Experimental fossilisation of viruses from extremophilic Archaea
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Abstract

The role of viruses at different stages of the origin of life has recently been reconsidered. It appears that viruses may have accompanied the earliest forms of life, allowing the transition from an RNA to a DNA world and possibly being involved in the shaping of tree of life in the three domains that we know presently. In addition, a large variety of viruses has been recently identified in extreme environments, hosted by extremophilic microorganisms, in ecosystems considered as analogues to those of the early Earth. The earliest traces of life were preserved by the precipitation of silica on organic structures. The study of the in situ and experimental fossilisation of microorganisms allows better understanding of the fossilisation processes and helps identification of traces of life in ancient rocks. In a continuation of these studies, we present the results of the first experimental fossilisation by silica of viruses from extremophilic Archaea (SIRV2 – *Sulfolobus islandicus* Virus 2, TPV1 – *Thermococcus prieurii* virus 1, and PAV1 – *Pyrococcus abyssi* virus 1). Our results confirm that viruses can be fossilised, with silica precipitating on the different viral structures (proteins, envelope) over several months. However differences in the silicification process were noticed, depending on the viral structure and composition. The fossilisation mechanism is similar to that of the fossilisation of microorganisms. This study thus suggests that viral remains or traces could be preserved in the rock record although their identification may be challenging due to the small size of the viral particles.

1 Introduction

The role of viruses in early evolution of life has recently been reevaluated. For a long time viruses were considered as non-living by-products of cellular activity and thus unable to have played a role in the origin and evolution of their hosts. Over the last decade, however, our views on the origin and nature of viruses have dramatically...
changed. Structural similarities between viruses infecting phylogenetically distant hosts suggest that viruses were already active at the time of the Last Universal Common Ancestor (LUCA) and probably before (Bamford et al., 2005; Koonin and Dolja, 2006; Krupovic and Bamford, 2008). Comparative genomics has revealed that most genes encoded by viruses have no cellular homologues, testifying the creativity of virocells (Forterre, 2010) in inventing new proteins. Several theories and discoveries have been made regarding the ancient nature of viruses and their possible key roles in early evolution of life and in the origin of modern cells (Forterre, 2002; Bamford, 2003; Koonin et al., 2006; for recent reviews and alternative viewpoints, see Moreira and López-García, 2009; Forterre and Prangishvili, 2009a, b). For instance, viruses have been evoked to explain the origin of DNA cells. Starting from an RNA world (cells with RNA genomes), DNA could have appeared in viruses (DNA viruses) and then have been transferred to cells (Forterre, 2002). One hypothesis also suggests that interactions between DNA viruses and RNA cells (fusion, gene transfers) could have resulted in the formation of the three domains (Eukaryotes, Bacteria, Archaea) (Forterre, 2006). Finally, the discovery of Mimivirus, a giant DNA virus infecting Amoeba (La Scola et al., 2003), permits a virus genome to be included in the universal tree of life. This suggests that giant viruses could be intermediates between cells and previously known viruses and could form a new domain of life (Raoult et al., 2004).

A major development in recent years in virology has been the discovery of very diverse new viruses in extreme environments. Such environments are particularly interesting as they are often considered as analogues of the environments on the early Earth in which life could have originated. Microorganisms found in these environments are also considered as possible analogues to the earliest forms of life on Earth and possibly on Mars (Nisbet and Sleep, 2001; Konhauser et al., 2003). Recognition of the ancient nature of viruses suggests that early life forms could have, indeed, hosted viruses. The study of viruses of extremophilic microorganisms is a relatively recent field. Nevertheless, it has already allowed the identification of several thousands of viruses having different morphologies and characteristics, and also of new virus families (see review in
Le Romancer et al., 2007). Viruses have been identified in all known extreme environments: hypersaline environments (Oren et al., 1997; Dyall-Smith et al., 2003, Pagaling et al., 2007; Sime-Ngando et al., 2010), alkaline lakes (Jiang et al., 2004), deserts (Prigent et al., 2005), polar regions (Maranger et al., 1994; Kepner et al., 1998; Borriss et al., 2003; Gowing, 2003), in deep subsurface sediments (Bird et al., 2001), and in hydrothermal environments. The search for new viruses in the latter environment has been especially fruitful, following the pioneering work of Wolfram Zillig on the viruses of hyperthermophilic Archaea (Martin et al., 1984; Rice et al., 2001; Rachel et al., 2002). Many new viral families have been identified that infect hyperthermophilic Archaea in terrestrial and marine hot springs (Geslin et al., 2003a, 2005; Ortmann and Suttle, 2005; Prangishvili et al., 2006a; Ortmann et al., 2006; Le Romancer et al., 2007). Although these viruses all have double-strand DNA genomes, they produce virions with very diverse morphologies (e.g. rod-shaped, filamentous, spindle-shaped, ellipsoidal, head and tail) and most proteins encoded in their genomes have no homologues, except sometimes in other viral lineages (Prangishvili et al., 2006b).

