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Abstract

In the framework of the VAHINE project, we investigated the spectral characteristics and the variability of dissolved and particulate chromophoric materials throughout a 23 day mesocosm experiment conducted in the South West Pacific at the exit of the New Caledonian coral lagoon (22°29.073 S–166°26.905 E) from 13 January to 4 February 2013. Samples were collected in a mesocosm fertilized with phosphorus at 1, 6 and 12 m depth and in the surrounding waters. Light absorption coefficients of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) ($a_g(\lambda)$), particulate matter ($a_p(\lambda)$) and CDOM + particulate matter ($a_{g+p}(\lambda)$) were measured using a point-source integrating-cavity absorption meter (PSICAM), while fluorescent DOM (FDOM) components were determined from excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) combined with parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC). The evolutions of $a_g(\lambda)$, $a_p(\lambda)$ and $a_{g+p}(\lambda)$ in the mesocosm were similar to those of total chlorophyll a concentration, *Synechococcus* spp. and picoeukaryote abundances, bacterial production, particulate organic nitrogen and total organic carbon concentrations, with roughly a decrease from the beginning of the experiment to days 9–10, and an increase from days 9–10 to the end of the experiment. In the surrounding waters, the same trend was observed but the increase was much less pronounced, emphasizing the effect of the phosphorus fertilization on the mesocosm’s plankton community. Correlations suggested that both *Synechococcus* cyanobacteria and heterotrophic bacteria were strongly involved in the production of CDOM and absorption of particulate matter. The increase in phytoplankton activities during the second part of the experiment led to a higher contribution of particulate material in the absorption budget at 442 nm. The three FDOM components identified (tryptophan-, tyrosine- and UVC humic-like fluorophores) did not follow the evolution of CDOM and particulate matter, proving that these were driven by different production/degradation processes. Finally, this works indicates a coupling between the dynamics of the N$_2$ fixation and that of chromophoric material in the South West Pacific through *Synechococcus* bloom.
1 Introduction

Besides water itself, light absorption in the marine environment is due to three main biogeochemical constituents: (1) chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), also known as gelbstoff, gilvin and yellow substances, and chromophoric particulate matter, subdivided into (2) phytoplankton (photoautotrophic microorganisms), composed of both prokaryotic (cyanobacteria) and eukaryotic species (diatoms, dinoflagellates, coccolithophores, . . .), and (3) non algal particles (NAP), comprising organic and minerogenic detritus, and heterotrophic organisms. Absorption spectra of CDOM, phytoplankton and NAP have been extensively studied over the last two decades in various oceanic provinces including coastal waters and open ocean (Blough and Del Vecchio, 2002; Babin et al., 2003; Bricaud et al., 2010; Matsuoka et al., 2014). Indeed, in addition to their key role in the oceanic carbon cycle, these three constituents strongly influence the underwater light field and the apparent optical properties of seawater. The knowledge of their absorption spectra is thus essential for bio-optical modeling and remote sensing applications but can be used as well to investigate biological processes in the ocean.

Absorption coefficients of CDOM ($a_g(\lambda)$) and NAP ($a_{nap}(\lambda)$) typically decrease monotonically (exponentially) from ultraviolet (UV, 280–400 nm) to visible (400–700 nm) wavelengths (Nelson et al., 1998; Swan et al., 2009; Tilstone et al., 2012). Even though CDOM absorption spectra are usually featureless, some “shoulders” have been observed sporadically in the UV and visible spectral domains and attributed to the presence of dissolved absorbing pigments released by phytoplankton cells: mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs) at 310–320 nm or at 330–360 nm, and phaeopigments or non-chlorin metal-free porphyrins at 410–420 nm (Whitehead and Vernet, 2000; Röttgers and Koch, 2012; Organelli et al., 2014; Pavlov et al., 2014). In contrast, absorption coefficients of phytoplankton ($a_\phi(\lambda)$) determined from natural samples commonly display two main peaks in the visible range, around 435–450 and 675 nm, attributable to its content in total chlorophyll $a$ ($TChl \; a = \text{mono Chl} \; a + \text{divinyl}$...
Chl a) (Lutz et al., 1996; Dupouy et al., 1997; Bricaud et al., 2004), but may also reveal other peaks or shoulders resulting from the presence of other pigments: MAAs at 325 nm (Bricaud et al., 2010), TChl b, TChl c and photoprotective carotenoids at 460–470 nm, photosynthetic carotenoids and photoprotective keto-carotenoids at 490 nm (Carreto, 1985; Stuart et al., 1998; Wozniak et al., 1999; Lohrenz et al., 2003) as well as phycoerythrin at 550 nm (Morel, 1997). Hence, while chromophoric detrital matter (CDM = CDOM + NAP) is the major contributor to total absorption in the UV domain (~60–95%), in the blue region (440–490 nm), the contributions of CDM and phytoplankton tend to be equivalent (~40–50%), while CDOM alone is accounting for ~80–95% of CDM in the UV and blue ranges (Siegel et al., 2002, 2005; Tedetti et al., 2010; Nelson and Siegel, 2013).

In “Case 1 waters” (Morel and Prieur, 1977), which are generally – but not necessarily – open ocean clear waters, optical properties are controlled by phytoplankton and all its derived material, and TChl a concentration may be utilized as an index of optical properties thanks to its covariation with $a_\phi(\lambda)$, $a_g(\lambda)$, $a_{nap}(\lambda)$ and particulate backscattering coefficient ($b_{bp}(\lambda)$) (Antoine et al., 2014). Due to the covariation with $a_\phi(\lambda)$ in Case 1 waters, CDOM is considered as being a by-product of phytoplanktonic production. Nonetheless, recent studies have highlighted some degree of de-phasing between the dynamics in phytoplankton and that of CDOM at the global, regional or seasonal scale (Siegel et al., 2002; Morel et al., 2010; Xing et al., 2014).

Whilst photobleaching is now considered as a major degradation process of CDOM in surface waters (Del Vecchio and Blough, 2002; Helms et al., 2008; Bracchini et al., 2010; Swan et al., 2012), the main source of CDOM in open ocean is still a matter of debate, particularly for its “humic-like” component, which absorbs light over a broad range of UV and visible wavelengths and fluoresces in the visible domain (Andrew et al., 2013). Some works suggest that this humic-like CDOM is in part a remainder of terrestrial matter that has been diluted and transformed during transit to and within the ocean (Blough and Del Vecchio, 2002; Hernes and Benner, 2006; Murphy et al., 2008; Andrew et al., 2013). Conversely, other studies put forward its autochthonous
marine source and its production from phytoplankton, including green algal, diatoms, dinoflagellates (Vernet and Whitehead, 1996; Romera-Castillo et al., 2010, 2011; Chari et al., 2013), the diazotrophic (N₂-fixing) cyanobacteria *Trichodesmium* spp. (Subramaniam et al., 1999; Steinberg et al., 2004) and the non-diazotrophic picocyanobacteria *Synechococcus* spp. and *Prochlorococcus* spp. (Romera-Castillo et al., 2011), from zooplankton (Steinberg et al., 2004; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009), or from the bacterial degradation (mineralization) of phytoplankton-derived organic matter (Nelson et al., 1998, 2010; Swan et al., 2009).

The New Caledonian coral lagoon, located in the South West Pacific, is a tropical, oligotrophic Low Nutrient Low Chlorophyll (LNLC) ecosystem in which diazotrophs such as cyanobacteria *Trichodesmium* spp. (Dupouy et al., 1988, 2008; Masotti et al., 2007; Rodier and Le Borgne, 2010) and diazotrophic picocyanobacteria (Biegala and Raimbault, 2008) but also non-diazotrophic picocyanobacteria such as *Synechococcus* spp. and *Prochlorococcus* spp. (Biegala and Raimbault, 2008; Neveux et al., 2009) play a significant role. Although the biogeochemical conditions in the New Caledonian coral lagoon are well documented for several years (see review by Grenz et al., 2010), the dynamics of CDOM remains poorly known in this environment. In the framework of the VAriability of vertical and tropHlc transfer of fixed N₂ in the south wEst Pacific (VAHINE) mesocosm experiment, the objectives of the present study were (1) to assess the spectral characteristics and the variability of dissolved and particulate chromophoric materials throughout a 23 day mesocosm experiment, and (2) to tentatively identify the main biogeochemical contributors (diazotrophic and non-diazotrophic primary producers, heterotrophic bacteria) driving changes in chromophoric material over the course of the experiment. Chromophoric parameters we examined here were absorption coefficients of CDOM ($a_g(\lambda)$), particulate matter ($a_p(\lambda) = a_\phi(\lambda) + a_{nap}(\lambda)$) and CDOM + particulate matter ($a_{g+p}(\lambda) = a_g(\lambda) + a_\phi(\lambda) + a_{nap}(\lambda)$), determined over the spectral domain 370–720 nm, the spectral slopes of CDOM and CDOM + particulate matter ($S_g$ and $S_{g+p}$), computed over the range 370–500 nm, as well as fluorescent DOM (FDOM) compo-
ments, determined from excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) combined with parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC).

