Temporal variation in carbon and nitrogen sequestration rates in boreal soils across a variety of ecosystems
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Abstract

Boreal soils play a critical role in the global carbon (C) cycle; therefore, it is important to understand the mechanisms that control soil C accumulation and loss for this region. Examining C & nitrogen (N) accumulation rates averaged over decades to centuries may provide additional understanding of the dominant mechanisms for their storage, which can be masked by seasonal and interannual variability when investigated over the short-term. We examined longer-term accumulation rates, using 210Pb and 14C to date soil layers, for a wide variety of boreal ecosystems: a black spruce forest, a shrub ecosystem, a tussock grass ecosystem, a sedge dominated ecosystem, and a rich fen. All ecosystems had similar decadal C accumulation rates, averaging 84 ± 42 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. Long-term (century) C accumulation rates were slower than decadal rates, averaging 14 ± 5 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ for all ecosystems except the rich fen, for which the long-term C accumulation rates was more similar to decadal rates (44 ± 5 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ and 76 ± 9 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively). The rich fen also had significantly higher long-term N accumulation rates (2.66 gN m⁻² yr⁻¹). The lowest N accumulation rate, on both a decadal and long-term basis, was found in the black spruce forest (0.22 and 1.4 gN m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively). Our results suggest that long-term C and N cycling at the rich fen is fundamentally different from the other ecosystems, likely due to differences in the predominant mechanisms for nutrient cycling (for C) and reduced amounts of disturbance by fire (for C & N). This result implies that most shifts in ecosystem vegetation across the boreal region, driven by either climate or succession, will not significantly impact regional C or N dynamics over years to decades. However, ecosystem transitions to or from a rich fen will promote significant shifts in soil C and N storage.
1 Introduction

High latitudes soils store 50% of the global soil carbon (C) pool (Tarnocai et al., 2009; Davidson and Janssens, 2006) due largely to physical factors such as low soil temperatures and wet soil conditions. As a result, C losses are generally smaller than C inputs, even over long timescales (Ovenden, 1990) when disturbances such as insects and fire are included. The majority of net C storage is in the form of thick (many cm to several meters deep) organic soils overlying the mineral soil component. Climate change is expected to impact the boreal region in many ways, including thawing of permafrost and reduced precipitation (Hinzman et al., 2005). These and other changes can alter the dominant vegetation types. If the factors that moderate C storage shift, it is likely that the balance of C inputs and losses will also change, impacting the net C balance. Because of the high amount of C stored in boreal soils, changes in these C stocks can substantially affect the global C budget (Chapin et al., 2000).

Many studies have examined boreal N availability, mineralization rates, and their influence on C storage (for example, Keller et al., 2006; Bonan and Van Cleve, 1991; Gundale et al., 2014; Allison et al., 2010), yet boreal nitrogen (N) stocks are less well studied. It is known that boreal forests have large stocks of soil organic N (Valentine, 2006), with peatland stocks comprising approximately 10-15% of the global N pool (Loisel et al., 2014). The majority of N within boreal ecosystems resides within the organic and mineral soil (Merila et al., 2014). The size of these soil stocks changes with soil drainage and dominant vegetation (Van Cleve et al., 1983), in part as a result of N loss from fire (Harden et al., 2002). Understanding N stocks and availability is important because N controls many aspects of plant productivity and, therefore, cycling of C and N are closely linked (Vile et al., 2014).

