Storage and transformation of organic matter fractions in cryoturbated permafrost soils across the Siberian Arctic
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Abstract

In permafrost soils, the temperature regime and the resulting cryogenic processes are decisive for the storage of organic carbon (OC) and its small-scale spatial variability. For cryoturbated soils there is a lack in the assessment of pedon-scale heterogeneity in OC stocks and the transformation of functionally different organic matter (OM) fractions such as particulate and mineral-associated OM. Therefore, pedons of 28 Turbels across the Siberian Arctic were sampled in five meter wide soil trenches in order to calculate OC and total nitrogen (TN) stocks within the active layer and the upper permafrost based on digital profile mapping. Density fractionation of soil samples was performed to distinguish particulate OM (light fraction, LF, \(<1.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\)) mineral associated OM (heavy fraction, HF, \(>1.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\)), and a mobilizable dissolved pool (mobilizable fraction, MoF). Mineral-organic associations were characterized by selective extraction of pedogenic Fe and Al oxides and the clay composition was analyzed by X-ray diffraction. Organic matter transformation in bulk soil and density fractions was assessed by the stable carbon isotope ratio (\(\delta^{13}\text{C}\)) and element contents (C and N).

Across all investigated soil profiles, total OC stocks were calculated to 20.2 ± 8.0 kg m\(^{-2}\) (mean ± SD) to 100 cm soil depth. Of this average, 54 % of the OC was located in active layer horizons (annual summer thawing layer) showing evidence of cryoturbation, and another 35 % was present in the permafrost. The HF-OC dominated the overall OC stocks (55 %) followed by LF-OC (19 % in mineral and 13 % in organic horizons). During fractionation about 13 % of the OC was released as MoF, which likely represents the most bioavailable OM pool. Cryogenic activity combined with an impaired biodegradation in topsoil horizons (O and A horizons) were the principle mechanisms to sequester large OC stocks in the subsoil (16.4 ± 8.1 kg m\(^{-2}\); all mineral B, C, and permafrost horizons). About 22 % of the subsoil OC stock can be attributed to LF material subducted by cryoturbation, whereas migration of soluble OM along freezing gradients appeared as principle source for the dominating HF (63 %) in the subsoil. The large proportion of MoF (15 %) in the subsoil suggests a pool of weaker mineral-organic associa-
ations as result of the low acidity and presence of basic cations, reductive dissolution of Fe(III) oxides, and the frequent freezing-thawing cycles. Despite the unfavourable abiotic conditions, substantial microbial OM transformation in the subsoil was indicated by low C/N ratios and high $\delta^{13}$C values but this was not reflected in altered LF and HF pool sizes. Partial least square regression analyses suggest that OC accumulates in the HF fraction due to coprecipitation with multivalent cations (Al, Fe) and association with poorly crystalline Fe oxides and clay minerals. Our data show that across all permafrost pedons, mineral-associated OM represents the most important OM fraction but the reactivity of this pool under changing future environmental conditions warrants further attention.

1 Introduction

The storage and turnover of organic matter (OM) in arctic soils has received broad interest given the potential of permafrost environments to accelerate climate forces (Schaefer et al., 2011; UNEP, 2012). Earth history records have linked past extreme warming events to permafrost thaw and the release of greenhouse gasses from decomposing, previously frozen OM (DeConto et al., 2012). Similar signals for the onset of changing environmental conditions in these regions have been recently observed and include the degradation of continuous permafrost (Smith et al., 2005), an increase of active layer depth (the annual thawing layer), and rising permafrost temperatures (Fountain et al., 2012). Such changes will strongly affect all pedogenetic processes, including mineral weathering and OM cycling.

Alongside peat formation, cryoturbation is the major soil forming process in permafrost-affected soils and primarily responsible for the distribution of OM within soil (Bockheim and Tarnocai, 1998). The principle mechanisms of cryopedogenic processes are based on frequent freezing-thawing cycles in combination with moisture migration along a thermal gradient (Bockheim et al., 1997). Cryoturbation leads to irregular or broken soil horizons as well as involutions and subduction of organic rich...
materials from near surface horizons to the subsoil. Pockets of topsoil (O and A horizons) material are incorporated into deeper mineral soil, including the upper part of the permafrost. Radiocarbon ages of several thousand years demonstrate that OM decomposition is hampered in cryoturbated materials as a result of the unfavourable abiotic conditions in deeper soil layers (Bockheim, 2007; Hugelius et al., 2010; Kaiser et al., 2007). Low and, for most of the year, sub-zero soil temperatures and frequent waterlogging during the short unfrozen period enable otherwise labile OM compounds to be preserved in the subsoil (Kaiser et al., 2007). Across the entire northern circumpolar permafrost region, ~400 Pg OC and ~16 Pg nitrogen (N) is estimated to be stored in cryoturbated soils horizons alone (Harden et al., 2012).

Increasing subsoil temperatures, longer frost free periods and permafrost thaw, might enhance the degradation of this preserved OM (Schuur et al., 2008). As microbial decomposition is more temperature sensitive than primary production processes (Davidson and Janssens, 2006), this may generate a positive feedback of greenhouse gas emissions from permafrost areas to climate warming (Koven et al., 2011; Ping et al., 2014; Schuur et al., 2013; Schuur and Abbott, 2011). Recent concepts consider the persistence of soil OM as ecosystem property, primarily controlled by physicochemical and biological conditions rather than its molecular structure (Schmidt et al., 2011). Therefore, the magnitude of greenhouse gas emissions from permafrost regions depends not only on changes in soil environmental conditions, but also on the contribution of different functional OM fractions, the operating protection mechanisms as well as inherent kinetic properties. For temperate soils it has been shown that interaction with mineral surfaces and metal ions as well as physical stabilization by occlusion in soil aggregates protect OM against decomposition (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2008; Lützow et al., 2006). Only few studies investigated different OM fractions in permafrost soils, and those mostly relied on a selected number of soil profiles (Dutta et al., 2006; Gundelwein et al., 2007; Höfle et al., 2013). Hence, data about pool sizes of different OM fractions such as mineral- or metal-associated OM vs. particulate OM (largely plant debris) on a larger spatial scale are still missing. Moving forward in understand-
ing high-latitude soil OM cycling requires an integration of studies that aim to upscale OC and TN stocks to the landscape and regional levels (Hugelius et al., 2014; Kuhry et al., 2010; Palmtag et al., 2015; Tarnocai et al., 2009) with more process-oriented pedon-scale studies.

This study therefore aims at, first, quantification of OC and TN stocks in permafrost soils along a longitudinal gradient in the Siberian Arctic with particular emphasis on the spatial distribution of cryoturbated topsoil material. Second, by using density fractionation in combination with stable isotope ($^{13}$C) analyses, we investigated the storage and transformation of OC in three different OM classes, i.e., potentially mobilizable dissolved OM (released by density solution), particulate and mineral-associated OM. Third, we investigated the relevance of mineral properties for the accumulation of OC in permafrost soils. Therefore, 28 soil pits located under tundra vegetation in western, central, and eastern Siberia were sampled and cryogenic features were mapped in each pedon over a distance of 5 m within the active layer. From that, we derived precise information about pedon-scale distribution and total storage of soil OC and TN. The mineralogical assemblage of the soils (clay mineral and metal oxide composition) was characterized by X-ray diffraction and selective extractions. The importance of mineral-organic associations for the accumulation of OC in the permafrost soils was assessed using multivariate statistical analyses to relate mineralogical properties to the quantity of mineral-associated OC.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area and soil sampling

Soil samples were collected from nine sites on continuous permafrost in the Siberian Arctic (Fig. 1). The sampling sites were selected in different tundra types (Table 1 and detailed site description in the Supplement) in West (Tazowskiiy; TZ), Central (Ari-Mas; AM, Logata, LG), and East Siberia (Cherskiy, CH). For comparability, all sampling
sites were chosen on plain areas. Soil profiles were excavated at least in three field 
replicates (28 profiles in total) as 5 m × 1 m wide trenches extending down to the per-
mafrost table. The large dimension of the profiles provided a representative cross sec-
tion through micro-topographic features (hummocks, patterned ground) and cryotur-
bation patterns. Soils were described according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). A schematic overview of soil diagnostics and the terminology is summarized in 
Fig. 2.