2 Material and methods

2.1 The mesocosm experiment

2.1.1 Study site and mesocosm description

The VAHINE mesocosm experiment was conducted from 13 January to 4 February 2013 in the South West Pacific at an exit of the New Caledonian coral lagoon, 28 km off the coast of New Caledonia (22°29.073 S – 166°26.905 E) (Fig. 1). At the deployment site the water depth was 25 m and the bottom was sandy. The site was protected by land from the dominant trade winds (SE sector) and characterized by high influence of oceanic oligotrophic waters coming from outside the lagoon through the Boulari passage (Ouillon et al., 2010). Three large mesocosms (hereafter called M1, M2 and M3), of 50 m³ volume each, were deployed (Fig. 2). All details concerning the mesocosm design and deployment are given in Bonnet et al. (2015). In brief, the mesocosms consisted in large cylindrical bags made of one polyethylene film and one vinyl acetate (EVA, 19%) film, each 500 μm thick, with nylon meshing in between to allow maximum resistance and light penetration. They were 2.3 m in diameter, 15 m in depth and were equipped with removable sediment traps allowing collection of sinking material. The top of the bags were maintained 1 m above the surface with floats to prevent inflow of external water. Their straightness was maintained by weights at the bottom of the mesocosms. Before starting sampling, the mesocosms were left opened from the bottom for 24 h to insure a total homogeneity of the water column.
2.1.2 Nutrient fertilization

To prevent phosphate limitation, the mesocosms were fertilized in the evening of day 4 with dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) to a final concentration of 0.8 µM (see details of the fertilization procedure in Bonnet et al., 2015). This phosphate fertilization aimed at stimulating diazotroph activities.

2.1.3 Sampling and in situ measurements

During the 23 days of the experiment, seawater sampling was performed every morning from a 4 m² floating platform at three depths (1, 6 and 12 m) in each mesocosm and at 1 m depth in the surrounding waters close to the mesocosm (“OUT”) using a compressed air-driven, metal-free pump (AstiPure™) connected to a polyethylene tubing. Samples were filled into 50 L polypropylene carboys and immediately transported for subsampling and sample treatments onboard the R/V Alis, moored 1 nautical mile away from the mesocosm site. Along with discrete sampling, vertical profiles of temperature, salinity, Chl a fluorescence, turbidity and light intensity were obtained daily (at 7 a.m. LT) in each mesocosm and in the surrounding waters using a 911plus conductivity temperature depth (CTD) profiler (Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc.). For our specific parameters, i.e. dissolved and particulate chromophoric materials, we only sampled the mesocosm M1 at 1, 6 and 12 m depth and the surrounding waters at 1 m depth.

2.1.4 Filtration

Onboard R/V Alis, samples for CDOM absorption and fluorescence measurements were immediately filtered under low vacuum (< 50 mmHg) through 0.2 µm polycarbonate filters (25 mm diameter, Nuclepore) using small, pre-combusted (450 °C, 6 h) glass filtration systems. Prior to sample filtration, the Nuclepore filters were cleaned by first soaking them for several minutes in 1 M HCl, then in ultrapure water, and processing them by filtering through and discarding 300 mL of ultrapure water and lastly...
50 mL of sample. Then, 1 L of sample was filtered and the 0.2 µm filtrate transferred into pre-combusted Schott® glass bottles for analyses. Powder-free disposable gloves were worn during sampling, filtration and analyses to avoid sample contamination. All absorption coefficient measurements (a_g(λ) and a_{g+p}(λ)) were performed directly on-board, while samples for fluorescence measurements were stored at 4 °C in the dark for several days until analyses.

2.1.5 The two phases of the experiment

In the results presented below, the 23 day mesocosm experiment was separated into two periods: P1, from day 5 to day 14, and P2, from day 15 to day 23. P1 and P2 denote the two phases of the experiment when the diazotrophic community was dominated by diatom-diazotroph associations (DDAs), more specifically heterocyst-forming Richelia associated with Rhizosolenia, and unicellular cyanobacteria group C (UCYN-C), respectively (Berthelot et al., 2015; Turk-Kubo et al., 2015).

2.2 Absorption of CDOM and particulate matter

2.2.1 Measurement

Absorption coefficients of CDOM and CDOM + particulate matter (a_g(λ) and a_{g+p}(λ)) were determined by measuring absorption of 0.2 µm filtered and unfiltered samples using a point-source integrating-cavity absorption meter (PSICAM) instrument as described by Röttgers et al. (2007) and Röttgers and Doerffer (2007). The cavity of the PSICAM was filled with purified water (Milli-Q water), air bubbles were removed from the cavity wall and the central light sphere by gentle shaking, and a reference intensity spectrum was recorded between 370 and 726 nm. Afterwards, sample water was poured into the cavity in the same way, and a sample intensity spectrum was recorded. The cavity was rinsed and filled with purified water again, and a second reference intensity spectrum was recorded. The two reference spectra were used to calculate
two “transmissions” (sample/reference) and, further, two absorption coefficient spectra. The mean of these two spectra was taken as the real absorption coefficient spectrum. The calibration of the PSICAM consisted of determinations of the total cavity reflectivity spectrum by using solutions of the dye nigrosine (Certistain®, Merck) with maximum absorption between 1 and 3 m\(^{-1}\). Absorption spectra were corrected for salinity and temperature differences between sample and reference water according to Röttgers and Doerrfer (2007). The mean precision of the PSICAM within the range 370–700 nm is ±0.0008 m\(^{-1}\), whereas its accuracy here is ±2 %, even for absorption values < 0.1 m\(^{-1}\).

### 2.2.2 Particulate absorption and spectral slope determination

Absorption coefficients of particulate matter (\(a_p(\lambda)\)) were determined by subtracting \(a_g(\lambda)\) from \(a_{g+p}(\lambda)\) over the range 370–720 nm. Respective spectral slopes of \(a_g(\lambda)\) and \(a_{g+p}(\lambda)\), \(S_g\) and \(S_{g+p}\) (in nm\(^{-1}\)), were computed by applying a nonlinear (exponential), least-squares fit to the \(a_g(\lambda)\) and \(a_{g+p}(\lambda)\) values between 370 and 500 nm in accordance with the following formula:

\[
a(\lambda) = a(\lambda_0) \times e^{-S(\lambda - \lambda_0)}
\]

The fit was conducted on raw (i.e. not log-transformed) data according to the recommendations by Twardowski et al. (2004). The average correlation coefficients (\(r\)) of the exponential least-squares fits was 1.00 and 0.99 (\(n = 72\)) for \(a_g(\lambda)\) and \(a_{g+p}(\lambda)\), respectively. The spectral range used here for the slope determination (370–500 nm) was close to that employed in previous studies for different oceanic waters (i.e. 350–500 nm) (Babin et al., 2003; Röttgers and Doerrfer, 2007; Bricaud et al., 2010; Parme et al., 2010; Organelli et al., 2014).
2.3 Fluorescence of DOM

2.3.1 Measurements

FDOM measurements were performed on 0.2 µm filtered samples using a Hitachi F-7000 spectrofluorometer. The correction of spectra for instrumental response was conducted from 200 to 600 nm according to the procedure recommended by the manufacturer (Hitachi F-7000 Instruction Manual) and fully described in Tedetti et al. (2012). The excitation (Ex) and emission (Em) correction curves were applied internally by the instrument to correct each fluorescence measurement acquired in signal over reference ratio mode. The samples were allowed to reach room temperature in the dark and transferred into a 1 cm pathlength far-UV transparent silica quartz cuvette (170–2600 nm; LEADER LAB). The sample in the cuvette was kept at 20 °C inside the instrument using a circulating water bath connected to the cell holder. The cuvette was cleaned with 1 M HCl and ultrapure water, and triple rinsed with the sample before use. EEMs were generated over λ_{Ex} between 200 and 500 nm in 5 nm intervals, and λ_{Em} between 280 and 550 nm in 2 nm intervals, with 5 nm slit widths on both Ex and Em sides, a scan speed of 1200 nm min⁻¹, a time response of 0.5 s and a PMT voltage of 700 V. Blanks (ultrapure water) and solutions of 0.1 to 10 µg L⁻¹ quinine sulphate dihydrate (Fluka, purum for fluorescence) in 0.05 M sulphuric acid were run with each set of samples. Two replicates were run for each sample.

