Physical injury stimulates aerobic methane emissions from terrestrial plants
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Abstract

Physical injury is common in terrestrial plants as a result of grazing, trampling, and extreme weather events. Previous studies demonstrated enhanced emission of non-microbial CH₄ under aerobic conditions from plant tissues when they were exposed to increasing UV radiation and temperature. Since physical injury is also a form of environmental stress, we sought to determine whether it would also affect CH₄ emissions from plants. Physical injury (cutting) stimulated CH₄ emission from fresh twigs of *Artemisia* species under aerobic conditions. More cutting resulted in more CH₄ emissions. Hypoxia also enhanced CH₄ emission from both uncut and cut *Artemisia frigida* twigs. Physical injury typically results in cell wall degradation, which may either stimulate formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) or decrease scavenging of them. Increased ROS activity might explain increased CH₄ emission in response to physical injury and other forms of stress. There were significant differences in CH₄ emissions among 10 species of *Artemisia*, with some species emitting no detectable CH₄ under any circumstances. Consequently, CH₄ emissions may be species-dependent and therefore difficult to estimate in nature based on total plant biomass. Our results and those of previous studies suggest that a variety environmental stresses stimulate CH₄ emission from a wide variety of plant species. Global change processes, including climate change, depletion of stratospheric ozone, increasing ground-level ozone, spread of plant pests, and land-use changes, could cause more stress in plants on a global scale, potentially stimulating more CH₄ emission globally.

1 Introduction

Methane (CH₄) is an important atmospheric trace gas, contributing to global warming and atmospheric redox chemistry. Traditionally, the only known biological source of CH₄ was a limited group of obligately anaerobic prokaryotes called methanogens. However, a recent study (Keppler et al., 2006) reported aerobic CH₄ emission from...
plants by an unrecognized, non-microbial mechanism, a result that has been controversial (Schiermeier, 2006; Dueck and van der Werf, 2008). The controversy has focused mainly on two scientific aspects: (i) the as yet unidentified mechanism(s) of CH$_4$ formation in plants, without which the source cannot be confirmed with full confidence; and (ii) how much, if at all, this plant source contributes to the global CH$_4$ budget.

Some studies (Dueck et al., 2007; Beerling et al., 2008; Kirschbaum and Walcroft, 2008) observed no substantial aerobic CH$_4$ emission from plants. However, five independent studies (Keppler et al., 2008; McLeod et al., 2008; Vigano et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Messenger et al., 2009) did detect CH$_4$ emission from plant tissues/compounds under aerobic conditions in the laboratory and used isotope signature analysis to confirm that the CH$_4$ originated directly from plant tissues/compounds rather than from microbial methanogenesis. Field observations (do Carmo et al., 2006; Crutzen et al., 2006; Sanhueza and Donoso, 2006; Sinha et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2008) and satellite measurements (Frankenberg et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2007) also provided indirect evidence for the possibility of aerobic CH$_4$ emissions by plants in the field but did not verify the source. Keppler et al. (2006) initially estimated aerobic CH$_4$ emission by plants to be in the range of 62–236 Tg CH$_4$ y$^{-1}$, about 10–40% of the total annual source. Based on a variety of constraints, subsequent analyses yielded lower but potentially still environmentally important source strengths (Houweling et al., 2006; Parsons et al., 2006; Kirschbaum et al., 2006, 2007; Butenhooff and Khalil, 2007; Ferretti et al., 2007).

As one potential constraint on source strength, Dueck and van der Werf (2008) suggested that most species of plants do not actively emit CH$_4$ in the field. Consistent with this suggestion, Wang et al. (2008) observed CH$_4$ emission from several upland shrub species but not from a much larger number of herb species from the same grassland ecosystem. Hence, it is possible that some of the negative results in other studies arise from differences in species examined. For example, Kirschbaum and Walcroft (2008) observed no substantial CH$_4$ emission from Artemisia absinthium. Hence, aerobic CH$_4$ emission might vary across species, even among close relatives. Some negative re-
results could still arise from methodological differences as suggested previously (Wang et al., 2008).

