Activity and diversity of methane-oxidizing bacteria in glacier forefields on siliceous and calcareous bedrock
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Abstract

The global methane (CH$_4$) cycle is largely driven by methanogenic archaea and methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB), but little is known about their activity and diversity in pioneer ecosystems. We conducted a field survey in forefields of 13 receding Swiss glaciers on both siliceous and calcareous bedrock to investigate and quantify CH$_4$ turnover based on soil-gas CH$_4$ concentration profiles, and to characterize MOB communities using pmoA sequencing and T-RFLP. Methane turnover was fundamentally different in the two bedrock categories. Of the 36 CH$_4$ concentration profiles from siliceous locations, 11 showed atmospheric CH$_4$ consumption at concentrations of $\sim$1–2 µl l$^{-1}$ with soil-atmosphere CH$_4$ fluxes of $-0.14$ to $-1.1$ mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. Another 11 profiles showed no apparent activity, while the remaining 14 exhibited slightly increased CH$_4$ concentrations of $\sim$2–10 µl l$^{-1}$, most likely due to microsite methanogenesis. In contrast, all profiles from calcareous sites suggested a substantial, yet unknown CH$_4$ source below our sampling zone, with soil-gas CH$_4$ concentrations reaching up to 1400 µl l$^{-1}$. Remarkably, most soils oxidized $\sim$90% of the deep-soil CH$_4$, resulting in soil-atmosphere fluxes of 0.12 to 31 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. MOB showed limited diversity in both siliceous and calcareous forefields: all identified pmoA sequences formed only 5 OTUs and, with one exception, could be assigned to either Methylocystis or the as-yet-uncultivated Upland Soil Cluster $\gamma$ (USC$\gamma$). The latter dominated T-RFLP patterns of all siliceous and most calcareous samples, while Methylocystis dominated in 4 calcareous samples. As Type I MOB are widespread in cold climate habitats with elevated CH$_4$ concentrations, USC$\gamma$ might be the corresponding Type I MOBs in habitats exposed to near-atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations.
1 Introduction

Methane is a major contributor to recent changes in the global climate system (Forster et al., 2007). Since pre-industrial times, its concentration in the atmosphere has more than doubled, and after appearing to approach a steady state in the early 2000s has continued to rise again to a global average of 1.80 μl l⁻¹ (Dlugokencky et al., 2009). Whether influenced by anthropogenic activities or not, the terrestrial CH₄ cycle is largely driven by microorganisms (Conrad, 2009). Methane is produced by strictly anaerobic methanogens that convert products from anaerobic breakdown of organic matter to CH₄ (Conrad, 1996). However, only a fraction of produced CH₄ actually reaches the atmosphere, while a large part is transformed to CO₂ by methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB) in the transition zone between the anaerobic habitat and the atmosphere (De Visscher et al., 2007; Conrad, 2009). In addition, consumption of atmospheric CH₄ in well-aerated (upland) soils has been observed in all climate zones, including cold environments like tundra, boreal forests and alpine meadows (e.g. Whalen and Reeburgh, 1990; Adamsen and King, 1993; Smith et al., 2000; Flessa et al., 2008; Hartmann et al., 2011). In upland soils, CH₄ uptake seemed to follow Michaelis-Menten kinetics with low Kₘ-values (Bender and Conrad, 1992). It is hypothesized that specialized “high-affinity” MOB living on trace levels of CH₄ are responsible for this sole terrestrial sink of atmospheric CH₄ (Dunfield, 2007).

Aerobic MOB have been isolated exclusively from environments with elevated CH₄ concentrations. They are Gram-negative and essentially belong to either the γ- or α-proteobacteria (Type I or Type II, respectively; Hanson and Hanson, 1996). Cultivation attempts for high-affinity MOB have been unsuccessful up to now (Kolb, 2009). Evidence of their identity was gained through molecular analyses based on the functional gene pmoA that encodes for a subunit of the particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO). This enzyme catalyzes the first step of CH₄ oxidation, i.e. the conversion of CH₄ to methanol, and is present in almost all known MOB (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; McDonald et al., 2008). Mainly two novel groups of pmoA sequences have been
identified in soils consuming atmospheric CH$_4$. The first group detected, Upland Soil Cluster $\alpha$ (USC$\alpha$), represents Type-II-related MOB, with *Methylocapsa acidiphila* as their closest cultivated MOB (Holmes et al., 1999; Henckel et al., 2000; Knief et al., 2003; Kolb et al., 2005). They were predominantly found in acidic forest soils. The second group, USC$\gamma$, is comprised of *pmoA* sequences most closely related to Type I *Methylococcaceae* and was found in soils with neutral to basic pH values (Knief et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2011). In addition, *pmoA* from *Methylocystis* was frequently identified in soils oxidizing atmospheric CH$_4$ (e.g. Knief et al., 2003). Some isolated strains like *Methylocystis* sp. strain SC2 show the capability of growth at CH$_4$ concentrations of 10 to 100 µl l$^{-1}$ (Knief and Dunfield, 2005; Baani and Liesack, 2008). The ability of strain SC2 to grow at such low CH$_4$ concentrations is related to the presence of a high-affinity form of pMMO (encoded by *pmoCAB2*). The high-affinity pMMO2 even oxidized methane at atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations, contrary to the conventional pMMO1 (encoded by *pmoCAB1*) that oxidized CH$_4$ only at concentrations above 600 to 700 µl l$^{-1}$ (Baani and Liesack, 2008). It is hypothesized that *Methylocystis* exhibits a “flush-feeding” strategy: it grows and acquires storage compounds during phases of elevated CH$_4$ concentrations while maintaining cell function with consumption of atmospheric CH$_4$ (Dunfield, 2007; Kolb, 2009).

Molecular evidence for the presence of *pmoA* provides no information on the magnitude of MOB activity. It is important to accompany molecular ecology techniques by in-situ measurements of CH$_4$ turnover to assess if MOB are part of the active bacterial community and to provide hands-on information for ecosystem modeling. Most studies investigating CH$_4$ turnover in situ use the closed-chamber approach and measure CH$_4$ fluxes across the soil-atmosphere boundary (e.g. Smith et al., 2000). These net fluxes represent a bulk measurement of three underlying processes: physical transport (dominantly diffusion), CH$_4$ oxidation by MOB and CH$_4$ production by methanogens. While the conventional chamber method cannot distinguish between the three processes, the soil-CH$_4$ profile method, i.e. extraction of soil gas at different depths and subsequent analysis of CH$_4$ concentrations, provides qualitative information about CH$_4$ production.
and consumption zones (Yavitt et al., 1990). Under certain conditions it can also be used to quantify the magnitude of CH$_4$ oxidation and production (Born et al., 1990; Fechner and Hemond, 1992). Soil physical transport parameters, i.e. the effective diffusion coefficient of CH$_4$ in soil $D_{\text{eff}}$ (cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$), can be estimated through concomitant measurement of total soil porosity $\theta_t$ and volumetric water content $\theta_w$ using empirical relationships (e.g. Kristensen et al., 2010).

