Downward particle flux and carbon export in the Beaufort Sea, Arctic Ocean; the role of zooplankton
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Abstract

As part of the international, multidisciplinary project Malina, downward particle fluxes were investigated by means of a drifting multi-sediment trap mooring deployed at three sites in the Canadian Beaufort Sea in late summer 2009. Mooring deployments lasted for 28-50 h and targeted the shelf-break and the slope along the Beaufort-Mackenzie continental margin, as well as the edge between the Mackenzie Shelf and the Amundsen Gulf. Besides analyses of C and N, the collected material was investigated for pigments, phyto- and microzooplankton, faecal pellets and swimmers.

The measured fluxes were relatively low, in the range of 11-54 mg m⁻² d⁻¹ for the total mass, 1-15 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹ for organic carbon and 0.2-2.5 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ for nitrogen. Comparison with a long-term trap dataset from the same sampling area showed that the short-term measurements were at the lower end of the high variability characterizing a rather high flux regime during the study period.

The sinking material consisted of aggregates and particles that were characterized by the presence of hetero- and autotrophic microzooplankters and diatoms and by the corresponding
pigment signatures. Faecal pellets contribution to sinking carbon flux was important, especially at depth where they represented up to 25% of the total carbon flux. The vertical distribution of different morphotypes of pellets showed a marked pattern with cylindrical faeces (produced by calanoid copepods) present mainly within the euphotic zone, whereas elliptical pellets (produced mainly by smaller copepods) were more abundant at mesopelagic depths. These features, together with the density of matter within the pellets, highlighted the role of the zooplankton community in the transformation of carbon issued from the primary production and the transition of that carbon from the productive surface zone to the Arctic Ocean’s interior. Our data indicate that sinking carbon flux in this late summer period is primarily the result of a heterotrophic driven ecosystem.

1 Introduction

The export of carbon from the surface to the deep ocean via the sinking of particulate organic matter is a major process of the ocean carbon cycle and a key factor in the regulation of the atmospheric CO₂ level (Archer et al., 2000; Siegenthaler et al., 2005). The increase of the latter and the related global warming have become a common subject in climate change research, in which the Arctic Ocean is a privileged target, since it is one of the most vulnerable regions to climate change (Walsh, 2008). Vertical flux of particulate organic carbon (POC) in the Arctic Ocean shows multiple facets. There is a marked regional variability illustrated by generally low POC fluxes (< 100 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) in the central Arctic Ocean (Lalande et al., 2014; Olli et al., 2007) and high fluxes (> 100 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) over the continental shelves and in polynyas (Amiel et al., 2002; Lalande et al., 2009). Several factors lead to these different flux scenarios. Over the shelves, the interplay between seasonal ice coverage and break-up, episodical events of wind-driven upwelling and resuspension of sediment seems to be the driving force for highly productive regimes and subsequent elevated POC fluxes (Forest et al., 2013; Lalande et al., 2014; Reigstad et al., 2011; Tremblay et al., 2014; Wassmann et al., 2006). Fluxes in the Central Basin are low due to an ineffective “biological pump” (Honjo et al., 2010), as well as to a low primary productivity related to a lack of nutrient supply into the euphotic zone (Lalande et al., 2014).

Annual and seasonal variations are in a first place related to the particular light regime over the Arctic Ocean (Wassmann et al., 2004), the annual light cycle being very regular in contrast to the ice coverage, which is not only irregular with respect to the seasonal break-up
in summer but, in the light of global warming, is deemed to disappear at least in parts of this ocean (Leu et al., 2011, Wassmann, 2011). In the Beaufort Sea, several studies with intercepting sediment traps have shown that the ice-free season is normally the period where maximum fluxes were observed, but they were highly variable within this season between different years (Forest et al., 2007, 2010; Juul-Pedersen et al., 2010). However, high temporal variability was also observed with regard to shelf-basin exchanges, where lateral inputs from nepheloid layers or eddy-driven processes led to flux pulses over the shelf break (Forest et al., 2007) and even beyond the shelf out in the basin (O’Brien et al., 2011). POC flux variability does not only depend on physical but also on biological and chemical parameters, of which the latter have an indirect influence on POC flux through nutrient limited primary production (see above). The main actors of the biotic control of sinking organic particles are on the one hand bacteria, which reduce the flux by decomposition of the particles (Kellogg et al., 2011) and on the other hand grazing zooplankton, primarily copepods in arctic waters, which modulate the flux by the ingestion of phytoplankton and the production of faecal pellets (Wiedmann et al., 2014).

In fact, the different natures of variability in the vertical particle flux are reflected in one of the objectives of the Malina project: to understand the control of biogeochemical fluxes through light penetration and the impact of ongoing climate change on these fluxes. Malina aims at building a self-consistent data set in order to improve our present understanding of biogeochemical fluxes in this remote ocean and the prediction of future changes through modelling. Concerning the vertical particle flux, Forest et al. (2013) estimated POC fluxes over the entire Mackenzie shelf and adjacent areas and explored the spatial variability of these fluxes and its forcing factors. In the present study, our goal is to present a snapshot of the POC fluxes measured in situ along the shelf-break in a more comprehensive manner using a set of parameters obtained from the particulate material. Namely, we aim at documenting the composition of sinking particles throughout the water column to highlight some processes that shape the transit of these particles from the surface to the deep ocean.
2 Material and Methods

2.1 Drifting line deployment and water column survey

Drifting sediment trap moorings were deployed at three sites of the Beaufort Sea during leg 2b of the Malina field campaign in August 2009. The location of the deployment sites is represented in Fig. 1. It shows the sampling grid of the Malina programme and the trap mooring sites within the transects crossing the Mackenzie shelf. Site 345 had to be moved to the east due to ice coverage at the desired location of the corresponding transect. All three sites are situated on the slope of the Mackenzie shelf in the south-eastern part of the Beaufort Sea, site 135 being the easternmost at the entrance of the Amundsen Gulf. Throughout this article, the three sampling sites will be presented in the order from west to east, i.e. site 345 as the westernmost, site 235 further east and site 135 as the easternmost one.