2.3.2 Fluorescence data processing

Different processing steps were carried out on the fluorescence data: (1) all the fluorescence data were normalized to the intensity of the ultrapure water Raman scatter peak at λ_{Ex}/λ_{Em} of 275/303 nm, measured daily as an internal standard (Coble, 1996). This value varied by 4 % (n = 20). (2) The mean, normalized EEM of ultrapure water was subtracted from normalized sample EEMs to eliminate the water Raman scatter signal. (3) These blank-corrected sample EEMs were converted into quinine sulphate unit
(QSU), where 1 QSU corresponded to the fluorescence of 1 µgL⁻¹ quinine sulphate at λ_{Ex}/λ_{Em} of 350/450 nm (5 nm slit widths) (Coble, 1996; Murphy et al., 2008). The conversion in QSU was made by dividing each EEM fluorescence data by the mean slope of a linear regression of fluorescence vs. concentration for the different quinine sulphate solutions (i.e. 8.4 arbitrary fluorescence intensity units QSU⁻¹). r values of these linear regressions were on average 0.99 and the detection and quantification limits of the fluorescence measurements were 0.19 and 0.63 QSU, respectively. The water Raman scatter peak was integrated from λ_{Em} 380 to 426 nm at λ_{Ex} of 350 nm for ultrapure water samples. The mean value was used to establish a conversion factor between QSU and Raman unit (RU, nm⁻¹), based on the Raman-area normalized slope of the quinine sulphate linear regression. The conversion factor was 0.025 RU QSU⁻¹. With regard to our low a_0(λ) values, samples were not corrected for inner filter effects (Stedmon and Bro, 2008).

2.4 Parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC)

In this work, a PARAFAC model was created and validated for 130 calibrated EEMs according to the method by Stedmon et al. (2003). The EEM wavelength ranges used were 210–500 and 280–550 nm for Ex and Em, respectively. EEMs were merged into a three-dimensional data array of the form: 130 samples × 59λ_{Ex} × 136λ_{Em}. The PARAFAC program was executed using the DOMFluor toolbox v1.6 (Stedmon and Bro, 2008) running under MATLAB® 7.10.0 (R2010a). The full analysis showed that no outliers were present in the dataset. The validation of the PARAFAC model (running with the non-negativity constraint) and the determination of the correct number of components (from 2 to 6 components tested) were achieved through the examination of (1) the percentage of explained variance, (2) the shape of residuals, (3) the split half analysis and (4) the random initialization using the Tucker Congruence Coefficients (Tedetti et al., 2012). The fluorescence intensities of each component found are given in QSU.
2.5 Biogeochemical and biological analyses

Filters for the determination of the TChl \( a \) concentration were collected by filtering 550 mL of sample water onto a GF/F filter (Whatman). The filters were directly shock-frozen and stored in liquid \( N_2 \). TChl \( a \) was extracted in methanol and measured by fluorometry (Le Bouteiller et al., 1992). The precision of the measurement was \( \pm 0.005 \mu g L^{-1} \).

For the determination of phycoerythrin concentration, water samples (3–4 L) were filtered onto 0.4 \( \mu m \) Nucleopore polycarbonate filters and immediately frozen in liquid \( N_2 \) until analysis. Phycoerythrin was extracted in a 4 mL glycerol-phosphate mixture (50/50) according to Neveux et al. (2009) after vigorous shaking for resuspension of particles (Wyman, 1992), and then quantified by fluorometry using a Perkin Elmer LS55 spectrofluorometer (\( \lambda_{\text{Ex}}: 450–580 \text{ nm at } \lambda_{\text{Em}} \text{ of 605 nm} \)).

Pico- and nano-phytoplankton abundances were analyzed by flow cytometry. Samples (1.8 mL) were collected from the mesocosm everyday from 1, 6 and 12 m depth in cryotubes, fixed with 200 \( \mu L \) of paraformaldehyde (4% final concentration), left 15 min at ambient temperature, flash frozen in liquid \( N_2 \) and stored at \(-80^\circ C\) until analysis on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer as described in Marie et al. (1999). Before analysis, samples were thawed at ambient temperature in the dark. 600 \( \mu L \) of each sample were mixed and homogenized with 25 \( \mu L \) of TrueCount beads and 10 \( \mu L \) of 2 \( \mu m \) diameter beads (Fluoresbryte™, Polysciences) used as a reference for size discrimination between pico- and nano-phytoplankton. Phytoplankton communities were clustered as Prochlorococcus spp. cell like, Synechococcus spp. cell like, nanoeukaryotes cell like and picoeukaryotes cell like.

For the determination of microphytoplankton community composition (diatoms), water samples (250 mL) were taken every day by pumping and preserved with formalin. In
the laboratory, samples were sedimented and microphytoplankton species were iden-
tified and enumerated under inverted microscope.

Bacterial production (BP) was estimated using the \(^3\)H-leucine incorporation tech-
nique (Kirchman et al., 1985), adapted to the centrifugation method (Smith and Azam, 1992). Radioactivity was counted using a Liquid Scintillation Analyzer Packard 2100 TR and the \(^3\)H counting efficiency was corrected for quenching. BP, calculated from leucine incorporation rates using the conversion factor of 1.5 kg C mol\(^{-1}\) leucine, is given in ng C L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\).

Samples for total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations were collected in duplicate in precombusted (4 h, 450°C), 12 mL sealed glassware flask, acidified with orthophosphoric acid and stored in dark at 4°C until analysis. Samples were analyzed by using a TOC-5000 total carbon analyzer (Sohrin and Sempéré, 2005). The average TOC concentrations in the Deep Atlantic Water and low carbon water reference standards were 45 ± 2 µMC, \(n = 24\) and 1 ± 0.3 µM C, \(n = 24\), respectively. The analytical precision of the procedure was ≤ 2 %.

Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) concentrations were calculated from total nitrogen (TN) concentrations subtracted by particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations. Samples were collected in 50 mL glass bottles and stored at −20°C until analysis. The samples were divided in two parts after a rapid thaw for analysis of both organic and inorganic concentrations. DIN concentration was determined on a segmented flow auto-analyser according to Aminot and Kérouel (2007). TN concentration was determined according to the wet oxidation pro-

2.6 Statistics

Linear regression analyses and one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were per-
formed with StatView 5.0 and the statistics package provided in Microsoft Excel 11.0.
ANOVA was used to compare the means of independent data groups (normally distributed). For the different analyses and tests, the significance threshold was set at $p < 0.05$.

3 Results

3.1 Evolution of the core parameters in the mesocosm

The detailed description of temperature, salinity and nutrient concentrations in the three mesocosms is provided in Bonnet et al. (2015). Briefly, water temperature progressively increased inside and outside the mesocosms from 25.4 to 26.2°C over the course of the 23 day experiment. Salinity also progressively increased from 35.1 to 35.5 but this increase was less pronounced in the surrounding waters with salinities of 35.4 at day 23. Temperature and salinity were homogeneous over depth in the mesocosms, the water column having been well mixed throughout the experiment. In the mesocosms, average concentrations of $\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_2^-$ were < 0.04 µM before the DIP fertilization (day 4) and decreased to 0.01 µM at the end of the experiment. In contrast, $\text{NH}_4^+$ concentrations were ~ 0.01 µM up to day 18, and then increased up to 0.06 µM at day 23. DIP concentrations increased from 0.02–0.05 µM before the fertilization to 0.8 µM just after, and decreased gradually over time to return to their initial concentrations at day 23 (0.02–0.08 µM). In the surrounding waters, $\text{NO}_3^-$ remained < 0.20 µM and DIP was 0.05 µM all over the experiment (Berthelot et al., 2015; Bonnet et al., 2015).

For all the parameters described below, including CDOM and FDOM data, no significant difference was found with depth, except for TChl $a$ and PON whose concentrations were higher at 12 m depth than at 1 and 6 m depths (ANOVA, $n = 20–22$, $p = 0.003–0.04$). Therefore, in the following paragraphs, the parameter descriptors are generally given in term of depth-averaged values.
3.2 Evolution of phytoplankton biomass, bacterial production and organic N and C pools in the mesocosm

TChl a, PON concentrations and BP in the mesocosm M1 and in the surrounding waters (OUT) generally increased throughout the experiment, with a decrease from day 4 to day 9 and then an increase from day 9 to the end of the experiment (Fig. 3a, d and f). This increase was more pronounced in M1, where TChl a, PON concentrations and BP varying from 0.12 to 0.55 µg L\(^{-1}\), 0.65 to 1.31 µM and 85 to 681 ng CL\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively. TChl a, PON concentrations and BP were significantly higher inside M1 during P2 (day 15 to day 23) than inside M1 during P1 (day 5 to day 14), and than outside during P1 and P2 (ANOVA, \(n = 25–30\), \(p < 0.0001–0.004\)) (Table 1). Phycoerythrin concentration decreased from day 4 (0.36 µg L\(^{-1}\)) to day 9 (0.05 µg L\(^{-1}\)), increased towards day 16 (0.34 µg L\(^{-1}\)) and then oscillated to return to the value of 0.34 µg L\(^{-1}\) at day 23 (Fig. 3b). In contrast, in OUT, phycoerythrin concentration increased from day 9 to the end of the experiment, showing a strong raise at day 21 (0.85 µg L\(^{-1}\)). Thus, during P2, phycoerythrin concentration was significantly higher outside M1 than inside (ANOVA, \(n = 9\), \(p = 0.004\)) (Table 1). The TOC concentration decreased from day 4 (70 µM) to day 11 (64 µM) and increased from day 11 to day 22 (81 µM) (Fig. 3c). This increase in the second part of the experiment was not observed in OUT. Although the TOC concentration was significantly higher during P2 than during P1 in M1 (ANOVA, \(n = 9\), \(p = 0.03\)), there was no difference between M1 and OUT during P2 (ANOVA, \(n = 7–9\), \(p = 0.2\)) (Table 1). The DON concentration was rather constant and only tended to decrease during P2 (Fig. 3e). No significant difference in DON concentrations was found between M1 and OUT (ANOVA, \(n = 22–29\), \(p = 0.07–0.7\)) (Table 1).

