Anthropogenic carbon distributions in the Atlantic Ocean: data-based estimates from the Arctic to the Antarctic
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Abstract

Five of the most recent observational methods to estimate anthropogenic CO$_2$ (C$_{ant}$) are applied to a high-quality dataset from five representative sections of the Atlantic Ocean extending from the Arctic to the Antarctic. Between latitudes 60° N–40° S all methods give similar spatial distributions and magnitude of C$_{ant}$. Conversely, large discrepancies are found in the Southern Ocean and Nordic Seas. The differences in the Southern Ocean have a significant impact on the anthropogenic carbon inventories. The calculated total inventories of C$_{ant}$ for the Atlantic referred to 1994 range from 48 to 67 Pg (10$^{15}$ g) of carbon, with an average of 54±8 Pg C, which is higher than previous estimates. These results, both the detailed C$_{ant}$ distributions and extrapolated inventories, will help to validate biogeochemical ocean models and coupled climate-carbon models.

1 Introduction

Understanding and modelling the marine carbon system is one of the most pressing issues within the framework of climate change. Carbon dioxide, an important greenhouse gas, is being increasingly produced by human activities, adding to the “natural” carbon cycle. International effort has been focussed to investigate the evolution of the oceanic sink of atmospheric CO$_2$, and to understand how human activities interfere in this air-sea coupled system. The endeavour aims at gaining insight on the assessment of the future possible scenarios proposed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007\(^1\)). The invasion of anthropogenic CO$_2$ (C$_{ant}$) in the ocean affects not only the atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations and is associated to climate change, but has also a direct impact on ocean chemistry, causing the so-called “ocean acidification” (Feely et al., 2004). The

\(^1\)http://www.ipcc.ch/
largest ocean acidification upshots for the environment are expected to occur in the high northern and southern latitudes (Bellerby et al., 2005; Orr et al., 2005).

In this context, estimating $C_{\text{ant}}$ concentrations in the oceans represents an important step towards a better evaluation of the global carbon budget and its changes. Since $C_{\text{ant}}$ may not be directly measured in the ocean it has to be derived from in-situ observations, under several assumptions. The pioneering original works by Brewer (1978) and Chen and Millero (1979) addressed this issue, and estimated $C_{\text{ant}}$ in sub-surface water masses of the Atlantic Ocean from total inorganic carbon ($C_T$) measurements. They corrected the measured $C_T$ for the biological contribution and made an estimate of the preformed Preindustrial $C_T$ ($C_T$ when the water was last in contact with the 1850 atmosphere) that was also subtracted from the observed $C_T$. In the last ten years, several observational (data-based) methods have been investigated at regional and global scales (see Wallace et al., 2001, for a historical overview). Two of them, the $\Delta C^*$ method (Gruber et al., 1996) and the Transient Time Distribution (TTD) method (Hall et al., 2002) have been applied at global scale. By using the $\Delta C^*$ approach, Sabine et al. (2004) estimated a global oceanic inventory of $C_{\text{ant}}$ for a nominal year of 1994 of $118 \pm 19$ Pg C, which represents about 50% of the fossil fuel CO$_2$ emitted between 1800 and 1994. Similarly, Waugh et al. (2006) applied the TTD method to estimate the $C_{\text{ant}}$ inventory for the global ocean and obtained results ranging between 94 and 121 Pg C for 1994. These authors also compared the $C_{\text{ant}}$ distribution derived from the $\Delta C^*$ and TTD approaches and pointed out that in spite of the grand-scale reasonable agreement, substantial differences occurred in the North Atlantic, South-Eastern Atlantic and in all basins South of 30° S. This was an important result as it offered a range of $C_{\text{ant}}$ concentrations to be used as a benchmark to be checked with ocean carbon cycle models. Analogous results were derived from intercomparison studies with Ocean General Circulation Models (OGCM) (Orr et al., 2001), i.e.: reasonable agreement was found for ocean-wide inventories but significant differences prevailed at a regional scale in terms of inventory and as to where $C_{\text{ant}}$ was actually located, especially in the high latitudes and between the upper and lower ocean.
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In more recent years, additional data-based methods were developed in an attempt to improve the existing oceanic $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates, especially at a regional level. These are the TrOCA method (Touratier and Goyet, 2004; Touratier et al., 2007), the $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$ method (Lo Monaco et al., 2005a) and the $\varphi C_T^\circ$ method (Vázquez-Rodríguez et al., 2008). To date, only few of these observational methods, including the $\Delta C^*$, have been objectively inter-compared, and that is at regional scales only, namely: in the North Atlantic (Wanninkhof et al., 1999; Friis et al., 2006; Tanhua et al., 2007), the North Indian (Coatanoan et al., 2001), or along a single section in the Southern Ocean (Lo Monaco et al., 2005b). All of these studies identified significant discrepancies in $C_{\text{ant}}$ distributions and specific inventories depending on the location, set of compared $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimation approaches and methodological assumptions.