Terrestrial CH$_4$ turnover has been well investigated in developed soils, but surprisingly little is known about magnitude and dynamics of the underlying processes in pioneer ecosystems. In alpine environments, the rapid retreat of many glaciers creates excellent study sites for microbial colonization, diversity and functional strategies (Sigler and Zeyer, 2002; Nemergut et al., 2007; Lazzaro et al., 2009, 2011; Brankatschk et al., 2011). After glacier meltdown, the creation of a well-aerated vadose zone in recently exposed glacier sediments (termed “glacier forefield”) might imply an advantage for high-affinity MOB, as they are able to cover their carbon and energy needs from the atmosphere in an otherwise C-limited environment. Additionally, the presence of anoxic conditions in young glacier forefields seems to be widespread (Wadham et al., 2007), which might enhance microsite methanogenesis and opportunistic flush-feeding MOB. Limitations of N in glacier forefields might be overcome by the ability of many MOB to fix N$_2$ (Auman et al., 2001; Dedysh et al., 2004). Accordingly, a recent study investigating nifH-gene diversity of N$_2$-fixing bacteria in a Swiss glacier forefield affiliated 16% of total diversity to MOB (Duc et al., 2009).

We therefore hypothesized that glacier forefield soils may exhibit low but measurable consumption of CH$_4$ through the activity of high-affinity and flush-feeding MOB. To investigate the extent and magnitude of CH$_4$ oxidation in Swiss Alpine glacier forefields, we conducted a field survey in 13 forefields with different site characteristics. Special attention was given to adequately represent the two main types of subglacial bedrock in the Swiss Alps, siliceous and calcareous, as they exhibit contrasting weathering mechanisms and soil properties (Lazzaro et al., 2009). Specific objectives of the survey were (i) to investigate recently exposed soils in different glacier forefields for CH$_4$ oxidation and production, (ii) to estimate soil physical transport parameters, and (iii) to measure microbial community diversity and activity.
turnover and quantify CH$_4$ fluxes using the soil-CH$_4$ profile method; (ii) to screen these soils for the presence of *pmoA* and investigate the diversity of indigenous MOB with molecular-ecology techniques; and (iii) to characterize sampled forefields in terms of soil physical and chemical properties, and relate potential activity and diversity patterns to relevant parameters.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Field sites

All sampling took place in summer and autumn 2010 during dry weather conditions. Study sites comprised forefields of 13 receding glaciers in the Swiss Alps (Table 1). Our focus for site selection lay on glaciers that had a forefield with moderate to low steepness featuring fractionated gravel, sand and silt patches, rather than just pure bedrock. The main criteria for choosing sampling locations in each forefield were the possibility of extracting soil gas from depths >30 cm, relatively close proximity to the glacier terminus (≈100 to 200 m) and the absence of plant cover. As a consequence mainly of the first criterion, sampled locations throughout all forefields lay in similarly structured patches with minimal skeletal or rock fraction (compared to the rest of the forefield). Hence, although sampled locations are representative only for parts of the respective forefield, they are comparable across sites. Locations are referred to by site abbreviation followed by sampling sequence number (Table 1). Sampled soils may be classified as lithic/skeletal leptosols (IUSS Working Group, 2006), exhibiting a low level of aggregation. The respective soil age (i.e. the duration since exposure to the atmosphere; Table 1) was determined from historical cartographical material (Swiss Federal Office of Topography, Wabern, Switzerland).
2.2 Soil and soil-gas sampling

Soil gas was extracted through a custom-made 2-cm o.d. stainless-steel rod of 80 cm total length, featuring a 1-mm i.d. capillary. The tip of the rod comprised four conical holes of 8 mm o.d., reduced to 1 mm i.d. at 5 mm depth, which were connected to the inner capillary. The rod was gradually hammered into the soil in steps of 5 cm (10 cm after 50 cm depth), until we reached the maximum sampling depth of 70 cm, or hit a boulder. At each step, we extracted 20 ml soil gas with a plastic syringe (after discarding the first 10 ml), then injected it into a gas-tight sampling vial previously N₂-flushed and evacuated. Pressure was measured before and after injection to account for dilution. Due to time constraints and the destructive nature of the sampling procedure, no replicates of soil-gas samples could be taken. Methane was measured on a GC-FID system described in Nauer and Schroth (2010). Oxygen was measured according to Urmann et al. (2007) in samples of the two profiles that showed the highest CH₄ concentrations (WIL 4 and 5).

For molecular and chemical analyses, soil was sampled from 3–10 cm depth, presumably the layer with the highest activity of high-affinity MOB (e.g. Adamsen and King, 1993). Due to low soil aggregation we used spoons, previously washed in commercial bleach and autoclaved, to sample from 4–5 spots in close vicinity to where the soil-gas profile was taken. For each location, the soil was then pooled into one mixed sample and stored on ice until arrival in the lab. For molecular analyses, soil was transferred into a falcon tube and freeze-dried. Fresh soil extracts of 10 g soil in 25 ml 1 M KCl solution and 1 g soil in 10 ml distilled water were prepared and put on an overhead shaker for 1 h and overnight, respectively. The extracts were filtered with 0.45 µm nylon filters and frozen until further analysis. The rest of the sample was dried for 72 h at 60 °C and stored at room temperature.
2.3 Soil physical and chemical properties

After soil and soil-gas sampling had been completed, temperature and $\theta_w$ from 0–10 cm depth were measured in the field with a handheld TDR probe (Hydrosense™ System, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA). For determination of soil bulk density we used an adapted PU-foam method suitable for soils with a high skeletal fraction (Muller and Hamilton, 1992). In a quadratic frame of 12-cm edge length we excavated approx. 1 l of soil to a depth of 10–15 cm. The excavation was then filled with one-component closed-cell PU foam (Soudal Mega Schaum, Soudal N.V., Turnhout, Belgium) and left to cure for at least 2 h. The volume of the excavation cast was later determined by water displacement. Soil sampled in this way was weighted in the field, then dried in the lab for 72 h at 60°C for an additional measure of $\theta_w$ and particle density, to estimate $\theta_t$ and water saturation $S_w$. We compared the method against a core-sampling procedure in a homogenous sandy soil, where it gave consistent results (standard deviation sd = ±2.5 %). From the same samples we determined grain-size distribution using a laser-diffraction particle-size analyzer (LS 13320, Beckman Coulter Inc., Brea, CA, USA). TDR measurements were erratic and consistently lower than estimated from PU-foam samples, due to the high skeleton fraction of many soils. These values were therefore only used if no PU-foam samples could be taken due to time constraints (i.e. complete curing of the foam was not possible).

