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We are very grateful for the comments of both reviewers, which we regard as very useful to improve our manuscript. We are glad about the generally positive perception of the text. In the following we present a point-to-point reply to the raised questions from both reviewers.

Anonymous Referee #1 Reviewer: 1) as the vegetation source of amines might be important but measurements are lacking, can the authors make some recommendations about conducting measurements in this aspect?

Authors: Amine measurements remain very challenging. The identification of chemical...
compounds is not always feasible. Emission quantification is even more difficult because of the stickiness and low concentrations of compounds and also because of the heterogeneous sources. We propose to focus investigations mainly on two aspects: a) the link between nitrogen turnover and MA emissions in plants in case studies with plants such as Chenopodium vulvaria, Crataegus, Mercurialis perennis, Sorbus aucuparia to establish the link between the nitrogen supply and the MA emissions, and b) to survey how decaying organic matter might release amines. Technical measurement solutions have to be tailored to the specific requirements of investigated issues, such as instrument sensitivity and selectivity, time resolution of measurement setup, field or laboratory measurements (e.g. chamber vs. field measurements), etc.

Reviewer: 2) The title include "perspective", however, in my viewpoint, there is now not enough content to recommend how can we close the gap. from both experimental, modeling sides, also, likely some discussions regarding the future directions will be nice.

Authors: We think that the knowledge-base is not sufficient to establish the link between the vegetation-related amine source and NPF in a quantitative way. Neither from the experimental nor from the modelling side. We judge that an increased knowledge about this relationship is important for an increased process understanding of biogenic emissions and NPF, and as better basis for chemistry-transport models. To point towards this relationship and to create awareness in the scientific community was one of the main reasons to write this paper and submit it to the “Ideas and Perspectives” category. The phrase “perspective” is a fixed journal proposition and has to be included in the title. We believe that we have presented practically all relevant available studies regarding modelling and measurements. On this fundament, we add a brief outlook paragraph in the context and conclusions section in which we discuss future directions: The topic of amines and NPF has become more present in current scientific publications. Field studies using techniques with sufficient accuracy, precision and selectivity to resolve the extremely low ambient concentrations at which amines
and NPF begin to interact are rare or almost non-existent (also see Sipilä et al., 2015, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 8, 3667-3696, doi:10.5194/amtd-8-3667-2015). One essential point will be the distinction between MA's in the gas and aerosol phase. A limitation to an experimental assessment of vegetation-related amine emissions and their atmospheric chemistry and transport is the heterogeneity of sources, resulting in very variable emissions over space and time. This makes a confined quantification very uncertain and not feasible beyond the character of local to regional studies. High quality, detailed laboratory experiments and field measurements for NPF have been conducted with acceleration in recent years. It is likely that the understanding of NPF, and the amine’s role, will continue with growing progress. New measurement approaches will lead to a better characterisation of airborne amines and their dynamic behaviour at a few sites. Such approaches include on-line analysers with a high selectivity (like time-of-flight mass spectrometers) and high sensitivity (like AP-CIMS) and new developments in ion-chromatography. Ultimately, however, we think that modelling is probably limited by the large variability of conditions in the environment, which is almost impossible to quantify with field experiments.

Reviewer: 3) Although by adding a few ppts of amines to the SA-H2O system from CLOUD chamber studies, it is able to reproduce the observed NPF rates in remote forested region. However, i feel we have to be careful about over-interpreation of the role of amine in NPF, since there are also CLOUD chamber studies proving the extremely low volatility organic vapors can do the same job as amines, it is somehow not clearly quantificable the relative contributions of amines and low-volatility compounds in the NPF events probably (or if there is, the authors should mention this ). And i think this is worth to be mentioned in the MS.

Authors: Yes. We will state in the introduction, where other VOCs and their contribution to NPF is mentioned, that it is unclear how the relative importance of amines vs. other VOCs acts under field conditions.

Mari Pihlatie (Referee2): Reviewer: In order to further improve the paper, and to make
a more balanced picture of the current understanding of different terrestrial sources of amines, I suggest, despite the uncertainties, that more space is given to discuss potential for amine emissions from soils and fungi. This can be done by reorganizing the chapter 3 (Vegetation as a potentially significant source . . .) so that all the other sources of amines (soils, fungi etc) are presented in the end, or preferably so that a new chapter is added (e.g. 4: soils and fungi as other potential sources for amines) to discuss amine concentrations and potential for emissions from fungi and soils. These issues are already shortly discussed in page 3221, rows 21-26 (fungi) and pages 3222-3223 (soils), and could now be given a bit more attention and deepness. Despite the fact that these topics are very little studied and the discussion may remain speculative, they may be equally important sources of amines, and hence should not be left out from the discussion.

Authors: We fully agree with this statement. We re-arrange section 3 (dividing it into two subsections: 3.1 Amines from living plants, 3.2 Amines from organic matter and in fungi) in order to include a new subsection 3.2 discussing the other sources (soil, fungi, organic residues) as already incorporated in the present section 3. These processes are very little studied. Their contribution to gas phase amines is an interesting scientific topic of high potential importance due to the ubiquitous presence of heterotrophic processes. To pronounce the potential relevance of amines from soil a little more, we slightly adjust this part from the abstract: “In addition, vegetative plant tissue exhibiting high amounts of MAs might potentially lead to significant emissions, and the decomposition of organic material could constitute another source for airborne MAs.” into “In addition, vegetative plant tissue exhibiting high amounts of MAs might potentially lead to significant emissions. The decomposition of organic material constitutes another, potentially ubiquitous, source for airborne MAs.”

Reviewer: ...This is also closely related to the aspects of the potential impact of increased reactive nitrogen (Nr) load onto terrestrial ecosystems, as it is clear that an increase in Nr will certainly influence soil N turnover processes, which may stimulate
also amine formation and emissions.

