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Abstract
Radiocarbon ($^{14}$C) analysis is an important tool that can provide information on the dynamics 
of organic matter in soils. Radiocarbon concentrations of soil organic matter (SOM) however, 
reflect the heterogeneous mixture of various organic compounds and are affected by different 
chemical, biological, and physical soil parameters. These parameters can vary strongly in soil 
profiles and thus affect the spatial distribution of the apparent $^{14}$C age of SOM considerably. 
The heterogeneity of SOM and its $^{14}$C signature may be even larger in subsoil horizons, which 
are thought to receive organic carbon inputs following preferential pathways. This will bias 
conclusions drawn from $^{14}$C analyses of individual soil profiles considerably. We thus 
investigated important soil parameters, which may influence the $^{14}$C distribution of SOM as 
well as the spatial heterogeneity of $^{14}$C distributions in soil profiles. The suspected strong 
heterogeneity and spatial variability, respectively of bulk SOM is confirmed by the variable $^{14}$C 
distribution in three 185 cm deep profiles in a Dystric Cambisol. The $^{14}$C contents are most
variable in the C horizons because of large differences in the abundance of roots there. The distribution of root biomass and necromass and its organic carbon input is the most important factor affecting the $^{14}$C distribution of bulk SOM. The distance of the soil profiles to a beech did not influence the horizontal and vertical distribution of roots and $^{14}$C concentrations. Other parameters were found to be of minor importance including microbial biomass-derived carbon and soil texture. The microbial biomass however, may promote a faster turnover of SOM at hot spots resulting in lower $^{14}$C concentration there. Soil texture had no statistically significant influence on the spatial $^{14}$C distribution of bulk SOM. However, SOM in fine silt and clay sized particles (<6.3 µm) yields slightly higher $^{14}$C concentrations than bulk SOM particularly at greater soil depth, which is in contrast to previous studies where silt and clay fractions contained older SOM stabilized by organo-mineral interaction. $^{14}$C contents of fine silt and clay correlate with the microbial biomass-derived carbon suggesting a considerable contribution of microbial-derived organic carbon. In conclusion, $^{14}$C analyses of bulk SOM mainly reflect the spatial distribution of roots, which is strongly variable even on a small spatial scale of few meters. This finding should be considered when using $^{14}$C analysis to determine SOM.
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1. Introduction

Radiocarbon analysis is a helpful tool to determine the dynamics of organic matter in soils as it provides a direct measure of the time elapsed since atmospheric CO$_2$ was fixed by plants through photosynthesis (Trumbore, 2009). However, soil organic matter (SOM) is a complex mixture of organic components derived from different sources at various stages of decomposition (Rethemeyer et al., 2004; Trumbore and Zheng, 1996). Consequently, $^{14}$C concentrations of SOM reflect the average composition and apparent mean residence time (MRT), respectively of a wide range of compounds turning over on different time scales. The $^{14}$C content of SOM is affected by various soil parameters most importantly by the input of carbon from plant litter and roots. Other important factors influencing SOM dynamics and thus $^{14}$C contents include physical parameters such as soil texture, various chemical parameters like pH, moisture, nutrients, and biological factors such as the presence of roots and the microorganisms. These factors can vary strongly in soil horizons (Don et al., 2007; Enowashu et al., 2009; Kramer et al., 2013; Schöning et al., 2006b) which is supposed to result in a significant spatial variability in $^{14}$C contents of SOM.
The heterogeneity of SOM is suggested to increase with increasing soil depth. In contrast to surface soils where the input of organic carbon (OC) derived mainly from fresh plant litter, subsoil horizons receive OC mainly from root biomass (Rasse et al., 2005), dissolved organic matter (Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2000), and particulate organic matter transported downward by physical and/or biological processes (Don et al., 2008). The transport of the OC into deeper horizons was found to follow preferential flow paths like root channels and animal burrows (Bundt et al., 2001; Chabbi et al., 2009; Don et al., 2008), which results in a considerable spatial heterogeneity. Thus, 14C contents in subsoil are supposed to vary much stronger on a small spatial scale compared to topsoil. Because of the expense of 14C analysis this has not yet been investigated and most studies rely on 14C analyses of single soil profiles (e.g. Eusterhues et al., 2005, 2007; Rumpel et al., 2002, 2004).

