Answer to Reviewer #2:

There is no doubt, that the rainfall shows a "parabolic pattern", however, after showing the individual datapoints in fig.3 the former point cloud now shows linear declines of CO2 flux for each site. Therefore, I assume that the authors mean by "parabolic pattern" a maximum CO2 flux which is limited by a parabolic function? If that is the case, I recommend that the authors include a parabolic function into Fig. 3 and better describe what "parabolic pattern" actually means to them. Otherwise, I recommend to discuss the linear decline with existing literature, Skopp et al. 1990.

In response to this comment, we have now shown the parabolic curve on Figure 3 and included the equation, R-squared and P-value in the figure heading (Lines 38-40). Although it may not be clear on the scatterplot, if we showed linear regressions for the individual sites, these would in fact show an increase of CO2 with soil moisture. However, remember that we are using these sites as a surrogate variable to represent a range of soil conditions, and as such we want to look at the relationship across sites; this relationship was parabolic, as we now show in Figure 3 and have observed previously in Panama (Koehler et al. 2009, fig. 3), in Indonesia (van Straaten et al. 2011, fig. 5), in Costa Rica (Schwendenmann et al. 2003, fig. 3), and in Brazil (Sotta et al. 2006, fig.4).

My intention was not to motivate the authors for including a sentence about using microbial abundance and activity in future studies, but rather to discuss their findings in a better context to microbial processes. As I learned from the replies to R1, there is another paper on its way which might deal with that topic? However, also this paper would highly benefit if the coupling of CH4 and N2O fluxes would be discussed. I agree that denitrification is not making sense. However, especially in the dry season when CH4 uptake is dominant and soils are more oxic, also denitrification should be decreased. Since methanotrophs are also known to produce N2O, the decrease of N2O caused by denitrification might be larger than the increase of N2O caused by methanotrophy and therefore no clear correlation between CH4 and N2O is obtained.

Yes, there is an N-cycling paper planned. For this paper, we agree that a discussion of the coupling of CH4 and N2O fluxes would have been really interesting if our results had supported such a discussion. However, there was simply no evidence of this relationship based on our correlation analysis, quite possibly for the reasons suggested in this comment. To incorporate that idea into our discussion, we have now expanded the first paragraph of Section 4.5 (Lines 606-612) to note that we may have missed correlations between gross production/consumption processes belowground, as we were only measuring net fluxes at the soil surface.

I agree that the ambient NO mixing ratio is not the right one to use in a scatter plot, however, I would use the NO mixing ratio shortly before reopening the chamber. Also I think it might be worth to include a statement about potential effects of a variable NO background (before closing and after opening the chamber) on the NO flux measured in between.

We disagree that it would be better to use the NO mixing ratio inside the chamber, particularly during the period shortly before reopening it, as the NO concentration at that time is already the net effect of the chemical reaction (deposition onto the soil within the chamber through reaction
of ambient NO with ambient O$_3$ (Pape et al. 2009) and microbiological processes (NO consumption in the soil as an intermediate product of nitrification and denitrification; Davidson et al. 2000). That would not support our discussion of how the net NO flux was driven by the ambient NO concentration as well as the NO production/consumption capacity of the soils across those periods of measurements. Regarding the variable NO background, this is shown in Figure 5 (i.e. the relationship between ambient NO concentration and soil NO flux) and is discussed extensively in the revised version of Section 4.4, with the dialogue concerning the chemical reactions/microbiological processes resulting in net negative NO fluxes (see Lines 565-580 in Section 4.4 of the manuscript).

Answer to the Subject Editor:

Comment 1: We have incorporated this comment into the revised last sentence of Lines 565-580 by not claiming the ‘dominance’ of chemical reaction of NO over that of microbially-mediated NO consumption in the soil.

Comment 2: We decided to delete this sentence in the revised version as this is actually not necessary to support our argument. However, in answer to this question: we calculated the turnover time of the enclosed chamber air by chamber vol. (11 L) ÷ sampled air flow rate (0.5-0.6 L/min) (Lines 178 & 182). This statement was based on our results which we included during the initial review (see below Fig. 1a-b).
Comment 3: We agree that NO ‘uptake’ may not be the best term to use, so in the Results section we include both terms (previous line 388 now reads ‘In all five sites, net NO uptake or negative NO flux …’) and then throughout the manuscript we now use ‘net negative NO flux’. However, we disagree that the reaction of ambient NO with ambient O3 within the chamber prior to the measurement system (where the sampled gas is passing through the CrO3 – luminol – detector) should be termed a measurement artifact. This principle of NO measurement by Scintrex LMA-3 chemiluminescence is an established method for field studies in the tropics that have used the dynamic chamber method (Veldkamp et al. 1998, Verchot et al. 1999, Hall and Matson 2003, Keller et al. 2005, Purbopuspito et al. 2006, Koehler et al. 2009; Hassler et al. 2017). The reaction of NO with ambient O3 normally happens within a few seconds after chamber closure (see Fig 1a), and hence is usually overshadowed by the linear change of NO concentrations during the 5- to 7-minute measurement of chamber closure. We observed such long reactions of ambient NO with O3 within the chamber (see Fig. 1b) during periods and/or in sites that had high O3 concentrations due to the proximity to O3 sources. Thus, it is not the measurement method but the unusually high O3 concentrations that led to net negative NO fluxes. Therefore, instead of terming these negative NO values as measurement artifacts, we include in our revised discussion that these negative NO fluxes are caused by both chemical and microbiological reactions.

Other changes made in this version:
Line 10: contact email for A. Matson was updated
Line 66/439: spelling mistake in author name corrected
Line 160/177: terminology standardized for chamber methods (using ‘vented’ in both cases)
Table 4: changed formatting to match the other tables

Fig. 1. Typical measurements depicting net NO emission (usually occurred when ambient NO concentration was low) and net negative NO flux (usually occurred when ambient NO concentration was high).
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Abstract

Tropical lowland forest soils are significant sources and sinks of trace gases. In order to model soil trace gas flux for future climate scenarios, it is necessary to be able to predict changes in soil trace gas fluxes along natural gradients of soil fertility and climatic characteristics. We quantified trace gas fluxes in lowland forest soils at five locations in Panama, which encompassed orthogonal precipitation and soil fertility gradients. Soil trace gas fluxes were measured monthly for one (NO) or two (CO$_2$, CH$_4$, N$_2$O) years (2010-2012), using vented dynamic (for NO only) or static chambers with permanent bases. Across the five sites, annual fluxes ranged from: 8.0 to 10.2 Mg CO$_2$-C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, -2.0 to -0.3 kg CH$_4$-C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, 0.4 to 1.3 kg N$_2$O-N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ and -0.82 to -0.03 kg NO-N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. Soil CO$_2$ emissions did not differ across sites, but did exhibit clear seasonal differences and a parabolic pattern with soil moisture across sites. All sites were CH$_4$ sinks; within-site fluxes were largely controlled by soil moisture whereas fluxes across sites were positively correlated with an integrated index of soil fertility. Soil N$_2$O fluxes were low throughout the measurement years, but highest emissions occurred at a mid-precipitation site with high soil N availability. Net negative NO fluxes at the soil surface uptake in the soil occurred at all sites, with the highest uptake most negative fluxes at the low-precipitation site closest to Panama City; NO uptake was likely due to high ambient NO concentrations from anthropogenic sources. Our study highlights the dual importance of short-term (climatic) and long-term (soil/site characteristics) factors in predicting soil trace gas fluxes.
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1 Introduction

Soils can be both sources and sinks of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) and nitric oxide (NO). Tropical forest soils, specifically, are the largest natural source of soil CO$_2$ (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992) and N$_2$O (Bouwman et al., 1993; Prather et al., 1995) and can be significant sinks of CH$_4$ (Steudler et al., 1996; Keller et al., 2005; Sousa Neto et al., 2011). Although soil NO fluxes in tropical forests are often low (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Koehler et al., 2009b), and the canopy can act as a sink for a large proportion of soil-emitted NO (Rummel et al., 2002), even low emissions may be important in regulating atmospheric oxidant production (Keller et al., 1991; Chameides et al., 1992). However, annual soil trace gas fluxes in Central and South American (CSA) tropical lowland forests can vary significantly; in one study, N$_2$O emissions varied by one order of magnitude (1.23 to 11.39 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$; Silver et al., 2005). Such disparity in measurements, caused by the temporal and spatial variability found in tropical forests (Townsend et al., 2008), makes it challenging to model soil trace gas fluxes from these areas and to predict how they might be affected by climate change.