Accumulation rates of C and N in soils vary according to the time scale, ecosystem type, and region studied. Short-term accumulation rates are higher than long-term rates because there is little influence of disturbance (Turunen et al., 2004). Accumulation rates also vary by ecosystem. Peatlands accumulate about 20-30 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ over the long-term (see, for example, Yu et al., 2013; Jones and Yu, 2010; Turunen et al., 2002; Roulet, 2000), with bogs typically having higher rates of C accumulation than fens (Tolonen and Turunen, 1996). Long-term C accumulation rates in peatlands are driven by growing season length and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR: Charman et al., 2013). Black spruce (Picea mariana) forests have C accumulation rates ranging between 10 – 40 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Harden et al., 2012; Trumbore and Harden, 1997; Goulden et al., 2011; Rapalee et al., 1998; Harden et al., 2000), depending on soil drainage class and timescale studied. C accumulation rates of these ecosystems are related to
fire and burial of C into deeper soil layers (O’Donnell et al., 2011; Harden et al., 2012). Accumulation rates of N in northern peatlands have been found to average from 0.5 – 0.9 g N m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Loisel et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014), although this rate has changed over time. Fens have higher rates of N accumulation than bogs (Meng et al., 2014; Trumbore et al., 1999), reflecting the importance of plant and groundwater inputs to these ecosystems. Little is known about C or N accumulation rates for the ecosystems other than peatlands or forests (i.e., shrubs, grass tussock, etc.) that characterize boreal landscapes. Although these ecosystems cover less area than black spruce forests and peatlands (DeWilde and Chapin, 2006), they still comprise an important part of the Interior Alaskan landscape.

Differences in the vegetation and environmental conditions among the varied ecosystems of Alaska influence their C & N accumulation rates. Litter production varies among vegetation (Camill et al., 2001), thereby impacting rates of C and N input to the soil. The chemical content and concentration of litter also varies among vegetation types (Hobbie, 1996). Litter composed of more complex C compounds and/or lower lignin:N ratios can have lower decomposition rates and, therefore, lower rates of C loss and relative N retention. Vegetation is also correlated with soil drainage (e.g., soil moisture; Camill, 1999), the presence of permafrost, and thus the thickness of insulating organic soil layers (Lawrence and Slater, 2008; Harden et al., 2000). All of these factors affect rates of decomposition (Rapalee et al., 1998; Wickland et al., 2010; Dioumaeva et al., 2002; Wickland and Neff, 2008; Treat et al., 2014), losses due to combustion (Harden et al., 2002), and rates of mineralization (Bonan and Van Cleve, 1991; Valentine, 2006), with wetter sites having lower rates of C and N loss. Because litter inputs, litter quality, the presence of permafrost, and soil moisture and temperature all affect rates of C and N accumulation and vary among ecosystem types, it follows that rates of C and N accumulation also vary according to ecosystem type, with ecosystems with more labile litter and/or with warmer soil temperatures storing less C and N over the long-term.

Many accumulation studies focus on daily, seasonal, or annual timescales. These studies use either chamber or eddy covariance techniques to measure net ecosystem exchange (NEE). These short-term investigations have led to insights regarding the importance of water table to the net C and N budget (Chivers et al., 2009; Ise et al., 2008), the role of shallow soil layers in trace gas emissions (Wickland et al., 2010), and the importance of seasonal variations to the annual net C balance for various boreal ecosystems (Euskirchen et al., 2014). Additional insights into the drivers of C and N storage can be obtained by examining accumulation rates over longer time frames, such
as decades or centuries. Through such investigations we have learned how C accumulation rates increase as soil moisture increases (Rapalee et al., 1998), how N deposition increases C accumulation rates (Turunen et al., 2004), and how disturbances, such as fire (Pitkanen et al., 1999), reduce C and N accumulation rates.

To help our understanding of longer term C and N accumulation rates in a variety of boreal ecosystems, we compared soil-based C and N accumulation rates in five different ecosystems within Interior Alaska, each varying in soil moisture and dominant vegetation (black spruce, shrubs, tussock grass, sedge, or moss). These ecosystems were located along a moisture gradient, thereby controlling for factors such as parent material, climate, and topography, which influence soil formation (Jenny, 1941). We examined C and N accumulation rates on both decadal and century timescales to determine how the interaction of soil and vegetation influences these rates, and thus, C and N storage over time. Based on differences in soil temperature, soil moisture, and litter quality, we predicted that the black spruce ecosystem would have the lowest rate of C and N accumulation while the rich fen would have the highest rate of C and N accumulation, with the values of the other ecosystem's accumulation rates residing somewhere in between.