Beside a stronger spatial heterogeneity, the turnover of SOM is reduced considerably at greater soil depth as suggested by strongly decreasing 14C concentrations in subsoil horizons (e.g. Eusterhues et al., 2005, 2007; Rumpel and Kögel-Knabner, 2011; Rumpel et al., 2002, 2004; Torn et al., 1997). Until now it is not well understood if the low 14C concentrations in subsoils reflect the accumulation of chemically more refractory organic compounds (Eusterhues et al., 2007), the stabilization of SOM by organo-mineral interaction (Salomé et al., 2010; Schöning and Kögel-Knabner, 2006) or a lower abundance of microbial biomass and a resulting reduced SOM turnover (Fierer et al., 2003; Salomé et al. 2010). Several previous studies suggest that in subsoils the interaction of SOM with the mineral soil matrix is the most important process controlling the increase in the apparent 14C age of SOM with depth rather than the accumulation of degradation resistant compounds. For example Eusterhues et al. (2005) and Mikutta et al. (2006) have shown that OC adsorbed to iron and aluminium oxides and/or clay minerals is several hundred to thousand years older than bulk OC. However, results of Fontaine et al. (2007) suggest that the content of such soil minerals increases only little with depth, which could not explain the large shift in MRT from years to several thousand years (in 0-20 vs. 60-80 cm) observed in this study. The slow turnover of OC thus was assumed to be a result of the significant reduction of the microbial biomass at greater depth. This was also shown by Fierer et al. (2003) who found that the microbial communities inhabiting deeper soil horizons are more carbon limited than those in the surface soil. Thus, the low abundance of the microbial biomass and the lack of fresh substrate may significantly reduce OC turnover in deeper soil horizons promoting high apparent 14C ages. As roots were found to introduce relatively fresh OC into deeper soil horizons, the substrate limitation and the associated slow OC turnover is supposed to be absent near roots resulting in relatively young apparent 14C ages (Chabbi et al., 2009).
Our study was designed to clarify the driving factors for the spatial heterogeneity of $^{14}$C contents of the organic matter in subsoils. Two main aspects were investigated including a) the analysis of different soil parameters that may affect the $^{14}$C distribution in subsoil profiles, and b) the spatial heterogeneity of SOM along a 3.15 m long transect increasing in distance to a beech. The latter makes it possible to investigate the spatial effect of the vegetation, most importantly the distribution of roots, on the distribution of OC and its $^{14}$C content. The analysed soil parameters, which may have a significant influence on the $^{14}$C depth distribution include biological (root biomass, microbial biomass), chemical (OC and N content, C/N ratio), and physical variables (particle size distribution), which were measured in three profiles along the transect. The influence of the different soil parameter given above on the $^{14}$C distribution of SOM was evaluated using principle component analysis (PCA).

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Site description and sampling

The study site is located in the Grinderwald in Northern Germany about 40 km north-west of Hannover (52°34′22.115 N, 9°18′49.762 E). The beech forest (Fagus sylvatica L.) was established in 1916. The mean annual precipitation is 762 mm and the mean temperature in the period 1981 - 2010 was 9.7 °C measured by the German meteorological service monitoring station (station Nienburg). The soil is classified as Dystric Cambisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014) developed on Pleistocene (Saale Glacial) melt-water deposits (Jordan, 1980) with an acidic pH (3.4 - 4.5) and a mainly sandy texture (77.3 % sand, 18.4 % silt, and 4.4 % clay).

Three profiles were sampled on a 3.15 m long and 1.85 m deep transect increasing in distance to a beech (profile A: 0 cm, D: 135 cm, and G: 270 cm). Samples were taken from seven soil depths (10, 35, 60, 85, 110, 135, and 160 cm) below the A horizon (Fig. 1). All samples, with the exception of samples used for density and particle size fractionation, were sieved <2 mm and freeze-dried prior to analysis.

2.2 Chemical parameters

Carbon and nitrogen contents were analyzed by dry combustion using elemental analyzer (bulk soil samples: VARIO MAX CNS Elementar Analysensysteme, Hanau, Germany; silt and clay
fraction: EA3000 CHNS-O Analysis, EuroVector, Milan, Italy). Since the soil contained no inorganic carbon, carbon contents are equivalent to total organic carbon contents.

2.3 Biological parameters

2.3.1 Root biomass

All samples were soaked in water and cleaned from soil residues using a sieve of 0.25 mm mesh size. Fine roots (≤2 mm diameter) longer than 10 mm were extracted manually with tweezers and subsequently inspected under a stereomicroscope. Living (biomass) and dead fine roots (necromass) were distinguished by root surface and periderm color, tissue elasticity, cohesion of cortex, and periderm and stele (e.g. Hertel et al., 2013). The separated fine root biomass and necromass was dried at 70 °C for 48 h and weighed. While this method displays fine root biomass with sufficient accuracy, the negligence of root fragments <10 mm length may lead to an underestimation of fine root necromass. Therefore, the mass of dead fine roots was corrected for this smaller root fraction by extrapolation using soil depth-specific regression equations that relate the mass of small dead roots <10 mm length to that of large dead roots >10 mm length. These regression equations were established for other samples from the same site by analyzing the mass of small dead roots following a method introduced by van Praag et al. (1988) and Hertel (1999).