Temporal variations in soil trace gas fluxes are primarily correlated with temperature and moisture. Temperature is often more important where there are annual extremes in temperature - such as in temperate and boreal regions - whereas precipitation and soil moisture are more important in tropical regions, where air temperature does not vary much throughout the year (Saikawa et al., 2013). Soil moisture affects microbial activity both directly through water availability and indirectly through its influence on the soil oxygen status and gas diffusivity (Davidson and Schimel, 1995). Spatial variations in soil trace gas fluxes are largely controlled by soil characteristics. Soil texture, for example, strongly influences soil water retention and gas diffusivity (Koehler et al. 2010; Hassler et al. 2015) as well as soil fertility, plant productivity,
decomposition and ultimately soil nutrient availability (Silver et al., 2000; Sotta et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2015).

Soil CO$_2$ fluxes at the soil surface are the result of interacting belowground processes, including autotrophic (root) respiration and heterotrophic (microbes and soil fauna) respiration (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Hanson et al., 2000). Although temporal and spatial drivers may be affecting these processes differently, the net response of soil CO$_2$ fluxes shows some consistent trends. Soil CO$_2$ emissions from CSA tropical forest soils generally exhibit positive relationships with soil temperature (Chambers et al., 2004; Schwendenmann and Veldkamp, 2006; Sotta et al., 2006, Koehler et al., 2009a) and soil moisture (Davidson et al., 2000). The relationship between CO$_2$ and moisture is often parabolic, with emissions increasing until the threshold at which anaerobic conditions start to inhibit soil CO$_2$ production and/or gas diffusion and then decreasing (Schwendenmann et al., 2003; Sotta et al., 2006; Koehler et al., 2009a). Spatial differences in soil CO$_2$ emissions can be affected by soil characteristics. Both Silver et al. (2005) and Sotta et al. (2006) noted a soil texture effect on net soil CO$_2$ emissions; higher emissions occurred in sandy as compared to clayey Ferralsol soils, which were attributed to respiration from the higher fine root biomass in the sandy soils. Soil fertility can also affect net soil CO$_2$ emissions; Schwendenmann et al. (2003) observed a positive relationship between soil CO$_2$ flux and spatial differences in soil organic C and total N, and a negative relationship with soil total P (possibly due to lower fine root biomass in areas of high P).

Soil CH$_4$ fluxes reflect the combined activity of both methanotrophs (CH$_4$ consumers) and methanogens (CH$_4$ producers), the ratio of which can change in space and time. Since the activity of both functional groups can increase with temperature (Conrad, 1996; Chin et al., 1999; Mohanty et al., 2007), net changes of soil CH$_4$ fluxes in response to temperature are more likely to be driven...
by other site conditions, such as soil moisture. Soil CH$_4$ fluxes (predominant flux indicated by positive values (net emissions) or negative values (net consumption)) in CSA tropical lowland forests often exhibit positive correlations with soil moisture (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Verchot et al., 2000; Davidson et al., 2004; Veldkamp et al., 2013) since high soil moisture conditions favor CH$_4$ production, while CH$_4$ consumption is reduced due to inhibited diffusion of CH$_4$ from the atmosphere to the soil (Le Mer and Roger, 2001; Koehler et al., 2012; Veldkamp et al., 2013).

Although they have less often been the focus of CH$_4$ studies, soil biochemical characteristics (i.e. soil fertility status) may also play an important role. Veldkamp et al. (2013) reported that increases in soil N availability stimulate CH$_4$ uptake and/or reduce CH$_4$ production in soil, and Hassler et al. (2015) also showed that soil fertility (i.e. increased soil N availability and decreased soil exchangeable Al) enhances soil CH$_4$ uptake.

N-oxide gases (N$_2$O and NO) are produced and consumed through the microbial processes of nitrification and denitrification (Chapuis-Lardy et al., 2007). In general, soil NO production through nitrification dominates in aerobic conditions whereas soil N$_2$O production through denitrification dominates in anaerobic conditions (Conrad, 2002). Therefore, as shown in several CSA tropical forest studies (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Verchot et al., 1999; Davidson et al., 2004; Keller et al., 2005; Koehler et al., 2009b), with increases in soil moisture, soil NO fluxes generally decrease (though Gut et al., 2002 show that this relationship is complex) while soil N$_2$O fluxes increase. Soil temperature can also be positively correlated with NO flux (Gut et al., 2002), and negatively correlated with soil N$_2$O emissions (Keller et al., 2005), though this may be due to a co-correlation of soil temperature with soil moisture. Soil N-oxide fluxes may also be affected by soil texture; soil N$_2$O emissions can be stimulated by the higher soil N availability and greater proportion of anaerobic microsites in clayey soils (Keller et al., 2005; Silver et al., 2005; Sotta et
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al., 2008) whereas soil NO fluxes can be facilitated by the higher diffusivity in sandy soils (Silver et al., 2005). Finally, as an essential substrate for nitrification and denitrification, N availability in the soil is a primary controlling factor of soil N-oxide fluxes (Koehler et al., 2009b; Corre et al., 2014).

Climate scenarios suggest that tropical regions may experience large changes in precipitation regimes in the future, with moist tropical regions likely experiencing both higher annual precipitation and more extreme precipitation events (Stocker et al., 2013). Such changes could significantly alter current soil trace gas fluxes, since soil moisture – as described above – plays an important role in both the temporal and spatial variability of soil trace gas fluxes. One approach to studying how changes in precipitation may alter soil trace gas fluxes is to investigate these fluxes along a natural gradient of climate (e.g. precipitation) in a localized region. This approach was used by Holtgrieve et al. (2006) on the Kula volcanic series lava flow in Hawaii, to show that soil N cycling and N-oxide fluxes were strongly affected by mean annual precipitation. However, as suggested by Santiago et al. (2005), precipitation gradients in continental tropical forests, where there are variations in species composition and soil parent material, may exhibit different patterns than those from Hawaii. Additionally, precipitation (or climate) is itself a soil forming factor (Jenny, 1945), and continental tropical lowland soils are considerably older than the relatively young volcanic soils (i.e. Santiago et al., 2005). Therefore, soils of continental precipitation gradients will reflect both the long-term effects of the precipitation regime (i.e. on differences in soil physical and biochemical characteristics) in addition to short-term effects (i.e. on soil moisture).

In this study, we quantified soil trace gas fluxes in tropical lowland forests of the Panama Canal Watershed, spanning a precipitation gradient of 1700-3400 mm yr⁻¹ (Figure S1). Soil fertility
(based on an aggregate index that included clay content, $^{15}$N natural abundance, effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC), organic C:N ratio, and exchangeable Al; see 2.4) varied orthogonally with this precipitation gradient (Figure S2). The objectives of our study were to: (1) determine how soil fluxes of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, N$_2$O and NO vary along orthogonal gradients of precipitation and soil fertility, and (2) assess and compare the spatial and temporal controls of soil trace gas fluxes in lowland tropical forests. By using orthogonal gradients of precipitation and soil fertility, we were able to examine the relative importance of climatic factors vs. soil biochemical characteristics for soil trace gas fluxes. We hypothesized that the temporal and spatial patterns of soil trace gas fluxes across sites would follow the pattern of the most important controlling soil factors: soil CO$_2$ fluxes would be parabolic in relation to increasing soil moisture along the precipitation gradient; soil CH$_4$ fluxes would increase (or CH$_4$ consumption would decrease) with increasing soil moisture and decreasing soil fertility along the precipitation gradient; and soil NO fluxes would decrease whereas soil N$_2$O fluxes would increase with increasing soil moisture along the precipitation gradient.