Diagnostic horizons, including subducted topsoil material were sampled at various 
positions within the soil profile. Permafrost horizons were cored (up to 30–40 cm depth 
below the permafrost table) with a steel pipe at two positions in each profile: one directly 
underneath a hummock and the other one in between the hummocks. Directly after 
sampling, living roots and animals were removed and the samples were sieved to < 
2 mm. An aliquot of the samples was then air dried for the transport to the laboratory. 
Samples for the determination of bulk density (BD) were collected in triplicates over the 
5 m profile in all diagnostic soil horizons using a 100 cm$^3$ core cutter. Organic horizons 
were cut in dimensional blocks and measured by length, width, and height. All BD 
samples were dried at 105°C and BD was determined gravimetrically. In thin horizons 
where it was impossible to extract a proper soil core, the BD of the surrounding mineral 
horizon was adopted and corrected for the respective OM content using the equation 
described by Rawls (1983).

2.2 Soil chemistry and mineralogy

Soil pH was measured in suspension with H$_2$O$_{deion}$ at a soil-to-solution ratio of 1 : 2.5. 
Exchangeable cations were extracted with Mehlich 3 solution (detailed methodology 
see Carter and Gregorich, 2008) and measured by inductively coupled plasma optical 
emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES; Varian 725-ES, Palo Alto, California). The effective 
cation exchange capacity (CEC$_{eff}$) was calculated as the sum of exchangeable cations 
(Ca, Mg, K, Na, Al, Fe, and Mn) and the base saturation (BS) is expressed as the 
percentage of the basic cations (Ca, Mg, K and Na) to CEC$_{eff}$.
Soil texture was analyzed by the sieve-pipette method according to DIN ISO 11277 (2002) after OM oxidation with 30 wt% hydrogen peroxide and dispersion of residual soil aggregates in 0.05 M sodium pyrophosphate. Iron and Al fractions in bulk soils were analyzed using 0.2 M ammonium oxalate (pH 2) and sodium dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate (McKeague and Day, 1966). Oxalate-soluble Fe and Al (Fe\textsubscript{o}, Al\textsubscript{o}), represent poorly crystalline aluminosilicates, Fe oxides like ferrihydrite, and organically complexed Fe. Sodium dithionite dissolves all pedogenic oxides (Fe\textsubscript{d}) and thus, represent the total amount of poorly crystalline and crystalline Fe oxides such as goethite, hematite, and ferrihydrite (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). According to Eusterhues et al. (2008) and Lutwick and Dormaar (1973) sodium pyrophosphate (0.1 M; pH 10) was used to extract organically complexed Fe and Al from the heavy soil fractions (see 2.4). To avoid the mobilization of colloids (Parfitt and Childs, 1988), the extracts were ultracentrifuged at 300,000 \times g for 6 h. All extracts were measured for Fe and Al by ICP-OES (Varian 725-ES, Palo Alto, California). The activity index Fe\textsubscript{o}/Fe\textsubscript{d} represent the proportion of poorly crystalline Fe oxides (e.g., ferrihydrite) to the total free Fe (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). The proportion of well crystalline Fe oxides can be described by the term Fe\textsubscript{d}–Fe\textsubscript{o}, whereas Fe\textsubscript{o}–Fe\textsubscript{p} exclusively comprises the proportion of less crystalline Fe forms. The term (Fe\textsubscript{p} + Al\textsubscript{p})/(Fe\textsubscript{d} + Al\textsubscript{d}) is used to estimate the proportion of Fe and Al associated with OM (Earl-Goulet et al., 1998).

Clay sized minerals (< 63 µm) were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. Organic matter and Fe oxides were removed by treatment with 6 wt% Na hypochlorite (Moore and Reynolds, 1997) and sodium dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate, respectively. The clay fraction was isolated by sedimentation in Atterberg cylinders according to Stoke’s law and saturated with either K\textsuperscript{+} or Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (Moore and Reynolds, 1997). Oriented clay specimens were prepared by drying the clay suspension onto glass slide mounts. The samples were scanned between 1 and 32° \theta with 0.05° 2\theta increment using a Kristalloflex D-500 spectrometer (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany). XRD scans were recorded for the following treatments: K saturation, K saturation with heating to
550 °C, Mg saturation, and Mg saturation with ethylene glycol treatment (Moore and Reynolds, 1997).

2.3 Soil fractionation and OC and TN determination

Mineral soil horizons were fractionated by density according to Golchin et al. (1994) with some modifications. The light fraction OM (LF, < 1.6 g cm\(^{-3}\)) was separated from the heavy fraction (HF, > 1.6 g cm\(^{-3}\)) by floating in sodium polytungstate and soil aggregates were destroyed by sonication (details see Supplement). During washing of both fractions, considerable amounts of OM were mobilized. This “mobilizable fraction” (MoF) was collected separately, passed through syringe filters (PVDF, < 0.45 µm) and analyzed for dissolved OC (LiquiTOC, Elementar, Hanau, Germany). The LF was imaged by laser scanning microscope (Keyence VK-9700, Osaka, Japan) and scanning electron microscope images (FEI Quanta 200 FEG, Oregon, USA) were produced from LF and HF additionally.

Organic C and TN concentrations and the \(^{13}\)C isotope content of bulk soils as well as of the HF and LF fractions were measured in duplicates using an Elementar IsoPrime 100 IRMS (IsoPrime Ltd., Cheadle Hulme, UK) coupled to an Elementar vario MICRO cube EA C/N analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Before measurements, samples containing traces of carbonates were exposed to acid fumigation (Harris et al., 2001). Isotope values are expressed in the delta notation relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) standard (Hut, 1987).

Calculation of OC and TN stocks of the cryoturbated soils has been done using the sketch-based method described in Michaelson et al. (2001). Based on photo images taken during field excursions referenced by scaled drawings, detailed digital maps of soil horizons were generated using AutoCAT 2010 (Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, USA). From these maps, the horizon area \((A)\) of a certain diagnostic horizon was calculated as sum of the individual shapes (Figs. 3 and S7). Organic C and TN stocks per designated horizon were calculated by Eq. (1) down to 100 cm soil depth, where \(n\) is the number
of designated horizons. Finally, the stocks were related to 1 m² soil surface.

\[ OC_{\text{stock}}(\text{kg m}^{-2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{BD}(\text{g cm}^{-3}) \times \text{OC}(\%) \times A(\text{m}^2) \times 10 \]  

(1)

### 2.4 Statistic analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 21 (IBM, Armonk, United States). All variables were tested for normal distribution and log transformed when required. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to describe linear relationships between parameters. The influence of soil horizons and sampling location on individual parameters, e.g., element contents or isotopic ratios, were analyzed using one-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Posthoc-tests following ANOVA (Tukey's HSD) were conducted to identify subsets of sites or horizons being discriminated by a probability level of \( p < 0.05 \). Interactions of OC with soil mineral parameters were studied with partial least squares regression (PLSR) analysis (details see Supplement). Note that for statistical analyses, the few Ojj horizons were combined with the Ajj horizons.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Soil characteristics and morphology