Some studies found that the rate of CH$_4$ production is strongly affected by environmental variables that can induce physiological stress. CH$_4$ emission from plants increased linearly with UV radiation and/or temperature (Keppler et al., 2006; Mcleod et al., 2008; Vigano et al., 2008). Physical injury as an environmental stress is common in terrestrial plants. For example, machines harvest crops; insects and ruminants graze leaves and twigs; and strong winds break twigs and detach leaves from stems. In relation to physiological stress, McLeod et al. (2008) suggested that reactive oxygen species (ROS) may have a role in CH$_4$ formation by plants. It is possible therefore that aerobic CH$_4$ emission from plants may be affected by O$_2$ availability.

In this study, we examined the effect of physical injury, hypoxia, and species differences on CH$_4$ emission from 10 species of the Artimesia genus sampled from the grasslands of Inner Mongolia.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Site description

Fresh plants were collected from the upland grasslands of the Xilin River basin in August 2008. The climate is semiarid, temperate, and continental, with a mean annual temperature of $\sim$0.6°C. The coldest monthly mean temperature is $-21.4$°C in January, and the warmest is 18.5°C in July. The mean annual precipitation is about 350 mm, with a rainy season between mid-June and mid-September. Approximately 10% of precipitation falls as snow. The growing season extends from late April to early October. Detailed descriptions of the Xilin River basin have been published elsewhere (Wang et al., 2005).
2.2 Laboratory incubation

This study examined CH$_4$ emission in closed-chamber laboratory incubations from fresh twigs of *Artemisia* genus species indigenous to the Xilin River basin. All species examined were xerophytes (arid-adapted plants) from upland habitats with well-drained soils that exhibit net CH$_4$ consumption from the atmosphere (Wang et al., 2005) and therefore having little if any soil methanogenesis. A previous study (Wang et al., 2008) used isotope signature analysis to confirm that the CH$_4$ emitted from the shrub *Artemisia frigida* (note that this species was mislabeled as *Achillea frigida* in Wang et al., 2008) was derived directly from plant tissues. We examined the effect of physical injury (simulated by cutting) on CH$_4$ emission rates from all *Artemisia* species we found in the Xilin River basin (10 species total). All species were analyzed under aerobic conditions (ambient laboratory air) and *A. frigida* was also examined under hypoxic conditions. In order to exclude potentially complicating factors from whole plants, such as soil contamination and logistical difficulties with assaying large amounts of biomass, we restricted this work to detached twigs consisting of leaves and stems/petioles. Laboratory incubations were conducted in the dark at an ambient temperature of 24–26°C. There were triplicate samples for each treatment group described below.

Fresh twigs were sampled preceding each measurement event and transported to the laboratory immediately after sampling. The twigs were washed in deionized water and air-dried for about 0.5 h. Four grams of air-dried fresh twigs (5–8 cm length) were either sealed immediately in a gastight serum bottle with a butyl rubber stopper (diameter 20 mm) or cut into 5-mm (moderate cutting) or 1-mm (severe cutting) segments and allowed to vent for 10 min before being sealed in a bottle. Each sample (i.e. one serum bottle) contained five to ten twigs from different individual plants to ensure representativeness. Both leaves and stems/petioles were cut. To test whether stems and leaves both emitted CH$_4$, stem ends of detached *A. frigida* were sealed with silica as a separate treatment group. To establish hypoxic conditions, the bottle was immediately sealed with a butyl rubber stopper and flushed with pure nitrogen (400 ml min$^{-1}$
for 5 min) from a compressed nitrogen cylinder using ‘inlet-outlet’ needles inserted through the stopper. The initial CH$_4$ concentrations were measured immediately after sealing. In a previous study (Wang et al., 2008), there was no difference in CH$_4$ emission rates for samples with initial CH$_4$ concentrations near zero and those with initial ambient CH$_4$ concentration (~2 ppmv).

In order to examine the relationship between CH$_4$ emission and respiration, CO$_2$ release rate was measured in the dark. One gram of fresh twigs (5–8 cm length) of A. frigida was placed into a 120-ml serum bottle ($n$=3). Because the CO$_2$ accumulation in the bottle was high relative to the detection range, incubations lasted approximately 15 minutes. After sampling, the bottle was opened to the ambient atmosphere until the next sampling. Samples were incubated in the dark at an ambient temperature of 22–24°C.