Today, there is a pressing need to compare and clarify the $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates from these various observational methods, as it has been analogously addressed in the case of ocean carbon models (OCMIP project; Orr et al., 2001), atmospheric inverse models (Gurney et al., 2004), or coupled climate-carbon models (C4MIP project). As a contribution to the European integrated project of CARBOOCEAN, this international collaborative study will focus on the comparison of results from five different concepts used to estimate anthropogenic CO$_2$ concentrations from a single and common high-quality data set in the Atlantic Ocean, including the Arctic and Southern Ocean sectors. The results will give insight over the uncertainties attached to these $C_{\text{ant}}$ calculation procedures. They will also serve observational and numerical ocean modellers to validate their simulations and will help to reach a consensus as to where $C_{\text{ant}}$ is captured and actually stored. Our analysis investigates the high latitudes (Southern Ocean and Nordic Seas) as locations where uncertainties are expected to be large for both data-based methods (Lo Monaco et al., 2005b; Waugh et al., 2006) and OGCMs (Orr et

---


$^3$http://www.atmos.berkeley.edu/c4mip/
al., 2001). The Atlantic Ocean has been selected here because it has the largest $C_{\text{ant}}$ specific inventory of all ocean basins, and also because of its large meridional and zonal gradients of $C_{\text{ant}}$ (Sabine et al., 2004; Waugh et al., 2006). The paper first describes the various $C_{\text{ant}}$ meridional and zonal distributions, according to the different methods applied, focusing on key areas (water masses formation and transformation). The specific and total $C_{\text{ant}}$ inventories are then presented and discussed on the basis of the main assumptions from the methods as possible sources for the observed dissimilarities.

2 Method

Data from four selected meridional sections (NSeas-Knorr, CLIVAR A16N, WOCE I06-Sb and WOCE A14) cover the length of the Atlantic and give a representative coverage of it (Fig. 1a). The WOCE AR01 extends from the Atlantic east to west ends at $\sim24^\circ$N (Fig. 2a). They have all been recently conducted within the framework of either the WOCE or CLIVAR programs, except for the cruise in the Nordic Seas (NSeas, 2005) on board the R/V Knorr (Bellerby et al., 2005; Olsen et al., 2006). The data are available from the GLODAP website, except for the NSeas data and the CLIVAR repeat section A16N legs 1 and 2 conducted during 2003.

Five data-based methods for $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimation have been considered in this study: the TTD, the TrOCA, the $C_{\text{IPSL}}$ and the $\varphi C_T$. The $\Delta C^*$ method has also been included in this comparison, but it has not been applied to the same data-set. The $C_{\text{ant}}$ results here shown correspond to the same cruises though (except for the NSeas-Knorr one), taken from the $C_{\text{ant}}$ appearing in the GLODAP dataset as of Lee et al. (2003). They have applied the $\Delta C^*$ method to evaluate the inventory of $C_{\text{ant}}$ in the Eastern and

---

4 http://cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/glodap/Glodap_home.htm
5 http://cdiac.ornl.gov/ftp/oceans/CARINA/316N20020601_data/
6 http://www.clivar.org/carbon_hydro/hydro_table.php
Western basins of the Atlantic. Their $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates have been included in the global synthesis of Sabine et al. (2004) and the GLODAP database (Key et al., 2004).

