Influence of hydrological fluxes on bio-geochemical processes in a peatland
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Abstract

Factors influencing the dynamics of nitrate and sulphate concentration observed in a south Normandy peatland were determined experimentally. The effects of high or low nitrate input, and oxic or anoxic conditions on microbial activity were investigated in bioreactors, using peat samples from field sites influenced by different hydrologic regimes. Site S, unlike site G, was characterized by the presence of hydrogeological gradients inducing water fluxes from river to peat during most of the hydrological cycle. Peat samples from both sites were subjected to similar experimental conditions to distinguish between the chemical effects ($\text{NO}_3^-$, $\text{O}_2$) and the physical effects (hydrologic regimes).

$[\text{Cl}^-]$, $[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]$ and $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ were monitored for 240 h. Nitrate was significantly reduced in most experiments: (1) Removal of 70% of the initial nitrate content after 51 h under anoxic conditions; (2) Complete nitrate reduction after 240 h in soil from the S site. This reduction was interpreted as heterotrophic denitrification. Sulphate monitoring revealed that 400 mg/L were produced in peat from site S under aerobic conditions. Sulphate changes under anaerobiosis were not significant or, for samples from G, under any conditions. Clear differences in chloride content (deviance analysis, $P<0.05$), sulphate concentration and nitrate consumption dynamics (deviance analysis, $P<0.0001$) were observed between the G and S sites. Our results demonstrate that the rates of nitrate removal and sulphate production differ between peat samples from sites subjected to different hydrological regimes, even under similar redox and nitrate conditions. This experimental approach highlights the effect of hydrological fluxes leading to modifications of microbial activity which are likely related to changes in microbial diversity.

1 Introduction

In wetlands, biologically active components (O, N, S, and Fe) are controlled by microbial processes, which in turn depend on redox conditions (Hedin et al., 1998; Bilanovic...
et al., 1999; Ostrom et al., 2002; Cannavo et al., 2004). Chemical conditions and the composition of microbial communities are thus intimately linked (Dassonville and Renault, 2002; Stumm and Morgan, 1996; Torsvik and Øvreås, 2002). Abiotic factors such as pH, organic carbon and N-oxides content, temperature, bulk density, and soil textures can affect the activity and population dynamics of soil microorganisms (Clément et al., 2002; Cavigelli and Robertson, 2000; Dommergues and Mangenot, 1970; Knowles, 1982; Nannipieri et al., 2003). The metabolic capabilities and interactions of microorganisms greatly influence biogeochemical processes. However, the complex interactions between microbial population ecology and abiotic factors are little understood at present.

Relationships between chemical conditions, microbial processes and physical parameters such as water fluxes have been addressed only recently (e.g. Chapelle, 2000; Clément et al., 2002, 2003; Ginn et al., 2002; Vidon and Hill, 2004). Such parameters are related to landscape physical structure and appear to be determinant in controlling the spatial variability of these biogeochemical processes (Hedin et al., 1998; Hill et al., 2000; Clément et al., 2002, 2003; Packman et al., 2004; Sabater et al., 2003). Several hydrological models, focusing on the prediction of microbial transport and the effect of microbial mats on water fluxes in natural porous and high nutrient media, have been proposed (e.g. Harvey et al., 1993; Murphy and Ginn, 2000; Ginn et al., 2002; Rockhold et al., 2004). However, few studies deal with unsaturated or variably saturated systems (Rockhold et al., 2004). To date, the effect of water fluxes on biological activities, independently of redox conditions, has not been simultaneously addressed in both laboratory and field comprehensive studies.

Peatlands are specific ecosystems in which biological and chemical functioning is essentially maintained by hydrological aspects. Limited hydrological fluctuations can induce considerable modifications in the biotic composition, specific richness and productivity of the ecosystem (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2000). Hydrological disturbances induced by human activities can have a severe impact on peatland functioning (e.g. Owen, 1995). This may be important on a global scale since it can affect the key role of
peatland as a carbon sink. Before the vulnerability of such wetlands can be assessed, the effects of hydrological fluctuations on the biogeochemical processes occurring in these environments need to be more thoroughly understood.

Field observations in peatlands in western France (Auterives et al., 2008; Auterives, 2007) revealed the sensitivity of biogeochemical cycles to hydrological fluxes and highlighted the potential influence of water fluxes on microbial activity. The aim of this study was thus to distinguish between physical and chemical effects (water fluxes and nutrient availability, respectively) on microbial activity and was focused on the activity of denitrifiers in peat soils. An experimental approach was used to determine the factors influencing spatiotemporal variability and the dynamics of nitrate and sulphate concentrations observed in the field. The overall reactivity of peat samples from field sites under distinct hydrologic regimes and different chemical dynamics was tested experimentally by subjecting these samples to similar nitrate and redox conditions.