### 2.3 CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ flux measurement

CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ concentrations were analyzed at various time intervals using a Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II gas chromatograph equipped with a flame-ionization detector operated at 200°C, a 2-m stainless steel column packed with 13 XMS (60/80 mesh) for CH$_4$ analysis, and a 2-m stainless steel column packed with Porapak Q (60/80 mesh) for CO$_2$ analysis. The column oven temperature was 55°C, and the carrier gas was N$_2$ flowing at 30 ml min$^{-1}$. A 6-ml gas sample was withdrawn from the 120-ml serum bottle by syringe and immediately replaced by 6 ml of laboratory air (aerobic conditions) or N$_2$ (hypoxic conditions) to maintain headspace pressure. Parallel blanks were used to account for dilution or leakage. Certified CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ standards (China National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials, Beijing) were used for calibration. At the end of each incubation, biomass was determined as oven-dried weight (60°C for 48 h).
2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SAS program (SAS, 1999). Duncan’s multiple comparison tests were used to compare the variation in CH$_4$ emission rates among treatments at $P<0.05$.

3 Results

Cutting, a simulated physical injury, stimulated CH$_4$ emission from fresh twigs of A. frigida (Fig. 1). CH$_4$ concentrations increased linearly with time in all treatments with the exception of the blank, indicating that the twigs constantly emitted CH$_4$ throughout the ∼24-h incubation. The emission rates were higher in cut twigs than uncut twigs ($P<0.05$, $n=3$), and twigs with severe cutting had higher emission rates than twigs with moderate cutting ($P<0.05$, $n=3$). Sealing the stem ends of detached twigs did not have a measurable effect on CH$_4$ emission, suggesting that CH$_4$ was emitted from leaves, not stems. Under hypoxic conditions, CH$_4$ concentrations increased linearly with time and cutting increased the rate of CH$_4$ emission (Fig. 2a). CH$_4$ emissions were significantly higher ($P<0.05$, $n=3$) under hypoxic conditions than under aerobic conditions for both cut and uncut twigs (Fig. 2b).

Dark respiration decreased over time in all treatments but did not cease entirely during incubations (Fig. 3). Respiration rates were about 50% higher in cut than in uncut twigs under aerobic conditions (Fig. 3a). CO$_2$ production was about 3- to 10-fold slower and decreased more over time under hypoxic than under aerobic conditions (Fig. 3b). In contrast to CH$_4$ emission, which was increased by cutting under both aerobic and hypoxic conditions, CO$_2$ emission was unaffected or deceased in response to cutting under hypoxic conditions.

Table 1 lists the CH$_4$ emission rates under aerobic conditions from fresh twigs of 10 Artemisia species sampled from the upland areas of the Xilin River basin. Four species were shrubs and six species were herbs. Only 1 species, A. frigida, emitted...
detectable amounts of CH$_4$ without cutting. Eight species were further tested for CH$_4$ emission after cutting, but only five, two shrub species including A. frigida and three herb species, emitted CH$_4$ continuously throughout the 24-h incubation. A. frigida exhibited the highest emission rates.

4 Discussion

4.1 Environmental stress stimulates CH$_4$ emission from plants

Many wetland plants (hydrophytes) transport CH$_4$ produced by anaerobic soil microorganisms to the atmosphere through their roots and stems (Schimel et al., 1995). Previously, we showed that microbial CH$_4$ stored in the stems of hydrophytes is eliminated within minutes after cutting, whereas CH$_4$ derived directly from plant compounds is emitted continuously over many hours; microbial and plant-derived CH$_4$ were distinguished by their distinct $\delta^{13}$C signatures (Wang et al., 2008). Concurring studies have found that neither adsorption-desorption processes nor CH$_4$ stored within intercellular air spaces are responsible for the observed CH$_4$ emissions (Kirschbaum et al., 2007; Kirschbaum and Walcroft, 2008; Vigano et al., 2008). In the present study, physical injury elevated the rate of CH$_4$ emission continuously for $\sim$24-h (Fig. 1), indicating that physical injury released CH$_4$ from plant compounds by an as yet unidentified mechanism.

Hypoxia also induced higher rates of CH$_4$ emission from A. frigida (Fig. 2). Although the initial CH$_4$ concentration was lower in the hypoxic incubations because of flushing with N$_2$, we found previously that CH$_4$ emission rates were indistinguishable in CH$_4$-free air and in ambient atmospheric CH$_4$ (Wang et al., 2008). Vigano et al. (2008) also found that emission rates were independent of background CH$_4$ concentration in an incubation system that continuously replaced the atmosphere. Hence, the significant difference in emission rates under aerobic and hypoxic conditions observed here likely resulted from the difference in O$_2$ concentrations and not from the difference in
initial CH$_4$ concentrations. Hypoxia induces stress through the accumulation of toxic metabolic products, the lowering of energy charge, and the lack of substrates for respiration (Drew, 1997). Xerophytes, such as the *Artemisia* species examined here, would probably be particularly susceptible to hypoxia stress.