All soils were classified in the Aquiturbel greatgroup (Soil Survey Staff, 2010) or characterized as cryohydromorphic soils (Sokolov et al., 2002) with aquic soil conditions being present in all soil profiles. In the upper 10–20 cm of the mineral soil, redoximorphic features were indicated by redox depletion and mottling (zones of Munsell soil value \( \geq 4 \) and chroma \( < 4 \)). Towards the permafrost surface, the soils showed strong reducing conditions with low value \( (\leq 4) \) and low chroma \( (2) \) and frequently a colour hue of 5 G to 10 BG. All soil profiles showed strong signs of cryoturbation by disrupted
horizons or subducted OM pockets involutions or tongues (Figs. 3 and S7). Note, since samples from the permafrost were received by coring, the morphology of subducted topsoil materials could not be traced in the frozen parts of the profiles (e.g. Ajjff). Nevertheless, many profiles of central and eastern Siberia (profiles CH D-I, AM A-C, LG D; Fig. S7) contain a zone 20 cm above the permafrost table and within the upper 10 cm of the permafrost where enrichment of OC was measured (see Sect. 3.3). This so called “transient layer” (Fig. 2) depends on decadal climate fluctuations (French and Shur, 2010) and showed pronounced signs of Fe reduction. For data evaluation, the following five horizon groups were distinguished: organic topsoil horizons (Oa, Oe, Oi), mineral topsoil horizons (A, AB), cryoturbated OM-rich pockets in the subsoil (Ajj, Ojj, referred to as “subducted topsoil”), mineral subsoil horizons (BCg, BC, Cg, often showed signs of cryoturbation with the suffix jj), and permafrost horizons (commonly designate as Cf, Cff, but partly incorporate subducted topsoil materials).

The soils were loamy, clayey, or fine silty with absence of coarse materials and partly thixotropic. Only in eastern Siberia at profile CH-H, rock fragments from the near-surface bedrock were incorporated in the soil profile. The CH soils were all dominated by silt (Fig. S2) being indicative for an aeolian origin of the parent material. On Taimyr Peninsula (central Siberian sites), the soils were rich in silt and clay (silty clay loam) at LG but more sandy (sandy loam) at AM. Vertical texture differences (fine silty to coarse loamy) in TZ were indicative for changing sedimentation conditions of the parent material and less cryogenic mixing in the deeper soil. Clay content increased in the order AM (12 ± 4 %), TZ (20 ± 10 %), CH (21 ± 8 %), and LG (27 ± 6 %).

The active layer depth in CH and Taymyr soils varied from 30 to 90 cm, depending on the thickness of the organic topsoil and position. Small scale variability in the thickness and the insulating effect of the organic associated with patterned ground formation (Ping et al., 2008) often caused a wavy upper boundary of the permafrost surface (Fig. 3). In contrast, the permafrost table of the TZ soil profiles was smooth and considerably deeper (100–150 cm). The surface morphology and horizon boundary of these soil layers were plane and less disturbed by cryoturbation (Fig. S7).
permafrost (30–40 cm) was recorded as dry permafrost (Cff) containing little vain ice and no massive ice bodies.

3.2 Chemical soil parameters and mineral composition

Topsoil pH ranged from strongly acid in organic topsoil to slightly acid in mineral topsoil horizons (Table S1). Subsoil pH increased with soil depth from slightly acid in the upper active layer to neutral or moderately alkaline within permafrost horizons. The CECeff was larger only in the LG soils (Tukey’s HSD, \( p < 0.001 \)) with an interquartile range from 20 to 34 cmol_c kg\(^{-1}\) across all sites (Table S1), and no difference between soil horizons was evident. The BS varied from 33 to 88 % and was dominated at all sites by Ca\(^{2+}\) (from 17 to 64 % of CECeff) and Mg\(^{2+}\) (from 8 to 33 % of CECeff). Tukey’s HSD indicated increasing BS in the order CH < TZ < AM < LG and rising values towards the permafrost. Concurrently, exchangeable acid cations such as Al\(^{3+}\) (contributing from 11 to 64 % to CECeff) showed significant smaller values at AM and LG as compared to TZ and CH (Tukey’s HSD, \( p < 0.001 \)) and decreased with soil depth only at the latter sites.

In soils of the CH sites, the clay fraction was composed of illite, vermiculite, kaolinite, and mixed-layer clays with an increasing abundance of smectite clays towards the permafrost table (Fig. S4). Primary minerals such as quartz and traces of feldspars were also detected in all samples. Smectite minerals clearly dominated the clay fractions in central and western Siberian soils (Figs. S3 and S4). In addition, soils from AM contained illite, vermiculite, and kaolinite. The LG and TZ samples showed somewhat higher peak intensities for illite and kaolinite, and abundance of chlorite instead of vermiculite. The intensity of smectite signals increased strongly in the permafrost table at TZ whereas chlorite was enriched in the upper active layer.

Pedogenic Fe and Al in the CH soils were already presented in Gittel et al. (2014) and Gentsch et al. (2015). Dithionite-extractable Fe ranged from 1.7 to 26.4 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Table S2), and all sampling sites showed significant differences to each other (two-way ANOVA, \( F_{(3,127)} = 113.7, p < 0.001 \)) but no variations with soil depth (\( F_{(3,127)} = 1.0, p = \) 2708
0.38). Oxalate-extractable Fe (0.7 to 26.4 g kg\(^{-1}\)) and Al (0.02 to 5.0 g kg\(^{-1}\)) varied significantly between sites and soil horizons (two-way ANOVA, \(F_{Fe(9,128)} = 2.7, p < 0.005, F_{Al(9,128)} = 14.3, p < 0.001\)). The largest contents of Fe\(_d\), Fe\(_o\), and Al\(_o\) were found in the CH soils and decreased in the order LG, TZ, and AM. As overall trend, Tukey’s HSD indicated a significant enrichment of Fe\(_o\) and Al\(_o\) in subducted topsoil materials compared to the surrounding horizons \((p < 0.05)\).

The concentrations of Fe in well crystalline oxides ranged from 0.8 to 6.0 g kg\(^{-1}\) and were largest at CH (Table S2). The smallest amounts were observed in subducted topsoil \((1.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ g kg}\(^{-1}\)) but no clear differences appeared between the topsoil, subsoil \((B/C)\), and the permafrost horizons. Concurrently, the activity index Fe\(_o\)/Fe\(_d\) varied from 0.4 to 1.0 across soil horizons and sites with the highest values in subducted topsoils. Pyrophosphate-extractable Fe and Al ranged from 0.04 to 10.03 g kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.01 to 2.91 g kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. The highest concentrations were found at CH and LG, and subducted topsoils were significantly enriched (up to 7-fold) compared to surrounding subsoils (two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD, \(p_{Fe} < 0.001, p_{Al} < 0.01\); Table S2). Based on the \((Fe_p + Al_p)/(Fe_d + Al_d)\) ratios it appears that 5–14 % of the extractable forms of Fe and Al in the subsoil was complexed by OM. Due to the larger OC contents, this proportion was somewhat higher in the topsoil (8 to 25 %) and the subducted topsoil horizons (17 to 33 %).