2 Methods

2.1 Study sites

Soil trace gas fluxes were measured in five study sites of the Center for Tropical Forest Science (CTFS) located in the Panama Canal Watershed, central Panama (Table 1; Figure S1). Mean annual air temperature is 27 °C (Windsor, 1990); the soil temperature across all sites fluctuated between 22.5 and 27.5 °C during our study years (Fig. 1a). The five sites span a gradient of annual precipitation from 1700 mm yr$^{-1}$ in Metropolitan National Park (Met) on the Pacific side to 3400 mm yr$^{-1}$ in P32 on the Atlantic side; the dry season generally lasts from January through April.
Corre et al., 2014). The sites were located in either old growth (P8 and P32) or mature secondary (Met, P27, and P19) lowland forests, with tree densities (≥10 cm diameter at breast height, DBH) of: 322 stems ha⁻¹ in Met, 395 stems ha⁻¹ in P27, 560 stems ha⁻¹ in P8, 520 stems ha⁻¹ in P19, and 537 stems ha⁻¹ in P32 (Pyke et al., 2001). Since precipitation and parent materials vary across these sites, soil types also vary from Cambisols (Met and P27) on the Pacific side to Ferralsols (P8, P19, and P32) on the Atlantic side (Table 1). Floristic composition in these sites has been shown to be correlated with both regional precipitation and geology/soil attributes (Pyke et al., 2001). The amounts and forms of soil organic P are strongly controlled by soil properties whereas the proportion of soil organic P to total P is insensitive to the variation in rainfall and soil properties (Turner and Engelbrecht, 2011).

2.2 Soil trace gas flux calculation

Soil CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were determined every 2-4 weeks from June 2010 through February 2012 (28-31 sampling dates) using vented static chambers. Within each of the five sites, a 20 m grid was placed over a 1 ha area and we randomly chose four 20 m x 20 m replicate plots with a minimum distance of 20 m between plots. In each replicate plot, four permanent chamber bases were installed (0.04 m² area and 0.25 m height after inserting 2 cm into the soil) at the ends of two perpendicular 20 m transects that crossed in the plot’s center. The total volume of the chamber (with cover) was 11 L. To determine soil trace gas fluxes, chamber covers were placed on the bases and gas samples (100 mL) were taken 2, 12, 22 and 32 min later. Samples were stored in pre-evacuated glass containers with Teflon-coated stopcocks. At the Gamboa field laboratory, gas samples were then analyzed for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O concentrations using a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-14B, Columbia, MD, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID), an
electron capture detector (ECD) and an autosampler, the same instrument that was used in our earlier studies (Koehler et al. 2009a, 2009b, 2010, 2012; Veldkamp et al., 2013; Corre et al. 2014). The instrument’s detection limits were 50 ppm CO$_2$, 43 ppb N$_2$O and 45 ppb CH$_4$. Gas concentrations were measured by comparing integration peaks with those of three or four standard gases containing increasing concentrations of CO$_2$, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O (Deuste Steininger GmbH, Mühlhausen, Germany).

Soil NO fluxes were determined every 2-4 weeks from June 2010 through June 2011 (18-21 sampling dates) using open-vented dynamic chambers (11 L volume) placed for 5-7 minutes on the same permanent bases described above. The NO ambient mixing ratio was measured at a height of 2 m above the ground (prior to each chamber measurement) near to each of the 4 chamber locations at each of the 4 replicate plots per site on each sampling day. To measure NO, the air from the chamber (ambient air) was sampled by a pump with a flow rate of 0.5-0.6 L min$^{-1}$, passed through a CrO$_3$ catalyst that oxidizes NO to NO$_2$, and flowed across a fabric wick that is saturated with a luminol solution. The luminol then oxidizes and produces chemiluminescence, which is proportional to the concentration of NO$_2$, and is measured with a Scintrex LMA-3 chemiluminescence detector (ScintrexUnisearch, Ontario, Canada). To minimize deposition losses within the sampling system, all parts in contact with the sample gas are made of Teflon (PTFE).

To prevent contamination of tubing and analyzers, particulate matter is removed from the sampled air by PTFE particulate filters (pore size: 5 µm). In order to minimize potential changes in catalyst efficiency caused by variations of air humidity, a known flux of ambient air dried by silica gel was mixed to the sampled air to maintain a humidity of ~50 %; the detector was also calibrated in-situ prior to and following chamber measurements, using a standard gas (3000 ppb NO; DeusteSteininger GmbH, Mühlhausen, Germany). The instrument’s detection limit was 0.04 ppb.
NO/mV; mV is the electrical signal from the produced chemiluminescence.

Soil trace gas fluxes were calculated as the linear change in concentration over time, and were adjusted for air temperature and atmospheric pressure measured during or directly after sampling. To calculate soil NO fluxes, we considered the first 3 minutes of linear change in NO concentrations with chamber closure time. For CO$_2$, N$_2$O and CH$_4$ fluxes, all 3 gases were analyzed in our gas chromatograph sequentially from the same gas sample. Thus, we based our best fit of gas concentration vs. time on the CO$_2$ concentration increase, as it is the gas with the highest concentration among these 3 gases. We did not observe any evidence of ebullition (e.g. sudden increase of gas concentration during our 30-min chamber closure), and the CO$_2$ concentration always increased linearly with time of chamber closure, so a linear fit was used for all 3 gases. Zero fluxes and negative fluxes (i.e. for N$_2$O and CH$_4$) were all included in our data analysis. Annual soil NO fluxes were calculated using the June 2010-May 2011 measurements and annual soil CO$_2$ and N$_2$O fluxes were calculated using the January to December 2011 measurements; annual fluxes were calculated using the trapezoid rule, assuming a linear relationship in fluxes between sampling days (Koehler et al. 2009a, 2009b, 2010; Veldkamp et al., 2013; Corre et al. 2014).

2.3 Soil biochemical characteristics

In each replicate plot after each soil trace gas flux measurement, samples of the top 5 cm of soil were taken about 1 m from each of the 4 chamber bases, pooled and mixed thoroughly in the field to measure soil extractable NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations and gravimetric water content. In the field, soil samples were placed into prepared extraction bottles containing 150 mL of 0.5M K$_2$SO$_4$ and shaken thoroughly. Back at the field station (≤ 6 h after samples were taken), the extraction bottles were again shaken (~ 1 h) and then the extracts were filtered and frozen immediately. The remaining soil was oven-dried at 105 °C for 1 day in order to ascertain gravimetric water content;
this was then used to calculate the dry mass of the soil that had been extracted for mineral N. The frozen extracts were sent by air to the University of Göttingen, Germany for analysis by continuous flow injection colorimetry (Cenco/Skalar Instruments, Breda, Netherlands). The Berthelot reaction method was used to determine NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} (Skalar Method 155-000) and the copper-cadmium reduction method was used to determine NO\textsubscript{3} (NH\textsubscript{4}Cl buffer without ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; Skalar Method 461-000).

Soil pits were dug in the center of each of the four replicate plots per site and soil samples were taken for the depth intervals of 0-5, 5-10, 10-25 and 25-50 cm. Soil samples were air-dried and sieved through a 2-mm sieve. Natural abundance \textsuperscript{15}N signatures were determined from the ground soil samples using isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS; Delta Plus, Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany). We calculated the \( \delta ^{15}N \) enrichment factor (\( \varepsilon \)) using the Rayleigh equation (Mariotti et al., 1981): 
\[
\varepsilon = d_n - d_0 / \ln f,
\]
where \( d_n \) is the \( \delta ^{15}N \) natural abundance at different depths in the soil profile, \( d_0 \) is the \( \delta ^{15}N \) natural abundance of the reference depth (top 5 cm), and \( f \) is the fraction of total N remaining (i.e. the total N concentration at a given depth divided by the total N concentration in the top 5 cm). The use of only surface \( \delta ^{15}N \) natural abundance values can be limited, given its inherently high spatial variability (i.e. due to vegetation species differences and surface topography). Therefore, we used not only the surface depth but also 4 depth increments to determine the overall natural abundance enrichment factor (\( \varepsilon \)). The \( \varepsilon \) value was used as an integrative indicator of soil N availability, as this correlates with internal soil-N cycling rates (Sotta et al., 2008; Baldos et al., 2015). Total organic C and N were measured from the ground soil samples by dry combustion using a CN analyzer (ElementarVario EL; Elementar Analysis Systems GmbH, Hanau, Germany). ECEC was determined from the sieved soil samples by percolating with unbuffered 1M NH\textsubscript{4}Cl and measuring the exchangeable element concentrations.
(Ca, Mg, K, Mn, Na, Fe and Al) in the percolates using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES; Spectroflame, Spectro Analytical Instruments, Kleve, Germany). Base saturation was calculated as the ratio of exchangeable base cations to the ECEC. Soil pH (H₂O) was analyzed from a 1:4 soil-to-water ratio. Particle size distribution of the mineral soil was determined using the pipette method with pyrophosphate as a dispersing agent (König and Fortmann, 1996).