The KCl extracts were used to determine soil pH (Soil Survey Staff, 2011) with a Mettler Toledo 830 pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland), nitrate, sulfate and phosphate by ion chromatography (IC-320, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), and ammonium colorimetrically using the protocol of Sims et al. (1995). DOC in the water extracts was determined on a Shimadzu TOC-5000 analyzer (Shimadzu SSI, Columbia, MD, USA) after acidification with 40 µl of 35 % HCl. Total elemental contents, except carbon, were measured by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis (Spectro-X-Lab 2000, Spectro, Kleve, Germany), in pellets of 4 g of milled soil mixed with 0.9 g Hoechst wax. Total carbon (TC) and total inorganic carbon (IC) was measured on a coulometer (CM
5012, UIC Inc., Joliet, IL, USA) using ~10 to 20 mg of milled soil. For TC, the samples were pyrolysed at 950 °C in an autosampler furnace (CM 5200), whereas for IC they were acidified with 2 N perchloric acid in an acidification module (CM 5130). Due to high variance of replicate measurements (sd up to ±0.5 % for siliceous, and ±0.3 % for calcareous samples), organic carbon (OC = TC – IC) was not reported, as computed values were below this threshold.

2.4 DNA extraction and amplification

A total of 27 samples were chosen for molecular analysis, comprising two or three freeze-dried subsamples from each of the 13 sites. The subsamples were chosen according to the greatest differences in CH₄ concentrations at 10 cm depth. The extraction of total DNA was performed using the MP FastDNA® Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA), with some minor modifications. Instead of the protein precipitation step as suggested by the manufacturer, the soil homogenate was incubated with proteinase K at 68 °C for 30 min. The DNA was successively extracted with (i) water-saturated phenol, (ii) phenol-chloroform (1:1 [vol/vol]), and (iii) chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1 [vol/vol]). The final supernatant was then mixed with the binding matrix supplied by the MP FastDNA® Spin Kit and the remaining steps were performed as specified in the user manual. DNA yield ranged between 1 to 2 µg (g d.w.)⁻¹.

In first-round PCR of pmoA, we employed the forward primer A189f in combination with reverse primers A682r, mb661 and A650r (Holmes et al., 1995; Costello and Lidstrom, 1999; Bourne et al., 2001). PCR was run using a touch-down program: initial denaturation (94 °C, 4 min), followed by 10 cycles of denaturation (94 °C, 1 min), primer annealing at 62 °C for 1 min (reduced by 1 °C each cycle to a “touchdown” temperature at 52 °C), and elongation (72 °C, 1 min). Additional 20 cycles were run using the same thermal profile with an annealing temperature of 52 °C. The final elongation step was extended to 7 min. Due to the failure to obtain PCR amplicons from all 27 DNA extracts in the first round, nested PCR was performed with the forward primers A189f and
pmoA206f (Tchawa Yimga et al., 2003) combined with either mb661 or A650r, using 1 µl of the PCR product of primer set A189f-A682r. The thermal profile of second-round PCR consisted of an initial denaturation (94 °C, 4 min), followed by 22 cycles of denaturation (94 °C, 1 min), primer annealing (56 °C, 1 min), and elongation (72 °C, 1 min). PCR amplification was carried out using a reaction mixture containing 1 µl of template DNA, 10 µl of 5 x GoTaq Flexi reaction buffer (Promega, Mannheim, Germany), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM each dNTP, 5 µg of bovine serum albumin (Promega), 0.5 µM of each primer (A189f/A682 or pmoA206f/mb661), and 2.5 U of Flexi Taq DNA polymerase (Promega). PCR was performed after adjusting the total volume to 50 µl with sterile H₂O, using a DNA thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

### 2.5 Analysis of pmoA amplicons

Four pmoA clone libraries were constructed from composite pmoA amplicons generated by nested PCR from FOR 1, GRF 2, MRT 7, and mixed WIL 1, 4 and 5. Cloning was done using the pGEM-T Easy cloning kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In total, 82 pmoA sequences were selected for analysis (8 from FOR 1, 21 from GRF 2, 19 from MRT 7, and 35 from WIL 1 + WIL 4 + WIL 5). Cloned inserts (508 bp) were completely sequenced using the BigDye V3.1-terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems). The identities of the pmoA gene sequences were confirmed by searching public sequence databases using nucleotide blast (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). Phylogenetic analyses at the DNA and deduced amino acid sequence levels were carried out using the ARB program package (Ludwig et al., 2004). pmoA sequences obtained in the course of this study were aligned to a manually curated pmoA database containing >3000 sequences. Regions of sequence ambiguity and incomplete data were excluded from the analyses. Results were depicted as a consensus tree, combining the results of Tree-Puzzle, neighbor-joining and maximum likelihood analyses. Representative pmoA gene sequences of each species-level OUT
have been deposited in the EMBL, GenBank and DDBJ nucleotide databases under the accession numbers HE647840 to HE647850.

T-RFLP fingerprint patterns were generated in triplicate for each DNA sample. PCR was performed with the forward primer (A189f or pmoA206f) being FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein) -labeled. The pmoA PCR products were gel purified by using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Cleanup System (Promega) according to the supplier's protocol. Approximately 100 ng of each pmoA amplicon was digested with 10 U of the restriction endonuclease MspI (Promega). The digestion was carried out in a total volume of 10 µl for 12 h at 37°C. The restriction digests were purified using Illustra AutoSeq G-50 columns (GE Healthcare UK Limited, Little Chalfont, UK). Aliquots (2.5 µl) of the digested amplicons were mixed with 12 µl of deionized formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.3 µl of an internal DNA fragment length standard (MapMarker® 1000; BioVentures, Murfreesboro, TN, USA). The mixtures were denatured at 94°C for 3 min and then chilled on ice. The fluorescently labeled terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) were size-separated on an ABI 3100 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The length of each fluorescently labeled T-RF was determined by comparison with the internal standard using GeneScan 3.71 software (Applied Biosystems). The accuracy of size calling between replicates was ±1 bp. The relative abundance of T-RFs in a given T-RFLP pattern was determined as the peak height of the respective T-RF divided by the total peak height of all T-RFs detected within a fragment size range between 50 and 510 bp, and was expressed as percentages (Dunbar et al., 2001; Shrestha et al., 2011).