Figure 2 shows the schematic drawing of the moorings. Each mooring line was equipped with four traps at nominal depths of 40, 85, 150 and 210 m. Based on the data from the hydrographical casts the trap depths were chosen to monitor (1) the fluxes out of the PML likely to be influenced the most by the inputs from the Mackenzie River, (2) the fluxes out of the layer with highest phytoplankton biomass and production, (3) the fluxes out of the euphotic zone and (4) the fluxes monitored at a depth of other long-term moorings in the area and therefore best comparable with these data. For each deployment, the length of the mooring line and sampling intervals were adapted to the constraints imposed by bottom depth, ice cover and survey schedules. For instance at site 135, the trap at 210 m had to be removed from the mooring to avoid its grounding. Detailed information for each trap and mooring deployment is given in Table 1. The traps used were TECHNICAP PPS3/3 of cylinдро-конический body shape with an aspect ratio of 2.5 in the cylindrical part and 4.0 over the whole length. The unbaffled aperture of the traps had a collection surface of 0.125 m². Particles were collected in 260 mL polyethylene bottles poisoned with a solution of borax buffered formaldehyde 5 % (v:v⁻¹) in filtered (0.2 μm) seawater. The pH was checked with a pH-meter after the deployment and immediately before processing the samples in the laboratory. All samples showed a value between 8.3 and 8.5.

At all three sites, multiple deployments of a rosette profiler equipped with a conductivity-temperature-depth system (CTD, Seabird SBE-911+), a fluorometer (Seapoint), a
transmissometer (Wetlabs C-Star), and a particle camera (Underwater Vision Profiler 5, UVP5 (Picheral et al., 2010)), were conducted in order to document the water column properties before, during and after the drift of the mooring equipped with sediment traps.

2.2 Processing and analysis of samples

Upon recovery on board, the sample cups were stored at 4 °C in the dark until they were processed.

Swimmers were handpicked from the samples with forceps under a stereomicroscope. Foraminifera and empty molluse shells were considered part of the passive sinking flux and hence returned to the main sample, as well as eventual unidentified gelatinous material (e.g. appendicularian houses).

For the analysis of different parameters, samples were divided into several subsamples. Subsampling was done with a McLane WSD 10 splitting device to obtain two fractions of 1/10 and 4/10 each. Of the latter fractions one was used for mass, POC, nitrogen and thorium-234 and one for pigment analysis, and of the former fractions one for faecal pellet and one for microplankton identification.

For mass, POC, N and Th-234 analysis, subsamples were filtered onto a pre-combusted (500 °C) and pre-weighed micro quartz filter (QMF, ø 25mm). Filters were then dried in an oven at 50 °C. To determine mass flux the dried filters were transferred to a desiccator cabinet for stabilization at room temperature and then weighed on a Mettler Toledo analytical balance. After weighing, these QMF filters were conditioned for Th-234 measurement through non-destructive counting of the β-decay, and that same sample could be used after dismounting, for the analysis of total carbon and POC. Prior to POC analysis, inorganic carbon was removed from the sample by acidifying twice with a 1 M H₃PO₄ solution in excess, the filters being dried at 50 °C overnight, after each step. POC and N analysis was performed with a “vario EL” elemental analyser (elementar Analysensysteme GmbH) (Miquel et al., 2011) Inorganic carbon was calculated by the difference between total and organic carbon.

The subsamples for pigment analysis (fraction 4/10) were filtered onto a pre-combusted (500 °C) GF/F filter (ø 25mm) and kept frozen at -30 °C. For analysis, residue on these filters was extracted by sonication in 3 mL methanol (100 %) and clarified by filtration through
“Whatman” GF/F filters. Extracts were analysed by HPLC diode array detector (“Agilent Technologies” system) the same day. Chromatography was performed on a narrow reversed-phase C8 Zorbax Eclipse XDB column. Pigment detection was obtained at 450, 667 and 770 nm. Vitamin E acetate (“Sigma”) was used as internal standard, and external calibration standards were provided by DHI Water and Environment (Denmark). Details on pigment analysis are reported in Ras et al. (2008).

Both faecal pellets and microplankton were determined by eye under a stereomicroscope. For faecal pellets, a “Leica MZ 12” stereomicroscope was used. Absolute numbers of faecal pellets counted were between 25 and 75 per sample. The pellets were sorted into three morphotypes, cylindrical, elliptical and amorphous. Pellet fragments, mostly cylindrical ones, were included in the counts. The dimensions of all pellets were determined with a semi-automated image analysis program, in order to calculate the form-specific volumes. To convert volumes into organic carbon we used a conversion factor of 0.11 mg C mm⁻³ (Carroll et al., 1998). Although higher than many values reported in the literature (e.g. González et al., 1994, González and Smetacek, 1994, Reigstad et al., 2005), this conversion factor represents organism and pellet diversity and especially, different density levels of the faecal pellets, which is mostly lacking elsewhere. The taxonomic determination down to genus level of microplankton was done according to the Utermöhl method (Utermöhl, 1931). Number of cells counted per sample varied between 10 and 100 and in a few samples between 100 and 1000.

2.3 Samples from long-term moorings

With the aim of putting the results of the Malina study within a broader context, we used a three-year record of vertical POC fluxes (2008-2010) as sampled with long-term sediment traps moored at ca. 100 and 200 m depth in the vicinity of the drifting stations (Fig. 1, Table 2) within the framework of the ArcticNet programme. The data from 2009 were reported in detail by Forest et al. (2013), where a full description of the methodology associated with long-term trap samples can be found. The traps were of the same kind (i.e. TECHNICAP PPS3/3) as those used in the short-term deployment of the present Malina study, though the long-term traps were equipped with a 24 instead of a 12 sample cup carrousel. Otherwise, the design of the trap was exactly the same as the one described in Sect. 2.1. Sample cups from long-term traps were filled with filtered seawater (GFF 0.7 µm)
adjusted to 35 salinity with NaCl and poisoned with formalin (5 % v v⁻¹, sodium borate buffered). Long-term trap samples were processed (i.e. “swimmer” picking, fractioning, filtration), weighed for total mass, acidified and analysed for POC and nitrogen, similarly to short-term trap samples.

3 Results

3.1 Environmental parameters

The profiles obtained from the hydrographical casts in the vicinity of the trap deployments show the characteristics of the different water masses present in the Beaufort Sea with only minor variations between the three deployment sites (Fig. 3).

