp. are present only in water where temperature is above 20°C (Capone, 1997; LaRoche and Breitbarth, 2005; Montoya et al., 2004), while some UCYN can be found in colder and deeper waters (Bonnet et al., 2015a; Church et al., 2005; Moisander et al., 2010).

The spatial distribution and rates of N$_2$ fixation have been inferred at the global scale using several tools. (Deutsch et al., 2007) have introduced the tracer P* which represents the excess of P relative to the standard N quota. A decrease in this tracer is then interpreted as N$_2$ fixation, since N$_2$ fixation extracts PO$_4^-$ alone. More recently, (Luo et al., 2014)
developed a multiple linear regression that relates N\textsubscript{2} fixation from the MAREDAT database (Luo et al., 2012) to environmental conditions (nutrients, SST, irradiance, MLD,...) in order to build a statistical model for global N\textsubscript{2} fixation distribution. Finally, numerical models have also been used and they allow to overcome the scarcity of observations that may limit the two previous approaches. Indeed, models can be used to investigate the spatial and temporal variability of dinitrogen fixation and to study the controlling environmental factors.

Among those studies focusing on the spatial distribution of dinitrogen fixation, (Berthelot et al., 2017; Bonnet et al., 2009, 2015a; Garcia et al., 2007; Shiozaki et al., 2014) based on oceanographic campaigns have reported high N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates in the Western Tropical South Pacific (WTSP), that has been recently identified as a globally important hot spot of N\textsubscript{2} fixation with rates > 600 µmol N m\textsuperscript{-2}d\textsuperscript{-1} (Bonnet et al., 2017). Very high abundances of Trichodesmium have been historically reported in this region (Dupouy et al., 2000, 2011; Moisander et al., 2008; Neveux et al., 2006; Shiozaki et al., 2014; Stenegren et al., This issue) and have recently been identified as the major contributor to N\textsubscript{2} fixation in this region (Berthelot et al., 2017; Bonnet et al., This issue). However, the reasons for such an ecological success of diazotrophs in this region are still poorly understood.

In this study, we aim at bringing new insights on this known, but poorly understood, “nitrogen fixation hotspot”. This study ambitions to understand the spatial and temporal distribution (i.e. seasonal variability) of *Trichodesmium* and to evaluate the potential impact of *Trichodesmium* fixers on the biogeochemical conditions of the WTSP. We will specifically address the following overarching questions: (i) What are the mechanisms that structure the *Trichodesmium* distribution in the WTSP, particularly around the South West Pacific islands, and (ii) what is the biogeochemical impact of N\textsubscript{2} fixation in this region? Noteworthy, this study is also taking advantage of the sampling done during the OUTPACE cruise, which nicely complement the data coverage in the south west Pacific, and allow a better characterization of the processes responsible for the spatial and seasonal variability of the N\textsubscript{2} fixation.

To fulfill our objectives, we have implemented an explicit representation of the nitrogen fixers in a biogeochemical model, based on the *Trichodesmium* physiology. The first section of this study describes the experimental design and the observation used in our study, while the second part of the paper provides a validation of our reference simulation with an analysis of the *Trichodesmium* compartment and its impacts on the biogeochemical conditions of the Tropical Pacific. In the discussion, the impact of iron from islands sediment on dinitrogen fixation is considered as well as the added value of an explicit dinitrogen fixer compartment rather than a simpler implicit representation of dinitrogen fixation. Finally, implications and limits of our modeling exercise are detailed in the conclusion.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Coupled dynamical (ROMS)-primary production (PISCES) model

In this study, we used a coupled dynamical-biogeochemical framework based on the regional ocean dynamical model ROMS (Regional Oceanic Modeling System, (Shchepetkin and McWilliams, 2005) and the state of the art biogeochemical model PISCES (Pelagic Interactions Scheme for Carbon and Ecosystem Studies). The ocean model configuration is based on the nested version of ROMS (Penven et al., 2006) and covers the tropical Pacific region [33°S-33°N;110°E-90°W]. It has 41 terrain-following vertical levels with 2-5 m vertical resolution in the top 50 meters of the water column, then 10-20 m resolution in the thermocline and 200-1000 m resolution in the deep ocean. The
horizontal resolution is 1°. The turbulent vertical mixing parameterization is based on the non-local K profile parameterization (KPP) of (Large et al., 1994). Open boundary conditions are treated using a mixed active/passive scheme (Marchesiello et al., 2001). This scheme allows to force our regional configuration with large-scale boundary conditions from a ½° global model simulation (details available in (Couvelard et al., 2008), while allowing anomalies to radiate out of the domain. The use of similar ROMS configurations in the WTSP is largely validated through studies demonstrating skills in simulating both the surface (Jullien et al., 2012, 2014; Marchesiello et al., 2010) and subsurface ocean circulation (Couvelard et al., 2008). To compute the momentum and fresh water/heat fluxes, we use a climatological forcing strategy. The momentum forcing is computed from a 1993-1996 7-day climatology of the ERS1-2 scatterometer stress (http://cersat.ifremer.fr/oceanography-from-space/our-domains-of-research/air-sea-interaction/ers-ami-wind). Indeed, ERS derived forcing has been shown to produce adequate simulations of the Pacific Ocean dynamics (e.g., (Cravatte et al., 2007). Heat and fresh water forcing is computed from the COADS climatology 1-day averaged outputs are stored for analysis. ROMS forces on line a biogeochemical model with the noticeable use of a WENO5 advection scheme (i.e. five order weighted essentially non-oscillatory scheme; Shchepetkin and McWilliams, 1998).

The biogeochemical model PISCES simulates the marine biological productivity and the biogeochemical cycles of carbon and the main nutrients (P, N, Si, Fe) (Aumont and Bopp, 2006a). In PISCES, there are five modeled limiting nutrients for phytoplankton growth: nitrate, ammonium, phosphate, silicate and iron. Those nutrients are delivered to the ocean through dust deposition, river runoff and mobilization from the sediment. In addition to the nutrients, Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), total alkalinity and dissolved oxygen are also simulated. Three non-living organic compartments are represented: semi-labile dissolved organic matter, small sinking particles, and large sinking particles.

