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By being even more specific in our reply, we feel we can adequately address the issues raised regarding low NO3- δ15N values. We felt we could readily explain results in the upper 20 m with low [NO3-] as influenced by atmospheric deposition. We now realize that low values found deeper the water column also need to be specifically addressed as they appear at first glance difficult to account for. The station the editor referred to (-4 ‰ at 50m depth) is station 87 at 25°W (marked with a white cross in figure 5). The nitrate concentration at this station at 50m depth is 0.25 μmol/l and the nitrite concentration is below the detection limit. Another station which is remarkable
in this regard is station 67 (11°N, 30°W), which has δ15N of -3‰ at 40m depth, with a nitrate concentration of 0.20 µmol/l and an undetectable concentration of nitrite. While these values appear to be too deep to be influenced by atmospheric input, in fact the mixed layer is indeed deeper at these stations: 40-50 m instead of 20m. Thus, we believe, that the low δ15N signal at these stations could also originate from atmospheric deposition.

To be conservative, we only included the upper 20m water column for our calculations in the Table 1. Furthermore, these calculations were meant to be qualitative and intended to show that this signal indeed can come from atmospheric deposition under extreme conditions.

Unfortunately, we do not have data from PON from this cruise, thus we cannot estimate the signal from remineralized organic matter.

The comment on fractionation factors is not clear to us. We did estimate the fractionation factor for denitrification within OMZ in the Pacific region (section 4.2.2). Using a closed system Rayleigh model resulted in comparatively low value of 11.4‰. An open system approach would only decrease this value even more (Altabet 2007).

We calculated the overall δ15N as the concentration weighed average of the NO3- and NO2- δ15N values which is the same as using proportions. We will reformulate the text accordingly to be more precise.
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