Authors: We also think that accelerated N turnover could have an increasing effect on amine formation and discuss the impact of Nr deposition on biomass turnover on p.13, l.10-20. We extend this statement with the link to the new subsection on organic matter sources to highlight their role: “In principle, accelerated amine formation from decaying organic matter (Sect. 3.2) might co-vary with an accelerated biomass turnover”.

Reviewer: Specific comments...

Authors: All specific comments, raised by Mari Pihlatie, are addressed in the final manuscript, following the suggestions.

Additional author's comment not related to the reviewer’s comments:

Very recently, another manuscript dealing with state-of-the-art ambient amine measurements (bisulphate-cluster based AP-CIMS) at the Hyytiälä site has been published (Sipilä et al., 2015; Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, doi: 10.5194/amtd-8-3667-2015). During May 2013, the authors found negligible amounts of DMA without relationship to NPF, but they detected TMA + PA and a C4-amine (unquantified). These data represent a useful addition to the measurements presented in our manuscript (p.7), since they are 1) from the comparatively most investigated site Hyytiälä, 2) seem to have unmatched low detection limit for DMA, and 3) the authors criticise and discuss the reliability of measurements in former studies, also presented in our manuscript. We add the study by Sipilä et al. at p.7 and modify the conclusion (at the bottom of p.7 and top p.8): “Despite high measurement uncertainties (Freshour et al., 2014), such elevated MA concentrations and higher ratios to NH3 in comparatively remote situation compared with agricultural environments, could point towards vegetation as another source for MAs”. It now reads: “The uncertainties of measured gas-phase amines are substantial due to uncertainties in relation to calibration, instrument drift, inlet absorption, gas-to-particle partitioning, and detection interference with other gas species (Freshour et al., 2014; Sipilä et al., 2015). Elevated MA concen-
trations and higher ratios to NH₃ in comparatively remote situation, compared with agricultural environments, could point towards vegetation as another source for MAs. Yet, the topic has to be further investigated.” For the sake of completeness, we also add one sentence at p.7, referring to a recent contribution (Hemmilä et al., 2015; Geophysical Research Abstracts, 7) with amine determination in 2014 at Hyytiälä: “Recent measurements continued to find airborne amines at that site (Hemmilä et al., 2015).”
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Abstract

In this article we summarise recent science, which shows how airborne amines, specifically methylamines (MAs), play a key role in atmospheric new particle formation (NPF) by stabilising small molecule clusters. Agricultural emissions are assumed to constitute the most important MA source, but given the short atmospheric residence time of MAs, they can hardly have a direct impact on NFP events observed in remote regions. This leads us to the presentation of existing knowledge focussing on natural vegetation-related MA sources. High MA contents as well as emissions by plants have already been described in the 19th century. Strong MA emissions predominantly occur during flowering as part of a pollination strategy. The behaviour is species specific, but examples of such species are common and widespread. In addition, vegetative plant tissue exhibiting high amounts of MAs might potentially lead to significant emissions, and the decomposition of organic material constitutes another, potentially ubiquitous, source for airborne MAs. These mechanisms would provide sources, which could be crucial for the amine’s role in NPF, especially in remote regions. Knowledge about vegetation-related amine emissions is, however, very limited and thus it is also an open question how Global Change and the intensified cycling of reactive nitrogen over the last 200 years have altered amine emissions from vegetation with a corresponding effect on NPF.

1 Introduction

Amines comprise a vast range of nitrogenous organic compounds with aliphatic methylamines (MA; monomethylamine = MMA, dimethylamine = DMA, trimethylamine = TMA) representing the most common (Ge et al., 2011a) and comparably most studied airborne species. Amines have widely been identified in aerosol particulate matter and wet deposition (Neff et al., 2002; Ge et al., 2011a; Healy et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015).

New aerosol particle formation (NPF) from gas-phase precursors occurs frequently in the atmosphere, approximately constituting 50 to 80% of the global aerosol load and 30
to 50 % of cloud condensation nuclei (Merikanto et al., 2009). Estimates of the global secondary aerosol budget are highly uncertain due to uncertainties in gas-phase precursor contributions to NPF and limited mechanistic comprehension (Spracklen et al., 2011). While NPF rates observed in the field do not comply with the classical process-understanding involving sulphuric acid (SA) and water (Kirkby et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012), it has recently become increasingly clear that the ternary system of SA and water, together with neutralising compounds like ammonia (NH₃) or amines, is a key system in NPF (Chen et al., 2012; Almeida et al., 2013; Kurten et al., 2014). Amines can also form stable molecular clusters with methanesulfonic acid (Dawson et al., 2012). In addition, the oxidation of organic compounds contributes to NPF. The photochemistry of oxidised organic compounds is another process contributing to NPF with SA. There is currently not sufficient information to assess the combined effect of NH₃, amines, and other organics competing in NPF (Riccobono et al., 2014).

Despite their generally low atmospheric concentrations (Ge et al., 2011a), Almeida et al. (2013) have shown that amines take an important role in NPF. Their main finding is that extremely small MA mixing ratios (> 3 ppt DMA in their case) suffice to efficiently enhance NPF rates, and that atmospheric observations of NPF rates are only consistently explained taking amines into consideration. This is consistent with the current mechanistic understanding. The NPF enhancement by addition of MAs is most significant in situations with clean air, essentially when only little NH₃ is present to contribute to SA neutralisation.

The indirect effect of anthropogenic aerosols represents the largest uncertainty on climate radiative forcing according to IPCC (2013). Almeida et al. (2013) note how the recent findings “show that the uncertainty is even greater than previously thought, because extremely low amine emissions – which have substantial anthropogenic sources and have not hitherto been considered by the IPCC – have a large influence on the nucleation of sulphuric acid particles. […] If amine emissions were to spread into pristine regions of the boundary layer where they could switch on nucleation, substantial increases in regional and global cloud condensation nuclei could occur”.

