Author response to comments from anonymous referee #1 - manuscript bg-2016-63

We thank the reviewer for their constructive comments. Below please find our point by point responses to the referees’ specific comments.

1) The reviewer asks whether using a glycine-ammonium buffer (pH 10.5) would be beneficial prior to measuring fluorescence.

As the reviewer notes, the pH effect for the MUF substrate was previously known. The objective of our research was to investigate if there was a pH dependency in the MCA assay, and whether our assay required buffering. We have shown that for this substrate it is important to control pH. We wished to maintain a constant and defined pH throughout the incubation as well as the fluorescence measurement, as the specific activity of enzymes’ can vary with pH. Thus we favoured buffering at a pH relevant to enzyme activity, rather than allow pH to “roam” during the incubation, with potential variations in product formation between experiments.

2) The reviewer notes that fluorescence was determined at the same wavelengths for MUF and MCA, but the fluorescence spectra of the two molecules are different. The review asked whether this slight difference could have any effect on the results and conclusions?

For robustness we used filter blocks in our sea-going plate reader. 365 nm excitation and 460 nm emission wavelengths was the only block available that covered the correct wavelengths. Although we may be off the ideal excitation and emission wavelengths, which raises our limit of detection, this should not alter the results or conclusions, unless there was something else in the system giving an emission at that wavelength and whose emission was pH responsive. We ran trials with natural seawater to ensure there was no inherent interference with fluorescence. Variation in the wavelengths used for MUF & MCA also exists within current literature. For instance, Chrost (1992) use the same MUF excitation and emission as we report, but used 380 nm excitation and 440 nm emission for MCA (as stated by the reviewer), while Hoppe et al (1988) used 365 excitation and 445nm. Christian & Karl (1995) used 360 nm excitation and 447nm emission for MUF, while both Mass et al. (2013) and Piontek et al. (2009, 2010, 2013) uses 355nm excitation and 460 nm emission for both MUF & MCA. In our study, it was important to use wavelengths used by others for consistency and comparison of responses.

Author response to comments from anonymous referee #2 - manuscript bg-2016-63

We thank the reviewer for their constructive comments. Below please find our point by point responses to the referees’ specific comments.

In my opinion, the authors’ focus is to narrow on extracellular enzyme activities and substrate fluorescence. I suggest broadening the discussion of the results including OA effects on bacterial growth and production and changing the conclusion section as well as the abstract accordingly.
We have broadened the discussion to include additional bacterial responses to OA. The conclusion and abstract have been amended accordingly.

The authors should stress their point that buffering is necessary when determining enzyme rates in general, or at least when using MCA as a marker.

We have further emphasized this observation.

In contrast, the effect of pH on MUF fluorescence is well known (e.g. Mead et al. 1955), explicitly written in the Sigma product information and usually considered in enzyme rate measurements. Furthermore, I am not convinced by the authors’ proposed effect of pH on MCA fluorescence.

We acknowledge previous studies on the effect of pH on MUF fluorescence, and have revised our interpretation on the effect of pH on MCA fluorescence (see below).

Specific comments:

p. 1 l. 17f: Change to “This study investigated the potential artefacts in determining the response of bacterial growth and activity to ocean acidification, and the relative effects of three different acidification techniques.”

This has been changed in the revised manuscript.

p. 1 l.26ff: From the presented results I would conclude that “bubbling may stimulate carbohydrate degradation and bacterial growth”.

This has been changed in the revised manuscript.

p. 2 l.32ff Add some more information on extracellular enzyme characteristics: Enzymes are considered as the rate limiting step in hydrolysis of HMW-substrate by bacteria. Both enzyme groups consist of several isoenzymes that catalyze the same reaction but may vary significantly in e.g. pH or temperature optimum and sensitivity (e.g. broad range or narrow optimum range). Define “extracellular enzyme”. Do you include cell-attached and particle-attached enzymes or only free enzymes?

Additional information has been incorporated as requested. Yes, the presented enzyme activities also include any cell- or particle attached enzyme activity. The definition of 'extracellular' in the context of this work has been clarified in the revised manuscript.

p. 2 l.56: What are “indirect influences on longer timescales”? Please specify.

We are referring to potential indirect effects on enzymatic rate, due to factors including altering enzyme abundance, changes in substrate arising from plankton community composition change, grazing or viral lysis, abiotic influences on rate (e.g. through pH effects or carbamylation), and type of enzyme synthesized, as opposed to direct effects of change in pH on the enzyme activity. This has been clarified in the revised manuscript.

p. 3 l. 66ff: The pH sensitivity of MUF is well known (e.g. Mead et al. 1955 and SIGMA product information). Please clarify this in the text. p. 4 l. 107: see above
This has been clarified in the revised manuscript.

p. 5 l. 130ff: It would be very interesting to see the kinetic curves of the independent tests that the authors mention. Please provide a short table or graph. Enzyme kinetics and maximum velocities may vary from one seawater sample to the other (depending on isoenzymes present in the sample). Did you test enzyme kinetics both, in summer and spring?