Although structural and comparative genomics point to the antiquity of viruses, their fossil remains have yet to be detected in the rock record. Although challenging, considering the size of viral particles, detection of possible fossilised viral remains in the vicinity of putative fossilised microorganisms could be a direct proof of the antiquity of viruses and an additional clue to assessing the biogenicity of the observed structures. The oldest known fossil microorganisms, dating back to almost 3.5 billion years (Ga) ago, were preserved as silicified remains (see review in Westall, 2010). The preservation by silica was due to the fact that the early Earth’s oceans were silica enriched compared to the undersaturated oceans of the present Earth where siliceous organisms are a sink for available silica. An additional source of silica in the early oceans came from the very active hydrothermal processes cycling silica and other elements from the crust back into the ocean (see review in Westall and Southam, 2006). In situ and experimental silicification of microorganisms in these hydrothermally-influenced environments has been studied in depth over the past two decades (Westall et al.,
1995; Toporski et al., 2002; Konhauser et al., 2004; Orange et al., 2009 and references therein). These investigations have provided precious information regarding the processes involved and helped the identification of silicified traces of life in ancient rocks (see review in Westall, 2010). Recent studies have started to explore the possibility that viruses could be preserved in the fossil record. Poinar and Poinar (2005) reported the possible presence of preserved viruses in 15 to 100 Ma insect preserved in amber. Laidler and Stedman (2010) monitored the experimental fossilisation of bacteriophage T4 for a few days under simulated hot spring silicifying conditions and showed that silica could precipitate around viral structures and preserve them.

Our study presents the results of the first long-term experimental fossilisation of three viruses and the first experimental fossilisation of viruses hosted by hyperthermophilic Archaea. Among the great variety of viruses in hyperthermophilic Archaea, we chose for this study viruses producing virions (viral particles) with very different structures and morphologies: the rod-shaped virus SIRV2 (*Sulfolobus islandicus* virus 2) and the spindle-shaped TPV1 (*Thermococcus prieurii* virus 1) and PAV1 (*Pyrococcus abyssi* virus 1) viruses. These morphologies appear to be specific for viruses infecting organisms living in extreme environments, either hot temperature environment (rod-shaped, spindle-shaped) or high salt (spindle-shaped), with the exception of some plant viruses which also produce rod-shaped particles.

Our objectives were (1) to determine whether viruses could be fossilised by silica and whether they could be preserved over relatively long periods of time (several months), and (2) to evaluate whether recognizable features in the viral strains used could still be identified once silica had precipitated around them.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Description of the viruses used for this study

SIRV2 (Sulfolobus islandicus rod-shaped virus 2) belongs to the Rudiviridae family (Prangishvili et al., 1999; Bize et al., 2009) and is a lytic virus (i.e. which causes the death of the host cell) that infects a strain of the Crenarchaeota Sulfolobus islandicus, an acidophilic and hyperthermophilic Archaea that was originally isolated from samples taken from solfataric fields in Iceland (Zillig et al., 1994). SIRV2 particles are stiff rods up to 900 nm long and ~20 nm wide (Fig. 1a; Prangishvili et al., 1999) and consist of a superhelix including a protein with double stranded linear DNA. In contrast to other viruses, such as rod-shaped members of the Lipothrixviridae, SIRV2 virions are not enveloped.

TPV1 (Thermococcus prieurii virus 1) and PAV1 (Pyrococcus abyssi virus 1) were isolated from the Euryarchaeota Thermococcus prieurii strain Bio-pl-0405IT2 and Pyrococcus abyssi strain GE23, respectively. The latter are two neutrophilic and hyperthermophilic Archaea of the Thermococcales order (Geslin et al., 2003b; Gorlas et al., 2009, 2011). TPV1 and PAV1 are non-lytic, spindle-shaped viruses (PAV1: 120 × 80 nm; TPV1: 140 × 80 nm), characterised by double-stranded circular DNA, and are found either isolated or in groups (Fig. 1c, d). TPV1 and PAV1 have an envelope composed of viral proteins and lipids from the host. These viruses morphologically resemble members of the Fuselloviridae family but have not yet been classified.

