Contribution and pathways of diazotroph derived nitrogen to zooplankton during the VAHINE mesocosm experiment in the oligotrophic New Caledonia lagoon
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Abstract

In oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans, where strong stratification can limit the replenishment of surface nitrate, dinitrogen ($N_2$) fixation by diazotrophs can represent a significant source of nitrogen (N) for primary production. The VAHINE experiment was designed to examine the fate of diazotroph derived nitrogen (DDN) in such ecosystems. In austral summer 2013 three large (~ 50 m$^3$) in situ mesocosms were deployed for 23 days in the New Caledonia lagoon, an ecosystem that typifies the low-nutrient, low-chlorophyll environment, to stimulate diazotroph production. The zooplankton component of the study aimed to measure the incorporation of DDN into zooplankton biomass, and assess the role of direct diazotroph grazing by zooplankton as a DDN uptake pathway. Inside the mesocosms the diatom-diazotroph association (DDA) het-1 predominated during day 5-15 while the unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacteria UCYN-C predominated during day 15-23. A *Trichodesmium* bloom was observed in the lagoon (outside the mesocosms) towards the end of the experiment. The zooplankton community was dominated by copepods (63% of total abundance) for the duration of the experiment. Using two source N isotope mixing models we estimated a mean ~ 30% contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass at the start of the experiment, indicating that the natural summer peak of $N_2$ fixation in the lagoon was already contributing significantly to the zooplankton. Stimulation of $N_2$ fixation BNF in the mesocosms corresponded with a generally low level enhancement of DDN contribution to zooplankton biomass, but with a peak of ~ 70% in Mesocosm 1 following the UCYN-C bloom. qPCR analysis targeting four of the common diazotroph groups present in the mesocosms (*Trichodesmium*, het-1, het-2, UCYN-C) demonstrated that all were ingested by copepod grazers and that target abundance generally corresponded with their *in situ* abundance. $^{15}N_2$ labeled grazing experiments provided evidence for direct ingestion and assimilation of UCYN-C-derived N by the zooplankton, but not for het-1 and *Trichodesmium*, supporting an important role of secondary pathways of DDN to the zooplankton for the latter groups, i.e., DDN contributions to the dissolved N pool and uptake by non-diazotrophs. This study appears to provide the first evidence of direct UCYN-C grazing by zooplankton, and indicates that UCYN-C-derived N contributes significantly to the zooplankton food web in the New Caledonia lagoon though a combination of direct grazing and secondary pathways.
1 Introduction

Dinitrogen (N₂) fixation by diazotrophs is considered to be the most important external source of reduced nitrogen (N) for the ocean, exceeding atmospheric and riverine inputs (Gruber et al., 2004). The nitrogenase enzyme gives diazotrophs the capacity to reduce N₂ gas into bioavailable ammonium. This new N is particularly important in the oligotrophic tropical and subtropical oceans, where strong stratification limits the upward mixing of nitrate replete deep water into the photic zone, sustaining ~50% of primary productivity (Karl et al., 1997). In addition, some experimental research indicates that N₂ fixation will be enhanced by rising atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations and ocean warming, highlighting a potentially increasingly important role of diazotrophs in the oceanic carbon and N cycles (Hutchins et al., 2009; Hutchins et al., 2007; Levitan et al., 2007; Sheridan and Landry, 2004).

Stable isotope analysis has served as a powerful tool for investigating the contribution of new N to pelagic food webs (Carpenter et al., 1999; Hannides et al., 2009; Landrum et al., 2011; Mompean et al., 2013; Montoya et al., 2002). N₂ gas has an N isotope ratio (δ¹⁵N) of 0 ‰ and preferential uptake of ¹⁴N leads to δ¹⁵N as low as -2.5 ‰ for diazotrophs (Montoya et al., 2002). By comparison, the average ocean nitrate δ¹⁵N is ~ 5 ‰ (Sigman et al., 1999; Sigman et al., 1997), leading to higher δ¹⁵N for primary producers using this source. The δ¹⁵N signatures of zooplankton reflect the balance between these contrasting N sources, the relative contributions of which can be estimated using a two part mixing model (Montoya et al., 2002). This modeling approach has been used to demonstrate a significant contribution of diazotroph derived N (DDN) to particulate matter and zooplankton biomass (Aberle et al., 2010; Landrum et al., 2011; Loick-Wilde et al., 2012; Mompean et al., 2013; Montoya et al., 2002; Sommer et al., 2006; Wannicke et al., 2013), and transfer of DDN beyond zooplankton to micronekton (Hunt et al., 2015). However, despite this measured contribution of DDN, questions remain as to the pathways of DDN into marine food webs (Wannicke et al., 2013).

Cyanobacteria are considered the major N₂-fixing microorganisms in the oceans (Zehr, 2011). The open ocean diazotrophic cyanobacteria can be divided into three groups (Luo et al., 2012): (1) non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria, e.g. *Trichodesmium* spp. (Capone et al., 2005); (2) heterocystous cyanobacteria frequently found in association with diatoms (diatom-diazotroph associations (DDAs; see review by (Foster and O’Mullan, 2008)), e.g., *Richelia* in association with *Rhizosolenia* and *Hemiaulus* (Rhizosolenia and Hemiaulus are often referred to and quantified by...
the Richelia strain that associates with each, het-1 and het-2, respectively); and (3) unicellular cyanobacterial lineages (UCYN-A, B, and C), with a size range of between 1 and 6 μm (Moisander et al., 2010). Until recently, research related to the role of fixed N in marine food webs has largely focused on Trichodesmium spp. It is generally considered that the majority of Trichodesmium DDN reaches the food web through the release of dissolved N (Capone et al., 1994; Glibert and Bronk, 1994; Mulholland and Bronk, 2004; Mulholland and Capone, 2001) which is taken up by heterotrophic and autotrophic microbes (Bonnet et al., in revision), and which are subsequently consumed by the zooplankton (Capone et al., 1997; O’Neil and Roman, 1992). Dissolved N is released through a combination of endogenous and exogenous processes, including viral lysis (Hewson et al., 2004), zooplankton sloppy feeding (O’Neil et al., 1996), or programmed cell death (Berman-Frank et al., 2004). Recent research has demonstrated that UCYN can release similar amounts of dissolved N to Trichodesmium (Berthelot et al., 2015a).

