Carbon dynamics in the western Arctic Ocean: insights from full-depth carbon isotope profiles of DIC, DOC, and POC
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Abstract

Arctic warming is projected to continue throughout the coming century. Yet, our currently limited understanding of the Arctic Ocean carbon cycle hinders our ability to predict how changing conditions will affect local Arctic ecosystems, regional carbon budgets, and global climate. We present here the first set of concurrent, full-depth, dual-isotope profiles for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and suspended particulate organic carbon (POCsusp) at two sites in the Canada Basin of the Arctic Ocean. The carbon isotope composition of sinking and suspended POC in the Arctic contrasts strongly with open ocean Atlantic and Pacific sites, pointing to a combination of inputs to Arctic POCsusp at depth, including surface-derived organic carbon (OC), sorbed/advect ed OC, and OC derived from in situ DIC fixation. The latter process appears to be particularly important at intermediate depths, where mass balance results suggest that OC derived from in situ DIC fixation contributes up to 22 % of POCsusp. As in other oceans, surface-derived OC is still a dominant source to Arctic POCsusp. Yet, we suggest that significantly smaller vertical POC fluxes in the Canada Basin make it possible to see evidence of DIC fixation in the POCsusp pool even at the bulk isotope level.

1 Introduction

In the coming decades, the Arctic Ocean is predicted to experience significant changes in sea-ice conditions and in its coupling with terrestrial systems. If trends continue, the Arctic Ocean carbon cycle may change profoundly as summer sea-ice gives way to open water, permafrost thaws, coastal erosion and river inflow increase, and organisms and ecosystems adapt to these changes (Serreze et al., 2000). Yet our ability to accurately predict how Arctic Ocean ecosystems will respond is limited by an incomplete understanding of the Arctic carbon cycle and the dominant feedback mechanisms involved (McGuire et al., 2009).
Dual carbon isotope ($^{13}$C and $^{14}$C) measurements represent a valuable tool to improve our understanding of present-day ocean biogeochemistry (e.g., McNichol and Aluwihare, 2007). Yet relatively few full-ocean-depth dissolved and particulate OC isotope profiles exist for the major ocean basins, and none have been reported in the Arctic, a unique system poised for change.

2 Background

The Arctic Ocean basin is filled by water from the Atlantic and Pacific oceans as well as Arctic rivers. Sea-ice limits wind-driven vertical mixing throughout much of the year which, together with strong stratification supported by inflowing waters, results in an ocean strongly organized into several vertical layers (Stein and Macdonald, 2004). Surface waters (0–30 m) are strongly influenced by freshwater from rivers and melting sea-ice. Nutrient-replete Pacific waters dominate at depths between 30–250 m in the Canada Basin (e.g., Yamamoto-Kawai et al., 2008), while warm and salty Atlantic waters occupy intermediate depths (250–1500 m) and lie above the isolated deep water. Each layer has unique physicochemical characteristics that reflect source water composition and modifications by biogeochemical processes within the Arctic. A particularly important feature of the Arctic Ocean is the strong perennial cold halocline, which insulates surface waters (and sea-ice) from warm and salty Atlantic waters below (Shimada et al., 2005).

The Arctic Ocean receives a disproportionate share of the OC delivered from rivers to oceans worldwide (Stein and Macdonald, 2004). The Canada Basin (Fig. 1a) receives OC inputs from both North American (Mackenzie and Yukon) and Siberian rivers (Guay et al., 2009; Yamamoto-Kawai et al., 2009). Particulate organic carbon (POC) from the Mackenzie River may reach the interior Canada Basin directly as fine particles or following deposition, re-suspension, and lateral transport in nepheloid layers (Forest et al., 2007). Marine production by pelagic and sea-ice algae is also an important source of OC to the sediments and water column of the Canada Basin (Belicka et al., 2002).
In the Arctic, POC source assignments based on $^{13}$C are difficult because of the diversity of possible sources, the relatively narrow range in environmental $\delta^{13}$C values, and large uncertainties in source signatures due to factors such as variable riverine OC flux (Raymond et al., 2007), in situ temperature, nutrient limitation, and phytoplankton growth rate (Goericke and Fry, 1994; Kennedy et al., 2002). Riverine POC inputs to this region have $\delta^{13}$C values of $\sim -26\%$ to $-29\%$ (Naidu et al., 2000), sea ice POC has values of $-15\%$ to $-22\%$ (Belt et al., 2008; Stein and Macdonald, 2004), and marine pelagic POC is closer to $-24\%$ (Naidu et al., 2000) but can range from $-17\%$ to $-30\%$ (Stein and Macdonald, 2004). Migratory zooplankton may further complicate POC source assignments due to ontogenetic and seasonal migrations that can exceed 1000 vertical meters (Ashjian et al., 2003).