The methods can be classified into two groups on the basis of the variables needed to compute $C_{\text{ant}}$: a) the Carbon-based methods ($\Delta C^*$, $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$, TrOCA, and $\varphi C_T^\circ$), which typically require measurements of dissolved inorganic carbon ($C_T$), total alkalinity ($A_T$), oxygen, temperature, salinity and eventually some nutrient analysis; b) the Transient-Tracer-based methods (TTD) that commonly use CFC-11 or CFC-12 concentration measurements as proxies of the anthropogenic CO$_2$ signal.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Anthropogenic CO$_2$ distributions

The meridional distributions of $C_{\text{ant}}$ calculated from the $\Delta C^*$, $\varphi C_T^\circ$, $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$, TrOCA, and TTD methods are shown in Fig. 1d–h, respectively. As the data were collected in different years, the $C_{\text{ant}}$ results have been referred to a single common year (1994) to eliminate biases introduced by the increasing atmospheric fCO$_2$. This was done using data from time series of CO$_2$ molar fractions ($x_{CO_2}$) and calculating from here the annual rate of increase of $C_{\text{ant}}$ at the year each cruise was conducted (Mikaloff-Fletcher et al., 2006). This correction typically varied between 1–7 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ of $C_{\text{ant}}$. Another consideration has been the overlapping latitudes of the A16N-A14 and A14-I06Sb section pairs. The general selection criteria followed was choosing the stations that were deepest and had the least influence of Indian Ocean waters. Accordingly, the northernmost ends of the A14 and I06Sb cruises are omitted from the plots (Fig. 1a). Finally, negative $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates that were within the specific range of uncertainty in each method were set to zero (ad hoc), while values more negative than that were taken as outliers and excluded from subsequent analysis.

All $C_{\text{ant}}$ distributions in Fig. 1 share raw similarities in the patterns, most noticeably in the area of largest gradient, above the 15 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ isopleth. The strong water mass
formation processes in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre (Schmitz, 1996) have a large effect on the dynamics of $C_{\text{ant}}$ oceanic uptake. These cause the different $C_{\text{ant}}$ distributions observed in the Nordic Seas, where the 15 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ isoline shallows abruptly. The maximum $C_{\text{ant}}$ values (40–60 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) are consistently located in the northern subtropical gyre [20$^\circ$ N, 50$^\circ$ N], where the intensification of the Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC) also provokes the strongest water mass outcrops and deepest $C_{\text{ant}}$ transport. Alternatively, $C_{\text{ant}}$ minima are quite unanimously located under the southern subtropical gyre below 1500 m, within the oldest water masses found on the Atlantic north-eastern basin (Figs. 1b, 2b). The results for the WOCE AR01 cruise (Fig. 2) show a similar degree of agreement to the meridional section just described. There are three distinctive features in the AR01 section: a) The first 1000 m are characterised by a strong vertical $C_{\text{ant}}$ gradient, from 60 to 20 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$; b) The minimum values (0–15 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) are consistently located in the eastern Atlantic Basin; c) The entrainment eastwards of the Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC) upper limb is detected unanimously at $\sim$1500 m, whereas its lower limb is not always as evidently marked.

In spite of the large-scale $C_{\text{ant}}$ distribution similarities, there are significant differences, most notably in the Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) and the Nordic Seas. The regions highlighted in Figs. 1b and 2b (boxes 1–5) and listed in Table 1 indicate the places where the focus of analysis goes. Whenever two or more results from different methods are compared within a region, a hypothesis contrast is applied with confidence level $\alpha=0.05$, considering the number of data (N) and using the population means of the standard errors of the mean ($\sigma/\sqrt{N}$).