The tests to calculate the optimum substrate concentrations were conducted by another researcher using seawater collected from a similar location. We agree that enzyme kinetics and maximum velocities may vary spatially and temporally; however, as the purpose of this study was to assess enzyme response to acidification, and to different methods of acidification, full enzyme kinetics were not required. We believe that the variance between samples collected from different sites acts as a reasonable proxy for the variance that would be found between different seasons at the same site.

p. 5 l. 132f: At which pH did you calibrate MUF?

At pH 7.8 and 8.1. This has been clarified in the revised manuscript.

p. 7 l. 182: Please include data (e.g. as supplementary graph)

As requested, the following table compares average LAP activity buffered in 0.1 M Tris and MOPS at pH 8.1 in coastal seawater. This has been added as supplementary material (S1) in the revised manuscript.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Tris activity (nmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>MOPS activity (nmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51.54 (±2.32)</td>
<td>43.42 (±1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.92 (±0.81)</td>
<td>29.34 (±1.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p. 8 l. 216: Did you determine pH at the end of the incubations?

pH was determined at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h in both trials. This data has been added to supplementary material (S2 and S3).

p. 8 l. 220: Was there a reason to incubate under artificial light instead of dark incubations?

The aim was to simulate natural conditions in coastal waters.

p. 9 l. 270ff: Can you please give the standard deviation of your calibration? An increase by 4% only seems to me very low and within the experimental detection limit. Previous studies did not detect a significant effect of pH on MCA fluorescence and I would not consider 4% to be significant. It would be useful, if you could provide a graph with the calibration curves of both fluorescent markers at pH 8.1 and pH 7.8!

We were prompted by the reviewer’s concern to refer back to this data and consider other data not previously interpreted for this paper. We find a similar trend in this revised data set, (shown in revised Table 1), but not an acceptable level of significance (p>0.05). We thank the reviewer for raising the issue, and in the light of this more recent analysis have revised this statement to clearly state that there
is no robustly significant difference in the fluorescence of Tris buffered MCA or MUF between 7.8 and 8.1. This result is included in the abstract.

p. 10 l. 299 I agree that different acidification methods had significant effects on BG activities, but I cannot see a significant effect on LAP activity from the presented data (Figure 1). In p. 11 l. 318 the authors state that, although cell-specific LAP activity showed evidence of a response to acidification, this was not significant in either trial. Please explain/clarify and give statistical evidence.

We agree with the reviewers comment that this sentence is confusing and requires rewording. The reference to “significant effects‘ in Line 299 refers to the response of different treatments at select sampling points only. For instance, activity in treatment A was significantly higher than the Control at 48 and 72 h during trial 1 (t-test, df=4, p=<0.001) (Fig 1), while activity in treatment B was frequently higher than the Control in both trials however was not significantly greater. So although there was 'evidence' of a response to acidification, these were not statistically significant. This has now been clarified in the revised manuscript.

It would be also interesting to see the data for AG and AAP activity.

Trial 1 AG and AAP activity, and trial 2 AAP activity have now been included in the supplementary material (S4, S5, and S6 respectively). AG activity was very low during trial 2, being below detection at several sampling points across all treatments; consequently we mention this, but do not include the data in the supplementary material.

p. 12 l. 337ff: What about total secondary production rates? How do you explain the difference towards the end of trial 2? Can you relate it to changes in BG activity?

The response in secondary production in the latter part of trial 2 (Fig. 3) does not reflect the response in BG activity in the different treatments (Fig. 1). The increase in BG activity is highest in treatment B relative to the other treatments, while the increase in secondary production occurs in treatment A. The response in secondary production observed from 72 h during trial 2 may be explained by other indirect factors, as discussed on l. 355.

p. 13 l. 367: The authors state that the introduction of CO2-air gas mixtures using gas-permeable tubing would be the “most robust technique to investigate the response of bacterial processes to future OA conditions". This is ignoring the fact that there are more techniques commonly used which were not tested in this study and may be even “more robust”. Furthermore, I would conclude from this study that different techniques may result in different results. They may under- or overestimate certain parameters at the same time but not all parameters equally.

We agree and have now highlighted the fact that this study only investigates some of the commonly used methods to artificially acidify seawater. We have altered the abstract and conclusion accordingly.
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Abstract
Bacterial extracellular enzymes play a significant role in the degradation of labile organic matter and nutrient availability in the open ocean. Although bacterial production and extracellular enzymes may be affected by ocean acidification, few studies to date have considered the methodology used to measure enzyme activity and bacterial processes. This study investigated the potential artefacts in determining the response of bacterial growth and activity, bacterial and extracellular glucosidase and aminopeptidase activity to ocean acidification, and the relative effects of three different acidification techniques. Tests confirmed that the observed effect of pH on fluorescence of the artificial fluorophores was affected by pH, and that the influence of addition of the MCA fluorescent substrate on alters seawater sample pH, were both negated by the use of Tris buffer. In experiments testing different acidification methods, bubbling with CO₂ gas mixtures resulted in higher β-glucosidase activity and 15–40 % higher bacterial abundance, relative to acidification by their introduction via gas-permeable silicon tubing, or by and acid addition (HCl). In addition, bacterial numbers were 15–40 % higher with bubbling relative to seawater acidified with gas-permeable silicon tubing and HCl. Bubbling may therefore stimulate lead to overestimation
of-carbohydrate degradation and bacterial growth, leading to the and consequently incorrect interpretation of the impacts of ocean acidification on organic matter cycling.