Salinity values < 31 were measured in the upper 50 m and are typical of the Polar Mixed Layer (PML). Between 50 and 200 m depth the salinity increases from 31 to 34, while the temperature profiles show minimum values. This water mass originates from the Pacific and enters the Arctic Ocean through the Bering Strait into the Chukchi Sea. The fluorescence maxima were mostly found at the top of this water layer around 75 m depth. Transmissivity measured at all three sites did not exhibit any clear pattern, except for generally low values near the surface and an increased variability near the bottom, between profiles at site 135. The waters below 200 m depth are of Atlantic origin and are characterized by the highest salinity (> 34) and a warming of the water temperature compared to the overlying waters.

Based on UVP5 data, the upper water column (< 100 m) at all three sites was particularly devoid of particulate material and aggregates in the range 0.08-10 mm ESD (Fig. 4). We detected a general augmentation in particle inventory within the interval depth of ~350-500 m at sites 345 and 235, and between ~100-200 m at site 135 (as seen in the transmissivity signal, Fig. 3). However, the magnitude of those increases varied markedly between each CTD-UVP5 deployment and some profiles did not show any increase at all.

3.2 Flux and composition of settling particles

Mass fluxes measured at the three sites showed different depth patterns (Fig. 5). Although fluxes increased in all cases from the trap at 40 m to the trap below at 85 m, at 150 m depth, mass fluxes were from lowest (15.45 mg m⁻² d⁻¹ at site 345) to the highest ones
(43.20 mg m^{-2} d^{-1} at site 135) measured at the corresponding sites. At this latter site, the high flux value registered is possibly due to effects of matter resuspension, the trap being just 70 m above the ocean bottom (Table 1). The overall highest mass fluxes of 54.20 mg m^{-2} d^{-1} and 51.94 mg m^{-2} d^{-1} at 85 m of sites 345 and 235 respectively, were observed just below the depth of relatively high phytoplankton biomasses shown by the fluorescence profiles in Fig. 3.

The vertical distribution of the total carbon fluxes was similar to the mass fluxes, with highest values (15-20 mg C m^{-2} d^{-1}) at 85 m and a sharp decrease in flux towards depth, except for site 135 where the trap near to the bottom recorded a relatively high flux of 9.51 mg C m^{-2} d^{-1}. At 210 m depth of the two other sites, fluxes were lowest (< 5 mg C m^{-2} d^{-1}).

POC fluxes, too, showed depth distributions very similar to mass and total carbon. This suggests a relationship between mass and carbon fluxes, and that the composition of the biotic compartment of the flux seemed to be similar all over the studied area. Also, the contribution of POC to total carbon was high owing to similar flux values up to 15 mg m^{-2} d^{-1} for the maximum at 85 m of site 235 and < 2 mg m^{-2} d^{-1} at 210 m. Generally, POC fluxes were low with values mostly < 5 mg m^{-2} d^{-1}. Higher fluxes were observed just below the biomass maximum, and also at 40 m of site 345 and near the bottom of site 135.

The vertical pattern of inorganic carbon, essentially calcium carbonates, was quite similar to the one of mass flux, but about one order of magnitude lower. As for POC, this shows that the biotic component of the vertical flux was relatively homogeneous over the studied area. The only notable difference in the vertical flux pattern between total and organic carbon on the one hand and mass and inorganic carbon on the other hand concern the results from the deepest traps at sites 345 (210 m) and 135 (150 m), where fluxes of both mass and inorganic carbon were higher than at the above sampling depths. This is most likely due to the vicinity of these two traps to the bottom where resuspended matter including inorganic carbon may have been added to the vertical flux. This shows that the resuspended material was mainly of abiotic origin. Interestingly, at site 235, where all components of the flux, mass, total, organic and inorganic carbon decreased from 150 m to 210 m, the deepest trap was the furthest away from the bottom among the moorings at the three sites (Table 1).

The C/N ratios did not show big variations within and between the three sites, neither could we observe any clear depth pattern. But the obtained ratios are above the Redfield ratio, a
finding that was already reported by Schneider et al. (2003) from a global data set of sediment trap samples (0-500 m). This study showed that the average C/N ratio (8.55, ± 3.58 (SD), n = 744) was significantly above the Redfield ratio despite the high variability of values in the data set.

The composition of the particles collected in the sediment traps (Table 3) revealed for almost all the samples a very high content of POC relative to total carbon with values often exceeding 80 % or even 90 %. Only at site 235, these values were lower, just slightly below 80 %. But also the POC content relative to the mass flux was rather high. The obtained values were between 23 and 29 % for the traps at 40 and 85 m with one exception (17 % at 40 m, site 135), but decreased to 10 and 11 % in the traps at 210 m of sites 345 and 235.

The importance of inorganic carbon (PIC) relative to total carbon was, unlike POC, rather low at the surface and high at depth. The PIC/POC ratio, in turn, was relatively high in the deep traps (150 and 210 m) except at 150 m of site 345 where very low amounts of PIC were measured.

3.3 Seasonal and inter-annual variability of downward flux

Using the long-term trap datasets (cf. Forest et al., 2013) available for the region from 2008-2010 (Table 2), we have created a composite time-series of mean POC fluxes at ~ 100 and ~ 200 m depth (Fig. 6). This figure enables to grasp quickly the seasonality and large variability (i.e. SD) of downward POC fluxes across the eastern Mackenzie Shelf. Over those years, mean POC fluxes oscillated between near-zero values up to ~ 110 mg C m^-2 d^-1. In general, POC fluxes were higher at 100 than at 200 m depth, except during the winter months when the background flux was higher at 200 than at 100 m depth. Peak fluxes (from 1-3 modes) occurred systematically over the summer period, but rapid declines were detected after every of those maxima. In 2009, the peak export flux (as detected at the 4 moorings deployed across the region) was recorded over August, during the month of the Malina campaign. However, the standard deviation associated with the values measured during the peak period in 2009 as well as in the other years, was particularly high at both 100 and 200 m depth.
3.4 Particle characterization

3.4.1 Faecal pellets

Apart from microplankton cells, the main types of identifiable particles in the sediment trap samples are shown in Fig. 7. Most of these particles were faecal pellets of cylindrical or elliptical form (Figs. 7a-d). A third category that was distinguished contained amorphous pellets. Also, notable numbers of crustacean eggs (Fig. 7e) and foraminifera (Fig. 7f) were observed. Total numbers and the relative importance of the different types of faecal pellets are listed in Table 4. Flux of the total number of pellets showed two distinct vertical patterns. At sites 345 and 135, high numbers were recorded at the surface and in the deepest traps, and at site 235, this pattern was reversed with lowest numbers at surface and bottom. Cylindrical pellets were most important at 40 and 85 m depth at all sites and elliptical ones were present at relatively higher numbers in the deepest traps (150 and 210 m), especially at site 235. The absolute numbers of cylindrical pellets but also their relative importance are slightly overestimated owing to the fragmented pellets included in the counts. We accepted this bias in favour of the more important pellet carbon content, which is more precise when fragments are included. Amorphous pellets were generally observed at relatively low numbers and were absent at 40 and 85 m of site 135 and at 40 m of site 235.