In this study the results of the root biomass and necromass were combined (root mass), since no significant differences in \(^{14}\)C contents of root biomass and root necromass were expected because the forest was established in 1916. Moreover, results of previous studies show that both living and dead fine root biomass has similar \(^{14}\)C signature in soil profiles (Gaudinski et al., 2001; Gaul et al., 2009).

2.3.2 Microbial biomass carbon

The microbial biomass carbon (C\(_{\text{mic}}\)) was determined using the chloroform fumigation extraction (CFE) method (Vance et al., 1987). Briefly, ethanol-free chloroform was used to fumigate fresh soil of 10 g for 24 h. After removing the chloroform, 40 ml of 0.5 M K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution was added to the soil, which was shaken for 30 min on a horizontal shaker at 250 rev min\(^{-1}\) and centrifuged for 30 min at 4420 x g. A second subsample was treated similarly but without fumigation. OC concentrations in the supernatants are measured using a TOC-TNb
Analyzer Multi-N/C 2100S (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). 200 µl of 1 M HCl was added to the dilutions to remove inorganic C. Finally C_mic was calculated from the difference between OC of the fumigated and the not fumigated samples using a conversion factor (k_EC) of 0.45 (Joergensen, 1996). Additionally the ratio of C_mic to the total OC content in percentage was determined to obtain information on the microbial abundance (Agnelli et al., 2004; Anderson and Domsch, 1989; Bauhus and Khanna, 1999).

2.4 Particle size parameters

The density and particle size fractionations were performed with 30 g soil according to Angst et al. (2016). First, the soil samples were saturated with a sodium polytungstate solution (TC Tungsten compounds, Germany) with a density of 1.8 g cm⁻³, which was subjected to sonication (600 J ml⁻¹) to break up soil aggregates and release particulate organic matter occluded in soil aggregates (oPOM). After sonication the POM fraction was removed using a water jet pump. The remaining mineral residue was repeatedly washed with de-ionized water until the conductivity of the eluted water was below 50 µs and then wet sieved to obtain the combined coarse and medium sand (200-2000 µm), fine sand (63-200 µm), and coarse silt (20-63 µm) fractions. The mineral soil that passed through all three sieves, i.e. the medium silt, fine silt and clay fraction, was subjected to sedimentation to separate the medium silt (6.3-20 µm) from the combined fine silt and clay fraction (<6.3 µm). All fractions were freeze-dried for further analysis. The density and particle size fractionations were performed on samples down to 110 cm depth.

2.5 Radiocarbon analysis

Radiocarbon analysis was performed on bulk SOM and on the fine silt and clay fraction (<6.3 µm). Prior to the analysis, visible plant residues were removed from the bulk soil under a microscope using tweezers. All samples were treated using a modified protocol according to Rethemeyer et al. (2013). Briefly, all samples were extracted with 0.5 % HCl (instead of 1 % HCl) first for one hour at 60 °C and then over night at room temperature. HCl was removed by washing with Milli-Q water. After drying, the samples were combusted and graphitized using an elemental analyser for sample combustion (Rethemeyer et al., 2013), which limits the amount of sample that can be weight into the 8 x 8 x 15 mm small tin boats. 14C contents were measured on a 6 MV Tandetron AMS (HVE, The Netherlands) at the University of Cologne.
(Dewald et al., 2013). The results of the $^{14}$C measurements are reported in percent modern carbon (0 pMC, related to 1950) with one-sigma uncertainties.

### 2.6 Statistical methods

The correlation between all soil parameters was analysed using a PCA performed with the software PAST 3.06 for Windows (Hammer et al., 2001). The data set was reduced to 14 samples (profiles A and D: 10-110 cm, profile G: 10-60 cm, and 110 cm) by removing those with missing values of some soil parameters. The Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to ensure that all soil profiles (A, D, and G) of the sampling site originated from the same population (significant when $p <$0.05). If necessary, the variables were transformed to ensure their normal distribution, which was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test (significant when $p <$0.01). The statistical tests were performed using R 3.2.0 software (R Core Team, 2015). All measured parameters were standardized (centered and scaled) to ensure their comparability. Furthermore, the average and absolute deviation (range) of $^{14}$C values (bulk OC and silt & clay fraction) including measurement errors were calculated from the three soil profiles. These data reflect the combined variability at each sampling depth of the three soil profiles (A, D, and G).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Elemental composition of SOM

