Dear Editor,

We have revised the manuscript based on the reviewer's suggestion. Below are our responses to his comments:

1. P5 ln 13. Change ‘Refield’ to ‘Redfield’
Response: Done.

2. P10 ln 12. “decreases in net growth rate”. It looks to me that there is no net growth, in fact chlorophyll has been lost between day 1 and 2. I.e., net growth is negative.
Response: Done. We have changed it to "there were negative net growth rates ..."

3. P10, ln 18-19. “The small percentage of FPW addition (12.5%) was to ensure that the intital chlorophyll-a concentration after FPW dilution is comparable with that of the control experiment.” This sentence is confusing to me still. Is it the fact that 12.5% (I assume vol/vol addition) is a small volume that is important, or that the PW water is filtered and does not add chl to the experiment that is the key?
Response: Both the small volume and the filtered PW are important, which will ensure that the initial chlorophyll-a will neither be promoted by the addition nor be diluted too much by the addition. We have clarified this in the revised manuscript.

Sincerely,

Qian Li
South China Sea Institute of Oceanology
Chinese Academy of Science, Guangzhou