A process-based model to estimate gas exchange and monoterpene emission rates in the Mediterranean maquis – comparisons between modelled and measured fluxes at different scales
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Abstract

This paper concerns the application of a process-based model (MOCA, Modelling of Carbon Assessment) as an useful tool for estimating gas exchange, and integrating the empirical algorithms for calculation of monoterpane fluxes, in a Mediterranean maquis of central Italy (Castelporziano, Rome). Simulations were carried out for a range of hypothetical but realistic canopies of the evergreen Quercus ilex (holm oak), Arbutus unedo (strawberry tree) and Phillyrea latifolia. More, the dependence on total leaf area and leaf distribution of monoterpane fluxes at the canopy scale has been considered in the algorithms. Simulation of the gas exchange rates showed higher values for P. latifolia and A. unedo (2.39±0.30 and 3.12±0.27 gC m^{-2} d^{-1}, respectively) with respect to Q. ilex (1.67±0.08 gC m^{-2} d^{-1}) in the measuring campaign (May–June). Comparisons of the average Gross Primary Production (GPP) values with those measured by eddy covariance were well in accordance (7.98±0.20 and 6.00±1.46 gC m^{-2} d^{-1}, respectively, in May–June), although some differences (of about 30%) were evident in a point-to-point comparison. These differences could be explained by considering the non uniformity of the measuring site where diurnal winds blown S-SW direction affecting thus calculations of CO_{2} and water fluxes. The introduction of some structural parameters in the algorithms for monoterpane calculation allowed to simulate monoterpane emission rates and fluxes which were in accord to those measured (6.50±2.25 vs. 9.39±4.5 µg g^{-1} h^{-1} for Q. ilex, and 0.63±0.20 µg g^{-1} h^{-1} vs. 0.98±0.30 µg g^{-1} h^{-1} for P. latifolia). Some constraints of the MOCA model are discussed, but it is demonstrated to be an useful tool to simulate physiological processes and BVOC fluxes in a very complicated plant distributions and environmental conditions, and necessitating also of a low number of input data.
1 Introduction