In each soil profile of the transect OC contents decrease significantly with increasing soil depth from maximal values of 1.54 and 1.69 % in the Bsv horizons (10 cm) of the three profiles to minimal values of 0.02 % (Supplement Tab. S1; Fig. 2). OC contents decrease strongly below the Bsv horizon to values of 0.49 - 0.71 % at 35 cm depth (Bv horizon). At 60 cm depth (Cv horizon) the OC contents are even lower ranging between 0.09 to 0.26 %, with highest values in the profile closest to the beech. In the IIICv horizon at 85 to 110 cm soil depth OC contents are extremely low with values between 0.04 and 0.17 % which show no clear relation to the distance from the tree. OC contents increase slightly to 0.26 % in the IIICv horizon at 160 cm depth in profile A close to the beech. This trend is also observable in profile D with 0.13 % OC at 135 and 160 cm and in profile G at 135 cm depth (0.17 % OC).

The N contents (Supplement Tab. S1) show a comparable depth distribution to the OC contents with values ranging from 0.002 to 0.072 %. These OC and N distributions result in C/N ratios...
in the range of 7 to 28 which decrease with increasing depth in the profiles D and G, whereas C/N ratios in profile A (closest to the beech) scatter strongly in a range of 10 to 28.

3.2 Biological parameters

The biological parameters, which were analysed include root biomass and necromass and microbial biomass-derived carbon (Supplement Tab. S1, Fig. 2). The root mass density is highest in the uppermost Bv and Bsv horizons and varies between 0.9 and 2.5 g l⁻¹ soil. In the uppermost horizon (at 10 cm depth) root mass decreases with increasing distance from the beech stem from 1.2 to 2.5 g l⁻¹ soil. At greater depth, no trend related to distance from the beech could be observed. No roots could be determined in the IICv horizon at 85 to 110 cm depth, whereas at greater depth (>110 cm depth) root masses of 0.4 to 1.0 g l⁻¹ soil are present.

C_mic contents are highest in the uppermost Bsv horizon (10 cm). In the Bsv horizon C_mic increases by about 49 % with increasing distance to the beech (from 105 to 216 µg g⁻¹ dry weight - DW; Supplement Tab. S1, Fig. 2). C_mic contents decrease strongly in the Bv and Cv horizon and show a considerable spatial variability in some soil profiles. The strongest variability is observed in profile D where C_mic contents decline from 203 to 14 µg g⁻¹ DW in 35 to 60 cm depth, then increase in 85 cm (125 µg g⁻¹ DW), and stay constant at relatively low concentration (19-26 µg g⁻¹ DW) in 110 to 160 cm depth. This variability is not obviously related to other soil parameters investigated. In profile A, closest to the beech, C_mic concentration decline gradually (from 105 to 5 µg g⁻¹ DW) in 10 to 85 cm depth and increase slightly in 110 and 135 cm depth before declining again. In profile G, most distant from the tree, C_mic contents decrease strongly in 10 to 35 cm but slightly increase again in 135 cm.

The contribution of the microbial biomass to SOM - as an indicator of SOM quality and availability (Anderson and Domsch, 1989; Sparling, 1992) - was determined by the C_mic/OC ratio (Tab. 1). This ratio ranges between 0.6 and 3.3 % in the two B horizons (5-45 cm), which is well in the range of values determined in temperate regions for which data are available (0-30 cm; Serna-Chavez et al., 2013). The C_mic/OC ratio increases with increasing distance of the tree only in the Bv horizon while in the IICv and IIICv horizons at 110 and 135 cm depth values decrease with increasing distance to the beech. In the five Cv horizons from each profile investigated the C_mic/OC ratio is more variable (0.2-23.6 %). Very high ratios of 10.1 and 23.6 % were determined in profiles D at 85 cm depth and profile A at 135 cm depth reflecting a high abundance of microbial biomass relative to soil OC.
3.3 Particle size distribution

The grain size distribution, which was analysed using the protocol described in chapter 2.4, was measured down to 110 cm soil depth shows considerable differences in the distribution of the sand and coarse silt fractions in the three profiles. While the medium and the fine silt and clay fraction decrease or stay constant with depth in profiles A, D, and G (Supplement Tab. S1, Fig. 2), the fine sand fraction strongly increases from 209 and 228 g kg⁻¹ soil in 10 cm to 654 and 836 g kg⁻¹ soil in 110 cm depth. Coarse and medium sand contents show a decreasing trend with depth in all profiles. The coarse silt fraction is more variable in the three profiles in the range of 118 to 224 g kg⁻¹ soil with highest contents in the B horizons (10 and 35 cm). Coarse silt contents are lowest in 85 and 110 cm (except in profile A) with 18 to 93 g kg⁻¹ soil. Medium silt and fine silt plus clay contents decrease with increasing depth in all profiles. The medium silt contents range from 15 to 122 g kg⁻¹ soil and those of the fine silt and clay fraction from 25 to 66 g kg⁻¹ soil.