2.4. Soil fertility index

The variation in soil types along our rainfall gradient (Table 1) was paralleled with variations in soil biochemical characteristics (Table 2; see 3.1). Thus, we developed a soil fertility index using principal component analysis (PCA), similar to the approach employed by Swaine (1996); for each site, the index was based on five soil physical and biochemical properties: 1) clay content, which reflects water- and nutrient-holding capacity, 2) ε that signifies long-term soil N status, 3) ECEC and soil C:N ratio, which indicate bioavailability of rock-derived nutrients and soil organic matter, and 4) exchangeable Al, which implies soil chemical suitability. We used the depth-weighted average of these soil parameters (Table 2), measured at various depth intervals in the top 50 cm depth (except for ε that is calculated for the whole depth; see above). The first component factor of this PCA analysis explained 42 % of the variation in these soil characteristics among sites (Figure S2) and the factor scores were used as the quantitative index of soil fertility for each of the four replicate plots per site. This analysis showed that soil fertility of the five lowland forests varied orthogonally with the precipitation gradient (Figure S2).
2.4 Statistical analyses

We note that our statistical tests are based on the four replicate plots in each of the five 1-ha forest sites along these orthogonal gradients of precipitation and soil fertility, and that the sites themselves were not replicated along the gradients. Consequently, our interpretations and conclusions are limited only to these studied sites.

Soil trace gas fluxes (based on the average of the four chambers per replicate plot on each sampling day) and the accompanying soil explanatory variables (soil temperature, gravimetric moisture, NH$_4^+$ concentration and NO$_3^-$ concentration) were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk’s test; variables with non-normal distributions were square root or log transformed. We then used linear mixed effects models (LMEs) to assess the differences in these repeatedly-measured variables along the orthogonal precipitation and soil fertility gradients, with site and/or season as the fixed effect(s) and sampling days and replicate plots as random effects. If the Akaike information criterion (AIC) showed an improvement in the LME models, we included a first-order temporal autoregressive function to account for the decreasing correlation of measurements with increasing time (Zuur et al., 2009) and/or a variance function (varIdent) to account for heteroscedasticity of fixed-factor variances (Crawley, 2012). To assess the relationships between soil trace gas fluxes and soil explanatory variables, we used the mean values of the four replicate plots on each sampling date, and conducted Pearson correlation tests over the entire sampling period across the five sites and for each site. Lastly, we analyzed the hierarchy of importance of the soil controlling factors of soil trace gas fluxes by selecting the minimal adequate LME model. For this, we used a stepwise model simplification in which each controlling factor was tested against a null model and the soil factor that showed the lowest AIC value was ranked as the most important; the soil factors with the next lowest AIC values were added step-wise into the model if
this significantly improve the model fit. This analysis was conducted on the mean values of the
four replicate plots on each sampling date over the sampling period across the five sites and for
each site.

For the soil biochemical characteristics measured only once (Table 2), differences in depth-
weighted values (for the top 50 cm) among sites were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance
followed by a Tukey HSD test. Their relationships with soil trace gas fluxes across the five sites
(using annual values and average seasonal values) were tested using Spearman rank correlations.

In all statistical tests, differences among sites or between seasons, correlation coefficients and
minimal adequate LME models were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

Data analyses were conducted using the R open source software (R Core Team, 2013).

3 Results

3.1 Soil biochemical characteristics

The soil $\delta^{15}$N natural abundance signatures and $\epsilon$, which are proxies of the long-term soil N status
(i.e. the higher the values, the higher the soil N availability), were lower at the low-rainfall sites
(Met and P27) than at one of the mid-rainfall sites (P19) ($P \leq 0.05$; Table 2). Soil organic C was
lower at one of the lower-rainfall sites (P27) than at the high-rainfall site (P32) whereas the
differences in total soil N among sites paralleled the increase in annual precipitation ($P \leq 0.05$;
Table 2). Soil pH, ECEC and exchangeable bases generally showed the opposite trend to that of
total soil N – higher values at the low-rainfall sites (with less-weathered soils) than at the mid- and
high-rainfall sites (with highly weathered soils) (all $P \leq 0.05$; Tables 1 and 2). Soil exchangeable
Al showed the converse pattern to that of exchangeable bases ($P \leq 0.02$; Table 2).

Of the four soil controlling factors that were monitored over time (temperature, moisture,
extractable NH$_4^+$ and extractable NO$_3^-$; Fig. 1a-d), only moisture and extractable NO$_3^-$ differed strongly between seasons ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 1b-c; Table 3); soil moisture contents were higher in the wet season than the dry season at all sites, while extractable soil NO$_3^-$ concentrations were lower in the wet season that the dry season at all sites but P19. Temperature and extractable NH$_4^+$ exhibited between-season differences at only one site each (temperature - P8, extractable NH$_4^+$ - P27; Table 3). Within each season, all four soil controlling factors differed along the precipitation gradient (all $P < 0.01$ except $P = 0.04$ for extractable NH$_4^+$ in the wet season; Table 3). Soil temperatures in both seasons were lower at P32 (3400 mm) than at all other sites (not significant at P27 in the dry season), and also lower at P27 (2030 mm) than Met (1700 mm). Soil moisture contents, in contrast, were higher in both seasons at P32 than at the other four sites. Extractable soil NO$_3^-$ concentrations in both seasons were higher at Met and P8 (2360 mm) than at P27, P19 (2690 mm) and P32, and in the wet season, also higher at Met than P8. Extractable soil NH$_4^+$ concentrations were higher at P32 than Met in both seasons. Across sites, over the 21-month measurement period, soil moisture was inversely correlated with temperature ($r = -0.28$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 145$) and extractable soil NO$_3^-$ ($r = -0.51$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 145$) and directly correlated with extractable soil NH$_4^+$ ($r = 0.46$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 145$).

### 3.2 CO$_2$ fluxes

Although soil CO$_2$ emissions did not differ among the five sites over the 21-month measurement period ($P = 0.40$; Fig. 2a; Table 3), emissions exhibited a parabolic relationship with soil moisture across sites (Fig. 3) and were higher in the wet season than the dry season at each site ($P \leq 0.05$; Table 3). Over the 21-month sampling period, average daily soil CO$_2$ emissions from the five sites were correlated with soil moisture ($r = 0.35$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 145$; Fig. 3), soil temperature ($r = 0.46$,
extractable soil \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) (\( r = 0.32, P < 0.01, n = 145 \)) and extractable soil \( \text{NO}_3^- \) (\( r = -0.21, P = 0.01, n = 145 \)); the dominant drivers in the wet season were extractable \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) followed by temperature, while the dominant drivers in the dry season were moisture, followed by temperature (Table S1). Within individual sites, daily soil CO\(_2\) emissions exhibited negative correlations with extractable soil \( \text{NO}_3^- \) at Met (\( r = -0.48, P = 0.01, n = 27 \)), P8 (\( r = -0.39, P = 0.03, n = 30 \)), and P32 (\( r = -0.54, P < 0.01, n = 30 \)). Moisture was a dominant driver of CO\(_2\) emissions from soils at all sites, with temperature (P27, P8 and P32) and mineral N (Met, P19 and P32) both playing important roles as well (Table S1).

Similar to the relationship observed for average daily fluxes (Fig. 3), the annual soil CO\(_2\) emissions (Table 4) also exhibited a parabolic pattern across the five sites of the precipitation gradient: high at the mid-rainfall sites (P8 and P19) and low at both ends of the precipitation gradient (Met and P32). There were no significant correlations between soil CO\(_2\) emissions (neither for annual CO\(_2\) fluxes nor for wet- and dry-season averages) and the soil biochemical characteristics (Table 5; Table S2).

### 3.3 CH\(_4\) fluxes

On average, despite occasional emissions in the wet season (Fig. 2b), the soils in the five sites acted as CH\(_4\) sinks (Tables 3 and 4). Comparing between seasons, soil CH\(_4\) uptake was higher in the dry season than the wet season at all sites (\( P \leq 0.05; \) Table 3). Moisture was a dominant driver of CH\(_4\) flux in both seasons, but was stronger in the wet season (Table S1). Differences among sites were the same in both seasons; soil CH\(_4\) uptake at P19 (2690 mm) was higher than at Met (1700 mm), P27 (2030 mm) and P32 (3400 mm), and higher at P8 (2360 mm) than at Met (\( P \leq 0.05; \) Table 3). Over the 21-month sampling period, average daily soil CH\(_4\) fluxes from the five...
sites were positively correlated (i.e. soil CH₄ uptake decreased) with soil moisture ($r = 0.44$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 145$; Fig. 4a); moisture was also the dominant within-site driving factor at all sites except Met (Table S1). Across sites, mineral N was a significant explanatory factor in both seasons; within sites, this was only reflected in the model at P32 (Table S1) but average daily soil CH₄ fluxes at P8 ($r = -0.63$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 30$), P19 ($r = -0.48$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 28$) and P32 ($r = -0.48$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 30$) also exhibited negative correlations with extractable soil NO₃⁻ (i.e. soil CH₄ uptake increased as extractable soil NO₃⁻ increased).