2 Methods

Study sites were located within the Bonanza Creek Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site (64.70°N, 148.31°W), approximately 30 km south-west of Fairbanks, Alaska, within the floodplain of the Tanana River. This region of Interior Alaska is characterized by a mean annual temperature of -7 °C and mean annual precipitation of 300 mm (Hinzman et al., 2006). We studied soils in five ecosystems located along a ~300-m transect, each of which were dominated by a different type of vegetation: 1) a closed-canopy black spruce forest with a feathermoss and Ericaceous shrub understory (hereafter “black spruce”), 2) a shrub system comprised of willow (Salix sp.) and birch (Betula sp.) with an understory dominated by Chamaedaphne calyculata and sparse moss cover (“shrub”), 3) a tussock grass system dominated by Calamagrostis canadensis with some brown mosses present (“tussock grass”), 4) a peatland dominated by emergent vegetation such as Equisetum sp. (“sedge”), and 5) a moss dominated rich fen, comprised of both Sphagnum sp. and brown mosses (“rich fen”). These ecosystems varied in moisture status related to water table and presence of permafrost (Table 1; Waldrop et al., 2012).
Three soil cores, encompassing all of the organic soil and extending into the mineral soil below, were collected at each site at randomly selected locations within an area less than ~10 m^2. Sampling for the black spruce and low shrub site occurred during the summer and samples were obtained using a combination of soil blocks cut to a known volume and using a ‘Makita’ coring device (4.8 cm diameter; Naider and Wein, 1998). Soil cores from the other three sites were obtained in the spring, when the ground was frozen, using a SIPRE corer (7.6 cm diameter; Rand and Mellor, 1985). Each soil profile was then divided into subsamples representing soil horizons. This separation occurred either in the field or, if frozen, in the lab, based on visual factors such as level of decomposition and root abundance. Each horizon sample was described using modified soil survey techniques (Manies et al., in review).

Soils horizon samples were processed in a three steps: first they were air dried (20-25 °C), then oven dried, and then ground. Soils classified as organics were oven dried at 65 °C and ground to 0.25 mm using a Cyclone mill (Udy Corporation., Ft. Collins, Colorado). Mineral soils were oven dried at 105 °C and ground using a mortar and pestle until the soil passed through a 60 mesh screen. Total C and N content was analyzed using a Carlo Erba 1500 Series 2 elemental analyzer (Fisons Instruments; Manies et al., in review). C and N stock inventories were calculated as the total amount of C or N within the profile to the organic/mineral soil boundary. Recent ages were determined by measuring 210Pb and 226Ra activities using gamma spectrometry by means of a Princeton Gamma HPGe germanium well detector using previously described methods (Van Metre and Fuller, 2009; Fuller and others, 1999). Total 210Pb activity was measured and is the combination of supported 210Pb (produced in situ through the decay of 226Ra in the soil) and unsupported 210Pb (produced in the atmosphere and added to the ecosystem through atmospheric deposition). Unsupported 210Pb was defined as the difference between measured total 210Pb and 226Ra. Horizon subsamples from each soil profile were measured until unsupported 210Pb was not detected. Unsupported 210Pb values were used to calculate dry mass accumulation rates (MAR, g cm^-2 yr^-1) for each soil horizon, from which dates of formation were calculated using both the Constant Flux: Constant Sedimentation method (CF:CS; Robbins, 1978) and Constant Rate of Supply method (CRS; Appleby and Oldfield, 1978). To account for compaction, both methods model unsupported 210Pb as a function of cumulative dry mass (g/cm^2) instead of depth. The CF:CS method is based on fitting the decrease in unsupported 210Pb with depth to a single exponential function based on decay, and thus, estimating an overall MAR by assuming a constant MAR through time. The CRS
method assumes a constant rate of input of unsupported $^{210}\text{Pb}$ activity per unit area and determines a mass accumulation rate for each soil horizon sampled by mass balance using the integrated unsupported activity of the whole profile and, thus, accounts for changes in MAR over time. The age of each sample interval is calculated from the resulting MAR from the surface downward. Uncertainty of the CRS MAR and resulting ages are derived from counting error, propagated from the top of the core downward (Binford, 1990; Van Metre and Fuller, 2009). As the soil profiles become deeper, and thus older, the total $^{210}\text{Pb}$ activity approaches the supported activity, with the difference (unsupported activity) becoming similar to or less than the uncertainty in the measurement (which is propagated from the top of the core downward (Binford, 1990; Van Metre and Fuller, 2009). At some point the magnitude of these errors become larger than the age estimated for that horizon (for example, the estimated age of the 19-22 cm horizon of BZBS 4 was 143 yrs old with an estimated error of 144 yrs; Table S1). This tends to occur for horizons dated older than 1920. To minimize these errors we constrained our decadal C accumulation rates to only include organic soil that had formed within the six decades previous to our sampling. Decadal C accumulation rates were calculated as the cumulative mass of C from the moss surface for the base of that soil horizon, divided by the age of this soil horizon using the CRS age, which is more appropriate for ecosystems with variable rates of accumulation (Appleby and Oldfield, 1978; MacKenzie et al., 2011).