3.3 Organic carbon and total nitrogen storage and stable \(^{13}\)C isotopic composition of the bulk soil

The average OC and TN concentrations (Table S3) did not vary significantly across the four study areas for O and A horizons (Tukey’s HSD, \(p > 0.05\)). Note, part of the bulk OC and TN concentrations have been reported elsewhere (Gentsch et al., 2015; Gittel et al., 2014; Schnecker et al., 2014; Wild et al., 2013). Subducted topsoil horizons revealed twice as much OC and TN at CH and LG when compared to AM and TZ (Table S3). For B/C horizons, OC concentrations were significantly larger at CH, AM,
and LG exceeding those at TZ soils by up to five times (Tukey’s HSD, $p < 0.05$). This difference increased to factors of 8 to 11 in the permafrost horizons (Table S3).

The OC stocks to one meter soil depth ranged from 6.5 to 36.4 kg m$^{-2}$, with a mean value across all soils of 20.2 ± 8.0 kg m$^{-2}$ (Table 2). The soils in eastern (CH: 24.0 ± 6.7 kg m$^{-2}$) and central Siberia (AM: 21.1 ± 5.4 kg m$^{-2}$ and LG: 24.4 ± 7.0 kg m$^{-2}$) contained about twice as much OC as those sampled in western Siberia (TZ: 10.8 ± 4.3 kg m$^{-2}$). On average, 2.6 ± 2.4 kg OC m$^{-2}$ or 13% of the total OC was stored in the organic topsoil. The amount of OC stored in the mineral active layer was 11.5 ± 3.8 kg m$^{-2}$ (57%), of which 3.5 ± 2.5 kg m$^{-2}$ (18%) was located in subducted topsoil materials. The proportion of soil OC located in active layer horizons with signs of cryoturbation including B and C horizons ranged from 33 to 83% with an average of 54%. All mineral subsoil horizons including permafrost stored 16.4 ± 8.1 kg OC m$^{-2}$ (81% of the total soil OC). Within the first soil meter, the eastern and central Siberian soils stored 8.1 ± 5.5 kg OC m$^{-2}$ (35%) in the upper permafrost. Due to the large active layer thickness in the western Siberian soils, no OC was located in the permafrost within the examined soil depth.

The $\delta^{13}C$ ratios of soil OC (Fig. 4) showed significant differences between sites and genetic horizons, representing incrementing soil depth categories (two-way ANOVA, $F_{(12,324)} = 4.4$, $p < 0.001$). Overall, bulk OC showed increasing $\delta^{13}C$ ratios from eastern to western Siberia with no difference between the two central Siberian sites. The $\delta^{13}C$ values generally increased with soil depth (O < A, Ajj/Ojj < B/CF < Cff; Tukey’s HSD, $p < 0.05$) and no difference was observed between topsoils and subducted topsoil horizons (Tukey’s HSD, $p = 0.99$). Concurrently, C/N ratios decreased with soil depth (Fig. 4; ANOVA, $F_{(4,333)} = 81.9$, $p < 0.001$) with no differences between topsoil horizons and subducted topsoils (Tukey’s HSD, $p = 1$) as well as between B/CF horizons and the upper permafrost layer (Tukey’s HSD, $p = 1$).

In correspondence to OC storage, TN stocks of the bulk soil increased from 0.8 ± 1.4 kg m$^{-2}$ in TZ to 1.3 ± 0.3 and 1.7 ± 0.3 kg m$^{-2}$ in AM and LG, and 1.8 ± 0.4 kg m$^{-2}$ in CH, with an average of 1.4 ± 0.5 kg TN m$^{-2}$ across all soils (Table 2). On average,
0.1 ± 0.1 kg TN m\(^{-2}\) (7\%) was stored in the organic topsoil, and the amount of TN stored in the mineral active layer was 0.9 ± 0.2 kg m\(^{-2}\) (61\%) of which 0.2 ± 0.1 kg m\(^{-2}\) (15\%) was located in subducted topsoils. In the eastern and central Siberian soils 0.5 ± 0.4 kg TN m\(^{-2}\) (32\%) were found in the permafrost layer.

### 3.4 Organic carbon and total nitrogen storage in organic matter fractions

At AM, LG, and CH, the relative proportion of LF-OC to the bulk OC increased from 24\% in topsoil to 30\% in subducted topsoil horizons (Table S3). The permafrost horizons stored relatively more OC in the LF than the overlying mineral subsoils (21 vs. 16\%). In contrast to that, in soils from TZ with the permafrost table at > 100 cm soil depth, the relative storage of LF-OC constantly decreased from topsoil (23\%) towards the permafrost (11\%).

When considering the organic topsoil and the different OM fractions in the mineral soil across all study sites (Table 2), the average storage of 20.2 ± 8.0 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) within 1 m soil depth can be separated into the following fractions: organic topsoil 2.6 ± 2.4 kg m\(^{-2}\) (13\%), LF 3.8 ± 2.3 kg m\(^{-2}\) (19\%), HF 11.1 ± 5.0 kg m\(^{-2}\) (55\%), and MoF 2.7 ± 1.8 kg m\(^{-2}\) (13\%). The contribution of the individual fractions to total stocks was quite constant between all profiles with no major deviation from the mean percentage of HF (ANOVA, \(F_{(3,24)} = 0.98, p = 0.42\)) and MoF (ANOVA, \(F_{(3,24)} = 1.16, p = 0.35\)). Only the AM soils relatively contained on average 47\% more LF-OC than the other sites (ANOVA, \(F_{(3,24)} = 6.63, p < 0.01\)). This larger value was primarily due to a higher LF storage in subducted topsoil (Table 2). All mineral subsoil horizons including permafrost stored on average 3.6 ± 2.3 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) as LF, 10.3 ± 4.9 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) as HF, and 2.6 ± 1.8 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) as MoF, corresponding to a contribution of 22, 63, and 15\% of the total subsoil OC. Remarkably, at AM and LG up to three times more particulate OM was located in the subsoil as LF-OC than was found as LF-OC in the mineral topsoil and in the organic topsoil together. The permafrost horizons at CH, AM and LG stored on average 1.8 ± 1.9 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) as LF, 5.0 ± 3.1 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) as HF, and 1.3 ± 1.3 kg OC m\(^{-2}\)
as MoF, which contributes 40, 38, and 41 % of the individual fraction within the whole soil.

The distribution of TN between the fractions shifted slightly towards the mineral-associated fraction. Given the average storage of $1.41 \pm 0.51 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ in the bulk soil, only $0.10 \pm 0.10 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ (7 %) was stored in the organic layers, $0.15 \pm 0.10 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ (10 %) was isolated as LF, whereas the HF contained $1.07 \pm 0.40 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ (76 %). The mobilized TN in the rinsing solutions could not be measured directly due to detector problems but was calculated based on mass balance. On average, $0.09 \pm 0.13 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ (6 %) of the total TN stocks was mobilized. The TN in all subsoil horizons was present as $0.14 \pm 0.10 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ LF, $1.01 \pm 0.39 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ HF and $0.08 \pm 0.04 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ MoF, which contributes 11, 82, and 7 % of the total subsoil stocks. The permafrost horizons at CH, AM and LG stored on average $0.08 \pm 0.08 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ in the LF, $0.49 \pm 0.29 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ as HF, and $0.03 \pm 0.07 \text{ kg TN m}^{-2}$ as MoF, which contributes 41, 40, and 29 % of the individual fraction within the whole soil.