The strength of the biological pump and the delivery of POC to sediments depends on several factors, such as temperature, nutrient availability, sea-ice conditions, timing of sea-ice melt, and zooplankton community dynamics (Honjo et al., 2010). Given that Arctic ecosystems appear uniquely sensitive to changing temperature and sea-ice conditions, there is a clear need to determine the structure and function of the Arctic Ocean carbon cycle. We address this need by reporting the first full suite (dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), DOC, and suspended POC (POCsusp)) of dual-isotope profiles in the Arctic Ocean at two sites in the deep Canada Basin. These bulk isotope data are valuable as a comparative baseline against which future change can be evaluated, but they also provide evidence that DIC fixation is particularly important in the deep Arctic Ocean.

3 Methods

As a part of the 2008 Joint Ocean Ice Study (JOIS), the CCGS Louis S. St Laurent occupied two stations in the Canada Basin of the Arctic Ocean in August 2008 (Fig. 1a). Station CB4 ($74^\circ 59.998^\prime$ N; $150^\circ 0.002^\prime$ W; 3825 m) is seasonally free of ice, while station CB9 ($77^\circ 59.859^\prime$ N; $150^\circ 4.887^\prime$ W; 3821 m) is semi-permanently ice covered. At
each site, water samples were collected at 24 depths using 10 l Niskin bottles on a 24-bottle rosette.

DIC samples were collected in combusted 600 ml clear glass DIC bottles, poisoned with 100 µl HgCl$_2$, sealed, and stored in the dark at room temperature to await carbon isotope analysis at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS) facility in Woods Hole, Massachusetts (McNichol et al., 1994). The pooled standard deviations for duplicate DIC samples ($n = 8$) were 0.025 mM, 0.23 ‰ ($\delta^{13}C$), and 8.0 ‰ ($\Delta^{14}C$).

DOC samples were collected in combusted (450 °C; 5 h) amber glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps using $^{14}C$-clean techniques. One-liter samples were collected at depths greater than 400 m. All other DOC samples were 250 ml. Each DOC sample was immediately acidified with 85 % H$_3$PO$_4$ (1 ml or 250 µl) and stored in the dark at 4 °C until processing at NOSAMS following Beaupre et al. (2007). The DOC samples reported here were not filtered and represent total organic carbon (TOC), although the difference between TOC and DOC was found to be negligible due to extremely low particle concentrations. The pooled standard deviations for duplicate DOC samples ($n = 8$) were 2.2 µM, 0.28 ‰ ($\delta^{13}C$), and 23 ‰ ($\Delta^{14}C$).

POCsusp samples were obtained using submersible McLane® pumps loaded with combusted 142 mm GF/F (0.7 µm) filters and lowered to specific depths where each one pumped up to 950 l over 150 min. In one instance, a pump deployed to 3805 m at CB4 failed to start, yet 6.2 µmol of OC ($\Delta^{14}C = −247 ‰; \delta^{13}C = −25.1 ‰$) was collected on the filter. This was attributed to DOC that sorbed onto the filter during deployment. Therefore, POC susp values were corrected for DOC sorption assuming similar sorption onto all sample filters. This DOC sorption “blank” represented 1–19 % of the total OC measured on other sample filters and resulted in an average $\Delta^{14}C_{POCsusp}$ correction of +11 ‰. Surface POC susp samples (9 m water depth) were collected through the ship’s clean water intake onto combusted 293 mm GF/F filters. All POC filters were processed and analyzed according to the procedures outlined by Hwang et al. (2009).
Continuous measurements of temperature and conductivity were carried out with a Seabird SBE9+ CTD attached to the rosette. Conductivity was calibrated using discrete salinity samples processed onboard following standard protocols. A temperature-salinity plot of these data (Fig. 1b) facilitates the interpretation of carbon isotope profiles in the context of vertical water mass structure in the Canada Basin.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC)

