Soil greenhouse gases emissions reduce the benefit of mangrove plant to mitigating atmospheric warming effect
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Abstract

Mangrove soils have been recognized as sources of atmospheric greenhouse gases but the atmospheric fluxes are poorly characterized, and their adverse warming effect has scarcely been considered with respect to the role of mangrove wetlands in mitigating global warming. The present study balanced the warming effect of soil greenhouse gas emissions with plant carbon dioxide (CO₂) sequestration rate in a highly productive mangrove wetland in South China to assess the role of mangrove wetland in mitigating atmospheric warming. The results showed that mangrove soils were significant sources of greenhouse gases, and the fluxes were significantly higher in summer and also different among mangrove sites. Gases fluxes were positively correlated with the soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, and NH₄⁺-N contents. The mangrove plant was able to sequester a considerable amount of atmospheric CO₂ at 5930 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹ in the present study, and the ecosystem was source of methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) gases but more intense CO₂ sink. However, the warming effect of soil gas emissions, equivalent to 1222 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, was able to offset a large proportion (~22%) of plant CO₂ sequestration, and the two trace gases comprised ~24 % of the total warming effect. We therefore propose the assessment of the direct mitigation of atmospheric warming by mangrove ecosystem that should take into account both soil greenhouse gases emissions and plant CO₂ sequestration.

1 Introduction

The global atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases, carbon dioxide (CO₂), and other two trace gases, methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) have all shown large increases since the pre-industrial times and cause the global warming problems. The atmospheric concentrations of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O have increased from 278 ppm in 1750 to 391 ppm in 2011, by a factor of 2.5 from 722 ppb to 1803 ppb, and from 270 ppb to 342 ppb, respectively (IPCC, 2014a). CO₂ concentration is increasing at the fastest observed decadal rate of change in the past ten years and unfortunately the atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations continue to rise. In order to maintain global temperature warming below 2°C over the 21st century relative to
pre-industrial levels, a reduction by 40% to 70% of global anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions by 2050 compared to 2010, and increasing the existing biological carbon pools for carbon sequestration have been proposed (IPCC, 2014a).

Mangroves grow along the coastlines of most of the world’s tropical and subtropical regions. Despite the limited area occupied by mangrove wetlands compared to terrestrial forests, these highly productive ecosystems are ecologically important on a global scale, and have been suggested to be responsible for 10% of global terrestrial carbon export to the ocean and ~10% of the global oceanic burial of carbon (Duarte et al., 2005; Dittmar et al., 2006). Recent studies have also highlighted the valuable role played by mangrove wetlands in carbon sequestration, and estimated the carbon burial rate in mangrove soil as 226 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) from 34 sites (McLeod et al., 2011). Plants sequester CO\(_2\) from the atmosphere through photosynthesis and store it in their biomass. The capability of mangrove plants to sequester atmospheric CO\(_2\) is therefore related to their net primary production (NPP). The overall global mangrove NPP, recently estimated by Bouillon et al. (2008), is 1362.5 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), i.e. 4996 g CO\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) is directly captured by mangrove plants each year.

Being inter-tidal, mangrove wetlands are regularly flooded by incoming tides, and their soils altering between oxic and anoxic conditions, favor microbial processes like nitrification, denitrification and methanogenesis that produce greenhouse gases. Numerous studies have recognized mangrove soil as sources of atmospheric greenhouse gases (Allen et al., 2007; Chauhan et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010). The biogenic emission of greenhouse gases from mangrove soil to the atmosphere could be further enhanced by anthropogenic nitrogen input (Purvaja and Ramesh, 2001; Muñoz-Hincapié et al., 2002; Kreuzwieser et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2011). These gas emissions contribute to atmospheric warming and reduce the overall mitigation of global warming by mangroves. Therefore, the role that mangrove wetlands play in directly mitigating atmospheric warming is reflected by the exchange of greenhouse gases between the mangrove ecosystem and the atmosphere.

Assessments of the direct effect of mangrove wetlands, on the ecosystem scale, in mitigating atmospheric warming, are important but still lacking. Although some studies have focused on the net ecosystem production (NEP) that combined the net primary production and gaseous carbon emissions from soil respiration (Golley et al., 1962; Komiyama, 2008) in mangrove wetlands, for assessing the contribution of mangrove wetlands to atmospheric carbon gas exchange on the ecosystem scale, these studies are inadequate for assessing the contribution of mangroves to mitigating atmospheric warming, as the contributions of N\(_2\)O emission and the warming effect of gases emissions were not taken into account.