2.2 Virus isolation

The viruses used in this study were harvested from fresh cultures of the host strains.

For the production of SIRV2, the Sulfolobus islandicus strain LAL14/1 was grown until the late exponential phase was reached, as described by Zillig et al. (1994). Cells were removed by low-speed centrifugation (3500 g in a Sorvall GS3 rotor). The viruses were precipitated from the supernatant by the addition of 1 M NaCl and 10% PEG 6000 and incubated overnight at 4 °C. A pellet of viruses was collected by centrifugation in
a Sorvall GSA rotor at 23 000 g for 30 min and suspended in TA buffer (20 mM Tris-acetate, pH 6). SIRV2 were purified by centrifugation in a CsCl buoyant density gradient (0.45 g mL$^{-1}$) in a Beckman SW41 rotor centrifuge at 250 000 g for 48 h. Fractions containing the viral particles were collected with a syringe then dialyzed against TA buffer for further analysis (Bettstetter et al., 2003). The viruses were stored at 4 °C in a 20 mM Tris-acetate buffer (pH 6) until used.

*Thermococcus prieurii* strain Bio-pl-0405IT2 (Gorlas et al., 2011) and *Pyrococcus abyssi* strain GE23 (Erauso et al., 1993; Marteinsson et al., 1995) were grown in the medium described by Geslin et al. (2003b), at 80 °C and 85 °C, respectively, up to the late exponential phase. The cells were pelleted by low-speed centrifugation at 6000 g for 15 min. TPV1 and PAV1 were precipitated from the supernatant in 1 M NaCl with 10% PEG 6000 overnight at 4 °C with gentle stirring. The precipitate was pelleted by centrifugation at 13 000 g for 1 h 15 min, then drained and resuspended in a specific buffer for each virus (TPV1: TPV1-buffer – 10 mM Tris-HCL, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl2; PAV1: TE buffer – 10 mM Tris-HCl and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8). A second precipitation with PEG 6000 (10%) and 1 M NaCl was made during 1.5 h and the precipitate was collected as described above. After centrifugation (5000 g for 10 min), the supernatant was kept and the pellet was extracted two more times under the same conditions with reduced volumes of TPV1 or TE buffer. The virus-containing supernatants were pooled and stored for one night at 4 °C. After centrifugation (5000 g for 15 min) to remove residual cell debris, the supernatant was concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 33 000 g for 1 h 45 min (Beckman Optima LE-80K 70.1Ti rotor) and the pellet was resuspended in TPV1 or TE buffer. Viruses were purified from these suspensions by centrifugation in a CsCl buoyant density gradient (TPV1: 1.32 g mL$^{-1}$; PAV1: 1.298 g mL$^{-1}$) in a Beckman Optima LE-80K 70.1Ti centrifuge rotor at 180 000 g for 6 h (PAV1: 220 000 g for 24 h). Fractions containing the nucleic acids were detected at 254 nm and collected using a density gradient fractionator (model 185, ISCO). These fractions were then dialyzed against a large volume of TPV1 or TE buffer and were stored at 4 °C until used.
2.3 Silicification procedure

The fossilisation experiments were made directly in the buffering media of the viruses. This was done for practical reasons as well as to maintain the viruses in a favourable environment so that their evolution could be followed during fossilisation over several months. Although it was not possible to ascertain the accuracy of the final silica concentrations due to the small volumes involved, fossilising media were saturated with respect to silica.

A stock silica solution (3200 ppm Si) was prepared from a pure sodium silicate solution (Riedel de Haën) containing ~27% SiO$_2$ and ~10% NaOH (Na$_2$Si$_3$O$_7$, $M = 242$ g mol$^{-1}$). Its pH was adjusted to 8 before injection into the virus suspension.

19 µL of a suspension of purified SIRV2 were mixed with 1 µL of the stock silica solution in a sealed glass vial to obtain a final concentration of ~160 ppm Si. For TPV1 and PAV1, 180 µL of suspensions of purified viruses were mixed with 20 µL of the stock silica solution to obtain a final concentration of ~320 ppm Si. The SIRV2 fossilisation experiment vials were stored at room temperature (due to the small volume, high temperatures led to evaporation). The TPV1 and PAV1 fossilisation experiment vials were placed in an oven at 60 °C.