1 Introduction

Proteins and carbohydrates constitute two of the most common labile organic substrates in the ocean (Benner, 2002; Benner et al., 1992; McCarthy et al., 1996), both of which are essential for cellular growth and repair (Azam et al., 1983; Simon and Azam, 1989). Labile substrate availability is limited by bacterial enzyme–driven hydrolysis of high molecular weight organic material (Azam and Cho, 1987, Munster, 1991). Two groups of bacterial extracellular enzymes (attached or free of the cell releasable into surrounding water) commonly studied for their role in protein and carbohydrate degradation are aminopeptidases and glucosidases, respectively. The activity of individual enzymes is responsive to changes in environmental factors, and so overall glucosidase and peptidase activities will have different pH optima. Each enzyme group, Enzyme activity is sensitive to changes in different environmental factors, for example, and consequently degradation of proteins and carbohydrates will vary accordingly. Many enzymes are pH sensitive and have different pH optima (Tipton and Dixon, 1979, Piontek et al., 2013), and consequently a change in ocean pH may result in a decline or increase in activity of extracellular enzymes as these are directly exposed to the external seawater pH (Orsi and Tipton, 1979; Tipton and Dixon, 1979).

Atmospheric CO₂ has increased by 40% since the 18th century (IGBP-IOC-SCOR, 2013; IPCC, 2013), which is of concern as CO₂ freely exchanges with the ocean and directly alters ocean carbonate chemistry and pH. As a result ocean pH has declined from 8.2 to 8.1, with a continued decline to 7.8 predicted by the year 2100. This decline in ocean pH and the associated change in carbonate chemistry, referred to as ocean acidification (OA), will significantly impact metabolic reactions and influence carbon cycling in the ocean (Endo et al., 2013; Engel et al., 2014; Piontek et al., 2010; Riebesell et al., 2007). For this reason, researchers have investigated the sensitivity of a wide range of biotic and abiotic factors to future changes in ocean pH and the carbonate system.

Bacterial extracellular enzyme activity has been investigated in OA studies (reviewed in Cunha et al., 2010) due to the important role they play in the degradation of labile high molecular weight organic matter (Azam and Ammerman, 1984; Azam and Cho, 1987; Law,
1980; Münster, 1991) and the vertical flux of carbon to the deep ocean (Piontek et al., 2010; Riebesell and Tortell, 2011; Segschneider and Bendtsen, 2013). Current research suggests that bacterial extracellular enzyme activities may increase under future OA conditions (Grossart et al., 2006; Maas et al., 2013; Piontek et al., 2010, 2013; Yague and Estevez, 1988). This may result from the direct effect of pH on the ionisation state of the enzyme’s component amino acids (Dixon, 1953), or from indirect influences potentially altering enzyme production on longer timescales (Boominadhan et al., 2009). Examples of the latter include: The latter may be arise in response to changes in the concentration and composition of high molecular weight organic substrate due to the effect of pH on phytoplankton and bacterioplankton community composition (Endo et al., 2013; Engel et al., 2008; Riebesell, 2004; Witt et al., 2011), bacterial secondary production and cell numbers (Endres et al., 2014; Maas et al., 2013), and phytoplankton-derived organic exudation (Engel, 2002; Engel et al., 2014).

Bacterial extracellular enzyme activity is regularly determined using artificial fluorogenic substrates. These substrates consist of a fluorescent moiety covalently linked to one or more natural monomer molecules (Arnosti, 2011; Kim and Hoppe, 1984). The molecule is non-fluorescent until it is hydrolysed by an extracellular enzyme, which triggers a fluorescent response, allowing it to be detected and quantified (Hoppe, 1993). The sensitivity of the analytical method to pH has been assessed in terrestrial soils (Malcolm, 1983; Niemi and Vepsäläinen, 2005), however limited information is available on how these components respond to a reduction in seawater pH (Piontek et al., 2013). If pH does have a significant effect on the individual assay components, and this is not corrected, then calculated enzyme kinetics will under or overestimate the true activity rates.

Several different methods have been commonly used to artificially adjust seawater pH in experimental systems (Cornwall and Hurd, 2015; reviewed in Riebesell et al., 2010). The simplest acidification method involves the addition of a strong acid (typically HCl). The acid decreases the sample pH through the formation of hydronium ions and modifies total alkalinity (TA), but does not alter dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in a closed system (Emerson and Hedges, 2008); consequently although it is relatively simple to adjust pH using acid, the balance of carbonate species does not reflect the changes that will occur in response to increased CO₂ uptake unless corrected for by the addition of a base (Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008; Riebesell et al., 2010). Another method for acidifying seawater is the use of CO₂-
Air gas mixtures, which alter the seawater carbonate species in ratios predicted to occur from the uptake of atmospheric CO$_2$ under future scenarios (Gattuso and Lavigne, 2009; Riebesell et al., 2010; Rost et al., 2008; Schulz et al., 2009). Schulz et al. (2009) suggest that microbial organisms are likely to respond to changes in carbonate species (e.g. CO$_2$, HCO$_3^-$ or CO$_3^{2-}$), rather than changes in overall DIC or TA. A review by Hurd et al. (2009) concluded that differences in carbonate chemistry arising from the use of different acidification methodologies can influence phytoplankton photosynthesis and growth rates, as well as particulate organic carbon production per cell, and so it is important to ensure changes in all carbonate system species reflect that projected from an increase in CO$_2$ (Cornwall and Hurd, 2015).