In this study, we used a modified version of PISCES which differs in the use of a full quota formulation (with only variable Redfield ratios; (Droop, 1983) rather than the mixed Monod-quota approach (with fixed ratios for nitrogen, phosphorus and silica; Monod, 1942) used in the standard PISCES version (Aumont and Bopp, 2006). In this "quota" version of PISCES (Kwiatkowski et al, 2017, submitted), the phytoplankton growth is limited by the internal availability in nutrients. For the purpose of this study, we also implemented in this quota version an explicit representation of Trichodesmium. Therefore, five living compartments are modeled with three phytoplankton groups (nanophytoplankton, diatoms, and Trichodesmium) and two zooplankton groups (microzooplankton, and mesozooplankton).

In our configuration, the growth rate of Trichodesmium is limited by light, temperature, phosphorus and iron availability. Trichodesmium growth rate is computed as follows:

\[ \text{Growth rate} = (\mu_{\text{FixN}} + \mu_{\text{TriNO}} + \mu_{\text{TriNH}}), \]

where \( \mu_{\text{FixN}} \) denotes growth due to dinitrogen fixation, \( \mu_{\text{TriNO}} \) and \( \mu_{\text{TriNH}} \) represent growth sustained by NO\(_3^-\) and NH\(_4^+\) uptake, respectively. Dinitrogen fixation is activated when reactive nitrogen species are limiting. Otherwise, Trichodesmium grows on NO\(_3^-\) and NH\(_4^+\) just like standard nanophytoplankton do. Moreover, a fraction of fixed nitrogen is released by the simulated Trichodesmium. (Berthelot et al., 2015) estimated this fraction at less than 10% while considering all diazotrophs. Because we are only representing Trichodesmium, we set up this fraction at 5% of total amount of fixed nitrogen.

Dinitrogen fixation is controlled by the availability of phosphate, iron and light and is modulated by temperature. The complete set of equations of Trichodesmium is detailed in Appendix 1. This setup reproduces the dinitrogen fixation through an explicit representation of the Trichodesmium biomass (to be compared with often used implicit parameterization that links directly environmental parameters to nitrogen fixation without requiring the Trichodesmium
2.2 Setup of experiments

Below are summarized the set of experiments that have been performed in this study (Table 1). A first simulation over 20-years (1993-2013) has been performed as a reference experiment, hereafter referred to as "TRI". This reference simulation uses the explicit dinitrogen fixation module described above. In a second experiment called "TRI_NoFeSed", the model setup is identical to the reference experiment, except that iron input from the sediments is turned off between 156°E and 240°E. In a third experiment "N2_imp", the explicit dinitrogen fixation module is replaced by the implicit parameterization described in (Aumont et al., 2015). Finally, a fourth experiment "N2_Wo" corresponds to a model setup in which no explicit nor implicit description of dinitrogen fixation is activated. Comparison between TRI and TRI_NoFeSed experiments allow to estimate the impact of iron input from island sediments on the dinitrogen fixation, while the impact of dinitrogen fixation on the biogeochemical conditions in the Pacific Ocean can be investigated by comparing TRI and N2_Wo. Finally, the TRI and N2_imp experiments are used to evaluate the added value of an explicit description of dinitrogen fixation relative to an implicit inexpensive parameterization.

2.3 Observational datasets.

Several different databases have been used to evaluate the model skills. For nitrate and phosphate, the CSIRO ½° global Atlas of Regional Seas (CARS, http://www.marine.csiro.au/~dunn/cars2009/) has been used. Iron has been evaluated with the global database from (Tagliabue et al., 2012), to which the dissolved iron data from the OUTPACE cruise (Guieu et al., under review) have been added. This database is a compilation of 13125 dissolved iron observations covering the global ocean and encompassing the period 1978–2008. The global MARine Ecosytem DATa (MAREDAT, https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.793246) database of N₂ fixation has been expanded with data from recent cruises performed in the WTSP (MOORSPICE, (Berthelot et al., 2017), DIAPALIS ((Garcia et al., 2007), NECTALIS (http://www.spc.int/oceanfish/en/opssection/ema/biological-research/nectalis), PANDORA (Bonnet et al., 2015a), OUTPACE (Bonnet et al., This issue), Mirai (Shiozaki et al., 2014) has been used for dinitrogen fixation rates. This database contains 3079 data points at the global ocean scale, of which ~1300 are located in our simulation region (Luo et al., 2012). Finally, we have used surface chlorophyll concentrations from the GLOBCOLOUR project (http://ftp.acri.fr) which spans the 1998-2013 time period.

3. Results

3.1 Model Validation

In this subsection, we aim at validating our reference simulation “TRI” with the data previously mentioned. In the Pacific, phosphate and nitrate concentrations show maxima in the upwelling regions, i.e. along the western American coast, and in the equatorial upwelling (Fig. 1a,c), and mimima in the subtropical gyres. First, phosphate patterns show
modeled values and structures in qualitatively good agreement with observations. In contrast, the nitrate structure shows some biases. We observe concentrations higher than 1 μmol.L\(^{-1}\) all along the equator in CARS, while in the model, nitrate concentrations are lower than this value west of 170°W. More generally, the model tends to underestimate nitrate concentrations.

The regions most favorable for *Trichodesmium* can be defined by temperature within 26-29°C. The model reproduces relatively well the spatial distribution of this temperature preferendum. This distribution exhibits a significant seasonal variability, mainly as a result of the variability of the 26°C isotherm. The latter moves by ~5° latitudinally between summer and winter in the WTSP, and by ~15° in the Western Tropical North Pacific (WTNP) (Fig. 1a). Along the equator, this isotherm migrates by 15° eastward during summer (Fig. 1a). This temporal variability is well reproduced by the model (Fig. 1b). In contrast, nitrate and phosphate seasonal variability remains low (not shown).

Another important feature that needs to be properly reproduced by the model is the iron distribution in the upper ocean. The median value as well as the dispersion of the iron surface concentrations over the tropical Pacific, are displayed for both the data and the model in Figure 2a. No statistical differences can be distinguished, the model being sampled at the same time and same location as the data. This latter result shows a good agreement between the data and the model at the tropical Pacific scale (Fig 2b compared to Fig. 2c). The best sampled area is the central Pacific ocean where simulated iron concentrations are low (0.1 to 0.3 nmol Fe.L\(^{-1}\)), which is consistent with the observations. The southwest Pacific is characterized by relatively high surface iron concentrations, between 0.4 and 0.8 nmol Fe.L\(^{-1}\), both in the data and in the model. Large scale patterns are thus well represented by the model. Nevertheless, the model tends to overestimate iron levels in the south Pacific gyre, between 180° and 140°W at about 20°S.