We also dated macrofossils obtained from several soil horizons using AMS radiocarbon measurements for comparison to $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ages. (Suppl. Material S2). Additionally, bulk peat samples (roots removed) were submitted from the basal organic soil horizon to determine the timing of basal organic soil horizon formation. These samples were submitted to the USGS extraction laboratory (Reston, VA) for complete combustion and trapping of CO$_2$. Targets were prepared and submitted for accelerator mass spectrometry at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Resulting $^{14}$C data were corrected for $^{13}$C and then calibrated using CALIB v 7.0 (intcal13; Reimer et al., 2013), or, if they dated post-1950, CALIBomb (intcal13, NHZ1 curve extension). Long-term C accumulation rates were calculated as the amount of C within the organic soil profile divided by the age of that profile. Profile age was calculated as the average age obtained from the minimum and maximum $^{14}$C calibrated ages (Suppl. Table S2).
3 Results

3.1 Carbon, Nitrogen, and $^{210}$Pb Inventories

The rich fen site has significantly deeper organic soils than the other four sites ($p<0.001$), resulting in four or more times the amount of C and N than the other ecosystems (Table 2). Average unsupported $^{210}$Pb inventories (dpm/cm$^2$) for each of the five ecosystem types were statistically similar ($p=0.62$, Table 2). Whereas all the unsupported $^{210}$Pb was found in organic soil in most systems, between 10-15% of the unsupported $^{210}$Pb activity was found in the mineral soil horizons (2-4 cm thick horizons) for the tussock grass site. Because unsupported $^{210}$Pb is deposited on the organic soil surface bound to atmospheric aerosols and dust particles (Shotyk et al., 2015), we did not expect to find it in mineral soil layers. Its presence in mineral soil suggests that some of $^{210}$Pb bearing particles may be transported downward in the grass ecosystem. The potential downward movement of unsupported $^{210}$Pb would result in higher apparent CRS MAR and thus younger ages. Therefore, the tussock grass site was not included in the comparison of decadal accumulation rates.

3.2 $^{14}$C dates and dating methodology comparison

Age estimates from the base of the organic soil were similar for the shrub and sedge ecosystems (720 and 840 yrs cal BP). Because we did not have ages for the black spruce or grass ecosystem (due to sample size limitations) we averaged the ages measured for the shrub and sedge systems and used this value as the age of formation for all ecosystems with the exception of the rich fen. $^{14}$C dating of basal organics shows the rich fen is older, approximately 1400 years old. For samples with both $^{14}$C and $^{210}$Pb, the ages defined by each technique were in general agreement (Fig. 1). We expected the $^{14}$C dates to lie somewhere within the $^{210}$Pb estimates due to the fact that the macrofossils were obtained from a homogenized sample comprised of the material from an entire soil horizon and so could have formed at any time between when that soil horizon formed (the base) and the top of that horizon. In two instances the range of dates predicted using $^{14}$C was younger than the $^{210}$Pb based age estimates (Fig. 1: Shrub, 8.5-12.5 cm; Rich fen, 5-10 cm). Because the 5-10 cm rich fen $^{14}$C date is also older than the two samples below it (10-15 and 15-20 cm), this $^{14}$C date is likely not accurate. While there is also a mismatch between the two dating techniques for the 8.5-12.5 cm soil horizon for Shrub-1, the differences between the two is not large and adjusting our analyses to the $^{14}$C date does not change our results.
3.3 Decadal accumulation rates