On the global scale, the mean soil CO\(_2\)-C flux represents ~20% of the mangrove NPP in a carbon budget quantified by Bouillon et al. (2008); this indicates that the soil CO\(_2\) emission from mangrove wetland reduces 20% of the CO\(_2\) sequestration rate by mangrove plant. In addition, although the atmospheric fluxes of CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O are generally 2 or 3 orders of magnitude lower than CO\(_2\) flux in mangrove wetlands (Chen et al., 2010), their contributions to global warming could also be relevant and are worthy attention, because these two gases are more stable and have considerably higher radioactive forcing than CO\(_2\), with direct global warming potential (GWP) 298 and 34 times, respectively, as that of CO\(_2\) (Myhre et al., 2013). However, the greenhouse gases emissions from mangrove soils remain poorly characterized, and few studies have considered the warming effect of the simultaneous emissions of the three aforementioned gases from mangrove soils when evaluating the role of mangrove ecosystems in mitigating global warming.
In this study, spatial and seasonal variations in soil greenhouse gases emissions were investigated in a highly productive mangrove wetland in South China; the warming effect of the gases emissions was quantified and then balanced with the plant CO$_2$ sequestration rate to estimate the mitigating effect of mangrove wetland on atmospheric warming. We also evaluated the effects of soil characteristics on greenhouse gases emissions. We hypothesized that mangroves may be ecologically important in sequestration of atmospheric CO$_2$ and mitigation of global warming but that the greenhouse gas emissions from mangrove soil might also be significant and would largely offset any benefits. In addition, the contributions of the two trace gases, N$_2$O and CH$_4$, to warming might be relevant and were considered.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

Soil greenhouse gases emissions and plant CO$_2$ sequestration rates were studied in a subtropical mangrove area in the Jiulong River Estuary in southern China. The region is subtropical (mean annual temperature: 20.9 °C), with most of the annual rainfall (1284 mm) derived from summer typhoons. Tides are semi-diurnal, with an average range of 4 m. Most forests (~32 ha) in this area are located on the southwestern shore, with *Kandelia obovata* as the dominant canopy species. The mangrove soils are mainly composed of silt and clay (Alongi et al., 2005).

Samplings were carried out in three mangrove sites (Fig. 1) located at Caoputou Village (24°23'40.89"N, 117°54'42.90"E) and Xiaguo Village (24°23'36.24"N, 117°55'19.48"E) and on Haimen Island (24°24'24.05"N, 117°56'28.51"E). The width of each sampling area was ~40 m, ~90 m and ~90 m from the landward to seaward fringes in the three mangrove sites, respectively. Caoputou (CPT) mangrove was a rehabilitated *K. obovata* forest located in the high intertidal zone on the south bank of the estuary, and this mangrove had the highest canopy height (7.8 m). Xiaguo (XG) mangrove was located in the mid intertidal zone; this mangrove was composed of dense *K. obovata* tress (1.7 stem m$^{-2}$). The lowest vegetation density (0.9 stem m$^{-2}$) and canopy height (5.5 m) occurred in the natural mangrove on Haimen Island (HMI). Salinity of the soil porewater, measured using a pocket refractometer (0–100 parts per thousands, Atago PAL-06 S, Japan) was 11, 11 and 14 in the three mangrove forest, respectively.

2.2 Soil to atmosphere greenhouse gases fluxes

Soil to atmosphere fluxes of greenhouse gases were quantified in January, April, August and October 2012, representing the seasons winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively. All sampling was conducted two hours before the lowest ebb tide during the daytime as the study areas are subject to semi-diurnal tides, and the exposure times of mangrove wetlands are relative short. Nine replicate plots were chosen at each of the three mangrove sites during each sampling campaign to achieve more accurate estimation of gas emissions because great spatial variation is a characteristic of gas flux, even on a small scale (Allen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2010).
To measure the soil to atmosphere fluxes of greenhouse gases, some studies in salt marshes rest the gas chamber on the collar that has been permanently inserted into the surface sediment to collect the gases (e.g. Magenheimer et al. 1996, Moseman-Valtierra et al. 2011). In these studies, the chambers are generally large and the intact live marsh plants or plant community are covered within the chambers, so the pre-installed collar could be helpful to achieve enclosed airtight space and avoid the disturbance from inserting the large chamber. However, most studies on gas flux measurements in mangroves employed a smaller chamber (e.g. with an internal volume of ~1L) than that applied in saltmarshes, and the chamber was directly inserted into the sediment that was also softer (e.g. Corroedor et al., 1999; Bauza et al., 2002; Allen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2012). Moreover, unlike saltmarsh, the studies in mangrove forest quantify the fluxes between sediment and atmosphere; therefore, the chamber covers only sediment and is smaller. The single-chamber method similar to that used in mangroves has also been employed in saltmarshes (Morries and Whiting, 1986; Adams et al. 2012).