In the Canada Basin, DI\textsuperscript{14}C generally decreases with depth – from relatively enriched values in the Atlantic layer to uniformly depleted values in the deep basin (Fig. 2). Deep water DI\textsuperscript{14}C in the Canada Basin is similar to the deep Makarov Basin but depleted by \sim 25\% compared to the deep Nansen and Amundsen Basins (Schlosser et al., 1997). And, except for moderate \textsuperscript{14}C enrichment in the Atlantic layer, the profile of DI\textsuperscript{14}C in the Canada Basin has not changed appreciably since 1992 (Jones et al., 1994).

At stations CB4 (seasonally ice-free) and CB9 (semi-permanently ice-covered), profiles of DIC, DI\textsuperscript{13}C, and DI\textsuperscript{14}C (Fig. 2) are similar, suggesting that sea-ice coverage is not a major factor controlling the vertical distribution of DIC in the Canada Basin. Instead, DIC profiles largely reflect preformed signals in a highly stratified water column. For example, maximum DIC concentrations are found in Pacific Winter Water (PWW), a layer that accumulates DIC as it flows across the highly productive Chukchi Sea.

4.2 Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)

DOC profiles (Fig. 2) show that concentration and DO\textsuperscript{14}C both decrease with depth following the general pattern seen in other ocean basins (Bauer, 2002; Hansell et al., 2009). Elevated DOC in the Pacific layer may reflect DOC-rich waters from the Beaufort and Chukchi shelves that have subducted with brines during sea-ice formation or been injected into the Canada Basin by mesoscale eddies (Mathis et al., 2007).
A large percentage (31–65%) of primary production in the Canada Basin is released as DOC (Gosselin et al., 1997), but DOC in the deep Canada Basin remains low (~40 µM) due to a weak biological pump, low POC fluxes, and high DOC biodegradation rates (Anderson, 2002). Measurements of lignin phenols and $^{13}$C isotope signatures also point towards relatively minor contributions from terrestrial OC to the deep Canada Basin DOC pool (Anderson, 2002; Opsahl et al., 1999).

$^{13}$C profiles at CB4 and CB9 share several features. Generally, DOC is more $^{13}$C-enriched in surface and Pacific layers compared to deeper waters, which points to greater contributions from sea-ice algal production and pre-formed Pacific/Chukchi Sea DOC (Belt et al., 2008; Stein and Macdonald, 2004) or the preferential degradation of $^{13}$C-depleted DOC (e.g., riverine DOC) in the upper 400 m at both sites. Likewise, deep waters at each site share similar $^{13}$C values (~−23‰). Yet in the core of the Atlantic layer, at depths of 400–1000 m, $^{13}$C values are more depleted at CB9 than at CB4. This offset may reflect greater contributions from terrestrial OC ($\delta^{13}$C ~ −26‰ to −29‰; (Naidu et al., 2000; Raymond et al., 2007)) delivered from the Siberian margin to CB9 by the Atlantic layer boundary current (see Fig. 1a), or DOC derived from microbial consumption of autochthonous POC along the Northwind Ridge ($\delta^{13}$C ~ −25‰ to −27‰; (Honjo et al., 2010)).

We also find significant offsets between $^{14}$C profiles within the Canada Basin. Below 400 m, $^{14}$C is significantly more enriched (by ~27‰) at CB9 compared to CB4 ($t$-test, $p = 0.0034$). This difference points to a weaker biological pump and/or larger contributions from aged and advected DOC at the interior basin site (CB4). In either case, we might expect to see this $^{14}$C offset also reflected in the composition of POC$_{susp}$ at both sites due to communication between DOC and POC via heterotrophic respiration of in situ DOC and sorption of DOC onto POC$_{susp}$.

### 4.3 Suspended Particulate Organic Carbon (POC$_{susp}$)

The Canada Basin is characterized by extremely low POC$_{susp}$ concentrations (Fig. 2). Those measured in the current study are consistently (and in some cases much) lower...
than previous reports from nearby locations (Trimble and Baskaran, 2005). This difference can be attributed to the relatively small amount of DOC sorbed onto filters due to the large volumes filtered (see Gardner et al., 2003) and the fact that our reported POCsusp values have been explicitly corrected for DOC sorption onto filters during deployment.