Decadal C accumulation rates (< 60 yrs) calculated from $^{210}$Pb CRS MAR were not statistically different among sites (Table 3; p-value=0.21), although the shrub ecosystem had the highest rate and the black spruce had the lowest rate. Decadal rates ranged between 50 and 125 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. Variability within each ecosystem type was high (coefficient of variability: 12-60%). This variability is likely due to within-site heterogeneity, such as microtopography, changes in vegetation, and differences in belowground biomass. Decadal accumulation rates of the black spruce and rich fen ecosystems were similar to other literature values (Figure 2). N decadal accumulation rates ranged from 1.4 to 5.6 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Table 3). The black spruce ecosystem had significantly lower rates of N accumulation than the sedge and the rich fen ecosystems (p=0.004). The rich fen rate has higher decadal N accumulation rates (4.6 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) than values found for a Norwegian bog (0.6 - 2.1 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; Ohlson and Okland, 1998), but similar to rates found for a variety of fens (3.7 – 7.1 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; Trumbore et al., 1999).

3.4 Long-term accumulation rates

Long-term rates of C accumulation ranged from 8 to 44 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ across sites (Table 3). Variability was highest in the grass tussock sites, which had a coefficient of variability of 65%, versus 12-34% for the other ecosystems. Long-term rates of N accumulation ranged from 0.22 to 2.66 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Table 3) with the black spruce ecosystem having the lowest rate of long-term N accumulation. The shrub, tussock grass, and sedge ecosystems had similar rates of long-term N accumulation. The rich fen had significantly higher rates of N accumulation than the other ecosystems. The long-term N accumulation rate for the rich fen (2.66 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) is much higher than rates previously found for general peatlands (~0.5 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; Loisel et al., 2014; Limpens et al., 2006) and bogs (0.87 gN m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; Wang et al., 2014).

As expected, long-term C accumulation rates were lower than decadal rates for all ecosystems (Table 3; Fig. 2). This decline in C accumulation rates is consistent with trends found in chronosequence studies using gas flux (Baldocchi, 2008) and C stocks (Harden et al., 2012). However, the difference between long- and decadal rates in the rich fen was much smaller, indicating consistently high rates of C accumulation in this ecosystem (Table 3) and suggesting some mechanism exists for preserving this C over longer time scales. Long-term C accumulation rates for the rich fen are especially high compared to the other ecosystems (p<0.001), which were statistically similar.
(Table 3). Our long-term C accumulation rates for the rich fen are similar to other rates based on changes in C stock (Figure 2; Camill et al., 2009; Trumbore and Harden, 1997; Turunen et al., 2002).

4 Discussion

The ecosystems studied here have differed historically in their dominant vegetation, the presence or absence of permafrost, and depth to water table. Despite these differences in ecosystem structure, we found no significant, overall differences in decadal rates of soil C accumulation (Table 3). While inputs and losses of C into and from the soil system may vary across these ecosystems, the balance between C inputs and losses for surface soil layers has been relatively similar across the past 60 years. McConnell et al. (2013) measured ecosystem respiration (ER) at the same five ecosystems and found higher ER in the grass and sedge ecosystems (see also Waldrop et al., 2012), with the other three ecosystems having similar, lower ER; thus the grass and sedge also have higher rates of net primary production (NPP) and generally cycle C more rapidly than the other systems. Across all ecosystem types, the shallow organic soil layers, which have been created in the past six decades, sequestered an average of 84 ± 42 gC m−2 yr−1.

Carbon inputs and losses also balance out similarly over the long-term for all of the ecosystems we studied except the rich fen, which had greater long-term C accumulation rates than the other ecosystems (44 ± 5 gC m−2 yr−1; Table 3). The similarity in long-term C accumulation rates of the black spruce, shrub, grass, and sedge ecosystems (14 ± 5 gC m−2 yr−1) was initially surprising, as we expected the small, although not statistically significant, differences in the decadal C accumulation rates to add up over time, resulting in some significant differences in long-term accumulation. In hindsight, however, this result makes sense, as the total C storage found in the organic soils of these four ecosystems are statistically similar (Table 2). These results again demonstrate that even if the magnitude of biogeochemical cycling is different between these four sites, the overall balance between C inputs and losses are the same. These four ecosystems fall along the same ER – soil temperature relationship (McConnell et al., 2013), suggesting that soil temperature may be one of the main drivers of C cycling for these sites.