The direct pathway of DDN to pelagic food webs, via zooplankton grazing, has been considered limited due to cyanobacteria possessing cyanotoxins (Guo and Tester, 1994), large cell size in the case of filamentous cyanobacteria such as Trichodesmium spp. and Nodularia spp. and poor nutritional quality (O’Neil and Roman, 1992; O’Neil, 1999). Experimental studies of direct zooplankton grazing on cyanobacteria have yielded conflicting results. Reduced feeding and egg production rates were measured for the Baltic Sea calanoid copepods Eurytemora affinis and Acartia bifilosa when fed a mixed cyanobacteria diet, while others (Koski et al., 2002) reported that A. bifilosa feeding and egg production rates were unaffected by a diet of Nodularia spp.. In another Baltic Sea study, direct grazing of cyanobacteria was demonstrated to be more prevalent amongst cladocera (small crustacean) than copepods, and that they favoured the cyanobacterium Aphanizomenon over Nodularia (Wannicke et al., 2013). Direct grazing on Trichodesmium spp. has been demonstrated for the harpacticoid copepod Macrosetella gracilis, Miracia efferata, and Oculosetella gracilis in the Caribbean (O’Neil et al., 1996; O’Neil and Roman, 1994) and Acartia tonsa in the north Atlantic (Guo and Tester, 1994). In the north Atlantic, stable isotope measured zooplankton DDN uptake suggested enhanced uptake when DDA abundance was higher than Trichodesmium spp., though the actual DDN uptake pathways could not be determined (Montoya et al., 2002). Combined, the results of previous research indicate that direct grazing can be an important pathway of DDN into marine food webs, but that it is dependent on both the cyanobacteria and zooplankton community composition.
The New Caledonian coral lagoon in the southwestern Pacific is a tropical low-nutrient low-chlorophyll (LNLC) system. Oligotrophic ocean water enters the lagoon from the south and is driven north by the trade winds and tidal forcing before exiting through several deep inlets in the intertidal barrier reef that forms the western boundary of the lagoon (Ouillon et al., 2010). Primary productivity is N-limited throughout the year (Torréton et al., 2010), giving N₂-fixing microorganisms a competitive advantage over non-diazotrophic organisms. High abundance of diazotrophs have been reported during the austral summer, for both *Trichodesmium* spp. (Rodier and Le Borgne, 2010) and UCYN (Biegala and Raimbault, 2008). The New Caledonian lagoon therefore represents an ideal location to investigate the ecosystem role of diazotrophs. Accordingly, this location was selected for the implementation of the 23 day VAHINE mesocosm experiment in the austral summer of 2013. A full description of this experiment is provided by Bonnet et al. (2015), with core details outlined in the methods below. VAHINE was designed specifically to investigate the fate of DDN in the ecosystem, i.e., its transfer to the planktonic food web and its contribution to export production (Bonnet et al., in preparation). Here we present the zooplankton component of the VAHINE program. Our aims were 1) to measure the contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass, and 2) investigate the role of direct grazing by zooplankton on diazotrophs as a pathway for DDN into the zooplankton food web.

2 Material and methods

2.1. Mesocosms description and zooplankton sampling and processing

Briefly, during VAHINE three large volume (~50 m³) mesocosms (M1-3) were deployed 28 km off the coast (22°9.10 S; 166°26.90 E) in the south-west (Noumea) of the New Caledonian lagoon, from 13 January 2013 (day 1) to 4 February 2013 (day 23). The site was located at a depth of 25 m, in close proximity to Boulari passage and thus strongly influenced by oceanic oligotrophic waters coming from outside the lagoon. Each mesocosm enclosure comprised a cylindrical bag 2.3 m in diameter and 15 m deep. The mesocosms open tops were maintained at a height of ~1 m above the surface to prevent external water additions. Screw-top plastic bottles (250 mL) were attached to the bottom of the mesocosms to collect sinking particles, and these were serviced daily by scuba divers. To alleviate potential phosphorus limitation and intentionally stimulate diazotrophy, the mesocosms were fertilized with ~0.8 µmol L⁻¹ of dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) on day 4 of the experiment. Physical conditions (Bonnet et al., 2015), primary production
and N₂ fixation rates (Berthelot et al., 2015b) were monitored daily in the mesocosms and in an adjacent control site throughout the experiment (hereafter called lagoon waters), the methods and results of which are described in detail in the cited publications.

Zooplankton were sampled on seven occasions from the three mesocosms and lagoon waters (the control site), at intervals of every 3 to 4 days, always between 9:30 and 10:30 am. Sampling was with a 30 cm diameter, 100 cm long, 80 μm mesh net fitted with a filtering cod end. On each sampling occasion three vertical hauls (hereafter called Samples 1, 2 and 3) were collected from the upper 10 m of each site. The total volume sampled on each occasion (sum of the three nets) was 2.13 m³, representing 4 % of the total mesocosm volume. As reported below, zooplankton densities did not vary appreciably over the course of the experiment, indicating that the sampling did not significantly impact the mesocosm communities.

All zooplankton samples were stored in a cooler and returned to the Amedee Island field station located 1 nautical mile from the mesocosms site for processing within 30-60 minutes of the final net haul. Zooplankton Sample 1 was split in half and one half preserved in 4 % buffered formaldehyde for community composition analysis and the other half filtered onto a pre-combusted 25 mm GF/F filter for measurement of total zooplankton biomass. Sample 2 was filtered onto a pre-combusted (450°C, 4 h) 25 mm GF/F filter for stable isotope analysis. Sample 3 was drained using a 64 μm sieve within 60-90 minutes of collection, and held in its original collection jar in an insulated cool container with ice packs until returning to the Noumea laboratory for processing ~ 6 h later. In the Noumea laboratory, Sample 3 was filtered onto a 2 μm polycarbonate filter and then frozen in a cryovial at -80°C for molecular analysis of zooplankton gut contents.