3
In spite of their supposedly important role for NPF, information on the atmospheric abundance and emission pathways of amines remains rather scarce. Further on, we focus on MA as a surrogate for other airborne amines. The most important identified MA sources (Ge et al., 2011a) are agriculture (Schade and Crutzen, 1995; Kuhn et al., 2011; Sintermann et al., 2014), biomass burning (Lobert et al., 1990; Andreae and Merlet, 2001), and the ocean (van Neste et al., 1987; Gorzelska and Galloway, 1990; Wang and Lee, 1994; Gibb et al., 1999b; Facchini et al., 2008). Many marine algae produce MAs (Steiner and Hartmann, 1968; Smith, 1971, 1975) and even remote ocean water contains MA (and the TMA-precursor trimethylamine-N-oxide) (Gibb et al., 1999b, a; Gibb and Hatton, 2004). Such marine amines might represent an important contribution to oceanic secondary aerosol (Myriokefalitakis et al., 2010). As amines are ubiquitous in plant and animal life (Smith, 1971; Dey et al., 1997), terrestrial vegetation is thought to provide another, albeit very weak, source for amines, including MAs (Schade and Crutzen, 1995; Ge et al., 2011a). Towards the end of the 19th century until the 1970s, some authors have described high MA abundances associated with tissue and the flowering of certain plant species. This kind of MA source has not yet been elucidated in relation to the discovered key role of amines in NPF.

Here, we summarise the current state of science regarding the importance of amines for NPF and discuss information on the source of MAs from terrestrial vegetation in order to reveal essential research targets and provide important perspectives on the matter. A better understanding of amine emission pathways would help to interpret field observations of NPF and is ultimately required for the description of NPF in chemistry-transport models.

2 The role of airborne amines in new aerosol particle formation

Kulmala et al. (2013) describe atmospheric NPF as a mechanism involving three-regimes: (1) predominantly neutral molecular clusters (diameter < 1.1 to 1.3 nm) nucleate, but further growth is inhibited because of cluster re-evaporation; (2) the small clusters are efficiently stabilised by combination of SA with a base (NH₃/amines) (1.1 to 1.3 to 1.5 to 1.9 nm); (3) these activated clusters (> 1.5 to 1.9 nm) grow more rapidly by condensation of other
vapours and coagulation of clusters. The importance of amines for NPF has recently been established by field (Angelino et al., 2001; Makela et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011) and laboratory experiments (Murphy et al., 2007; Berndt et al., 2010; Erupe et al., 2011; Bzdek et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2012; Zollner et al., 2012; Almeida et al., 2013; Jen et al., 2014; Kurten et al., 2014), as well as from modelling studies (Kurten et al., 2008; Barsanti et al., 2009; Bzdek et al., 2010; Ortega et al., 2012; Loukonen et al., 2010; Nadykto et al., 2011; Paasonen et al., 2012; Almeida et al., 2013; DePalma et al., 2014). On a molecular level, Kurten et al. (2014) observed how DMA stabilises SA, increasing the stable cluster concentration by up to 6 orders of magnitude. DMA (and the other MAs) is a stronger base than NH$_3$ which is one of the main reasons for its stabilisation efficiency (Ortega et al., 2012). Compared to the NPF rate caused by SA and 2 to 250 ppt NH$_3$ alone, the enhancement is 3 to 4 orders of magnitude higher in conditions with 5 to 140 ppt DMA and 10 ppt NH$_3$ under SA mixing ratios up to a ppt (Almeida et al., 2013). Investigating higher SA mixing ratios (approximately 1–250 ppt), Jen et al. (2014) found an increase in cluster concentration of roughly 2 orders of magnitude, caused by DMA or TMA compared to the maximum caused by NH$_3$ alone. From SA and DMA, Kurten et al. (2014) detected NPF rates close to the collision rate between both molecules, which represents the maximum possible kinetic limit. They argue that collision rate-driven NPF might be observed only with a DMA concentration higher than a threshold of approximately 100 times the SA concentration, because of cluster coagulation and fission (see Ortega et al., 2012). Accordingly, Almeida et al. (2013) and Jen et al. (2014) identified base concentrations above which the enhancing effect of the alkaline gas species becomes saturated. Then, the NPF rate may proceed at its maximum, which is characteristic for each base. These base threshold concentrations were only 5 to 10 ppt DMA in the experiments of Almeida et al. (2013), and 20 ppt DMA, 30 ppt TMA, 80 to 180 ppt MMA, 1.1 to 1.8 ppb NH$_3$ in the Jen et al. (2014) study. With the base exceeding its (SA-dependent) threshold concentration, the NPF rate solely depends on the availability of SA (Kurten et al., 2014).

In the atmosphere, SA is continuously formed in-situ via oxidation of sulphur dioxide (Boy et al., 2005). Typical SA mixing ratios cover a range from 0.005 to 5 ppt (Eisele and Tanner,
Hence, the emissions of the alkaline gases determine, to a large part, the potential for NPF. In polluted air masses, for example close to intensive agricultural activities or at urban environments, usually enough airborne NH$_3$ and MAs exist (Ge et al., 2011a; Sintermann et al., 2014) to favour high NPF rates. The high concentrations of existing particles often hinder NPF by acting as coagulation sink for newly formed clusters (Kerminen et al., 2001; Dal Maso et al., 2007; Westervelt et al., 2014). In clean pristine air, however, the addition of small amounts of an atmospheric base is expected to promote NPF. This much more efficiently, if that base is a MA rather than NH$_3$. Thus, MA emissions in regions with clean air will have a strong impact on NPF – especially when they are not coupled to larger NH$_3$ emissions.