In addition to the method of acidification, the mode of application also needs to be considered. A commonly used method of introducing CO$_2$-Air gas mixtures into seawater is by bubbling. This method is simple to implement and maintain for extended periods, however, the physical disturbance associated with bubbling CO$_2$ gas may influence coagulation of organic matter (Engel et al., 2004; Kepkay and Johnson, 1989; Mopper et al., 1995; Passow, 2012; Schuster and Herndl, 1995; Zhou et al., 1998), as well as microbial interactions (Kepkay and Johnson, 1989). This mechanical disturbance may be particularly exacerbated when bubbling is used in small-volume incubations at the laboratory/microcosm experimental scale (<20 litres). An alternative method of introducing CO$_2$ gas is by using gas-permeable tubing (Law et al., 2012; Hoffmann et al., 2013), which eliminates physical artefacts associated with bubbling whilst achieving realistic future carbonate chemistry. Previous research has been conducted comparing the effect of acid addition and CO$_2$ gas bubbling on phytoplankton growth, with no significant effect-difference detected (Chen and Durbin, 1994; Hoppe et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2009). However, to date no comparison of the bacterial response to seawater acidified with acid and CO$_2$ gas aeration has been carried out. In addition, there are no published comparisons of CO$_2$ gas mixtures introduced through gas-permeable silicon tubing with bubbling to assess their suitability for OA research. Consequently the aims of the following study were two-fold; to determine identify any artefacts associated with the effect of pH on the sensitivity of use of fluorogenic substrates used in bacterial extracellular enzyme analysis, and also to compare the response of bacterial processes to different approaches methods of acidification in small-volume incubations.
2 Material and methods

2.1 pH determination
Sample pH was determined using a CX-505 laboratory multifunction meter (Elmetron) equipped with a platinum temperature integrated pH electrode (IJ44C-HT enhanced series; accuracy 0.002 pH units), calibrated using Tris buffers (Cornwall and Hurd, 2015) and regularly cleaned using potassium chloride reference electrolyte gel (RE45-Ionode). Electrode pH measurements were validated using a pH spectrophotometer with colorimetric determination using a thymol blue dye solution (Law et al., 2012; McGraw et al., 2010). Following recommendations in the European Project on Ocean Acidification (Riebesell et al., 2010), pH values in this research reflect the total hydrogen ion scale (pHT).

2.2 Extracellular enzyme activity
The activity of two proteases was examined, with arginine aminopeptidase activity (AAP) quantified using L-arginine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride (Arg-MCA), and leucine aminopeptidase activity (LAP) quantified using L-leucine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride (Leu-MCA). Two glucosidases were also examined; α-glucosidase activity (AG) was quantified using 4-Methylumberlliferyl α-D-glucopyranoside (α-MUF), and β-glucosidase activity (BG) was quantified using 4-Methylumberlliferyl β-D-glucopyranoside (β-MUF, all from P212121 LLC, USA). Artificial fluorogenic substrate was added to each seawater sample to give a final substrate assay concentration of 39 µM, which was determined from independent tests to be the optimum concentration for calculating the maximum velocity of enzyme hydrolysis in seawater samples (data not shown). A four point calibration curve (0, 4, 40, 200 nM final concentration) was created at both pH 7.8 and 8.1 using 4-methylumbeliferone (MUF) for glucosidase activity, with a separate calibration curve (0, 40, 400, 4000 nM final concentration) created using 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (MCA) for protease activity (Sigma-Aldrich). UltraPure distilled water (Invitrogen™, Life Technologies) was used as a sample blank. Each sample was assayed in triplicate using a single 96-microwell flat bottom black assay plate (Nunc A/S), with a separate enzyme assay performed for glucosidase and protease activity. Each assay plate was read at 5 min intervals for a minimum of 3 h using a Modulus microplate reader (Turner Biosystems) at 365 nm excitation and 460 nm emission wavelength as in Burrell et al., (2015). Incubation assay
temperature matched the seawater temperature at the sampling site. The potential for
gassing and associated increase in sample pH during the 3 h enzyme assay was not tested.
The maximum potential enzyme rate ($V_{\text{max}}$, nmol l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) was approximated from the
saturating substrate concentration of 39 µM. Triplicate $V_{\text{max}}$ approximations were averaged
per sample. Cell-specific rates were calculated by dividing the activity per litre by bacterial
cell numbers per litre. The assay tests were carried out using surface seawater collected from
the south coast of Wellington, New Zealand (41°20’53.0”S, 174°45’54.0”E).