3.1.1 Deep South Atlantic

The oldest water masses in the Atlantic are found in deep waters around 30$^\circ$ S and they are expected to have near-zero $C_{\text{ant}}$ loads (Fig. 1b, Table 1). All methods yield very low values, with $\Delta C^*$ and $C_{\text{IPSL}}^*$ estimates the smallest and TTD and $\varphi C_T^*$ the
largest. Most $\Delta C^*$ estimates for this region were either missing values or outliers and, therefore, excluded for inventory computations. In addition the $\Delta C^*$ method defines its absolute zero-$C_{\text{ant}}$ reference at the deep, very old waters based on CFC or $\Delta^{14}C$ age estimates. Analogous comments apply to the results from the $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$ method, although this one does not report as many negative or missing values as in the $\Delta C^*$ case.

3.1.2 Subtropical gyres

The northern subtropical gyre, with an observed average age of 4 years (left-hand Box 2 in Fig. 1b), contains the maximum $C_{\text{ant}}$ values. Compared to the TTD estimates the Carbon-based methods TrOCA, $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$ and $\varphi C_T^\circ$ are all found to be significantly (but not dramatically) higher (p-level > 0.995 in all cases). The effect that the biology of the region could introduce via the oxygen to carbon ratio ($R_C$) is likely to have a minimum impact on the Carbon-based methods results, given the low apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU) average values. Alternatively, the average $\Delta C^*$ estimate is significantly lower than the TTD ones by $-3.3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (Table 1). A benchmark against which all these near-surface $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates can be compared is the theoretical $C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{sat}}$ concentration for an ocean in equilibrium with the atmosphere in 1994 ($C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{sat}}$). Considering the average salinity and temperature of the northern subtropical gyre (Table 1) $C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{sat}}$ is estimated to be $49 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. This value is close to the average estimates from all methods except for the $\Delta C^*$ approach. On the contrary, in the southern subtropical gyre the TTD and $\Delta C^*$ estimates show no significant differences, and are the closest values to $C_{\text{ant}}^{\text{sat}}$ for this region ($46 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$). The TrOCA and $\varphi C_T^\circ$ results are 6 and $2.4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ lower than the TTD, while the $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$ is the highest value recorded ($52.3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$). Regarding the southern subtropical gyre (left-hand Box 2 in Fig. 1b), the different $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates compare analogously to its northern counterpart, but with slightly lower concentrations over the region and lesser $C_{\text{ant}}$ entrainment into the ocean interior. Lastly, it is worth noting that all methods detect the Mediterranean Water (MW) influence, which causes a relative maximum of $C_{\text{ant}}$ (average $22.6 \pm 3.5 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) at
about 1100 m depth at 37° N (Ríos et al., 2001; Álvarez et al., 2005).

3.1.3 The Southern Ocean

South of 50° S the different methods, although applied to the same dataset, present very contrasting results. The AABW forms in this region from Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) and Ice Shelf Waters (ISW), potentially driving in the Southern Ocean an intense conveyance of C\textsubscript{ant} down to the seafloor (>5000 m). The fact that C\textsubscript{ant} may have penetrated this far down in the Southern Ocean is also suggested by the presence of CFC-12 in the water column (box 3 in Fig. 1b). Consequently, the TTD method (based mainly on CFCs) produced C\textsubscript{ant} down to the bottom at high latitudes, a signal that was not captured in the ∆C* results (Lo Monaco et al., 2005a, b; Waugh et al., 2006). Interestingly, the other methods (TrOCA, C\textsubscript{IPSL} and φC\textsubscript{T}) also detected significant C\textsubscript{ant} concentrations in the deep and bottom waters of the Southern Ocean. The ∆C* predictions give close-to-zero C\textsubscript{ant} values (1.5±1.6 µmol kg\(^{-1}\)) and are clearly lower than other estimates. The ∆C* method assigns by default C\textsubscript{ant}=0 references to old, low CFC concentration waters like those found below depths of 500 m in the neighbouring regions of the South Atlantic (Gruber et al., 1996). Also, the ∆C* approach assumes oxygen saturation in surface waters. The low results obtained in this area could follow from this assumption (Lo Monaco et al., 2005a). Nevertheless, it must be noted that authors like Sabine et al. (2002), by applying the ∆C* method in other sectors of the Southern Ocean, have obtained higher C\textsubscript{ant} estimates. Alternatively, the fact that the Southern Ocean is a large volume of water makes small differences between methods translate into significant inventory differences in this region (Lo Monaco et al., 2005b).