In the environment, the availability of emitted MAs for NPF is constrained by their removal via dry and wet deposition, gas-phase oxidation – mainly by the hydroxyl radical – (Ge et al., 2011a; Lee and Wexler, 2013), and condensation onto pre-existing particles. The latter is an efficient removal process yielding a range of MA lifetimes depending on the aerosol number-size distribution and the assumed uptake coefficient (defined as the fraction of molecules being permanently removed from the gas phase by collision with an existing particle). Sintermann et al. (2014) roughly estimate a condensation sink lifetime of TMA of 0.5 and 17 min for a high and lower aerosol burden, respectively, based on an uptake coefficient of 1. Using a chemistry-transport model, approximating amine emissions by scaling with those of NH$_3$ (the approach taken by Schade and Crutzen, 1995), including oxidation, deposition, and the condensation sink, Yu and Luo (2014) derive atmospheric MA lifetimes. With a maximal uptake coefficient of 0.03, they calculate atmospheric residence times of approximately 1 h over Europe, eastern Asia, and the eastern US compared to 3 to $>5$ h in more remote regions. According modelled MMA/DMA/TMA concentrations span approximately 5/2/10 ppt over India, 2/0.5/5 to 5/1/10 ppt over China and parts of Africa and South America, 0.2/0.1/0.5 to 2/0.5/5 ppt over the major parts of the other continents to 0.05/0.02/0.1 to 0.5/0.2/0.5 ppt in more remote regions (excluding the polar areas). Comparing their results to existing concentration measurements they suggest, however, that emissions further away from urban areas, and hence concentrations, might be sub-
stantially underestimated. This would be emphasized even more strongly by the finding that the estimated agricultural amine emissions do not scale with a constant ratio throughout all NH$_3$ emission stages (Kuhn et al., 2011; Sintermann et al., 2014). In conclusion, the short atmospheric residence time of MAs implies that only local to regional emissions are crucial in promoting NPF. Emissions into clean air would spread the MA potential for NPF further than in situations with higher aerosol burdens.

Measurements of atmospheric MAs are challenging and reports of concentrations in regions away from potential urban, agricultural, or marine sources are rare. Simultaneous observations of MAs and NH$_3$ and NPF in remote areas would be essential to characterise the corresponding interactions. As far as we know, the only campaigns at comparably remote continental regions have been carried out at the Finnish boreal forest site Hyytiälä (Hari and Kulmala, 2005). Sellegri et al. (2005) found 34 to 80 ppt TMA (and 12 ppt DMA which was below the detection limit) during a short period in spring 2003, whereas Kieloaho et al. (2013) determined ambient DMA + ethylamine (EA) and TMA + propylamine (PA) from May to October 2011. Highest concentrations DMA + EA and TMA + PA amounted to 157 ± 20 and 102 ± 60 ppt, respectively, in September/October, which were thought to originate from litterfall-emissions. DMA + EA exhibited somewhat smaller concentration peaks (> 50 ppt) at the beginning of June and in July/August. Diethylamine (DEA) from unassigned sources was also measured and peaked in the summer months (15.5 ± 0.5 ppt). The observation of NPF events was only moderately correlated to the occurrence of the measured amines. As shown by Hellén et al. (2014), the amines were generally more abundant at the boreal forest site than in the urban environment of Helsinki during the same period. Recent measurements continued to find airborne amines at that site (Hemmilä et al., 2015). It appears striking that the prevailing amine concentrations at the boreal forest exceeded the threshold values given by Almeida et al. (2013) and Jen et al. (2014) at which the maximum NPF rate could occur. In contrast to the above mentioned studies, other recent measurements of amines at Hyytiälä Sipilä et al. (2015), mainly in May 2013, indicate negligible DMA concentrations and no coupling with NPF. On the other hand, traces of TMA + PA and a C4-amine (unquantified)
were detected. Schade and Crutzen (1995) estimated an average MA : NH$_3$ emission ratio of 0.7% from agricultural sources, which are assumed to dominate the anthropogenic MA source and likewise, Sintermann et al. (2014) measured a maximum TMA emission ratio of 1%. By contrast, simultaneous measurements of MAs and NH$_3$ at a coastal-rural site, with potential marine source influence, indicated time averaged concentration ratios DMA : NH$_3$ = 1.6 to 3.5% and TMA : NH$_3$ = 0.75 to 3.9% (Freshour et al., 2014). In another study at two rural forested areas, the MA : NH$_3$ ratio did not exceed 1%, but correlation with isoprene led to speculation about a vegetation source (You et al., 2014). Despite high measurement uncertainties (Freshour et al., 2014), such elevated The uncertainties of measured gas-phase amines are substantial due to uncertainties in relation to calibration, instrument drift, inlet absorption, gas-to-particle partitioning, and detection interference with other gas species (Freshour et al., 2014; Sipilä et al., 2015). Elevated MA concentrations and higher ratios to NH$_3$ in comparatively remote situation, compared with agricultural environments, could point towards vegetation as another source for MAs.