2.3 Enzyme assays

2.3.1 The effect of pH on fluorophore fluorescence

The effect of pH on fluorophore fluorescence was investigated at both typical (Hoppe, 1983)
and elevated fluorophore concentrations using two different buffer solutions, the organic
solvent 2-methoxyethanol (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1 M Tris/HCl. The pH of MUF and MCA
fluorophore working standard (200 µM) diluted in 1% 2-methoxyethanol (Sigma-Aldrich)
was first recorded (pH 6.22 and 6.58 at 18.6 °C respectively). Each fluorophore was then
diluted to 4000, 20000 and 40000 nM (referred to as high concentrations) at four pH values
(8.2, 8.1, 7.9 and 7.8) in triplicate by addition of 0.1 N aqueous NaOH. The MUF and MCA
fluorophore working standards made up in 0.1 M Tris/HCl were prepared at pH 8.1 and 7.8
only, and also carried out at lower concentrations (MUF: 4, 40, 200 nM; MCA: 40, 400, 4000
nM).

2.3.2 The effect of artificial fluorogenic substrate on seawater pH

Individual seawater samples were adjusted to pH 7.95 and 7.70 using 0.1 M HCl. All four
artificial fluorogenic substrates previously described were made up to working standards
using 1% 2-methoxyethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). A time-zero reference pH was recorded for
each seawater sample and, following the addition of each substrate at 39 µM final
concentration, sample pH was recorded immediately and after 30 min. Each artificial
fluorogenic substrate was run in triplicate at both pH values, and compared to controls
without substrate addition at both pH levels.

2.3.3 Buffering artificial substrates

Duplicate trials were undertaken to determine if 0.1 M Tris/HCl could successfully buffer
MCA substrate at the working concentration (39 µM) when added to seawater of similar pH.
Tris buffer contains an amine group which can affect peptidase activity (Baker and Prescort, 1983; Desmarais et al., 2002; Saishin et al., 2010), and so tests were carried out to compare the impact of different buffers. LAP activity was compared in seawater using LAP substrate (39 µM final concentration) buffered with 0.1 M Tris/HCl or 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) with pH adjusted to 8.1. Enzyme activity was also determined in seawater (pH 8.18). A non-buffered LAP substrate addition was not included due to the acidic nature of the aminopeptidase substrate (non-buffered LAP substrate was pH 5.87). MOPS has been used as a buffer in studies of the effects of pH on enzymes (Piontek et al., 2010), and so was an appropriate comparison. Borate buffers were not trialled because they have a bactericidal effect on microbial activity (Houlsby et al., 1986). In two separate test experiments using coastal seawater Tris/HCl buffer did not inhibit LAP activity relative to MOPS but instead showed a minor stimulatory effect with 16-18% higher LAP activity (data not shown supplementary material S1). Tris/HCl was selected for subsequent use as its optimal buffer range is pH 7.8-9.0, making it ideal for OA incubations, and it has a pKa of 8.06, so is appropriate for artificial fluorescent substrates (Hoppe, 1993).

Following Based on the buffer above trials tests, the following methodology was used for the seawater acidification tests. Tris buffered Leu-MCA and Arg-MCA substrate working standards were made by diluting 500 µl of MCA substrate stock (16 mM) with 4.5 ml of 0.1 M Tris/HCl buffer. Duplicate Tris/MCA substrate solutions were adjusted to pH 8.1 and 7.8 by adding 10% HCl and the pH of duplicate 10 ml aliquots of coastal seawater was also adjusted to pH 8.1 and 7.8. For each pH treatment, 250 µl of Tris/MCA substrate solution was added to 10 ml of seawater fixed at the corresponding pH. pH was recorded at room temperature using a pH electrode as described above.

2.4 Seawater acidification approach

The influence of acidification technique on biotic parameters was investigated in two separate experiments conducted under controlled temperature conditions in late summer (May 2013 - trial 1) and in early spring (October 2013 - trial 2). Coastal seawater was first filtered through a 15 µm filter and then a 1 µm inline cartridge filter. Three different methods were used to acidify seawater to that predicted by the end of the century (pH 7.80) (IPCC, 2013): (A) acid addition using 0.1 M HCl; (B) bubbling CO₂-Air gas mixture through an acid-washed
aquarium airstone, and (P) CO₂-Air gas mixture introduced through gas-permeable silicon tubing (Tygon Tubing R-3603; ID 1.6 mm; OD 3.2 mm; Law et al., 2012). Treatment P was acidified to a pH of 7.8 by the sequential application of 100 % synthetically produced CO₂ gas for 25 min, followed by 10 % CO₂ gas (in 20.8 % O₂ in N₂, BOC Gas Ltd) for 60 min at a flow rate of < 26 ml min⁻¹. The initial use of pure and 10 % CO₂ gas made it possible to reach the target pH within 3 h. Treatment B was acidified by bubbling seawater with 742 µatm CO₂ gas (in 20.95 % O₂ in N₂, BOC Gas Ltd) for 143 min at < 25 ml min⁻¹ to achieve the target pH 7.80. The volume of 0.1 M HCl required to acidify treatment A to pH 7.8 (2.0 ml - trial 1, 3.1 ml - trial 2) was calculated based on the sample volume, DIC and alkalinity (pers. comm. Dr K. Currie, NIWA/University of Otago) using an algorithm from Dickson et al. (2007). To ensure a consistent rate of pH change across treatments, treatment B and A were adjusted to match that of the slower treatment P (150 min), with the pH of each sample verified using a pH electrode. Each treatment and an ambient seawater Control were then incubated in triplicate in acid-washed milli-Q water-rinsed 4.3 Litre low-density polyethylene (LDPE) cubitainers (ThermoFisher Scientific), without a headspace. pH was monitored throughout each 96 h incubation (supplementary material S2 and S3), however no further pH adjustment took place during the 96 h incubation.