3.4 Radiocarbon contents in soil profiles

¹⁴C contents of bulk OC vary in the range of 32.6 to 105.0 pMC (Supplement Tab. S1, Fig. 2), which is equivalent to apparent ¹⁴C ages of modern (post 1950, containing bomb-¹⁴C) to 9000 years BP. Concentrations decrease in all profiles in 10 to 60 cm soil depth (except in 35 cm of profile D) but stay constant or increase at greater depth. Similar to the distribution of the root mass, ¹⁴C contents decrease in profile A in 10 to 135 cm from 101.6 to 46.0 pMC, but increase again at the lowermost sampling depth of 160 cm to 85.5 pMC. In profile D ¹⁴C contents decrease strongest in 10 to 85 cm depth from 100.1 to 32.6 pMC. The large drop in ¹⁴C at 85 cm depth is related to strong increase of coarse and medium sized sand and decrease of coarse silt. In 110 to 160 cm depth, ¹⁴C contents rise again from 60.5 to 66.5 pMC parallel to increasing amounts of root mass. In profile G ¹⁴C contents decrease in 10 to 110 cm depth from 100.9 to 49.6 pMC, increase again at 135 cm (71.8 pMC) before they drop to 48.7 pMC at 160 cm related also to the distribution of the root mass at these depths.

The ¹⁴C contents of the combined fine silt and clay fraction (<6.3 µm) show decreasing values with increasing depth in all soil profiles. In the B horizons (10 to 35 cm) the ¹⁴C concentrations are slightly lower or nearly equal to bulk OC. In the C horizons (below 35 cm) this fine fraction yields higher ¹⁴C concentration than bulk OC which decrease continuously to lowest values of 59.8 to 67.3 pMC at 110 cm, the lowest depth analysed. This indicates a higher contribution of younger SOM to this fraction with increasing depth.
For each sampling depth of profile A, D, and G average $^{14}$C values of bulk OC and of the fine silt and clay fraction and their absolute deviation (including measurement uncertainties, see 2.6) were calculated (Tab. 2). The aim of this approach was to derive information on the spatial variability of $^{14}$C contents in the different sampling intervals, i.e. soil horizons. These average $^{14}$C contents of bulk OC decrease in 10 to 85 cm from 100.9 to 52.5 pMC and slightly increase in 110 to 160 cm soil depth from 55.9 to 66.9 pMC. The absolute deviation of these average $^{14}$C contents increase strongly with increasing depth from ±1.2 (10 cm) to ±20.5 pMC (85 cm), with one exception in 110 cm (±6.5 pMC). The highest variability of $^{14}$C contents can be observed in the C horizons.

The average $^{14}$C contents of the fine silt plus clay fraction decrease less pronounced with increasing depth (from 100.0 to 62.6 pMC) than that of bulk OC. The absolute deviation is much lower compared to that of bulk OC ranging from ±1.1 (60 cm) to ±5.5 (85 cm) and showing no trend related to soil depth.

3.5 Principle component analysis (PCA)

A PCA was performed to evaluate the correlation and therefore the influence of the different soil parameter on each other. The two principle components (PC) explain in summary 84.2 % of the data variation (PC 1 = 70.0 % and PC 2 = 14.2 %; Fig. 3). All parameters, with the exception of the sand fractions, are positively correlated with PC 1. These parameters all promote high soil OC contents including N content, root mass, C$_{mic}$, and silt and clay size fractions. Parameters correlate with $^{14}$C of bulk OC include the coarse silt fraction, which shows a strong positively correlation followed by N content < root mass < OC content < medium silt fraction. C$_{mic}$ seems to have a smaller effect on the $^{14}$C of bulk OC but is more closely related to $^{14}$C of the silt and clay fraction. The $^{14}$C content this fraction also correlates positively with the medium silt fraction < OC content < root mass < N content, and the coarse silt fraction. PC 2 is strongly affected by the sand content. The negative correlation with the coarse and medium sand fraction and the positive relation to the fine sand fraction suggests that PC 2 represents the coarse and organic poor mineral soil matrix.