Gas flux in this study was quantified using the static (closed) chamber technique followed by gas chromatography as described by Chen et al. (2010). The chambers had an area of 0.025 m$^2$ and an internal volume of 1.25 l, which is similar to those used by previous researchers (e.g. Corroedor et al., 1999; Bauza et al., 2002). They stated that the volume/basal area ratio of the chamber was easy for deployment and sufficiently small for the rapid increase in gas concentrations, but large enough to minimize disturbance of the enclosed sediment surface. Therefore, no additional device like electric fan was installed to stir the air inside the chamber in their studies and the present study. Chen et al. (2010) further revealed that gases continuously released and their concentrations linearly related with the deployment time, indicating that this static chamber is suitable for the sampling. The open end of the chamber was inserted 3 cm into the soil. Chambers were placed in locations without mangrove seedlings, aboveground roots or litter fall to avoid the influences of these factors on gas fluxes, and the deployment time was set to 30 minutes, with sampling at 10-minute intervals. At each sampling time, a 5-ml gas sample was collected with a hypodermic needle attached to a 10-ml glass syringe from the chamber and then injected into a 20-ml gas sampling bag. Gas concentrations were analyzed in parallel with a gas chromatography system (7890A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) configured with a single channel and two detectors, by comparing the peak areas of samples against an Agilent Greenhouse Gas Checkout Sample (1 ppm N$_2$O, 5 ppm CH$_4$ and 600 ppm CO$_2$ in N$_2$).

The N$_2$O and CH$_4$ concentrations were determined with a 63Ni electron capture detector and a flame ionization detector (FID), respectively. The CO$_2$ concentration was analyzed by FID after methanization. During measurement, the standard sample was analyzed in every 15-20 samples to ensure the data quality. The relative standard deviations of replicate standard measurements were 3.6%, 2.5% and 3.4% for N$_2$O, CH$_4$ and CO$_2$, respectively.

The soil to atmosphere fluxes of greenhouse gases were calculated from the following formula:

$$F_m = \frac{V\Delta M}{A\rho}$$

where $F_m$ is the interfacial gas flux (mol m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$), $V$ is the internal air volume (m$^3$) in the chamber after being placed, $\Delta M$ (h$^{-1}$) is the change in gas concentration in the container, $A$ is the surface area of the soil (m$^2$) and $\rho$ is the volume of per mol gas (m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$). During each sampling, the open air temperature was simultaneously measured with a mercury thermometer to calculate the $\rho$ value.
Although CO₂ emissions from sediment include the CO₂ efflux from plant roots and heterotrophic respiration, the chambers used for flux measurement were placed in locations without aboveground roots, and the CO₂ flux measured in this study can be attributed to soil heterotrophic respiration because most metabolic respiration from underground roots is released through lenticels and the flux obtained using static chambers would be close to the levels of CO₂ released due to soil respiration (Tomlinson, 1986). The gas fluxes were converted to CO₂-equivalent fluxes to indicate their respective contributions to global warming using the GWP value of each gas (1, 34 and 298 for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O, respectively, over a 100-year timeframe) according to Myhre et al. (2013).

2.3 Sampling and analysis of soil

Soil parameters were also measured at these sampling sites in summer to check their relationship with gases fluxes. Soil redox potential (Eh) under the chamber was measured using a pH/Eh meter (WP-81, TPS, Australia) after gas sampling, by inserting the platinum probe directly into the soil at a depth of 5 cm from the surface. Independent soil cores to a depth of 15 cm (6 cores for each mangrove site) were then collected using hand-held PVC corers. Soil organic carbon (OC) concentration was analyzed using rapid dichromate oxidation procedure. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) content after Kjeldahl digestion and NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N contents in the KCl (2M) extracts were measured by the Continuous Flow Analyzer (CFA, Futura II, Alliance Instruments). All soil analyses were based on the standard methods for soil analyses described by Page et al. (1982), and data were expressed in terms of 105 °C oven-dried weight.