The different forms of the faecal pellets were taken into account when calculating their organic carbon content (Carroll et al., 1998). Another outstanding feature was the density of the matter inside the pellet membrane, as illustrated in Figs. 7a-d. Across the sampling sites, there was a clear spatial pattern in the relative importance of faecal pellets with different matter density. As an example, the pellets considered as full (highest matter density) were essentially observed at 150 and 200 m depth at all sites. Since the conversion factors for the calculation of the organic content are based on full pellets (Figs. 7a and c) we defined 4 empirical categories of fullness, 100, 75, 50 and 25 %, and corrected the conversion factors accordingly. The resulting flux of organic carbon channelled via faecal pellets is listed in Table 4, which also shows the carbon flux attributed to full (100 %) pellets. While the depth distributions of the pellets’ carbon flux resemble the numerical flux with an inverse pattern at site 235 compared to the two other sites, full pellets, were only observed at 150 and 210 m depth. But they represent about 50 % to 80 % of the total pellet flux. Overall, the carbon channelled through the flux of faecal pellets represented from a few percent up to 25 % of the total carbon flux, especially in the deepest traps.
3.4.2 Phytoplankton and microzooplankton

The recognizable particles other than faecal pellets were protist cells which were counted and identified to genus level. This identification revealed a near absence of large sized diatoms (e.g. *Chaetoceros* sp., *Thalassiosira* sp.), which are commonly found in polar regions (Coupel et al., 2014; Lovejoy et al., 2002). Among the diatoms observed, the epiphytic genus *Licmophora* was the most abundant one. Flagellates, especially the dinoflagellate *Pronoctiluca* often showed high abundances, and only in a few traps, some tintinnids (loricate ciliates) and naked ciliates were important.

The identified taxa were classified into three groups, diatoms, flagellates and ciliates, of which the relative abundance in each sample is shown in figure 7. At site 345, the dominant groups were flagellates and diatoms, the former group being mainly composed of dinoflagellates, and the latter of the genus *Licmophora*. Ciliates were present at all but one depths but at low relative abundance, except at 40 m where they reached 32 %. At site 235, microzooplankton (dinoflagellates and ciliates) were most abundant and diatoms were relatively important at 40 m depth. Similar to site 345, diatoms and flagellates showed highest relative abundances at site 135, while ciliates represented <10 %. At 150 m, the percentage of diatoms (53 %) was the highest of all three sites.

3.4.3 Pigment composition

The results of the pigment analysis in the sediment trap samples are presented as concentrations (ng mg\(^{-1}\) POC or ppm, Table 5). The POC flux being generally low (Fig. 5), many pigments were below detection limits. Only pigments detected in most of the trap samples are presented. One pigment, Peridinin, was observed at all sites but only in the 85 m traps, plus once at 40 m of site 235.

At site 345, highest concentrations were measured for Chl \(a\) at 85 m depth. The presence of phytoplanktonic material is contrasted by relatively high concentrations of Astaxanthin, which is rather an indicator for zooplankton. These two pigments were the only ones detected in the shallowest trap at 40 m.
The same observations were made at site 235, although the concentrations of the two pigments at 40 m were much higher. In the deeper traps, besides the high Chl $a$ concentrations, Fucoxanthin at 150 m and also Prasinoxanthin at 210 m showed relatively high concentrations. The increasing concentrations with depth of Phaeophytin at this site indicate the increase of the relative importance of phytoplankton degradation.

At site 135, Chl $a$ was still observed at very high concentrations except in the shallowest trap where it was not detectable. Fucoxanthin, however, was much more abundant than at the other sites and its concentration even slightly increased between 85 and 150 m. Fucoxanthin being a typical pigment of diatoms (Wright and Jeffrey, 1987), this could correspond to the increase in the relative importance of diatoms observed before (see Sect. 3.4.2).

### 3.4.4 Swimmers

Swimmers were abundant in sediment trap samples, particularly at the two shallowest sampling horizons. A dedicated study on the swimmers collected in the sediment traps will be published elsewhere and only a summary is offered here.

Copepods were by far the most abundant group among the swimmers’ community. In all traps they represented more than 75 and up to 95 % of the total abundance. Among the other groups, only appendicularians (mostly *Oikopleura* spp.) and pelagic molluscs (essentially *Limacina helicina*) were observed at notable numbers. The relative depth distribution of the different organisms was similar at all sites. In the shallow traps (40 m), a herbivorous copepod (*Calanus glacialis*) and appendicularians (*Oikopleura* spp.) represented 40 to 70 % of all swimmers present. In the traps below the phytoplankton biomass maximum at 85 m, the absolute numbers of swimmers were about twice as much as those from 40 m depth, and the omnivorous copepod *Metridia longa* was by far the most abundant swimmer organism (60-75 %). Among the other organisms found at 85 m depth, the herbivorous pteropod *Limacina helicina* was present at all sites. In the deepest traps at 150 m (all sites) and 210 m (sites 345 and 235) the absolute numbers of swimmers decreased five to ten fold relative to the shallower traps. The omnivorous *Metridia longa* became even more important, but the carnivorous copepod *Pareuchaeta glacialis* represented 15-25 % of the swimmers at 150 m depth of sites 235 and 135. This copepod was also present in all other traps at percentages between 10 and 15 %, except at site 345 where it was absent at 150 m and represented 25 %
at 85 m. The only herbivore swimmers observed in these deepest traps were *Limacina helicina* (150 m, sites 235 and 135) and *Calanus hyperboreus* (150 and 210 m, site 235).