Figure 3 displays a comparison between surface Chlorophyll concentrations from GLOBCOLOUR data (a), and from TRI (b) and TRI_imp (c) simulations. Strong chlorophyll concentrations are found in the eastern equatorial Pacific upwelling and along Peru in both the observations and our 2 simulations, with mean values of 0.3 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\). The equatorial rich tongue simulated by the model (Fig. 3b,c) is however too narrow compared to the observations, especially in the northern hemisphere. Similarly, the model is unable to simulate the elevated chlorophyll levels around the Costa Rica dome and the localized enhanced chlorophyll off Papua New Guinea. In TRI (Fig. 3b), chlorophyll values in the South West Pacific region vary between 0.1 and 0.2 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\), with maxima located in the vicinity of the Fiji and Vanuatu islands. These values are within the range of the data, even if the data tend to be slightly higher (up to 0.3 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\) near the coasts). The spatial structure is well represented, with maxima simulated around the islands. Those localized chlorophyll enhancement suggest a specific island effect. In the subtropical gyres, the simulation predicts chlorophyll concentrations of ~0.05 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\) which are higher than the observations (< 0.025 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\)). In contrast in TRI_imp (Fig. 3c), chlorophyll values in the South West Pacific and in the North hemisphere are too low in comparison with the ocean colour data (Fig. 3a). TRI simulation thus appears in better agreement with the observations than TRI_imp.

Part of the surface chlorophyll in Figure 3b is associated to *Trichodesmium*. The Figure 3d shows the annual mean spatial distribution of surface Trichodesmium chlorophyll in the “TRI” simulation. This distribution displays two zonal tongues in the tropics, one in each hemisphere. Maximum values are located in the South West Pacific (around Vanuatu archipelago, New Caledonia, Fiji, and Papua New Guinea) and around Hawaii, where they reach 0.06 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\). In the south Pacific, high chlorophyll biomass extends eastward until 130°W. Further east, concentrations drop to below 0.02 mg Chl.m\(^{-1}\). It's important to note that in the observations *Trichodesmium* has never observed beyond 170°W. This bias in the model could be explain by the overestimation of iron concentrations in SPG. In the Northern Hemisphere, between the coast of Philippines (120°E) and Hawaii (140°W), *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll concentrations are greater...
than 0.03 mg Chl.m\(^{-3}\). In the North East Pacific, *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll is lower, yet significant (<0.03 mg Chl.m\(^{-3}\)). Otherwise the equatorial Pacific and South-east Pacific oceans are overall poor in *Trichodesmium*.

In Figure 4, the dinitrogen fixation rates predicted by the model in "TRI" are compared to the observations from the MAREDAT expanded database. Evaluation of the model behavior remains quite challenging because of the scarcity of the observations. Some large areas are not properly sampled such as the north west tropical Pacific and the eastern Pacific. Nevertheless, some regional patterns emerge from the observations. Maximum fixation rates (~600 to 1600 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) are observed around the south west Pacific islands, in the Solomon Sea, around the Melanesian archipelagoes and around Hawaii, four well known « hotspots » of N\(_2\) fixation (Berthelot et al., 2015, 2017, Bonnet et al., 2009, 2017; Böttjer et al., 2017). The modeled regional patterns of strong fixation are coherent with the observations (Fig. 4b), showing values in the same range. In the south Pacific, the TRI simulation is able to reproduce the strong east-west increasing gradient of N\(_2\) fixation as reported by dinitrogen fixation (Shiozaki et al., 2014; Bonnet et al., This issue; Fig 4c,d). In the equatorial Central Pacific, modeled values of mean fixation are negligible (< 0.5 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) in contrast to the observations which suggest low but non-negligible fixation rates (between 1 to 2 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\); Bonnet et al., 2009; Halm et al., 2012). In general, dinitrogen fixation rates are overestimated by ~70% in TRI compared to the data. Some recent studies have shown that the \(^{15}\)N\(_2\)-tracer addition method (Montoya et al., 2004) used in most studies reported in the MAREDAT database may underestimate N\(_2\) fixation rates due to an incomplete equilibration of the \(^{15}\)N\(_2\) tracer in the incubation bottles, which may explain the differences observed between the modeled and measured rates (Großkopf et al., 2012; Mohr et al., 2010). However, some other studies performed in the South Pacific (Bonnet et al., 2016b; Shiozaki et al., 2015) compared the two methods, and did not found any significant differences.

### 3.2 Trichodesmium Primary Production

We evaluated the direct relative contribution of *Trichodesmium* to PP (Fig. 6). The spatial distribution of this contribution is very similar to the spatial distribution of *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll, with 2 distinct tongues located on each side of the Equator in the tropical domain. In the Northern hemisphere, the tongue extends from the coast of Philippines (120°E) to Hawaii (140°W) longitudinally and between 10°N and 25°N latitudinally. The maximum contribution (~35%) is reached near Hawaii while in the rest of the tongue, values are close to 20%. In the Southern Hemisphere, the region of elevated contribution extends from PNG (140°E) to about the center of the South Pacific subtropical gyre at 130°W, and between 5°S and 25°S latitudinally. Maximum values are predicted in the vicinity of Vanuatu and Fiji Islands, where they can reach 35%. Part of this elevated contribution is explained by the very low PP rates simulated in this region for both nanophytoplankton and diatoms (less than 0.03 mol C.m\(^{-3}\).yr\(^{-1}\)). Furthermore, the island effect seems to represent an important factor for explaining the spatial distribution of *Trichodesmium* growth rates. Indeed, maximum *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll concentrations and the largest contribution of *Trichodesmium* to PP are achieved near the islands. Finally, in LNLC regions (red boxes; Fig. 1c,d), we assess that *Trichodesmium* contribute to 15% of total PP, which is in accordance with biogeochemical studies performed in these areas (Bonnet et al., 2015; Berthelot et al., 2017; Caffin et al., This issue)

### 3.3 Seasonal variability of Trichodesmium biomass

Simulated dinitrogen fixation rates and *Trichodesmium* biomass (not shown) display a seasonal variability that is driven
by the seasonal variability of the environmental conditions (light, temperature, currents, nutrients). The regional maxima of *Trichodesmium* biomass (exceeding 3 mmol C m\(^{-2}\); integrated over the top 100m of the ocean) are found in both hemispheres during the summer season (Fig. 7a,c) even if locally, maxima can be attained during other periods of the year than summer. In the south Pacific, the area of elevated *Trichodesmium* biomass moves by 3° southward from austral winter to austral summer. Along Australia and in the Coral Sea, *Trichodesmium* biomass exhibits a large seasonal variability with very low winter biomass that contrast with elevated values in summer. A similar important variability, which is shifted by six months, is simulated in the Northern hemisphere in the Micronesia region and in the Philippine Sea.