The abundance of diazotrophs DDAs inside M1 increased from day 3 (77 \(\times\) \(10^3\) nifH copies L\(^{-1}\)) to day 9 (190 \(\times\) \(10^3\) nifH copies L\(^{-1}\)), decreased from day 9 to day 15 (5.4 \(\times\) \(10^3\) nifH copies L\(^{-1}\)) and finally increased from day 15 to day 23 (78 \(\times\) \(10^3\) nifH copies L\(^{-1}\)). In OUT a quite similar pattern was observed despite a high value of 450 \(\times\) \(10^3\) nifH copies L\(^{-1}\) at day 18 (Fig. 4a). No difference in the abundance of
DDAs was observed in M1 between P1 and P2, and between M1 and OUT (ANOVA, \( n = 3–6, \ p = 0.05–0.8 \)) (Table 1). On the other hand, the abundance of diazotrophic Group UCYN-C strongly increased from day 9 (0.54 \( \times 10^3 \) nifH copies L\(^{-1} \)) to day 23 (110 \( \times 10^3 \) nifH copies L\(^{-1} \)) in M1, while it increased much more slowly in OUT from day 10 (0.32 \( \times 10^3 \) nifH copies L\(^{-1} \)) to day 22 (4.8 \( \times 10^3 \) nifH copies L\(^{-1} \)) (Fig. 4b). Hence, the abundance of UCYN-C was much higher in M1 during P2 (ANOVA, \( n = 3–6, \ p < 0.0001 \)) (Table 1). It should be noticed that the abundances of DDAs and UCYN-C are reported as nifH (gene) copies L\(^{-1} \) rather than cells L\(^{-1} \) because there is currently little information about the number of nifH copies per genome in these diazotroph targets (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). Total diatoms in M1 decreased from day 2 (47 \( \times 10^3 \) cell L\(^{-1} \)) to day 9 (6 \( \times 10^3 \) cell L\(^{-1} \)) and then oscillated to reach 41 \( \times 10^3 \) cell L\(^{-1} \) at the end of the experiment, with a maximum value of 120 \( \times 10^3 \) cell L\(^{-1} \) at day 15 (Fig. 4c). This was essentially due to the large diatom *Cylindrotheca closterium* (data not shown). No difference in abundance of total diatoms was observed between P1 and P2 (ANOVA, \( n = 5, \ p = 0.2 \)). The abundances of *Synechococcus* spp., *Prochlorococcus* spp., picoeukaryotes and nanoeukaryotes decreased from day 4 (\(~ 43, 16, 2.2 \ and \ 0.9 \times 10^3 \) cell mL\(^{-1} \), respectively) to day 9 (\(~ 18, 5, 0.8 \ and \ 0.6 \times 10^3 \) cell mL\(^{-1} \), respectively) (Fig. 4d–g). From day 9 to the end of the experiment, the abundance of *Synechococcus* spp. and picoeukaryotes noticeably increased to reach \(~ 90 \ and \ 3.4 \times 10^3 \) cell mL\(^{-1} \) at day 23 respectively, whereas the increase in *Prochlorococcus* spp. and nanoeukaryotes was much less (to \(~ 20 \ and \ 1.3 \times 10^3 \) cell mL\(^{-1} \) at day 23, respectively). The abundance of *Synechococcus* spp., picoeukaryotes and nanoeukaryotes was significantly higher in P2 than in P1 (ANOVA, \( n = 23–24, \ p < 0.0001–0.002 \)), while that of *Prochlorococcus* spp. was not different (ANOVA, \( n = 23–24, \ p = 0.07 \)) (Table 1).

### 3.3 Absorption spectra of CDOM and particulate matter

CDOM absorption spectra of samples collected in M1 and OUT were quite similar, displaying an exponential decrease in \( a_g(\lambda) \) without any significant shoulder (Fig. 5). \( a_{g+p}(\lambda) \) spectra also tended to decrease exponentially with wavelength. They presented...
several shoulders in the range 370–520 nm (at 376, 416, 436 and 490 nm) and a clear peak between 672 and 682 nm (at 676 nm on average) (Fig. 5). \(a_p(\lambda)\) spectra, which reflect the absorption by both phytoplankton and NAP, were characterized by two main Chl \(a\) peaks, one between 432 and 442 nm (at 436 nm on average) and one between 672 and 682 nm (at 676 nm on average), while several shoulders also emerged at 376, 416, 464, 490 and 550 nm. Then, it appeared that \(a_p(\lambda)\) displayed a much higher variability within samples than \(a_g(\lambda)\). Hereafter, \(a_g(\lambda)\) and \(a_{g+p}(\lambda)\) are presented at 370 and 442 nm, while \(a_p(\lambda)\) is given at 442 and 676 nm, the two latter wavelengths corresponding to the absorption maxima of Chl \(a\).

### 3.4 Evolution of absorption coefficients, spectral slopes in the mesocosm

In M1, \(a_g(370)\), \(a_g(442)\), \(a_p(442)\), \(a_p(676)\), \(a_{g+p}(370)\) and \(a_{g+p}(442)\) decreased from day 4 to day 9, when they were as low as \(\sim 0.041, 0.011, 0.009, 0.003, 0.047\) and \(0.020\) m\(^{-1}\), respectively, and then increased from day 9 to the end of the experiment to reach \(\sim 0.067, 0.020, 0.025, 0.012, 0.075\) and \(0.046\) m\(^{-1}\) at day 23, respectively (Fig. 6a, b, e and f; data not shown for \(a_{g+p}(\lambda)\)). In OUT, these parameters also increased from day 9 or 10 to day 23 but with lower amplitude. Inside M1, all these absorption coefficients were significantly higher during P2 than during P1 (ANOVA, \(n = 27–30, p < 0.0001\)). However, only \(a_g(370)\), \(a_p(442)\) and \(a_{g+p}(442)\) were significantly higher in M1 than outside during P2 (ANOVA, \(n = 9–27, p = 0.004–0.02\)) (Table 1). \(S_g\) inside and outside M1, ranging from 0.0148 to 0.0188 nm\(^{-1}\), did not display any clear pattern throughout the experiment (Fig. 6c). In contrast, \(S_{g+p}\) inside M1 increased from day 4 (\(\sim 0.0105\) nm\(^{-1}\)) to day 9 (\(\sim 0.0119\) nm\(^{-1}\)) and decreased from day 9 to day 16 (\(\sim 0.0077\) nm\(^{-1}\)). Then, \(S_{g+p}\) fluctuated until the end of experiment when it was \(\sim 0.0088\) nm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 6d). In OUT, \(S_{g+p}\) tended to diminish from day 5 (0.0103 nm\(^{-1}\)) to day 25 (0.0084 nm\(^{-1}\)). During P2, \(S_{g+p}\) was significantly lower inside M1 than outside (ANOVA, \(n = 9–27, p = 0.04\)) (Table 1).
3.5 Spectral characteristics and identification of FDOM components

Three FDOM components (C1–C3) were identified by the PARAFAC model validated on 130 EEM samples from M1 and OUT. The spectral characteristics of C1–C3 are reported in Fig. 7. These components exhibited one or two Ex maxima and one Em maximum. C1, with a maximum at $\lambda_{\text{Ex}}/\lambda_{\text{Em}}$ of 230/476 nm, corresponded to the category of UVC humic-like fluorophores, referred to as peak A (Coble, 1996, 2007; Ishii and Boyer, 2012). C2 and C3 had two maxima each, located at $\lambda_{\text{Ex1}}, \lambda_{\text{Ex2}}/\lambda_{\text{Em}}$ of 225, 280/344 nm and 225, 275/304 nm, respectively (Fig. 7). They belonged to the group of protein-like fluorophores, C2 being analogous to tryptophan-like fluorophore (peaks T) and C3 being analogous to tyrosine-like fluorophore (peaks B) (Coble, 1996, 2007).

