

Interactive comment on “Importance of intertidal sediment processes and porewater exchange on the water column biogeochemistry in a pristine mangrove creek (Ras Dege, Tanzania)” by S. Bouillon et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 March 2007

General comments:

This manuscript describes the different pathways of dissolved and particulate matter exchange between the sediment and water column in an intertidal mangrove forest creek system. Material transport showed a strong tidal signal with highest particulate matter levels associated with high current velocities during ebb and flood tides and highest solute concentrations coinciding with low tides. Stable carbon isotope data followed tidal variations showing different contribution of marine, seagrass and mangrove end-members during low and high tides. A particularly interesting part of the

manuscript is the identification of the important role of porewater seepage for the creek water column biogeochemistry and its implications for future budgeting efforts of intertidal systems.

Generally, the presented data are convincing and well presented. However, I feel that some structural changes will improve the overall readability helping to keep the reader's attention.

Introduction: The introduction is rather long and not very focused. For example, I feel the sections on litterfall estimates of C fixation (p. 320 ln 27 - p. 321 ln. 5) and the effect of crab burrows on the sediment hydraulic conductivity (p. 322 ln 8 - ln 12) distracts from the main aims of the study. Furthermore, these sections are repeated in the discussion and should be restricted to this part of the manuscript. Overall, I suggest shortening the introduction to improve readability by focusing on the main aims of the study.

Materials and methods: The methods are generally well described and understandable. However, I missed the description of various measurements (porewater salinity, TOC/TN in sediments, porewater DOC, Ca measurements) that are introduced in the discussion. As these methods are not described in the methods section and no citations are given, it is not always clear whether these measurements were conducted by the authors during the same study.

Results: If the above mentioned measurements described in the discussion were conducted by the authors during the same field study I suggest introducing these results in this section of the manuscript.

Discussion: While I feel that the results are appropriately discussed and most parts of the discussion are important for the reader's understanding, the discussion suffers from the authors jumping back and forth between different aspects of the study, which made it difficult to keep my attention. I suggest re-structuring the discussion into different subsections such as:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

- 1.Importance of pore water seepage
- 2.Origin of organic matter during the tidal cycle
- 3.Organic matter export and CO₂, CH₄ emission
- 4.Organic matter import
- 5.Summary of exchange processes

Roughly, I could imagine restructuring the following parts of the discussion into the abovementioned subsections:

Section 1: (p.326 In 20-26); (p.327, In 19-p. 328 In 2); (p. 330, In 6-17)

Section 2: (p. 328, In 3-p.329 In 6)

Section 3: (p. 326 In 27-p.327 In 18); (p.329 In 7-p. 330 In 5); (p.331 In 15-p.332 In 20)

Section 4: (p. 330 In17-p.331 In 14)

Section 5: (p. 332 In 21-p.334 In 16)

The calculation of the end-member signatures of POC, DOC and DIC (p. 328 In7-10 & In 20-23; p. 330 In 23-25) was not very clear to me. I would appreciate if the authors could explain in more detail how these values were calculated.

Minor comments:

Citation of Ludwig et al 1996 (p. 320 In 13) and Neubauer and Anderson 2003 (p.322 In1) is not in reference list. Please check also other citations.

The use of the word “constrained” in the manuscript (p.319 In 21; p.320 In17 & In 24; p.334 In 2) is confusing. I think the authors mean something like “described” or “investigated”?

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 4, 317, 2007.