4. Discussion

4.1 Influence of root-derived OC on $^{14}$C distribution
The depth distribution of $^{14}$C contents of SOM is assumed to be significantly affected by the input of plant-derived OC as the dominant carbon source of SOM. While OC contents in surface soils are largely controlled by the input of aboveground plant litter, subsoils receive OC mainly from root biomass and to a minor extent from particulate and dissolved OC transported through the soil profile (Baisden and Parfitt, 2007; Chabbi et al., 2009; Fröberg et al., 2007, 2009; Rasse et al., 2005). Roots were found to introduce relatively fresh OC into deep soil horizons with $>_{\text{modern}}$ $^{14}$C contents equivalent to <20 years (Gaudinski et al., 2001; Gaul et al., 2009; Trumbore et al., 2006). This can cause rejuvenation effects of SOM, i.e. lead to younger apparent $^{14}$C ages even at greater soil depth, which is particularly important near root channels (Bundt et al., 2001; Chabbi et al., 2009).

The importance of roots as an OC source to SOM is confirmed by the strong positive correlation of OC contents with the distribution of the root mass in the three soil profiles (Fig. 2 and 3). However, the highest root mass was determined in the uppermost subsoil horizons, the Bsv (5-15 cm) and Bv horizon (15-45 cm). In the C horizons below (45-160 cm), the root mass per soil volume declines strongly by about 40 to 100 %. The low OC input from living and dead roots is reflected by low OC contents, which decrease strongly from 1.5-1.7 % (in 10 cm) to minimum values of 0.02 % in the deeper subsoil. No roots could be detected between 85 and 110 cm depth probably due to textural changes in the IICv horizon and resulting shortage in plant-available water (Schenk and Jackson, 2005). Here, a shift toward coarser grain size occurs with increasing amounts of coarse and medium sand and decreasing amounts of coarse and medium silt which reduces the storage capacity for plant-extractable water. The recurrence of live and dead roots in the IIICv and IVCv horizon at 135 and 160 cm depth, respectively, may be associated again with a change in soil texture, i.e. higher silt contents.

The strong influence of the distribution of roots on $^{14}$C contents is supported by the PCA analysis revealing a close correlation of both parameters (Fig. 3). Both, the root mass and the $^{14}$C content of SOM decrease significantly below 35 cm depth. Selectable roots were found again in the IIIICv and IVCv horizons and these are most probably responsible for the increase in the apparent $^{14}$C ages of bulk SOM, i.e. the rejuvenation of SOM in these lowest horizons investigated (Fig. 2). $^{14}$C concentrations however, show a considerable variability in 60 to 135 cm depth were only few or no roots could be separated indicating that other soil parameters of importance in these carbon-poor subsoil horizons.

In summary, the $^{14}$C concentrations of SOM in the three profiles cannot exclusively be explained by the distribution of roots. $^{14}$C contents of bulk SOM shows a quite larger variability compared to that of OC contents and of the root mass suggesting that other factors may be of...
importance like soil texture (not investigated below 110 cm depth), mineralogical changes and associated stabilization effects, and the microbial activity which could influence $^{14}$C contents of SOM.

4.2 Effect of microbial biomass distribution on $^{14}$C of SOM

Previous studies indicate that the activity, abundance, and diversity of the microbial biomass decreases significantly in subsoil horizons most probably due to the reduced OC content and a lower substrate quality at greater soil depth (Agnelli et al., 2004; Fierer et al., 2003; Fontaine et al., 2007; Struwecker and Joergensen, 2015; Taylor et al., 2002). This may promote high apparent $^{14}$C ages of bulk SOM. Similar to the results of these studies, we also found strongly declining $C_{mic}$ contents below 35 cm (below the B horizons; Supplement Tab. S1), which most probably indicate less favourable conditions for microorganisms at greater depth. However, in some profiles $C_{mic}$ increases at greater soil depth including profile A (110 cm: 36.6 µg g$^{-1}$ DW, 135 cm: 51.8 µg g$^{-1}$ DW) and profile D (85 cm: 125.3 µg g$^{-1}$ DW; Supplement Tab. S1). These relatively high $C_{mic}$ values result in high $C_{mic}$/OC ratios (Tab. 1), which suggest that here the organic matter is more bioavailable than in other layers of the Cv horizons. The very high C/N ratio of 19 and 29 in profile A (110 and 135 cm) at these potential hot spots, however, does not support a high bioavailability of the SOM suggested by the $C_{mic}$/OC ratio. Moreover, no roots were present here which may represent a source of fresher, microbe-available OC which is necessary for establishing hot spots (Kuzyakov, 2010; Bundt et al., 2001; Sanaullah et al., 2011). Thus easily degradable OC may have been introduced into the Cv horizon as DOC through preferential flow pathways. Increasing $^{14}$C contents in 135 cm (profile D and G) and 160 cm depth (profile A) suggest the presence of fresh substrate which most probably is due to a higher abundance of roots (see 4.1), but the higher root mass does not result in higher $C_{mic}$ contents.