4 Discussion

Sediment trap moorings anchored at the ocean floor on a long-term basis have a relatively long history in arctic research (Honjo et al., 2008 and refs. herein). However, the deployment of drifting sediment trap moorings in the Beaufort Sea was part of only a few oceanographic expeditions. This is most likely due to the ice conditions not favourable to such deployments during most of the year and over a vast area, while for fixed moorings, ice conditions are only critical during deployment and retrieval. An indirect method based on the deficit between the particle reactive Th-234 and its conservative parent radionuclide U-238 (Rutgers van der Loeff et al., 2006) is better adapted to these conditions and was used in various studies to assess the vertical POC flux in the Arctic seas (Wassmann et al., 2004).

When comparing our results with the published data from the same region and at similar depths, we notice that the POC fluxes we measured were always at the lower end of the broad range of fluxes reported, which spans from some 10 mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) to a few hundred mg of carbon per m\(^2\) and per day. Such was the case for the studies using drifting trap moorings (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2010; Lalande et al., 2007; Sallon et al., 2011) as well as for the ones obtained via the \(^{234}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}\) disequilibrium (Baskaran et al., 2003; Lalande et al., 2007; Moran and Smith, 2000).

Temporal and spatial variabilities have been given special attention in studies undertaken in the Barents Sea and surrounding areas (Wassmann et al., 2006; Wassmann 2011; Reigstad et al., 2011) where the receding ice edge is at the origin of production and subsequent vertical flux pulses, which together with an uneven bottom morphology and hydrological factors lead to a highly variable environment (Reigstad et al., 2011). While these factors probably play a role in in the annual and seasonal variabilities and also in spatial heterogeneities occurring within the Beaufort-Mackenzie continental margin, their interplay results in different production and flux regimes. The seasonal ice zone covers not only the Mackenzie shelf but also the deep Canadian basin and the hydrological environment is also different from the one observed in the Barents Sea. Limited input of nutrients to the euphotic layer and episodical inputs from the shelf edge together with upwelling events are the relevant factors for the
variabilities observed in this area. They are ultimately at the origin of the flux differences between the present study and the published data. Another possible factor of variability is the trap design, which in our case was different from the above mentioned studies, in particular the collecting surface. However, in the present study the same type of sediment traps was used for both drifting and fixed moorings, and which were both sampling in the same region and during the Malina campaign (Tables 1, 2). Nevertheless, the traps of the two moorings are likely to collect different amounts of particle flux due to spatial heterogeneities and also due to the hydrodynamic environment. A fixed mooring is exposed to water currents, but not so a drifting mooring as the adjective indicates, although it appears that the direction of the drifting path sometimes differs from the current direction. In order to minimize collection biases, trap moorings should be deployed in tranquil regions and the aspect ratio of the traps should be 3 for water currents < 10 cm s$^{-1}$ and higher if water currents are expected to increase (U.S. GOFS Planning Report 10, 1989). Current speeds at the fixed moorings site of this study were < 10 cm s$^{-1}$ (Forest et al., 2013) and the aspect ratio of the traps was 2.5 in the cylindrical part and 4 for the overall length. We would therefore expect a minimum collection bias for both mooring types. Still, Forest et al. (2011) showed in a similar study that the fluxes measured with short-term drifting traps were always higher than those with the long-term fixed traps, but the collecting surfaces were different between the two mooring types.

The present study revealed the opposite situation with the POC fluxes recorded by the short-term traps relatively low compared to the long-term traps (Fig. 6). But as we already mentioned, the data of these latter traps are shown as a composite figure and the standard deviation is particularly high during the peak flux periods, while it is low during low flux regimes although we would expect spatial heterogeneities related to the distance between the different mooring locations, but also temporal variabilities between sampling years. Since we observed no spatial nor temporal trend in the flux differences during the peak periods, which occurred at all mooring sites, the high standard deviation reflects a general variability of the vertical particle flux during these periods. The 2009 period in particular was reported by Forest et al. (2013), who discussed these data in more detail and recalled us the fact that the “peak fluxes were presumably linked to episodic sinking flux events”. With respect to our data, we consider that, although the late summer months seem to be a period of an elevated flux regime (Forest et al., 2013), the vertical particle flux monitored by our traps during < 3
days is situated between or around these episodic flux pulses. From the data recorded by the particle camera (UVP5) we know at least that at that time, the particle load of the water column along the drifting path was very low (Fig. 4).

If the flux quantity is an important factor of carbon cycling in terms of e.g. parameterization and validation of models, the flux quality is as important when it comes to conceptualization of models (Le Fouest et al., 2013). The composition of the particulate matter collected in our sediment traps is characterized by both a relatively high total carbon content (average $26 \pm 7 \%$) and a high $C_{\text{org}}$ to $C_{\text{tot}}$ ratio. This indicates that an important part of the settling particles is of biogenic origin and that these particles contain relatively small amounts of calcium carbonates. It also explains that highest fluxes were observed just below the depth layer of maximum phytoplankton biomass (Figs. 3 and 5) and underlines that the decrease at depth of the organic carbon content in the particles settling further down the water column is due to remineralisation (Table 3). However, these results suggest that the Mackenzie River plume seems to have little or no influence on the particle composition at the drifting trap locations. Surface POC concentrations obtained through satellite image processing from the sampling period confirm this observation (Forest et al., 2013). We also do not expect any influence of the high C/N ratio ($> 10$) in river water particles (Emmerton et al., 2008) on our trap material, although the ratios we measured did not indicate any spatial trend. Such was the case in the traps of the long-term series (Forest et al., 2013), too. A study by Tamelander et al. (2013) in the European Arctic Ocean reported C/N ratios well above the Redfield ratio and suggested that the ratios varied according to new production, which depended on the nitrate availability and thus the trophic state of a given ecosystem. Other studies put forward the increase of the C/N ratio with depth (Copin-Montégut and Copin-Montégut, 1983; Schneider et al., 2003) related to preferential remineralisation of nitrogen. There is insufficient consistency in both the data of the present study and the data of the long-term study (Forest et al., 2013) to be able to relate them to any of the findings reported in those previous studies, but at least they confirmed that the C/N ratio of trap samples is above the classical Redfield ratio.