Despite overlapping source isotope signatures, PO\textsuperscript{13}Csusp values at mesopelagic (~200–1000 m) and bathypelagic (~1000–4000 m) depths in the central Canada Basin (~ −23 ‰ to −24 ‰) implicate pelagic plankton sources, whereas surface PO\textsuperscript{13}Csusp values (~27 ‰ to −30 ‰) point to either river-derived OC or slow-growing phytoplankton (Fig. 2). The relative enrichment of surface PO\textsuperscript{13}Csusp at CB9 may also reflect proportionately greater contributions from sea ice algae at this ice-covered site.

Radiocarbon data add an additional constraint when interpreting POCsusp profiles. Canada Basin PO\textsuperscript{14}C profiles (Fig. 2) support previous evidence from time-series studies of POC collected in sediment traps (POCsink), which suggest that the biological pump in the Arctic is weaker than in other oceans due a smaller flux of ballast particles (Honjo et al., 2010). PO\textsuperscript{14}Csink and PO\textsuperscript{14}Csusp in the Canada Basin are both more depleted than at corresponding depths in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (Druffel and Williams, 1990; Hwang et al., 2008; McNichol and Aluwihare, 2007), which points to smaller contributions from surface-derived OC to deep waters and sediments of the Canada Basin.

Unlike other oceans, where PO\textsuperscript{14}Csink values reflect modern OC from surface ocean primary productivity (Druffel and Williams, 1990; Druffel et al., 1992), in the Canada Basin PO\textsuperscript{14}Csink is more depleted than PO\textsuperscript{14}Csusp (Fig. 2) (Hwang et al., 2008; Hwang et al., 2011). This discrepancy may be due to the proportionately large influence of ocean margins and shelf-basin particle transport on POCsink in the Arctic or other factors such as bottom currents and the timing of sea-ice melt, which may affect POCsink and POCsusp differently (Bates et al., 2005; Belicka et al., 2009; Darby et al., 2009; Forest et al., 2010, 2007; Honjo et al., 2010; Hwang et al., 2008). Together, depleted PO\textsuperscript{14}C values, high aluminum content, and the timing of sinking particulate
fluxes in the Canada Basin (Hwang et al., 2008; O’Brien et al., 2011) suggest that resuspended margin sediments are an important source of pre-aged organic carbon (OC) to the deep Arctic Ocean.

The unique character of Canada Basin PO\textsuperscript{14}C profiles has important implications for understanding the sources and fate of POC\textsubscript{susp}. In the Canada Basin, PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} generally decreases with depth, a feature that has been observed in other oceans and is typically attributed to the sorption of aged DOC (Druffel and Williams, 1990). The fact that PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{sink} is more depleted than PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} raises the possibility that laterally advected refractory POC\textsubscript{sink} could be partially responsible for the observed depletion of PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} with depth. Benthic nepheloid layers that transport resuspended shelf and slope sediments may also contribute to PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} patterns in the deepest samples (Forest et al., 2007; Hwang et al., 2009).

Many of these explanations rely on some amount of communication between the sinking and suspended pools. In the Canada Basin, POC\textsubscript{sink} fluxes (10 mmol C m\textsuperscript{-2} y\textsuperscript{-1}; Δ\textsuperscript{14}C = −68‰; δ\textsuperscript{13}C = −25.4‰; at 120 m (Honjo et al., 2010)) are certainly large enough to affect the character of the POC\textsubscript{susp} inventory between 150–3000 m (73 µmol C m\textsuperscript{-3}; Δ\textsuperscript{14}C = −70‰; δ\textsuperscript{13}C = −23.8‰). Nonetheless, it is possible that the difference between the isotopic signatures of PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} and PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{sink} is related to mismatched sampling timescales (POC\textsubscript{susp} “snapshots” versus POC\textsubscript{sink} time series). But if this were the case, PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} would need to exhibit marked temporal variability since PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{sink} was consistently more depleted throughout multi-year deployments (Hwang et al., 2008, 2011).

