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This study firstly provides useful information on the mineralogy and uptake of strontium of tests and spines of echinoderms from separate geographical regions, and second, provides experimental results demonstrating that source region can influence geochemical responses of offspring to enriched pCO₂ treatment. Their finding that the skeletal mineralogy in adult and juveniles stages is relatively robust to enriched CO₂ whereas it is during the early life stages that a response is apparent, is an important contribution to the growing body of evidence that ocean acidification can have different affects depending on the life stage of the organism. For this reason I endorse publication of this paper subject to revisions as detailed below. I note the published comments and review by J.P. Gattuso and Maria Byrne and restrict my comments to areas not already commented on. My main concerns are with their discussions on strontium and solubility.

Specifically P 17943 paragraph starting line 3. This paragraph starts off being about utilization of different carbonate polymorphs at different life stages but none of the subsequent discussion links back to the mineralogy of different life stages. Either link this paragraph to the life stages or shift the intro sentence to relevant paragraphs on page 17944. In this paragraph authors refer to solubility being dependent on mineral structure and elemental composition. However much of this understanding on biomineral solubility has come from a combination of experiments on abiotic precipitation/dissolution and dissolution experiments using powdered dead skeletal material whereas the authors are experimenting with a living growing organism, that have apparently strong control over mineralization processes that proceeds within an organic matrix (as discussed by authors on p17945). Experiments have demonstrated that living organisms and intact skeletons do not always respond to higher CO₂ as would be expected if their response was as per these earlier experiments on abiotic or powdered material (e.g. (Ries et al., 2009;Ries, 2011;Nash et al., 2012;Henrich and Wefer, 1986). Also there is literature showing that dissolution is also dependent on crystal size and other structural characteristics (reviewed in (Morse et al., 2007;Walter and Morse, 1985) and that studies on synthetic calcites may not be appropriate for interpreting biogenic material stability (Bischoff et al., 1987). Can the authors refine their discussion to delineate clearly between references to results that were from non-living experiments and those from living/intact skeletons and role of organic coverings so that the possible drivers of variations in response are more obvious to the reader. This delineation will help with later interpretation of results. Same paragraph-sentence starting line 7. This sentence is misleading. The authors should separate comments on incorporation of Mg being known to increase solubility from Strontium. The references cited do not support a role for the incorporation of strontium leading to higher solubility. These references refer to magnesium increasing solubility and in Morse strontium has been noted to have a correlation with MgCO₃- but it is the Mg content that has been confirmed experimen-
tally to influence solubility, not Sr. Also, two of the references cited are missing from the reference list (Morse et al. 2007- Walter and Morse 1983- I cannot find a reference for Walter and Morse 1983 , only 1984). Check all references in the document are included in the reference list. line 21 ‘For example, effects of. . . quotes Ries 2011 experiment on CO2 however previous two sentences were talking about temperature effects, this is a bit confusing, edit appropriately. P17946 line 17 – typo- Ries, not Reis P17950 line 13 This may be beyond the scope of this paper to answer, but is there any evidence that this mid-range MgCO3 is the ultimate source of the Mg for both the LMC and HMC for the adult spines and tests? P17952 Dsr regressions- nice work, clear discussion separates the factors influencing uptake of Mg v Sr. Figs 2 and 3 tell the data story clearly. P17953 line 25 ‘As a consequence. . .’ The authors start by talking about geochemical attributes of the northern skeletons, then the predicted shift in saturation but do not go back to link this shift to possible changes in geochemical attributes. Edit appropriately. Also, Anderssons (Andersson, 2008) model starts at 12 mol% MgCO3, whereas the authors echinoderms are 2-6mol%, a composition comparable to aragonite in solubility according to Chave 1962 cited by the authors. Can the authors link their work the aragonite saturation state, undersaturation of which is more likely to be the threshold for dissolution than for 12 mol%MgCO3. P17955 line 25 on. The authors provide no evidence that their echinoderms had faster precipitation rates under the higher CO2, and indeed, much experimental work shows a decline in calcification rates of many calcifying organisms- this underpins the concerns regarding OA impacts. Based on their discussion, extrapolating the greater variance of Sr to physiological stress response does not seem warranted – suggest further explanation as to how this is evidence of stress. P17956 line 13 pm. Authors claim that results suggest a trend indicating faster mineral precipitation rates for the southern echinoderms- this presumption of rate increase is based on the incorporation of more Sr, however according to the literature cited throughout the paper, more Sr, while accepted to have a correlation with Mg, can be independent of precipitation rates, eg Mucci and Morse 1983- recognizing Lorens 1981 found a correlation with rate. Thus the authors state-
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