3.1.4 The Nordic Seas

Here only four methods are compared since the GLODAP data-set (i.e., the ∆C* method) did not include the 2005 NSeas-Knorr data used in this study. In the upper layer (100–750 m, upper Box 4 in Fig. 1b), the C\textsubscript{IPSL} approach gives the high-
3.1.5 The Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC)

This current is detected in Fig. 2 by all methods. The difference between methods comes from the intensity with which the vertical \( \Delta C^* \) gradient generated by the upper (uDWBC) and lower (lDWBC) limbs is detected. The clearest DWBC signal is given by the \( C^*_{\text{IPSL}} \) method, whilst the signal from the TTD approach is the weakest in terms of eastward penetration and vertical gradient between the two limbs. The TrOCA and \( \phi C_T^\circ \) methods display the largest gradient between limbs. However, when we compared the signal from the eastward entrainment of the IDWBC from both methods the TrOCA showed a well-defined limb, while the \( \Delta C^* \) one is almost imperceptible. The \( \phi C_T^\circ \) method gives values that fall half-range from all methods (Table 1) but has a weak IDWBC signal that is reproduced slightly deeper than in the rest of methods. Finally, it is also remarkable that the eastward deflection and propagation of the North Atlantic Deep Water upper limb (uNADW) coming from the uDWBC (Weiss et al., 1985; Andrié et al., 1998) can also be observed in Fig. 1: a \( C_{\text{ant}} \) maximum located at 1500–2000 m depth in the Equator, corresponding with a relative CFC12 age minimum of ~50 years is detected to different extents by all methods.

Overall, the largest discrepancies are found to occur in the high latitudes, most im-
importantly in the Southern Ocean due to its larger contribution to Atlantic inventories compared with the Nordic Seas, as discussed next.

3.2 Atlantic inventories of anthropogenic CO$_2$

To assess how the above-described convergences and discrepancies of the various data-based C$_{ant}$ estimates would affect the global C$_{ant}$ budget, we integrated the results on the Atlantic basin scale. For this, the water column integration has been grouped into the same 10-degree wide latitude bands as in Lee et al. (2003). This way, their results could be added as representatives of the $\Delta$C* method to those calculated here. A few considerations made regarding inventory computations: a) To avoid the seasonal biogeochemical variability from surface layer data, no in situ surface individual C$_{ant}$ estimates were used. Instead, values from the bottom limit of the winter mixed-layer were extended to 0 m, so that C$_{ant}$ from surface waters is still being considered in inventory calculations (Lo Monaco et al., 2005b). On the basis of winter mixed layer depths, the location of the bottom limits were placed at 100 m in subtropical and equatorial waters, and at 300 m for waters in the [33°S–50°S] latitude band; b) Regarding total inventories, the meridional cruises used in this study belong to the Eastern Atlantic basin. To tackle the zonal asymmetry assumption, results in Table 5 from Lee et al. (2003) were used. They provided specific inventories (mol m$^{-2}$) for the eastern and western basins and the total inventories (Pg C) for different latitude bands. A conversion factor per band of latitude (1.04±0.10) that accounted for the area was calculated using the eastern basin specific inventory and the total inventory data from Lee et al. (2003); c) The C$_{ant}$ inventories from Gruber (1998) and Lee et al. (2003) are included in Fig. 3a) to show how the $\Delta$C* method yields very low values in the Southern Ocean, regardless of the dataset to which it is applied (Lo Monaco et al., 2005a). These inventories were calculated from different data collected over the same region here studied, and were referenced to year 1994; d) The errors for the specific inventories are of ±1 mol m$^{-2}$ and ±2 mol m$^{-2}$ when integrated down to 3000 m or 6000 m, respectively. They were calculated by means of random propagation of a 5 µmol kg$^{-1}$ standard error of the C$_{ant}$
estimate with depth. Error bars in Fig. 3a are omitted for clarity.