In the last decades research have been done by the scientific community to understand the plant community’s response to occurring climatic change, at different spatial and temporal scales (Schwalm and Ek, 2001; Jump and Peñuelas, 2005; Diffenbaugh et al., 2005; Parmesan, 2006). A representative description of the land surface – atmosphere interaction requires mathematical models that are able to describe the physical and biological processes in vegetation cover and soil, as well as physical processes in the atmospheric boundary layer. Early models were closely connected with development of weather and climate forecast models, as well as productivity models for agricultural crops (Sellers et al., 1997). A need for an increase in accuracy of model predictions, an expansion of the areas of the model applications and progress in computer technology led to the intensive development of the modelling approaches. A suite of process models is available to predict short-and longer-term C fluxes in forest trees, stands, and ecosystems. They address specific, and often rather narrow, questions of interest defined by the study objectives and the experimental design of field research sites. For instance, short-term gas exchange may be studied using detailed canopy models such as MAESTRO (Wang and Jarvis, 1990) or MAESTRA (Luo et al., 2001). Longer-term (days to years) simulations are more suited to stand- or ecosystem-scale models such as SECRETS (Sampson et al., 2001), BIOMASS (McMurtrie and Landsberg, 1992), BIOME-BGC (Running and Hunt, 1993) or PnET (Aber and Federer, 1992), to name a few. It is not surprising, then, that these models vary in their outputs, time-step, and process resolution. Canopy models accurately predict instantaneous radiation at the leaf surface (and thus CO$_2$ exchange) (Wang and Jarvis, 1990), however they, naturally, lack a unified approach for long-term C allocation. Conversely, ecosystem models such as BIOME-BGC – a “big leaf” model – allocate C and they estimate daily fluxes but finer resolution underpinnings are, by design, ignored, and thus cannot be validated (de Pury and Farquhar, 1997). Ecosystem models are used to synthesize and integrate knowledge gained from intensive, short-term monitoring and experimentation.
– such as eddy-covariance measurements – to extrapolate to broader landscapes for long-term projections. Developing these models in concert with direct measures of gas exchange (Lai et al., 2002) has provided a means to validate short-term (days to years) model outputs (Wang et al., 2004). However, up-scaling processes that occur at small-scales (leaves) to large spatial and temporal-scales (ecosystems) is subject to large errors due to functional non-linearity and heterogeneity in the distribution of processes (Jarvis, 1995). Canopy models that link the terrestrial biosphere to atmosphere can be categorized as either multi-layer or big-leaf models. A multi-layer model integrates the fluxes from each layer to give the total flux (Leuning et al. 1995), while the big-leaf approach maps properties of the whole canopy onto a single leaf to calculate the flux (Bonan, 1996; Dickinson et al., 1998). These methods necessarily use different parameterizations for the non-linear relationships that govern assimilation and transpiration. The multi-layer models can use parameters that are measured at the leaf level. The big-leaf models require parameters at the canopy level that cannot be measured directly, nor defined as the arithmetic mean of leaf level parameters because of non-linearity (Wang et al., 2001). Big-leaf models have been extensively used in land surface climate modelling (Bonan 1996; Dickinson et al., 1998; Dai et al., 2003). They require fewer parameters and are economical in computer time than the multi-layer models. They treat the canopy as single big-leaf with the fluxes of leaf energy, water and CO$_2$ calculated by coupled equations. In this context, Wang and Leuning (1998) developed a more comprehensive two-leaf approach with the canopy described by variables and parameters that represent the bulk properties of all sunlit or shaded leaves, using the Goudriaan and van Larr (1994) radiation model to estimate the total amount of radiation. Direct sunshine heats leaves more than the scattered light in the shade, and, as a consequence, the net photosynthetic rate of sunlit leaves is relatively high due to light saturation but there is a drastic reduction in net photosynthesis with the low light levels of shaded leaves. If such differences in physiological properties of leaves and the differences in light and temperatures between sunlit and shaded leaves are neglected, the estimates of photosynthesis and energy fluxes for the canopy may be
significantly in error. However, several researches have been carried out to minimize the big leaf’s errors, producing some models characterized by an integration of properties related both to the big-leaf approach and two-leaf approach (Schymanski et al., 2007). Ideally, canopy photosynthesis would be computed as the sum of photosynthesis rates over all leaves, where each leaf would absorb a certain amount of light and would have a certain biochemical capacity and stomatal conductivity. As a compromise, it is assumed that the canopy is composed of leaves that are horizontal and randomly distributed in homogeneous layers of foliage for light processing purposes, but considered the canopy as a single big-leaf for gas exchange purposes. Finally, up-scaling exercises from leaf to canopy level could be realized by integration of several methodologies operating at different temporal and spatial scales (Baldocchi et al., 1999; Ciccioli et al., 2003; Chiesi et al., 2007) but also by using process-based models which were helpful to estimate physiological variables at different temporal and spatial resolutions (Lloyd and Farquhar, 1996; Cao and Woodward, 1998; Anselmi et al., 2004; El Maayar and Chen, 2006). The brief description about different approaches to the modelling of gas exchange rates does not address to the better modelling approach, because this depends on to the vegetation type considered in each study case. In this paper, a process-based model, MOCA (Modelling of Carbon Assessment) has been used to estimate gas exchange rates from three plant species (Quercus ilex L.; Arbutus unedo L. and Phillyrea latifolia L.) growing in the Mediterranean maquis located on the dune-like system of the Castelporziano estate (Western Italy). The use of one-dimensional big-leaf model in this study, it was imposed by the well characterised morpho-structural properties of the Mediterranean vegetation and, in particular, of the dunal-system vegetation maquis, which made extremely difficult to apply either a multilayer-based approach, or also a two-leaf-based approach, given a generally low persistence of shaded and mature leaves in the maquis vegetation canopies (Gratani and Bombelli, 2000) and the dis-homogeneous canopy structures of the study site (Fares et al., 2009). Inside the sub-modules of MOCA, modules concerning the calculation of monoterpane fluxes has been added, linking them to some structural pa-
parameters such as leaf area index and foliar density calculated, in an iteratively way, by the photosynthetic process-based model. Results of simulations will be discussed in relation to measured data at different scale and literature.