3 Vegetation as a potentially significant source for gas-phase amines

3.1 Amines from living plants

It has been observed that a diverse group of several plant species exhibits exceptionally high amounts of MAs associated (a) either generally with their vegetative tissue (e.g. foliage), or (b) with blossoms during flowering (Smith, 1971, 1975). These species are MA reservoirs representing strong potential MA emitters. Wicke (1862) was among the first to describe the emission of TMA from plants. He observed the occurrence of TMA in condensed transpiration of Chenopodium vulvaria, known for its fish-like smell which is characteristic of TMA. Remarkably, he saw some kind of “fog” emerging when exposing a HCl-coated glass rod to the air close to the plant. He might indeed have been the first scientist to witness the effects of NPF from TMA neutralising the acid. At that time it had been known that also pears (Pyrus communis), hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna), and the rowan (Sor-
bus aucuparia) contain TMA in their blossoms (Wicke, 1862). Later, the extremely high TMA content of Chenopodium vulvaria was established by Cromwell (1950) and Cromwell and Richards (1966), who followed the works of Power and Chesnut (1925); Vickery (1925); Klein and Steiner (1928); Steiner and Löffler (1929); Vickery (1932), among others. By then, the range of higher plants with a high MA content in foliage or blossoms had been extended to a few more species. Further on, Stein von Kamienski (1957a, b, 1958) and Steiner (1966) provided measurements comprising the identification of MAs in foliage and especially blossoms of various plants (trees, bushes, herbs), a larger number of fungi, and even some lichen and moss, demonstrating that enrichment of volatile amines is widespread among some families (like Araceae, Caprifoliaceae, Cornaceae, Rosaceae, Umbelliferae) and almost not found in other families (Labiatae, Papilionaceae) (Smith, 1971). Volatile amine abundance is highly species-specific and may depend on phenology and site (Stein von Kamienski, 1957a; Steiner, 1966; Smith, 1971). Smith (1971) reviewed the state of knowledge about amines and plants at that time. MMA, DMA, and TMA have commonly been found in the amine-enriched vegetation. Table A1 (Appendix) summarises species associated with MAs found in the literature. Some examples of higher plant species, which are widespread in Europe, include: Castanea sativa, Cornus sanguinea, Crataegos laevigata, Crataegus monogyna, Mercurialis annua, Mercurialis perennis, Pyrus communis, Sorbus aucuparia, among others.

It is not entirely clear which pathways lead to MA creation within such plants (Steiner, 1966; Smith, 1971). In principle, formation pathways are the decarboxylation of amino acids and transamination from aldehydes (Cromwell and Richards, 1966; Steiner, 1966; Steiner et al., 1967; Smith, 1971, 1975; Wink and Hartmann, 1981; Dey et al., 1997; Farquhar et al., 1983; Bagni and Tassoni, 2001; Dudareva et al., 2013). Most measurements addressed the MA content within the tissue of vegetation parts such as fruiting bodies, foliage, and especially blossoms. However, the MAs are also released into the gas phase. Often the characteristic smell was observed, indicating that amines were also being emitted from the living plant. In fact, many plants use the chemical mimicry effect of aminoid fragrances, resembling excrements and decay, in order to attract dung and carrion insects, such as flies.
and beetles, for pollination. The scents involve a complex composition of many different volatiles with various nitrogen-containing compounds (Dobson, 2006; Abrol, 2012; Woodcock et al., 2014). It has been recognised that MA volatilisation from blossoms plays an important role in that pollination mechanism (Smith, 1971). It might also be that amines serve as antioxidants (Larson, 1988).

At least 15 different amines have been identified as floral scent compounds, and generally the quality and quantity of scents varies spatially and temporally, from plant to plant, and between species (Dudareva and Pichersky, 2006; Knudsen and Gershenson, 2006). The blossoms are usually, but not necessarily, of white, green, or red-brown colour and often exhibit the characteristic amine odour (Stein von Kamienski, 1957a). One example of plants using MAs as part of a pollination strategy is the hawthorn (here: *Crataegus monogyna* and *Crataegus laevigata*). Hawthorn shows the characteristic scent of TMA (El-Sayed, 2014). It is striking that already in medieval times hawthorn branches were a symbol of the Black Death, since the hawthorn’s fragrance mimics decay (Vickery, 1995).

Our own dynamic chamber measurements using Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometry (CIMS) highlight strong TMA emissions from freshly cut twigs with blossoms of *Crataegus laevigata* and *Cornus sanguinea* (Fig. 1). These measurements originally had an explorative intention, but we tentatively derive emission estimates. Highest TMA emissions from the approximately 45 *Crataegus laevigata* blossoms were in the range 0.019–0.038 nmol s\(^{-1}\) and peaked between 0.011 and 0.022 nmol s\(^{-1}\) for *Cornus sanguinea* (5 umbels). 1000 (as an arbitrary number) blossoms or 100 umbels, respectively, would thus have emitted up to 0.84 and 0.44 nmol s\(^{-1}\). As a comparison, the TMA emissions from 1 square meter covered with a mix of farm animal excrements, as a very strong TMA source, have been determined to be of the order of 10 nmol s\(^{-1}\) (Sintermann et al., 2014). It is striking that the NH\(_3\) volatilisation from the blossoms is much lower than that of TMA, implying that in the absence of another significant NH\(_3\) source (like agriculture), vegetation-related MA emissions might be an important pathway providing atmospheric bases available for NPF.

The relevance of MA emissions from flowering vegetation for NPF depends on the abundance and phenology of emitting species. One example is *Sorbus aucuparia* (Stein von
Kamienski, 1957a; Richardson, 1966), which is ubiquitous in almost the whole of Europe, including remote northern areas such as Iceland, Finland, and northern Russia. Its habitats stretch south to the mountains of Morocco, over Asia Minor, and it has been introduced to North America. *Sorbus aucuparia* exhibits a very wide ecological and altitudinal amplitude. In central Europe, it flowers from May to early June. It is found in plant societies that also include *Crataegus monogyna* and *Mercurialis perennis* (Raspe et al., 2000) – both species are known as MA carriers (Table A1). *Crataegus monogyna* is commonly habituated growing in Europe and from North Africa to the Himalaya (Christensen, 1992), and has been introduced to America and Australia. *Mercurialis perennis* grows in many European regions from Norway to the Mediterranean, but also in North Africa and the Caucasus, from Iran to Russia and has been introduced to Australia (Jefferson, 2008). *Crataegus monogyna* flowers end of April and May (Gyan and Woodell, 1987). *Mercurialis perennis* (wind-pollinated, but with high foliage MA content) can emerge already in January and females remain aboveground until the first frost in autumn (Jefferson, 2008). These examples illustrate that already even just a handful of species could in principle cover extended periods and areas for MA emissions. However, most relevant high emission peaks from individual species will be constrained to a confined time period.