All methods give reasonably similar specific inventories (Fig. 3a), except for the $\Delta C^*$ method as of either Gruber (1998) or Lee et al. (2003) in the Southern Ocean. The greatest similarities occur in the subtropical and equatorial regions, while some discrepancies between the $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$, $\varphi C^\circ_T$, TrOCA and TTD methods appear towards higher latitudes, especially north from 55° N, where none of the predictions converges. In the Southern Ocean (south of 55° N), the $\Delta C^*$ method shows extremely low values (10±5 mol m$^{-2}$ on average) considering the non-negligible amount of CFCs found in this basin (Fig. 1b). These estimates are five to seven times lower than any other result in this area. The strong decreasing trend of the specific inventories according to the $\Delta C^*$ approach is also opposite to the rest of Carbon-based methods which describe increasing specific inventories south of 45° S. We also identified substantial differences in other regions: In the tropics, the TTD method gives about half the amount of $C_{\text{ant}}$ the $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$ method does, and in the North Atlantic differences of 20 mol m$^{-2}$ are common.

The specific inventories were integrated by area to calculate the total inventories (in Pg C) over the same bands of latitude (Fig. 3b). In so doing, the aforementioned differences in the Nordic Seas diminish. All methods display an “M-shape” in the total inventory latitudinal distribution, with a coherent maximum around 20–30° N and a relative maximum at 40–50° S. Although significant differences are still identified between methods, we believe that the “M-shape” describes faithfully oceanic anthropogenic CO$_2$ fields and should be reproduced by ocean and climate models. The total inventories for the Atlantic basin (excluding the Nordic Seas), referred to 1994 estimated by the $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$, $\varphi C^\circ_T$, TrOCA and TTD methods are: 67, 55, 51 and 48 Pg C, respectively (average 55±8 Pg C). In any case, these results are higher than the 47 Pg C inventory given by Lee et al. (2003) using the $\Delta C^*$ approach. This would lower the average inventory of the Atlantic to 54±8 Pg C. The main reason for the low inventory from the $\Delta C^*$ method comes mainly from the low $C_{\text{ant}}$ concentrations predicted in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 1d), which alone represents 11–12% of the total inventory. The average $C_{\text{ant}}$ inventories for the North and South Atlantic, considering all five methods, are
32±4 Pg C and 22±5 Pg C, respectively.

3.3 Discussion

The discrepancies in the total inventories are mainly explained from the results obtained for waters under the 5°C isotherm (Fig. 1c), which separates ~86% of the Atlantic volume (excluding marginal seas) and divides the C\textsubscript{ant} inventory by approximately half. This means that small errors in the estimation of C\textsubscript{ant} have larger impacts in the inventories when they occur in θ<5°C waters, and has direct consequences intrinsic to the assumptions in each data-based method. For instance, the high estimates obtained with the C\textdegree\textsubscript{IPSL} method in the Southern Hemisphere can derive from having overestimated the oxygen undersaturation in Antarctic surface waters, which would lead to C\textsubscript{ant} overestimates (Lo Monaco et al., 2005a). For the TrOCA method we identified low inventory estimates in the South Atlantic (Fig. 3b) due to the relatively large amount of negative C\textsubscript{ant} estimates in deep waters (Fig. 1g). The TTD method gives the lowest total inventory in the North Atlantic. This approach assumes a global constant mixing to advection ratio of ∆/Γ=1 and this constraint might not be representative of the North Atlantic. Here, the influence of the MOC makes advection gain importance over the mixing processes. Finally, the ϕC\textdegree\textsubscript{T} method lacks extreme values at virtually any of the studied regions, although slightly low values are found in the upper 1000 m of the Nordic Seas.

The assumptions made by the methodologies suggest that the causes for the disagreements may be due to: a) Ice cap hindering of ventilation processes that alter the source properties of the water masses; b) The Alkalinity signal from the Arctic rivers is very different to the other waters of the world ocean; c) Surface layer observations are normally used to parameterize properties, like preformed A\textsubscript{T} (A\textdegree\textsubscript{T}, i.e., A\textsubscript{T} at the moment the water mass outcrops) or air-sea CO\textsubscript{2} disequilibrium (ΔC\textsubscript{dis}), that are later conveyed to the underlying isopycnals. The climate-change-driven shift of surface thermohaline characteristics would force the parameterizations to propagate wrong values towards the deeper ends of isopycnals, which have not sensed this thermal alteration...
yet. d) The North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) enters the surface North Atlantic and Norwegian Atlantic Current Systems with higher loads of anthropogenic CO$_2$ than they did in the past. This process causes the $\Delta C_{\text{dis}}$ driving $C_{\text{ant}}$ uptake to diminish (Olsen et al., 2006). These factors introduce biases in the equations used to calculate $C_{\text{ant}}$.