2 Material and methods

Our aim in the batch experiments was to reproduce the field observations, as regards S and N changes, and to isolate the physical and chemical factors which might influence the chemical trends. Our hypothesis, based on hydrological monitoring in the field, was that the peat samples from different sites corresponded to different hydrological conditions, especially water fluxes and peat moisture which were both higher in the S site. Peat samples from the three different sites were subjected to similar chemical conditions (oxygen and/or nitrate addition). The hydrological effect on peat reactivity was determined by statistical comparison of experiments performed under similar chemical conditions.
2.1 Field description

2.1.1 Geological setting

The peatland site, which developed after the last glacial period, is located in south Normandy, 49°15’ N, 1°20’ W, in the “Marais du Cotentin et du Bessin” regional natural park. Peat thickness varies from 1 m close to the stream to 10 m in the center of the peatland. This latter has developed in relation to an aquifer discharge zone and is mainly covered by herbaceous plant communities. The aquifer consists of a sandy geological formation (Mio – Pliocene) filling an 80 m thick graben. This sand aquifer is highly permeable and the basin is pumped to supply drinking water. The hydrological conditions in the peat aquifer are locally modified by the wells used for pumping. The influence of hydrological conditions on peatland functioning was investigated by comparing the modified and non-modified zones.

2.1.2 Field equipment

Hydrogeological and water chemistry parameters were monitored from 2002 to 2005 (Auterives, 2007). Two study sites were set up (Fig. 1). The first corresponded to a pumped site (site S), in front of a pumping station. The second was located one kilometer downstream, beyond the influence of the pumping station, and was used as a reference site (G site). The hydrological fluxes in the two sites were determined by monitoring the river level by staff gauges and PVC piezometers which were set up at different depths (1.5 to 5 m) in the deep sand aquifer and in the actual peat. In this latter, the piezometers were set up along a river-peat transect. The sand piezometric monitoring was made with deep boreholes (80–100 m) from the DDAF (Regional Direction of Agriculture and Forest) which intersect the sandy aquifer in pumping site S – left bank and in reference site G (Fig. 1). The stream water levels and the piezometric levels of the clay-rich layer, sand layer, and peat layer were monitored during the same period and at the same frequency: every two or three weeks from March 2003 to March
The hydraulic conductivity of the saturated peat was estimated using field tests. In the clay piezometers Slug tests were used. In the peat, two piezometer types were used: the previously described rigid PVC piezometers and mini-piezometers. Mini-piezometers were screened at the bottom (20 cm long) and were put into the peat by hammering with a steel T-bar down to a depth of 1 m. 18 large permanent PVC pipes, 1.5 to 5 m depth, were tested once, 6 were tested twice and 26 temporary mini-piezometers covering the three sites were tested twice.

### 2.1.3 Hydrologic budget

A mass balance was used to describe and quantify the peatland hydrological budget (Eq. 1) (Auterives, 2007). The mass balance was calculated on a peat section, from the stream and a more internal zone of the peatland. Precipitation \( P \) is an input and evapotranspiration \( E \) an output in the peatland water budget. \( Q_{\text{Stream}} \) and \( Q_{\text{Peat}} \) are horizontal groundwater exchanged flows with the stream and the peatland respectively. \( Q_{\text{Sand}} \) is the vertical groundwater exchanged flow with the underlying aquifer through the basal clay layer. In the investigated sites, the water table remained below the ground surface, which limits runoff production. Thus, runoff was neglected and all effective rainfall were considered as input in the peat groundwater. The canopy interception was considered as negligible.

\[
\Delta S = P - E \pm Q_{\text{Stream}} \pm Q_{\text{Sand}} \pm Q_{\text{Peat}} \quad (1)
\]

where \( \Delta S \) (mm) is the variation in water storage of the peatland; 
\( P \) (mm) is precipitation; 
\( E \) (mm) is the actual evapotranspiration; 
\( Q_{\text{Stream}} \) (mm) is the exchanged groundwater flow between the stream and the peat groundwater as estimated from Darcy’s law; 
\( Q_{\text{Sand}} \) (mm) is the exchanged groundwater flow between the peat aquifer and the sand aquifer through the clay layer;
QPeat (mm) is the exchanged peat groundwater flow of the investigated area with the rest of the peatland as estimated from Darcy’s law.

Groundwater flows, direction and rate, were calculated using Darcy’s law. QStream and QPeat, horizontal groundwater flow, were calculated with the hydraulic conductivity KPeat measured on field and the horizontal hydraulic gradient deduced from the piezometric monitoring. Qsand, vertical groundwater flow through the clay layer, was calculated with the hydraulic conductivity of the clay-rich layer KClay from the field measurements and the vertical hydraulic gradient deduced from the monitoring. The variation water storage (ΔS) is reflected by the height of the water table (h) and the specific yield (Sy) as:

\[
\Delta S = \Delta h Sy
\]

where \(\Delta h\) (mm) is the head difference between the beginning and the end of the considered period.

Hydrologic budget was quantified for the year 2004 was quantified (Table 1) on each site in a peat section from the middle to the stream of 3150 m², 7320 m² and 3360 m² on the pumping site S – left bank, pumping site S – right bank and reference site G respectively.