It is noteworthy that also the fruiting bodies of some common fungi have been found to contain elevated MA levels (Stein von Kamienski, 1958). Indeed, insects act as spore dispersal vectors for fungi, which can produce odours for pollinator attraction (Malloch and Blackwell, 1992). In MA containing fungi, Stein von Kamienski (1958) observe a notable increase of MAs when the fruiting bodies decay. Such a source for MA would probably be rather present in autumn. NH$_3$ exchange between the stomata of living plants and the atmosphere is of bi-directional nature. Dissolved NH$_3$ in the apoplast intercellular fluid is in equilibrium with the gas phase, characterised by the ratio $\Gamma = \text{NH}_4^+/\text{H}^+$ in solution (Massad et al., 2010b, a; Flechard et al., 2013). The equilibrium air concentration where no net exchange happens is the compensation point (Farquhar et al., 1983; Sutton et al., 1993). The aqueous to gas-phase equilibrium
depends on the dissociation and Henry’s law constants (Ge et al., 2011b). Note that the Henry’s law constants of MMA and DMA are in a similar range than that of NH$_3$, but the coefficient for TMA is about 6 times lower (Sander, 1999). The difference in the pK$_w$ value, compared to that of NH$_3$, does not compensate the lower solubility in the case of TMA, i.e. TMA partitions more towards the gas-phase than NH$_3$. If there were MAs present in the apoplast, stomatal exchange could contribute to emissions or uptake of MAs in a similar fashion than with NH$_3$. To our knowledge this has not yet been investigated.

In this contribution, we have focussed on MAs as the most abundant and simple airborne amines. It has to be kept in mind that volatile amines from vegetation sources comprise a much broader spectrum with identified species such as ethylamine, n-propylamine, isopropylamine, isobutylamine, isoamylamine, n-hexylamine (Stein von Kamienski, 1957a; Smith, 1971, 1975). Jürgens et al. (2013) note the possibility of convergent evolution of specific VOC emission patterns in angiosperms with chemical mimicry for insects seeking oviposition sites, i.e. mimicry involving odours of animal carrion, decaying plant material, herbivore dung and omnivore/carnivore faeces, respectively. They included only a couple of findings of TMA into their meta-analysis. However, they generally conclude that convergent evolution leads to the use of universal infochemicals which attract insects according to their olfactory adaptations. One can speculate how this suggests that amine-emitting species are more widely spread than the few existing measurements show.

### 3.2 Amines from organic matter and fungi

During the microbial decomposition of organic matter, volatile amines can be formed. Amino acid decarboxylation is featured in the soil (Yan et al., 1996) and, consequently, MAs and other amines are present in dissolved organic nitrogen derived from forest soils (Yu et al., 2002). The liberation of amines, including MMA, has been observed from bacterial cultures (Claeson, 2006). One example of a controlled and intensified way to degrade organic material is composting. In the headspace of composting facilities high concentrations of amines, especially TMA, have been found (Maris et al., 1999; Mao et al., 2006; Tsai et al., 2008). Emission
of NH₃ exchange between the stomata of living plants and the atmosphere is of bi-directional nature. Dissolved NH₃ in the apoplast intercellular fluid is in equilibrium with the gas phase, characterised by the ratio from senescent and decaying plant material has been described and corresponding $\Gamma = \frac{\text{NH}_4^+/\text{H}^+}{\text{solution}}$ (Massad et al., 2010b, a; Flechard et al., 2013). The equilibrium air concentration where no net exchange happens is the compensation point (Farquhar et al., 1983; Sutton et al., 1993). The aqueous to gas-phase equilibrium depends on the dissociation and Henry constants (Ge et al., 2011b). Note that the Henry coefficients of MMA and DMA are in a similar range than that of NH₃, but the coefficient for TMA is about 6 times lower (Sander, 1999). The difference in the $pK_a$ value, compared to that of NH₃, does not compensate the lower solubility in the case of TMA, i.e. TMA partitions more towards the gas-phase than NH₃. If there were MAs present in the apoplast, stomatal exchange could contribute to emissions or uptake of MAs in values have been evaluated (David et al., 2009; Mattsson et al., 2009; Massad et al., 2010a; Flechard et al., 2013). To which extent a similar fashion than with NH₃. To our knowledge this has not yet been mechanism also leads to MA emissions in the field needs to be investigated.

The decomposition of decaying organic matter, containing elevated levels of immediate precursor substances for MA, might be a special MA source. One example is choline, which can be efficiently converted to TMA by microbial action (Eddy, 1953; Bain et al., 2005; Craciun and Balskus, 2012). Choline is ubiquitous in plants, but occurs more concentrated in some tissue. Beechnuts of Fagus sylvatica, for instance, contain high amounts of choline (Krauze and Dziedzianowicz, 1959), and Robinia pseudoacacia, Acer platanoides, Acer pseudoplatanus yield high choline concentrations in phloem exudate (Kaiser and Ziegler, 1987). Betaines, which contain TMA, are widely distributed among higher plants with a couple of species accumulating betaines (Blunden et al., 2005). Polyamines with manifold physiological functions, such as putrescine, spermidine, and spermine, are highly abundant in plants (Moschou et al., 2012).