2.4 Electron microscopy

The vials were sampled at different times (between 2 and 60 days for the SIRV2 experiment and between 1 and 180 days for the TPV1 and PAV1 experiments) by collecting ~1 µL of the sample and immediately preparing it for negatively-stained transmission electron microscopy (Geslin et al., 2003b).

For negative staining, a droplet of sample (either unsilicified or silicificed) was placed on a carbon-coated copper TEM grid. The sample was allowed to absorb to the carbon layer for 2 min before removing the excess liquid with a piece of filter paper. Some samples were stained to increased contrast by placing a droplet of saturated uranyl acetate ethanolic solution for 40 s and then removing the excess liquid. Some grids
3 Results

3.1 Experimental fossilisation of SIRV2

SIRV2 particles appear as stiff rods of several hundreds of nanometers in length and ~20 nm in width and contain a central cavity (Fig. 1a), not visible on unstained grids (Fig. 1b). This virion is naturally fragile and readily breaks up into fragments that may be linked to each other by DNA strands (Fig. 1b, arrow). Apart from fragmentation, the preservation of SIRV2 fragments during fossilisation was generally good. In the first days of the fossilisation experiment, a granular and alveolar-textured silica precipitate formed spontaneously (Fig. 2a, b) in both the control experiment without viruses and in the experiment with the viruses, indicating that neither virus particles nor the buffering medium had any influence on precipitation or on the structure of the silica. Initially, after one week of fossilisation only a few SIRV2 particles were observed in direct contact with, and sometimes trapped within, the silica precipitate (Fig. 2a) but most were not (Fig. 2b). Where embedded in the silica deposit, the viral helix appeared to have been compacted or decayed and the virion was only visible as a faint, dark outline (Fig. 2a, arrows) that probably represents degraded DNA and possibly proteins. Silica nucleation on the virion was observed after one week (Fig. 2c, arrow) and, after 30 days, nanometric dark particles had formed in the central cavity of some of the virions (Fig. 2d). This phenomenon was more evident after 60 days of fossilisation with virions showing, on unstained grids, a strongly contrasted central cavity (Fig. 2e), suggesting that the individual particles observed previously had continued their growth and eventually merged. More pronounced silica nucleation on the outside of the viral
particles was also observed. EDX analyses made on these particles showed a signal slightly stronger silicium than the signal from background noise (Fig. 2f). Fine chains of silica particles (Fig. 2g) may represent silica directly precipitated on isolated DNA strands, or the remnants of virion fragments completely covered by silica.

3.2 Experimental fossilisation of TPV1 and PAV1

Although a granular, alveolar silica precipitate formed spontaneously within one day of the experiment (Fig. 3a), it was only after 30 days that the TPV1 particles were observed in direct contact with the precipitate (Fig. 3b). No virions trapped in the silica precipitate were observed. The first signs of possible silica precipitation on the viral structures occurred only after 75 days when nanometer sized, dark particles were observed within (Fig. 3c) and on the envelope of the virus particles (Fig. 3d). In the latter case, EDX analyses (Fig. 3e) indicate that this precipitate consists of both silica and cesium, the latter being derived from the purification buffer. Such a precipitate had not been observed in earlier steps of the experiment nor on unfossilised viruses particles. After 180 days, the virions were significantly degraded (Fig. 3f). Some of the viral particles contained only a few nanoparticles of silica within their structure (Fig. 3f) while some others were heavily coated and impregnated with the apparently-progressing SiO$_2$-Cs precipitate (Fig. 3g).

Monitoring of the PAV1 fossilisation experiment was complicated by the presence of numerous fragments of P. abyssi flagella as well as numerous artefacts in the preparation of purified PAV1 (Fig. 1d). PAV1 particles could be observed in direct contact with the silica precipitate after only one day (Fig. 4a). This precipitate was different from that formed in the SIRV2 and TPV1 experiments and consisted of very fine particles (compare Figs. 4c and 3b), possibly as a consequence of the different composition of the PAV1 buffering medium (which includes EDTA). Although no precipitates formed inside or on PAV1 after 60 days (Fig. 4b), several virus particles were trapped in the silica precipitate (Fig. 4c).
4 Discussion

The viruses used in this experiment belong to different virus families having completely different morphologies and structures. This may explain why the results of the fossilisation experiments were different. In the case of SIRV2, a non-enveloped rod-shaped virus, silica binding on the viral particles was significant and progressive whereas only limited silica binding occurred on TPV1, an enveloped spindle-shaped virus. In contrast, no silica binding was observed on the second enveloped and spindle-shaped virus, PAV1.