Previous studies have shown that UV radiation and rising temperature independently stimulate CH$_4$ emission from plants (Keppler et al., 2006; McLeod et al., 2008; Vigano et al., 2008). Keppler et al. (2006) observed an exponential increase in emission rates with rising temperature from 30 to 70$^\circ$C, which is inconsistent with a microbial or other enzymatic process. Vigano et al. (2008) similarly observed increasing CH$_4$ emission rates with increasing temperature up to 60$^\circ$C in the dark. In our study, CH$_4$ emission increased with increasing physical injury in the dark. Hence, UV radiation, rising temperature, physical injury, and hypoxia all stimulate CH$_4$ emission from plant tissues, suggesting that environmental stress in general may increase CH$_4$ emission from plants.

4.2 Mechanistic implications of stress

In this study, physical injury stimulated dark respiration under aerobic conditions (Fig. 3). During physiological stress, respiratory electron transport can give rise to cell-damaging ROS, including hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), superoxide ion (O$_2^-$), hydroperoxyl radical (HO$_2$), hydroxyl radical (OH), and singlet oxygen ($^1$O$_2$). ROS cleave polymer chains in site-specific reactions (Scandalios, 1993; Fry, 1998; Schweikert et al., 2002; Cheng et al., 2008). Hence, formation of CH$_4$ from plant tissues could result from ROS attacks that cleave methyl groups from plant lignin or pectin (Keppler et al., 2008; McLeod et al., 2008). In a previous study, we found that CH$_4$ emitted continuously from *A. frigida* leaves had a carbon isotope signature consistent with plant pectin (Wang et al., 2008), and Keppler et al. (2006) found evidence for pectin-derived CH$_4$ emitted by other plant species. The pool of methoxyl groups in pectin is large in comparison to adsorbed/stored CH$_4$ and would be available continuously for CH$_4$ formation (Keppler et al., 2006).
et al., 2006; Vigano et al., 2008), consistent with the results of this study and previous results.

A variety of environmental stressors, such as radiation, herbicides, pathogens, certain injuries, hypoxia, ground-level ozone, and temperature fluctuations, stimulate the formation of ROS in plants (Scandalios, 1992; Crawford and Brandle, 1996). For example, Chen and Qualls (2003) reported an increase of free radical damage during hypoxia, and Lin et al. (2006) observed a decrease of ROS scavenging in plants under flooding (resulting in hypoxia). Physical injury of certain plant tissues initiates a complex series of reactions that largely revolve a self-perpetuating wave of free radical generation (Thompson et al., 1987). Hence, repeated observations in several studies of CH$_4$ emission from plants being stimulated by rising temperatures, UV irradiation, hypoxia, and physical injury could be explained by physiological stress leading to ROS formation.

4.3 Species differences

In a previous study, we found that several shrubs but no herbs emitted CH$_4$ derived from plant compounds (Wang et al., 2008). In the present study we again detected no CH$_4$ emission from uncut herbs (Table 1). However, cutting injuries stimulated CH$_4$ emission in three out of four herb species that were tested (Table 1). Hence, both shrubs and herbs have the potential to emit CH$_4$ when injured. Even after injury, however, we still detected no CH$_4$ emission from two species of shrub and one species of herb, all belonging to the Artemisia genus (Table 1). Kirschbaum and Walcroft (2008) observed no substantial CH$_4$ emission from another Artemisia species, Artemisia absinthium, in New Zealand. Thus, CH$_4$ emissions may be species-dependent, even among closely related species. The differences could reflect variation among leaf structures, UV-screening pigments, UV-photosensitizers, and ROS-scavenging mechanisms (see McLeod et al., 2008), as well as phenology and environmental/experimental conditions.
5 Conclusions

Along with other laboratory studies, our results confirm that a wide variety of plant species and functional types emit CH\textsubscript{4} under aerobic conditions and in the absence of likely microbial CH\textsubscript{4} sources. However, emission rates vary both among plant species and in different environmental conditions, including hypoxia. Therefore, estimating the contribution of this process to the global CH\textsubscript{4} cycle might not be possible based on simple biomass- and temperature-based algorithms (e.g., Keppler et al., 2006; Butenhoff and Khalil, 2007). Similarly, because CH\textsubscript{4} emission responds to light, Keppler et al. (2006) used average daily sunshine hours to estimate annual global emissions of CH\textsubscript{4} by living plants and leaf litter. However, a wide variety of environmental conditions can stimulate or accelerate CH\textsubscript{4} emission from plants in the dark. Because nighttime accounts for half of a plant’s life, the global plant-derived CH\textsubscript{4} emission stimulated by environmental stressors at night could be considerable.