Taxonomic analysis of the zooplankton community was completed using a stereo microscope, from a 1/8 to 1/16 fraction of each sample. Specimens were identified to the level of order and enumerated. The category copepod nauplii comprised a mix of calanoid, cyclopoid and poecilostomatoid copepods. No flowmeter was used with the nets and counts were converted to individuals m⁻³ assuming that the net sampled with 100 % efficiency. Samples for biomass estimation were rinsed with ammonium formate to remove salt, dried at 50°C for 48 h, and weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg using a microbalance. Values were converted to mg Dry Weight (DW) m⁻³.
Zooplankton samples for stable isotope analysis were first dried at 50°C for 48 h. Zooplankton were subsequently removed from the GF/F filter, homogenized using a mortar and pestle, and packaged into ~1 mg sub-samples. Stable isotope analysis of these samples was performed at the IsoEnvironmental Laboratory (http://www.isoenviron.co.za/), Rhodes University, South Africa, with a Europa Scientific 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) linked to a preparation unit (ANCA SL). Casein and a mixture of beet sugar and ammonium sulphate were used as internal standards and were calibrated against the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) standards CH-6 and N-1) and the IRMS certified reference material EMA-P2 (see Certificate BN/132357). δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N were determined in parts per thousand (‰) relative to external standards of Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite and atmospheric N. Repeated measurements of an internal standard indicated measurement precision of ±0.09 ‰ and ±0.19 ‰ for δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N respectively. The δ¹⁵N of Suspended Particulate Matter (PNₜₚₜₑₜ) was measured daily in each mesocosm and in lagoon waters to provide a baseline value for the pool of particles available for zooplankton grazing. Discrete water samples were collected daily from 6 m depth and filtered onto pre-combusted 25 mm GF/F filters. δ¹⁵N values were determined by high-temperature combustion coupled with isotope ratio mass spectrometry using a Delta Plus Thermo Fisher Scientific mass spectrometer (Knapp et al., in preparation).

2.2. Zooplankton DNA extraction and quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Individual copepods were picked from each filter and identified to order (Calanoid, Harpactacoid, or Cyclopoid). Copepods were then placed in autoclaved artificial seawater (ASW) and visually inspected under a dissecting microscope for contamination from phytoplankton and detritus particularly in the mouthparts and appendages. Large particles were picked clean from the mouthparts and appendages with 20µm minutien pins (Fine Science Tools, Foster City, CA USA) before subsequently rinsing through 5 sterile baths of autoclaved ASW water and a final inspection under an epifluorescence microscope equipped with blue (450-490 nm) and green (510-560 nm) excitation filters (Boling et al., 2012). Number of copepods and composition varied with each tow and can be found in Table 1. Aside from the day 5 samples from M1, where copepods were extracted by order, all copepods per sample were pooled together for extraction. DNA extraction was performed with the Qiagen DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit using slight modifications to the manufacturers “Animal Tissue (Spin-Column)” protocol. An overnight (12 hour) lysis step was
performed, all reagent volumes were 50 % of the manufacturer’s suggestions, and the final elution volume was 35 µl in the provided “Buffer AE.”

For the qPCR assays, we used the TaqMAN primers and probes described by (Church et al., 2005) for *Trichodesmium* spp., het-1 (*Richelia* associated with the diatom *Rhizosolenia*) and het-2 (*Richelia* associated with the diatom *Hemiaulus*), and unicellular group C (UCYN-C) primers and probes described by (Foster et al., 2007). The 4 target diazotrophs were selected based on their being the most abundant N₂ fixers throughout the mesocosm experiment (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). For all TaqMAN PCR, the 20 µL reactions contained 10 µL of 2X Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Stockholm Sweden), 5.5 µL of nuclease free water, 1.0 µL each of Forward and Reverse Primer (0.5 µmol L⁻¹) and 0.5 µL of fluorogenic probe (0.25 µmol L⁻¹) and 2 µL of template. Each reaction was performed in triplicate and 2 µL of no template controls (NTCs) were run. All PCR amplifications were conducted in an ABI Step One Plus system (Applied Biosystems) with the following parameters: 50 °C for 2 min., 95 °C for 20 s, and 40 cycles of 95 °C for 1 s, followed by 60 °C for 20 s. Gene copy abundances were calculated from the mean number of cycle (Ct) of the three replicates and the standard curve for the appropriate primer and probe set (see below). In samples where one or two of the three replicates produced an amplification signal, these are noted as detectable but not quantifiable.

For each primer and probe set, duplicate standard curves were made from 10-fold dilutions ranging from 1 to 10⁸ copies per reaction. The standards curves were synthesized 359 bp gene fragments (gBlocks, Integrated DNA Technologies, Leuven, Belgium) of the nifH gene. Regression analyses of the number of cycles (Ct) of the standard curves were calculated in Excel.

### 2.3. Zoopankton ingestion of diazotrophs: ¹⁵N₂ labeled grazing experiments

Direct grazing by zooplankton on diazotrophs was assessed by a series of three ¹⁵N₂ labeling experiments. Each experiment consisted of ¹⁵N₂-labeled bottle incubations of freshly collected zooplankton in the presence of natural phytoplankton assemblages. The ¹⁵N₂ label was taken up by the diazotroph in the incubation bottle and used as a marker of zooplankton diazotroph ingestion.

For each experiment (E1, E2 and E4), zooplankton was collected after sunset (18:00-19:00 h) by repeated 1m s⁻¹ vertical hauls with the same net used for daytime zooplankton collections (see above), in close proximity to the mesocosms site. Live zooplankton were collected with a 64 µm sieve and placed in three 25 L polycarbonate carboys (two net tows per carboy) filled with seawater.
collected using a Teflon pump (St-Gobain Performance Plastics) from M1 (1 m depth) on day 12 for experiment E1, during a DDA dominated period (> 80 % of diazotroph community comprised \textit{Richelia} associated with \textit{Rhizosolenia}, i.e., het-1); from M2 (1 m depth) on day 17 for experiment E2, during a UCYN-C bloom (comprising > 80 % of diazotroph community); and from lagoon waters (1 m depth) on day 23 for E4 during a \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. bloom (comprising > 80 % of diazotroph community) (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). Although each experiment was > 80 % dominated by a single diazotroph species, it must be noted that each contained other diazotroph species. Carboys were filled to the top, leaving no head space, and tightly closed with septum caps. Carboys were immediately amended with 26 ml \textsuperscript{15}N\textsubscript{2} gas (Cambridge isotopes, 98.9 atom\% \textsuperscript{15}N) using a gas-tight syringe, gently agitated 20 times to facilitate the \textsuperscript{15}N\textsubscript{2} bubble dissolution, and incubated in situ on a mooring line close to the mesocosms site at the sampling depth (1 m) for 24 to 96 h.

