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I am not sure which section number refers to which section, but I have chosen to use the section number provided below a section. Section 2960: Abstract: line 1. “The visibility of life forms in the fossil record...” I would look for another word other than “visibility” or “preservation”. How about “occurrence”? Section 2960: Abstract: line 9. “could provide an interesting methodological alternative.” I would look for another word here instead of “interesting”. How about “essential”? Section 2963 (and elsewhere): line 1. “I am afraid that my Latin is not so good...” Does it mean “in saxo” in my Latin or English dictionaries. Does it mean “in...”
Section 2964: 2.1.2 Belemnites: line 24. I would put commas after rostrum and guard; the rostrum, or guard, that helped to maintain;

Section 2972: I noticed that you did not reference Roemer, 1840 as the author of the species Isselicrinus buchii (Roemer, 1840) (line 6 under crinoids), but did reference Barnes 1985 as the author of the subfamily Pithanodelphininae Barnes 1985. Is this consistent with the guidelines for referencing in BG? Section 2976: line 7: I believe this should read; I would use an alternative word to destiny; Perhaps? Not an easy one as I am not sure the concepts of fate; nor should be part of Science;
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