2.4 Plant CO₂ sequestration rate

Plant CO₂ sequestration rate was calculated from the NPP, the carbon content and the formula weights of CO₂ and C. Mangrove NPP was estimated using the litter fall technique proposed by Teas (1979), which postulates that 1/3 of the NPP is returned as litter fall. A global extrapolation also showed a clear relationship between litter fall and wood production and further suggested that litter production amounts to ~32% of the total mangrove NPP including root production (Bouillon et al., 2008). This rapid and direct method was also applied in other studies (e.g. Lee, 1990; Alongi, 2009), but its accuracy depends on the availability of a good conversion factor (Odum et al., 1982). In this study, a conversion factor 2.75 was applied for the estimation of NPP, which was calculated from the previous reported NPP (including root production) and the concurrent litter fall production for K. obovata mangrove in the Jiulong River Estuary (Lin et al., 1985). The mean carbon content in various plant fractions was 47% for K. obovata in the Jiulong River Estuary (Zheng et al., 1995).

Litter fall samples were collected using metal-framed litter traps (Φ=70 cm for surface area, 30 cm depth) with 2-mm mesh. Nine traps were placed randomly at similar height above the maximum tide level (1.5m above the sediment) in each mangrove site, under canopies. Trap contents were collected monthly and sorted into the categories of leaves, wood, flowers and propagules and were then dried at 60 °C to a constant weight and weighed.
2.5 Statistical analysis

The normality of variables was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and those that did not follow a normal distribution were transformed to improve normality and homoscedasticity prior to analysis. Two-way ANOVA was used to test differences in greenhouse gas flux among the four seasons and the three sites. If the difference was significant (p<0.05), a post hoc Tukey test was used to determine the differences. Differences in litter fall production and soil characteristics among different mangroves were compared by one-way ANOVA. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the relationships between soil properties and greenhouse gas fluxes in summer. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., USA).

3 Results

3.1 Soil to atmosphere greenhouse gas fluxes

The soil to atmosphere greenhouse gas fluxes ranged from -1.6 to 50.0 μg m⁻² h⁻¹, -1.4 to 3215.3 μg m⁻² h⁻¹ and -31 to 512 mg m⁻² h⁻¹, for N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂, respectively, in the three mangrove wetlands in Jiulong River Estuary (Fig. 2). The annual emissions of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ from soil were then estimated to be 0.18 gN₂O m⁻² yr⁻¹, 7.0 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹ and 931.0 gCO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively.

The N₂O fluxes were found to vary significantly among the three mangrove sites (F=10.63, p=0.000) and among the four seasons (F=17.21, p=0.000) according to two-way ANOVA test; however, no significant interaction was found between these two factors (F=1.28, p>0.05). XG mangrove had higher N₂O flux than the other two sites, which had similar fluxes. The highest N₂O flux was measured in summer, while the lowest was in the winter and autumn.

Both mangrove site (F=15.36, p=0.000) and season (F=26.03, p=0.000) had significant effects on CH₄ flux, and significant effect of the interaction between the two factors was also found on the gas fluxes (F=3.83, p=0.000). No significant difference was measured in spring, but the gas fluxes were variable in other seasons. Higher CH₄ flux was found at CPT mangrove in winter, but was at XG in autumn. In summer, XG and CPT had comparable CH₄ fluxes, higher than that measured at HMI. The gas fluxes were found to be lowest in winter and spring in CPT and XG sties and highest in the summer. For HMI, significantly higher CH₄ flux was also measured in summer, with lowest flux found in winter.