During the microbial decomposition of organic matter, volatile amines can be formed. Amino acid decarboxylation is featured in the soil (Yan et al., 1996) and,
consequently, MAs and other amines are present in dissolved organic nitrogen derived from forest soils (Yu et al., 2002). The liberation of amines, including MMA, has been observed from bacterial cultures (Claeson, 2006). One example of a controlled and intensified way to degrade organic material is composting. In the headspace of composting facilities high concentrations of amines, especially TMA, have been found (Maris et al., 1999; Mao et al., 2006; Tsai et al., 2008). Emission of NH$_3$ from senescent and decaying plant material has been described and corresponding $\Gamma$ values have been evaluated (David et al., 2009; Mattsson et al., 2009; Massad et al., 2010a; Flechard et al., 2013). To which extent a similar mechanism also leads to MA emissions in the field needs to be investigated. It is noteworthy that also the fruiting bodies of some common fungi have been found to contain elevated MA levels (Stein von Kamienski, 1958). Indeed, insects act as spore dispersal vectors for fungi, which can produce odours for pollinator attraction (Malloch and Blackwell, 1992). In MA containing fungi, Stein von Kamienski (1958) observe a notable increase of MAs when the fruiting bodies decay. Such a source for MA would probably be rather present in autumn.

All these processes are very little studied and their contribution as a source to gas phase amines is therefore highly uncertain. Emissions are likely very small, but potentially they could be of high importance due to the ubiquitous presence of mineralisation processes.

4 Context and conclusions

Contemplating very recent and quite long standing science, we have presented evidence that terrestrial vegetation, especially during flowering, could constitute an amine source that has the potential to unfold a notable effect on NPF. This kind of source most likely prevails during spring. Other vegetation-related amine emissions, such as those from non-flowering plants, fungi, or and decomposing organic matter probably exhibit a wider amplitude during the year with a concentration towards probability to intensify towards the autumn. In Scandinavian boreal forests, NPF is a frequent phe-
nomenon occurring approximately during 25 to 50% of all days. These characteristics ex-
hbit two peaks: one more pronounced during March to May/June and another smaller max-
imum around September (Dal Maso et al., 2005, 2007; Kulmala et al., 2012). Biogenic VOC
emissions and the corresponding oxidation of terpenoids are thought to influence this pat-
tern (Kulmala et al., 2004). Advection of clean air exhibiting a small condensation and coag-
ulation sink favours NPF events (Dal Maso et al., 2007), which underlines the importance of
the contribution of biogenic emission in remote areas. It currently remains speculative how
The role of biogenic amine emissions play a quantitative role in this story, but amines have
come to be considered a key element remains speculative; however, amines are considered
as key elements in the stabilisation of small clusters, which represents a bottleneck to NPF
which represents a bottleneck to NPF

Through the invention of the Haber-Bosch process in 1913, humans have greatly intensi-
ified the reactive nitrogen (\(N_r\)) cycle (Galloway et al., 2003) with an increase of the emissions
from 13 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in 1860 to 46 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in 1995 and a projection to 82 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in the
year 2050. Even more pronounced is the increase of the production of organic residues
from 25 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in 1850 to 125 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in 2000 (Holland et al., 2005). This increase is
reflected by an increase of the \(N_r\) deposition on the global scale, even in remote regions
(Dentener et al., 2006; Galloway et al., 2008). Since the growth of plants is N-limited in many
pristine ecosystems (Vitousek et al., 2002), it has to be assumed that the enhanced N-
deposition has caused an increased biomass turnover. It is difficult, however, to determine
if and how vegetation-related MA emissions change by such a process due to increased \(N_r\).
In principle, accelerated amine formation from decaying organic matter (Sect. 3.2) might
co-vary with an accelerated biomass turnover. Large-scale land use change has fundamen-
tally altered vegetation patterns (Lepers et al., 2005; Hansen et al., 2010; Klein Goldewijk
et al., 2011). Human-induced Climate Change can shift vegetation composition, and climate
warming affects plant phenology (IPCC, 2014). It is reasonable to expect that such global
changes have altered and will continue to impact vegetation-related amine emissions and
their potential contribution to NPF. For example, warmer temperatures would shift dissolved
amines towards the gas phase with an increased emission potential at liquid-air interfaces.
Also, turnover rates of organic matter could be accelerated by higher temperatures. They might also be altered by drought and changes in precipitation. Earlier flowering would change emission seasonality, and vegetation composition change might introduce, enrich or deplete amine emitting species.

Large knowledge gaps concerning vegetation-related emissions of volatile amines exist. The present discussion illustrates the potential of such emissions for a significant contribution to atmospheric amine concentrations and makes the link towards their relevance for NPF. Here, we have shown that amines and NPF have become more present in current scientific publications. So far, field studies based on measurement techniques with sufficient accuracy, precision, and selectivity to resolve the extremely low ambient concentrations at which amines and NPF begin to interact are almost non-existent (see also Sipilä et al., 2015), but we hope that the number of corresponding field experiments will increase. Also, a growing amount of detailed laboratory experiments dedicated to investigate the interaction between amines and NPF have been conducted in recent years (e.g. at the CERN CLOUD chamber). New measurement techniques will lead to a better characterisation of airborne amines and their dynamic behaviour at a limited number of sites. Such techniques include on-line systems with high selectivity and high sensitivity (like TOF- and AP-CIMS, e.g. Sipilä et al. (2015)) and new developments in ion-chromatography (e.g. Hemmilä et al., 2015). Ambient measurements face the challenges of very low concentration levels, distinction between gas- and particulate phase, limited measurement time resolution because of the stickiness of the molecules. Another limitation to an experimental assessment of vegetation-related amine emissions and their atmospheric chemistry and transport is the heterogeneity of sources, resulting in very variable emissions over space and time. This makes a confined quantification very uncertain and not feasible beyond the character of local to regional studies. Presently, the issue whether the emissions of amines by terrestrial vegetation indeed do have an important impact on NPF and associated alteration of global radiative forcing poses an open research question.
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Appendix: Figure 1: Methods