Zooplankton T0 atomic enrichment was measured in triplicate for E1 and the average value was used as the baseline for E1, E2 and E4. Incubation termination times were 24, 48, and 72 h for E1; 24, 72, 96 h for E2; 24 and 40 h for E4 (Table 2). After incubation, animals were recovered from each carboy by gravity filtration onto a 64 µm mesh sieve, transferred to a 20 µm polycarbonate filter and frozen until the end of the VAHINE experiment. Subsequently, the zooplankton on the filters were identified to order and enumerated under a stereo microscope (Table 2) before being dried at 24 h at 60 °C. In all cases composition comprised an 87-100 % mix of Poecilostomatoid and Calanoid copepods. All individuals from each time point were pooled for measurement of bulk zooplankton PON \textsuperscript{15}N enrichment, using a Delta plus Thermo Fisher Scientific isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Bremen, Germany) coupled with an elemental analyzer (Flash EA, ThermoFisher Scientific).

The atomic enrichment of the dominant diazotrophs during each experiment were measured after 24 hour incubation in a parallel experiment, using the same enrichment procedure as the zooplankton grazing experiment, designed to trace the fate of DDN in phytoplankton (Berthelot et al., 2015b; Bonnet et al., in revision; Bonnet et al., in review). Accordingly, atomic enrichment was obtained for UCYN-C (E2) and \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. (E4), but not for DDA (E1).
A sample by taxon matrix was created using taxon specific densities. Densities were fourth root transformed and the percentage similarity between stations from all surveys was calculated using the Bray-Curtis similarity index (Field et al., 1982). The similarity matrix was then ordinated using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), summarising between sample variation in community composition into two dimensions. This multivariate analyses were performed using PRIMER 6 (Clarke and Warwick, 2001). The NMDS had a stress value of 0.23. The first two dimensions of the ordination were plotted against sampling date for each mesocosm and the lagoon site to enable visual assessment of the change in zooplankton composition over the course of the experiment.

2.5. Calculation of DDN contribution to zooplankton biomass

The contribution of DDN (%) to zooplankton δ15N (ZDDN) in each sample collected during this study was calculated using a two source mixing model following (Sommer et al., 2006):

\[
\text{Equation 1: } \% \text{ ZDDN} = 100 \times \left( \frac{\delta^{15}N_{\text{zpl}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{zpl ref}}}{\text{TEF} + \delta^{15}N_{\text{diazo}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{zpl ref}}} \right)
\]

where \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{zpl}}\) is the isotopic signature of the zooplankton collected during the experiment; TEF is the trophic enrichment factor, which was set at 2.2 (McCutchan et al., 2003; Vanderklift and Ponsard, 2003); \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{diazo}}\) is the isotopic signature of diazotrophs, set at -2 ‰ (Montoya et al., 2002); \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{zpl ref}}\) is the isotopic signature of zooplankton assuming nitrate based phytoplankton production, set at 6.7 ‰ assuming a baseline nitrate \(\delta^{15}N\) of 4.5 ‰ (Montoya et al., 2002) and a TEF of 2.2. Daily DDN production ingested by the zooplankton each day (mg Dry Weight day\(^{-1}\)) was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Equation 2: } \text{daily DDN ingested day}^{-1} = \left( \frac{\text{N production} + \text{N excretion}}{\text{assimilation efficiency}} \right) \times \% \text{ ZDDN}
\]

Calculations were based on production and excretion values measured by (Le Borgne et al., 1997) in Uvea Lagoon. These authors measured rates for two size classes (35-200 μm and 200–2000 μm). Since our sampling spanned both of these size classes we used mean rates: daily zooplankton production (mg DW d\(^{-1}\)) was calculated using a Production: Biomass ratio of 114 %; daily
excretion assuming a net growth efficiency (K) of 0.513; ingestion assuming an assimilation efficiency of 0.7; and N content (mg DW) using the value of 4.25 % for a mixed zooplankton community. Finally, we estimated the percentage of daily DDN production consumed by zooplankton:

Equation 3:

\[
\% \text{ daily DDN production ingested day}^{-1} = 100 \times \left( \frac{\text{daily DDN ingested day}^{-1}}{\text{daily DDN production}} - 1 \right)
\]

Daily DDN production (N\(_2\) fixation) was calculated from the mean of the three measurement depths in each mesocosms (Berthelot et al., 2015b).

3 Results

3.1. Environmental context

Briefly, seawater temperature increased inside the mesocosms and in Noumea lagoon waters from 25.5 to 26.2 °C over the course of the experiment. The water column was well mixed in the mesocosms as temperature and salinity were homogeneous with depth over the course of the experiment (Bonnet et al., in preparation). Prior to the DIP fertilization on day 4 (hereafter called P0), DIP concentrations in the mesocosms ranged from 0.02 to 0.05 μmol L\(^{-1}\) (Berthelot et al., 2015b). The day after the fertilization, DIP concentrations were ~ 0.8 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in all mesocosms. Subsequently the concentrations decreased steadily towards initial concentrations by the end of the experiment. Depth averaged nitrate+nitrite concentrations were below 0.04 μmol L\(^{-1}\) the day before DIP fertilization and decreased to 0.01 μmol L\(^{-1}\) towards the end of the experiment. In lagoon waters, nitrate+nitrite remained below 0.20 μmol L\(^{-1}\) and DIP averaged 0.05 μmol L\(^{-1}\) throughout the experiment.

Bulk N\(_2\) fixation rates averaged 18.5±1.1 nmol N L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) over the 23 days of the experiment in the three mesocosms (all depths averaged together; (Bonnet et al., in review)). Rates increased significantly in the mesocosms over the course of the experiment to reach an average of 27.3±1.0 nmol N L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) during the second half of the experiment (day 15 to day 23, hereafter called P2) (Bonnet et al., in review). N\(_2\) fixation rates measured in the lagoon waters were significantly
(p<0.05) lower than those measured in lagoon waters (9.2±4.7 nmol N L⁻¹ d⁻¹) over the 23 days of the experiment. They did not differ significantly over the experimental period.

