Interactive comment on “The consumption of atmospheric methane by soil in a simulated future climate” by C. L. Curry

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 19 August 2009

General Comments:

The Curry manuscript describes a previously published soil CH4 oxidation model and applies the model at the global scale to compare the regional patterns of CH4 sink strength under different climate scenarios. The paper is well written, comprehensive, and the conclusions, results, and methods appear sound. Strengths include detailed analysis using maps and statistics to show how the relative importance of the drivers of CH4 consumption (soil diffusivity, water content, and temperature) changes both spatially and temporally. A few suggestions to improve the paper are outlined below.

Specific Comments:

1. ERA-40 should be defined. 2. Page 6090 lines 24-25: this sentence is confusing and should be re-worded. 3. Page 9096: the section at bottom describing the comparison of pre-industrial climate shown in Table 3 should be moved to the Results section. 4. Table 2 should note which life zones include cropped lands and grasslands. 5. The paper does not include any comparisons of modeled CH4 uptake rates with field data. Formal model validation may not be necessary, but at least some discussion comparing modeled values with measurements would give readers more confidence in model predictions. Particularly, CH4 uptake rates in deciduous forests soils reported in Dong et al. (1998) and Goldman et al. (1995) are some what higher than those reported in Table 2 for this ecosystem type. 6. The axis/legend labels for figures 5 and 6 need to be larger.
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