Meteorological data, precipitation and potential evapotranspiration were provided by MétéoFrance. Precipitation data from Meteofrance are 914.6 mm in 2004 at the local meteorological station. Potential evapotranspiration from Meteofrance data in 2004 is 722.8 mm. According to the Turc formula, the actual \(E\) equals to 549.5 mm which is 76% of the potential \(E\). Evapotranspiration dominates the outputs of the budget and represents more than 55% of the total outputs (Table 1).

2.1.4 Field chemical monitoring

Water chemistry parameters were carried out every two months from 2002 to 2005. Peat groundwater was collected from the PVC pipes. Physico-chemical parameters (pH, Eh, T°C) were measured on site with a field multiparameter WTW P4. Water was...
sampled, filtered (0.22 μm cellulose acetate filter capsule Sartorius) and analysed for Cl\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), and NO\(_3^-\) through ionic chromatography Dionex DX-120) in the Geosciences chemical laboratory with an uncertainty below 5% (Auterives, 2007).

2.2 Experimental batch design

Peat samples were collected with an auger in March 2004 at the 2 sites, close to (2 m) and distant from (100 m) the stream (Fig. 1). Samples were collected on both sides of the stream (left and right banks) at pumping site S. The sampling depth varied from 50 to 80 cm. The 3 sites present different hydrologic characteristics. The left bank of site S is influenced by a permanent water influx (river to peat). Influx from the stream to site G only occurs during very high water periods. The right bank of site S represents an intermediate situation.

The chemical composition of the water used in the experiments was similar to that of the stream. Stream water, because of potential microbial content, was not used. The soil to water ratio ranged from 1/10 to 1/20. The soil samples were stored at 4°C for 2 days before the experiment.

2.2.1 Peat sample characteristics

The soil chemical profile shows that the variation in redox conditions was dependent on the distance to the stream (Table 2). During sampling, large roots were removed and the soil was homogenized but not sieved in order to preserve soil microbial heterogeneity. pH was determined by AFNOR NF X 31-103 method (Table 2). C-N-S-O values were obtained with a CHNSO EA1108 Carlo-Erba apparatus.

2.2.2 Experimental procedure

Changes in nitrate and sulphate concentrations were monitored for 240 h under: (i) high and low nitrate input, (ii) oxic and anoxic conditions and (iii) biotic and abiotic control (Fig. 3). 30 g of wet soil was placed in serum flasks containing 100 ml of synthetic...
solution (40 mg/L of Cl\(^{-}\) as NaCl). No nitrate was added to the low nitrate samples. High nitrate concentrations were obtained by adding 30 mg/L of NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) (as NaNO\(_3\)). The incubation conditions were either oxic (in an oxygen atmosphere) or anoxic (under a nitrogen atmosphere). Anaerobic conditions were ensured by flushing the ambient air in flasks 3 times with nitrogen. The flasks were shaken continuously throughout the experiment to ensure moderate homogeneity. One hour before sampling, the flasks were stirred to homogenize the water content and then left still for the particles to settle. Abiotic controls consisted of sterilized peat samples in which the bacterial enzymes had been metabolically inhibited by gamma ray irradiation so that the soil physical structure remained unaltered. Ionization, involving a 60 kGray or 6 mrads treatment, was carried out at the “Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique” (CEA, Cadarache). The average dose of ionizing radiation required to inactivate a single colony forming unit is 30 Gray for *Escherichia coli* and around 6–7 kGray for *Deinococcus radiodurans* (Battista et al., 1999). Vegetative cells of *Bacillus* spp. cannot grow at 60 Gray and *Bacillus* spores show a 5-order-of-magnitude decrease in viability following acute exposure to 200 to 1000 Gray (Thornley et al., 1965). All abiotic control samples were subjected to the same procedures as the other sample series (Fig. 3). Potential variability related to peat heterogeneity was taken into account by performing triplicates for each experimental condition (nitrate addition, oxic or anoxic, sterilized...). All figures and tables indicate the mean of the triplicate value and its standard error.

### 2.3 Chemical batch analyses

5 mL of solution was sampled from the flasks after 1, 9, 25, 76 and 240 h of incubation. Three mL, sieved through 0.22 \(\mu\)m cellulose-acetate filters (Sartorius Minisart) were analyzed for major anions (Cl\(^{-}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\) and NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\)) by ionic chromatography (Dionex\textsuperscript{©} DX120) at the Caren-Geosciences Rennes laboratory. Uncertainty was less than 4%. The remaining 2 mL was used to determine microbial diversity (not addressed in this study; Bougon et al., 2009). Physico-chemical parameters were measured at
the end of each experiment (Table 3). pH was measured with a precision of $\pm 0.05$ unit using a Sentix 50 electrode, calibrated with WTW standard solutions of known pH (4.01 and 7.00 at 25°C). Redox potential was determined using a platinum electrode (Mettler Pt 4805).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Nitrate, chloride and sulphate concentrations increased slightly in each bioreactor over the first 25 h. Variation was related to soil pore water and added water equilibration (solubilization effect). As the variations in nitrate and sulphate concentration were concomitant with those of chloride, biogeochemical mechanisms could be ruled out. This equilibration stage was therefore ignored in the following kinetic analyses and the zero time is henceforth defined as beginning after 25 h, i.e. after equilibration. Furthermore, to permit comparison of the different experiments, which presented various concentrations after the first 25 h, the variation of concentration is presented as the ratio to “zero” concentration ($\Delta = C_t/C_0$ in %, with $C_0$ the concentration at hour 25) in all the figures.