3.6 Evolution of FDOM components in the mesocosm M1

Inside M1, the fluorescence intensity of humic-like fluorophore decreased from day 2 (∼5.3 QSU) to day 8 (∼2.7 QSU), increased from day 8 to day 14 (∼4.8 QSU) and dropped down to ∼2.5 QSU at day 15. Then, it increased to reach ∼5.6 QSU at the end of the experiment (Fig. 8a). The fluorescence intensity of tryptophan-like fluorophore decreased from day 3 (∼9.1 QSU) to day 8 (∼5.3 QSU) (Fig. 8b). At day 9, it increased up to ∼8.3 QSU and remained relatively stable up to day 14 (∼8.4 QSU). After a reduction at day 15 (∼5.9 QSU), the fluorescence intensity increased up to the end of the experiment (∼10.4 QSU at day 20). The fluorescence intensity of tyrosine-like fluorophore decreased from day 5 (∼8.2 QSU) to day 15 (∼3.9 QSU) and then slowly increased to day 20 (∼6.2 QSU) (Fig. 8c). While for humic- and tryptophan-like fluorophores no differences in their fluorescence intensity were observed between P1 and P2 (ANOVA, $n = 18–30$, $p = 0.4–0.9$), the fluorescence intensity of tyrosine-like fluorophore was significantly lower during P2 (ANOVA, $n = 18–28$, $p = 0.002$) (Table 1).
3.7 Relationships between the chromophoric and the biogeochemical/biological parameters

Table 2 presents $r$ values of linear regressions between the chromophoric and the biogeochemical/biological parameters for the samples collected in M1 from day 5 to day 23. Here we consider that only the correlations that are very highly significant ($p < 0.0001$) reflect relevant linear relationships. Even though $a_{g+p}(370, 442)$ were very highly (positively) correlated to $a_g(370, 442)$ and $a_p(442, 676)$ ($r = 0.70–0.98$, $n = 36$, $p < 0.0001$), $a_g(370, 442)$ and $a_p(442, 676)$ were much less correlated to each other ($r = 0.52–0.62$, $n = 36$, $p < 0.0001–0.002$). $S_g$ was not correlated to $a_g(370, 442)$ and $a_{g+p}(370, 442)$ ($r = 0.15–0.22$, $n = 36$, $p = 0.06–0.9$), whereas $S_{g+p}$ was very highly negatively correlated to $a_{g+p}(370, 442)$ and $a_p(442, 676)$ ($r = −0.67–0.94$, $n = 36$, $p < 0.0001$). Even though humic- and tryptophan-like fluorophores were very highly correlated ($r = 0.67$, $n = 36$, $p < 0.0001$), they did not show any coupling with tyrosine-like fluorophore ($r = 0.20–0.48$, $n = 36$, $p = 0.005–0.2$). Moreover, none of these three fluorophores was very highly correlated to the absorption coefficients and spectral slopes ($r = 0.00–0.47$, $n = 36$, $p > 0.5–0.05$) (Table 2). These correlations emphasize the decoupling between the CDOM and FDOM materials during the experiment.

All absorption coefficients were very highly positively correlated to *Synechococcus* spp. abundance ($r = 0.76–0.90$), BP ($r = 0.72–0.85$), TChl $a$ concentration ($r = 0.60–0.88$), PON concentration ($r = 0.58–0.78$) and picoeukaryote abundance ($r = 0.52–0.76$) ($n = 36$, $p < 0.0001$). $S_g$ as well as the three FDOM fluorophores did not present any highly significant correlation with the biogeochemical/biological constituents. On the contrary, $S_{g+p}$ exhibited very highly significant negative correlations with phycoerythrin concentration, *Synechococcus* spp. abundance, TChl $a$ concentration, BP, nano- and pico-eukaryote abundances, and PON concentration ($r = −0.63–0.84$, $n = 36$, $p < 0.0001$). Phycoerythrin, TOC, DDAs, UCYN-C and total diatoms did not display any very highly significant correlations with the chromophoric parameters (except between phycoerythrin and $S_{g+p}$) although some $r$ values were quite high (for instance
0.90 between UCYN-C and $a_{g+p}(442)$ or $a_p(442)$). This is because these correlations were determined for a lower number of samples (8–15). Nonetheless, albeit not very highly significant, these relationships highlighted interesting trends such as positive (negative) relationships between absorption coefficients (tyrosine-like fluorophore) and UCYN-C abundance, and negative (positive) relationships between absorption coefficients (tyrosine-like fluorophore) and DDA abundance (Table 2).

4 Discussion

4.1 General characteristics of chromophoric material

4.1.1 CDOM absorption

CDOM absorption spectra from samples inside and outside the mesocosm did not display any significant shoulder in the range 370–720 nm (Fig. 5). In the same way, using the PSICAM, Röttgers and Koch (2012) did not observe any specific feature in the CDOM absorption spectra from 380 to 700 nm of samples collected in the surface waters of the tropical Atlantic Ocean and of the North East and South West Pacific, including our study area inside the barrier reef of New Caledonia.

$a_g(370)$ and $a_g(442)$ measured inside and outside the mesocosm (0.040–0.086 and 0.011–0.022 m$^{-1}$, respectively; Fig. 6a and b) were within the range of those measured in surface waters of the Atlantic Ocean and at 80–200 m depth in the South West Pacific (offshore of New Caledonia) (0.021–0.118 and 0.004–0.039 m$^{-1}$, respectively) (Röttgers and Doerffer, 2007; Röttgers and Koch, 2012; Dupouy et al., 2014). In addition, our $a_g(370)$ and $a_g(442)$ values were slightly lower than those measured in the subtropical North Pacific and the Mediterranean Sea (0.050–0.090 and 0.018–0.035 m$^{-1}$, respectively) (Yamashita et al., 2013; Organelli et al., 2014) but higher than those observed in the center of the gyre of the South East Pacific (> 0.010–0.035 and 0.005–0.015 m$^{-1}$, respectively) (Bricaud et al., 2010).
Our $S_g$ values determined over the range 370–500 nm varied between 0.015 and 0.019 nm$^{-1}$ inside and outside the mesocosm (Fig. 6c). They were to some extent lower than $S_g$ values determined between 350 and 500 nm of surface waters of the Mediterranean Sea, Atlantic Ocean and South East Pacific (0.015–0.025 nm$^{-1}$) (Röttgers and Doerffer, 2007; Bricaud et al., 2010; Para et al., 2010; Organelli et al., 2014). In fact, our $S_g$ values did not reach the maximal values encountered in oligotrophic areas (0.020–0.025 nm$^{-1}$) despite the potentially important CDOM photobleaching processes which would have occurred in the surface waters of the mesocosm. This is explained by the different spectral ranges used for the $S_g$ determination (370–500 nm vs. 350–500 nm).

Indeed, $S_g$, which strongly depends on the chosen wavelength interval, is generally higher for intervals in the short wavelengths (Nelson and Siegel, 2013; Sempéré et al., 2015), and do not present a constant spectral slope but rather steeper slopes towards the short UV wavelengths (Tedetti et al., 2007; Sempéré et al., 2015). Hence, this difference of 20 nm in the wavelength interval may influence the $S_g$ values, the latter decreasing when considering the range 370–500 nm. It is worth noting that no correlation was found between $a_g(370)$ or $a_g(442)$ and $S_g$ (Table 2). This was probably attributable to the low ranges and the low values of both $a_g(\lambda)$ and $S_g$ reported here.

4.1.2 FDOM

The three FDOM components identified in this work were UVC humic-, tryptophan- and tyrosine-like fluorophores (Fig. 7). Thus, FDOM was dominated by protein-like material, i.e. compounds containing nitrogen, while humic-like material was less represented. Of these protein-like compounds, tryptophan- and tyrosine-like fluorophores have been reported in many aquatic ecosystems (see reviews by Coble, 2007; Fellman et al., 2010). They represent compounds of low molecular weight at the state of free amino acids or amino acids bound in peptides or proteins. They are known to be released by autochthonous (marine) phytoplankton activity and serve as fresh and labile bioavailable products for heterotrophic bacteria (Yamashita and Tanoue, 2004; Nieto-Cid et al., 2010).
2006; Romera-Castillo et al., 2010; Tedetti et al., 2012). Moreover, they can be directly associated to humic substances (Stedmon and Cory, 2014). Generally, they do not show any conservative behaviour in the salinity gradient (Kowalczuk et al., 2009). UVC humic-like fluorophore (“peak A”) corresponds to component 1 (λ_{Ex}/λ_{Em} < 230–260/400–500 nm) in the review paper by Ishii and Boyer (2012) and is one of the most widespread humic-like components in the aquatic environment (Kowalczuk et al., 2009, 2013). This fluorophore, which absorbs light at very short wavelengths (230 nm) and fluoresce in long visible wavelengths (476 nm) resulting in a high Stokes shift (246 nm), would be of relatively low molecular weight (< 1 kDa) compared to other fluorescent humic-like materials (Ishii and Boyer, 2012). Present in higher quantities in the photic zone and shallow surface waters, this humic-like component is recognized as a photodegradation product of marine organic matter that is no more photodegradable due to its absorption solely in the UVC wavelengths (Yamashita et al., 2008; Ishii and Boyer, 2012). Besides its resistance to photodegradation, the UVC humic-like fluorophore appears to be resistant to biodegradation (Balcarczyk et al., 2009; Fellman et al., 2010).