For CO₂, the flux also varied significantly among the mangrove sites (F=10.24, p=0.000) and seasons (F=73.25, p=0.000), and the interaction between these two factors was also significant (F=4.42, p=0.001). CO₂ fluxes were comparable among the three mangrove sites in winter, while HMI site had the lowest gas fluxes in the three seasons. Higher fluxes were recorded in CPT (in spring and summer) or XG (in summer and autumn) mangroves. Among the four seasons, the highest CO₂ fluxes were found in summer, irrespectively the mangrove sites, with autumn fluxes following (except CPT).
3.2 Soil characteristic and their relationship with gases fluxes

Soil characteristics except NO$_3^{-}$-N concentration and E$_h$ significantly varied among the three mangrove sites in Jiulong River Estuary (Fig. 3). Lower soil water content was measured in CPT than in other two sites (p<0.01). Soil NH$_4^{+}$-N content was lower in CPT and HMI (p<0.05). XG mangrove site had the highest soil OC and TKN concentrations while the lowest concentrations were measured at HMI. Among the measured soil parameters, NH$_4^{+}$-N, OC and TKN concentrations were positively correlated with fluxes of the three gases (Table 1), but no significant effect was detected for other soil parameters on the gases fluxes.

3.3 Litter fall and net primary productions

This study measured high litter fall productions of the subtropical mangroves (771-1565 g DW m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$), and the mean total production was 1251 g DW m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ in Jiulong River Estuary (Table 2). Leaf fall and reproduction components were 550 and 514 g DW m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, comprising 44% and 41%, respectively, of the total litter production. The present study also measured a lower litter fall production in the HMI mangrove site compared to the other two mangrove sites due to its lower leaf and twig production. Using the conversion factor 2.75, the net primary production of mangrove calculated from litter fall production was 3441 g DW m$^{-2}$ in the Jiulong River Estuary, equivalent to 1617 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. Greatly spatial variation was found among the three mangrove sites, and the CPT mangrove had twice production as high as that of HMI mangrove.

3.4 Mitigating effect of the mangrove ecosystem on atmospheric warming

In case of high primary productions and low soil carbon gas emissions, the mangrove wetlands in this study had high NEP rates ranging from 912 to 1746 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Table 3), with a mean as 1358 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. The carbon gas emission accounted for 16 % of the total mangrove NPP, and the CH$_4$ made a negligible contribution (0.2 %-3.4 %) to the total carbon gas emission.

With both plant CO$_2$ sequestration (Table 3) and soil gas emissions combined, the net ecosystem exchanges of greenhouse gases were 0.15 g N$_2$O m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, 1.91 g CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and -6405 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ for CPT site, and 0.30 N$_2$O m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, 18.86 g CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and -5249 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ for XG site, and 0.08 g N$_2$O m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, 0.25 g CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and -3345 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ for HMI site. The mean net exchanges of greenhouse gases between the mangrove ecosystem and atmosphere was 0.18 gN$_2$O m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, 7.00 g CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and -4999 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ in Jiulong River Estuary.

Based on the annual emission rates from mangrove soils, the CO$_2$-equivalent fluxes of CO$_2$, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O were calculated to be 931, 238 and 53 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively, in the mangrove wetlands (Table 3), and the warming effect of these greenhouse gases is equivalent to 1222 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. The two trace gases, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O comprised 19.5 % and 4.3 %, respectively, of the total warming effect. When balancing the warming effect of the gases and the concurrent CO$_2$-sequestration rate of the mangrove plants, the net effect of the mangrove ecosystem on atmospheric warming is estimated to
be -4708 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Table 4), further indicating the mangrove wetland as an affirmative role in mitigating global warming.

4 Discussion

Although numerous studies have characterized the C sequestration/bury in mangrove wetlands and their greenhouse gas emissions, few have focused on the role of mangrove wetlands in mitigating atmospheric warming by considering both the plant CO₂ sequestration and soil greenhouse gas emissions. The present study demonstrates that mangrove plants play an important role in mitigating atmospheric warming through their CO₂ sequestration; however, mangrove soils on the other hand could be significant sources of greenhouse gases, and the warming effect of the gases emissions would reduce a large proportion of the vegetation benefit.