The annual soil CH₄ fluxes (Table 4) were positively correlated (Spearman $r_{ho} = 0.84$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 20$; Fig. 4b) with the soil fertility index (Figure S2) and negatively correlated with annual precipitation ($r_{ho} = -0.63$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 20$; Fig. 4c). Of the soil biochemical properties measured once, annual soil CH₄ fluxes were negatively correlated with soil $^{15}$N natural abundance and exchangeable Al, and positively correlated with ECEC, base saturation and pH (Table 5). Average seasonal soil CH₄ fluxes exhibited similar correlations (Table S2); it is notable that when correlation analysis was separated by season, correlations with soil $^{15}$N natural abundance were stronger in the dry season than the wet season.

### 3.4 N₂O Fluxes

Soil N₂O fluxes differed among sites only in the wet season and not in the dry season (Table 3; Fig. 2c); soil N₂O emissions in the wet season were higher at P8 (2360 mm) than all other sites ($P < 0.01$). Notably, the model fit also indicated no significant soil factors for the dry season, but did identify NO₃⁻ as a driving factor across sites in the wet season (Table S1). Within individual sites, moisture was a controlling factor of N₂O emissions at P8, P19 and P32, with NO₃⁻ availability also important at P19 (Table S1). Comparing between sites, soil N₂O emissions were higher in the wet
season than the dry season at P8 and P19 (2690 mm) ($P < 0.01$; Table 3). These two sites were also the only two to exhibit correlations with soil controlling factors; soil N$_2$O emissions increased with increases in soil moisture at P8 ($r = 0.69, P < 0.01, n = 30$) and P19 ($r = 0.60, P < 0.01, n = 28$), and decreased with increases in soil NO$_3^-$ concentration at P8 ($r = -0.57, P < 0.01, n = 30$) and P19 ($r = -0.38, P = 0.05, n = 28$). Annual soil N$_2$O emissions (Table 4) were negatively correlated with clay content (Table 5). Seasonal average soil N$_2$O emissions were positively correlated with soil $^{15}$N natural abundance in the wet season but not in the dry season (Table S2).

### 3.5 NO fluxes

In all five sites, net uptake of NO or negative NO flux was measured more often than net NO emissions from the soil (Fig. 2d) and net NO uptake was consistently higher ($P \leq 0.05$) in the wet than dry season, except at P19 (2690 mm) where there was no difference between seasons (Table 3). Wet-season soil NO uptake at Met (1700 mm) was larger than all other sites ($P < 0.01$; Table 3), while in the dry season soil NO uptake at P19 was larger than at P8 (2360 mm) and P32 (3400 mm) ($P < 0.01$; Table 3). Over the 13-month measurement period, there were no driving factors significant across sites in the model fit (Table S1) but soil NO fluxes were negatively correlated (i.e. net NO uptake increased) with ambient NO concentration ($r = -0.34, P < 0.01, n = 103$; Fig. 5). Within individual sites, dominant drivers (Table S1) were moisture (P27 and P8) and temperature (P27), with soil NO fluxes at P8 also exhibiting a negative correlation with soil moisture ($r = -0.67, P < 0.01; n = 21$) and positive correlation (i.e. net NO uptake decreased) with extractable soil NO$_3^-$ ($r = 0.65, P < 0.01; n = 21$). There were no correlations with average seasonal soil NO fluxes in the wet season, but in the dry season average seasonal soil NO fluxes were negatively correlated with clay content across sites (Table S2).
4 Discussion

4.1 CO₂ fluxes

Soil CO₂ emissions from CSA tropical lowland forests, including Brazil (Davidson et al., 2000, Chambers et al., 2004, Silver et al., 2005, Sotta et al., 2006), Puerto Rico (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992), Panama (Kursar 1989, Koehler et al., 2009a; Nottingham et al., 2010) and Costa Rica (Schwendemann and Veldkamp, 2006), range from 10.8 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Silver et al., 2005) to 39.7 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Sotta et al., 2006). Our annual soil CO₂ emissions (Table 4) were on the lower end of this range. When compared with other studies in lowland forests of Panama, our values were also at the lower end of those reported for Barro Colorado Island (BCI) (estimated at 14.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 1986; Kursar 1989) and Gigante (ranging from 13.59 ± 1.34 to 17.12 ± 1.59 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ between 2006 and 2008; Koehler et al., 2009a), which can, in part, be attributed to inter-annual variation. Soil CO₂ fluxes at Gigante varied by more than 3 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ between 2006 and 2008 (Koehler et al., 2009a), and fine litterfall, one of the substrates of heterotrophic respiration, also varied by about 2 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from 1998 to 2008 (with annual averages of 7.7-9.7 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; Wright et al., 2011). Moreover, our values were comparable with those of a mature secondary forest (P15 site, 7-18 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2007/2008; Nottingham et al., 2010) close to our P8 and P19 sites (Figure S1). Finally, three of our sites (Met, P27 and P19) were mature secondary forests, with tree densities (particularly at Met and P27; see 2.1) lower than the old growth forests on BCI (Pyke et al., 2001) and Gigante (Koehler et al., 2009a). This may have additionally influenced soil CO₂ fluxes since up to 35 % of CO₂ emissions can be contributed by root respiration (Silver et al., 2005). Interestingly, regardless of the contribution of autotrophic respiration to soil CO₂ fluxes, we did not detect any significant differences in soil CO₂ fluxes among sites, but only found that across our 5 sites the temporal pattern of soil CO₂ fluxes was strongly related...
to soil moisture.

Net soil CO₂ emissions responded to changes in climatic factors on a seasonal scale (i.e. higher soil CO₂ fluxes in the wet than dry season at all sites; Table 3) and to daily fluctuations in soil temperature and moisture across the five sites (see 3.2). The hierarchy of importance of the soil factors are shown in Table S1: at each site (except P27) and during the dry season across sites, soil moisture was the most important driving factor, followed by soil temperature, NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻, while during the wet season, when soil moisture was sufficient, the most important soil factors were NH₄⁺ and soil temperature (Table S1). The higher CO₂ emissions in the wet season were likely due to the alleviation of water competition between decomposers and vegetation; in seasonal tropical forests, litter tends to fall in the dry season, but low soil moisture limits decomposition until the start of the wet season (Yavitt et al., 2004). Other studies from CSA lowland forests have also reported a positive relationship between soil CO₂ emissions and soil temperature (Chambers et al., 2004; Schwendenmann and Veldkamp, 2006; Sotta et al., 2006, Koehler et al., 2009a), and parabolic relationships (Fig. 3) between soil CO₂ emissions and soil moisture (Schwendenmann et al., 2003; Sotta et al., 2006; Koehler et al., 2009a). Additionally, soil CO₂ emissions responded to changes in soil mineral N both on the plot level and across sites (see 3.2). Relationships between soil CO₂ emissions and soil mineral N concentrations have not been reported in other studies, although Schwendenmann et al. (2003) observed that spatial differences in soil total N were positively correlated with soil CO₂ fluxes, and Koehler et al. (2009a) found that chronic N addition decreased soil CO₂ fluxes in a montane tropical forest (although not in a lowland forest). However, the correlations between CO₂ emissions and both NH₄⁺ (positive correlation) and NO₃⁻ (negative correlation) may also simply be reflecting a co-correlation between extractable mineral N and soil moisture (see 3.2).

In support of our hypothesis, we observed that annual soil CO₂ fluxes exhibited a parabolic
pattern along the precipitation gradient (Table 4) similar to the relationship seen with the daily emissions and soil moisture (Fig. 3). However, as mentioned above, soil CO$_2$ efflux did not differ among the five forest sites of this precipitation gradient (Table 3). This lack of differences between sites could be due to similarity of a soil-controlling factor that results in comparably low soil CO$_2$ emissions at all sites. For example, although organic C and total N differed between sites, the soil C:N ratios were comparable along these orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation and soil fertility (Table 2), suggesting that the bioavailability of soil organic matter for heterotrophic respiration may be similar across sites. Additionally, the microbial communities that contribute to heterotrophic respiration may have adapted to the existing differences in substrate quantity (e.g. soil organic C), soil and climatic characteristics between the sites (Tables 2 and 3) and therefore exhibited an overall similar soil CO$_2$ efflux.