Faecal pellets form a well distinct and sometimes major part of the sinking particles in the Beaufort Sea area (Forest et al., 2008; Juul-Pedersen et al., 2010). The quantitative distributions of total pellets in the present study, be it numerically or in terms of carbon, indicate at sites 345 and 135 relatively high grazing activities above the trap at 40 m depth.
and above the deepest trap at 210 m and 150 m, respectively (Table 4). Lowest quantities at these sites in terms of carbon were observed in the samples from 85 m just below the phytoplankton biomass maximum. This is in contrast to the findings by Forest et al. (2012), who found good agreement between maximum phytoplankton abundance and copepods, which were by far the most abundant grazers around 60 m depth. It follows that, either grazing activity at this depth was low despite the relatively high food availability or the produced pellets were subject to enhanced coprophagy and/or coprohexy (Svensen et al., 2014), a process, which has already been reported from the nearby Baffin Bay (Sampei et al., 2004) and which we cannot exclude although we do not have direct evidence. Another possibility for this observation could be that defecation from these grazers took place above the 40 m depth horizon. A detailed study on copepod migration in the Beaufort Sea (Darnis and Fortier, 2014) did not show evidence of diel but rather seasonal vertical migration, which does not explain an eventual short-term displacement observed in our study. However, Cottier et al. (2006) describe an unsynchronized migration by large calanoid copepods, which took place at around the same period of the year in an arctic fjord. We do not have data to confirm such behaviour. However, our data showed that at 40 m the pellet fluxes were highly variable across the 3 sites, but were much less variable at 85 m. We therefore do not exclude the possibility that the depth distributions at sites 345 and 135 are due to this high variability observed at 40 m. Nonetheless, the pellet flux did not have a considerable impact on the total carbon flux distribution in the euphotic zone, since it represented < 10 %, except at 40 m of site 135, where a lower pellet flux would accentuate the discrepancy of the carbon flux between 40 and 85 m depth.

The decrease of faecal pellet flux from the surface to 85 m depth just below the phytoplankton maximum (except at site 235) and especially the increase at 150 m (sites 235 and 135) and 210 m (site 345) can best be explained by the qualitative features of the faecal particles. The relative contribution of the two major morphotypes, cylindrical and elliptical pellets, was high in the two upper traps for the former and in the lower traps for the latter ones, or in other words, the vertical flux patterns of these morphotypes were inversed. This implies on the one hand, that cylindrical pellets are less produced at greater depths and that the sinking pellets from the upper layers are degraded and remineralized before reaching these depths (Honjo et al., 2010), and on the other hand, that there is a production of elliptical
pellets below the trap at 85 m and/or that elliptical pellets produced above are more refractory than cylindrical ones and are therefore sampled by the traps at greater depths.

Cylindrical pellets are mainly produced by large calanoid copepods (Carroll et al., 1998; Yoon et al., 2001), which were indeed the most abundant zooplankton observed in surface waters at this period of the year in the Beaufort Sea (Forest et al., 2012), but also elsewhere in the Arctic Ocean (Daase et al., 2008; Kosobokova and Hirche, 2000; Kosobokova and Hopcroft, 2010; Thor et al., 2005). Without any experimental evidence it is difficult to attribute a given type and size of pellets to a certain organism. The cylindrical pellets in our samples were between 40 and 170 µm wide (mean: 88 µm, ±19 µm (SD), n = 224), which is within published ranges for large calanoid copepods (Sampei et al., 2009, Wexels Riser et al., 2008). Although we cannot exclude the presence of at least fragments of cylindrical pellets produced by euphausiids, the pellets in our samples did not show typical characteristics of these pellets (irregular cylindrical shape, filiform, colour differences, etc., see also Wexels Riser et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2008). Moreover, we did not observe them as swimmers or their fragments as passive flux in our trap samples, and they were not reported from zooplankton observations made during the same study (Forest et al., 2012).

The smaller elliptical faecal pellets are attributed to small copepods, but also to appendicularians (Carroll et al., 1998; Yoon et al., 2001). While for the cylindrical pellets we had at least indirect evidence for potential producers of the pellets (see Sect. 3.4.4 swimmers), for the elliptical pellets, we had only evidence for appendicularians but not for smaller copepods. Again, we can only speculate on the origin of elliptical pellets observed in our samples. Their mean width was 115 µm, ±43 µm (SD), n = 236 (range: 44 – 282 µm). Some values reported from the literature are: 100 µm (Beaumont et al., 2001), 30-100 µm (González et al., 1994) and < 60 µm (Sampei et al., 2009). This puts the size of the pellets in our samples at the high end of previously measured values. It is however clearly below the width size range (250-900 µm) of some values reported for elliptical pellets produced by appendicularians (Deibel and Turner, 1985; Lombard et al., 2013; Wexels Riser et al., 2008).

We assume therefore that most of the elliptical pellets in our samples originate from small copepods, e.g. cyclopoids. However, given the size range of the pellets in our study, the bigger sized ones were probably produced by appendicularians (Fig. 7d). The example in Fig. 7d matches well the description given by Wilson et al. (2013): “The ellipsoidal pellets…were slightly pointed on both ends and readily recognizable as larvacean fecal
pellets.” Forest et al. (2012) reported cyclopoid copepods of the genera *Oncaea*, *Triconia* and *Oithona* as being among the most abundant copepods in the study area. Together with the small calanoid copepod genus, *Microcalanus*, they represented close to 70% of the zooplankton assemblage caught by a plankton net. Also, *Oncaea* is well known to dwell in and to be adapted to the meso- and bathypelagic zone below the euphotic layer (Kosobokova and Hopcroft, 2010; Thor et al., 2005). Individuals of the genus *Triconia*, too, tend to occupy mesopelagic depths (Kosobokova and Hopcroft, 2010), while *Oithona* and *Microcalanus* seem to have a preference for shallower depths even if they can be found over almost the entire water column (Kosobokova and Hopcroft, 2010). Although appendicularians were most abundant above 100 m depth during the study (Forest et al., 2012), we observed large elliptical pellets in all our traps, but they were too scarce to detect any depth pattern.