In spite of the general convergence of the methods considered the choice of one data-based approach or another really depends on the region of interest, given the local variability of the results (Table 1, Fig. 3). Future revisions of the methods should focus on improving $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates in the Southern Ocean and Nordic Seas. These areas seem to be a determining issue in the discrepancies found for anthropogenic CO$_2$ burdens. The approximations of constant $R_C$ ratios made by all Carbon-based methods and the same relative weight given to advection and mixing ($\Delta/\Gamma=1$ (Waugh et al., 2006)) at a global scale by the TTD method need to be relaxed. Finally, all methods should strive to improve their own implementation of the intricate mixing issues in strong water mass formation regions, particularly in the Northern Subpolar Gyre and Nordic Seas.

4 Conclusion

The TTD, $\Delta C^*$, TrOCA, $C_{\text{IPSL}}^\circ$ and $\varphi C_T^\circ$ observational methods have produced satisfactory $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates and inventories for the full length of the Atlantic Ocean. The uncertainties in $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates due to the method applied are narrow in the subtropics but larger for polar regions. The impact of these discrepancies is most important in the Southern Ocean given its large contribution (up to 12%) to the total inventory of $C_{\text{ant}}$. The average $C_{\text{ant}}$ Atlantic inventory of 54±8 Pg C here obtained from the five methods suggests that previous results given by Gruber (1998) and Lee et al. (2003) could be underestimating Atlantic $C_{\text{ant}}$ loads. Adding to the basin-scale intercomparison here performed, regional validation is encouraged for modellers since similar Atlantic inventories could result from diverse $C_{\text{ant}}$ distributions, as shown here. It is worth noting that the large uncertainties in $C_{\text{ant}}$ distribution identified in the Southern Ocean and Nordic
Seas could lead to diverse scenarios and, henceforth, different conclusions regarding issues such as the carbon system saturation state and ocean acidification. Therefore, a multi data-based analysis combining outputs from observational and numerical models at different scales is strongly encouraged and should be addressed in the future. The results here shown will also help to better understand the evolution of the latitudinal atmospheric CO$_2$ gradient since the Preindustrial era, and how this is associated with the meridional transports of C$_T$ on the long-time scale.
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## Table 1. Summary statistics for the regions highlighted in Figs. 1b and 2b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Long.</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>$\theta$ (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>pCFC12 (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>Age CFC12 (years)</th>
<th>AOU (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C*(µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C* (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C* (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C* (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C* (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C* (µmol/kg)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{ant}}$ C* (µmol/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep South Atlantic</td>
<td>20°S–45°S</td>
<td>8°W</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>34.85±0.04</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
<td>53±4</td>
<td>100.7±11</td>
<td>0.1±0.5</td>
<td>3.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.2±0.5</td>
<td>0.4±1.0</td>
<td>2.8±0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Subtropical Gyre</td>
<td>20°N–50°N</td>
<td>20°W</td>
<td>100–350</td>
<td>15.6±2.6</td>
<td>36.18±0.43</td>
<td>1.56±0.19</td>