These observations and the results of the PCA analysis reveal that microbial-derived carbon does not promote higher $^{14}$C contents of bulk OC (Fig. 3). However, $C_{mic}$ values correlate much stronger with the $^{14}$C contents of the organic-rich fine silt plus clay fraction. This suggests that microbial-derived OC is potentially stabilised by interaction with fine silt and clay particles. Comparable results were obtained by Rumpel et al. (2010) for a Podzol and a Cambisol under forest. Here, microbial-derived polysaccharides were enriched in the mineral fraction (>2 g cm$^{-3}$). However, the supposedly microbial-derived, mineral-bound OC in the study of Rumpel et
al. (2010) had similar to slightly lower \(^{14}\)C concentrations than the bulk SOM indicating that OC of microbial origin is stabilized over longer time scales by organo-mineral interaction.

In the soil profiles of this study, the fine silt and clay fraction yields higher \(^{14}\)C contents than bulk OC in 60 to 110 cm soil depth (where the root mass is lowest) suggesting a relatively fast turnover of the younger, potentially microbial OC. The difference in the \(^{14}\)C contents of bulk OC (32.6 pMC) and the fine silt and clay fraction (66.7 pMC) is most pronounced in profile D at 85 cm (Supplement Tab. S1) and probably indicates a region with limited access to fresh (young) SOM. However, the weak correlation of \(C_{\text{mic}}\) with the other soil parameters analysed, including the root mass and OC content (Fig. 2, Fig. 3) suggests a minor influence of \(C_{\text{mic}}\) on the \(^{14}\)C concentrations of SOM.

### 4.3 Influence of grain size on \(^{14}\)C contents

Soil texture, particularly small particle sizes (<2 \(\mu\)m), may considerably influence the \(^{14}\)C concentration of SOM. Their large surface area with which the organic matter can form organo-mineral assemblages promote the protection of OC against microbial and oxidative degradation (e.g. Kleber et al., 2005; Kögel-Knabner et al., 2008; Mikutta et al., 2006; Spielvogel et al., 2008) thus resulting in high OC contents and low \(^{14}\)C concentrations (von Lützow et al., 2006; Rumpel et al., 2004; Trumbore, 2009). This relationship is reflected by a strong positive correlation of the fine silt and clay fraction (<6.3 \(\mu\)m) with the \(^{14}\)C content of bulk OC (Fig. 3).

However, the decline of the silt and clay fractions with depth is less variable than the depth distribution of the \(^{14}\)C concentration of bulk SOM.

If interaction of OC with soil minerals is an important stabilization mechanism in subsoil, then the \(^{14}\)C concentration of the fine silt and clay fraction should be lower compared to that of bulk OC. This fraction however, has similar or only slightly lower \(^{14}\)C contents in the B horizons (10 and 35 cm) and higher contents in the C horizons (60-110 cm) compared to those of bulk OC.

These results indicate that younger OC sources, most likely microbial-derived OC are associated with fine silt and clay particles in the C horizons (see 4.3). Moreover, the association of OC with small grain-sizes may be less strong than assumed in previous studies resulting in higher turnover times and \(^{14}\)C contents, respectively. Likewise, relatively high \(^{14}\)C contents were determined for a soil fraction extracted with hydrofluoric acid (HF) which was thought to be the most strongly mineral associated and thus the oldest SOM fraction (Eusterhues et al., 2007). The authors of this study suggested that stabilization by interaction with the mineral matrix is less effective than other stabilization mechanisms like recalcitrance of organic...
compounds and occlusion of SOM in soil aggregates. They further assumed that the mineral-associated SOM may be diluted by fresher (younger) SOM or the HF-resistant SOM may reflect stable OC, respectively. Therefore, the higher $^{14}$C contents of the fine silt and clay fraction can also be a result of the sorption of younger SOM at mineral surfaces or the exchange of older by younger SOM.

The major particle size change in the analysed profiles is that of the sand fractions, which however, stores only little OC and thus does not influence the $^{14}$C distribution (Angst et al., 2016; von Lützow et al., 2006; Trumbore, 2009). This is confirmed by the PCA showing that the sand fractions does not correlate with any other soil parameter influencing the SOM distribution, including OC content, root mass, C$_{\text{mic}}$, and the particle size fractions < 63 µm (Supplement Tab. S1, Fig. 2, and 3).

In summary the $^{14}$C distribution of bulk OC in the soil profiles is mainly affected by the silt and clay sized fractions because these fractions contain the majority of the SOM (Angst et al., 2016).