4.1.3 Particulate absorption

Particulate absorption coefficient spectra were dominated by phytoplankton absorption (a_{\phi}(\lambda)) with the presence of the two main peaks of Chl a (at 436 and 672 nm), whereas the influence of detrital material (NAP), characterized by an exponential decrease of absorption with wavelength, was not really visible upon these spectra (Fig. 5). Besides these two main peaks, several shoulders were found between 376 and 550 nm. Actually, the shoulders at 376 and 416 nm might be related to Chl a (Stuart et al., 1998; Lohrenz et al., 2003). The shoulders at 464 and 490 nm reflected the occurrence of photosynthetic carotenoids and/or non photosynthetic (photoprotective) carotenoids (Dupouy et al., 1997, 2003; Stuart et al., 1998; Wozniak et al., 1999; Lohrenz et al., 2003; Bricaud et al., 2004). In addition, the shoulder at 490 nm may be related to the presence of phycourobilin (PUB). PUB, which absorbs light around 490 nm, is known to be contained in phycoerythrin of cyanobacteria, such as Synechococcus spp., living...
in the open ocean. PUB is indeed considered as a chromatic adaptation to blue radiation which penetrates deeper than other wavelengths in the water column (Neveux et al., 1999). Also, the small shoulder at 550 nm could be the sign of phycoerythrobilin (PEB), also contained in phycoerythrin. PEB, absorbing light around 550–565 nm, is present in higher amount in *Synechococcus* spp. of coastal environments (Neveux et al., 1999). Pronounced shoulders or peaks at 550 nm are observed only for exceptional *Synechococcus* spp. concentrations (> 3 × 10^5 cell mL\(^{-1}\)) with TChl \(a > 1 \mu g L^{-1}\) (Morel, 1997) or more commonly for large filamentous cyanobacteria in tropical waters (Dupouy et al., 2008). An attribution of the shoulders at 490 and 550 nm to PUB and PEB, respectively appears reasonable regarding the fact that PUB and PEB signals were detected in the phycoerythrin fluorescence measurements (M. Rodier, personal communication, 2015). Such a proportion of *Prochlorococcus* spp. and *Synechococcus* spp. counts reported in this work are typical of the New Caledonia lagoon (Neveux et al., 2009) compared to the equatorial upwelling area where this is inversed (Dupouy et al., 2003).

Absorption coefficients of particulate matter at 442 and 676 nm \((a_p(442)\) and \(a_p(676)\)) measured inside and outside the mesocosm at the exit of the New Caledonian coral lagoon (0.006–0.031 and 0.0013–0.013 m\(^{-1}\), respectively; Fig. 6e and f) were slightly lower than those measured with the same instrument in the surface waters within the New Caledonian lagoon (0.008–0.040 and 0.0030–0.018 m\(^{-1}\), respectively) (Röttgers et al., 2014; Dupouy et al., 2014), the latter values being linked to an exceptional increase in total phytoplankton biomass during the 2008 Valhybio cruise in response to a *La Nina* heavy rain episode (Dupouy et al., 2009; Fuchs et al., 2012).
4.2 Coupling between the dynamics of chromophoric material and that of N₂ fixation in the mesocosm

4.2.1 Link between absorption and *Synechococcus* spp.

During the experiment, we may assume that the absorption of particulate matter was mainly driven by *Synechococcus* spp. Several observations may support this hypothesis. Firstly, *Synechococcus* spp. was the most abundant group among (non-diazotrophic) pico-, nano- and micro-phytoplankton communities in the mesocosm (Fig. 4c–g). For instance, the concentration of *Synechococcus* spp. (88 ± 14 × 10³ cell mL⁻¹ in P2) was higher by a factor ∼1000 relative to that of total diatoms (44 ± 37 × 10³ cell L⁻¹ in P2) (Table 1). *Synechococcus* spp. abundance cannot in first approach be compared to those of diazotrophs (given in nifH copies L⁻¹). However, assuming that there was a minimum of one nifH gene copy per cell, the number of nifH (gene) copies L⁻¹ may reflect the upper limit of the number of cells L⁻¹, though DNA and RNA extractions were probably < 100 % (Foster et al., 2009). In this sense, the concentration of *Synechococcus* spp. was higher by a factor ∼1000 relative to that of UCYN-C (64 ± 24 × 10³ nifH copies L⁻¹, given a maximum of 64 ± 24 × 10³ cell L⁻¹ in P2) (Table 1). Thus, we conclude that *Synechococcus* spp. was very likely the most important group among non-diazotrophic and diazotrophic communities in the mesocosm. Secondly, *Synechococcus* spp. is known to have significant absorption properties in the visible domain, highlighted in culture experiments (Bidigare et al., 1989; Morel et al., 1993; Stramski and Mobley, 1997; Lutz et al., 2001) but also in natural samples (Morel, 1997). Absorption properties of *Synechococcus* spp. have been compared to those of *Prochlorococcus* spp. and nanoplanktonic diatoms. The efficiency factor for absorption (given for a cell), which depends on both the size and the internal pigment concentration, was on average two times higher for *Prochlorococcus* spp. and three times higher for nanoplanktonic diatoms than for *Synechococcus* spp. over the visible domain (Morel et al., 1993; Stramski and Mobley, 1997). Considering than the number of cells of *Synechococcus* spp. was on average 6 times higher than that of...
Prochlorococcus spp. and ~1000 times higher than that of total diatoms during P2, we may put forward that Synechococcus spp was the main contributor to visible absorption in the mesocosm. Finally, $a_p(442)$ and $a_p(676)$ showed the highest positive correlation with Synechococcus spp. abundance (Table 2) with a very similar evolution during P1 and P2 (Fig. 4d, 6e, f).

The absorption of CDOM also presented the highest (positive) coupling with Synechococcus spp. abundance and BP (Figs. 3f, 4d, 6a and b; Table 2). Therefore, we can make the assumption that CDOM was produced by heterotrophic bacteria from their assimilation of labile organic compounds released by Synechococcus spp. Indeed, the latter has been shown to release DOM (Bronk, 1999; Becker et al., 2014) that may be directly used to support heterotrophic activity (Nagata, 2000; Lefort and Gasol, 2014). These (non-colored) labile organic substrates issued from Synechococcus spp. would be converted into chromophoric, more refractory compounds by heterotrophic bacteria (Nelson et al., 1998; Rochelle-Newall and Fisher, 2002; Nelson and Siegel, 2013). Currently, the coupling between phytoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria seems to be recognized as a major pathway for the formation of CDOM in the ocean (Rochelle-Newall and Fisher, 2002; Nelson and Siegel, 2013; Organelli et al., 2014). CDOM could be also produced directly from Synechococcus spp., as mentioned by Romera-Castillo et al. (2011). Also, we cannot exclude the participation of other primary producers, such as diatoms, to the CDOM production through a direct release of colored material (Romera-Castillo et al., 2010; Chari et al., 2013) or through the bacterial re-working. For example, from culture experiments, Chari et al. (2013) reported the production of CDOM by Cylindrotheca closterium, one of the most important diatom species in the mesocosm. Regarding the respective abundances of Synechococcus spp. and diatoms and their evolution all over the experiment, it seems however that Synechococcus spp. was a greater contributor to CDOM than diatoms. Consequently, even though it seems difficult here to discriminate the respective contributions of cyanobacterial primary producers (Synechococcus spp.) and heterotrophic bacteria in the production of CDOM,
unambiguously the coupling between both plays a key role in the absorption of particulate and dissolved chromophoric material in the mesocosm.