4.1 Fossilisation of the viruses

The fact that the silica precipitates in the SIRV2 experiment were invariably linked to DNA strands (Fig. 2b) suggests that the spontaneously-formed mineral deposit probably rapidly entrapped numerous SIRV2 particles, as was observed by Laidler and Stedman (2010). Some particles were barely observable as dark outlines within the mineral precipitate (Fig. 2a). The viral particles that remained free in the medium showed progressive silica binding with time on their outer surface and in their central cavity. Initial silica nucleation within the cavity of the SIRV2 particles did not appear to damage the body (Fig. 2d, e) although, at later steps of the fossilisation process, strings of silica particles observed on DNA strands (Fig. 2g) suggest that viral particles may be heavily damaged by the growth of silica. The rarity of silicified DNA fragments may be due to the fact that DNA degrades rapidly when exposed to the environment (Schelble et al., 2008), while the remains of DNA trapped in silica (Fig. 2a, g) may be preserved. The silica binding to the virions outer surface appears to be the result of the direct nucleation of silica (Fig. 2c) followed by polymerisation, and of the binding of already formed silica particles on the virions, or on previously bound silica particles (Fig. 2e) (Birnbaum et al., 1989; Schultze-Lam et al., 1995; Westall et al., 1995; Toporski et al., 2002; Orange et al., 2009). Silica probably binds via covalent or hydrogen bonds between the silica particles and the hydroxyl and/or carboxyl functional groups contained
in the protein of the helix (see review of the fossilisation processes in Konhauser et al., 2004).

Fossilisation of the viruses TPV1 and PAV1 differed from that of SIRV2 because the former have an envelope that contains lipids that derive from the hosts (the Archaea *P. abyssi* and *T. prieurii*, respectively) (Geslin et al., 2003b; Gorlas et al., 2011). Previous results from the experimental fossilisation of Archaea showed that the simple cell wall of these microorganisms (S-Layer + plasmic membrane) has only a limited ability to bind silica (Orange et al., 2009). It was therefore to be expected that, having a similar composition, the envelopes of TPV1 and PAV1 would not bind significant amounts of silica. This was indeed observed, although some viruses occasionally occurred in direct contact with silica (Fig. 3b), and, after 75 days of exposure to the silica solution, nanometer-sized particles were ubiquitously observed inside TPV1 (Fig. 3c, f). Only at this advanced stage in the fossilisation procedure (75 days), were nanoparticles of a SiO$_2$-Cs precipitate first observed on the virion envelopes. The source of the Cs was the cesium chloride used for harvesting purified TPV1. Chelated metal ions may act as bridges in the fixation of mineral ions to organic materials. This has been demonstrated with Fe(III) chelated to Bacteria and Archaea (Beveridge and Murray, 1976; Beveridge and Koval, 1981; Orange et al., 2011). However, as a monovalent cation, Cs$^+$ cannot act as a direct intermediate between silica and the virion envelope or internal materials. Silica binding to the TV1 particles most likely occurred in the same way as with the SIRV2 particles, namely through covalent or hydroxyl binding of the silica.

4.2 Implication for the preservation and identification of viral remains in rocks

The three viruses used in this artificial fossilisation study were well preserved, apart from a slight deformation possibly due to the length of the experiment storage prior to the fossilisation experiment rather than to the exposure to silica. The fossilisation was made directly on virions stored in buffered solutions which is obviously not a natural condition. The rationale for making the experiments on the microorganisms in a buffered solution is the previously noted importance for the cell to remain alive, or at
least not to lyse, during the time necessary for silica to form a deposit around the cells thick enough to allow for fossilisation and further preservation (Orange et al., 2009). This period of time varies depends on the strain or the type of microorganism. Viral particles obviously do not lyse but their physical integrity can quickly be affected due to their small size and constitution. Our results show that, if viral particles can be conserved over several months, they can theoretically become fossilised.