2 Methods

2.1 Model concept

The model used here was a modified version of a previous model employed to simulate functional trends under climatic changes in temperature (Vitale et al., 2003) for a Holm oak forest. The model was based on the big leaf-concept and the different physiological variables were calculated in sub-modules (Fig. 1) in the range of the sunlight period, which is, in turn, calculated on the geographical coordinates basis for each day of the year (Vitale et al., 2005a). The model has been implemented by STELLA II package (High Performance Systems Inc., USA), an object-oriented programming software, that uses “objects” and their interactions to design applications and computer programs. In MOCA model the sub-modules are treated as objects interacting through linkages underlining functional functions or constants. All daily time step integrations were performed by using a Runge-Kutta interpolation of 4th order.

2.2 The model

2.2.1 Gas exchange modelling

A process-based model, MOCA (Modelling for Carbon Assessment), has been used to simulate annual net productivity (\(NPP, tC ha^{-1}\)) and water transpiration (\(E, kg H_2O ha^{-1}\)) at canopy level (Vitale et al., 2003, 2005a, 2007). Here, MOCA has been modified to estimate \(NPP\) and \(E\) for three plant species, \(Quercus ilex\) L., \(Arbutus unedo\) L. and \(Phillyrea latifolia\) L., which covered the test area for about 52%. In detail, MOCA model calculates simultaneously these physiological parameters for
the 2007 year at the daily time scale. The daily LAI contribution \((m^2 \text{leaf} m^{-2} \text{ground})\) was modelled by using Eq. (1):

\[
\text{LAI}(t) = \text{SLA} \times \alpha \times P_{\text{NET}}(t - 1)
\]  

(1)

where SLA is the specific leaf area \((m^2 \text{g}^{-1} \text{DW})\), \(P_{\text{NET}}(t-1)\) is the net productivity rate of the \((t-1)\)th day of year, and \(\alpha\) is the leaf partition coefficient of the accumulated biomass, that is assumed to be 0.25. Net productivity rate \((P_{\text{NET}}(t), \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\) is calculated as response to the mean light radiation inside the canopy at the \(t\)-th day of year \((Q_i(t))\), according to de Wit et al. (1978):

\[
P_{\text{NET}}(t) = (P_{\text{NETmax}} - Rd) \left[1 - e^{\left(\frac{QYQ_i(t)}{P_{\text{NETmax}}}}\right)}\right] + Rd
\]

(2)

where \(P_{\text{NETmax}}\) is the maximum rate of net photosynthesis at light saturation \((\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\), \(Rd\) is the dark respiration rate \((\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\), and \(QY\) is the quantum yield efficiency of photosynthesis \((\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \mu\text{mole photons}^{-1})\). The dark respiration rate is assumed to be constant \((1.03 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\) and integrated for the darkness period – 24-photoperiod(t).

The attenuation of light inside the canopy \(Q_i(t)\) \((\mu\text{mole photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\) is assumed to be dependent by the LAI \((t)\) according to the Beer-Lambert law (Björn and Vogelmann, 1993):

\[
Q_i(t) = Q_o(t) \times e^{-(k(t) \text{LAI}(t))}
\]

(3)

where \(Q_o(t)\) is the irradiance above the canopy \((\mu\text{mole photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\) for the \(t\)-th day of the year and \(k\) is the coefficient of light extinction for a given woody vegetation structure. In our case \(k\) is defined as empirical function of the previous \((t-1)\)th day leaf area index and iteratively calculated:

\[
k(t) = \frac{(0.75 \times \text{LAI}(t - 1))}{(0.40 + \text{LAI}(t - 1))}
\]

(4)
The coefficients 0.75 and 0.40 are the upper and lower limits of the coverage of plant stands previously measured by Plant Canopy Analyser device (Li-Cor 2000, Li-Cor Corp. USA).

Stomatal conductance to water vapour \( (g_s, \text{mol} \, \text{m}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}) \) is calculated as function of \( P_{\text{NET}}(t) \), ambient \( \text{CO}_2 \) \( (C_a, 370 \text{ ppm}) \) and relative humidity \( \text{RH}(t) \), (dimensionless) after Ball et al. (1987) and Harley et al. (1992):

\[
g_s(t) = g_s_0 + m \left( \frac{P_{\text{NET}}(t) \times \text{RH}(t)}{C_a} \right)
\]

where \( g_s_0 \) (\( \text{mol} \, \text{m}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1} \)) is the minimum stomatal conductance to \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) vapour when \( P_{\text{NET}}(t) \leq 0 \) and \( m \) is an empirical coefficient which represents the composite sensitivity of \( g_s \) to \( P_{\text{NET}}(t) \), \( C_a \) and \( \text{RH}(t) \).