Nitrogen accumulation rates have been studied much less frequently than rates of C accumulation (e.g., Loisel et al., 2014). The long-term N accumulation rate for this rich fen found in this study (2.66 g N m−2 yr−1) is five times higher than the 0.5 g N m−2 yr−1 estimated by Loisel et al. (2014). Two factors likely contributed to this discrepancy.
First, Loisel et al. (2014) include a wide range of peatland sites, including bogs, fens, and permafrost peatlands. Methodologically, they also used time-dependent C:N ratios of 65 and 40 to assign % N values for their soil horizons, resulting in an average % N value that never exceeds 1.7 %. The average % N value for our rich fen organic soil horizons was 2.4 %, resulting in an average C:N ratio of 17 (Fig S1). Our data, in conjunction with the variability found around fen C:N values by Treat et al. (2015; 29 +/- 15), show that these C:N ratios can be much lower than what Loisel et al. (2014) used. Therefore, accurate estimation of accurate N accumulation rates requires measurement of both C and N for samples.

The higher long-term C accumulation rate for the rich fen compared to the other ecosystems suggests that long-term C cycling is fundamentally different in the rich fen. The rich fen has significant deeper soil (peat; 91 cm vs 30 cm or less for the other ecosystems). Mechanisms for C sequestration within this organic soil could be related to (1) higher inputs into deep soil, from processes such as rooting, (2) less decomposable substrates which in turn reduces C losses, and/or (3) environmental conditions (i.e., soil temperature, oxygen availability) that reduce decomposition losses. First, we examined rooting depth for each of the ecosystems. Descriptions of the rich fen soil cores (Manies et al., in review) show that live roots are found throughout the 90 cm organic soil profile, which is significantly deeper than the other four ecosystems (Table 1). Therefore, input of C into the deep soil from roots is one possible mechanism for the larger amount of long-term C found at the rich fen. Second, we examined the C chemistry, or “quality”, based on the organic soil C:N (Schädel et al., 2014). Lower C:N indicates substrate that has undergone more decomposition and, therefore, would likely be comprised of more recalcitrant material. A comparison of surface C:N (< 20 cm) shows that the fen system has lower C:N than the black spruce or shrub ecosystems, but similar values to the grass and sedge ecosystems (Figure S1). This same pattern holds true for deeper soil layers (> 20 cm; Figure S1, note that the sedge site does not have organic soil deeper than 20 cm).

More decomposable material could also be reflected in higher ER rates. However, McConnell et al. (2013) found that ER at the black spruce, shrub, and rich fen sites were statistically similar. Therefore, differences in decomposable substrates likely do not play an important role in supporting deep soil C storage at the rich fen. Finally, we examined differences in environmental conditions, such as temperature and oxygen availability between the fen and other sites. Colder soil temperatures at depth at the rich fen could create slower rates of C cycling due to thermal protection. However, the rich fen site has warmer summer and annual soil temperatures at
both 10 and 25 cm (Table 1), and the soil temperature is above freezing even at depth (there is no shallow permafrost at this site). Therefore, preservation of C by thermal protection likely is not a contributor to the large amount of organic soil in this ecosystem. Another mechanism for reducing rates of C cycling is oxygen availability. The rich fen ecosystem has the shallowest water table (Table 1) and McConnell et al. (2013) found decreasing Q₁₀ values with shallower water tables at these sites, suggesting that limited oxygen availability at the rich fen plays a dominant role in the protection of its deep C. Using average annual growth rates for the last 60 years, we found that surface organics at rich fen become submerged in two decades, while it takes the surface material of the other ecosystems 40-90 years to reach the water table. Therefore, the rich fen organics are exposed to oxygen limiting conditions much more quickly than the other ecosystems.