The diazotroph assemblage in the lagoon on the day that the mesocosm experiment was initiated was composed primarily of DDAs (het-1: *Richelia* associated with *Rhizosolenia*; and het-2: *Richelia* associated with *Hemiaulus*) and the symbiotic UCYN-A2 and A1 (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). *Trichodesmium* spp. and UCYN-C were minor components, and at least an additional three phylotypes were present, including one heterotrophic diazotroph. The abundance and community of diazotrophs changed extensively in the mesocosms over the course of the experiment. From day 1 to 4 a shift in the starting community was observed in the mesocosms. Het-1 remained the most abundant diazotroph, however, UCYN-A2 abundances decreased and *Trichodesmium* spp. abundances increased with respect to their abundances in the lagoon, while UCYN-C remained at low abundance levels. After DIP fertilisation, from day 5 to day 14 (hereafter called P1), the abundance of het-1 increased. Following day 15 the community shifted towards dominance of UCYN-C, the abundance of which increased substantially during P2 (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). Het-1 was the dominant diazotroph in the lagoon waters where a *Trichodesmium* spp. bloom began to develop during P2, after day 20 (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). Chlorophyll a (Chl a) biomass was < 0.3 μg L⁻¹ in all three mesocosms during P0 and P1 (Leblanc et al., in preparation). During P2, Chl a increased in all the mesocosms, but particularly M3, reaching maximum depth-averaged concentrations of 0.55, 0.47 and 1.29 μg L⁻¹ in M1, M2 and M3, respectively. Lagoon Chl a followed a similar pattern to the mesocosms, being < 0.3 μg L⁻¹ during the P0 and P1 timeframe, and increasing to a lower extent to 0.42 μg L⁻¹ during P2.

3.2. Zooplankton
Zooplankton abundance at the start of the experiment averaged ~ 5,000 ind m⁻³ in lagoon waters, M1 and M2, while it was 10,735 ind m⁻³ in M3 (Figure 1). Over the course of the experiment abundance in M1 and M2 ranged between 5425 and 1741 ind m⁻³. M1 densities had a slight declining trend, while M2 densities were relatively stable, even increasing towards the end of the experiment. In M3, zooplankton abundance was consistently higher than M1 and M2 though declining after day 12 from 6618 ind m⁻³ to 4256 ind m⁻³ on day 23. The lagoon waters differed from the mesocosms with zooplankton abundance levels increasing to peak at 13,113 ind m⁻³ on day 16, before declining to ~ 7,300 ind m⁻³ on day 23. Zooplankton had a mean biomass of 24 mg
DW m\(^{-3}\) and ranged between 17.2 and 40 mg DW m\(^{-3}\) (Figure 1). No consistent temporal pattern in zooplankton biomass was detected over the course of the experiment.

The zooplankton community was dominated by copepod nauplii at all sites, with the exception of day 2 at M2 when poecilostomatoids dominated and day 9 at M1 when appendicularians dominated (Figure 2). Copepod nauplii contributed an average of 51 % to total abundance (2784 ind m\(^{-3}\)). Appendicularians were the next most abundant group, contributing an average of 15.1 % to total abundance (801 ind m\(^{-3}\)), followed by poecilostomatoid copepods at 11.5 % (541 ind m\(^{-3}\)). Peaks in appendicularian abundance were observed during P1 in M1 and M3. Cyclopoid, calanoid and harpacticoid copepods contributed 5.5, 5, and 1.4 % to total abundance respectively. Although the proportional contributions of these groups were low, their abundance levels were relatively high, averaging 276, 265, and 72 ind m\(^{-3}\) for cyclopoid, calanoid and harpacticoids, respectively.

Bray Curtis similarity levels among samples exceeded 70 % in all cases with the exception of the day 19 control sample (~ 65 %). This is on the high range of similarity for zooplankton communities (Hunt et al., 2008). The first dimension of the NMDS was most variable over the course of the experiment, and between site variability was highest on day 2 (Figure 3). Subsequent to day 2, NMDS scores for the three mesocosm converged, with M1 and M2 having the greatest similarity. The NMDS scores for Dimension 1 in all mesocosms diverged from the lagoon waters after day 9. The opposite directional trends of the mesocosms versus the lagoon waters was driven primarily by changes in abundance levels of the same pool of species.

Zooplankton $\delta^{15}N$ averaged 4.9, 4.2, 4.8 and 5.2 ‰ in lagoon waters, M1, M2, and M3, respectively (Figure 4). Zooplankton $\delta^{15}N$ were relatively consistent over the course of the experiment in M2 and M3. In M1, zooplankton $\delta^{15}N$ decreased from a mean of 5 ‰ between day 2 and 12 (P0 and P1) to a mean of 3.2 ‰ from day 16 to 23 (P2). In lagoon waters, a decline in zooplankton $\delta^{15}N$ was evident over the course of the experiment, from 6.02 ‰ on day 5 to 4.38 ‰ on day 23.

The $\delta^{15}N$ of PN\(_{susp}\) was more variable than the zooplankton, commensurate with the expected higher cellular turnover rates of the PN\(_{susp}\) constituents relative to zooplankton. In M3, PN\(_{susp}\) $\delta^{15}N$ increased to the same level as the zooplankton on day 11 and remained at that level until the end of the experiment. An increase in PN\(_{susp}\) $\delta^{15}N$ to above zooplankton levels was observed in lagoon waters and M2 after day 20. Zooplankton $\delta^{15}N$ averaged 1.2 ‰ higher than PN\(_{susp}\) across all sites, less than the expected 2.2 ‰ one trophic level difference between the PN\(_{susp}\) and zooplankton.
The percent contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass averaged 30% (range = 15 to 70%) in the mesocosms and 28% (range = 11 to 38%) in the lagoon waters (Figure 5) over the 23 days experiment. The highest percent contribution of DDN to zooplankton was measured in M1 on day 16 (70%). The contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass in M2 and the lagoon increased steadily from ~20% in the middle of P1 (day 9) to 38% by the end of the experiment. An initial increase in the contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass was observed in M1 and M3 after 9 until day 16, after which it declined until the end of the experiment despite these mesocosms having the highest N2 fixation rates (Bonnet et al., in review).