Unfortunately, due to the scarcity of N\(_2\) fixation data over the annual cycle, this seasonal cycle cannot be properly assessed at the scale of the tropical Pacific Ocean. This is only feasible at the time series station ALOHA located in the North Pacific gyre at 22°45',158°W, where seasonal data of dinitrogen fixation are available from 2005 to 2012 (Böttjer et al., 2017). They proved that vertically integrated dinitrogen fixation rates are statistically significantly lower from November to March (less than 200 μmol N m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) than from April to October (about 263 ± 147 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) as highlighted in Figure 5a (blue dots). In the model (red dots; Fig. 5a), the maximum amplitude of the seasonal cycle appears to be underestimated relative to the observations (i.e. respectively ~170 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\) and ~250 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)). Dinitrogen fixation peaks one month earlier in the model than in the data (August for the model and September for the data). The simulated dinitrogen fixation rates are minimum between December and May (averaging ~241 ± 27 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) and maximum the rest of the year (averaging ~ 347 ± 52 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)). These values are comparable to the data even if they are slightly higher.

In order to assess the seasonal cycle of N\(_2\) fixation rates in the south Pacific (red box Fig 1c; 160°E-230°E; 25°S-14°S), we have extracted the available data for each month from our database (blue dots; Fig. 5b), and the corresponding model values in TRI (red dots; Fig 5b). In July no observations are available and in January, April and August, only one data point is available for the entire region. The predicted seasonal cycle is broadly consistent with observations. Minimum dinitrogen fixation rates (239 ± 205 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\)) occur during austral winter and autumn. Maximum rates are reached in February and March, where they exceed 600 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\) in the observations. The increase in dinitrogen fixations rates occurs one month earlier than in the observations, in December instead of January, and remains two to three fold higher from April to June. It is important to note here that the sampling spatial and temporal distribution may distort the seasonal cycle. Using the model, it is possible to evaluate how well the seasonal cycle is captured by the sampling (red dots compared to green dots; Figure 5b). The general structure of the seasonal cycle remains relatively unaltered. However, the amplitude is significantly impacted since it reaches 1100 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\) in the sampling whereas it is about twice as low at 600 μmol N.m\(^{-2}\).d\(^{-1}\) when all the model data points are considered. We can conclude that the TRI simulation reproduces well the seasonal cycle of N\(_2\) fixation rates at the Pacific scale, even though more data are needed to improve the evaluation of the model skills.

To further investigate the mechanisms that drive the seasonal variability of *Trichodesmium* in the Pacific, we examined the factors that control *Trichodesmium* abundance in the TRI simulation (not shown). This analysis indicates that this seasonal variability is mainly controlled by primary production. Hence we further examine the limitation terms of primary production (Fig. 8) in two representative regions characterized by elevated levels of N\(_2\) fixation rates (red boxes; Fig 1c). A detailed description of these limitation terms is given in Appendix 1. A limitation term reaching 1 means that growth is not limited whereas a limitation term equal to zero means that growth ceases.

*Trichodesmium* growth sustained by nitrate and ammonia is very small in LNLC regions due to their very low availability and is therefore not considered further. Thus, our analysis is restricted to dinitrogen fixation. *Trichodesmium*
growth can be limited by iron and phosphate and is inhibited when reactive nitrogen (nitrate and ammonia) is available. In the WTSP, the model suggests that iron is the sole nutrient that modulates Trichodesmium growth (red curve; Fig. 8a,b). The others limiting factors of Trichodesmium growth are light (green curve; Fig 8a,b) and temperature (purple curve; Fig. 8a,b). The product of these 3 limiting factors gives the limiting coefficient of dinitrogen fixation (brown curve; Fig 8a,b). The limiting factors vary according to the season and the hemisphere. In the south (north) Pacific, temperature and light are less limiting during the austral summer (winter) than during the austral winter (summer). The limiting factor associated to temperature varies from 0.8 to 1, and the light limiting factor varies from 0.15 to 0.3. Unlike light and temperature, iron is less (more) limiting in the south (north) pacific during winter (summer) than during the austral summer (winter) with values varying between 0.4 and 0.7. Finally, Trichodesmium growth is more limited during the austral winter (summer) in the south (north) Pacific. The seasonal variability is forced by light and temperature, and iron mitigates its amplitude. Indeed, temperature and iron are anti-correlated because, in the boxes over which this analysis is performed, the ocean dynamic is mainly 1D. Nutrients are thus brought by enhanced vertical mixing which also cools temperature.

4. Discussion

The implementation of dinitrogen fixation in ocean models has become more and more complex over the past twenty years. At first, the supply of new nitrogen by dinitrogen fixation has been embedded into models by developing implicit parameterizations (Bissett et al., 1999). Subsequently explicit descriptions of diazotrophs have been developed, mainly based on the knowledge derived from laboratory experiments focused on Trichodesmium sp. (Fennel et al., 2001; Hood et al., 2001; Moore et al., 2001). Since the mid-2000s, studies focused on the role of iron in controlling the distribution of diazotrophs and dinitrogen fixation (Keith Moore et al., 2006; Krishnamurthy et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2004; Tagliabue et al., 2008).