### 3.5 Composition of LF and HF

The LF was primarily composed of discrete debris of plants and microorganisms. Confocal laser scanning microscope images show remnants of leaves, fine roots, wood, and bark from dwarf shrubs and hyphae of fungi (Fig. 5). The particle size of these materials is not necessarily related to depth. Coarse plant fragments (> 1 mm) were observed in whole soil profiles including the permafrost. Areas where the LF comprised rather well decomposed particles (< 1 mm) were either topsoils (Oa, Oe, OA) at the rim of hummocks to frost cracks or in subducted topsoils at various depths. In contrast to the heterogeneous LF particle size distribution in subducted topsoils, the LF in B and C horizons was very uniform and coarse fragments were missing. Scanning electron microscope images of the HF (Fig. S8a and b) showed that soil aggregates were largely disrupted after density treatment and that the LF floated properly in the SPT. The images also indicate amorphous structures which were associated with primary mineral particles of different sizes.
Compared to the HF, which showed closer C/N ratios and substantial enrichment in $^{13}$C by $(1.38 \pm 0.14\%$ in average), the C/N and $\delta^{13}$C ratios of the LF were closer to the ranges observed in organic topsoil and the plant residues from which they derived (Fig. 6). Tukey’s HSD indicated no difference in $\delta^{13}$C values of the LF and HF between central and eastern Siberian soils ($p_{LF} = 0.17$, $p_{HF} = 0.37$) but significant differences to the western Siberian soils ($p_{LF} < 0.001$, $p_{HF} < 0.001$). Here, the $\delta^{13}$C values of the LF and HF were on average $1.38 \pm 0.14$ and $1.04 \pm 0.14\%$, respectively, more positive than those in the central and eastern Siberian soils. This effect can be explained by the larger $^{13}$C content of the plants source at TZ, which had more positive $\delta^{13}$C values ($\Delta = 0.44$ to $2.55\%$) than at the central and eastern Siberian sites (Fig. S6). The $\delta^{13}$C values of the LF increased in the order A $<$ Ajj/Ojj $<$ B/C and Cff, with no difference among B/C and Cff horizons (Tukey’s HSD, $p = 0.98$). Further, Tukey’s HSD grouped two subsets of $\delta^{13}$C values for the HF. Less negative $\delta^{13}$C values were found in B/C together with the Cff horizons (Tukey’s HSD, $p = 0.98$) and more negative values were detected in A and Ajj/Ojj horizons (Tukey’s HSD, $p = 0.49$).

### 3.6 Organic matter in mineral-organic associations

Across all sampling sites the concentration of HF-OC was highly correlated with the concentration of Fe$_p$ ($r = 0.83$, $p < 0.001$) and Al$_p$ ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$), thus supporting the use of Fe$_p$ and Al$_p$ as indicators for organically complexed metals. In order to identify preferred interaction of OC with different mineral parameters (Fe$_d$–Fe$_o$, Fe$_o$–Fe$_p$, Al$_o$–Al$_p$, Fe$_p$, Al$_p$, clay– and clay+ silt sized minerals), we performed PLSR analyses with HF-OC as response variable. The cumulative $r^2$ of the significant components as listed in Table 3 describe the total explanatory power of the model (Carrascal et al., 2009). Except for the CH subsoils, we obtained two significant latent factors (see Sect. S2 in the Supplement) explaining between 42 and 94% of the HF-OC variance and the first factor alone explaining between 84 to 95% of the total variance. For this factor, the VIP values of the individual predictor variables are shown in Fig. 7. Ac-
Accordingly, organically complexed Fe and Al (Fe<sub>p</sub> and Al<sub>p</sub>) had the highest explanatory loading for HF-OC in the topsoils and the subducted topsoils. For subsoils and permafrost horizons, the VIP values indicated strong interactions with poorly crystalline Fe and Al forms (Fe<sub>o</sub>–Fe<sub>p</sub>, Al<sub>o</sub>–Al<sub>p</sub>) in CH and LG and a strong affinity to clay-sized minerals in AM and LG. Over all sites and examined soil horizons, well crystalline Fe (Fe<sub>d</sub>–Fe<sub>o</sub>) appeared to have either no or negative effects on HF-OC.

4 Discussion

4.1 Organic carbon storage in soil horizons linked to cryogenic processes

The average OC storage of 20.2 kg m<sup>−2</sup> to 100 cm soil depth across all sites, corresponds well to integrated landscape level studies (Table S4). The soil trenches from eastern Siberia described in this study correspond to the classes tussock tundra and grass tundra, which together cover 64% of the area in Palmtag et al. In the AM and LG sites, the soil trenches were representative for wet and dry uplands, which together cover 47 and 48% of the study areas (Table S4). Hence, results of our pedon-scaled studies can be considered of being representative for the investigated landscape classes across the Siberian Arctic.

About 81% of the bulk OC stocks resided in the subsoil. This demonstrates the relevance of deeper soil horizons in cryohydromorphic soils as a long term C sink and potential source of greenhouse gases (Michaelson et al., 1996). Subduction of topsoil material by cryoturbation, visible as OM-rich pockets, involutions, or tongues in the active layer was calculated to account for 18% of the total soil OC and 22% of the subsoil OC stocks. In their landscape scale studies, Palmtag et al. (2015) calculated for the eastern Siberian study site that the landscape level mean SOC storage in subducted topsoil materials (including cryoturbations in the permafrost) represented up to 30% of the total SOC in the upper first meter. Apart from these most obvious patterns, cryoturbation leads to constant mixing and rejuvenation of the whole solum, referred to
as cryohomogenization (Bockheim et al., 2006; Sokolov et al., 2004). This process is especially relevant for the Central and East Siberian sampling sites, and lead to high OC content in B and C horizons (Table S3) and a fairly similar mineralogical composition. In contrast to that, the OC content in West Siberian B, C, and permafrost horizons was up to 11 times lower, reflecting the lack of OM input by cryohomogenization.

Beside input via root biomass, cryogenic mass exchange is the principle way for LF materials to enter the deep subsoil since the studied soils did not exhibit any characteristics of syngenetic soil formation or colluvial deposits. Subduction of LF by cryoturbation increased the total subsoil OC storage by 22%. In comparison, the amount of LF in temperate environments is often negligible in subsoil and highly vulnerable to disturbances and land management in the topsoil (see review article by Gosling et al., 2013). Cryoturbation is a unique mechanism in permafrost soils to bypass particulate OM from the access and breakdown by the soil fauna, which is restricted to the well drained topsoil (Van Vliet-Lanoë, 1998). Thus, coarser plant materials (such as seeds or woody debris; Fig. 5) were distributed across the whole soil profile including the permafrost where the subsoil LF decomposition is restricted to biochemically mediated microbial processes. Therefore, the particle size of LF materials in the subsoil should depend on the time of subduction and the stage of detritus formation.

Beside cryoturbation, the vertical transfer of dissolved and colloidal organic compounds, often not considered in permafrost soils, appears likewise important. Preferred OC accumulation was observed in the transient layer of several profiles (profiles CH D-I, AM A-C, LG D; Fig. S7). Within these profiles, a sharp increase of HF-OC (from 8.2 ± 4.0 to 14.4 ± 10.0 gkg⁻¹) and MoF-OC (from 1.7 ± 1.8 to 3.6 ± 4.8 gkg⁻¹) was recorded from the upper BCgjj and Cgjj horizons towards the Cgjj and Cff horizons of the transient layer. On the basis of our profile maps, we calculated the area of the accumulation zone and the difference in MoF-OC and HF-OC between the upper subsoil horizons and the transient layer accounted for a plus in OC storage of 0.2 to 3.7 kgm⁻², which translates into 1–12 % of the respective bulk soil OC stock. Enrichment of well decomposed, humic-rich OM in the transient layer was also reported elsewhere (Gun-
delwein et al., 2007; Mergelov and Targulian, 2011; Ostroumov et al., 2001). Mergelov and Targulian (2011) explained this enrichment by the concept of “cryogenic reteniza-
don”, denoting the vertical migration and subsequent precipitating of mobile OM during ice segregation along freezing gradients. However, only the pools of HF and MoF are affected by this process since the LF can only be transferred by cryoturbation.