If we assume that the difference between PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} and PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{sink} is not a timescale artifact, then the source of enriched PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} at depth is not immediately clear. One possibility is that these enriched PO\textsuperscript{14}C\textsubscript{susp} values reflect heterotrophic organisms that consume labile (modern) OC attached to otherwise refractory POC\textsubscript{sink} (e.g., White et al., 2007). An alternate explanation is that a significant fraction of POC\textsubscript{susp} in the Canada Basin is derived from DIC fixation at depth due to anaplerotic DIC uptake (Rau et al., 1986) or chemoautotrophic organisms such as planktonic
Archaea, which are common (if not dominant) below the euphotic zone of the world’s oceans (Delong, 2007).

To resolve these questions, isotope mass balance calculations were used to estimate the fraction of POCsusp derived from surface-derived OC (“\( f_{SD} \)”), in situ DIC fixation (“\( f_{DF} \)”), and either advected POC or sorbed DOC (“\( f_{A/S} \)”):

\[
f_{SD} + f_{DF} + f_{A/S} = 1
\]

\[
\delta^{13}C_{POCsusp} = f_{SD}(\delta^{13}C_{SD}) + f_{DF}(\delta^{13}C_{DF}) + f_{A/S}(\delta^{13}C_{A/S})
\]

\[
\Delta^{14}C_{POCsusp} = f_{SD}(\Delta^{14}C_{SD}) + f_{DF}(\Delta^{14}C_{DF}) + f_{A/S}(\Delta^{14}C_{A/S})
\]

This system of equations was solved twice for each sample – once assuming no contribution from advected POC, and again assuming no contribution from sorbed DOC. This was necessary in order to account for four carbon sources with only two isotopes. The isotopic values of each end-member were derived from profile data according to Table 1 and are specific to both location and water depth. Relatively large uncertainties in POCsusp were taken into account by solving the mass balance for the full range (\( \pm 1 \) standard deviation) of POCsusp isotope values.

Mass balance results (Table 2) indicate that PO\(^{14}\)Csusp in the Canada Basin requires large contributions (41–71 %) from surface-derived OC, with smaller but significant (4–22 %) contributions from in situ DIC fixation, particularly at intermediate depths (e.g., 2000 m) and at the interior basin site (CB4). The balance in these calculations (12–51 %) is attributed to either in situ DOC or advected/refractory POC. These calculations provide quantitative support that the POCsusp pool in the meso- and bathypelagic Arctic contains carbon derived from both in situ DIC and surface-derived OC, and that the relative proportion of each source varies with depth and location (Table 2). These results also lend bulk isotopic evidence to support microbiological studies that have recently found evidence for the dominance of chemoautotrophic communities in deep waters of the Arctic, Atlantic, and Pacific (Hansman et al., 2009; Herndl et al., 2005; Ingalls et al., 2006; Kirchman et al., 2007; Wuchter et al., 2006).
The source of chemical energy driving deep Arctic DIC fixation remains uncertain. One possibility is that chemoautotrophy is driven by nitrifying organisms that utilize NH$_4^+$ from the decomposition of particle bound organic matter (Karl et al., 1984). If we assume that the organic nitrogen flux between 150–3000 m (5.2 mmol N m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ (Honjo et al., 2010)) is entirely converted to NH$_4^+$ and nitrifiers require approximately 10 NH$_4^+$ molecules to fix a single molecule of CO$_2$ (e.g., Wuchter et al., 2006), then organic nitrogen fluxes could support DIC fixation rates as high as 520 µmol C m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$, which is large compared to the fraction of POCsusp derived from in situ DIC fixation at these depths (3–16 µmol C m$^{-3}$). And while further mechanistic, genetic, and compound-specific isotope studies are clearly needed, our results provide bulk isotopic evidence that DIC fixation contributes significantly to POCsusp in the meso- and bathypelagic Arctic.