Each cubitainer was housed in one of two identical perspex incubation chambers (1730 mm long, 450 mm high by 325 mm deep), set at in situ ambient seawater temperature (15.1 °C - trial 1, 15.5 °C - trial 2). Artificial light (700 - 900 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) was maintained in each cubitainer through external fluorescent light banks (Philips TLD 36 W/840); neutral density polycarbonate screening ensured light intensities were uniform between incubation chambers, while adjustable timers ensured an automated diurnal 12 h light/dark cycle. Mixing of water in each cubitainer was achieved using an inflating diaphragm positioned underneath each cubitainer, with the inflation and collapse of the diaphragm under the weight of the sample resulting in continual water mixing. Cubitainers were also manually removed and inverted three times prior to each sampling. Time-zero sampling occurred after initial pH adjustment. Assay fluorophore and substrate standard solutions were adjusted to treatment pH.

2.4.1 Bacteria and picoplankton cell numbers

Triplicate samples were collected in 2 ml Cryovials (Raylab Ltd) and frozen in liquid nitrogen (Hall et al., 2004) for up to 12 weeks prior to analysis. Bacterial cell numbers were
determined by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, Becton-Dickinson) following staining with SybrGreenII (Invitrogen) (Lebaron et al., 1998), and count events were normalised to volume using TruCount bead solution (BD Biosciences) (Button and Robertson, 1993). Total eukaryotic picoplankton numbers (< 2 µm) were determined by fluorescence of chlorophyll (wavelength 670 nm), phycoerythrin (585 nm), and phycourobilin (530 nm) as well as forward light-scatter providing an estimate of cell size. Final count values were recorded as cells ml⁻¹.

2.4.2 Bacterial secondary production
Potential bacterial secondary production (BSP) was measured using ³H-leucine (³H-Leu) of high specific activity (> 80 Ci mmol⁻¹, SciMed Ltd) in triplicate 1.7 ml samples. Following the TCA precipitation and centrifugation methodology (Kirchman, 2001; Smith and Azam, 1992), ³H-Leu incorporation was determined using a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2910 TR) and converted to secondary production using a protein conversion factor (1.5 kg C mol⁻¹ leucine) (Simon and Azam, 1989). Cell-specific rates were calculated by dividing the BSP rate by total bacterial cell numbers.

2.4.3 Dissolved Inorganic Carbon and Total Alkalinity
Pre-combusted 12 ml sample DIC vials (Labco Ltd) were triple rinsed with sample seawater and filled, ensuring no air bubbles. One drop of saturated HgCl₂ was added to each DIC sample, with storage at room temperature. DIC was determined using evolved CO₂ gas after sample acidification on a Marianda AIRICA system, the accuracy of this method was estimated to be ±5 µmol kg⁻¹, as determined by analysis of Certified Reference Material. Alkalinity samples were collected by filling a 1 liter screw top bottle, and following the same sample preparation and storage procedures as DIC above. Samples were later analysed by potentiometric titration in a closed cell (Dickson et al., 2007) with an accuracy of ±2 µmol kg⁻¹, also determined by analysis of Certified Reference Material.

2.5 Statistical analysis
Statistica v.10 (StatSoft Inc., USA) was used for basic graphics and descriptive statistics. Data was tested for normality and equality of variance prior to statistical analysis. Data was log(x+1) transformed due to the small sample size at each sampling point. Standard hypothesis formulations were used for each Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), the null hypothesis (H₀) was μ = 0. The significance level of each test was p ≤ 0.05. If H₀ was
rejected, a Tukey’s HSD post-hoc analysis test was run to identify individual variable
responses.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Enzyme assay methodology

MUF and MCA fluorescence was lower at pH 7.8 relative to pH 8.1, as previously reported
in soils (Niemi and Vepsäläinen, 2005). The fluorescence of the unbuffered MUF 2-
methoxyethanol at 40000 nM was 20% higher at pH 8.1 than at pH 7.8 (t-test, \( p < 0.05 \)),
while MUF Tris buffered fluorescence at 200 nM was 45.3% higher at pH 8.1 (t-test, \( p < 0.05 \); Table 1). MCA 2-methoxyethanol fluorescence at 40000 nM was 25% higher at pH
8.1 than fluorescence at pH 7.8 (t-test, \( p < 0.05 \)), while MCA Tris buffered fluorescence at
200 nM was 9.17% higher at pH 8.1 than at pH 7.8 (t-test, \( p < 0.05 \); Table 1). Due to the
basicity of the MCA amino group, fluorescence intensity is less affected by pH and it has
been suggested that buffering is not required in seawater (Piontek et al., 2013; Endres et al.,
2014), whereas buffering of MUF has been reported (Mead et al., 1955; Piontek et al., 2010;
2013, Endres et al., 2013). Our results confirm that pH has a significant effect on
unbuffered MUF and MCA fluorescence at both high and typical working concentrations,
while adding 0.1 M Tris buffer minimises any pH effect at typical working concentration and
so fluorophore calibrations should be carried out at the same pH as the sample.