2.6 Analysis of soil-CH$_4$ profiles

Methane fluxes $J$ (mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) from deep soil (>70 cm) into the sampling zone ($J_{ds}$), and from there into the atmosphere ($J_{atm}$), were approximated according to Fick's first law (e.g. Fechner and Hemon, 1992),
\[ J \approx D_{\text{eff}} \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta z} \] (1)

where \( \Delta C/\Delta z \) is the discrete difference gradient of the 0–5 cm depth interval \((J_{\text{atm}})\), or the two deepest sampling points \((J_{\text{ds}})\), respectively. If the gradient changed direction in the next interval, the mean value of the next three intervals was taken. From \( \theta_t \) and \( \theta_w \) we estimated \( D_{\text{eff}} \) according to the WLR-Marshall model (Moldrup et al., 2000),

\[ D_{\text{eff}} = D_{\text{air}} \theta_a^{1.5} \frac{\theta_a}{\theta_t} \] (2)

where \( D_{\text{air}} \) (14.9 cm\(^2\) min\(^{-1}\) at 15°C and 0.8 bar atmospheric pressure; Fuller et al., 1966) denotes the diffusion coefficient of CH\(_4\) in air, and \( \theta_a \) is the air-filled porosity of the respective soil \((\theta_a = \theta_t - \theta_w)\). Estimated \( D_{\text{eff}} \) were computed for the first 10 cm of the soil, and assumed to be constant with depth. With this simplification we estimated CH\(_4\) fluxes for profiles showing spatially separated production and consumption zones, and additionally for profiles indicating atmospheric CH\(_4\) consumption.

For profiles indicating atmospheric CH\(_4\) consumption only, a simplified diffusion-consumption model was fitted to measured soil-CH\(_4\) concentrations to estimate first-order rate coefficients \( k \) (h\(^{-1}\)) of CH\(_4\) oxidation (Born et al., 1990):

\[ C(z) = C(\infty) + (C(0) - C(\infty)) \exp \left( -\frac{k}{D_{\text{eff}}} \right) \] (3)

Here, \( C(z) \) (µl l\(^{-1}\)) denotes CH\(_4\) concentrations at the respective depth \( z \), \( C(\infty) \) is the fitted concentration at \( z = \infty \), and \( C(0) \) is the measured above-ground concentration of CH\(_4\). Eq. (3) was fitted to data using non-linear least squares with a Gauss-Newton algorithm in the \( R \) environment (\( R \ 2.11.1; \) www.r-project.org).
2.7 Statistical analysis

For possible explanations of MOB activity and diversity patterns, we tested individual parameters for significant differences among bedrock types, profile categories and MOB groups (see discussion) using analysis of variance, Pearson’s chi-squared test and linear regression in R. The explorative design of this survey did not allow a more rigorous statistical analysis to be performed; test statistics served solely the purpose of providing hypotheses for further studies and should not be interpreted conclusively.

3 Results

3.1 Soil properties

Temperatures in 0–10 cm depth varied over a wide range of 4 to 26 °C (Table 2), with highest values measured at STL (16 July), and lowest at DAM (12 October). Sandy soils were the most common, irrespective of bedrock type. Skeleton content was highly variable; at 13 locations it exceeded 50 % (Table 2). Porosities ranged from 0.36 to 0.59, with a mean of 0.45 ± 0.06 (sd), while water saturation ranged from 2 to 37 vol %, with a mean of 16 ± 10 vol % and one outlier of 60 vol %. Computed $D_{eff}$ varied between 1.1 and 4.9 cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$ with a mean of 2.54 ± 0.87 cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$, not counting one low outlier (0.49) from the location with highest $S_w$, and one high outlier (6.5) from the location with the highest $\theta_l$ and low $S_w$.

Chemical parameters (site means) are summarized in Table 3. Measured (potential) pH values were generally between 8 and 9 at all calcareous sites, and between 6 and 7.5 at siliceous sites. Exceptional siliceous sites were TSV and MOM, where sampled soils exhibited basic pH values, and DAM, where low pH values of ∼4.9 agreed well with previous findings (Bernasconi et al., 2011). Total carbon was dominated by IC at all calcareous sites (7 to 11 %) and siliceous site TSV (0.3 %), whereas at other siliceous sites TC and IC were in the same low range (0.01 to 0.08 %). Elemental contents of Al,
Fe and P were significantly lower in calcareous sites, on the contrary these soils were enriched in S compared to siliceous sites. Total contents of Cu showed no dependence on site or bedrock type.

Contents of DOC were generally low at all sites (22 to 78 µg (g d.w.)⁻¹) and showed no significant correlation with site or bedrock type. Concentrations of mineral nitrogen species NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ were both significantly enriched at calcareous sites, although concentrations were in the lower µg (g d.w.)⁻¹ range and close to detection limit. Phosphate was below detection limit (~0.8 µM) of our instrument at almost all sites, with the exception of BAR and FOR. In accordance with total S contents (Table 3), SO₄²⁻ showed significantly higher concentrations at calcareous sites.

### 3.2 Soil CH₄ profiles and CH₄ fluxes

Profiles from four sites representing typical situations encountered in this survey are plotted in Fig. 1. At 11 sampled locations in siliceous forefields, soil-gas CH₄ concentrations decreased with depth and showed a curvature, which indicates consumption of atmospheric CH₄ (e.g. BAR 1–4, Fig. 1a). For these locations, lowest measured concentrations ranged between 1.0–1.5 µl l⁻¹. In 11 other profiles from siliceous sites, CH₄ concentrations fluctuated around atmospheric levels (e.g. FOR 1, Fig. 1b). We expect no significant CH₄ turnover at these locations, although we cannot fully exclude the possibility that consumption and production balanced out at the micro-scale level. In 37 of the 59 analyzed profiles, measured soil-gas CH₄ concentrations clearly exceeded atmospheric CH₄ concentrations. At 15 of these locations, all but one (GRF 1) from siliceous sites, highest CH₄ concentrations were only slightly elevated, but always <10 µl l⁻¹, while lowest concentrations could drop below atmospheric levels (e.g. FOR 2, 4 and 5, Fig. 1b). The remaining 22 locations, all from calcareous sites, exhibited soil-gas CH₄ concentrations >10 µl l⁻¹, sometimes by orders of magnitude (e.g. GRF and WIL, Fig. 1c and d). Highest concentrations reached 15 to 130 µl l⁻¹, with the exception of WIL where values up to 1400 µl l⁻¹ were measured. Profile shapes
of most locations clearly indicated a substantial CH$_4$ source below our sampling zone (hereafter referred to as deep-soil CH$_4$ source), coupled with CH$_4$ oxidation in higher soil layers. Note that oxygen concentrations in soil gas from WIL 4 and 5 profiles were close to 20 vol% at all depths (data not shown).