The chloride, nitrate and sulphate concentrations for each site (reference site G and the S sites, near to and distant from the stream), for each set of conditions (added NO$_3^-$ or not, oxic or anoxic), and for each time (25, 76 and 240 h), were subjected to statistical analysis. As family-wise errors rate in the dataset followed a Poisson distribution, the linking function used was the log transformation. Then, the data were analyzed using a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) implemented in R. A deviance analysis (effect on GLM when one term was removed) was performed to test (i) differences between the sites for each variable (Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations) at each time; (ii) the possible effect of NO$_3^-$ addition on the other variables, and (iii) the effect of oxic and anoxic conditions on the measured parameters. Statistical analyses were performed using the chi-square test. When a term was significant, the contrasts (mean comparisons), i.e. interaction terms were subjected to z coefficient tests. This was feasible despite the normally asymptotic distribution of the beta coefficients.
The significance of the results was confirmed by applying a Bonferroni correction. All these analyses were implemented using \( R \) (http://www.r-project.org/).

3 Results

3.1 Field observations

3.1.1 Hydrological results

The hydrogeological conditions exhibited by the two areas are clearly distinct (Autterives, 2007).

**Reference site G:** The water table close to the river and further into the peatland is compared in Fig. 2. Piezometric monitoring revealed that the water table was lower throughout the peat aquifer in site G during the dry period. It also shows that those periods, during which the water table was higher close to the river than in the peat, were very short (in grey in Fig. 2, summarized in Fig. 1). The hydrogeological gradients inducing river fluxes towards the peat were therefore of limited duration.

**Pumping site S:** the water table fell much less (less than 50 cm) in the S site, especially on the left bank (where pumping occurred) (Fig. 2). In contrast to site G, hydrogeological gradients inducing water fluxes from river to peat were observed during most of the hydrological cycle (Fig. 1).

Pumping in the sand aquifer resulted in lowering of the water table (almost 1.5 m). This decrease led to higher water fluxes from the peat to the sand aquifer especially on the left bank of pumping site S. The water budget for the peat in both sites, based on hydrogeological monitoring, was computed (Table 1). The peatland water inflow at pumping site S is related to precipitations (\( 0.915 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{year/m}^2 \)) and to a permanent water inflow (\( 0.128 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{year/m}^2 \)), induced by vertical fluxes from the peat to the sand aquifer. In contrast, the peatland water inflow at reference site G is mainly controlled by precipitations (\( 0.915 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{year/m}^2 \)). It was apparent from hydrolog-
ical monitoring that the main differences in hydrological conditions were related to (i) large fluxes from the river through the peat at site S which maintain high peat water levels, and (ii) limited fluxes from the river which create long and considerable downward water movement and peat drying at site G.

3.1.2 Geochemical results

A two-year hydrogeological and hydrochemical monitoring programme (Auterives, 2007; Auterives et al., 2008) revealed that the peat groundwater was slightly acid (pH 5.5–7.5) and conductivity ranged from 200 to 600 $\mu$S cm$^{-1}$. Redox potential varied according to the hydrological period, values exceeding 400 mV/ESH throughout the high-water periods and indicating oxidized conditions. Oxygenation of peat groundwater is promoted by deeper groundwater flow into the sand, and water renewal. During the low-water periods, Eh fell below 200–300 mV/ESH and indicated moderately reduced conditions due to the slow flow of groundwater limiting oxygen renewal in the peat groundwater.

Chloride concentrations ranged from 15 to 40 mg/L. A concentration gradient, dependent on the distance from the stream, was observed in site G.

Nitrate dynamics were dependent on hydrological conditions, NO$_3^-$ concentrations being higher during high-water periods (5 to 35 mg L$^{-1}$) than during low-water periods (0 to 10 mg L$^{-1}$). A clear decrease in NO$_3^-$ concentration was observed during low-water periods.

SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations showed extremely high variations from 0 to 1200 mg L$^{-1}$. Pulses of SO$_4^{2-}$ related to pulses of H$^+$ (pH<5) are observed after a desaturation/resaturation cycle. An increase in sulphate concentration was apparent after an increase in peat groundwater level. Such increases were located in two areas: on the left bank near the pumping well in site S and near the stream in reference site G. These areas correspond to maximum drying of the peat during low water. The SO$_4^{2-}$ pulses result from a drying-rewetting effect (Devito and Hill, 1999; Eimers et al., 2003; Au-
During washing out, the formerly reduced species which were oxidized during resaturation are again brought into solution.