Although there is awareness of the effect of pH on fluorophore fluorescence (Mead et al.,
1955; Piontek et al., 2013; Endres et al., 2014), few studies consider the effect of fluorescent
substrate addition on seawater pH. Due to the basicity of the MCA amino group, fluorescence
intensity is less affected by pH and it has been suggested that buffering is not required
(Piontek et al., 2013; Endres et al., 2014), whereas buffering of MUF has been reported
(Mead et al., 1955; Piontek et al., 2010, 2013, Endres et al., 2013). Immediately following the
addition of non-buffered Leu-MCA or Arg-MCA substrate to seawater at pH 7.95 or 7.70, pH
decreased by at least 0.05 units for each substrate, and remained significantly lower 30 mins
after addition when compared to time-zero pH (one-way ANOVA, \( p < 0.05 \)). As both MCA
substrates are hydrochloride salts, addition resulted in a significant pH change, as previously
reported by Hoppe (1993). In tests adding Tris-buffered MCA substrate solutions adjusted
to seawater pH 7.8 and 8.1 to seawater at the same pH, the resulting pH change ranged from 0.003 to 0.03 units (±0.001 SE). As the addition of buffer solution reduced the pH change, both MCA substrates and fluorophores were subsequently produced using 0.1 M Tris/HCl, with pH adjusted to that of the respective experimental treatments and Control. In contrast to MCA, no statistically significant change in pH was recorded immediately following, or 30 mins after, addition of either α-MUF or β-MUF substrate to seawater at pH 7.95 or 7.70, indicating that these are neutral compounds. However, to eliminate possible bias, MUF substrates were also buffered using Tris/HCl.

3.2 Seawater acidification

Having established that the analytical procedures for determining extracellular enzyme activity are affected by, and alter pH, the influence of acidification technique was then considered in two separate trials in different seasons. Overall, the experiments showed that different acidification techniques had significant effects on BG and LAP activity at select time points in both trials (Fig. 1), while the response of AG and AAP activity was variable with no consistent treatment response relative to the Control (data not shown supplementary material S4, S5, and S6). Overall, BG and AG activity declined from time-zero to 96 hrs in the Control and treatments in trial 1, but were both significantly higher in the treatments relative to the Control from time-zero to 72 h, with BG activity approximately three-fold higher than AG activity (data not shown). Cell-specific BG activity was at least an order of magnitude higher in treatment B, P and A relative to the Control at time-zero (one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2), which is consistent with a direct effect of acidification (Piontek et al., 2013). Cell-specific BG activity was highest in treatment B from 24 h to 72 h by at least 14 % relative to treatment A and P (Fig. 1). In contrast to trial 1, cell-specific BG activity increased significantly throughout trial 2 (repeated measures ANOVA, $p < 0.05$). The opposing temporal trends between trials may signify seasonal differences in the response of glucosidase to OA, potentially reflecting differences in microbial community composition (Endo et al., 2013) or substrate availability (Morris and Foster, 1971). There was no significant difference in BG activity between treatments at time-zero in trial 2 (one-way ANOVA, $p > 0.05$) (Fig. 2), and BG activity was again highest in treatment B from 48 h, with activity at least 18 % higher relative to treatment P and A (Fig. 1). Bulk water LAP and AAP activity varied between treatments for trials 1 and 2. For example, both LAP and AAP...
activity were highest in treatment P throughout trial 1, whereas LAP activity was highest in treatment B from 72 h to 96 h in trial 2 (data not shown). Although cell-specific LAP activity showed evidence of a response to acidification at select time points, there was no consistent significant response in treatment P throughout either trial (Fig. 1).

Although treatment B was only bubbled with gas mixtures for the pre-incubation period (143 mins), this had a greater effect on BG activity than in the other treatments, indicating potential artefacts associated with bubbling. Bubbling may have ruptured picoplankton cells or increased their susceptibility to viral lysis, leading to an increase in the release of labile organic carbohydrates. This is potentially supported by the decline in total eukaryotic picoplankton cell numbers in treatment B (trial 1 – 2.8 x 10^3 to 2.6 x 10^3 cells ml^-1, trial 2 – 1.7 x 10^3 to 1.3 x 10^3 cells ml^-1) in both trials (repeated measures ANOVA, p < 0.01). An increase in enzyme activity would theoretically increase the availability of low molecular weight organic substrate for bacterial assimilation, and may explain the significant increase in bacterial cell numbers in treatment B relative to the Control at 96 h in both trials (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) (Fig. 2). An increase in bacterial abundance in response to bubbling has been previously reported by (Kepkay and Johnson, 1989) who suggested that surface DOC coagulation facilitated by bubbling resulted in increased respiration and bacterial numbers. It is possible that bubbling increased the abiotic coagulation of organic matter (Riley, 1963) and formation of high molecular weight substrate such as transparent exopolymer particles (Mopper et al., 1995; Passow, 2012; Schuster and Herndl, 1995; Zhou et al., 1998), which could explain the elevated cell-specific BG activity (Fig. 1).