5 Conclusion

Taken together, these findings have intriguing implications for our understanding of the Arctic carbon cycle; in particular the weakness of the biological pump, the interplay between the dissolved and particulate OC pools, and the importance of DIC fixation at depth. This study also sets a valuable baseline from which to identify future changes in the Arctic Ocean carbon cycle.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/8/10677/2011/bgd-8-10677-2011-supplement.pdf.
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Table 1. Determining end-member isotope values for POCsusp mass balance calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope values derived from:</th>
<th>Surface-derived OC ($\delta^{13}C_{SD}; \Delta^{14}C_{SD}$)</th>
<th>DIC fixation ($\delta^{13}C_{DF}; \Delta^{14}C_{DF}$)</th>
<th>Adveected POC ($\delta^{13}C_A; \Delta^{14}C_A$)</th>
<th>Sorbed DOC ($\delta^{13}C_S; \Delta^{14}C_S$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POCsusp at 50 m</td>
<td>in situ DIC</td>
<td>$\Delta^{14}C = -260%$</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C = -24.5%$</td>
<td>in situ DOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorophyll maxima at 64 m (CB4) and 45 m (CB9)</td>
<td>assumes fixation by the 3HP/4HB pathway and a $^{13}C$ fractionation factor of $4 \pm 4%$</td>
<td>extrapolating POCsink isotopes and $%Al$ at CB4 back to crustal $Al$ content</td>
<td>sorbed onto POCsusp or incorporated into bacterial biomass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference(s): This study; Pearson (2010); This study; Hwang et al. (2010, 2008)
Table 2. Contributions to POC_{susp} in the Canada Basin based on isotope mass balance calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Surface-derived OC (%)</th>
<th>DIC fixation (%)</th>
<th>Advected POC/sorbed DOC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB4 150 m</td>
<td>71 (66–74)</td>
<td>15 (14–16)</td>
<td>14 (10–19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB4 1000 m</td>
<td>53 (41–63)</td>
<td>20 (18–22)</td>
<td>27 (16–41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB9 1000 m</td>
<td>70 (61–78)</td>
<td>10 (9–11)</td>
<td>20 (12–31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB4 2000 m</td>
<td>67 (53–75)</td>
<td>22 (19–27)</td>
<td>12 (1–28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB4 3000 m</td>
<td>41 (15–61)</td>
<td>8 (4–12)</td>
<td>51 (27–81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB9 3000 m</td>
<td>56 (24–78)</td>
<td>4 (1–6)</td>
<td>41 (16–75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses show the extreme range of solutions to the mass balance given full uncertainties in PO_{14}C_{susp} and the DIC fixation fractionation factor, as well as separate treatment of advected POC and sorbed DOC calculations.
Fig. 1. (a) Sampling stations CB4 and CB9 in the Canada Basin are mapped along with surface (red) and Atlantic (blue) water layer currents (McLaughlin et al., 2009; Stein and Macdonald, 2004) and the approximate sea-ice extent in August 2008 (http://nsidc.org/data/). (b) Water masses in the Canada Basin are characterized by temperature and salinity. Solid lines (grey-CB4; black-CB9) show real-time measurements from sensors attached to the rosette. Discrete points correspond to bottle samples collected for isotopic analyses of DIC and DOC. Displayed depths are used to translate labeled water masses onto depth profiles (Fig. 2).
Fig. 2. Full-depth carbon isotope profiles of DOC (blue triangles), POCsusp (green squares), and DIC (red circles) at sites CB4 (open symbols) and CB9 (filled symbols) in the Canada Basin in August 2008. POCsink (black diamonds) represents a flux-weighted average from time-series sediment traps at water depths of 2050 m (2008–2009), 3100 m (2004–2005; 2007–2009), and 3750 m (2008–2009) (Hwang et al., 2011). POCsink at 120 m (grey diamonds) is derived from an ice-tethered sediment trap that traversed the Canada Basin and Chukchi Rise in 1997–1998 (Honjo et al., 2010). POCsink error bars show ±1 SD for each corresponding time series. Error bars for POCsusp reflect propagated errors (±1 SD) from procedural blank and DOC corrections; DOC errors (±1 SD) reflect propagated errors of blank corrections. Error bars (±1 SD) for DIC reflect analytical uncertainty only. When not visible, error bars are smaller than symbols. Water masses (Polar Mixed Layer – PML; Pacific Summer Water – PSW; Pacific Winter Water – PWW; Atlantic Layer – ATL; and Deep Canada Basin Water – DBW) are delineated according to characteristic temperature and salinity (see Fig. 1b). These data are available in Supplementary Data Tables 1–3.