The changes in nitrate and sulphate concentrations were clearly related to water table dynamics and reflected various redox conditions related to water saturation. However, the field results also showed an obvious variation between sites and with respect to the distance from the stream within each site:

- efficient nitrate removal in reference site G and pumping site S – right bank,
- more limited nitrate removal in pumping site S – left bank, above the abstraction well,
- sulphate production (SO$_4^{2-} > 100$ mg/L) throughout pumping site S – left bank, above the abstraction well, and in reference site G, close to the stream,
- high sulphate concentrations (SO$_4^{2-} = 20–50$ mg/L) close to the stream on the right bank of pumping site S.

3.2 Batch results

3.2.1 Nitrate

A systematic decrease in nitrate concentration was observed under anaerobiosis (Fig. 4). Nitrate reduction was complete at the end of the experiments in the S site samples. Maximal nitrate consumption occurred during the first 50 h, reaching 70% of the initial concentration under anaerobiosis. Nitrate consumption was more limited in samples from site G under aerobic conditions. Pumping site S (left bank – distant from the stream) was still characterized by nitrate consumption even under aerobic conditions. Nitrate consumption was greater in the nitrate-enriched solutions (Table 4, deviance analysis, $P < 0.0001$). From 0 to 51 h, the estimated reduction in the bioreactor sample “close to the stream, nitrate-enriched, under aerobic conditions” was
0.61±0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) compared to 0.04±0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) in the same soil without nitrate enrichment (Fig. 4). This is representative of the mean of the difference observed between “with” and “without nitrate enrichment” in all the batches.

### 3.2.2 Sulphate

Increases in sulphate concentration were observed during several batch experiments (Fig. 4). However, some of these increases were related to chloride increases. The linear correlation between the S and Cl increases was interpreted as resulting from the diffusion of highly concentrated pore water during the experiments. Peat constitutes an excellent reservoir for chloride- and sulphate-enriched pore water. The effect of evapotranspiration on water derived from precipitation leads to higher concentrations in the pore water of the peat, especially in the upper layers. Furthermore, the drying-rewetting process (Devito and Hill, 1999; Eimers et al., 2003) results in oxidation of the sulfur molecule and the accumulation of a sulphate pool within the peat matrix. The sulphate produced during the experiment and that diffused from the highly concentrated pore water, were distinguished by correcting the sulphate concentration for pore water sulphate content according to the chloride variations in Fig. 5. The \(\Delta SO_4^{2-}/Cl^-\) was obtained by the following equation:

\[
\Delta = \left[\frac{(SO_4^{2-} \_ t - SO_4^{2-} \_ t_0) / SO_4^{2-} \_ t_0}{(Cl^- \_ t - Cl^- \_ t_0) / Cl^- \_ t_0}\right];
\]

with \([SO_4^{2-}] \_ t_0\) and \([Cl^-] \_ t_0\) the concentrations at hour 25. The sulphate increase was greater in samples collected close to the stream (Table 4, deviance analysis, \(P < 0.0001\)) and in samples subjected to aerobic conditions (deviance analysis, \(P < 0.0001\); Figs. 4 and 5). Sulphate production in site S – left bank under aerobic conditions was 300% (corresponding to \(\Delta(SO_4^{2-} \_ 240h - SO_4^{2-} \_ t_0) = 400\) mg/L). This represents a sulphate concentration of 600 mg/L at the end of the experiment. No significant sulphate production was observed during the same period under anaerobic conditions (Fig. 5).
3.2.3 Site comparison

A large increase in chloride concentration was observed at site G under aerobic conditions (deviance analysis, $P<0.0001$). More limited (from $z$ coeff, $P=0.03$) and similar chloride concentration variations were respectively observed on the right and left banks of site S. Nitrate concentrations differed significantly between the S and G sites (deviance analysis, $P<0.001$; Table 5). Assuming that similar soil reactivities lead to similar reductions in nitrate concentration, the bacterial reaction kinetics at the S and G sites were different (Fig. 4). Without oxygen, the samples from the left and right banks of site S reacted more rapidly than those from site G. Although the observed variation during the experiment was not directly dependent on the peat sampling site (Table 5), the sulphate content also differed considerably between sites, the initial contents at reference site G being one order of magnitude lower than at pumping site S (Table 4).

3.2.4 Distance from the stream

Differences in chloride content between peat sampled close to and distant from the stream were significant regardless of the site (Table 4). This was also true for the nitrate and sulphate contents. Samples “close to” and “distant from” the stream had a high sulphate concentration. Moreover, at the beginning of the experiments, the concentrations in samples obtained “close to” the stream were 3 times higher than in those “distant from” the stream (Table 4). This was mainly apparent in site S. The sulphate concentrations in samples distant from the stream in reference site G, were higher than in those close to the stream.

3.3 Comparison of batch/field results

The batch experiment results accorded with the field observations. 1) The measured chloride variations were similar to the observed field concentrations; 2) Denitrification was clearly reproducible, even under aerobic conditions, although this process...
was mainly expected under anaerobic conditions; 3) High sulphate concentrations were produced during some experiments; 4) Clear differences in chloride content (deviance analysis, $P<0.05$), sulphate concentration and nitrate consumption dynamics (deviance analysis, $P<0.0001$) were observed between samples from the G and S sites; 5) Reactivity differed as a function of distance from the stream, as observed for chloride and sulphate concentrations; 6) Even under similar redox conditions and nitrate concentrations, nitrate removal and sulphate production rates differed between peat samples from sites subjected to different hydrological regimes.