2.2.2 Calculations of monoterpene emission and fluxes

Calculation of the monoterpene flux was estimated from the dimensionless emission factors and normalised emission rate, \( E_s \) (at 303 K and 1000 \( \mu \text{ mole} \text{ photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \)). The temperature-based emission factor, \( C_{\theta}(t) \), which considers the emission depending only on the foliar temperature (Tingey et al., 1980) was calculated by:

\[
C_{\theta}(t) = e^{(\beta \times (T_{\text{leaf}}(t) - T_s))}
\]

where \( \beta \) (=0.09 for all species) is an empirical coefficient. If monoterpene emissions depended also on light intensity \( Q_i(t) \), previously calculated by the Beer-Lambert law, then Guenther’s algorithm (Guenther et al., 1993) was used, where the dimensionless correction factors depending on temperature, \( C_T(t) \), and on and PAR \( C_L(t) \) (Eqs. 7 and 8, respectively) were calculated by:

\[
C_T(t) = \frac{e^{\frac{C_T1 \times (T_{\text{leaf}}(t) - T_s)}{R \times T_{\text{leaf}}(t) \times T_s}}}{C_T3 + e^{\frac{C_T2 \times (T_{\text{leaf}}(t) - T_M)}{R \times T_{\text{leaf}}(t) \times T_s}}}
\]

\[
C_L(t) = \frac{e^{\frac{C_L1 \times (Q_i(t) - Q_M)}{R \times T_{\text{leaf}}(t) \times T_s}}}{C_L3 + e^{\frac{C_L2 \times (Q_i(t) - Q_M)}{R \times T_{\text{leaf}}(t) \times T_s}}}
\]
\[ C_L(t) = \frac{\alpha \times C_{L1} \times Q_i(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \times Q_i(t)^2}} \] (8)

\( R \) is the ideal gas constant \((=8.314 \text{ JK}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})\) \( T_{\text{leaf}}(t) \) is the leaf temperature in Kelvin, \( T_s (=303 \text{ K}) \) is the standard temperature and \( T_M (=314 \text{ K}) \), \( C_{T1} (=95.000 \text{ J mol}^{-1}) \), \( C_{T2} (=230.000 \text{ J mol}^{-1}) \), \( C_{T3} (=0.961) \), \( C_{L1} (=1.066) \) and \( \alpha (=0.0027) \) are empirical coefficients.

These algorithms were used in the monoterpene flux estimations when applied to several plant Mediterranean maquis species (Simon et al., 2006):

\[ F_{\text{Monot}}(t) = [(E_{s_{\text{max}}}) \times \text{pool} \times C_\theta(t) + (E_{s_{\text{max}}}) \times \text{bio} \times C_T(t) \times C_L(t)] \times C_D \times D \times r_o \] (9)

where \( E_{s_{\text{max}}} (\mu g g^{-1} \text{ dryweight h}^{-1}) \) is the maximal normalised emission rate in a year for a plant species calculated according to the type of emission reference temperature of 303 K (Tingey et al., 1980), and a reference photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 1000 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), and/or just at a reference temperature of 303 K (Guenther et al., 1993). In the MOCA model \( E_{s_{\text{max}}} \) has been deduced by Eq. (10) by comparing the theoretical maximum normalised value \( (E_{s_{\text{max}}}) \) from the seasonal emission profiles with the average normalised emission rate \( (E_s) \) for the main plants of the studied area \((Q. \text{ ilex}, Q. \text{ pubescens}, P. \text{ halepensis}, \text{ garrigue vegetation})\) (Dumergues, 2003):

\[ E_{s_{\text{max}}} = 1.27 \times E_s \] (10)

\( E_s \) assumed values of 24.9\( \mu \text{g g}^{-1} \text{ DW h}^{-1} \) for \( Q. \text{ ilex} \) (Pio et al., 1993; Owen et al., 1997; Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999) and 2.4\( \mu \text{g g}^{-1} \text{ DW h}^{-1} \) for \( P. \text{ latifolia} \) and \( A. \text{ unedo} \) (Simon et al., 2006).