The soil to atmosphere gases fluxes in the Jiulong River Estuary fell within the ranges previously reported for other mangrove wetlands (Chauhan et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010), and the results further demonstrate that mangrove soils can be sources of greenhouse gases. The greenhouse gases fluxes are related to mangrove soil properties, including concentrations of organic carbon, total and inorganic nitrogen, bulk density, salinity and redox potential (Purvaja and Ramesh, 2001; Allen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2010, 2012), as microbial processes involved in the gases productions are regulated by the soil characteristics. In addition to our previous studies in other subtropical *K. obvota*-dominated wetlands in South China (Chen et al., 2010), the greenhouse gases fluxes in Jiulong River Estuary also significantly increased with soil organic carbon, nitrogen and NH₄⁺-N concentrations. Allen et al. (2007) suggested that the site-level control of N₂O production in mangrove soils was attributed to nitrification when sediment had high ammonium levels and positive Eh but to denitrification when sediment had high nitrate levels and negative Eh. The positive soil Eh in the mangrove soil and significant correlation between N₂O flux and soil NH₄⁺-N concentration therefore indicated the importance of nitrification process in mangrove soil responsible for the N₂O production. Nevertheless, the results didn’t exclude the potential of denitrification for N₂O production as the soil Eh in this study was below 350 mv, below which denitrification usually starts (Pitty, 1979). This is different from the previous study in Maipo mangrove, where denitrification was suggested to be the dominant mechanism for N₂O production (Chen et al., 2012). The mangrove soil in this study had comparable or higher NO₃⁻-N concentration compared with the Maipo mangrove but the N₂O flux was much lower in Jiulong River Estuary. This could be attributed to the lower soil Eh measured in Maipo (-158 to 56 mv), which was more favorable to denitrification, and further demonstrates the significance of denitrification in Maipo mangrove.

Methane emission from the coastal soils have been known to be limited by high salinity as the presence of high sulfate in coastal soils allows sulfate-reducing bacteria to outcompete methanogens for energy sources (Biswas et al., 2007; Poffenbarger et al., 2011). The contrarily spatial variation in soil CH₄ emissions to salinity in Jiulong River Estuary was also consistent to such inhibition effect, and lower CH₄ flux was recorded in the HMI site with higher porewater salinity. The high soil NH₄⁺-N concentration also enhanced the CH₄ emission into the atmosphere in this study, similarly to some other
studies (e.g. Allen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2010), probably due to the inhibition effect of soil NH$_4^+$-N on CH$_4$ oxidation under high concentration (Bosse et al., 1993). Mangrove soils with more positive Eh and longer exposure would lead to higher aerobic respiration and chemical oxidation of organic matter in soil, and are on the other hand unfavourable to CH$_4$ emission. However, no significant correlations were found between soil Eh and the gases fluxes in the present study, and the C/nutrient availabilities were the most influencing factors. As the microbial mechanisms that driving the greenhouse gases productions are lacking in this study, more detailed studies on microbial processes involved in the gases emissions in the soil, e.g. methanogenesis, methane oxidation, nitrification and denitrification, and their interactions with soil abiotic factors are therefore needed and worthy further studies.

Similar to previous studies (e.g. Allen et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2010, 2012), gas fluxes in this region varied with mangrove site and season. For instance, the CO$_2$ flux ranged between as low as 307 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and up to 1470 g m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. Even greater spatial variation was found in CH$_4$ flux. The spatial variations of gases fluxes could be partly attributed to the differences in soil organic carbon and nitrogen contents as the substrates for soil respiration among the study sites. Such spatial variation and the seasonal variation in gas fluxes, suggested to be mainly due to temperature in subtropical mangroves and moisture in tropical mangroves (Chen et al., 2012), therefore should be taken into account for inventory of greenhouse gas emissions. Difference in the ecosystem mitigating effect were also found among the three mangrove sites, with a lower value occurring at HMI due to a much lower plant CO$_2$ sequestration rate. The XG site had the highest CO$_2$-equivalent flux of greenhouse gas emissions, which offset 33 % of the plant CO$_2$ capture rate, while this ratio was <10 % in the HMI mangrove site. We also measured a lower primary production accompanied by low gas emission rates in this study. Similarly, the soil respiration rate was found to be correlated with litter fall production on a large range of latitude extending from 27°N to 37°S (Lovelock, 2008). This pattern suggested that the more CO$_2$ is sequestered by mangroves, the more substantial the effect of the soil greenhouse gas emissions might be, and their warming effect should not be ignored.

The subtropical K. obovata mangrove forest had high net primary productivity, close to amounts reported in tropical regions, and higher than the global mean production (Bouillon et al., 2008). This high NPP but low carbon gases emissions from soil indicated that the mangrove wetland in this area has strong sequestration capability of atmospheric carbon on the ecosystem scale. The NEP in this mangrove was also higher than the global mean value 1100 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ reported by Bouillon et al. (2008), and those estimated in a Rhizophora mangle forest (561 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) in Puerto Rico (Golley et al., 1962) and in the mangrove in western Florida Everglades (1170 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) (Barr et al., 2010). Although CH$_4$ emission was also significant (7.0 g CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, and up to 3215 μg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) in the estuarine mangrove wetlands in this study, it accounted for an unelectable proportion (1.4%) of the soil gaseous carbon emission in this study.