4.1 Impact of iron from island sediments

Monteiro et al. (2011) and Dutkiewicz et al. (2012) have suggested that a realistic representation of marine iron concentration is key to simulate the diazotrophs habitat. Monteiro et al. (2011) performed a sensitivity study and found that a fivefold increase in the solubility of aeolian iron improves the biogeographical distribution of N\textsubscript{2} fixation in the southwest Pacific. In the meantime, a recent study has challenged this view by showing no increase in dinitrogen fixation in response to increased dust deposition (Luo et al., 2014). In any cases, the sedimentary and hydrothermal sources were not taken into account in these studies, although they are likely significant sources (Bennett et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 1999; Moore et al., 2004; Tagliabue et al., 2010; Toner et al., 2009). In parallel, Dutkiewicz et al. (2012) evaluated the sensitivity of the biogeographical distribution of N\textsubscript{2} fixation to the aeolian source of iron in a model which takes into account the iron sediment supplies, and conclude for minor changes in south west Pacific, while in the north Pacific the change was larger. Indeed, there are many high islands that could deliver significant amounts of iron to the ocean (Radic et al., 2011) in the southwest Pacific.

To assess the impact of the sediment source of iron on the Trichodesmium production, we used the “TRI_NoFeSed” experiment in which this specific source of iron has been turned off for the islands between 160°E and 120°W (Table 1).
In this simulation, the iron and the *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll concentrations decrease by 58% and 51% respectively (Fig. 9a,b), in the WTSP (red box Fig 1c). Figure 9c displays the iron distribution simulated in TRI_NoFeSed, and shows that the maximums around the islands disappear. Furthermore, in the south Pacific, iron decreases due to the reduction of the zonal advection of iron downstream of the islands. The iron flux from the sediments around the islands also affects the spatial structure of *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll (Fig. 9d, e), most noticeably in the south Pacific, with maxima shifted from the south Pacific islands region (e.g. Fiji, New Caledonia, Vanuatu) in the TRI simulation to the coastal regions near Australia and Papua New Guinea in the TRI_NoFeSed simulation. In the northern hemisphere, the effects of the sediment flux of iron are less important with a shift of the *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll maxima towards the Philippine Sea and a localized effect near Hawaii. This sensitivity test demonstrates that *Trichodesmium* are highly sensitive to the iron distribution in our model and hence that the spatial patterns of *Trichodesmium* chlorophyll in the south west Pacific are tightly controlled by the release of iron from the coastal sediments of the Pacific islands.

4.2 *Trichodesmium* impacts on biogeochemistry

One of the questions we want to address is the quantification of the *Trichodesmium* impact on primary production (PP), at the Pacific scale with a focus on the WTSP region. In the oligotrophic waters of the south pacific, dinitrogen fixation can be an important source of bio-available nitrogen in the water column through *Trichodesmium* recycling which can feed other phytoplankton. To evaluate that impact, we calculated the relative increase of PP between the TRI simulation and the N2_Wo simulation in which no dinitrogen fixation is considered (Fig 10a). As expected, the spatial structure of the primary production differences between both simulations matches the dinitrogen fixation spatial distribution in the TRI simulation (two tongues, one in each hemisphere). In the north Pacific the maximum increase of the primary production due to the dinitrogen fixation is located around Hawaii, where it exceeds 120%. In the remaining part of the northern hemisphere tongue, primary production increases by 50% to 100%. In the southern hemisphere, values are more homogeneous in the tongue (from 80 to 100%), even though there is a local maximum around Fiji and Vanuatu (up to 120%). Out of these northern and southern tongues, the increase of PP is less than 20%. In average on our domain, the increase of PP is 19% and in LNLC regions, it reaches approximately 50%.

From total primary production only, it is not possible to disentangle the increase of primary production directly due to *Trichodesmium* themselves and the indirect increase due to the impact of dinitrogen fixation on the other phytoplankton groups (nanophytoplankton and diatoms). Indeed, as mentioned in the method section, *Trichodesmium* also releases a fraction of the recently fixed N\(_2\) as bio-available nitrogen (mostly ammonia and dissolved organic nitrogen). Figure 10b displays the difference of PP due to diatoms and nanophytoplankton only. The main large scale patterns constituted of the northern and southern tongues persist, but the intensity of the differences contrasts with those found when considering total primary production (Fig. 10a). Indeed, the increase of total primary production (Fig 10a) in those two tongues is twice as high as when the direct effect of *Trichodesmium* is excluded. This analysis stresses the importance of the bio-available nitrogen released by diazotrophs as we attribute about half of the total production increase to this release. Indeed, recent isotopic studies tracing the passage of diazotroph-derived nitrogen into the planktonic food web reveal that part of the recently fixed nitrogen is released to the dissolved pool and quickly taken up (24-48%) by surrounding planktonic communities (Berthelot et al., 2016; Bonnet et al., 2016a, 2016b).

With the simulation N2_imp, we aim at comparing an implicit dinitrogen fixation formulation to the explicit formulation used in TRI. Figure 10c displays the relative change of total PP between the TRI and the N2_imp
simulations (see Table 2). The implicit formulation displays a similar spatial distribution than the explicit distribution but is predicting a lower total primary production, especially in the southern Pacific where explicit formulation leads to an increase of about 45% in total PP compared to the one related to the implicit formulation. On average across our domain, total primary production is about ~9% higher when nitrogen fixation is explicitly modeled relative to an implicit formulation. This difference becomes even weaker (2%) if only primary production by nanophytoplankton and diatoms is considered, with noticeable differences restricted to the areas of maximum dinitrogen fixation in the southern hemisphere (around the islands). PP sustained by the release of bio-available nitrogen is thus similar in the TRI and N2_imp simulations, but an explicit representation of dinitrogen fixation allows a better description of dinitrogen fixation patterns. Indeed, the areas of intense dinitrogen fixation rates cannot be properly simulated in the vicinity of the islands, especially in the southern hemisphere, by the tested implicit parameterization.