<td>4±4</td>
<td>31.3±21</td>
<td>42.8±0.6</td>
<td>48.7±0.5</td>
<td>50.1±0.9</td>
<td>47.8±0.5</td>
<td>46.1±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Subtropical Gyre</td>
<td>20°S–45°S</td>
<td>8°W</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>13.1±3.5</td>
<td>35.19±0.46</td>
<td>1.45±0.21</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td>23.2±18</td>
<td>44.0±0.6</td>
<td>41.3±0.7</td>
<td>52.3±1.0</td>
<td>37.8±0.6</td>
<td>43.8±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>55°S–72°S</td>
<td>30°E</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>0.1±0.6</td>
<td>34.68±0.02</td>
<td>0.19±0.13</td>
<td>37±4</td>
<td>125.6±11</td>
<td>1.5±1.6</td>
<td>12.8±0.1</td>
<td>16.4±0.4</td>
<td>11.1±0.2</td>
<td>9.9±0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper Nordic Seas</td>
<td>65–79°N</td>
<td>0–20°W</td>
<td>100–750</td>
<td>−0.2±0.5</td>
<td>34.88±0.05</td>
<td>2.50±0.46</td>
<td>17±4</td>
<td>25.7±12</td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>23.2±1.1</td>
<td>38.2±1.1</td>
<td>24.2±1.2</td>
<td>28.1±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower Nordic Seas</td>
<td>65–79°N</td>
<td>0–20°W</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>−1.0±0.1</td>
<td>34.91±0.01</td>
<td>0.50±0.03</td>
<td>36±3</td>
<td>56.0±5</td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>6.4±0.8</td>
<td>20.9±1.1</td>
<td>4.1±1.2</td>
<td>11.3±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper DWBC</td>
<td>24°N</td>
<td>50°W–80°W</td>
<td>1200–2200</td>
<td>4.1±0.6</td>
<td>35.02±0.04</td>
<td>0.25±0.17</td>
<td>38±7</td>
<td>61.2±10</td>
<td>21.9±0.5</td>
<td>16.5±0.4</td>
<td>22.1±0.4</td>
<td>21.0±0.5</td>
<td>10.9±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower DWBC</td>
<td>24°N</td>
<td>60°W–80°W</td>
<td>3200–4200</td>
<td>2.1±0.1</td>
<td>34.91±0.01</td>
<td>0.21±0.10</td>
<td>39±5</td>
<td>57.7±4</td>
<td>6.0±0.6</td>
<td>11.1±0.5</td>
<td>17.3±0.5</td>
<td>9.9±1.0</td>
<td>9.6±0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1.
Fig. 1. (a) Map showing the considered meridional cruises WOCE A14, WOCE I06-Sb, CLIVAR A16N and NSeas/Knorr conducted in the Atlantic. Thinner dots in cruises A14 and I06-Sb represent stations not used (latitudinal overlapping of different cruises); (b) Age (years) of water masses in the meridional transects from (a), calculated using CFC-12. The inlayed rectangles delimit the regions where $C_{\text{ant}}$ estimates are given a closer look, namely: 1=Deep South Atlantic, 2=Northern and southern subtropical gyres, 3=Southern Ocean and 4=The Nordic Seas; (c) Salinity distribution of the meridional transect displaying the 5°C isotherm that separates the large volume of cold waters (∼86% of the Atlantic Ocean) from warmer surface waters; (d–h) Estimates of anthropogenic $\text{CO}_2$ ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) in the meridional transect from the $\Delta C^*$, $\varphi C_T$, $C_{\text{IPSL}}$, TrOCA and TTD methods, respectively. The red 15 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ isopleth separates the region of maximum $C_{\text{ant}}$ gradient from deeper waters.
Fig. 2. Analogous contour plots to Fig. 1 for the zonal cruise WOCE AR01. From top to bottom and left to right: (a) Cruise map, (b) CFC12 Age in years showing the uDWBC and IDWBC limbs in white-contoured boxes numbered as “5”; (c) Salinity field with the red 5°C isotherm overlaid; (d–h) $C_{ant}$ concentration estimates ($\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) from the $\Delta C^*$, $\varphi C_T^*$, $C_{IPSL}$, TrOCA and TTD methods, respectively.
Fig. 3. Specific inventories (a) in mol m\(^{-2}\) for the whole Atlantic computed in latitude bands every 10°, after Lee et al. (2003). The total inventories (Pg C) for the same domain and latitude band resolution are plotted in (b). The inlaid box gives the integrals of the presented total inventories (Pg C) for each method in the Atlantic Ocean.