4.2 CH$_4$ fluxes

Our findings show the scale-dependency of environmental controls on soil CH$_4$ fluxes – the short-term (seasonal) pattern within and across sites were dominantly controlled by soil moisture, temperature and mineral N (Table S1) whereas the long-term pattern based on annual fluxes across sites was largely controlled by soil fertility (Fig. 4b).

The control of soil moisture on soil CH$_4$ fluxes has been shown in several CSA tropical forest studies (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Verchot et al., 2000; Davidson et al., 2004; Veldkamp et al., 2013). This was also observed at our sites, with less CH$_4$ uptake during periods of high water content (i.e. wet vs. dry season; Table 3), soil moisture being the dominant controlling factor at each site (except Met) and across sites during each season (Table S1), as well as a positive correlation of soil CH$_4$ fluxes with water content (Fig. 4a). We attribute the dominant role of soil
moisture to controlling gas diffusivity from the atmosphere into the soil and/or methanogenic activity during periods of high moisture. Our annual soil CH$_4$ uptake (Table 4) was within the range of other reported values from Brazil and Panama (Verchot et al., 2000; Davidson et al., 2004; Keller et al., 2005; Silver et al., 2005; Veldkamp et al., 2013). Studies that have measured stronger uptake in CSA lowland forests (up to 4.90 kg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$; Keller and Reiners, 1994; Steudler et al., 1996; Keller et al., 2005; Sousa Neto et al., 2011) may have had soils with higher gas diffusivity due to lower soil water content and/or lower clay content (see Veldkamp et al., 2013); in our five sites, the two sites with the highest sand content (P8 and P19; Table 1) exhibited the highest soil CH$_4$ uptake (Tables 3 and 4). In addition to moisture, soil NO$_3^-$ may also have been an important driver of temporal soil CH$_4$ uptake in our sites; we observed increased CH$_4$ uptake as NO$_3^-$ concentrations increased in P8, P19 and P32 (see 3.3) and it was a dominant controlling factor across sites in both seasons (Table S1). Although this may have reflected a co-correlation between soil NO$_3^-$ concentration and soil moisture (see 3.1), increasing CH$_4$ uptake in the soil with increasing mineral N has been observed in tropical forest soils of Australia (Kiese et al., 2003), Panama (Veldkamp et al., 2013) and Indonesia (Hassler et al., 2013). Additionally, our soils exhibited a correlation between annual soil CH$_4$ fluxes and soil $^{15}$N natural abundance signatures (Table 5), the latter being an indicator of soil N availability (Sotta et al. 2008; Arnold et al. 2009; Baldos et al. 2015). When separated by season, the correlation between soil CH$_4$ fluxes and soil $^{15}$N natural abundance was stronger in the dry season than the wet season (Table S2), supporting our claim that soil N availability enhanced CH$_4$ uptake in soils when gas diffusion was favorable (dry season).

The control of soil fertility on the long-term pattern of soil CH$_4$ fluxes across sites was depicted by a correlation between annual soil CH$_4$ fluxes and our calculated soil fertility index.
(Fig. 4b), which exhibited an opposite pattern to that of annual precipitation (Figure S2). This soil fertility control was supported by the strong correlations of both annual (Table 5) and seasonal (Table S2) soil CH$_4$ fluxes with ECEC and exchangeable Al, both included in the soil fertility index (Figure S2; see 2.4). The correlations between soil CH$_4$ fluxes and fertility indicators reflected the site differences in soil biochemical characteristics (Table 2). Specifically, as shown by the strong inverse correlation between soil $\delta^{15}$N natural abundance signatures and exchangeable cations (Table 5), the positive correlation between soil CH$_4$ flux and fertility (Fig. 4b) likely reflected the long-term effects of soil development (Tables 1 and 2) - more CH$_4$ uptake occurred in highly weathered soils with less rock-derived nutrients but high soil N availability (i.e. high $\delta^{15}$N natural abundance signatures) (Tables 4 and 5). This supports our hypothesis that soil CH$_4$ uptake reflected the control of soil moisture and N availability across sites along this precipitation gradient. Our results also highlight the importance of considering soil properties - in particular the degree of soil development - rather than simply climatic factors, when predicting/modeling soil CH$_4$ fluxes on a large scale.

4.3 N$_2$O fluxes

Our annual soil N$_2$O fluxes (Table 4) were within the lower end of the range (1.23 - 11.4 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) reported from other CSA forest studies (Keller and Reiners 1994, Verchot et al., 1999, Keller et al., 2005, Silver et al., 2005). In comparison with other studies from Panama, our N$_2$O fluxes were similar to those measured from Gigante during dry years (0.5 ± 0.2 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ in 2008–2009 with annual precipitation 5–26 % lower than the 12-year average; Corre et al. 2014) but slightly lower than those measured from the same site during wet years (1.0 - 1.4 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ in 2006–2007 with annual precipitation 5–17 % higher than the 12-year average; Koehler et al.,...
The low soil N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes at our sites were likely caused by the generally lower soil N availability compared to the Gigante site; the five sites in our present study had an average gross N mineralization rate of 4 ± 1 mg N kg\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} in the 2010 wet season (Corre et al. unpublished data), which was significantly lower than those from Gigante (29 ± 6 mg N kg\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} in the 2006 wet season; Corre et al. 2010).

Inter-annual variation in rainfall and hence soil moisture can also strongly affect soil N\textsubscript{2}O emissions (Corre et al., 2014). Our measured soil N\textsubscript{2}O emissions exhibited a tendency to be higher in the wet season than the dry season (P8 and P19; Table 3), highest at the mid-rainfall site of P8 (which could mean that at the high-rainfall sites N\textsubscript{2}O could have been further denitrified to N\textsubscript{2}), and were only correlated with the soil $^{15}$N natural abundance signatures (as an indicator of soil N availability) in the wet season (Table S2). At the sites (P8 and P19), where N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were higher in the wet than dry season and soil NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} levels were lower in the wet than dry season (Table 3), the inverse correlation between daily soil N\textsubscript{2}O emissions with NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations over the 21-month measurement period suggests that during the wet season N\textsubscript{2}O production could have been high but might have been further denitrified to N\textsubscript{2}, and hence resulted in low soil NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations. Although the reduction of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} in the wet season could also be caused by reduced nitrification, measurements in our study area (once in the wet and once in the dry season) showed no significant differences between wet and dry seasons across sites nor at each site (Corre et al. unpublished data). Additionally, gross nitrification was correlated with NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} immobilization, but not with DNRA, suggesting that when there was high NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} availability, this was preferably assimilated by the microbial biomass (Corre et al. unpublished data). On the other hand, the soil NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} levels we show in Table 3 were measured repeatedly, parallel to soil trace gas flux measurement, over our 21-month study period. The soil NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} levels (Table 3) therefore reflected...
the concurrently occurring NO$_3^-$ production and consumption processes. The argument that these reflect further denitrification to N$_2$ is supported by our earlier study in Gigante, where nitrification and denitrification contributed equally to soil N$_2$O emissions during the dry season but denitrification was the main process contributing to soil N$_2$O emission in the wet season (Koehler et al., 2012; Corre et al. 2014). Our results partly supported our initial hypothesis, in that soil N$_2$O emissions were highest at the mid-precipitation site (with the highest soil N availability as indicated by $^{15}$N natural abundance; Table 2) due to possible reduction of N$_2$O to N$_2$ at the high precipitation site.