The present study assessed the role of mangrove wetland in mitigating atmospheric warming effect, through direct quantification of the gaseous exchange between mangrove ecosystem and atmosphere. The annual gases emission rates were estimated from the fluxes from the exposed soil, and this estimation was subjected to the assumption that the water-atmosphere fluxes during inundation were similar to the soil-atmosphere fluxes during exposure. The assumption was based on the following findings from previous studies. Bouillon et al. (2008) reported that there was no significant difference in the
CO₂ emission between exposed and inundated periods although the processes of gases diffused from soil to water then to air was likely affected during the inundation period. A diurnal measurement of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in an estuarine marsh in Fujian Province also showed no clear difference between the inundation and exposure periods (Tong et al., 2013). Moreover, the mangrove sites in this study locate in the mid to high intertidal zones, and the forest floor was not flooded by tides in most of the times (Chen et al., 2008). Therefore, the calculations of annual emissions from fluxes during exposure time should not affect the findings of the present study.

On the ecosystem scale, the mangrove wetland was small sources of CH₄ and N₂O, compared to the significant CO₂ sink. However, the warming effect of soil greenhouse gases emissions offset 22% of the plant CO₂ sequestration rate in this study, and the net effect of the mangrove ecosystem on atmospheric warming effect was estimated to be -4676 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹. This value was higher than the global value (~ -4000 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, without consideration of soil non-CO₂ gases emissions) calculated from the global NPP and soil respiration rates (Bouillon et al., 2008). Some other studies in saltmarshes also quantified the potential global warming feedbacks based on the soil carbon sequestration rate and non-CO₂ gases emission rates (e.g. Chmura et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2014). In case of the rapid soil accumulation rate in the mangrove wetland in Jiulong River Estuary (33.7 mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹, equivalent to 1482.8 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, Alongi et al., 2005), the global warming potential of this mangrove area is calculated as ~1190 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹ (the CO₂-equivalent flux of CH₄ and N₂O fluxes had a sum of 290 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, Table 3). This value was much higher than those reported in northern and northwestern Atlantic saltmarshes estimated in the growing season (574-1000 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, Chmura et al., 2011) and the marshes in eastern China (114-1130 g CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹, Yuan et al., 2014). Unlike the salt marsh, which has been supposed that its carbon accumulation through plant growth is roughly balanced by losses through grazing, decomposition and fire, and no gain is achieved (IPCC, 2006, 2014b), a majority of C captured by mangrove plant is stored in their biomass. Take these into account, it can be suggested that the mangrove wetland plays a more ecologically relevant role in mitigating global warming.

Despite their low fluxes compared to CO₂, the contributions of the trace CH₄ and N₂O gases, when considering their warming effect, are also relevant to global warming in the mangrove wetland. When subjected to anthropogenic nutrient inputs, the emissions of these two gases and CO₂ could be more considerable (Muñoz-Hincapié et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2011, 2014), which would largely enhance their contributions to the warming effect. For instance, the annual mean fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O could be up to 3899 µg CH₄ m⁻² h⁻¹ and 57.1 µg N₂O m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively, in the Brisbane mangrove, which receives discharge from a sewage treatment plant in Queensland, Australia (Allen et al., 2007). Even higher gas fluxes have been reported from mangrove soil, and N₂O and CH₄ contributed twice the global warming potential as CO₂ in the Futian mangrove in South China which receives discharges and anthropogenic nutrient inputs from Pearl River Delta and nearby polluted rivers (Chen et al., 2010). The emissions of CH₄ and N₂O from mangrove soils therefore should also be documented in addition to that of CO₂ to quantify the contribution of greenhouse gases emissions from mangrove soil to global warming, especially for those receiving exogenous nutrients. Liu and Greaver (2009) have also suggested that although the addition of N increased the global terrestrial C sink, CO₂ sequestration could be largely offset by N stimulation of global CH₄ and N₂O
emissions; and N₂O was found to dominate the total warming effect of gases emissions in some agro-ecosystems (Mosier et al., 2005).

