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Author Response to Referee #1 Comments

We have incorporated sampling periods (as well as the number of specimens sampled and the number of replicates per specimen) in the Remarks section following Table 2. We felt that Table 2 already contained so much information that this suggestion was best incorporated in the footnotes for each study included in the table. We have also emphasized that this information can be found in the Remarks section in our revised manuscript.

It appears that the Plant Functional Type (PFT)-specific calculations were not well explained in our manuscript. The purpose of including those calculations was to convey that it is not useful (at this time) to classify emissions according to PFT (just as this reviewer correctly interpreted). In order to better emphasize that point, we moved the text to a more appropriate location in the revised manuscript.
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