If stress is a major driver of CH\textsubscript{4} emission from plants, then global change processes, such as climate change, stratospheric ozone depletion, increasing ground-level ozone, spread of plant pests, and land-use changes, could increase stress in plants and cause a global increase in CH\textsubscript{4} emissions. If so, the role of stress in plant CH\textsubscript{4} production in the global CH\textsubscript{4} cycle could be important in a changing world. Future studies are needed that focus on in situ measurements, comparisons between stressed and unstressed plants, dark and light CH\textsubscript{4} emissions, and interspecies differences.
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Table 1. CH$_4$ emissions from *Artemisia* species sampled from the upland grasslands of the Xilin River basin$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotype</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Incubated tissue$^b$</th>
<th>CH$_4$ emission (ng CH$_4$ gdw$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uncut</td>
<td>Cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td><em>Artemisia frigida</em> Willd.</td>
<td>twigs (leaves and stems)</td>
<td>0.38±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia intramongolica</em> H.C.Fu</td>
<td>twigs (leaves and stems)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia gmelinii</em> var.vestita Nakai</td>
<td>twigs (leaves and stems)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia gmelinii</em> Web.ex Stechm</td>
<td>twigs (leaves and stems)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herb</td>
<td><em>Artemisia argyi</em> Levl.et Vant.</td>
<td>twigs (leaf and petiole)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia eriopoda</em> Bunge</td>
<td>twigs (leaf and petiole)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia sieversiana</em> Willd.</td>
<td>twigs (leaf and petiole)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia laciniata</em> Willd.</td>
<td>twigs (leaves and stems)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia rubripes</em> Nakai</td>
<td>twigs (leaf and petiole)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Artemisia scoparia</em> Wald.et kit.</td>
<td>twigs (leaves and stems)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Fresh plant tissues were sampled in 17–18 August 2008. CH$_4$ emissions were measured for uncut (but detachment) and cut plant tissues, respectively, incubated in the dark at room temperature of 21–24°C for approximately 24 h with initially CH$_4$ concentration in air. Emission is mean±standard deviation ($n=3$). ND indicates that no CH$_4$ emission was detected or was too weak to quantify from linear change in CH$_4$ concentrations within 24 h, while NM was no measurement. $^b$ Bracket shows one or more plant organs per twig.
Fig. 1. Effect of physical injury (cutting) on CH$_4$ emission from fresh twigs of Artemisia frigida. (a) CH$_4$ accumulation over time. (b) CH$_4$ emission rates showing standard deviations ($n=3$) and statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$) among treatments indicated by different letters. Treatments: Blank, Uncut twigs with stem ends sealed with silica, Uncut twigs with stem ends not sealed, Moderate injury (twigs cut into 5 mm segments), and Severe injury (twigs cut into 1 mm segments).
Fig. 2. \(\text{CH}_4\) emission from fresh twigs of *Artemisia frigida* under hypoxic conditions. (a) \(\text{CH}_4\) accumulation over time. (b) \(\text{CH}_4\) emission rates showing standard deviations \((n=3)\) and statistically significant differences \((P<0.05)\) between aerobic and hypoxic conditions indicated by different letters. Capitals (A and B) are shown for severe injury twigs while lower case letters (a and b) for uncut twigs. Treatments: Blank, Uncut twigs, Severe injured twigs in aerobic conditions, Blank in hypoxic conditions, Uncut twigs in hypoxic conditions, and Severely injured twigs in hypoxic conditions (twigs cut into 1 mm segments).
Fig. 3. Dark respiration from the fresh twigs of *Artemisia frigida*. Treatments are (a) Uncut twigs and cut twigs in aerobic conditions and (b) Uncut twigs and cut twigs in hypoxic conditions. The CO$_2$ release rate is mean ±1 standard deviation.