For the 11 profiles exhibiting atmospheric CH$_4$ consumption, estimated (negative) $J_{\text{atm}}$ ranged from $-0.14$ to $-1.1 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, while $k$ ranged from 0.003 to 29 h$^{-1}$, with large uncertainties associated with smallest and largest $k$ (Fig. 2). All 18 profiles indicating a deep-soil CH$_4$ source were net CH$_4$ emitters, and $J_{\text{atm}}$ ranged from 0.12 to 31 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, with a mean of 6.9 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. Half of the soils oxidized more than 90 % of the CH$_4$ before it could reach the atmosphere (Fig. 2). Sink strength of CH$_4$ oxidation, i.e. the difference between $J_{\text{ds}}$ and $J_{\text{atm}}$, was in the range of 2.5 to 240 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, except for WIL 3–5, where the sink was in the range of 350 to 700 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$.

### 3.3 **pmoA** presence and diversity analysis

The procedure recommended by the manufacturer (MP Biomedicals) for DNA extraction did not yield a sufficient amount of total DNA from most of our samples. Sufficient amounts were, however, consistently obtained after we replaced the protein precipitation step by a Proteinase K treatment. For initial detection of **pmoA**, we tested the 27 selected DNA extracts with the forward primer A189f in combination with various reverse primers (A650r, mb661r, and A682r). None of the primer combinations consistently produced **pmoA** amplicons from all extracts, but the use of the primer set A189f-A682r resulted in detectable amplicons from 17 locations (Table 4). Nested PCR (with the product of the primer set A189f-A682r) using forward primer A189f in the second round failed, regardless of whether it was combined with A650r or mb661r. However, replacement with pmoA206f (a variant of A189f with 17 additional bases added to its 3′ end) consistently produced detectable **pmoA** amplicons from all the 27 DNA extracts using reverse primer mb661 (Table 4). The combination pmoA206f-A650r again
produced no amplicons. Therefore, for cloning, sequencing and T-RFLP analysis we used primarily pmoA amplicons generated in nested PCR with pmoA206f-mb661 in second-round PCR (27 samples representing all 13 sites). First-round PCR amplicons from primers A189f-682r (17 samples from 10 sites) were used for validation of results.

Of the 82 pmoA clones randomly selected for analysis, fifty-nine pmoA sequences were assigned to *Methylocystis*, while 22 pmoA sequences belonged to USCγ. These 81 pmoA clone sequences were used to define four species-level operational taxonomic units (OTU-1 to OTU-4) based on 7% divergence of inferred amino acid sequences (Fig. 3). The *Methylocystis*-like OTU was separated into two subgroups (OTU1a, 1b). The pmoA clone sequences of OTU1a are most similar to that of *Methylocystis* sp. M (derived amino acid sequence identity of 98.9%) and those of the OTU1b (the vast majority) share greatest identity with the pmoA of *Methylocystis* strain Rockwell (ATCC 49242; 98.9%). The three USCγ-like OTUs 2, 3, and 4 are distinct from each other, with identity values of 92%. Each is most closely related to pmoA sequences retrieved from an alpine meadow soil. The single pmoA sequence of OTU5 is most closely related to a Cluster I pmoA sequence that was detected in deciduous forest soil.

The T-RFLP patterns obtained from all 27 samples by nested PCR can be grouped into two distinct sets of profiles that are dominated by either a 241-bp T-RF or a 243-bp T-RF (Fig. 4). Comparative analysis of pmoA clones assigned the 241-bp T-RF to USCγ, while the 243-bp T-RF was highly characteristic of *Methylocystis* (Fig. 3). These assignments were consistently confirmed by in silico analysis of all the 81 pmoA clones that had been assigned to either *Methylocystis* or USCγ. The USCγ-related 241-bp T-RF dominated most T-RFLP patterns, including all samples from siliceous sites (Fig. 4). A few T-RFLP patterns (MRT 7, GRI 2, KLG 3 and KLG 4) showed the presence of both the 241-bp and 243-bp T-RFs, with the 241-bp T-RF being always the dominant fragment. The *Methylocystis*-related 243-bp T-RF dominated only in four T-RFLP patterns (GRF 1, GRF 2, WIL 1 and WIL 5), all obtained from calcareous sites.
Besides the dominant 241-bp and 243-bp T-RFs, the T-RFLP profiles showed the presence of two additional T-RFs with a size of 339 and 350 bp. No pmoA clone sequences affiliated with these two T-RFs could be obtained. However, in computational analysis using a manually curated database, the 339-bp T-RFs was affiliated with pmoA of a particular USC\textgamma population, while the 350-bp T-RF appears to represent pmoA2 of the \textit{Methylocystis/Methylosinus} group. These in silico assignments are supported by the consistent co-occurrence of the 241-bp and 339-bp T-RFs and, on the other hand, the 243-bp and 350-bp T-RFs in the T-RFLP patterns (Fig. 4). As suggested by a single pmoA clone obtained from sample MRT 7, the 75-bp T-RF found mainly in MRT 6 may represent members of the pmoA Cluster 1. The T-RFLP patterns generated from 17 samples by first-round PCR with primers A189f-682r confirmed the dominant presence of either the combination of the 241-bp and 339-bp T-RFs or the 243-bp T-RF (Fig. S1 in the Supplement).

4 Discussion

4.1 Soil properties

Physical properties of the sampled soils varied over a wide range (Table 2), as would be expected from soils in their initial development stage (Egli et al., 2006). However, properties showed no obvious dependence on site characteristics including bedrock type. The majority of soils had a high porosity, and $S_w$ was low as we sampled in dry weather and during the warmest period of the year. Hence, our estimates for $D_{\text{eff}}$, and therefore both $J_{\text{atm}}$ and $J_{\text{ds}}$ are most likely at the higher end of values that could be expected for glacier forefields.

In general, concentrations of nutrients such as P, N, and DOC were low in glacier forefield soils irrespective of bedrock type (Table 3; Lazzaro et al., 2009, 2011; Bernasconi et al., 2011). Conversely, chemical parameters including pH, total Si, Ca, Al, Fe, S, and IC depended on geology and were significantly different between bedrock
types. As expected for calcareous sites consisting mainly of limestone (CaCO₃) and being low in organic carbon, high TC was comprised mainly of IC. Notably, IC and Ca values at individual calcareous sites agreed exceptionally well with each other when taking into account the species’ 1:1 stoichiometry in CaCO₃ (computations not shown). We tested selected parameters within each bedrock type for correlations with CH₄ turnover and MOB diversity, and discuss them in respective sections below.

