

Interactive comment on “Fungi regulate response of N₂O production to warming and grazing in a Tibetan grassland” by Lei Zhong et al.

Lei Zhong et al.

mawc916@tju.edu.cn

Received and published: 30 April 2018

Thank you for your suggestions. We have revised our manuscript “Fungi regulate response of N₂O production to warming and grazing in a Tibetan grassland”, based on your comments. We have carefully addressed each comment and our responses to these comments are listed below. The attachments are the manuscript which had improved as your suggestions. We hope that all necessary revisions have been made. However, we would be prepared to make further revisions and modifications if required.

Responses to the Reviewer’s comments:

[Comments] 1. The description of experimental design is not clear, particularly, there is a confusing in introducing winter grazing treatment. What is the reason for the selection

C1

of winter grazing treatment in present study? Tibetan grassland is experienced to be covered by snow, frozen soils, and the grass should be withered in winter. In the same plots, the ecological effects of winter grazing should be interfered by previous different grazing treatments (lines 153-156). How to avoid it?

[Responses] Sorry, our previous description caused the misunderstanding by the referee. In the new version, we clarified why we used winter grazing. On the Qinghai-Tibet plateau, winter grazing is very commonly and alpine meadows are generally classified into two grazing seasons, i.e. warm season grazing from June to September and cold season grazing from October to May even the grassland was covered by snow (Cui et al., 2015). Winter pasture contributed about 40

We had improved the description of the winter grazing treatment and make it more clearly, please see lines 159-174.

[Comments] 2. Potential total nitrification/denitrification for N₂O emission rate from incubation experiment is not a “real” rate of N₂O emission under the field conditions. In terrestrial ecosystems, soil temperature, moisture, pH, soil N availability, and DOC etc. are generally considered as the major factors of controlling N₂O emissions. For this study, the lack of field simultaneous monitoring data of N₂O rates is a critical issue. Although the authors tried to cite the previous results for discussion, the conclusion obtained from an incubation experiment is still not general acceptable.

[Responses] We fully agree with the referee that the fungal and bacterial enzyme activities cannot be shown as the result of N₂O emissions. The measurements under laboratory incubation reflected the potential ability of the soil fungal and bacterial activities in nitrification and denitrification because such laboratory incubation could avoid the impacts of various confounding factors and well clarify the mechanism responsible for N₂O produce process. For the lack of field simultaneous monitoring data of N₂O rates, because our study was focused on the microbial mechanism responsible for N₂O produce process but not for the N₂O flux, so we think the field N₂O emission

C2

is not necessary. There are also a series of studies showed the microbial mechanism responsible for N₂O produce process and conclusions by incubation experiment, eg. Zhong et al. (2015, 2017); Huang et al. (2017); Marusenko et al. (2013); Attard et al. (2011) and so on. At revised version, we clarified that our measurements in the laboratory indicated the potential emission to reveal the mechanism responsible for N₂O produce process but not the field emission.

[Comments] 3. The underlying mechanisms that fungal and bacterial pathways for controlling N₂O emissions remain unknown. The authors need to elaborate the relative contributions of fungi and bacteria in nitrification and denitrification processes of N₂O productions.

[Responses] It is the two reasons that lead to the changes of fungal and bacterial pathways for N₂O emissions by warming. Firstly, the increased of soil temperature directly reduce fungal activity but increase bacterial activity, because fungi prefer the cold environment compared with bacteria. Secondly, warming indirectly reduce fungal activity but increase bacterial activity through increased soil inorganic N and decreased soil organic N in our site, please see lines 350-355, because fungi prefer higher organic N environment while bacteria prefer higher inorganic N environment. All these changes caused the contribution of fungi in nitrification and denitrification was reduced by warming, but the contribution of bacteria in nitrification and denitrification was increased by warming (Fig.5), then due to the fungal and bacterial pathways for N₂O emissions was changed in different directions under warming. We have improved the manuscript and make sure the underlying mechanisms is clearly, please see Lines 353-366.

[Comments] 4. Line 130-131: The symbol oC is not correct.

[Responses] Thank you for your suggestion. We had corrected it please see lines 136-137.

[Comments] 5. There are several mistakes in English writing, which should be revised throughout the text.

C3

[Responses] In the new version, we almost rewrote the manuscript and asked a native English speaker Miss Ri Weal to polish the language errors. We hope the new version is easy to read and follow.

Reference

Attard, E., Recous, S., Chabbi, A., De Berranger, C., Guillaumaud, N., Labreuche, J., Philippot, L., Schmid, B., Le Roux, X., 2011. Soil environmental conditions rather than denitrifier abundance and diversity drive potential denitrification after changes in land uses. *Global Change Biology* 17, 1975- 1989.

Che, R., Deng, Y., Wang, W., Rui, Y., Zhang, J., Tahmasbian, I., Tang, L., Wang, S., Wang Y., Xu, Z., and Cui, X.: Long-term warming rather than grazing significantly changed total and active soil prokaryotic community structures, *Geoderma*, 316: 1-10, 2018.

Cui, S., Zhu, X., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Xu, B., Luo, C., Zhao, L., and Zhao, X.: Effects of seasonal grazing on soil respiration in alpine meadow on the Tibetan plateau, *Soil Use Manage.*, 30, 435-443, 2015.

Fan J W, Shao Q Q, Liu J Y, et al. Assessment of effects of climate change and grazing activity on grassland yield in the Three Rivers Headwaters Region of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, China[J].*Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 2010, 170(1-4): 571-584.

Hu, Y., Chang, X., Lin, X., Wang, Y., Wang, S., Duan, J., Zhang, Z., Yang, X., Luo, C., and Xu, G.: Effects of warming and grazing on N₂O fluxes in an alpine meadow ecosystem on the Tibetan plateau, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 42, 944-952, 2010.

Huang Y, Xiao X, Long X. Fungal denitrification contributes significantly to N₂O production in a highly acidic tea soil[J]. *Journal of Soils Sediments*, 2017, 17(6):1599-1606.

Luo, C., Xu, G., Chao, Z., Wang, S., Lin, X., Hu, Y., Zhang, Z., Duan, J., Chang, X., and Su, A.: Effect of warming and grazing on litter mass loss and temperature sensitivity of

C4

litter and dung mass loss on the Tibetan plateau, *Global Change Biol.*, 16, 1606-1617, 2010.

Marusenko, Y., Huber, D. P., and Hall, S. J.: Fungi mediate nitrous oxide production but not ammonia oxidation in aridland soils of the southwestern US, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 63, 24-36, 2013.

Wang, S., Duan, J., Xu, G., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Rui, Y., Luo, C., Xu, B., Zhu, X., and Chang, X.: Effects of warming and grazing on soil N availability, species composition, and ANPP in an alpine meadow, *Ecology*, 93, 2365-2376, 2012.

Zhong L, Bowatte S, Newton P C D, et al. Soil N cycling processes in a pasture after the cessation of grazing and CO2 enrichment[J]. *Geoderma*, 2015, 259: 62-70.

Zhong L, Zhou X, Wang Y, et al. Mixed grazing and clipping is beneficial to ecosystem recovery but may increase potential N2O emissions in a semi-arid grassland[J]. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2017, 114: 42-51.

Zhu, X., Luo, C., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Cui, S., Bao, X., Jiang, L., Li, Y., Li, X., and Wang, Q.: Effects of warming, grazing/cutting and nitrogen fertilization on greenhouse gas fluxes during growing seasons in an alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 214, 506-514, 2015.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/bg-2017-552/bg-2017-552-AC6-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-552>, 2018.