The fact that viruses can be fossilised suggests that naturally fossilised viruses may occur in rocks in the same way as fossilised microbial cells. The question is, how could we identify them? Morphology is a criterion for their identification but their simple nanometric-sized structures may be confused with minerals or other artifacts of the same size (of the order of ~100 nm). On the other hand, it may be possible to identify filamentous or rod-shaped structures, such as the about 500 × 50 nm-sized SIRV2 particles, in ancient rocks. These viruses are about the same size and shape as the so-called “nanobacteria” identified in 3.9 Ga old carbonate concretions in the Martian meteorite ALH 84001 (McKay et al., 1996 – note that it is now accepted that the latter are simply mineral precipitations, Gibson et al., 2001). Although silica precipitated in the central cavity of SIRV2 (Fig. 2e) as a structure of less than 10 nm in width and several hundreds of nanometers long, it would be difficult to distinguish such a feature from polymeric fibrils or filaments of other biological origin that had been silicified. Viral organic compounds, such as lipids and proteins, could also be preserved in very small amounts but they would rapidly be degraded, hence rendering their detection and identification as viral biomarkers difficult. Thus, even though it is theoretically feasible, detection of virus remains in rocks will be highly challenging.

5 Conclusions

This study is one of the first attempts to fossilise viruses and the first monitoring of the fossilisation of viruses of extremophilic Archaea over a period of several months. Our results show that the viruses of extremophilic microorganisms can be fossilised by silica...
and that the structures have a chance of being preserved if the process can take place over a period of several months. The virus structure and the molecular composition of their outer surface (lipid envelope, protein) clearly play a crucial role in the rate and manner of fossilisation. Although faithful preservation of the viral structures over geological time is probably unlikely, some traces may nevertheless remain in rocks. Our study thus contributes important information to this new field of research. Due to the great diversity of viruses in terms of morphology and structure, additional studies are needed to complete these results with the fossilisation of other kinds of virus in order to better understand the mechanisms involved.
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Fig. 1. TEM micrographs showing examples of the viruses used for this study. (A) SIRV2 particle, note the central cavity, sometime discontinued. (B) Fragments of SIRV2 particle on an unstained grid. Note the absence of visible features, and the DNA strand that links the two fragments (arrow). (C) Aggregate of TPV1 particles. (D) PAV1 particles (arrows), note the presence of remnant *Pyrococcus abyssi* flagellas in the sample. All TEM micrographs were made at 200 kV on grids negatively stained with uranyl acetate, unless otherwise stated.
Fig. 2. TEM micrographs showing progressive steps of the experimental fossilisation of SIRV2 at a $\sim 160$ ppm Si silica concentration. (A) 48 h, SIRV2 particle partially trapped inside the silica precipitate (Si). The arrows underline the shape of the virion inside the precipitate. (B) 7 days, fragments of SIRV2 particles in the vicinity of a silica precipitate (Si). The two separated strands of DNA are visible (arrow). (C) 7 days, unstained grid, fragment of SIRV2 particle. A dark $\sim 10$ nm particle is seen attached on the virion (arrow). (D) 30 days, SIRV2 particle, note the numerous dark silica particles in the central cavity. (E) 60 days, unstained grid, fragments of SIRV2 particle, note the dark silica particles attached on the outer surface of the virion, and filling the central cavity. (F) 60 days, EDX spectra made on the SIRV2 particles of (E), showing a Si signal slightly higher than on the background. (G) 60 days, unstrained grid, DNA strand covered by silica particles. All TEM micrographs were made at 200 kV on grids negatively stained with uranyl acetate, unless otherwise stated.
Fig. 3. TEM micrographs showing progressive steps of the experimental fossilisation of TPV1 at a ∼320 ppm Si silica concentration. (A) One week, two TPV1 particles (arrows) near a silica precipitate (Si). (B) One month, TPV1 particle (arrow) attached to the silica precipitate (Si). (C) 75 days, TPV1 particles with dark nanometric particles within. (D) 75 days, unstained grid, TPV1 particles on which a dark precipitate has formed. (E) 75 days, EDX spectra obtained on the virion of (D) and on the background, showing Si and Cs signals on the precipitate. (F) 180 days, deformed TPV1 particle near a silica precipitate (Si), note the dark particle within. (G) 180 days, unstained grid, TPV1 particle covered and filled with a dark precipitate. All TEM micrographs were made at 200 kV on grids negatively stained with uranyl acetate, unless otherwise stated.
Fig. 4. TEM micrographs showing progressive steps of the experimental fossilisation of PAV1 at a \( \sim 320 \) ppm Si silica concentration. (A) One day, PAV1 particle (arrow) attached to the silica precipitate (Si). (B) 60 days, numerous PAV1 particles near the silica precipitate. (C) 60 days, aggregate of PAV1 particles trapped in a finely grained silica precipitate. All TEM micrographs were made at 200 kV on grids negatively stained with uranyl acetate.