4.3 Limitations

In this study, we simulate dinitrogen fixation through the explicit representation of only one type of diazotrophs, the Trichodesmium sp. This choice has been motivated by evidences that it represents one of the main nitrogen fixers in the western tropical Pacific (Bonnet et al., 2015a; Dupouy et al., 2011; Shiozaki et al., 2014) and by the relatively good knowledge (compared to other dinitrogen fixers) we have about its physiology (Ramamurthy and Krishnamurthy, 1967; Ohki et al., 1992; Mulholland and Capone, 2000; Mulholland et al., 2001; Küpper et al., 2008; Rubin et al., 2011; Bergman et al., 2013). However, our model remains simple and some of the mechanisms that drive the behavior of Trichodesmium have not been implemented in our model. As an example, the ability of Trichodesmium to group in colonies and to vertically migrate (Kromkamp and Walsby, 1992; Villareal and Carpenter, 2003; Bergman et al., 2013) (Bergman et al., 2013; Kromkamp and Walsby, 1992; Villareal and Carpenter, 2003) is well documented. The reason of these mechanisms remains unclear, but several hypotheses have been put forward such as avoiding nitrogenase exposure to di-oxygen (Carpenter, 1972; Gallon, 1992; Paerl et al., 1989), or maximizing light (on the surface) and nutrients (at depth) acquisition (Letelier and Karl, 1998; Villareal and Carpenter, 1990; White et al., 2006), or even increasing the efficiency of the uptake of atmospheric iron (Rubin et al., 2011). Our model does not represent those processes, nor does it model the resulting vertical migration of Trichodesmium. Moreover, the release of fixed dinitrogen as reactive nitrogen bioavailable to other phytoplanktonic organisms has been set to a constant value of 5%. This percentage is known to be highly variable and therefore this value is in the lowest range of the observations. An increase in this value would increase the PP due to nanophytoplankton and diatoms in the TRI simulation, and thus decrease the relative contribution of Trichodesmium to total PP, which would be closer to the last observations (Berthelot et al. 2017; Bonnet et al. 2017).

Some studies, mostly based on extrapolated in-situ data, aimed at assessing the potential of dinitrogen fixation at global or regional scale (Codispoti et al., 2001; Deutsch et al., 2001; Galloway et al., 2004). In the western south tropical Pacific, Bonnet et al (2017) have estimated total nitrogen fixation at 15 to 19 Tg N yr⁻¹. For the same region, nitrogen fixation is predicted to amount to ~7 Tg N yr⁻¹ in the TRI simulation. As already mentioned, this rather low predicted estimates might be explained by the sole representation of Trichodesmium as nitrogen fixing organisms, which dominate in the western tropical south Pacific (Dupouy et al., 2011, Stenegren et al., This issue). It has to be noted that other diazotroph groups such UCYN-B and DDAs are abundant in the WTSP, representing 10-20% of the overall
Moreover, the contribution of heterotrophic diazotrophic organism is poorly studied and may account for a significant fraction of $N_2$ fixation (Moisander et al., 2017). Our model estimation has also been computed from monthly averages and is thus not taking into account the high-frequency variability that may explain at least some of the very high rates of dinitrogen fixation used in the study by Bonnet et al. (2017). Our assessment based on a model could thus be seen as a lower limit for dinitrogen fixation in the western tropical Pacific. Moreover, our model shows also a good qualitative agreement with the studies based on observations that focus on the impact of dinitrogen fixation in tropical oligotrophic waters (Rainbault and Garcia, 2008; Shiozaki et al., 2013). Indeed, in agreement with those studies, our reference simulation predicts that diazotrophs support a large part of PP (~15%) in LNLC regions.

5. Conclusion

This study describes the spatial and temporal distribution of *Trichodesmium* at the scale of the tropical Pacific ocean, and investigates the impact of a major diazotroph species (*e.g.* *Trichodesmium* sp.) on the biogeochemistry of this region. Toward this end, we performed a first 20-year simulation with the coupled 3D dynamical biogeochemical model ROMS-PISCES in which we embedded an explicit representation of dinitrogen fixation based on *Trichodesmium* physiology. This simulation was shown to be able to reproduce the main physical (SST) and biogeochemical (nutrients) conditions of the tropical Pacific ocean. This includes the spatial distribution of surface chlorophyll and dinitrogen fixation.

The validation of this simulation allows us to confidently assess the *Trichodesmium* distribution. The model predicts that areas favorable to *Trichodesmium* growth extend from 150°E to 120°W in the south Pacific, and from 120°E to 140°W in the north Pacific, with local optimal conditions around the islands (i.e., Hawaii, Fiji, Samoa, New Caledonia, Vanuatu). This broadly corresponds to the LNLC regions where *Trichodesmium* are predicted to be responsible for 15% of total primary production. The seasonal variability of the *Trichodesmium* habitat is dominantly controlled by SST and light, while iron availability modulates the amplitude of the seasonal cycle.

In our study we also assess the role played by iron released from the island sediments, and show that this iron source partly controls the spatial structure and the abundance of *Trichodesmium* in the western tropical south Pacific. However, this region is in the center of the south Pacific convergence zone which is the largest convective area of the Southern Hemisphere, with rainfall exceeding 6mm.day$^{-1}$, hence it would be interesting to assess the impact of river iron supply on the diazotrophs activity. In addition, the Vanuatu archipelago and Tonga are located on the ring fire, hence hydrothermal sources could have a strong impact on dinitrogen fixation. These two iron sources are not yet implemented in our configuration but may improve simulations of dinitrogen fixation in the south western tropical Pacific region. Finally, our explicit simulation of dinitrogen fixation has proven to be higher by 25% (while still in the lower end of estimations from observations) than the more commonly used implicit parameterization.

6. Appendix

*Trichodesmium* preferentially fixes di-nitrogen at temperature between 20-34°C (Breitbarth et al., 2008). The temperature effect on the growth rate is modeled using a 4th order polynomial function (Ye et al., 2012):

\[
\text{Temperature Effect on Growth Rate} = a + b \times \text{Temperature} + c \times \text{Temperature}^2 + d \times \text{Temperature}^3 + e \times \text{Temperature}^4
\]
where 0.25d⁻¹ is the maximum observed growth rate (Breitbarth et al., 2008). Hence, at 17°C the growth rate is zero and maximum growth rate is reached at 27.5°C.