4 Discussion

4.1 Nitrate removal

The observed reduction of nitrate concentration during batch experiments has already been reported in several studies. This phenomenon results from microbiological consumption, nitrate serving as electron acceptor (Correl, 1997). The microbiological reduction of nitrates involves 3 types of processes: dissimilatory reduction, autotrophic and heterotrophic denitrification. Although nitrate-reducing microorganisms display a great plasticity to oxygen availability, most denitrifiers use nitrate as final electron donor under anoxic conditions (Florinski et al., 2004). The presence here of available dissolved organic carbon (>30 mg/L), moderately reduced redox conditions (<200–300 mV) (Table 3), anoxic conditions and nitrate nutrients suggests a heterotrophic reduction process (Ingersoll and Baker, 1998; Hedin et al., 1998; Hill et al., 2000; Vidon and Hill, 2004). The comparison of biotic and abiotic conditions (Fig. 6) indicates the importance of biological mediation in nitrate removal (deviance analysis, e.g. G site aerobic conditions: $P<0.0001$). Although nitrate reduction cannot be assigned solely to biological activity, most can be attributed to heterotrophic denitrification.

Denitrification was also observed under aerobic conditions. Various bacteria may activate this process (Chen et al., 2003) although denitrification is not as competitive...
as aerobic respiration in terms of energy produced. This phenomenon should be interpreted as an electron accepting mechanism that competes with aerobic respiration, providing an advantage in terms of fitness in a changing environment. Alternatively, the denitrification observed under aerobic conditions could be due to localized development of micro-anaerobiosis even though the flasks were shaken.

### 4.2 Sulphates

Considerable sulphate production was observed (Fig. 4), especially under aerobic conditions. Sulphate concentrations were extremely high (close to 600 mg/L at the end of the experiment, i.e. variation of 400 mg/L; Figs. 4 and 5) in peat samples collected from pumping site S close to the stream. Such concentration are in good agreement with the concentration observed in situ (up to 1200 mg/L). Although a process of mixing with highly concentrated pore-water provided an important source of sulphate at the beginning of the batch experiments (Fig. 4), it is also apparent from Fig. 5 that sulphate production occurred independently of pore water diffusion. The observed sulphate release in peat samples from site S close to the stream can result from (i) mineral and/or (ii) organic processes. Sulphates released during the experiments were derived from the dissolution of mineral phases since the experiments performed under abiotic conditions indicated an important, non-biological sulphate-releasing process (Fig. 6). These results agree with other reports of sulphate release under oxidized conditions (Devito and Hill, 1999; Eimers et al., 2003; Fenner et al., 2005). However, differences between the abiotic and biotic experiments could also be related to a mediation of mineral sulfur dissolution by microorganisms, which could in turn affect the type of sulphates produced.

We conclude from the biotic/abiotic comparison that the release of sulphates cannot be attributed to a single process. The high sulphate concentrations result from the combination of chemical and biological processes.
4.3 Spatial variability

The inter- and intra-site variability observed in the field was reproduced in the laboratory under different experimental conditions. The importance of parameters such as the sampling site and distance from the stream was demonstrated statistically (Table 5). Peat from reference site G showed slower nitrate removal due to bacterial activity than peat from pumping site S. Peat from site G also had a lower initial sulphate content and lower release rates than site S (Tables 3 and 4). Differences in nitrate removal and sulphate release rates, particularly at site S – left bank, were related to the distance from the stream.

The different hydrological regimes induced different water fluxes in the investigated sites. Pumping in the underlying aquifer resulted in a permanent flow from the stream into the peat at site S. The amplitude of water table fluctuation and peat drying were also controlled by the underlying aquifer and exchanges with the stream. High water tables were maintained in site S for much of the year, (Auterives, 2007; Auterives et al., 2008). The observed differences in sulphate release within and between sites highlight the importance of hydrological fluxes in controlling sulphate dynamics through the introduction of oxygen and emphasis of biological processes. These results agree with previous reports (Devito 1995; Devito and Hill, 1999, Warren et al., 2001; Eimers et al., 2003) that sulphate release can be predicted from hydrologic heterogeneity, especially during periods of drought.