Long-term C and N accumulation rates are also impacted by long-term factors, such as disturbance. The main disturbance in the boreal region is fire (Zoltai et al., 1998; Turetsky et al., 2011), which impacts the boreal C and N cycles directly through emissions and indirectly via decreasing albedo (Ueyama et al., 2014), removing insulating organic soil layers (Pastick et al., 2014), and decreasing soil moisture (Carrasco et al., 2006), all of which impact decomposition rates. Because the rich fen has a shallower water table than the other ecosystems (Table 1), this ecosystem is less likely to burn (Zoltai et al., 1998; Camill et al., 2009; Harden et al., 2000), even in dry years, and less severely if it does burn (Camill et al., 2009; Harden et al., 2000). Therefore, while the other ecosystems likely experienced many fires over the last several millennia, these fire events had a much smaller, if any, impact on C and N loss from the rich fen.

Decadal and long-term C accumulation rates can be used to constrain C accumulation rates as measured by eddy covariance flux towers. Euskirchen et al. (2014) examined annual C accumulation rates in 2012 and 2013 at this same rich fen location and found C accumulation rates of 36 and 127 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively. By comparison, our C accumulation rates ranged from 76 and 44 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ (short- and long-term rates, respectively). The tower based net ecosystem exchange (NEE) in 2013 is 1.5 and 3 times higher than the decadal and long-term C accumulation rates found in this study, respectively, while the 2012 NEE rate is lower than both rates. As our decadal rates are averaged over the last six decades, this discrepancy suggests that the large C loss values Euskirchen et al. (2014) found in 2013 cannot be sustained over decades. Interannual variations in NEE for boreal systems are influenced by the length of the snow free season, soil temperature, light limitation (i.e., cloudiness), and changes in water
table (Baldocchi, 2008). If tower measurements were continued over a longer time period we would expect high variability in annual NEE values and those values to be based on that year’s weather conditions. Based on our decadal C accumulation rates years of high net C accumulation, like 2013, should be balanced out with years of net loss or low C accumulation to equal decadal rates from core profiles.

Future changes to Interior Alaska’s climate are likely to affect C and N accumulation rates of the ecosystems studied here differently. Increases in air temperatures (Hinzman et al., 2005) are likely to increase ER at the black spruce, shrub, grass and sedge ecosystems, based on findings by McConnell et al. (2013). This change will, in turn, reduce the decadal C accumulation rates of these ecosystems. However, climate induced shifts from vegetation from one ecosystem type to another among the four similar ecosystems should not impact either short- or long-term C accumulation rates, as we found similar rates among these four ecosystem types. Therefore, shifts between these ecosystem types likely should not impact the regional C budget. This statement assumes, however, that any changes in climate influence the balance between C inputs and losses equally among ecosystems. Projected increases in fire severity and frequency (Turetsky et al., 2011) will also impact C accumulation rates, especially on the long-term. In contrast, rich fens are more likely to sustain their C and N accumulation rates as long as water tables are maintained as this high water table appears to diminish decomposition and reduce disturbance, thereby helping the rich fen maintain its C and N stocks. However, the magnitude of the rate can be expected to be quite variable from year-to-year (Euskirchen et al., 2014; Baldocchi, 2008). The C and N balance of rich fens are like to be significantly impacted only if there are dramatic drops in water table (Waddington et al., 2014), which would require large changes to both the precipitation regime and subsurface hydrology (i.e., input sources of water), thereby increasing the ability of the rich fen to burn and decreasing the anoxic conditions which appear, both of which appear to be important in maintain the large C and N stocks of this site.