Estimated daily DDN production ingested by the zooplankton reached > 100% across all conditions between day 2 and 9, but decreased in both the mesocosms and lagoon waters after day 9. The decrease was greatest in the mesocosms, corresponding with the higher N2 fixation rates in these sites (Bonnet et al., in review). By the end of the experiment, daily DDN production ingested was 22-34% across the three mesocosms. In lagoon waters, where N2 fixation rates were lower, daily DDN production ingested ranged between 111 and 61% until day 23.

3.3. Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

In general, the qPCR was successful in amplifying and detecting the 4 different targets (het-1, het-2, Trichodesmium spp., and UCYN-C) in the copepods collected during the mesocosm experiment. Poor detection was listed as either below detection (bd) or detectable but not quantifiable (dnq) (see methods).

Of all the oligonucleotides tested, the het-2 and Trichodesmium spp. targets were the least detected. However when het-2 and Trichodesmium spp. targets were detected, the abundance was high, e.g., 62.1 and 264.4 nifH copies/copepod respectively, in M2 during P0 (day 2). Subsequently het-2 detection was bd for the remainder of the experiment, with the exception of two dnq samples, one from the lagoon during P0 (day 2) and another from M2 towards the end of P1 (day 12). Trichodesmium spp. targets were bd after day 2, until 277.9 nifH copies/copepod was quantified from a M2 sample on day 16. Overall, Trichodesmium spp. was more prevalent during P2, being quantifiable or dnq in 5 of 9 samples. Het-1 and UCYN-C were higher in detection, each being bd in only 6 of the 19 samples tested. Het-1 targets were the most frequently detected, occurring at high abundance (16.5-173.3 nifH copies/copepod) in all of the mesocosms and lagoon waters during P1 and the beginning of P2, but were bd or dnq after day 19. UCYN-C was detected most
frequently and at highest abundance during P2, corresponding with this group's peak occurrence in the mesocosms.

3.4. $^{15}$N$_2$ labeled grazing experiments on zooplankton

After 24 h incubation the atomic enrichment of UCYN-C was 1.515 atom % and *Trichodesmium* spp. 0.613 atom %. No direct measurement of atomic enrichment was obtained from DDA. The average atomic enrichment of zooplankton at T=0 in E1 was 0.373±0.005 atom %. This T0 value was applied as the baseline for E2 and E4. Zooplankton showed weak atomic enrichment over the course of E1 (het-1 dominated diazotroph community) and none over the course of E4 (*Trichodesmium* spp. dominated diazotroph community) (Figure 6). Conversely, a large increase of ~0.1 atom% was measured over the course of E2 (UCYN-C dominated diazotroph community). Although E1 and E4 were of shorter duration than E2, discernable atomic enrichment was measured in E2 even after 24 h. The only instance where the dominant diazotroph in the water collected on the day of experiment initiation was also detected in high abundance in copepod guts on or within one day of this water collection was E2 / UCYN-C (Table 1; Figure 6). *Trichodesmium* spp. was detected in copepod guts on day 23 in the lagoon (E4), while there was no evidence of het-1 in copepod guts on day 12 (E2).

4 Discussion

The zooplankton biomass sampled during VAHINE, both inside the mesocosms and in lagoon waters, was in the normal range for the New Caledonian lagoon (Le Borgne et al., 2010). Over the course of the experiment ~28 % of the total volume of each mesocosm was sampled. An additional 2-5 % of the zooplankton community was lost to the mesocosm sediment traps and qualified as swimmers (Berthelot et al., 2015b). These two sources of losses likely accounted for the slight declining trend in abundance in M1 and M2, and M3 after day 12. Despite the divergence of lagoon waters and mesocosms abundance levels over the course of the experiment, a high level of similarity (> 70 %) was maintained in the community composition among sites, indicating that the mesocosm zooplankton communities remained largely representative of the natural lagoon conditions. On average this community comprised 63 % copepods, with the next highest community contributor being appendicularians (~15%). Harpacticoid copepods, which have previously been noted as important diazotroph grazers contributed <1.5 % on average.
The δ¹⁵N of PNₘₚₜ over the course of the experiment was high in comparison to measurements from other areas of the world’s oceans with significant N₂ fixation (Altabet, 1988; Dore et al., 2002; Montoya et al., 2002). It has been noted that elevated δ¹⁵N of PNₘₚₜ in the New Caledonian lagoon may be influenced by island runoff, and particularly untreated sewage which typically has a δ¹⁵N of 5‰ to 20‰ (Cole et al., 2004). Although the VAHINE site was located 28 km from the coast, and strongly influenced by inflowing oceanic water, the elevated δ¹⁵N of PNₘₜₜ, despite a high contribution of N₂ fixation, indicated that the δ¹⁵N of PNₘₜₜ was influenced by land-derived inputs (Knapp et al., in preparation). Notably the δ¹⁵N of PNₘₜₜ did not show a decreasing trend over the course of the experiment, either inside or outside the mesocosms, even increasing in M3 during P2, despite the increasing N₂ fixation rates in all mesocosms. In contrast, the δ¹⁵N of PNₘₜₜ settling in the sediment traps decreased with time from 4.2±0.2 ‰ during P0, to 3.0±0.4 ‰ during P1 and 2.3±0.9 ‰ during P2 (Knapp et al., in preparation). Indeed, it is estimated that the majority of the DDN that accumulated over the course of the experiment was exported to the sediment traps, either through direct sedimentation of diazotrophs or of non-diazotrophic phytoplankton that had taken up dissolved N sourced from the DDN pool (Bonnet et al., in review).

Overall, zooplankton δ¹⁵N in the mesocosms and lagoon tended to decline gradually over the course of the experiment, with the exception of M1 where a more marked decline was observed during P2. A similar, albeit shorter (9 days), mesocosm study conducted in the Baltic Sea measured a rapid decrease in zooplankton δ¹⁵N in response to a Nodularia spumigena bloom (Sommer et al., 2006). In that study elevated zooplankton δ¹⁵N (9.9 ‰) at the start of the experiment likely amplified the effect of DDN uptake. During VAHINE, zooplankton δ¹⁵N was ~ 5 ‰ at the start of the experiment, and the estimated mean contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass on day 2 was ~ 30 %. As previously mentioned, diazotroph activity in the New Caledonian lagoon peaks in the summer months (Biegala and Raimbault, 2008; Le Borgne et al., 2010). A time series of monthly zooplankton samples collected between October 2012 and July 2014 reveals a seasonal summer depletion of δ¹⁵N in the New Caledonia lagoon (B. Hunt, unpublished data). It is therefore not surprising that a depletion in zooplankton δ¹⁵N was less marked during VAHINE, which took place during the summer season, despite the increase in N₂ fixation rates observed at all sites through the experiment.