We cannot exclude that the elliptical faecal pellets produced at shallow depths reach the deeper traps at 150 and 210 m. We know however that this production was of minor importance compared to the cylindrical pellets. Also, given the relative as well as absolute increase in abundance towards the trap at 145 m, elliptical faecal pellets are produced at greater depths, most likely by cyclopoid copepods. The depth distribution of the swimmers in our traps corroborates these findings, though not quantitatively but with respect to the planktonic feeding regimes. Large herbivore copepods (*Calanus gracilis*) and appendicularians were the main swimmers in the traps at 40 m; a typically omnivorous copepod species (*Metridia longa*) prevailed in the intermediate traps, and in the deepest traps a carnivorous species (*Paraeuchaeta glacialis*) was most abundant after *M. longa*. Herbivorous conditions can be expected in the surface layer where primary production takes place, as well as an omnivourous or omnivorous/carnivorous regime at mesopelagic depths where deep-dwelling organisms and the vertical flux of organic matter are the main food sources. But together with our faecal pellet data, we can now put forward that below the euphotic zone, there was omnivorous activity sustained largely by cyclopoid copepods, which were also present at the surface in a rather herbivorous environment, thus adding a heterotrophic component and suggesting epipelagic retention of faecal pellets as described in a review by Turner (2015) as a possible reason for the drastic reduction of cylindrical pellets in the deeper sediment traps. Such observations were made in the northern Barents Sea where zooplankton was responsible for up to 50% of retention of faecal pellet carbon within the euphotic layer (Wexels Riser et al., 2008), and such activity lead to a significant flux
attenuation around the depth of the pycnocline (Reigstad et al., 2008). Our observations add another component to these activities: coprophagous feeding at mesopelagic depths acting as a flux “hub” and increasing the contribution of faecal pellet carbon to the vertical POC flux at depth.

An even stronger evidence for the above scenario comes from the density level of the matter packed inside the faecal pellet membrane. Our data revealed a clear pattern for both morphotypes, with rather low density pellets at the surface and high density pellets at depth. Pellets within the 100 % fullness category (see Sect. 3.4.1) were almost exclusively elliptical pellets, and they were only observed in the samples of the deepest traps. Feinberg et al. (1998) found in a feeding experiment with Acartia tonsa, that feeding on phytoplanktonic matter yielded pellets with low density, whereas feeding on heterotrophic matter resulted in a density increase of the pellets. Although we do not have experimental data from the copepod species present during our study, we can assume that the distribution pattern of the different categories of fullness reflected the trophic condition at each of the mooring locations. Within the euphotic zone, large calanoid copepods but also larvaceans are grazing upon the biomass issued from the primary production and consisting of photosynthetic flagellates and diatoms, as shown in our data from the microscopic investigation of the sinking material (cf. Fig. 8), and as reported by a pigment study in the same area (Coupel et al., 2014). Such food yields relatively low density faecal pellets, which undergo bacterial degradation along their vertical sinking path, but also serve as a potential food source to the deeper-dwelling zooplankton community characterized by an omnivorous feeding behaviour. Although these pellets represent less than a third of the particle flux arriving in this zone, they are certainly part of the material described as a “fluffy and sticky gel-like matrix” by Forest et al. (2013) and composed among others, of ciliates and dinoflagellates (Fig. 8), which yields rather dense faecal pellets (Feinberg and Dam, 1998).