4.2 Soil-CH₄ profile categorization

Our initial expectation was that most soils would show CH₄ concentrations slightly below atmospheric levels as a result of atmospheric CH₄ oxidation, with some rare exceptions of slight concentration increases resulting from microsite methanogenesis. Surprisingly, almost two thirds of all measured profiles showed elevated CH₄ concentrations, and around one third of all profiles indicated a substantial deep-soil CH₄ source. To provide an overview of the distribution of dominating processes, we therefore assigned each measured profile to a category according to the generalized shape of the profile (Fig. 5). We distinguished five profile categories that featured the following dominating processes: (A) oxidation of atmospheric CH₄; (B) no apparent CH₄ turnover; (C) small production of CH₄ in anoxic microsites (CH₄ conc. < 10 µl l⁻¹; limit for growth of cultured oligotrophic MOB; Knief and Dunfield, 2005); (D) substantial deep-soil CH₄ source (>10 µl l⁻¹) and CH₄ oxidation in the topsoil; (E) substantial CH₄ source (>10 µl l⁻¹) but undefined profile shape (zig-zag). All profiles from siliceous sites fell into Categories A, B and C, while all profiles from calcareous sites featured a substantial deep-soil CH₄ source and fell into Categories D and E. Given this clear distinction, we discuss the two bedrock types separately below.

Errors in concentration gradients were most likely dominated by local soil heterogeneity, but as no replicate profiles could be taken due to the destructive sampling procedure, we cannot estimate their magnitude. However, having collected several profiles in close proximity, we are confident that our results reflect the general conditions in situ and provide a first estimate of the magnitude of CH₄ turnover in Swiss glacier forefields.
4.2.1 Methane turnover and fluxes at siliceous sites

Considering only siliceous sites, our results support our initial hypothesis of low atmospheric CH\(_4\) consumption (Category A) and limited but prevalent methanogenesis in anoxic microsites (Category C). Bárcena et al. (2010) recently investigated CH\(_4\) oxidation in a (siliceous) glacier forefield in Greenland and found uptake of atmospheric CH\(_4\) in 7 of their 12 sites, with one site at the glacier front emitting CH\(_4\). However, our \(J_{\text{atm}}\) values were two orders of magnitude higher than their values (−0.0034 to −0.018 mg m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)), and compared best with the lower end of estimates from cold and temperate ecosystems obtained with similar methods (Born et al., 1990: 0.25–3.4 mg m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); Whalen et al., 1992: 0.77–1.78 mg m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). Direct comparability with chamber-based net CH\(_4\) fluxes such as reported by Bárcena et al. (2010) is limited, as CH\(_4\) consumption could have been confounded by microsite methanogenesis (Andersen et al., 1998; Kammann et al., 2001, 2009). In glacier forefields, a possible carbon source for methanogenesis might be subfossil wood and peat from glacier-buried vegetation (Hormes et al., 2001). For example, compressed peat disks recovered from the FOR forefield and described in Joerin et al. (2006) could be the origin of localized anoxic conditions due to increased heterotrophic activity at the peat surface.

Profile Categories A, B and C did not significantly differ in \(S_w\) but seemed to be temperature-dependent, i.e. temperatures at locations in Category A were significantly lower than in Categories B and C (p-value = 0.025). Similarly, average soil-CH\(_4\) concentrations of “siliceous” profiles were positively correlated with temperature (p-value = 0.0004; \(R^2 = 0.35\)). Methane-oxidizing bacteria have low temperature sensitivity (\(Q_{10} \sim 1–2\); Dunfield et al., 1993; Dunfield, 2007) while methanogens show much higher sensitivity (\(Q_{10} \sim 5–16\); Dunfield et al., 1993; Le Mer and Roger, 2001). Possibly, a rather stable activity of MOB might be confounded by increasing methanogenesis at higher temperatures. Soil pH was the only other factor showing a correlation with profile categories, i.e. locations in Category A exhibited significantly lower pH values (p-value = 0.002). Methanogens and MOB occur over wide pH ranges (e.g. Le Mer
4.2.2 Methane turnover and fluxes at calcareous sites

Detection of substantial soil-CH$_4$ sources at all sampled locations in calcareous glacier forefields was unexpected, as was the magnitude of associated fluxes. At the time of sampling all soils from calcareous sites appeared to be net CH$_4$ emitters. The range of $J_{\text{atm}}$ from locations of Category D compared best with temporarily submerged upland soils (Wang and Bettany, 1997: 7.8–10.5 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) and the lower end of estimates from peat bog environments (e.g. Moore and Knowles, 1990: $\sim$5 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Fechner and Hemond, 1992: 3.5–51 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Le Mer and Roger, 2001: 43.3 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, median of 4 sources). Half of the soils of Category D oxidized more than 90% of $J_{\text{ds}}$, a magnitude usually found in landfill cover soils (De Visscher et al., 2007). This would imply a substantial population of MOB in the most active zone with highest CH$_4$ turnover, located at $\sim$50–70 cm depth in most profiles. Unfortunately our soil sampling depth of $\sim$3–10 cm did not cover this zone; this will be a subject of future investigations.

The nature of the substantial CH$_4$ source in calcareous glacier forefields remains unknown. Glacially overridden vegetation might directly or indirectly (through relatively labile DOC of subglacial origin; Lafrenière and Sharp, 2004) serve as carbon source for biogenic CH$_4$ production. Alternatively, cryoconite holes on glacier surfaces have been suggested as relevant sources of organic carbon in glacial ecosystems (e.g. Sawstrom et al., 2002; Hodson et al., 2010; Stibal et al., 2010). In both siliceous and calcareous forefields it is possible that basal ice or permafrost below the vadose zone (note that permafrost is unlikely to exist at our sampling sites; Maisch et al., 2000) might impede drainage and create near-saturated layers that could turn anoxic (Hinkel et al., 2001). However, only on calcareous bedrock a significant loss in soil porosity can occur due to calcite precipitating during freezing (Lacelle, 2007), which could enhance anoxia and hence, methanogenesis. Alternatively, the sedimentary origin of the
calcareous bedrock would also permit a deep geological CH$_4$ source. Thermogenic CH$_4$ formed from trapped organic matter might migrate upwards through faults and fractures and result in emission over wide areas ("microseepage"; Matthews, 1996; Etiope and Klusman, 2002). Interestingly, positive microseepage fluxes reported by Klusman et al. (2000) (up to 43 mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at the soil-atmosphere boundary) were in the same order of magnitude than our positive $J_{atm}$. To shed light on the origin of the CH$_4$ source, we will focus on the determination of the isotopic composition of soil-CH$_4$ in future work.

From the different parameters tested, only soil temperature showed a correlation with profile categories: temperatures were higher at locations in Category D compared to Category E (p-value = 0.0096). However, measured topsoil temperatures bear little informational value for deep-soil processes. Hence, we cannot provide a meaningful interpretation here.