4.4 NO fluxes

Our annual soil NO uptake fluxes (Table 4) were considerably lower than other reported NO fluxes, which are usually small net emissions rather than net uptake. Soil NO emissions from Panama, Costa Rica and Brazil range from 0.26 to 7.88 kg N ha$^{-1}$yr$^{-1}$ (Keller and Reiners 1994, Verchot et al., 1999, Gut et al., 2002, Keller et al., 2005, Silver et al., 2005, Koehler et al., 2009b; Corre et al. 2014). However, the net negative NO uptake fluxes that we measured may be reflecting unusually high ambient air NO concentrations in our forest sites as compared to forests from other studies. Although all of our sites were located in mature-secondary or old-growth forests, the forests were located within the Panama Canal watershed, where there is heavy, year-round marine traffic (~13,000 cargo ships in 2011; Hricko, 2012). Furthermore, the highest levels of soil-net negative NO uptake fluxes that we measured were in the Met site (Table 4); in addition to being in the vicinity of the Panama Canal, the park is located within the city limits of Panama City, which has a population of approximately 1.6 million people (The World Factbook, 2015). Therefore, elevated ambient air NO concentrations from anthropogenic emissions may be driving the net
negative NO uptake fluxes that we measured. Our instrument cannot measure O3 concentration, which could be high in these sites influenced by anthropogenic emissions. Thus, the net negative NO uptake fluxes that we observed may have been driven by both chemical reactions (deposition onto the soil within the chamber through reaction of ambient NO with ambient O3; Pape et al. 2009) and microbiological reactions processes (as NO consumption in the soil as is an intermediate product of nitrification and denitrification; Davidson et al. 2000). The dominance of a chemical reaction of NO uptake at our sites was supported by the fact that we observed a negative correlation of soil NO fluxes with ambient air NO concentrations (i.e. net NO uptake increased as ambient air NO concentration increased; Fig. 5). The reaction time of NO with O3, which is then subsequently removed from the enclosed chamber air and deposited onto the soil, is driven controlled by the ambient air NO concentrations (Pape et al. 2009). This can occur in under a minute (which we observed on days with low ambient air NO concentrations when we measured net soil NO emissions; e.g. at P8 during the dry season, Fig. 2b) or can take up to the same order of magnitude as the turnover time of the chamber air (which we observed on days with high ambient air NO concentrations when we measured net NO uptake; e.g. at the Met site on most of the sampling days, Fig. 2b). It is notable, that an earlier study in Gigante, which is also part of the Panama Canal watershed, did not show net negative NO uptake fluxes but instead small net NO emissions (Koehler et al., 2009b; Corre et al. 2014). However, as mentioned above, the Gigante site had higher soil N-cycling rates (Corre et al. 2010) and lower ambient air NO concentrations than our sites, such that NO production in the soil overrides may have compensated the chemical reaction of ambient NO uptake with O3 and thus resulted in net soil NO emissions. Contrary to this, the negative correlation of soil NO fluxes with ambient NO concentrations observed in our sites (i.e. net negative NO flux increased as ambient air NO concentration increased; Fig. 5).
suggests that NO production in the soil was overshadowed by the chemical reaction of ambient NO with O\(_3\) and thus resulted in net negative NO fluxes.

The general trend across sites did not support our hypothesis regarding soil NO emission, since local conditions of high ambient NO concentrations in the atmosphere had an overriding effect resulting in net NO uptake in soils (Fig. 2d). However, our results indicated that our soils could also be a net source of NO when soil conditions were favourable and/or ambient air NO concentrations were not elevated. We observed that net NO uptake was consistently higher in the wet season than the dry season (Table 3); in the dry season, when aerobic soil conditions prevailed due to low soil moisture contents (Table 3), NO production in the soil may have been more favoured (Conrad, 2002), partly counteracting the chemical reaction of NO removal from the atmosphere and its deposition onto the soil. This is also supported by the negative correlation between dry-season soil NO fluxes and clay contents of the sites (Table S2), suggesting that soil NO fluxes were responding to conditions favourable for NO production. Favourable soil conditions were most visible at P8, which had the highest soil NO emissions (with low ambient air NO concentrations) in the dry season (Table 3; Fig. 2d); soil NO fluxes at this site increased when aerobic soil conditions prevailed (i.e. negative correlation with soil moisture; see 3.5) and increased with substrate availability (i.e. positive correlation with soil NO\(_3^-\); see 3.5).

In summary, although the soils in our study sites can be a net source of NO, particularly during the dry season (Fig. 2d) and in sites where ambient air NO concentrations were low (Fig. 5), most of the time the soils acted as net sink of NO, signifying the importance of soil and vegetation as NO sinks (Jacob and Bakwin, 1991; Sparks et al., 2001) in areas affected by anthropogenic NO sources.
4.5 Implications for climate change

It is notable that, although all four trace gases were strongly correlated with the temporal variation in soil moisture and had clear differences between seasons (Table 3), there were no correlations between the four soil trace gases when looking at the annual fluxes (Table 5) or seasonal averages (Table S2). This lack of correlation is presumably rooted in the interaction of other soil- and/or climatic factors with known drivers of soil trace gas production and consumption. It may also reflect the net result of gross production/consumption processes occurring belowground, where correlations may exist but not portraying the belowground gross production/consumption processes of the different functional groups; one future direction could be to do an in-depth future research that includes an analysis of the abundance/activity of functional microbial groups along these gradients of precipitation and fertility to better understand relationships between the different trace gases.

We have shown that in the short term, soil trace gas fluxes were largely controlled by soil moisture, with the additional influences of soil temperature and mineral N concentration. However, in the long term and/or over large spatial scales, the degree of soil development and related soil fertility had a strong influence. Additionally, we have shown that even in presently undisturbed forests, gas fluxes can be affected by ‘upstream’ anthropogenic activities. Therefore, in order to understand and be able to predict soil trace gas fluxes under future climate scenarios, research needs to focus on identifying and predicting interacting effects of soil and site, as well as climatic characteristics, on soil-atmosphere trace gas exchange.
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Table 1 Description of location, rainfall and geology of one hectare forest inventory plots located in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot code</th>
<th>Longitude, latitude</th>
<th>Elevation (m above sea level)</th>
<th>Forest age classification</th>
<th>Soil taxonomic order</th>
<th>Soil texture (% sand/silt/clay)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Geology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td>79º 33' W, 8º 59' N</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mature secondary</td>
<td>Inceptisol (Cambisol)</td>
<td>3/35/62</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>Agglomerate of andesitic tuff, Early-Late Oligocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27</td>
<td>79º 38' W, 9º 4' N</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>mature secondary</td>
<td>Inceptisol (Cambisol)</td>
<td>2/38/60</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>Agglomerate of siltstone, tuff and limestone, Early Miocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>79º 44' W, 9º 10' N</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>old growth</td>
<td>Oxisol (Ferralsol)</td>
<td>12/39/48</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>Basaltic and andesitic lavas and tuff, pre-Tertiary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19</td>
<td>79º 46' W, 9º 11' N</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>mature secondary</td>
<td>Oxisol (Ferralsol)</td>
<td>10/27/63</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>Basaltic and andesitic lavas and tuff, pre-Tertiary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32</td>
<td>79º 43' W, 9º 21' N</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>old growth</td>
<td>Oxisol (Ferralsol)</td>
<td>1/39/60</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>Basaltic and andesitic lavas and tuff, pre-Tertiary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Plot codes and forest age classification are from Pyke et al. (2001).

\(^b\) Turner and Engelbrecht (2011) reported the tentative soil order (based on US Soil Taxonomy with equivalent FAO classification in...
brackets), mean annual precipitation (estimated from location and elevation data as described by Engelbrecht et al. 2007), and the geological information (taken from Stewart et al. 1980).

Textural analyses are the weighted average of the sampling depth intervals: 0-5, 5-10, 10-25 and 25-50 cm.
Table 2  Soil biochemical characteristics in the top 50 cm of lowland forest soils along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation (shown in brackets below each site) and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil characteristics a</th>
<th>Metropolitan (1700 mm)</th>
<th>P27 (2030 mm)</th>
<th>P8 (2360 mm)</th>
<th>P19 (2690 mm)</th>
<th>P32 (3400 mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ¹⁵N enrichment factor, ε b</td>
<td>-1.95 ± 0.52 b</td>
<td>-0.37 ± 1.69 b</td>
<td>-2.76 ± 0.54 ab</td>
<td>-4.70 ± 0.44 a</td>
<td>-2.65 ± 0.30 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ¹⁵N natural abundance (%)</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.8 c</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.4 bc</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.0 a</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.9 a</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic C (mg C g⁻¹)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 1.7 ab</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.3 b</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.2 ab</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.3 ab</td>
<td>19.6 ± 2.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N (mg C g⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.15 b</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.25 b</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.02 ab</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.11 a</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.17 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:N ratio</td>
<td>10.9 ± 4.1 a</td>
<td>9.07 ± 1.8 a</td>
<td>9.76 ± 1.0 a</td>
<td>9.88 ± 1.0 a</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (1:4 H₂O)</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.46 a</td>
<td>5.82 ± 0.72 a</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.17 b</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.30 b</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.22 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECEC c (mmol, kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>199 ± 72 ab</td>
<td>267 ± 11 a</td>
<td>56 ± 2 c</td>
<td>51 ± 6 c</td>
<td>118 ± 12 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exch. bases c (mmol, kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>198 ± 72 a</td>
<td>264 ± 10 a</td>
<td>37 ± 6 c</td>
<td>21 ± 8 c</td>
<td>90 ± 11 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable Al (mmol, kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.13 b</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.51 b</td>
<td>12.2 ± 4.7 ab</td>
<td>22.6 ± 7.3 a</td>
<td>22.2 ± 3.2 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Means (±SE, n = 4) followed by different letters indicate significant differences between sites (one-way ANOVA with Tukey HSD at P ≤ 0.05). Values for each replicate plot are weighted average of the sampling depth intervals of 0-5, 5-10, 10-25 and 25-50 cm.