We first evaluate if nitrate+ammonium limitation occurs. In that case, dinitrogen fixation can occur and it is limited by phosphorus and iron. Phosphorus and iron limitations (\(L^P\), \(L^\text{Fe}\)) are calculated in a 2-step process as follows:

First, we determine the most limiting nutrient:

\[
\frac{L^\text{Fe}}{L^P} = \frac{\theta^\text{Fe} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0}{\theta^\text{Fe}_\text{opt} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{L^P}{L^\text{Fe}} = \frac{\theta^P - \theta^P_{\text{min}}}{\theta^P_{\text{opt}} - \theta^P_{\text{min}}} \frac{\theta^P_{\text{opt}}}{\theta^P_{\text{opt}} - \theta^P_{\text{min}}} ,
\]

where \(\theta^\text{Fe} \) represents the nutrient quota for Fe and PO₄ (i.e., the ratio between iron and carbon concentrations in Trichodesmium, for instance).

\[\theta^\text{Fe}_0 = \theta^\text{Fe}_\text{opt} - m ,\]

where \(m\) represents the maintenance iron, the intracellular Fe : C present in the cell at zero net growth rate (Kustka et al., 2003).

\(\theta^\text{Fe}^\text{opt}\) and \(\theta^\text{Fe}^\text{min}\) are constant, whereas \(\theta\) varies with time. The minimum of \(L^\text{Fe}^\text{tri}\) and \(L^P\) defines the limiting nutrient.

We then evaluate dinitrogen fixation as follows:

If iron is limiting:

\[
\mu_{\text{FixN}} = \mu_{\text{max}}^\text{Tri} L_{\text{ir}} \frac{\theta^\text{Fe} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0}{\theta^\text{Fe}_\text{opt} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0} \frac{\theta^P - \theta^P_{\text{lim}}}{\theta^P_{\text{opt}} - \theta^P_{\text{min}}} \frac{\theta^P_{\text{opt}}}{\theta^P_{\text{opt}} - \theta^P_{\text{min}}} ,
\]

\(\mu_{\text{FixN}}\) is the growth rate of Trichodesmium sustained by dinitrogen fixation.

else if phosphorus is limiting:

\[
\mu_{\text{FixN}} = \mu_{\text{max}}^\text{Tri} \left( \frac{L^\text{Fe}}{L^P} \right) \left( \frac{\theta^\text{Fe} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0}{\theta^\text{Fe}_\text{opt} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0} \right) \left( \frac{\theta^P - \theta^P_{\text{lim}}}{\theta^P_{\text{opt}} - \theta^P_{\text{min}}} \right) ,
\]

which allows to recalculate the actual iron limitation for Trichodesmium as follows

\[
L^\text{Fe}_{\text{tri}} = \frac{\theta^\text{Fe} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0 - \alpha \mu_{\text{FixN}}}{\theta^\text{Fe}_\text{opt} - \theta^\text{Fe}_0} \frac{\theta^\text{Fe}_\text{opt}}{} ; \alpha = \frac{1}{\beta} ,
\]

\(\beta\) is the marginal use efficiency and equals the moles of additional carbon fixed per additional mole of intracellular iron per day (Raven, 1988; Sunda and Huntsman, 1997).
Such recalculation takes into account the fact that Trichodesmium need much higher cell Fe : C ratios to achieve the same growth rate as if growing on ammonium. That limitation is similar to the previous iron michaelis-menten-type limitation except that the growth rate is zero as long as the Fe : C ratio does not reach 14μmol Fe : mol C (Kustka et al., 2003).

To summarize Trichodesmium growth rate:

If $\mu_{\text{Fe}} = 0$:

$$\mu_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{Tri}} = \mu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tri}} L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}} L_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{Tri}} \left( \frac{L_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{Tri}}}{L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}}} \right)$$

and

$$\mu_{\text{NH}_4}^{\text{Tri}} = \mu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tri}} L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}} L_{\text{NH}_4}^{\text{Tri}} \left( \frac{L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}}}{L_{\text{NH}_4}^{\text{Tri}}} \right)$$

If $\mu_{\text{Fe}} > 0$:

$$\mu_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{Tri}} = \mu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tri}} L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}} \text{min}(L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}}, L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}})$$

and

$$\mu_{\text{NH}_4}^{\text{Tri}} = \mu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tri}} L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}} \text{min}(L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}}, L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}})$$

$L_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{Tri}}$, $L_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{Tri}}$, $L_{\text{NH}_4}^{\text{Tri}}$ are respectively the Trichodesmium limiting function by phosphate, nitrogen and iron. $L_\text{c}$ is the Trichodesmium limiting function by temperature and light.

$\mu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tri}}$ is the Trichodesmium maximum growth rate,