### 4.4 Spatial heterogeneity of $^{14}$C contents

The distribution of the organic matter in the subsoil is thought to be spatially very heterogeneous due to preferential flow paths and local root branches through which OC is transferred into deeper soil horizons (Bundt et al., 2001; Chabbi et al., 2009; Don et al., 2007; Salomé et al., 2010; Syswerda et al., 2011). Accordingly, $^{14}$C concentrations of subsoil organic matter are expected to be even more heterogeneously than those in surface soils. This was shown in a study of Chabbi et al. (2009) who found close to modern apparent $^{14}$C age near preferential flow paths while the OC of the surrounding soil was several thousand years old.

The variability of $^{14}$C concentrations of bulk OC in the three soil profiles analysed confirm the supposed large spatial heterogeneity in subsoil horizons even on the small scale of a three meter long soil transect (Fig. 2, Tab. 2). The absolute deviation of $^{14}$C contents of bulk OC calculated for each horizon of the three profiles (Tab. 2) indicates a much larger variability in the C horizons compared to the B horizons (except in IICv at 110 cm). In contrast the fine silt and clay fraction show a much smaller variability in their $^{14}$C contents in each horizons (Tab. 2) most probably because larger roots have been removed from this fraction by sieving and density separation. The large spatial $^{14}$C variability in the deeper C horizons analysed (135 and 160 cm; Tab. 2) thus may be caused by the strong effect of younger root-derived OC on the relatively low $^{14}$C concentrations of bulk SOM.
The microbial biomass may influence the spatial $^{14}$C distribution of bulk SOM indirectly by the mineralization of fresher (younger) OC resulting in low $^{14}$C concentration (Supplement Tab. S1, similar to priming effects, e.g. Kuzyakov, 2010). This can lead to a relative enrichment of older organic compounds.

5. Conclusion

In this study, the influence of roots (bio- and necromass) and its OC input was identified as major factor affecting the spatial $^{14}$C distribution of SOM in subsoil horizons of a Dystric Cambisol under beech forest. The distance of the three soil profiles analysed to a beech did not affect the spatial distribution of roots and of $^{14}$C contents. Other soil parameters including soil texture and the microbial biomass had no statistically significant influence on the $^{14}$C distribution of bulk SOM. Organic matter included in silt and clay sized particles (<6.3 µm), which were thought to stabilise OC on longer time scales, had slightly higher $^{14}$C contents in the C horizons than bulk OC and may contain younger, microbial-derived compounds. Thus, in contrast to previous studies, OC stabilization by organo-mineral interaction seems to be of minor importance in this sandy subsoil. We did not observe a continuous increase of apparent $^{14}$C ages with depth as in most previous studies, but a large horizontal as well as vertical $^{14}$C variability in the three soil profiles. High apparent $^{14}$C ages of up to 9000 yrs BP may be a result of a reduced microbial activity or the lack of easily degradable SOM at greater depth. $^{14}$C contents of bulk SOM are most variable in the C horizons because of large differences in the abundance of root mass. The fine silt and clay fraction (<6.3 µm) yields less heterogeneous $^{14}$C contents due to the absence of larger root fragments and thus may be a more reliable indicator of humified SOM which is less strongly influenced by fresh carbon inputs. These results indicate that estimates of soil OC turnover based on $^{14}$C analysis of bulk SOM in an individual soil profile may be misleading as they mainly reflect the local distribution of roots.
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Table 1: Ratio of microbial biomass-derived carbon (C_{mic}) to total OC content in profiles A, D, and G.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>horizon</th>
<th>C_{mic} / OC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bsv</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bv</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Cv</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>II Cv</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>II Cv</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>III Cv</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>IV Cv</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Average $^{14}$C values of bulk OC and of the silt and clay fraction (<6.3 µm) and absolute deviations for the three soil profiles A, D, and G (n = 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>depth (cm)</th>
<th>horizon</th>
<th>$^{14}$C bulk OC (pMC)</th>
<th>$^{14}$C fine silt &amp; clay (pMC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bsv</td>
<td>100.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>100.0 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bv</td>
<td>97.8 ± 7.9</td>
<td>93.1 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Cv</td>
<td>65.2 ± 10.1</td>
<td>81.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>II Cv</td>
<td>52.5 ± 20.5</td>
<td>71.6 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>II Cv</td>
<td>55.5 ± 6.5</td>
<td>62.6 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>III Cv</td>
<td>60.3 ± 15.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>IV Cv</td>
<td>66.9 ± 18.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Sampling design of the soil transect. Analysed samples from profiles A (0 cm distance to the beech), D (135 cm distance), and G (270 cm distance) are displayed by black dots.
Figure 2: Selected soil parameters affecting $^{14}$C concentrations of bulk SOM in the three profiles A, D, and G (see Supplement Tab. S1).
Figure 3: PCA biplot of measured soil parameters in samples from different soil depth (represented by symbols). Some parameters are represented by numbers explained in the legend above.