Mangrove ecosystem is open and dynamic in the carbon biogeochemical processes. In addition to the carbon gases exchanges, carbon exchanges between mangrove and the adjacent ecosystems include the burial of exogenous carbon, the loss from mangrove ecosystem through production of dissolved organic and dissolved inorganic carbon when the soils are covered by water, and by loss of particulate organic carbon to coastal zone (Ye et al., 2011; Bouillon et al., 2008). These carbon balance and dynamics relate to the potential of mangrove wetland in reducing carbon gases emissions, therefore their involvements in the mitigation effect of wetlands on global warming deserve further studies.

5 Conclusions

The present study showed that mangrove soils are significant sources of greenhouse gases, and the warming effect of gases emissions could largely offset the benefit of plant CO₂ sequestration to mitigating atmospheric warming. We therefore propose that any assessment of the direct mitigation of atmospheric warming should take into account soil greenhouse gas emissions as well as plant CO₂ sequestration. The contributions of trace amounts of CH₄ and N₂O gases to the warming effect should not be ignored, especially in nutrient-enriched mangrove wetlands. Moreover, the temporal and spatial variations in gas fluxes and plant CO₂ sequestration should be taken into account to improve the accuracy of estimates of the mitigating effect of mangroves on atmospheric warming.
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Table 1. Litter fall production and net primary production (gDW m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) in the three mangrove sites in Jiulong River Estuary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mangrove</th>
<th>Leaf</th>
<th>Twig</th>
<th>Re-production</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>NPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>683±101 a</td>
<td>241±105 a</td>
<td>641±234 a</td>
<td>1565±246 a</td>
<td>4306±676 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XG</td>
<td>692±86 a</td>
<td>267±164 a</td>
<td>458±177 a</td>
<td>1417±189 a</td>
<td>3899±519 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMI</td>
<td>275±121 b</td>
<td>52±72 b</td>
<td>444±160 a</td>
<td>771±143 b</td>
<td>2119±393 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>3441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPT: Caoputou; XG: Xiaguo; HMI: Haimen Island; NPP: Net primary production. Different letters in one column indicate a significant difference among the three mangrove sites.
Table 2. Pearson correlation coefficient values (r) between soil properties and summer fluxes of greenhouse gases in Jiulong River Estuary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil parameter</th>
<th>Fluxes of gases</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redox potential</td>
<td>-0.323</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>-0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺-N</td>
<td>0.575*</td>
<td>0.730**</td>
<td>0.618*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻-N</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.756***</td>
<td>0.838***</td>
<td>0.713**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKN</td>
<td>0.812***</td>
<td>0.541*</td>
<td>0.724**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, ** and *** indicate significant r value at p<0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively (n=18).
Table 3. Net ecosystem production and the mitigating effects of wetlands on global warming in the Jiulong River Estuary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study site</th>
<th>Soil C-gas emission (g C m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Net primary production (gC m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Net ecosystem production (gC m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>CO(_2) equivalent flux (g CO(_2) m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Plant CO(_2) sequestration rate (g CO(_2) m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ecosystem CO(_2) mitigation effect (g CO(_2) m(^{-2}) yr(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1746</td>
<td>45 65 1015 1125</td>
<td>7420</td>
<td>6295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XG</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>1832</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>89 641 1470 2200</td>
<td>6719</td>
<td>4519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMI</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>24 9 307 340</td>
<td>3652</td>
<td>3312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>53 238 931 1222</td>
<td>5930</td>
<td>4708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPT: Caoputou; XG: Xiaguo; HMI: Haimen Island.
6 Figure captions

7 Fig. 1 Map of the Jiulong River Estuary, China. Numbers 1-3 indicate the positions of the three sampling sites in this study.
8 1: Caoputou; 2: Xiaguo; 3: Haimen Island.

9 Fig. 2 Soil to atmosphere greenhouse gas flux at the mangrove sites in Jiulong River Estuary. Same abbreviation as Fig. 1. In each season, different letters (in lower case) indicated significant difference among the three mangrove sites according to ANOVA test. For each mangrove site, different letters (in capital) indicated significant difference among the four seasons.

12 Fig. 3 Soil characteristics at the mangrove sites in Jiulong River Estuary. Same abbreviation as Fig. 1. Different letters indicated significant difference among the three mangrove sites according to ANOVA test.

Fig. 3