### 4.2.2 Link between absorption, *Synechococcus* spp. and N$_2$ fixation

During the first part of the experiment (P1), the diazotrophic community was dominated by diatoms-diazotrophs associations (DDAs) (Fig. 4a), more specifically heterocyst-forming *Richelia* associated with *Rhizosolenia* (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). The decrease observed in phytoplankton biomass (including diatoms, *Synechococcus* spp., *Prochlorococcus* spp., pico- and nano-eukaryotes), BP and organic C/N pools from day 4 to day 9–11 (Figs. 3a–d, f and 4c–g) was attributable to a N limitation. In fact, during the first days, phytoplankton would have consumed the small stock of nitrates remaining in the water column without new inputs. In addition, DDAs would not have been a significant source of N for its surrounding environment because *Richelia* would have given the major part of the N that they had fixed to their host diatoms (Berthelot et al., 2015). This decrease in *Synechococcus* spp. and BP led to the decrease in CDOM and particulate matter absorption (Fig. 6a, b, e and f). During P1, the total amount of N issued from the N$_2$ fixation was equivalent to the total amount of PON exported, putting forward a rapid and possibly direct export of the recently fixed N$_2$ by DDAs (Berthelot et al., 2015). In the second part of the experiment (P2), unicellular cyanobacteria Group C (UCYN-C) became the dominant diazotrophs (Fig. 4b). The UCYN-C bloom was induced by the phosphate fertilization and increasing temperatures (Turk-Kubo et al., 2015). Consequently, N$_2$ fixation rates were higher during P2 than during P1 (Berthelot et al., 2015). From these authors, the N released by UCYN-C allowed for supporting non-diazotrophic cyanobacterial and heterotrophic bacterial growths. This would have in turn stimulated the production of dissolved and particulate chromophoric materials (increase in TChl $a$, PON, TOC, BP, *Synechococcus* spp., $a_g$(370, 442) and $a_p$(442, 676) from day 9–11 to day 21–23; Figs. 3a, c, d and f, 4d, 6a, b and e, f). The enhancement of *Synechococcus* spp. via the release of N by diazotrophs has been already underscored by Agawin et al. (2007). This N release could
also explain the strong increase in diatoms (mainly *Cylindrotheca closterium*) at day 15 (Fig. 4c). In P2, both N\textsubscript{2} fixation and DON consumption were significant N sources for primary production and might explain the PON production (Berthelot et al., 2015). It is worth noting that a perfect temporal synchronization occurred between the variations of *Synechococcus* spp./BP and the variations of CDOM and particulate matter absorption. This implies rapidity in the production mechanisms of the chromophoric material inside the mesocosm. The variations of $S_g$ (Fig. 6c) (no correlation with $a_g(370, 442)$; Table 2) suggested that CDOM absorption was not only influenced by production processes but was also probably submitted to photo- and microbial-degradation processes, which led to modifications in the CDOM molecular weight. It is very likely that these degradation processes were not intense enough to counterbalance the production of CDOM by the couple *Synechococcus* spp./heterotrophic bacteria.

### 4.2.3 Absorption budget

Also, the contribution of CDOM in total absorption remained high in the UV domain (370 nm), ranging from 72 to 96%, while it decreased from the beginning (50–66%) to the end of the experiment (40–48%) in the visible domain (442 nm). These percentages in the UV and visible domains are in line with those reported in the literature for the open ocean (Siegel et al., 2002, 2005; Tedetti et al., 2010), the higher contribution of particulate material in the absorption budget at 442 nm during the second part of the experiment being explained by the bloom of cyanobacterial primary producers (*Synechococcus* spp.).

### 4.2.4 FDOM decoupling

FDOM did neither follow the evolution of CDOM nor the evolution of heterotrophic bacteria and *Synechococcus* spp. (Fig. 8; Table 2). Tryptophan- and tyrosine-like fluorophores are part of the DON pool. The evolution of tyrosine-like fluorophore, whose fluorescence intensity was higher in P1 than in P2 (Table 1), tended to be close to that
of DDAs (Fig. 4a, 8c; Table 2). Therefore, we may hypothesize a role of these diatoms-diazotrophs associations in the production of the tyrosine-like material. The tyrosine-like fluorophore released by phytoplankton could then be consumed by heterotrophic bacteria as labile substrates. UVC humic-like fluorophore, which would represent a kind of “ultimate” refractory humic compound in marine waters (no more photodegradable, no more biodegradable), displayed however an evolution close to that of tryptophan material with two important decreases at days 7–8 and 15. This observation supports the hypothesis by Stedmon and Cory (2014) of an association between humic substances and tryptophan fluorophore in seawater. This revealed that the humic-like component was also subjected to production/degradation processes in the mesocosm that cannot be precisely identified here. The fact that CDOM which absorbs light at 370 nm was not fluorescent (no fluorophores with Ex peak at 370 nm) strengthened the assumption that \(a_g(370)\) and the three fluorophores represented independent chromophoric materials that were driven by different processes. Tryptophan- and tyrosine-like fluorophores belonged to the DON pool. Nonetheless, they showed different patterns in the mesocosm: while DON decreased during P2 (both the DON consumption and the \(N_2\) fixation supported the PON production during P2; Berthelot et al., 2015), the two fluorophores tended to increase. This suggested that tryptophan- and tyrosine-like materials were probably not involved in the PON production.

5 Conclusion

Studies dealing with the CDOM dynamics in the frame of mesocosm experiments remain limited so far and have been conducted merely in coastal-temperate or polar ecosystems (Rochelle-Newall et al., 1999, 2004; Pavlov et al., 2014). This work highlights the spectral characteristics and the variability of dissolved and particulate chromophoric materials throughout a 23 day mesocosm experiment in a tropical, oligotrophic LNLC ecosystem in which \(N_2\) fixers and picophytoplankton play an essential role. Although CDOM did not display any specific shoulders in its absorption spectra,
those of particulate chromophoric material were dominated by Chl a fingerprint with small signatures of carotenoids, phycourorubilin and phycoerythrobilin, which could be related to *Synechococcus* spp., the most abundant cyanobacterial group in the mesocosm. The dynamics of CDOM and particulate matter were strongly coupled with those of *Synechococcus* spp. and bacterial production. Indeed, in the second part of the experiment, the N released in the surrounding environment, very likely by UCYN-C diazotrophs, allowed for supporting cyanobacterial and heterotrophic bacterial growths and subsequently stimulating the production of dissolved and particulate chromophoric materials. The increase in phytoplankton biomass during the second part of the experiment led to a higher contribution of particulate material in the absorption budget at 442 nm. FDOM, composed by (N-containing) protein-like fluorophores and UVC humic-like (photoproduct) fluorophore, did not follow the evolution of CDOM and particulate matter, and was thus subjected to different production/degradation processes in the mesocosm. Finally, this study shows the connection between the dynamics of the N$_2$ fixation and that of chromophoric material in the South West Pacific.
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Table 1. Mean values and associated standard deviations of chromophoric, biogeochemical and biological parameters of samples collected in the mesocosm M1 and in the surrounding waters (OUT) during the first part of the experiment, i.e. from day 5 to day 14 (P1), and during the second part of the experiment, i.e. from day 15 to day 23 (P2). The means which have different letters (a, b, c or d) are significantly different (ANOVA, p < 0.05). M1-P2 values in bold are significantly different from M1-P1, OUT-P1 and OUT-P2 values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>M1-P1 (n)</th>
<th>M1-P2 (n)</th>
<th>OUT-P1 (n)</th>
<th>OUT-P2 (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TChl a (µg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.05a (28)</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.14b (27)</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03a (25)</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.07c (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phycocerythrin (µg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.09a (9)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.09a (9)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.08a (10)</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.19b (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (µM)</td>
<td>66.5 ± 2.1a (9)</td>
<td>69.7 ± 4.3a (9)</td>
<td>66.6 ± 2.8a (9)</td>
<td>67.7 ± 1.5a,b (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PON (µM)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.13a (30)</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.21b (27)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.06c (30)</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.13c (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON (µM)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.4a (29)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.6a (22)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4a (29)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.8a (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP (ng CL⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td>157 ± 49a (30)</td>
<td>348 ± 142b (27)</td>
<td>135 ± 24a (30)</td>
<td>256 ± 60b (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDAs (×10⁻³ nifH copies L⁻¹)</td>
<td>120 ± 45a,b (5)</td>
<td>54 ± 31a (6)</td>
<td>227 ± 189c (5)</td>
<td>200 ± 220a,b (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCYN-C (×10⁻³ nifH copies L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 7.6a (4)</td>
<td>64 ± 24a (6)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.8a (5)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.7c (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diatoms (×10⁻³ cell L⁻¹)</td>
<td>17 ± 9a (5)</td>
<td>44 ± 37a (5)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synechococcus (×10⁻³ cell mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>41 ± 20a (24)</td>
<td>88 ± 14b (23)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prochlorococcus (×10⁻³ cell mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>12 ± 6a (24)</td>
<td>15 ± 3a (23)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picoeukaryotes (×10⁻³ cell mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.8a (24)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.6b (23)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoeukaryotes (×10⁻³ cell mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4a (24)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4b (23)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₉ (370) (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.004a (30)</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.009b (27)</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.005a,c (9)</td>
<td>0.052 ± 0.006c (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₈ (442) (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.001a (30)</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.003a (27)</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.002a,c (9)</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.001a,b (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sₐ (nm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0172 ± 0.001a,b (30)</td>
<td>0.0174 ± 0.001a (27)</td>
<td>0.0169 ± 0.001a,b (9)</td>
<td>0.0169 ± 0.001a (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₀ (370) (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.006a (30)</td>
<td>0.066 ± 0.006b (27)</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.005a,c (9)</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.007b (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₀ (442) (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.004a (30)</td>
<td>0.038 ± 0.005b (27)</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.002a,c (9)</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.003c (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₀ (555) (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0104 ± 0.001a (30)</td>
<td>0.0086 ± 0.001b (27)</td>
<td>0.0100 ± 0.0001a (9)</td>
<td>0.0092 ± 0.001c (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₀ (676) (m⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.002a (30)</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.002b (27)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.001a (9)</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.001b (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humic-like (QSU)</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.76a (30)</td>
<td>4.45 ± 1.09b (18)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan-like (QSU)</td>
<td>7.68 ± 1.17a (30)</td>
<td>8.07 ± 2.07a (18)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine-like (QSU)</td>
<td>6.57 ± 1.21a (28)</td>
<td>5.49 ± 0.83b (18)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: not determined; TChl a: total chlorophyll a concentration; TOC: total organic carbon concentration; PON and DON: particulate and dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations; BP: bacterial production; DDAs: diatoms-diazotrophs associations; UCYN-C: unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacteria Group C; a₉ (370) and a₈ (442): absorption coefficients of CDOM at 370 and 442 nm; a₀ (370) and a₀ (442): absorption coefficients of CDOM + particulate matter at 370 and 442 nm; Sₐ and S₀: spectral slopes of CDOM and CDOM + particulate matter; a₀ (442) and a₀ (676): absorption coefficients of particulate matter at 442 and 676 nm; Humic-like, tryptophan-like and tyrosine-like: fluorescence intensity of humic-like, tryptophan-like and tyrosine-like FDOM fluorophores. Detailed data about diazotrophs (DDAs and UCYN-C) are found in Turk-Kubo et al. (2015).
Table 2. Pearson’s correlation coefficients ($r$) of linear regressions between the chromophoric and the biogeochemical/biological parameters of samples collected in the mesocosm M1 from day 5 to day 23, i.e. from the day after the dissolved inorganic phosphorus fertilization to the end of the experiment (P1 + P2) ($n = 36$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a_g$(370)</th>
<th>$a_g$(442)</th>
<th>$a_{g+p}$(370)</th>
<th>$a_{g+p}$(442)</th>
<th>$S_g$</th>
<th>$S_{g+p}$</th>
<th>$a_p$(442)</th>
<th>$a_p$(676)</th>
<th>Humic</th>
<th>Trypto.</th>
<th>Tyrosine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_g$(442)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{g+p}$(370)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{g+p}$(442)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_g$</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{g+p}$</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_g$(442)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_g$(676)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humic</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypto.</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TChl a</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyco.*</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC*</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PON</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDAs*</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCYN-C*</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatoms*</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synecho.</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prochloro.</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picoeuka.</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoeuka.</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation coefficients ($r$) in bold are very highly significant ($p < 0.0001$).