By incorporating all soil horizons with evidence of cryogenic processes (including BCgjj and Cgjj horizons) an average of 54 % of the total OC storage can be attributed to re-allocation by cryogenesis in the active layer. Bockheim (2007) published an almost equal number of 55 % for 21 pedons from Alaska as quantified with a similar approach. Cryogenic processes as a mechanism to sequester OC are often not incorporated in discussions about subsoil OM (e.g., Rumpel and Kögel-Knabner, 2011) but the global relevance of this process cannot be neglected. Gelisols cover 9.1 % of the global ice free land area (USDA, 1999) and Turbels account for 61 % of the Gelisol area (Hugelius et al., 2014). The latter calculated the amount of soil OC in circumpolar Turbels to 207 Pg. Assuming that the upper first meter of the global soils store 1324 Pg of OC (Köchy et al., 2014), cryoturbated permafrost soils account for approximately 15 % of it. Considering the 54 % re-allocated OC by cryogenesis, still around 8 % or 110 Pg of the global soil OC pool within the upper first meter could be attributed to the redistribution by cryogenic processes. This proportion will increase, when cryoturbated materials in within the permafrost and > 1 m will be taken into account (Harden et al., 2012).

4.2 Transformation of organic matter in the cryoturbated soils

We used C/N values and \( \delta^{13}C \) ratios together with density fractionation to assess the OM transformation within the cryoturbated soils. Smaller C/N ratios and higher \( \delta^{13}C \) values of OM with soil depth (Fig. 4) are both indicative for consecutive microbial transformation from organic topsoil towards permafrost horizons. In this study, OM in deep B and C horizons as well as in the upper permafrost underwent the strongest transformation. This contrasts the findings of Xu et al. (2009) from Alaska sites and might indicate temporarily greater thawing depths and/or microbial OM transformation.
at subzero temperatures (Gittel et al., 2014; Hobbie et al., 2000). However, the subduced topsoil material did not fit to this pattern. The transformation proxies of the bulk soil OM did not resemble those of the surrounding subsoil but rather those of the respective topsoil horizons. Also when considering the HF, mineral-associated OM did not indicate alteration in the subducted topsoils as compared to the A horizons. The LF, however, was significantly enriched in $^{13}$C and had smaller C/N ratios in subducted topsoil as compared to the topsoil. This pattern can likely be attributed to the availability of large amounts of unprotected particulate OM over a longer time for microbial decomposition. According to Gentsch et al. (2015), the LF $^{14}$C signals decreased from modern in the topsoil to 81 and 84 pMC ($\sim$ 1300 to 1600 years BP) in subducted topsoil and the reduced bioavailability during incubation experiments indicates depletion of energy-rich plant material.

Narrow C/N ratios in the HF when compared to LF fraction indicate a larger proportion of microbial products (Christensen, 2001) and the HF as principle source of N in the soil (Khanna et al., 2001). The strong decline in the C/N values of the HF from the topsoil towards the permafrost (Fig. 6) mirrors the increasing contribution of microbial residues to mineral-associated OM at larger soil depth. Very narrow HF C/N ratios in the subsoil at TZ (5 ± 1) and CH (8 ± 4) likely reflects the fixation of NH$_4^+$ in the interlayer of expandable 2 : 1 clay minerals (Dixon et al., 2002).

For LF-OM, higher C/N ratios were found in the topsoil from TZ (40 ± 3) and CH (38 ± 8) compared to Taimyr soils (26 ± 4), reflecting signals from the plant source such as mosses or lichen with wider C/N ratios (Fig. 6). Although the C/N ratio of the plant input was wider at TZ and CH than at the Taymyr sites, the ratio became narrower with depth at the former, suggesting stronger decomposition and less active cryogenic processes in TZ (discussed above). The generally less negative $\delta^{13}$C values of OM at TZ sites were, however, rather the result of less strong isotope discrimination by the plant sources (Fig. S6) instead of indicating an advanced stage of decomposition. This can be linked to environmental forces (e.g. the lower continentality, see Supplement S1),
influencing water-nutrient use efficiency, water vapor pressure, and thus photosynthetic discrimination (Bowling et al., 2002; Dawson et al., 2002).

Overall, the bulk and fraction-related OM showed a strong microbial transformation with soil depth. However, the subducted topsoil material was an exception as only the LF appeared to be more decomposed than the respective fraction in the topsoil. Gittel et al. (2014) showed for the CH sample subset a relatively high abundance of bacteria (especially actinobacteria) in subducted topsoil materials but the same, low abundance of fungi as in the surrounding subsoil. Differences in the microbial community composition, therefore, cannot explain the preferential degradation of LF material in the cryoturbated pockets as especially LF materials with high C/N are favored by the fungal community (Six et al., 2006). Concurrently, Schnecker et al. (2014) suggested low adaption of the microbial community to the available substrate in subducted topsoils. These findings suggest that subsoil OM decomposition in cryohydromorphic soils largely depends on the adaption of the microbial community composition to microenvironments (abiotic conditions) instead of the availability of OC sources. The retarded OM decomposition in cryoturbated permafrost soils might thus not be a matter of substrate availability (Kaiser et al., 2007) nor substrate quality (Schnecker et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2009) but instead, be restricted by abiotic conditions (Harden et al., 2012), and nitrogen limitation of enzyme production (Wild et al., 2014).

4.3 Potentially solubilizable organic matter

The concentrations of $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$-extractable dissolved OC (DOC) from fresh soil of the CH and Taimyr soils ranged from 5.2 mg g$^{-1}$ in organic topsoil to 0.01 mg g$^{-1}$ in subsoil, representing about 2.3 to 0.04% of the total OC (data not shown). Similar values were reported from water extracts by Dutta et al. (2006) for Kolyma lowland soils. In contrast, the DOC concentrations measured in the MoF were remarkably larger and accounted from 0.3 to 75% (on average 13%) of the total OC content (Table S3). The maximum proportion of the initial OC release (> 30%) was found in B/C and Cff horizons from TZ and LG where total OC contents were small (1–8 mg g$^{-1}$ soil) and the HF
strongly dominated the OC storage. As shown in Fig. S1, about 80% of the MoF-OC derived from the HF as result of the SPT-induced desorption of OM outlined by Crow et al. (2007) and Kaiser and Guggenberger (2007). But the release of OM by SPT was found to be small in temperate, arable and high latitude forest soils (John et al., 2005; Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2007; Kane et al., 2005). The data of this study, however, point towards a relatively large pool of mineral-associated OM which is retained in weaker, chemically exchangeable bindings. The high soil pH in the subsoil, usually pH > 6 and up to pH 9 in permafrost horizons, might directly affect the binding strengths. Maximum dissolved OM sorption to sesquioxides occurs at pH 4–5, while OM is most soluble at pH 6–8 due to the increasing deprotonation of OM and the decreasing positive charge on metal oxide surfaces (Andersson et al., 2000; Whittinghill and Hobbie, 2012), thus, overall causing an increased OM mobilization at higher pH (Kalbitz et al., 2000). The anaerobic conditions in the subsoil may promote the OM release, because anaerobic decomposition of OM leaves a high proportion of water-soluble intermediate metabolites behind (Kalbitz et al., 2000) and reductive dissolution of Fe oxides lead to the mobilization of the formerly sorbed OM (Fiedler and Kalbitz, 2003; Hagedorn et al., 2000). Furthermore, frequent freezing-thawing cycles have been found to increase dissolved OM loads by disruption of microbial tissue and cell lysis (DeLuca et al., 1992). As water-soluble OM is the most bioavailable fraction (Marschner and Kalbitz, 2003), the MoF include a potentially vulnerable soil OM pool.