The influence of the distance from the stream on the biological productivity and the observed lower biological productivity in reference site G may be explained by the temporary nature of the stream – peat connection. This zone, between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, represents a major mixing point for nutrients (Hedin et al., 1998; Hill et al., 2000; Mc Clain et al., 2003) which allows the production of dissolved organic carbon (Hill et al., 2000; Mitchell and Branfireun, 2005) and thus enhances bacterial activity. The nutrient availability can be considered a mainly “chemical” effect.
4.4 Potential mechanisms for physical influence on biological activity

The batch experiments indicated potential differences that were independent of the nutrient availability (nitrate and/or oxygen supply). The potential effect of hydrological regime on the ecosystem is based on soil moisture and diffusion/advection processes. Water fluxes and high moisture might influence microbial activity by creating an open ecological ecosystem. An ecosystem includes a high degree of variation under conditions such as shallow fluxes, for example, and different soil structures. Potential interactions between micro-organisms could be increased by diffusion and advection processes. The ecosystem, by integrating a wide range of conditions, might increase the structural complexity of microbial communities. Through these processes, hydrological fluxes also influence microbial activity in terms of substrate availability (Östrom et al., 2002; Sabater et al., 2003; Sánchez-Pérez and Trémolières, 2003). The differences in the microbial ecosystem might be induced directly by the effects of “physical parameters” such as water fluxes. This study highlights the considerable effect of hydrological conditions on biological activity in peat. Hydrological fluxes, in addition to providing stimulating physico-chemical conditions for biotic activity, may also provide more diverse substrate availability which may also benefit from favorable physico-chemical conditions. The biochemical conditions created by a hydrological flow structure will facilitate the development of hot spots (Hill et al., 2000; McClain et al., 2003). Thus the observed differences between sites and the spatial variability within sites may reflect the heterogeneous richness and diversity of microbial species in the ecosystem (Martin et al., 1999). Indeed, it can be seen from the experimental design that the observed differences between sites are not entirely controlled by variations in redox conditions and nutrient supply and that reactivity is also a result of the actual biological community structure. The observed differences between sites, even under similar redox and nutrient conditions, indicate that the distinct hydrological fluxes can control the structure of the associated microflora.

We conclude from the batch experiments that hydrological fluxes can deeply and
permanently influenced the structure, heterogeneity and diversity of microbial communities. A complementary survey of the *narG* gene by T-RFLP (Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism) analyses, a method generating diversity signatures from environmental DNA samples (e.g. Liu et al., 1997; Vandenhoornhuyse et al., 2003), was also undertaken during the batch experiments. It has shown very clear differences in bacterial community structure which confirm the biogeochemical interpretation (Bougon et al., 2009). Physical conditions such as hydrological fluxes should thus be considered as having a direct effect on biological communities and biological activities.

5 Conclusions

Monitoring of field peat presenting variable hydrological conditions revealed distinctly different chemical concentrations. The influence of hydrological factors on biogeochemical reactivity was investigated by experimental reproduction of various redox and nitrate concentrations in soil sampled from sites under different hydrological conditions. The experimental results confirmed the field observations. Comparisons performed under abiotic and biotic conditions to determine the origin of the observed processes, showed that nitrate reduction was related to heterotrophic denitrification. Extremely high sulphate concentrations (close to 600 mg/L) observed in some experiments resulted from a combination of biological (peat mineralization) and chemical (mineral sulfur oxidation) processes.