All acidification treatments had a significant negative effect on cell-specific BSP from 24 h to 48 h in trial 1 (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). During trial 2, cell-specific BSP was significantly lower in treatments B and P when compared to the Control from 72 h to 96 h (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), while BSP was twice as high in treatment A during this period (Fig. 3). Although a clear treatment response was not observed in either trial, the low cell-specific BSP in treatment B relative to the Control and treatment A at 96 h in trial 2 was surprising as enzyme activity and bacterial cell numbers were elevated. Existing literature also reports variable BSP responses to acidified conditions. Arnosti et al., (2011) and Teira et al., (2012) detected no significant BSP response, while Grossart et al., (2006) detected an increase, and Maas et al., (2013) and Siu et al., (2014) recorded a decrease in BSP rates with increasing CO2. As the same response was not observed in trial 1, it is possible that additional
indirect factors such as bacterial community composition or substrate type may have influenced BSP under OA conditions (Piontek et al., 2013).

4 Conclusions

Artificial fluorogenic substrates have been used to investigate bacterial extracellular enzyme activities in aquatic environments for decades (Hoppe, 1983; Somville and Billen, 1983). Although the technique has several limitations, including that the artificial fluorogenic substrate may not represent the naturally occurring substrate (Chróst, 1989), and so the observed activity only represents potential hydrolysis (Arnosti, 1996; Unanue et al., 1999), the technique is rapid and easily applied in the field and most importantly, allows for a standardised method for comparison of results in different OA studies. This study confirmed that specific artificial fluorogenic substrates used to determine extracellular enzyme activity are affected by, or can alter, sample pH, and so consequently that buffering is required, particularly when used in OA research. Seawater acidification stimulated β-glucosidase activity as previously reported (Piontek et al., 2010, Burrell et al., 2015), but the use of different methodological approaches will result in may generate different variable results, and may under- or overestimate certain parameters at the same time but not all parameters equally can influence the magnitude of this response. Simple acid addition does not produce realistic seawater carbonate chemistry predicted in a future ocean (Riebesell et al., 2010), and bubbling with CO₂ gas has a significant effect on β-glucosidase activity and bacterial cell numbers, indicating that there are artefacts associated with bubbling. It should be noted that these effects were observed in small-volume laboratory-scale experiments (<10 litres), and may have less impact in larger-scale experiments. Although not all techniques previously used to artificially adjust seawater pH were trialled (Riebesell et al., 2010), the results presented here Nevertheless, the results indicate that introducing CO₂-air gas mixtures using gas permeable-silicon tubing the most is a robust, highly an effective technique to for investigate investigating the response of bacterial processes to future OA conditions, that and appears superior to tested alternatives methods is CO₂-Air gas mixtures introduced using gas permeable-silicon tubing. This approach should be considered for broader use in standardised protocols for ocean acidification (Riebesell et al., 2010; Cornwall and Hurd, 2015) to achieve robust meta-analyses and international inter-comparisons.
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**Figure Legends**

Table 1. Mean fluorophore fluorescence at pH 8.1 and 7.8 (RFU, n=3, ±SE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (nM)</th>
<th>Fluorophore</th>
<th>pH 8.1</th>
<th>pH 7.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1M Tris</td>
<td>MUF</td>
<td><strong>1604.24±44</strong></td>
<td><strong>1553.18±33</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(±3.43±86)</td>
<td>(±38.41±49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>13653.69±48.90</strong></td>
<td><strong>13420.72±54</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(±1518.05±52)</td>
<td>(±2005.05±52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Cell-specific extracellular enzyme activity (mean ± SE, n=3) in response to seawater acidified with 0.1 M HCl (A), bubbled with CO2-Air gas mixture (B) and CO2-Air gas mixture introduced through gas-permeable silicon tubing (P). (a) BG activity in trial 1, (b) BG activity in trial 2, (c) LAP activity in trial 1, (d) LAP activity in trial 2.
Figure 2. Bacterial cell numbers (mean ± SE, n=3) in response to seawater acidified with 0.1 M HCl (A), bubbled with CO$_2$-Air gas mixture (B) and CO$_2$-Air gas mixture introduced through gas-permeable silicon tubing (P). (a) trial 1, (b) trial 2.
Figure 3. Cell-specific bacterial secondary production (mean ± SE, n=3) in response to seawater acidified with 0.1 M HCl (A), bubbled with CO₂-Air gas mixture (B) and CO₂-Air gas mixture introduced through gas-permeable silicon tubing (P). (a) trial 1, (b) trial 2.