The mobility of soluble compounds (including metal ions and dissolved OM) in the annual thawing zone is controlled by the formation of segregation ice. During crystal growth, the soluble compounds remain in the pore solution and increase electrolyte concentrations (Ostroumov et al., 2001). Zones of concentrated pore solution favor colloid flocculation and the formation of metal-loaded organic precipitates (Ostroumov, 2004; Van Vliet-Lanoé, 1998). Since coprecipitation has been postulated as an important mechanism for OM preservation in soils (Gentsch et al., 2015; Kalbitz and Kaiser, 2008; Scheel et al., 2007), freeze and thaw cycles do not only increase the production of DOC but at the same time stimulate the formation of mineral-organic associations.
4.4 Mineral controls on organic matter storage

About 55 % of the total OC in the first soil meter and 63 % of the OC within subsoil horizons was associated with the mineral phase. Soil OM which interacts with reactive minerals is supposed to be less available for microbial decomposition and thus contributes to the “protected” or “stabilized” OM pool (Schmidt et al., 2011). The extent of protection thereby depends on the mineralogical assemblage and the soil environmental conditions (Baldock and Skjemstad, 2000).

The PLSR analyses (Fig. 7) highlight the site-specific significance of certain mineral phases which act as potential binding partners for OM. Well crystalline Fe oxides (Fe_d–Fe_o), generally low in abundance, have no or a negative effect on HF-OC variability across all sites. The significance of well crystalline minerals for the stabilization of OM in mineral-organic associations has been addressed in several studies on temperate (Eusterhues et al., 2005; Mikutta et al., 2006) and tropical soils (Mikutta et al., 2009; Torn et al., 1997), and is generally considered low. Poorly crystalline Fe and Al phases (Fe_o–Fe_p, Al_o–Al_p,) gain in importance at CH and TZ where weathering was found to be strongest (see Supplement, S4).

Clay-sized minerals have a strong influence on HF-OC in the subsoils at sites dominated by highly reactive smectite clays (AM, LG). This finding is in agreement with Six et al. (2002), who suggested that stabilization of OC is related to the type of clay minerals (2 : 1 or 1 : 1) present in soil. The authors suggest a stronger adsorption capacity of 2 : 1 clays due to differences in CEC and surface area.

The PLSR further identified organically complexed Fe and Al (Fe_p, Al_p) as overwhelming factor explaining the variations in HF-OC concentrations across all study sites (Fig. 7). Sorption of OM to the surfaces of phyllosilicate clays, partly complexed with Fe and Al, may reduce their specific surface area and “glue” them together under formation of tertiary OM-Fe/Al-clay complexes (Wagai and Mayer, 2007). The interplay between OM, clay minerals, and less polymeric Fe and Al species may partly reduce the explanatory power of the clay-OM relation alone during statistical analyses. Be-
sides the formation of ternary OM-Fe/Al-clay complexes, the presence of Fe$_p$ and Al$_p$
 in the HF may also result from coprecipitation reactions between OM and dissolved Fe and Al (Scheel et al., 2007; Schwertmann et al., 2005). When plotting the molar concentration of HF-OC vs. those of Fe$_p$ + Al$_p$, linear correlations were observed with different regression slopes for different sites (Fig. S5; $r = 0.63$ to 0.97; $p < 0.001$). The slopes indicate molar metal/C ratios, which were 0.02 for CH and TZ sites and < 0.01 for the Taimyr sites. These strong relations suggest a proportional increase of Fe/Al-OM associations with the amount of OC present in the soil. Several studies reported that the precipitation of OM with hydrolyzed Al and Fe species begins already at low metal/C ratios of < 0.05 (Nierop et al., 2002; Scheel et al., 2007). These findings support our previous conclusion, that beside clay-organic interactions, coprecipitation of OM with Fe and Al is another important process in cryohydromorphic soils (Gentsch et al., 2015).

Overall, it appeared difficult to differentiate distinct mechanisms of mineral-organic interactions for cryohydromorphic soils of the Siberian arctic. Statistical evidence was found for (i) complexation of OM with metal cations, (ii) formation of Fe/Al coprecipitates as well as (iii) sorption of OM to clay minerals and poorly crystalline Fe and Al phases. Whether the formation of mineral-organic associations may retard the decomposition of OM depends, however, on the stability of these complexes (Mikutta et al., 2007). Reductive dissolution of Fe oxides may liberate the attached OM (Fiedler and Kalbitz, 2003; Knorr, 2013). The strongest mineral-organic bindings such as ligand exchange occurs in acid soils (von Lützow et al., 2006), whereas neutral to alkaline conditions dominate the subsoil of northern Siberia. Klaminder et al. (2013) found in an artificial cryoturbation experiment, that mixing of humus into mineral soil from cryoturbated soils primed heterotrophic respiration, possibly as result of contact with mineral surfaces. Gentsch et al. (2015) performed incubation experiments over 90 days using bulk soils, HF, and LF materials from the CH sites. Only up to ~ 3 % of the initial mineral-associated OC was respired. Jagadamma et al. (2013) reported slightly higher native OC mineralization of mineral-associated OM from a Typic Aquiturbel compared to non-
permafrost soils from various environments, and no significant difference between the HF and LF was observed. Although the stability of mineral-organic associations as protecting agents against microbial OM degradation appears uncertain so far and warrants further research, our results suggest that soil minerals in cryoturbated permafrost soils are crucial factors facilitating high OC stocks in the subsoil.