Twigs with flowering blossoms (*Crataegus laevigata*: approximately 45 blossoms, *Cornus sanguinea*: 5 umbels) were freshly cut from the plant and enclosed in a small dynamic chamber as used by Kuhn et al. (2011), purged with 0.9 nL lab air with concentrations of approximately $0 \pm 0.75$ ppb TMA and $< 7 \pm 1$ ppb NH$_3$, respectively. The outflow was sampled into a HT-CIMS (modified Ionicon PTR-MS in O$_2$ mode as used by Kuhn et al., 2011; Sintermann et al., 2011, 2014) for online analysis of NH$_3$ and TMA. Calibration factors similar to those used by Sintermann et al. (2014) were applied. Here, the uncertainty range was approximated from an estimated systematic uncertainty of $\pm 20\%$ in the calibration factors, and considering an additional potential $m/z$ 58–$m/z$ 59 interference. Emissions were calculated according to Pape et al. (2009). The given range reflects the estimated minimum and maximum systematic uncertainty. Fractionation of higher-weight compounds to $m/z$ 58, and thus an undiscovered measurement bias, cannot entirely be ruled out. However, we are not aware of such a measurement artefact and generally, the HT-CIMS $m/z$ 58 compared reasonably well to the highly specific results of a PTR-time-of-flight-MS during a measurement campaign in animal housing environments (Sintermann et al., 2014).
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Table A1. Literature compilation of MA-enriched species (for another list see Duke and Williams, 1977). Bouchereau et al. (2000) discuss possible measurement uncertainties of amines in plant materials. Some of the older measurements listed in this table might be uncertain due to measurement artefacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Investigated</th>
<th>MMA</th>
<th>DMA</th>
<th>TMA</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthurium muellerianus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthurium muellerianus var. aseroiformis</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Steiner (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arum dioecosporus</td>
<td>condensate in headspace</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith and Meeuse (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arum italicum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arum italicum</td>
<td>condensate in headspace</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith and Meeuse (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arum maculatum</td>
<td>spadix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Klein and Steiner (1928); Stein von Kaminski (1957a); Wink and Hartmann (1981), but contradicting results: see Kite (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atropa belladona</td>
<td>leave and blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boletus appendiculatus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boletus edulis</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958); Steiner (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castanea sativa</td>
<td>blossom odour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Porsch (1950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaerophyllum aromaticum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium vulvaria</td>
<td>leave tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a); Cromwell and Richards (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium vulvaria</td>
<td>headspace condensate</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wicke (1862)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clathrus ruber</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958); Steiner (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claviceps purpurea</td>
<td>sclerotium</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conium maculatum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus sanguinea</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus curvisepala</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus douglasii</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus lucida</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus insperata</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus jozana</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus laevigata</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus mollis</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus monogyna</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus spinulosida</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delphinium consolida</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermocybe (Cortinarius) cinnamomea</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dranunculus vulgaris</td>
<td>headspace condensate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Smith and Meeuse (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotrichum candidum</td>
<td>arthrospores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Maheshwari (2011) and references therein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingko bilboa</td>
<td>fruit tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heracleum sphondylium</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrangea rivieri</td>
<td>headspace condensate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith and Meeuse (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris germanica</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactarius deliciosus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactarius helvus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactarius vellereus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptota clypeolalia</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lilium candidum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lilium martagon</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobaria laetevires</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Smith (1975) and references therein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercurialis annua</td>
<td>leave tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercurialis perennis</td>
<td>leave tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a); Steiner et al. (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musa sapientum</td>
<td>fruit tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith (1975) and references therein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multinus caninus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana longiflora</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana paniculata</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana rustica</td>
<td>leave and blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana sanderae</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>leave and blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuphar luteum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kaminski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Investigated</th>
<th>MMA</th>
<th>DMA</th>
<th>TMA</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phallus impudicus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>Steiner (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphus lemoineii</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pholiota mutabilis</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirus communis</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus serotina</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula alutacea</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula aurata</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula azurea</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula cyanoxantha</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula delica</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula foetens</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula grisea</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula lepida</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula maculata</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula nigricans</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula ochroleuca</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula olivacea</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula pseudodelica</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula sardonia</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula turci</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula vesca</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula violeipes</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula xerampelina var. barlae</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula xerampelina var. rubus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus niger</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauromatum guttatum</td>
<td>headspace condensate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith and Meuse (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleroderma vulgaria</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbaria sorbilolia</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus aucuparia</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splachnum ovatum</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Steiner (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylaeae colchica</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistca fuliginosa</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistca limbata</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith (1975) and references therein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistca sylvatica</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetraplodon urceolatus</td>
<td>tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Steiner (1966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalictrum flavum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thea sinensis</td>
<td>shoot tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suzuki (1973) (MMA as intermediate in amino acid metabolism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilletia tritici</td>
<td>infested ear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachypus versipellis</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veratrum nigrum</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veratrum opulus</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum opulus</td>
<td>blossom tissue</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1957a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xerocomus subtomentosus</td>
<td>fruiting body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Stein von Kamienski (1958)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Cumulated TMA and NH$_3$ emissions from blossoms of (a) _Crataegus laevigata_ (approximately 45 blossoms) and (b) _Cornus sanguinea_ (5 umbels), determined by dynamic chamber measurements (methods: see Appendix).