b Calculated using Rayleigh equation (Mariotti et al. 1981): ε = δs - δso / ln f; δs - δ¹⁵N natural abundance signatures at various depths in the soil profile, δso - δ¹⁵N natural abundance of the reference depth (top 5cm) and f is the remaining fraction of total N (i.e. total N concentration at a given depth divided by the total N concentration in the top 5 cm).

c ECEC – Effective cation exchange capacity; Exch. bases – sum of exchangeable Ca, Mg, K, Na
Table 3 Soil factors (measured in the top 5 cm of soil) and trace gas fluxes from lowland forest soils along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation (mm per year; shown in brackets below each site) and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site / season</th>
<th>Soil temperature (° C)</th>
<th>Soil moisture (g g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Soil NH₄⁺ (mg N kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Soil NO₃⁻ (mg N kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>CO₂ flux (mg C m⁻² h⁻¹)</th>
<th>CH₄ flux (µg C m⁻² h⁻¹)</th>
<th>N₂O flux (µg N m⁻² h⁻¹)</th>
<th>NO flux (µg N m⁻² h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1700)</td>
<td>25.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.04)</td>
<td>5.94 (1.52)</td>
<td>1.95 (0.71)</td>
<td>126 (26)</td>
<td>1.47 (3.66)</td>
<td>5.78 (2.69)</td>
<td>-11.6 (7.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27 (2030)</td>
<td>25.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.06)</td>
<td>6.39 (1.35)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.17)</td>
<td>124 (18)</td>
<td>-3.01 (4.20)</td>
<td>4.15 (2.56)</td>
<td>-3.24 (2.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8 (2360)</td>
<td>25.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.03)</td>
<td>5.68 (0.94)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.54)</td>
<td>131 (19)</td>
<td>-7.87 (6.95)</td>
<td>13.5 (7.0)</td>
<td>-3.95 (6.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19 (2690)</td>
<td>25.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.06)</td>
<td>7.29 (1.39)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.39)</td>
<td>129 (15)</td>
<td>-13.0 (6.92)</td>
<td>5.58 (3.13)</td>
<td>-3.98 (4.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32 (3400)</td>
<td>24.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.08)</td>
<td>8.21 (1.87)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.27)</td>
<td>107 (17)</td>
<td>-6.79 (6.09)</td>
<td>6.41 (3.09)</td>
<td>-4.01 (4.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1700)</td>
<td>25.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.06)</td>
<td>5.32 (1.26)</td>
<td>3.42 (1.55)</td>
<td>82.7 (19)</td>
<td>-6.88 (4.14)</td>
<td>4.18 (4.62)</td>
<td>-4.05 (7.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27 (2030)</td>
<td>24.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.08)</td>
<td>4.46 (0.89)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.18)</td>
<td>87.7 (14)</td>
<td>-12.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>4.87 (4.70)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Mean (±SE)</td>
<td>Lowercase Letters</td>
<td>Seasonal Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8 (2360)</td>
<td>24.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.06)</td>
<td>6.04 (1.15)</td>
<td>3.68 (1.16)</td>
<td>85.7 (17)</td>
<td>-21.3 (8.37)</td>
<td>5.64 (5.75)</td>
<td>6.50 (3.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19 (2690)</td>
<td>25.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.04)</td>
<td>7.47 (1.22)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.26)</td>
<td>85.5 (12)</td>
<td>-29.2 (4.08)</td>
<td>1.30 (3.09)</td>
<td>-2.41 (2.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32 (3400)</td>
<td>24.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.09)</td>
<td>7.86 (1.37)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.61)</td>
<td>78.5 (15)</td>
<td>-17.4 (5.09)</td>
<td>5.89 (5.51)</td>
<td>4.34 (2.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means ((±SE, n = 4) followed by different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among sites within each season and different uppercase letters indicate significant differences between seasons within each site (linear mixed effects model with Tukey HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$).
Table 4 Annual\textsuperscript{a} trace gas fluxes (mean (SE), n = 4) from lowland tropical forest soils along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site (annual precipitation)</th>
<th>CO\textsubscript{2} \hspace{1cm} (Mg C ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>CH\textsubscript{4} \hspace{1cm} (kg C ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>N\textsubscript{2}O \hspace{1cm} (kg N ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>NO \hspace{1cm} (kg N ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Met (1700 mm)</td>
<td>8.48 (0.70)</td>
<td>-0.34 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.06)</td>
<td>-0.82 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27 (2030 mm)</td>
<td>9.16 (0.62)</td>
<td>-0.51 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.06)</td>
<td>-0.12 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8 (2360 mm)</td>
<td>10.14 (0.76)</td>
<td>-1.45 (0.15)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.15)</td>
<td>-0.17 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19 (2690 mm)</td>
<td>9.89 (0.49)</td>
<td>-1.98 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.05)</td>
<td>-0.21 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P32 (3400 mm)</td>
<td>7.89 (0.84)</td>
<td>-0.94 (0.19)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.18)</td>
<td>-0.03 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Calculated using the trapezoidal rule between fluxes and time interval, covering the measurement periods of January - December 2011 for CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O, and June 2010 - May 2011 for NO.

Annual fluxes were not tested statically for differences among sites since these are trapezoidal extrapolations.
Table 5 Spearman correlations of soil biochemical characteristics\textsuperscript{a} and annual (measured in 2011) soil trace gas fluxes from five lowland tropical forests along orthogonal precipitation and fertility gradients in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ECEC</th>
<th>BS</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>CO\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>CH\textsubscript{4}</th>
<th>N\textsubscript{2}O</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textsuperscript{15}N sig.</td>
<td>-0.87**</td>
<td>-0.67**</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.61**</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.70**</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECEC</td>
<td>0.80**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.76**</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.77**</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.87**</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.60**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>-0.87**</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.71**</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.58**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.76**</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.67**</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{**} \textit{P} < 0.01, \textit{n} = 20 (4 replicate plots in each of the 5 forest sites)

\textsuperscript{a} Soil parameter abbreviations: \textsuperscript{15}N natural abundance signature (\textsuperscript{15}N sig.), effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) and base saturation (BS).
Fig. 1 Mean (±SE, n = 4) soil (a) temperature, (b) moisture, (c) NH$_4^+$ and (d) NO$_3^-$ concentrations measured in the top 5 cm of soil in lowland forests along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama. Gray shading indicates the dry season (January through April).
Fig. 2 Mean (±SE, n = 4) soil (a) CO$_2$, (b) CH$_4$, (c) N$_2$O and (d) NO fluxes from lowland forests along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama. Gray shading indicates the dry season (January through April).
Fig. 3 Soil CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes and moisture contents (top 5 cm) in five lowland forests along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation (shown in brackets) and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama. Each data point is the average of four replicate plots on one sampling day from one of the five sites, measured from June 2010 to February 2012 (n = 145): the quadratic regression across sites (shown) is: \( y = -321.1x^2 + 517.8x - 81.2 \) (\( R^2 = 0.30, n = 145, P < 0.01 \)).
Fig. 4 Average daily soil CH₄ fluxes plotted against (a) soil moisture (top 5 cm), and annual soil CH₄ fluxes plotted against (b) soil fertility index and (c) annual precipitation. For (a), each data point is the average of four replicate plots on each sampling day of each of the five sites, measured from June 2010 to February 2012. The five lowland forests are located along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.
Soil NO fluxes plotted against ambient air NO concentrations; each data point is the average of four replicate plots on each sampling day in each of the five sites, measured from June 2010 to June 2011. The five lowland forests are located along orthogonal gradients of annual precipitation and soil fertility in the Panama Canal watershed, central Panama.