* Correlations determined on a lower number of samples ($n$): 15 for Phyco. and TOC, 10 for DDAs, 9 for UCYN-C and 8 for diatoms.

$a_g$(370) and $a_g$(442): absorption coefficients of CDOM at 370 and 442 nm (m$^{-1}$); $a_{g+p}$(370) and $a_{g+p}$(442): absorption coefficients of CDOM + particulate matter at 370 and 442 nm (m$^{-1}$); $S_g$ and $S_{g+p}$: spectral slopes of CDOM and CDOM + particulate matter (nm$^{-1}$); $a_g$(442) and $a_g$(676): absorption coefficients of particulate matter at 442 and 676 nm (m$^{-1}$); Humic: fluorescence intensity of humic-like fluorophore (QSU); Trypto.: fluorescence intensity of tryptophan-like fluorophore (QSU); Tyrosine: fluorescence intensity of tyrosine-like fluorophore (QSU); TChl a: total chlorophyll a concentration (µgL$^{-1}$); Phyco.: phycoerythrin concentration (µgL$^{-1}$); TOC: total organic carbon concentration (µM); PON and DON: particulate and dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations (µM); BP: bacterial production (ngCL$^{-1}$h$^{-1}$). Detailed data about diatom-bacteria associations (nifHcopiesL$^{-1}$) are found in Turk-Kubo et al. (2015).
**Figure 1.** Location of the site of the VAHINE mesocosm experiment at the exit of the New Caledonian coral lagoon, 28 km off the coast of New Caledonia, in the South West Pacific (Ocean Data View software version 4.6.5, Schlitzer, R., http://odv.awi.de, 2014, and Google Earth).
**Figure 2.** Picture of the VAHINE mesocosms deployed at the exit of the New Caledonian coral lagoon.
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Figure 3. Evolution of (a) total chlorophyll a (TChl a) and (b) phycoerythrin concentrations (µg L⁻¹), (c) total organic carbon (TOC), (d) particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and (e) dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) concentrations (µM) and (f) bacterial production (BP) (ng CL⁻¹ h⁻¹) in the mesocosm M1 and in the surrounding waters (OUT) at 1, 6 and 12 m depths (except phycoerythrin and TOC concentrations, determined only at 6 m depth) over the course of the 23 day experiment. Dots are mean values with standard deviation from duplicate measurements, except for phycoerythrin. For TChl a, standard deviations are comprised within dots. Black line represents the depth-averaged values. P1: first part of the experiment, from day 5 to day 14; P2: second part of the experiment, from day 15 to day 23.
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Figure 4. Evolution of the abundance of (a) diatoms-diazotrophs associations (DDAs) and (b) unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacteria Group C (UCYN-C) ($\times 10^3$ nifH copies L$^{-1}$) in the mesocosm M1 and in the surrounding waters (OUT), and (c) total diatoms ($\times 10^3$ cell L$^{-1}$), (d) *Synechococcus* spp., (e) *Prochlorococcus* spp., (f) picoeukaryotes and (g) nanoeukaryotes ($\times 10^3$ cell L$^{-1}$) in the mesocosm M1 only, over the course of the 23 day experiment. *Synechococcus* spp., *Prochlorococcus* spp., picoeukaryotes and nanoeukaryotes were determined at 1, 6 and 12 m depths, while DDAs, UCYN-C and total diatoms were determined solely at 6 m depth. For DDAs and UCYN-C, dots are mean values with standard deviation from duplicate measurements. Black line represents the depth-averaged values. P1: first part of the experiment, from day 5 to day 14; P2: second part of the experiment, from day 15 to day 23. Detailed data about diazotrophs (DDAs and UCYN-C) are found in Turk-Kubo et al. (2015).
**Figure 5.** Absorption spectra of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), CDOM + particulate matter and particulate matter over the ranges 370–720 nm and 370–430 nm of samples collected in the mesocosm M1 at 1, 6 and 12 m depths and in the surrounding waters at 1 m depth. Left column: all spectra, right column: mean spectra (full line) and standard deviation (dotted lines) on which are reported peaks and shoulders.
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Graphs showing data for different depths and wavelengths.
Figure 6. Evolution of (a) absorption coefficient of CDOM at 370 nm ($a_g(370)$ in m$^{-1}$), (b) absorption coefficient of CDOM at 442 nm ($a_g(442)$ in m$^{-1}$), (c) spectral slope of CDOM absorption in the range 370–500 nm ($S_g$ in nm$^{-1}$), (d) spectral slope of CDOM + particulate matter absorption in the range 370–500 nm ($S_{g+p}$ in nm$^{-1}$), (e) absorption coefficient of particulate matter at 442 nm ($a_p(442)$ in m$^{-1}$) and (f) absorption coefficient of particulate matter at 676 nm ($a_p(676)$ in m$^{-1}$) in the mesocosm M1 at 1, 6 and 12 m depths and in the surrounding waters (OUT) at 1 m depth over the course of the 23 day experiment. Dots are mean values with standard deviation from duplicate measurements, except for $S_g$ and $S_{g+p}$. Black line represents the depth-averaged values. P1: first part of the experiment, from day 5 to day 14; P2: second part of the experiment, from day 15 to day 23.
Figure 7. Spectral characteristics of the three FDOM components (C1–C3) validated by the PARAFAC model for 130 EEMs of samples collected in the mesocosm M1 at 1, 6 and 12 m depths and in the surrounding waters at 1 m depth over the course of the 23-day experiment. Both contour (left column) and line (right column) plots are depicted. The line plots show the excitation (left side) and emission (right side) fluorescence spectra. The dotted grey lines correspond to split half validation results. The excitation and emission maxima (\(\lambda_{\text{Ex}}\) and \(\lambda_{\text{Em}}\)) of each component are given.
Figure 8. Evolution of the fluorescence intensities (QSU) of the three FDOM components: (a) humic-like, (b) tryptophan-like and (c) tyrosine-like fluorophores in the mesocosm M1 at 1, 6 and 12 m depths over the course of the 23 day experiment. Dots are mean values with standard deviation from duplicate measurements. Black line represents the depth-averaged values. P1: first part of the experiment, from day 5 to day 14; P2: second part of the experiment, from day 15 to day 23. Fluorescence intensities in the surrounding waters (OUT) at 1 m depth were determined on only few samples at the beginning and the end of the experiment and are thus not presented here.