5 Conclusions

This study provides C and N accumulation rates for a variety of northern ecosystems, many which previously had little or no data available. Knowing rates of C and N accumulation in these five ecosystems will aid in the understanding of and ability to model their C & N cycles. For example, the overall C balance for four of the five ecosystems were similar, even though inputs and losses are different, despite differences in dominant vegetation,
presence or absence of near-surface permafrost, and depth to water table. The significantly higher long-term C & N accumulation rates at the rich fen support the idea that that long-term biogeochemical cycling in this ecosystem is different. We hypothesize that the black spruce, shrub, tussock grass, and sedge ecosystems experience more wildfires than the rich fen site, reducing their ability to preserve C and N over the long-term. Additionally, C cycling in the rich fen ecosystem appears to be driven by different biogeochemical processes (such as lower oxygen availability) which results in the annual C balance of the rich fen more likely being a net C sink, thereby increasing long-term C accumulation rates. Climate change may increase rates of disturbance and soil temperatures for the non-rich fen ecosystems, impacting C and N accumulation rates. However, shifts from one ecosystem type to another among these four ecosystems would not impact regional C budgets. Our data also suggest that climate change is less likely to significantly impact C budgets at the rich fen, as large changes in rich fen C accumulation rates would only occur if there is a dramatic drop in water table, which would require large changes to both the precipitation regime and subsurface hydrology.
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Table 1. Site biological, physical, and chemical information. Depth of organic soil, based on three soil cores, are averages with standard deviations. July temperatures are averaged for 2005-2011. Water table depth from measurements after July 15 for the years 2005-2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dominant vegetation</th>
<th>Black spruce</th>
<th>Shrub</th>
<th>Tussock grass</th>
<th>Sedge</th>
<th>Brown Moss/Rich fen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth of organic soil (cm)</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>30 ± 15</td>
<td>29 ± 22</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>91 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow permafrost (&lt;1 m)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. July temperature at 10 cm (°C) \textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>15.8 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. July temperature at 25 cm (°C) \textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>7.9 ± 3.1</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. annual temperature at 25 cm (°C) \textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 1.5</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 4.0</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 5.3</td>
<td>2.1 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water table depth (cm)</td>
<td>34 ± 6</td>
<td>12 ± 7</td>
<td>15 ± 13</td>
<td>11 ± 12</td>
<td>5 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture (% VMC at 5 cm) \textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
<td>57 ± 8</td>
<td>66 ± 8</td>
<td>72 ± 7</td>
<td>84 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}2005-2011 \textsuperscript{b}McConnell et al.
Table 2. Site C (g/m²), N (g/m²), and ²¹⁰Pb (dpm/cm²) storage data. All data are averages of three cores with standard deviations. Letters after values indicate if sites are statistically different based on the Tukey Honest Significant Difference test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem</th>
<th>C storage in organic soil (g/m²)</th>
<th>N storage in organic soil (g/m²)</th>
<th>Unsupported ²¹⁰Pb (dpm/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black spruce</td>
<td>6460 ± 940</td>
<td>170 ± 20</td>
<td>12.7 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>14140 ± 4850</td>
<td>700 ± 150</td>
<td>10.7 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tussock grass</td>
<td>13950 ± 9130</td>
<td>940 ± 540</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedge</td>
<td>7930 ± 1930</td>
<td>610 ± 120</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Moss/Rich fen</td>
<td>6150 ± 7290</td>
<td>3690 ± 190</td>
<td>10.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Decadal (< 60 yrs) and long-term (780-1400 yrs) C and N accumulation rates (g m⁻² yr⁻¹) with their standard deviations. Letters indicate significant differences among ecosystems based on Tukey Honest Significant Difference test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem</th>
<th>Decadal C accumulation rate (gC m⁻² yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Long-term C accumulation rate (gC m⁻² yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Decadal N accumulation rate (gN m⁻² yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Long-term N accumulation rate (gN m⁻² yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Short:long C ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black spruce</td>
<td>59 ± 13</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>127 ± 73</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.19</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tussock grass</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18 ± 12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedge</td>
<td>73 ± 9</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.16</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich fen</td>
<td>76 ± 9</td>
<td>44 ± 5</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Comparison of $^{210}$Pb and $^{14}$C ages for depth increments where both analyses are available. The material dated for $^{14}$C ages was deciduous leaf fragments (Shrub samples), seeds (Sedge sample), or moss leaves and seeds (Fen samples). The $^{210}$Pb values listed include estimated error.
Figure 2. A comparison of organic soil C accumulation rates for fen and black spruce systems for this study (open symbols) and values found in the literature (solid symbols). Rates were calculated over many different time-spans (annual to millennial). Errors (where available) are standard deviations. Literature values from Aurela et al. (2004), Aurela et al. (2009), Bauer et al. (2009), Camill et al. (2009), Dunn et al. (2007), Euskirchen et al. (2014), Harden et al. (2012), Mathijssen et al. (2014), Oksanen et al. (2006), Turunen et al. (2002), Trumbore and Harden (1997), Yu et al. (2003) and Yu et al. (2013).