\( D \) is the foliar density \((\text{g dryweight m}^{-2})\) and it is calculated through its functional linkage with the photosynthetic process-based model and LAI \((t)\) as follows:

\[ D(t) = SLA_{(j)}^{-1} \times \text{LAI}(t)_{(j)} \] (11)
for each species \( j \) (=3). \( C_D \) is the seasonal foliar biomass correction factor – deduced by Owen and Hewitt (2000), as 1 in summer and 0.8 in autumn. \( r_o \) the escape efficiency, that is the fraction of trace gases leaving the canopy; not all trace gases emitted by vegetation enter the atmosphere because a fraction is absorbed again, or reacts in some way with the canopy. Guenther et al. (2000) suggested that 95% of total isoprene emitted by the canopy enters the atmosphere, so for this compound it is only a minor effect \( (r_o=0.95) \). However, for the other VOCs and especially for the monoterpenes, a large flux may not escape from the canopy (Goldstein et al., 2004). But, as there is no real agreement on this point, we used the same value for monoterpenes as well \( (r_o=0.95) \). The parameter \( \text{bio} \) (=1) is the percentage monoterpenic emission of photosynthetic origin, and \( \text{pool} \) (=0) is the percentage monoterpenic emission from pools.

The total emission \( \text{TE} (\mu \text{g h}^{-1}) \) for all plant species occupying a territory of area \( S \) \( (\text{m}^2) \) is given by:

\[
\text{TE} = \sum_{t=1}^{365} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_{\text{Monot}(j)}(t) \times X_j \times S \right)
\]

where \( F_{\text{Monot}(j)}(t) \) is the VOC emission flux for a given plant species \( j \), \( X_j \) is the species cover rate and \( n \) (=3) is the number of species considered in the ecosystem.

### 3 Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics have been done on microclimatic, physiological, and modelled data either for each measurement day or for the overall data. Test for homogeneity of variances (Levene test) has been performed before to apply the F-ANOVA test. If variances were unequal then the Welch-ANOVA test was applied (significance level \( p \leq 0.05 \)). All statistical tests and graphs were performed by using the statistical package STATISTICA 7.0 (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK-USA). All data were showed as mean ± S.D.
4 Results

4.1 Gas exchanges modelling

Net photosynthesis trends ($P_{\text{NET}}$) simulated for the 2007 highlight a bell shape (Fig. 2), which reaches the maximum mean values during the summer months, although each species shows different absolute monthly maximum values (1.74±0.05, 3.39±0.36, and 3.98±0.16 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for Q. ilex, P. latifolia and A. unedo, respectively). Similarly, leaf transpiration ($E$) shows different absolute maximum values among species, whereas Q. ilex has the highest values of $E$ (1712.42±122.88 g H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) than other two species (899.74±54.46 and 377.04±16.29 g H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, P. latifolia and A. unedo, respectively) (Fig. 2).

In the Accent-VOCBAS campaign (May and June) modelled $P_{\text{NET}}$ data show an increasing trend for plant species due to the optimal growth conditions and to the absence of drought stress in the study site; in particular, Q. ilex shows diurnal average values of $P_{\text{NET}}$ as 1.67±0.08 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in May, whereas P. latifolia and A. unedo have 2.39±0.30 and 3.12±0.27 gC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively. Furthermore, $E$ values are 1087.25±170.50, 899.74±54.46 and 373.47±21.76 g H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for Q. ilex, P. latifolia and A. unedo, respectively.

4.2 Monoterpene flux modelling

Results show the higher monthly total monoterpene flux for Q. ilex (9582±1271 µg m$^{-2}$ soil h$^{-1}$) than the other two species (Fig. 3), whereas monoterpene flux is negligible for A. unedo (632±67 and 42±5 µg m$^{-2}$ soil h$^{-1}$, P. latifolia and A. unedo, respectively). Further, it is note worthy that Q. ilex have monoterpene fluxes one factor higher than P. latifolia.