5 Conclusions

This study investigated 28 cryoturbated soils on poorly drained, silty-loamy parent material with relatively flat topography in a gradient from west to east Siberia. All soils belonged to the Aquiturbel greatgroup where differences of physico-chemical properties and processes depend on the heterogeneity of the parent material, the annual thawing depth, and the occurrence of cryogenic processes. Based on the average storage of $20.2 \pm 8.0$ kg OC m$^{-2}$, 54 % was redistributed by cryogenic processes as principle drivers for the high subsoil OC stocks of $16.4 \pm 8.1$ kg OC m$^{-2}$. The vast majority of the subsoil OC was associated with minerals (HF: $10.3 \pm 4.9$ kg OC m$^{-2}$) and dominated by microbially resynthesized products. The size of this pool depends on the yield of dissolved compounds delivered by microbial transformation, migration along freezing gradients, and the mineral assemblage. Substantial microbial OM transformation in the subsoil was indicated by low C/N ratios and high $\delta^{13}$C values, despite of the unfavorable abiotic conditions (water saturation, anaerobiosis, low temperatures). Under current soil conditions, mineral-organic associations emerge from complexation of OM with metal cations, the formation of Fe/Al-OM coprecipitates as well as sorption of OM to poorly crystalline Fe and Al surfaces and clay minerals. In the absence of segregated ground-ice bodies, increasing active layer depth and deep drainage as predicted by future climate scenarios (IPCC, 2013; Schaefer et al., 2011; Sushama et al., 2007) likely results in dryer and oxic soil conditions. Drainage and oxygen availability give rise to proceeding soil development (acidification) as well as mineral alteration under the release of Fe and Al to the soil solution, formation of Fe and Al oxides, reduction of
exchangeable basic cations, and clay mineral transformation. This, in turn, may even increase the relevance of mineral-organic associations to mitigate the permafrost carbon feedback to climate change by reducing the microbial excess to the OC source. However, it still remains object of further studies to focus on specific mechanisms that causes the enrichment of OC on mineral surfaces (adsorption vs. coprecipitation reactions) as well as the role of minerals in permafrost soils as a substantial protection factor for OM.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bgd-12-2697-2015-supplement.
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Table 1. Location and site conditions of the study sites, with soil classification according to Soil Survey Staff (2010). Morphological features were described in diameter ($D$) and high ($H$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>UTM coordinates</th>
<th>Sample year</th>
<th>Land cover class</th>
<th>Dominant species</th>
<th>Morphological features, Size (cm)</th>
<th>Active layer depth (cm)</th>
<th>Soil classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH A-C</td>
<td>57W 0 607 781, 7 706 532</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Shrubby grass tundra</td>
<td>Betula exilis, Salix sphenophylla, Carex lugena, Calamagrostis holmii, Aulacomnium turgidum</td>
<td>Frost boils ($D$ 30–40)</td>
<td>30–70</td>
<td>Ruptic-Histic Aquiturbel, fine silty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH D-F</td>
<td>57W 0 606 201, 7 705 516</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Shrubby tussock tundra</td>
<td>Eriophorum vaginatum, Carex lugens, Betula exilis, Salix pulchra, Aulacomnium turgidum</td>
<td>Frost boils ($D$ 30–40)</td>
<td>35–60</td>
<td>Ruptic-Histic Aquiturbel, cleyey to fine silty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH G-I</td>
<td>57W 0 604 930, 7 628 451</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Shrubby lichen tundra</td>
<td>Betula exilis, Vaccinium uligonosum, Flavocetraria rivilalis, Flavocetraria cucullata</td>
<td>Hummocks ($H$ 30, $D$ 200), barren patches</td>
<td>35–90</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, fine silty to loamy-skeletal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM A-C</td>
<td>47X 0 589 707, 8 044 925</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Shrubby moss tundra</td>
<td>Betula nana, Dryas punctata, Vaccinium uligonosum, Carex arctisibirica, Aulacomnium turgidum</td>
<td>Polygonal cracks, frost boils ($D$ 50–70), barren patches</td>
<td>60–85</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, coarse loamy (thixotrop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM D-F</td>
<td>47X 0 588 873, 8 045 755</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Shrubby moss tundra</td>
<td>Cassiope tetragona, Carex arctisibirica, Aulacomnium turgidum</td>
<td>Polygonal cracks, frost boils ($D$ 50–60), barren patches</td>
<td>65–90</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, fine loamy to coarse loamy (thixotrop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG A-C</td>
<td>47X 0 482 624, 8 147 621</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Dryas tundra</td>
<td>Dryas punctata, Rhytidium rugosum, Hylocomium splendens</td>
<td>Polygonal cracks, frost boils ($D$ 50–60), Small hummocks ($H$ 20–30, $D$ 30–100)</td>
<td>35–70</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, fine cleyey to fine silty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG D-F</td>
<td>47X 0 479 797, 8 150 507</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Grassy moss tundra</td>
<td>Betula nana, Carex arctisibirica, Hylocomium splendens, Tomentypnyum nitens</td>
<td>Small hummocks ($H$ 25–40, $D$ 30–100)</td>
<td>30–65</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, fine cleyey to fine silty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ A-C</td>
<td>44W 0 406 762, 7 463 670</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Shrubby lichen tundra</td>
<td>Empetrum nigrum, Ledum palustre, Betula nana, Cladonia rangiferina, C. stellaris</td>
<td>Frost boils ($D$ 40–80), barren patches</td>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, fine silty or fine silty over coarse loamy (thixotrop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ D-FY</td>
<td>44W 0 412 015, 7 441 112</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Larch woodland with shubbery lichen understory (forest-tundra zone)</td>
<td>Larix sibirica, Ledum palustre, Betula nana, Vaccinium uligonosum, Cladonia rangiferina, C. stellaris</td>
<td>Frost boils ($D$ 40–80), barren patches</td>
<td>130–150</td>
<td>Typic Aquiturbel, fine silty or fine silty over coarse loamy (thixotrop)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Mean soil OC and TN stocks (0–100 cm) with respect to different sampling sites and soil horizons plus SD. Bulk values (unfractionated stocks) were separated in light fraction (LF), heavy fraction (HF), and the mobilized fractionation (MoF). The total bulk values include the organic topsoil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon cluster</th>
<th>OM fraction</th>
<th>CH Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>AM Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>LG Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TZ Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>*AL &lt; 100 cm Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>All sites Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OC (kgm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic topsoil</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subducted topsoil</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/C horizons</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>21.10</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>24.41</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>23.29</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon cluster</th>
<th>OM fraction</th>
<th>CH Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>AM Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>LG Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>TZ Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>*AL &lt; 100 cm Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>All sites Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic topsoil</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral topsoil</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subducted topsoil</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/C horizons</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permafrost</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MoF</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of soil profiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Only include profiles from AM, LG and CH with active layer (AL) < 100 cm.
Table 3. Results from the PLSR analysis between HF-OC and various mineral parameters. The PLSR factors (latent factors) are given in descending order of importance and the goodness of the model is indicated by regression coefficients for the response variable (cumulative $Y$ variance).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Horizon cluster</th>
<th>Latent Factor</th>
<th>$X$ Variance</th>
<th>Cumulative $X$ Variance</th>
<th>$Y$ Variance</th>
<th>Cumulative $r^2$</th>
<th>Adjusted $r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>topsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>topsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>topsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>topsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subsoil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Sampling locations in West Siberia (1), Central Siberia (2, Taimyr Peninsula) and East Siberia (3). Each star marks a sampling site with three replicate soil profiles. Abbreviations are: CH, Cherskiy; AM, Ari Mas; LG, Logata; TZ, Tassovskiy (generated by ArcGIS 10).
Figure 2. Overview on soil diagnostic terminology in this study. Horizon nomenclature according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010).
Figure 3. Selected profile maps from three different sampling sites at Cherskiy (CH), Ari-Mas (AM), and Logata (all other profile maps are presented in Fig. S7). Horizon symbols according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). Note, the hatched areas (frozen zone) were not excavated but cryoturbation occur in the upper permafrost as well and subducted topsoil materials (Ojj, Ajj) can stretch into the permafrost.
Figure 4. Vertical pattern of $\delta^{13}$C values and C/N ratios of bulk soils with respect to different sampling sites and soil horizon clusters (mean ± SD; $n$ is given in Table S1).
Figure 5. Laser scanning microscope images from the LF one profile in western Siberia. The images were arranged according to the increasing soil depth of various genetic horizons. Red arrows mark fine roots, green ones woody tissue, purple ones bark. Red and green circles mark seeds and fungal hyphae, respectively.
Figure 6. $\delta^{13}$C vs. C/N ratios for individual soil fractions and most abundant plants. The values of the soil fractions were grouped according to the genetic soil horizons (mean value ± SD) and plotted for the different sampling sites. The central Siberian plot incorporated the two sampling sites AM and LG where no statistical differences were observed for the evaluated parameters. Note the different scale of the plot in the lower right corner.
**Figure 7.** The influence of the PLSR predictor variables on HF-OC concentrations plotted as variable importance in the projection (VIP, see S2) for the first latent factor (see Table 3). As response variables, parameters representing the soil mineral phase were used. Values above the dashed line indicate an above average influence on the response variable. The stars mark negative loadings on the factor.