$\mu_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{Tri}}$ and $\mu_{\text{NH}_4}^{\text{Tri}}$ are respectively the new and regenerated productions.
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Table 1: Models parameters for Trichodemium and nanophytoplakton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Name in code</th>
<th>Unity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial slope P-I tricho</td>
<td>a1</td>
<td>pislope1</td>
<td>(W m⁻²) d⁻¹</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial slope P-I nano</td>
<td>a1</td>
<td>pislope</td>
<td>(W m⁻²) d⁻¹</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microzooplankton preference for tricho</td>
<td>pItri</td>
<td>xpref2t</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microzooplankton preference for nano</td>
<td>pIP</td>
<td>xpref2p</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesozoozooplankton preference for tricho</td>
<td>pItri</td>
<td>xpref1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesozoozooplankton preference for nano</td>
<td>pIP</td>
<td>xprefp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricho feeding threshold for mesozoo</td>
<td>Tithresh</td>
<td>xthresh2tri</td>
<td>mol C L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanophytoplankton feeding threshold for mesozoo</td>
<td>Pithresh</td>
<td>xthresh2phy</td>
<td>mol C L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricho feeding threshold for microzoo</td>
<td>Tithresh</td>
<td>xthreshtri</td>
<td>mol C L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanophytoplankton feeding threshold for microzoo</td>
<td>Pithresh</td>
<td>xthreshphy</td>
<td>mol C L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃ half saturation of tricho</td>
<td>KTriNO3</td>
<td>concn3</td>
<td>mol N L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃ half saturation of nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>KPN3</td>
<td>concn3</td>
<td>mol N L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄ half saturation of tricho</td>
<td>KTriNH4</td>
<td>concn4</td>
<td>mol N L⁻¹</td>
<td>5.10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄ half saturation of nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>KPNH4</td>
<td>concn4</td>
<td>mol N L⁻¹</td>
<td>5.10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄ half saturation of tricho</td>
<td>KTriPO4</td>
<td>concnpo4</td>
<td>mol P L⁻¹</td>
<td>5.10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄ half saturation of nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>KPO4</td>
<td>concnpo4</td>
<td>mol P L⁻¹</td>
<td>5.10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron half saturation for tricho</td>
<td>KtriFe</td>
<td>concfer</td>
<td>mol Fe L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron half saturation for nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>KFe</td>
<td>concfer</td>
<td>mol Fe L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum size criteria for tricho</td>
<td>Imax</td>
<td>xsizetri</td>
<td>mol C L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum size criteria for nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>Imax</td>
<td>xsizephy</td>
<td>mol C L⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal Fe quota for tricho</td>
<td>0Fe,Triopt</td>
<td>qfelim</td>
<td>mol Fe (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>7.10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal Fe quota for nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>0Fe,lopt</td>
<td>qfelim</td>
<td>mol Fe (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>7.10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Chl/C in tricho</td>
<td>0Chl,Trimin</td>
<td>chlctm</td>
<td>mg Chl (mg C)⁻¹</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Chl/C in nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>0Chl,lin</td>
<td>chlcm</td>
<td>mg Chl (mg C)⁻¹</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Fe/C in tricho</td>
<td>0Fe,Trimax</td>
<td>fectm</td>
<td>mol Fe (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>1.10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Fe/C in nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>0Fe,imax</td>
<td>feclm</td>
<td>mol Fe (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>4.10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum N/C in tricho</td>
<td>0N,Trimax</td>
<td>qntlm</td>
<td>mol N (mol C)-1</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum N/C in nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>0N,imax</td>
<td>qnlm</td>
<td>mol N (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum P/C in tricho</td>
<td>0P,Trimax</td>
<td>qptlm</td>
<td>mol P (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum P/C in nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>0N,imax</td>
<td>qplm</td>
<td>mol P (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretion ratio of tricho</td>
<td>rItri</td>
<td>excretl</td>
<td>d⁻¹</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretion ratio of nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>rI</td>
<td>excret</td>
<td>d⁻¹</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance iron</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>zfixbasal</td>
<td>mol Fe (mol C)⁻¹</td>
<td>1.4.10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal use efficiency</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>qfec</td>
<td>day⁻¹</td>
<td>1.4.10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: List and description of the different experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name configuration</th>
<th>N$_2$ fixation</th>
<th>Iron from sediment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRI</td>
<td>explicit</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRI-NoFeSed</td>
<td>explicit</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2_imp</td>
<td>implicit</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wo_N2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures caption

Fig. 1: Annual mean concentrations in μmol L⁻¹: a) PO₄ data from the CARS b) PO₄ simulated by ROMS-PISCES model c) NO₃ data from the CARS d) NO₃ simulated by ROMS-PISCES model. On panels a and b the black contours show the annual mean of patterns of temperature preference from observations (a) and model (b). The red contours displays the 26°C isolign for austral winter (plain) and austral summer (dash). On panels (c) and (d) the red boxes represent the LNLC regions.

Fig. 2: Left: Boxplots of the 0-150 averaged Iron (nmol Fe.L⁻¹) data (blue) and the equivalent for the model (red) colocalised with the observations in space. The coloured box represents the 25-75% of the distribution, the whiskers the 10-90% distribution. The line into the coloured box is the median. Right: Iron concentrations (nmol Fe.L⁻¹) as observed (b) and as simulated by the model (c). Iron concentrations has been averaged over the top 150m of the ocean. Model values have been sampled at the same location, the same month, and the same depth as the data.

Fig. 3: Top: Annual mean Chlorophyll concentration (in mg Chl.m⁻³) in surface from (a) GLOBCOLOUR data (b) TRI simulation (c) TRI_imp simulation. Bottom (d): Annual mean Trichodesmium chlorophyll (in mg Chl.m⁻³) in surface from TRI simulation.

Fig. 4: Nitrogen fixation rates (μmol N.m⁻²d⁻¹) as observed (left) and as simulated by TRI simulation (right). Top: Nitrogen fixation rates has been integrated over the top 150m of the ocean. Bottom: Nitrogen fixation rates has been averaged over the top 30m of the ocean. Model values have been sampled at the same location, the same month, and the same depth as the data.

Fig. 5: a) Depth-integrated (0 to 125m) rates of nitrogen fixation (μmol N.m⁻²d⁻¹) at ALOHA for the data (blue) and TRI simulation (red). b) Depth-integrated (0 to 150m) rates of nitrogen fixation (μmol N.m⁻²d⁻¹) in the south pacific (red box, Fig. 1c) for the data (blue) and TRI simulation (red). The green curve is the averaged of the seasonal cycle from TRI simulation at the data positions. Model values have been sampled at the same location, the same month, and the same depth as the data.

Fig. 6: Relative contribution (in percentage) of *Trichodesmium* to primary production.

Fig. 7: *Trichodesmium* biomass (mmol C.m⁻²) for a) austral summer and b) austral winter, integrated over the top 100m of the ocean.

Fig. 8: Seasonal cycle of limitation terms for *Trichodesmium* production in a) South Pacific and b) North Pacific. The right scale represents the total limitation.

Fig. 9: Top: Minimum, mean and maximum in the South box (Fig 1c) for (a) iron concentration, (b) chlorophyll concentration in *Trichodesmium*. Bottom: Annual mean iron concentration (shading; in nmol Fe.L⁻¹) and current (vectors; in m.s⁻¹) for c) TRI_NoFeSed simulation and d) TRI simulation. Annual mean Chlorophyll concentration in *Trichodesmium* (mg Chl.m⁻³) for e) TRI_NoFeSed simulation and f) TRI simulation. The concentrations have been averaged over the top 100m of the ocean.

Fig. 10: Percentage increase of primary production between the TRI simulation and Wo_N2 simulation (top) and N2_imp simulation (bottom); for total primary production (left) and primary production from Nanophyto. + diatoms (right).
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(a) Nitrogen fixation rates (μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) in the ALOHA region. Data and TRI results are shown.

(b) Nitrogen fixation rates (μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) in the South Pacific. Data, TRI, and TRH results are shown.
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Figure 9

(a) Iron concentration (mmol Fe L⁻¹) for TRI_NoFeSed and TRI

(b) CHL in Tricho. (mg Chl m⁻²) for TRI_NoFeSed and TRI
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