During the Accent-VOCBAS campaign period the increasing monoterpene fluxes show average values of 4300±1538, 383±121 and 23±7 µg m$^{-2}$ soil h$^{-1}$, in Q. ilex, P. latifolia and A. unedo, respectively (Fig. 4).
Finally, the total monoterpane emission TE (Kg h\(^{-1}\)) calculated for May–June months was also modelled by considering the coverage area \(S\) of 464 Km\(^2\) of the Castelporziano estate (Della Rocca et al., 2001), associated to the phyto-association of Viburno-Quercetum ilicis ([Br.-Bl. 36] Rivas Martinez, 1975), and weighted for each coverage area of the considered species (Fares et al., 2009). \(Q.\) ilex contribution was quantified to be 3204.77 Kg h\(^{-1}\), \(P.\) latifolia was 210.01 Kg h\(^{-1}\) and \(A.\) unedo was 20.26 Kg h\(^{-1}\), and a comprehensive value of 3435.04 Kg h\(^{-1}\). The overall monoterpane flux modelled for the 2007 (January–December) amounted to 12.54×10\(^3\) Kg h\(^{-1}\), where contributions of the species considered here were 94.5% \(Q.\) ilex, 5.0% \(P.\) latifolia and 0.5% \(A.\) unedo.

4.3 Comparisons between measured and modelled values

In the inter-comparison exercises for the C assimilation rates during the Accent-Biaflux and VOCBAS 2007 field campaign, we have considered the Gross Primary Production (GPP) instead of Net Primary Production (NPP) when modelled data were compared with those measured by eddy covariance technique. Modelled GPP diurnal data by MOCA model of the three species considered here have been averaged, whereas GPP data of the eddy covariance measurements have been weighted for the coverage of the three species amounting to 52% of the study site. Figure 5 shows modelled and measured GPP values taking into account these corrections. Modelled GPP values overcame of 20–30% the measured ones (Fig. 5) with a statistical correlation \(r=0.62\) (\(p<0.01\)), although diurnal averaged values for the measurement campaign period were 7.98±0.20 and 6.00±1.46 gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), modelled and measured data respectively.

Modelled monoterpane fluxes were compared with those directly measured during the Accent-VOCBAS campaign by disjunct eddy covariance method. Modelled average value corrected for the coverage of each species (Fares et al., 2009) was analogous to the measured one 229.02 µg g\(^{-1}\)DW h\(^{-1}\) vs. 229 µg g\(^{-1}\)DW h\(^{-1}\) (Davison et al., 2009). The
time integration of monoterpenes with a daily time-step performed by MOCA through the Runge-Kutta time interpolation of 4th order, allowed to minimize errors that could derive by adoption of a different time step respect to the hourly-based variations of the measured monoterpenes.

5 Discussion and conclusion

The ACCENT-Biaflux and VOCBAS campaigns, performed on the vegetation maquis growing in the dune ecosystem of Castelporziano estate, allowed to characterise the patterns of gas exchange and BVOC emission from several Mediterranean plants, ideally linked to previous international measurements campaigns carried out on the same site at the end of the 90’s (Seufert et al., 1997). Modelling exercise made here simulated carbon assimilation and leaf transpiration processes without the drought stress characterising the Mediterranean summer period. Moreover, algorithms used for calculating the emission rates of total monoterpenes and their cumulated values (Simon et al., 2006) provided well corresponding values with measured ones.

However, modelling exercise highlighted also several difficulties for simulating these processes, which will be discussed below.