As a summary, the vertical particle flux measured within the shelf-basin area of the eastern Beaufort Sea during the late summer period of the 2009 Malina field campaign is at the lower end of fluxes registered in this area. However, similar or even lower fluxes have been reported from elsewhere in the Arctic Ocean (Cai et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2003). Compared to the long-term series data obtained from ArcticNet fixed moorings deployed in the vicinity, the fluxes from this study could be identified as background level flux, at least for the period 2008-2010 (Fig. 6). Although late August 2009 was a period of elevated fluxes for that year,
the high spatial and also temporal variability of particle fluxes (cf. Forest et al., 2013) does not exclude short periods of minimal flux and indicates the event-driven nature of the particle flux in this region, that is, most of the time-averaged flux is probably taking place during shortlived events that are easily missed by short-term sampling. Extrapolation of short-term data should be done with much precaution, but situated within long-term series, such data sets can provide valuable information on processes that govern the fluxes under the given conditions. Integrated field studies such as the ArcticNet-Malina field campaign are an ideal platform to monitor these conditions. A rather complete set of complementary parameters measured on the sinking particles together with other data from the Malina study allowed us to analyse some processes involved in the export of particulate carbon from the surface to the deep ocean. In particular, we could highlight (1) the role of zooplankton, especially copepods, in the transformation of assimilated carbon by phytoplankton into particular organic carbon and (2) the transition of that carbon from the euphotic zone to the mesopelagic zone where it is re-processed by another zooplankton community. The former process, i.e. the role of zooplankton in shaping the export flux has been largely documented from the Barents Sea region (Wassmann et al., 2008 and refs. herein), but the latter process adds another piece to the puzzle that links the carbon flux to the trophic structure within the pelagic food web. Yet, we believe that these processes are also active during peak flux periods, since, as already mentioned by Forest et al. (2013), “the sinking POC can be viewed as what heterotrophic plankton were not able to assimilate”. This shows the need not only for both short- and long-term surveys but also for an understanding of the mechanisms that lead to the transition between high and low fluxes (e.g. Andersen and Prieur, 2000), for which abiotic rather than biotic factors seem to be of primordial importance (Watanabe et al., 2014). Surely, in order to predict POC fluxes within a complex ecosystem such as the Arctic Ocean, all of physical, chemical and biological parameters are necessary to conceptualize and parameterize reliable models.
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Table 1. Drifting line deployment specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling stations</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>235</th>
<th>135</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deployment depths (m)</td>
<td>38, 85, 152, 211</td>
<td>38, 83, 151, 210</td>
<td>39, 87, 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom depth (m)</td>
<td>500 - 600</td>
<td>550 - 650</td>
<td>220 - 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deployment location</td>
<td>71.330° N</td>
<td>71.775° N</td>
<td>71.321° N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132.556° W</td>
<td>130.726° W</td>
<td>127.495° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieval location</td>
<td>71.390° N</td>
<td>71.713° N</td>
<td>71.213° N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132.649° W</td>
<td>130.797° W</td>
<td>127.344° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling duration (h)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Indicates depth range within drifting track
Table 2. Sampling period and location of long-term sediment traps used to document the seasonal and inter-annual variability (2008-2010) of downward particle fluxes in the vicinity of the drifting stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mooring</th>
<th>Sampling starts</th>
<th>Sampling ends</th>
<th>Latitude (° N)</th>
<th>Longitude (° W)</th>
<th>Sampling depth(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA08</td>
<td>21 Oct 2007</td>
<td>24-Jul-2008</td>
<td>71.0539</td>
<td>126.0227</td>
<td>104 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA16</td>
<td>01-Nov-2007</td>
<td>28-Jul-2008</td>
<td>71.7904</td>
<td>126.4929</td>
<td>112 and 213 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA05</td>
<td>27-Jul-2008</td>
<td>31-Aug-2009</td>
<td>71.3125</td>
<td>127.5824</td>
<td>108 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA16</td>
<td>29-Jul-2008</td>
<td>31-Aug-2009</td>
<td>71.7868</td>
<td>126.4970</td>
<td>110 and 211 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>21-Jul-2009</td>
<td>25-Jun-2010</td>
<td>70.7617</td>
<td>136.0083</td>
<td>98 and 199 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G09</td>
<td>24-Jul-2009</td>
<td>29-Jun-2010</td>
<td>71.0025</td>
<td>135.4793</td>
<td>100 and 201 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA16</td>
<td>13-Oct-2009</td>
<td>16-Oct-2010</td>
<td>71.8015</td>
<td>126.5170</td>
<td>103 and 204 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>13-Sep-2010</td>
<td>31-Aug-2011</td>
<td>70.7622</td>
<td>136.0094</td>
<td>101 and 201 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mass flux (DW) and composition of sedimenting particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling stations</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>235</th>
<th>135</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling depths (m)</td>
<td>40 85 150 210</td>
<td>40 85 150 210</td>
<td>40 85 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux (mg m(^2) d(^{-1}))</td>
<td>45 54 15 21</td>
<td>15 52 27 11</td>
<td>20 31 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. carbon (TC) ( % of DW)</td>
<td>31 28 29 19</td>
<td>31 38 25 14</td>
<td>18 31 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org. carbon (% of DW)</td>
<td>27 23 27 11</td>
<td>27 29 18 10</td>
<td>17 28 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorg. Carbon (% of DW)</td>
<td>4.1 5.0 1.8 7.7</td>
<td>4.6 8.3 6.9 3.5</td>
<td>1.2 2.1 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org. carbon (% of TC)</td>
<td>87 82 94 59</td>
<td>85 78 73 74</td>
<td>94 93 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (% of DW)</td>
<td>5.6 2.8 4.5 1.9</td>
<td>5.0 4.7 4.1 1.6</td>
<td>2.3 4.5 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C : N atomic</td>
<td>5.7 9.3 7.1 7.0</td>
<td>6.2 7.3 5.2 7.4</td>
<td>8.7 7.4 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{\text{inorg}} : C_{\text{org}})</td>
<td>0.15 0.22 0.06 0.69</td>
<td>0.17 0.28 0.37 0.35</td>
<td>0.07 0.07 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling stations</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling depths (m)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellet flux (all pellets) (mg C$_{org}$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellet flux (only 100 % full pellets) (µg C$_{org}$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>439.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical flux (all pellets) (nb. m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4680</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical pellets (%)</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliptical pellets (%)</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous pellets (%)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Concentration of major pigments in sedimenting particles at the 3 mooring sites (in ng mg\(^{-1}\) POC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling stations</th>
<th>345</th>
<th>235</th>
<th>135</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 m:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl a</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Astaxanthin</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>138.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 m:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl a</td>
<td>185.5</td>
<td>393.4</td>
<td>562.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl b</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fucoxanthin</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>179.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Prasinoxanthin</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Astaxanthin</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phaeophytin a</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 m:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>432.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fucoxanthin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>182.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Prasinoxanthin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Astaxanthin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phaeophytin a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 m:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl a</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>405.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total Chl b</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fucoxanthin</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Prasinoxanthin</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Astaxanthin</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phaeophytin a</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. = not detected (below detection limit); - = not sampled or measured.
Figure 1. Map of the region and close-up on sampling area; blue, green and red circles represent areas of drifting trap deployment and yellow triangles sites of long-term moorings. Blue dots depict main CTD stations of the Malina programme sampling grid. Drifting trap sampling was limited to the eastern part of the area because of the sea-ice cover during the first part of the cruise.
Figure 2. Mooring configuration showing the depths of each sediment trap with respect to its position within the different water masses and to the horizon of maximum chlorophyll-a.
Figure 3. Environmental and mooring parameters: a) Vertical profiles of salinity, temperature, fluorescence and transmissivity and main water masses at the three sites of drifting trap deployment; b) Mooring configuration including sediment trap depths and horizon of maximum chlorophyll-a.
Figure 4. Vertical profiles of total particle concentration (in the size range 0.08-10 mm, in equivalent spherical diameter, ESD) measured at the drifting mooring sites and obtained with multiple deployments of the Underwater Vision Profiler 5.
Figure 5. Downward flux of mass, particulate carbon (POC, PIC and TC, the sum of both) and carbon : nitrogen molar ratio obtained from drifting sediment trap moorings. Colours correspond to sites in Fig. 1.
Figure 6. Times series of mean downward POC fluxes as measured at (a) ~100 m and (b) ~200 m with the ArcticNet sequential sediment traps (A1, G09, CA05, CA08 and CA16) moored in the vicinity of the drifting stations (see Fig. 1, Table 2). Vertical grey bars depict the standard deviation associated with each mean POC flux data point. The vertical red bar delimits the third week of August 2009 when short-term traps were deployed. N/S: no sampling.
Figure 7. Faecal pellets (a-d), fish eggs (e) and foraminifera (f) present in sediment traps. The two main morphotypes of pellets, cylindrical (produced by large calanoid copepods) and elliptical (produced by small cyclopoid copepods (c) and appendicularians (d), are shown at two different levels of fullness: cylindrical pellets (a: full, b: partly filled), elliptical pellets (c: full, d: partly filled). White bar is 100 μm.
Figure 8. Relative abundance of phyto- and microzooplankton in the sediment traps at the different deployment depths. The identified taxa were grouped into diatoms, flagellates and ciliates.