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Thank you very much for your comments on our manuscript. We would like to revise the manuscript in accordance with your suggestions.

Responses to your comments are as follows:

1) The primary aim of this study is to estimate the total N$_2$ fixation rate as discussed in text, and we focused on the N$_2$ fixation rates in filtrate fractions. The GF/F filter (mesh size: $\sim 0.7$ $\mu$m) is traditionally used for the determination of N$_2$ fixation rates in the field because it has a low blank for the determination of nitrogen-isotope compositions. However, it is difficult to determine the $\delta^{15}$N value of filtrate fractions in natural samples using the conventional EA-IRMS techniques. Therefore, thus far, no study
has determined the N2 fixation rates in the filtrate fractions in the field on natural bulk samples. Moreover, there has been not clear definition of the boundary between ‘particulate fraction’ and ‘dissolved fraction’ (Hansell and Carlson, 2002). In our MS, we define the terms ‘retentate fraction’ and ‘filtrate fraction’ as ‘impassable fraction’ and ‘passable fraction’ respectively, as using a GF/F filter. Thus we added the instruction of that definition (page 767, line 10 in the original MS). In accordance with this definition, picoplanktonic-sized diazotrophs (0.2 µm to 2 µm) may fix N2 in filtrate fractions. Therefore, intracellular organic nitrogen is not the only source of filtrate 15N. Further, if N2 fixation occurs during 15N2 tracer incubation experiments, 15N must move into either retentate or filtrate and the total N2 fixation rate should be the sum of the N2 fixation rates in the retentate and filtrate fractions. Thus, we could estimate the total N2 fixation rate in the field using natural bulk samples for the first time.

2) Time zero experiments: We have definitely conducted the time-zero experiments for all the incubation experiments. We calculated the N2 fixation rates by comparing the initial and final δ15N values. According to your calculations, the enrichment values range from 0.21 to 0.63 ‰ obtained from the data in Table 2 in our original MS. However, we estimate the initial to final δ15N enrichment values of the filtrate fractions to range from ~0 to 10.7 ‰ because the actual initial 15N-N2 enrichment is approximately 20% (~560000 ‰. We have also presented the maximum N2 fixation rates in filtrate fractions for the samples whose increase in δ15N values is less than the detection limit (2‰ (Stns. 19, 20 and 21 as listed in Table 2).

3) Responses to comments on figures are as follows: Figure 1: We have calculated the combined retentate + filtrate enrichment as the mass-weighted average of the 15N enrichment of the retentate and filtrate fractions through incubation experiments. Figure 2: Thank you very much for your revision. We got the calculation factors backwards. We have now corrected Figure 2 as your suggestion.

We trust that the revisions made in response to your comments are satisfactory. Please find the pdf files of our revised manuscript attached. Thank you for your
consideration.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:  
http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/7/C911/2010/bgd-7-C911-2010-supplement.pdf
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