(a) Comparisons between measured and simulated GPP and monoterpenes

Comparisons between measured and modelled variables suffer of the non-homogeneity of the study site. Because it is located on the behind of the first dune series, it was characterised by a prevalence of local sea-land breeze circulation by S-SW winds blowing during the day under prevailing high pressure conditions occurred during the campaign (Fares et al., 2009); this condition could affect measurements of gas exchange and VOC emissions by eddy covariance technique (Matteucci, G., personal communication, 2008). Furthermore, only a few attempts at scaling emission in a suitable way to use process-based models at the canopy level can be found in the literature (Baldocchi et al., 1999; Harley et al., 2004). These studies show that the distribu-
tions of environmental conditions as well as foliage properties are important for scaling emission from the leaf to the canopy. The scaling problem has been considered in the MOCA model taking into consideration some structural parameters as the foliar density \( D \), the escape efficiency \( r_o \), and the seasonal foliar biomass correction factor \( C_D \) (Owen and Hewitt, 2000). Further model adjustments could justify direct interventions on key sub-modules given the observed differences between modelled and measured GPP values, although the averaged values concerning the measurement period (May–June) were similar (7.98±0.20 and 6.00±1.46 gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for modelled and measured data, respectively). Additionally, recently developed coupled atmosphere – biosphere global vegetation models showed an \( NPP \) data ranging 300 to 400 gC m\(^{-2}\) y\(^{-1}\) for the Central Italy (based on data of evergreen forests of the Castelporziano site) (Krinner et al., 2005), which were similar to MOCA average annual \( NPP \) estimations, ranging between 190 and 313 gC m\(^{-2}\) y\(^{-1}\) obtained for the vegetation maquis growing behind the first dune system of Castelporziano estate.

Estimates of isoprene and monoterpenes emission have mostly been parameterised on the basis of their dependence on light and temperature (Guenther et al., 1991, 1993, 1997). Emission algorithms such as ISOG97 (Guenther, 1997) are able to predict isoprene and monoterpane emissions (Ciccioli et al., 1997; Schuh et al., 1997; Staudt and Bertin, 1998) for many plant species but do not consider the ability of plants to adapt their isoprenoid biosynthesis capacity dynamically according to changes of environmental parameters (Pétron et al., 2001; Staudt et al., 2003) or CO\(_2\) concentrations (Baraldi et al., 2004; Staudt et al., 2001). Such estimations could benefit from the development of models that take into account the physiological/phenological state of the leaves and the biochemical processes (Grote and Niinemets, 2008) leading to the formation of volatile isoprenoids such as SIM-BIN2 (Grote et al., 2006) and MEGAN (Guenther et al., 2006). More, the different basal emission factors used in the algorithms affected the emission values among species, although linkages with emission factor and phenology pattern (Ciccioli et al., 2003) and with physiological and physico-chemical constrains (Niinemets et al., 2004) have been made.
However, modelled monoterpene emissions for *Q. ilex* (6.50±2.25 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$) are in agreement to the measured ones by Fares et al. (2009) with 9.39±4.50 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$ and Street et al. (1997) with 6.8±2.9 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$. Similarly, modelled values of *P. latifolia* (0.63±0.20 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$) and *A. unedo* (0.063±0.019 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$) are in accord with results reported in Fares et al. (2009) 0.98±0.30 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$ for *P. latifolia* and Owen et al. (1997), who measured monoterpene emissions between 0.70±0.60–0.37±0.30 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$ and 0.21±0.08–0.07±0.01 µg g$_{DW}$ h$^{-1}$ for *P. latifolia* and *A. unedo* plants growing in the dune ecosystem of Castelporziano. The modelled monoterpene flux is also well matching with those reported by Simon et al. (2006) calculated for the coastal Mediterranean vegetation of Berre-Marseilles area (France), and with measured ones by Davison et al. (2009). The adoption of the one-dimensional big-leaf approach as means for simulating physiological and structural parameters, and linking them to empirical algorithms for calculating monoterpene emission and flux, has been a suitable choice.

(b) Specific limitations of the MOCA model

- b.1 MOCA is a process-based model and, although it has a random function providing the daily cloudiness, it is not able to foresee sharp and short climate variations occurring in the measurement campaign, because climatic functions are linked to astronomical parameter and geographic coordinates. Moreover, MOCA is lacking of sub-modules that take into account the water availability in the soil at different deeps; as reported in Mereu et al. (2009), the soil water content measured at 10 and 100 cm showed different patterns of reduction, affecting thus the water supply toward the root apparata of plants (Alessio et al., 2004; Mereu et al., 2009), and their gas exchange rates (Asensio et al., 2007; Galmés et al., 2007). These restrictions limits the ability of MOCA to follow the hourly variation of emission rates or gas exchange rates, even though the resulting gas exchange rates are in accordance with literature when these were calculated as diurnal averages.
– b.2 A key-parameter is the foliar density – \( D \), of plant species considered; in MOCA, \( D \) is calculated by Eq. (11) and based on specific leaf area (SLA, constant) and leaf area index (LAI), the latter is calculated as function of net carbon assimilation \( (P_{\text{NET}}) \). Variation of foliar density has an effect on monoterpene flux estimation but also on gas exchange rates. A better calibration of SLA in MOCA (i.e. SLA equation expressed as time-based function) will allow to calculate more accurately the variables mentioned above.