4.3 **pmoA presence and diversity of MOB in Swiss glacier forefields**

After an initial survey of different primer combinations and PCR conditions, we were able to amplify pmoA fragments from all selected 27 subsamples and hence confirm the presence of MOB in all 13 investigated glacier forefields. The key for consistent amplification was a sensitive nested PCR approach and the use of the forward primer pmoA206f, initially designed to specifically detect pmoA2 (Tchawa Yimga et al., 2003). It seems that pmoA variants in our samples shared sufficient nucleotide sequence similarity with pmoA2 to be efficiently amplified by the nested PCR approach. In contrast with results from a glacier forefield in Greenland (Bàrcena et al., 2010, 2011), amplification with the primer pair A189f-A650R was unsuccessful. Since this primer pair favors the detection of USC$\alpha$, comparability of their molecular results with this study is limited.

All but one pmoA sequences were associated with either Methylocystis- or USC$\gamma$-like MOB, resulting in a total of only five species-level OTUs. The sequence identity threshold of 7% in the derived amino-acid sequence corresponds to 87% nucleotide
sequence divergence for known methanotrophs (Degelmann et al., 2010; Shrestha et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2011), and correlates with the species-level distance cutoff value of 3% based on the 16S rRNA gene (Degelmann et al., 2010). Considering the relatively large number of glacier forefields with different climates, altitudes and contrasting geology (Table 1), such a limited number of OTUs is quite surprising. It might be a consequence of the young age of the soils, lack of vegetation and the harsh environmental conditions (e.g. high altitude with strong UV radiation and large temperature shifts) selecting for a few highly specialized MOB.

Although *Methylocystis* was frequently found in environments with low or atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations, USCγ has rarely been identified (Knief et al., 2003, 2006; Zheng et al., 2011; Henneberger et al., 2013). Interestingly, the closest related *pmoA* sequences to the three USCγ-OTUs were all retrieved by Zheng et al. (2011) at the same study site, an alpine meadow at the Haibei Ecosystem research station in the Tibetan Plateau. The site has a mean annual temperature of −2°C, and soils at this site are cryic cambisols typically occurring in permafrost areas. As Type I MOB tend to dominate MOB communities in cold environments (Liebner and Wagner, 2007; Martineau et al., 2010; Yergeau et al., 2010), USCγ might be the corresponding high-affinity MOB dominating upland alpine and arctic soils. The single *pmoA* sequence of OTU5 is most closely related to a Cluster I *pmoA* sequence that was detected in deciduous forest soil, a net sink of atmospheric methane (Knief et al., 2006). It makes sense that this clone was retrieved from MRT 7, the only sample taken in a developing forest where the soil was older than 50 yr.

The two sets of T-RFLP patterns from first- (Fig. S1) and second-round PCR (Fig. 4) compared well. Both sets of patterns consistently show the co-occurrence of the USCγ-assigned 241bp- and 339bp-T-RFs or dominance of the Methylocystis-assigned 243bp T-RF. The co-occurrence of the *Methylocystis*-assigned 243bp-T-RF (*pmoA1*) and 350bp-T-RF (*pmoA2*) can be observed only in the patterns from second-round PCR, because *pmoA2* is not efficiently amplified by the primer pair A189f-A682r used in first round. We are therefore confident that the nested PCR approach generated a
representative picture of MOB diversity in sampled glacier forefields. The relative abundances of the T-RFs in Fig. 4 corroborated the low diversity in the pmoA sequences and reflected the strong dominance of USCγ. Surprisingly, USCγ dominated even in sites with acidic pH (DAM and MRT), while in previous studies this group was primarily detected in neutral soil (Knief et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2011). The occurrence of *Methylocystis* was restricted to calcareous sites. Accordingly, CH₄ concentrations at sampling depth (10 cm) were significantly higher for *Methylocystis*-dominated locations (p-value = 0.0019; including all 27 samples). Growth of isolated strains of *Methylocystis* was restricted to CH₄ concentrations >10 µl l⁻¹ (Knief and Dunfield, 2005; Baani and Liesack, 2008). This would explain the limitation of *Methylocystis* to calcareous sites. As *Methylocystis* might have the ability to express different pMMO isozymes for low (∼2–600 µl l⁻¹) and high (>600 µl l⁻¹) CH₄ concentrations (Baani and Liesack, 2008), one would also imply an advantage over USCγ at higher CH₄ availability. However, *Methylocystis*-dominated GRF 1 and 2 showed CH₄ concentrations much lower than 10 µl l⁻¹ in 10 cm depth, and the USCγ-dominated WIL 4 exhibited the highest CH₄ concentrations of all sites. Therefore, with the current data set we cannot give a confident explanation for the occurrence of USCγ or *Methylocystis* at calcareous sites. However, it should be noted that soil-CH₄ concentrations and fluxes likely exhibit diurnal and seasonal variability not reflected by our one-time measurements (Friborg et al., 1997; Hendriks et al., 2010). Second, for most calcareous locations the active zone (largest shift in CH₄ gradient) was much deeper than our sampled depth of 3–10 cm. It will be interesting to analyze pmoA diversity and abundance together with CH₄ concentrations in a vertical profile.

5 Conclusions

Our results confirmed the presence of MOB in all sampled Swiss glacier forefields, while MOB activity was evident at many locations. Siliceous and calcareous forefields showed clearly different CH₄ turnover patterns: while atmospheric CH₄ oxidation...
accompanied by microsite methanogenesis was common at siliceous sites, all calcareous glacier forefields featured a deep-soil CH$_4$ source of unknown origin, with MOB consuming up to 90% of CH$_4$ before reaching the atmosphere. However, diversity of MOB was limited in both siliceous and calcareous forefields, and was strongly dominated by the USC$_\gamma$ group, which might be widespread in alpine/arctic permafrost environments.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/1259/2012/bgd-9-1259-2012-supplement.pdf.
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Table 1. Overview of sampled glacier forefields and site characteristics. Soil age is reported in sampling sequence for each location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>Site abbrev.</th>
<th>Coordinates WGS84</th>
<th>Altitude (m.a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Average rainfall (mm y⁻¹)</th>
<th>Bedrock type</th>
<th>Sampling dates in 2010 (no. of locations)</th>
<th>Maximum soil age (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unterer Arolla</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>45°59’52” N 7°29’39” E</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>727</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.07. (4) 20,20,20,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damma</td>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>46°38’10” N 8°27’24” E</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.10. (4) 20,20,20,20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forino</td>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>46°20’20” N 9°41’60” E</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td></td>
<td>04.07. (5) 5,5,5,20,20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mont-Miné</td>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>46°02’39” N 7°33’12” E</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>727</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.07. (4) 10,10,10,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morteratsch</td>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>46°25’45” N 9°56’05” E</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>811</td>
<td></td>
<td>03.07. (7) 5,5,5,5,20,&gt;50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein</td>
<td>STI</td>
<td>46°43’14” N 8°26’01” E</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>siliceous</td>
<td>18.06. (3) 10,5,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinlimi</td>
<td>STL</td>
<td>46°42’39” N 8°24’42” E</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.07. (1) 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tschierva</td>
<td>TSV</td>
<td>46°24’22” N 9°51’48” E</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>811</td>
<td></td>
<td>02.07. (4) 10,10,10,20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claridenfirn</td>
<td>CLF</td>
<td>46°51’12” N 8°55’25” E</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1802</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.09. (3) 5,5,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griessfirn</td>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>46°50’37” N 8°49’45” E</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.08. (4) 20,20,20,20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griessen</td>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>46°50’52” N 8°29’07” E</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>calcareous</td>
<td>07.07. (4) all &gt;50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Im Griess</td>
<td>KLG</td>
<td>46°51’31” N 8°52’23” E</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td></td>
<td>04.08. (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildstrubel</td>
<td>WIL</td>
<td>46°23’54” N 7°33’42” E</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.08. (5) 40,40,40,40,10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