The clear differences between the samples from the selected sites highlighted the effects of hydrological regime which likely impacted the development of specific ecosystem structures and diversity. The chemical variations observed in the field are not only controlled by physico-chemical conditions. Microbial reactivity also suggests changes within the microbial community structure which have been deeply modified by permanent hydrological fluxes.
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Table 1. Hydrological budget of the two pumping sites S and the reference site G for the year 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrologic component</th>
<th>Pumping site S – right bank</th>
<th>Pumping site S – left bank</th>
<th>Reference site G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute value (10^-6 m^3/year/m^2)</td>
<td>Absolute value (10^-6 m^3/year/m^2)</td>
<td>Absolute value (10^-6 m^3/year/m^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INPUT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{stream}</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{peat}</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Inflow</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{stream}</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{peat}</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{vertical flow}</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Outflow</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER STORAGE (ΔS)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** Soil characteristics and CHNSO content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Stream distance</th>
<th>Soil profile</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>% C</th>
<th>% S</th>
<th>% N</th>
<th>% O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pumping site S Right Side</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>0 to 15 cm: soil 15 to 50 cm: ballast +50 cm: peat</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>44.9±1.7</td>
<td>1.0±0.0</td>
<td>2.21±0.11</td>
<td>31.8±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>0 to 15 cm: soil 15 to 50 cm: ballast 50 cm: peat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.3±2.0</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
<td>2.2±0.2</td>
<td>21.0±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping site S Left Side</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>0 to 15 cm: peaty soil 15 to 50 cm: clay loam oxidised 50 cm: peat</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>26.6±1.18</td>
<td>0.3±0.0</td>
<td>1.9±0.0</td>
<td>18.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>0 to 10 cm: peaty soil 10 to 30 cm: clay loam oxidised 30 cm: peat</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>32.9±0.8</td>
<td>0.5±0.0</td>
<td>2.3±0.0</td>
<td>22.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference G site</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>0 to 15 cm: soil 15 to 50 cm: ballast 50 cm: peat</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>40.1±3.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.0</td>
<td>15.4±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>0 to ∞ cm: peat</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>24.7±1.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
<td>27.6±2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3.** Physico–chemical parameters at the end of experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Oxygenation condition</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T°C</th>
<th>Eh corrected (standard)</th>
<th>pε</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping site S Right bank</td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Aerobiosis</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aerobiosis</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping site S Left bank</td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Aerobiosis</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aerobiosis</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference site G</td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Aerobiosis</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aerobiosis</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mean chloride, nitrate and sulphate concentrations after the mixing effect, at 25 h and at the end of the experiment, at 240 h. Each concentration indicated represents the mean of 3 replicates with the standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Stream distance</th>
<th>Oxygenation conditions</th>
<th>Nitrate inputs</th>
<th>Chloride concentrations (mg.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Nitrate concentrations (mg.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sulphate concentrations (mg.L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>at 25 h</td>
<td>at 240 h</td>
<td>at 25 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pumping Site</strong></td>
<td><strong>S – Right Side</strong></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>37.8±2.2</td>
<td>42.0±3.4</td>
<td>23.6±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>38.4±0.6</td>
<td>47.5±22.0</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>45.6±4.9</td>
<td>43.8±1.6</td>
<td>25.5±12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>43.2±1.5</td>
<td>46.6±1.0</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Distant</strong></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>42.8±1.0</td>
<td>46.9±20.0</td>
<td>29.5±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>50.2±6.8</td>
<td>43.4±1.8</td>
<td>2.5±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>45.8±4.5</td>
<td>48.5±1.4</td>
<td>31.4±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>44.3±3.3</td>
<td>51.1±3.6</td>
<td>2.6±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pumping Site</strong></td>
<td><strong>S – Left Side</strong></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>44.9±1.8</td>
<td>46.1±3.6</td>
<td>24.7±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>47.7±2.3</td>
<td>49.5±0.2</td>
<td>0.2±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>54.7±14.2</td>
<td>52.5±4.6</td>
<td>30.9±10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>47.0±0.7</td>
<td>51.9±2.8</td>
<td>6.2±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Distant</strong></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>43.7±1.4</td>
<td>41.2±4.2</td>
<td>29.0±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>42.3±2.4</td>
<td>45.6±3.0</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>46.2±6.9</td>
<td>49.2±3.1</td>
<td>29.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>43.9±2.7</td>
<td>48.4±0.9</td>
<td>1.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference site G</strong></td>
<td><strong>Close</strong></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>44.9±1.6</td>
<td>46.1±1.3</td>
<td>40.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>46.5±2.0</td>
<td>48.9±1.1</td>
<td>10.9±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>54.7±1.9</td>
<td>52.5±3.9</td>
<td>44.6±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>31.3±0.3</td>
<td>60.5±4.6</td>
<td>9.3±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Distant</strong></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>43.7±0.7</td>
<td>41.2±0.4</td>
<td>29.7±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>40.1±0.6</td>
<td>44.8±0.9</td>
<td>0.8±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anaerobiosis</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>46.2±7.4</td>
<td>49.2±0.34</td>
<td>31.4±5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>without</td>
<td>38.9±10.4</td>
<td>51.3±1.8</td>
<td>4.4±0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Results of GLM (P-values and significance) for nitrate (NO$_3^-$), chloride (Cl$^-$) and sulphate (SO$_4^{2-}$) concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO$_3^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.09×10$^{-233}$***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.64×10$^{-56}$***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.42×10$^{-15}$***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Z<0.05, ** Z<0.001, *** Z<0.0001; DF = degrees of freedom

* “treatment” represents nitrate input and oxygenation condition; “time” represents different times of sampling; “site” represents the 3 sampling sites and distance represents the proximity to and distance from the stream.
Fig. 1. Location and piezometer map of the Carentan site (modified from Auterives, 2007). A and B represent the piezometer location and A'/B' are an interpreted view of the peat-land/stream relationship water flows.
Fig. 2. Water level fluctuations during a 2-year period in reference site G (A), and pumping site S – left bank (B).
Fig. 3. Experimental design. “Anaerobic condition” indicates that the ambient atmosphere is changed to N$_2$. “+ nitrate” or “− nitrate” corresponding to addition or non addition of nitrate in the flask. “Control” corresponds to sterilized samples. 3 samples are run for each experimental procedure to allow statistical analysis.
Fig. 4. Variation in nitrate concentrations over time in peat samples under aerobic and anaerobic conditions in batch experiments. The values given for each sample correspond to the mean of the 3 replicates. The temporal variation is expressed as the difference from the zero concentration (see Sect. 2.4. statistical analysis). Bars indicate standard deviation. Only experiments with nitrate addition are represented.
**Fig. 5.** Variation in sulphate concentrations over time in peat samples under aerobic and anaerobic conditions in batch experiments. Sulphate concentration corrected for pore water sulphate content and chloride variations. Values given for each sample correspond to the mean of the 3 replicates. Bars indicate standard deviation.
Fig. 6. Comparison of biotic and abiotic changes according to nitrate and sulphate concentrations throughout the batch experiment. Data correspond to experiments using samples distant from the stream and with nitrate addition.