– b.3 Tightly linked to \( D \) parameter is the species-specific phenology. In this context the big-leaf approach adopted in MOCA is a good compromise between accuracy of simulations and multi-stratum models or 3-D structure-based models, which was not suitable for the modelling Mediterranean maquis, requiring much more information about structural variables (Beyschlag and Ryel, 2007; Omasa et al., 2007) than MOCA. On the other hand, the big-leaf approach is not suitable for modelling of physiological processes occurring in leaves of different ages as in Quercus ilex (Niinemets et al., 2006 and references therein reported) and in Phillyrea latifolia (Ogaya and Peñuelas, 2006), although the “old” leaves of plants growing at Castelporziano are a small portion of the Q. ilex’s canopies (16% of total leaves) as reported in Gratani and Bombelli (2000), which contrast with other studies reporting that leaves older than 1 year can comprise more than 50% of total canopy foliage in the Mediterranean evergreen-emitting species (Niinemets et al., 2005). A. unedo shed completely the “old” leaves after eleven months (Gratani and Ghia, 2002). Surprisingly little information is available on monoterpene emission potentials in older leaves. Other data do demonstrate that monoterpene synthase activities are lower in 1-year-old leaves than in current year leaves (Fischbach et al., 2002), suggesting that the capacity for monoterpene emission de-
clines with leaf age similarly to leaf photosynthetic potentials. Furthermore, to take into account the age-based decreasing monoterpene emission and the variation of the biomass density of plants will be one of future updating process in MOCA model for a better estimation of seasonal monoterpene fluxes.

Finally, it should be emphasized about difficulty for scaling modelled results at different organisational structures and functions. In a non-homogenous study site, as the dune system of Castelporziano, some assumptions of homogeneity cannot be maintained because plant community is highly diversified in structure and functions, approaching to the maximisation of the environment resources in a limiting environment (Zavala, 2004). The latter is obtained by differentiating the rates of functional processes such as water uptake, carbon assimilation and secondary metabolism pathways (i.e. BVOC synthesis). In this frame, the dynamic simulation of these processes by a process-based model as MOCA requires additional information which often are not available or not easily measurable, although some alternative approaches have been done (Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Transfer models associated to remote sensing (Demarty et al., 2004) or eddy covariance (Olchev et al., 2008), Geographic Automata Systems (Torrens and Benenson, 2005; Bone et al., 2007), and size-structured numerical models (Tilman, 1988; Mouillot et al., 2001).

In conclusion, the MOCA model has some limitations for the reasons mentioned above, but it is demonstrated to be an useful tool to simulate physiological processes and to integrate empirical algorithms (as Guenther’s algorithms) for calculation of BVOC emissions and fluxes in a very complicated plant distributions and environmental conditions, necessitating of a low number of input data also.
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Fig. 1. Simplified scheme of the MOCA model showing the main components and their connections.
Fig. 2. Annual patterns of the gas exchange rates showed as monthly averages for the 2007. It is evident the great differences among species in the resources consumption as water transpired through canopies.
Fig. 3. Annual patterns of the monoterpene fluxes expressed as monthly averages for the 2007 for the three species. It is highlighted a prevalence of *Q. ilex* monoterpene flux with respect to other two.
Fig. 4. Patterns of the monoterpene fluxes simulated in the Accent-VOCBAS campaign 2007. Although monoterpene fluxes show a similar trend for *Q. ilex* and *P. latifolia*, one should note that among species there is a difference of one magnitude order.
Fig. 5. Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) measured by eddy covariance technique and simulated by MOCA model. Simulated values are higher of about 30% than measured ones in a point-to-point comparison, although the average values of the overall campaign are similar.