The gradual decline of zooplankton δ¹⁵N corresponded with the increased contribution of DDN to zooplankton biomass over the course of the experiment in both the mesocosms and lagoon, with
the exception of M3. The peak DDN contribution to the zooplankton of 70%, on day 16 in M1, was on the high end of values reported in the literature (subtropical north Atlantic (Landrum et al., 2011). The DDN contribution to the zooplankton (~30%) was within the range of estimates for the subtropical north Atlantic (Landrum et al., 2011; Mompean et al., 2013; Montoya et al., 2002), Baltic Sea (Sommer et al., 2006; Wannicke et al., 2013), and pelagic waters off the New Caledonian shelf (Hunt et al., 2015). The gradual decline of zooplankton $\delta^{15}$N did not match the large increase in N$_2$ fixation rates measured during VAHINE, evident in the declining percent DDN ingested.day$^{-1}$, particularly during P2. This may be explained in part by a lag between ingestion and assimilation of DDN (Rolff, 2000). However, the primary factor was most likely the rapid export of DDN from the water column limiting zooplankton ingestion of new DDN production (Bonnet et al., in review).

The combination of qPCR and $^{15}$N$_2$ labeled grazing experiments provided insights into the potential role of direct grazing on diazotrophs as a pathway for DDN into the zooplankton food web. A caveat of our sampling for the qPCR study was a prolonged period (~6 h) between sample collection and -80°C freezing. Although the samples were stored damp and in an ice container prior to freezing, it is likely that at least some gut evacuation would have occurred because the samples were not anesthetized immediately upon collection (Gannon and Gannon, 1975). Moreover, the qPCR assays were highly specific for their respective targets and as such, if the animals consumed other targets (i.e. other diazotrophs or non-diazotrophs) these would not have been detected or quantified. Finally, DNA extraction is not 100% and underestimation of the targets was therefore also possible.

However, the results from the qPCR assays do provide qualitative insights into zooplankton ingestion of the targeted diazotrophs, and prey selection. All four of the qPCR targeted diazotrophs (*Trichodesmium* spp., het-1, het-2, UCYN-C) were found in zooplankton guts. Overall, the most frequently detected targets were het-1 and UCYN-C. Het-1 was most frequently detected in the zooplankton during P1 and the beginning of P2, when this group dominated the diazotroph community (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). Similarly, UCYN-C was most frequently detected in the zooplankton during P2, consistent with the UCYN-C bloom observed during that period. Although target occurrence in the zooplankton largely reflected the prevalence of the diazotroph in the water column, high detection was also recorded outside of periods of peak diazotroph occurrence. For example, the highest abundance (277 *nifH* copies / copepod) for the *Trichodesmium* spp. target
measured by qPCR was on day 16 in M2, despite low water column abundance of this diazotroph at that time; and het-2 was typically bd with the exception of day 2 when 277 \textit{nifH} copies / copepod were measured, again despite having low water column abundance at that time. This indicates that the generally low abundance of \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. and het-2 may have been due in part to top down control through zooplankton grazing. 

The $^{15}\text{N}_2$ labeled grazing experiments supported direct zooplankton grazing on UCYN-C, and assimilation of ingested UCYN-C-derived N. Conversely, weak if any assimilation of DDN was measured in the experiments where the diazotroph community was dominated by het-1 and \textit{Trichodesmium} spp.. This was a surprising finding given that het-1, and to a lesser extent \textit{Trichodesmium} spp., was detected in high abundance in copepod guts. A contributing factor to the apparent low direct het-1 and \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. DDN uptake may have been a lower atomic enrichment of these diazotrophs. Indeed, the atomic enrichment of UCYN-C was more than double that of \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. in this experiment. Unfortunately the atomic enrichment of het-1 was not measured and thus could not be assessed as a factor in the low to zero atomic enrichment of the copepods in E1. Another contributing factor may have been variable encounter rates of zooplankton with diazotroph prey. The total diazotroph abundance levels at the start of E2 and E4 were double (~ 3.6x10$^5$ and 4.5 x10$^5$ \textit{nifH} copies L$^{-1}$ respectively) those of E1 (1.5x10$^5$ \textit{nifH} copies L$^{-1}$). Lower zooplankton encounter rates with het-1 may therefore have been a factor in the low rate of DDN uptake during E1. Overall, therefore, questions remain as to the efficiency of direct assimilation of het-1 and \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. DDN by zooplankton. However, low to zero atomic enrichment of zooplankton in E1, despite a 72 hour incubation, and previous observations that the filamentous \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. may not be easily digested by zooplankton (O'Neil and Roman, 1992), do suggest that indirect pathways of \textit{Trichodesmium} spp. and het-1 DDN (through, e.g., microzooplankton or non-diazotrophic phytoplankton utilizing the dissolved DDN pool) to the zooplankton are likely to be important.

As far as we are aware, this study provides the first evidence of direct zooplankton grazing on UCYN-C. The average size of UCYN-C cells during VAHINE (5.7 \textmu m) was on the lower end of the spectrum effectively grazed by copepods, the dominant zooplankton during the experiment (Fortier et al., 1994). However, an observation during the VAHINE experiment was that the majority of the UCYN-C existed as aggregates (100-500 \textmu m in size), likely making them more accessible to these grazers (Bonnet et al., in review). During VAHINE it was estimated that ~ 16
% of total fixed N\textsubscript{2} during the UCYN-C bloom period was released to the dissolved pool, of which 
\sim 20 \% was transferred to non-diazotrophic phytoplankton within 24 h (Bonnet et al., in review).
Therefore, although direct grazing on UCYN-C was demonstrated in this study, it is likely that 
secondary pathways were also important in UCYN-C DDN transfer to zooplankton. Notably, the 
largest decline in zooplankton $\delta^{15}$N during VAHINE was observed during the UCYN-C bloom in 
M1, further supporting an important contribution of UCYN-C-derived N to zooplankton biomass 
in the New Caledonian lagoon.