b Years since last record of ice coverage, rounded to 5-yr intervals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site abbrev.</th>
<th>Soil temperature&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>Soil texture&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (&lt;2 mm)</th>
<th>Skeleton content&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (&gt;2 mm; wt%)</th>
<th>Porosity θ&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Water saturation S&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt; (vol%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>9–13</td>
<td>s, s, ls, s</td>
<td>10–59</td>
<td>0.39–0.52</td>
<td>15–26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>s, s, s, s</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.38–0.45</td>
<td>7–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>8–15</td>
<td>sil, s, s, s, s</td>
<td>2–21</td>
<td>0.46–0.59</td>
<td>2–37&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>15–21</td>
<td>ls, sl, sl, sl</td>
<td>2–36</td>
<td>0.40–0.50</td>
<td>18–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>8–22</td>
<td>s, s, s, s, s, ls</td>
<td>1–16</td>
<td>0.36–0.57</td>
<td>2–28&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>7–17</td>
<td>s, s, s, s</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.50&lt;sup&gt;c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STL</td>
<td>20–26</td>
<td>s, s, s, s</td>
<td>6–38</td>
<td>0.40–0.48</td>
<td>7–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSV</td>
<td>15–16</td>
<td>ls, ls, sl, s</td>
<td>14–45</td>
<td>0.41–0.49</td>
<td>4–17&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcareous sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLF</td>
<td>11–14</td>
<td>ls, s, s</td>
<td>17–69</td>
<td>0.46–0.49</td>
<td>1–11&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRF</td>
<td>14–16</td>
<td>s, s, s, s</td>
<td>18–60</td>
<td>0.36–0.45</td>
<td>9–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>8–16</td>
<td>l, sl, l, sl, s</td>
<td>41–95</td>
<td>0.36–0.51</td>
<td>14–35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLG</td>
<td>17–20</td>
<td>s, s, s, s, ls</td>
<td>2–77</td>
<td>0.42–0.57</td>
<td>5–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIL</td>
<td>12–16</td>
<td>s, ls, ls, s, s</td>
<td>47–62</td>
<td>0.37–0.46</td>
<td>8–23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Range of temperatures measured during sampling.
<sup>b</sup> s = sand; ls = loamy sand; sl = sandy loam; l = loam; sil = silty loam.
<sup>c</sup> Measurements of volumetric water content by TDR.
<sup>d</sup> Data for location 4 only; no data available for location 1–3 n.a. not available.
Table 3. Site mean of measured chemical parameters for each glacier forefield. Values are reported with ±2 sd, which reflects the variance within the site. Organic carbon is not reported, as the difference between TC and IC was below the sd of individual sample replicates. “n.d.”: not detected; “n.a.”: not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>DOC</th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>PO₄³⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site abbrev.</td>
<td>mg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
<td>µg (g d.w.)⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>&lt;1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>&lt;1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOM</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STL</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSV</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLF</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>&lt;0.20</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLG</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIL</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.0917</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4. Number of samples with successful amplification using different primer combinations during normal (first round) and nested (second round) PCR reactions. The second-round PCR was performed with the product of the first-round primer set A189f-A682r. Total number of samples selected for molecular analyses was 27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR reaction</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A682r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>first round</td>
<td>A189f</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>second round</td>
<td>A189f</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pmoA206f</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Selection of measured soil-gas CH$_4$ concentration profiles representative for the different situations encountered. The legend in plot (a) applies to all subplots. Note the different scale in CH$_4$ concentrations for each site.
Fig. 2. Fluxes of CH$_4$ from the soil into the atmosphere ($J_{atm}$) for locations where the profile shape allowed quantification. Negative $J_{atm}$ indicate that the soil was a sink for atmospheric CH$_4$. For these locations estimated $k$ of CH$_4$ oxidation are reported (with low/high 95 % confidence interval). For locations with positive $J_{atm}$, the number above the bars indicates the percentage of CH$_4$ oxidized in the soil before reaching the atmosphere. Note that the scale for negative and positive fluxes is different.
Fig. 3. Maximum-likelihood tree showing the phylogenetic affiliation of pmoA sequences obtained from different glacier forefields. The pmoA tree was constructed based on 139 derived amino acid sequence positions. Sequences obtained in the course of this study were grouped into 5 species-level units (OTU 1 to 5) based on an amino acid sequence identity threshold of 93%. The number of clones obtained for each OTU are given in parenthesis. All species-level OTUs also contain published pmoA sequences. Predicted T-RF lengths based on MspI are shown on the left side, while the experimental T-RF lengths are indicated on the right side. The in silico predicted T-RF lengths were experimentally confirmed by T-RFLP analysis of individual pmoA clone sequences. Bootstrap values greater than 80 are shown (100 replicates). The sequence of RA21 (AF148522) was used as outgroup. The scale bar represents 10% sequence divergence or 0.1 substitutions per amino acid position.
Fig. 4. Bar diagrams of pmoA-based T-RFLP fingerprint patterns obtained from all 27 subsamples representing the 13 different glacier forefields. The T-RFLP patterns were generated using the primer set A189f-A682r in first-round PCR and the primer set pmoA206f-mb661 in nested second-round PCR. The percentage abundances (mean ± sd; n = 3) of 7 distinguishable T-RFs are indicated by different colors.
Fig. 5. Qualitative Categories A–E assigned to measured soil-gas CH$_4$ profiles according to profile appearance. Illustrated profile shapes are typical for each category. The vertical line indicates atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration. Sampled locations assigned to the respective categories are listed below.