5 Conclusions

The natural N isotope abundance of the zooplankton sampled during the VAHINE experiment 
gave clear evidence for the importance of DDN to the zooplankton food web in the oligotrophic 
south west New Caledonian lagoon. The mean DDN contribution to zooplankton biomass at the 
start of the experiment was \sim 30 \% indicating that the natural summer peak in diazotroph 
production in this region was already contributing significantly to the lagoon plankton food web. 
Stimulation of N\textsubscript{2} fixation rates in the VAHINE mesocosms corresponded with a weak 
enhancement of DDN contribution to zooplankton biomass. This DDN contribution peaked at \sim 
70 \% in M1 which is on the high end of estimates from other regions.

qPCR analysis, targeting four of the common diazotroph groups present during VAHINE 
(Trichodesmium spp., het-1, het-2, UCYN-C), demonstrated that all were ingested by copepod 
grazers. The most frequently detected targets were het-1 and UCYN-C, and their abundance in the 
zooplankton corresponded with their periods of peak abundance in the mesocosms (P1 and P2 
respectively). $^{15}$N\textsubscript{2} labeled grazing experiments provided evidence for direct ingestion and 
assimilation of UCYN-C-derived N by the zooplankton, but not for het-1 and Trichodesmium spp..
We suggest that secondary pathways of Trichodesmium spp. and het-1 DDN to the zooplankton 
are likely to be important.

As far as we are aware, this is the first reported instance of direct UCYN-C grazing by zooplankton.
Aggregation may make this small diazotroph more accessible to zooplankton grazers, however, in 
the absence of aggregation, a high contribution to the dissolved pool, makes UCYN-C-derived N 
accessible to the zooplankton via secondary pathways. Through a combination of these N transfer 
pathways it is evident that UCYN-C-derived N contributes significantly to the zooplankton food 
web in the New Caledonia lagoon.
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Figure 1. Zooplankton abundance (ind m$^{-3}$; above) and biomass (mg DW m$^{-3}$; below) over the 23 day VAHINE experiment (13 January to 4 February 2013) for the three VAHINE mesocosms (M1-3) and the lagoon waters. P0, P1 and P2 refer to the pre-phosphorous fertilization, DDA dominated and UCYN-C dominated periods of the experiment respectively.
Figure 2. Proportional composition of zooplankton groups to total zooplankton abundance in the three VAHINE mesocosms (M1-3) and the lagoon waters.
Figure 3. Zooplankton community NMDS ordination scores (Dimension 1 above and Dimension 2 below), based on Bray-Curtis similarity of fourth root transformed abundance data, over the 23 day VAHINE experiment (13 January to 4 February 2013) for the three VAHINE mesocosms (M1-3) and the lagoon waters. P0, P1 and P2 refer to the pre-phosphorous fertilization, DDA dominated and UCYN-C dominated periods of the experiment respectively.
Figure 4. Nitrogen isotope ($\delta^{15}$N) values of zooplankton and suspended Particulate Nitrogen (PN$_{\text{susp}}$) over the course of the 23 day VAHINE experiment (13 January to 4 February 2013) for the three VAHINE mesocosms (M1-3) and the lagoon waters. P0, P1 and P2 refer to the pre-phosphorous fertilization, DDA dominated and UCYN-C dominated periods of the experiment respectively. Zooplankton values are indicated by a solid lane and PN$_{\text{susp}}$ by a dashed line.
Figure 5. Percent contribution of diazotroph derived nitrogen (DDN) to zooplankton biomass (above) and percent fixed nitrogen ingested by zooplankton.day$^{-1}$ over the course of the 23 day VAHINE experiment (13 January to 4 February 2013) for the three mesocosms (M1-3) and the lagoon waters. P0, P1 and P2 refer to the pre-phosphorous fertilization, DDA dominated and UCYN-C dominated periods of the experiment respectively.
Figure 6. Atomic % enrichment of zooplankton in three $^{15}$N$_2$ labeled diazotroph grazing experiments. The dominant diazotrophs in Experiments 1, 2 and 4 were DDA (het-1: Richelia associated with Rhizosolenia), UCYN-C, and Trichodesmium spp. respectively. Zooplankton T0 atomic % enrichment was measured in triplicate for E1 and the average value was used as the baseline for E1, E2 and E4. The atomic enrichment of the diazotroph community after 24 h was 1.515 % for UCYN-C and 0.613 % for Trichodesmium spp.. No enrichment value was obtained for DDA.
Table 1. Summary of copepod samples processed for qPCR, targeting *Trichodesmium* spp., het-1 and the het-2 (DDA), and unicellular group C (UCYN-C). All copepods per sample were pooled during the DNA extraction protocol. Site refers to the three VAHINE mesocosms (M1-3) and the lagoon waters (La). The shading separates experimental periods P0, P1, and P2, corresponding with the pre-phosphorous fertilization, DDA (het-1) dominated and UCYN-C dominated periods of the experiment respectively. het-1 = *Richelia* associated with *Rhizosolenia*; het-2 = *Richelia* associated with *Hemiaulus*; bd = below detection; dnq = detectable but not quantifiable; number in parenthesis = number of targets hit in 3 replicates.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Total no. copepods (n)</th>
<th>Calanoid (n)</th>
<th>Cyclopoid (n)</th>
<th>Harpacticoid (n)</th>
<th>het-1 nifH copies/copepod</th>
<th>het-2 nifH copies/copepod</th>
<th>Trichodesmium nifH copies/copepod</th>
<th>UCYN-C nifH copies/copepod</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>173.31</td>
<td>62.14</td>
<td>264.4</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.17</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>49.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>181.37</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>277.94</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V35</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>128.92</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V41</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.84</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
<td>bd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>28.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V52</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>dnq (1)</td>
<td>bd</td>
<td>dnq (2)</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of three $^{15}$N$_2$ labeled diazotroph grazing experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Dominant diazotroph</th>
<th>0H</th>
<th>24H</th>
<th>48H</th>
<th>72H</th>
<th>96H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DDA</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>UCYN-C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td><em>Trichodesmium</em> spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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