Are recent changes in sediment manganese sequestration in the euxinic basins of the Baltic Sea linked to the expansion of hypoxia?
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Abstract

Expanding hypoxia in the Baltic Sea over the past century has led to anoxic and sulfidic (euxinic) deep basins that are only periodically ventilated by inflows of oxygenated waters from the North Sea. In this study, we investigate the potential consequences of the expanding hypoxia for manganese (Mn) burial in the Baltic Sea using a combination of pore water and sediment analyses of dated sediment cores from 8 locations. Diffusive fluxes of dissolved Mn from sediments to overlying waters at oxic, hypoxic and euxinic sites are in line with an active release of Mn from these areas. Although the fluxes are significant (ranging up to ca. 240 \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}\)), published water column data suggest that the benthic release of Mn is small when compared to the large pool of Mn already present in the hypoxic and anoxic water column. Our results highlight two modes of Mn carbonate formation in sediments of the deep basins. In the Gotland Deep area, Mn carbonates likely form from Mn oxides that are precipitated from the water column directly following North Sea inflows. In the Landsort Deep, in contrast, Mn carbonate and Mn sulfide layers appear to form independent of inflow events, and are possibly related to the much larger and continuous input of Mn oxides linked to sediment focusing. While formation of Mn enrichments in the Landsort Deep continues to the present, this does not hold for the Gotland Deep area. Here, a recent increase in euxinia, as...
evident from measured bottom water sulfide concentrations and elevated sediment molybdenum (Mo), coincides with a decline in sediment Mn. Sediment analyses also reveal that recent inflows of oxygenated water (since ca. 1995) are no longer consistently recorded as Mn carbonate layers. We hypothesize that the recent rise in sulfate reduction rates linked to eutrophication and decline in reactive Fe input to these basins, as recorded by sediment Fe/Al ratios, has led to higher sulfide availability near the sediment-water interface after inflow events. As a consequence, the Mn oxides may be reductively dissolved more rapidly than in the past and Mn carbonates may no longer form. Using a simple diagenetic model for Mn dynamics in the surface sediment, we demonstrate that an enhancement of the rate of reduction of Mn oxides is in line with such a scenario. Our results have important implications for the use of Mn carbonate enrichments as a redox proxy in marine systems.

1 Introduction

Manganese (Mn) enrichments in brackish and marine sedimentary deposits can be used as an indicator of redox changes in the overlying waters (e.g. Calvert and Pedersen, 1993). In anoxic settings, Mn-enrichments are typically assumed to consist of Mn carbonates, which are associated with calcium and can contain other impurities (e.g. Jakobsen and Postma, 1989; Manheim, 1961; Sternbeck and Sohlenius, 1997; Suess, 1979). For simplicity, in this study these phases are collectively referred to as Mn carbonates, despite their obvious greater complexity and heterogeneity. Mn carbonate minerals are suggested to form from Mn oxides deposited during periods of bottom water oxygenation (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Huckriede and Meischner, 1996), with Mn$^{2+}$ availability thought to be the key control (Neumann et al., 2002). However, sediment Mn data for both the Landsort Deep in the Baltic Sea (Lepland and Stevens, 1998) and the Black Sea (Lyons and Severmann, 2006) indicate that Mn enrichments may also form in sediments overlain by continuously anoxic bottom waters. In the Landsort Deep, these enrichments consist of both Mn carbonates and Mn sulfides (Lepland and Stevens, 1998; Suess, 1979). The formation of Mn carbonate is assumed to be driven by an exceptionally high alkalinity. Mn sulfides form when H$_2$S exceeds Fe availability (Böttcher and Huckriede, 1997; Lepland and Stevens, 1998). Some Mn may also be incorporated in pyrite (e.g. Huerta-Diaz and Morse, 1992; Jacobs et al., 1985), but the amounts are relatively minor when compared to those present in Mn carbonate as shown in a recent study for Baltic Sea sediments (Lenz et al., 2014). Finally, Mn enrichments may also
form in sediments overlain by oxic bottom waters upon increased input and precipitation of
Mn oxides and transformation to Mn carbonate during burial (e.g. MacDonald and Gobeil,
2012). A better understanding of the various modes of formation of sedimentary Mn and the
link with variations in bottom water redox conditions is essential when interpreting Mn
enrichments in geological deposits (e.g. Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Huckriede and
Meischner, 1996; Jones et al., 2011; Meister et al., 2009).

Redox-dependent dynamics of Mn have been studied extensively in the Baltic Sea (e.g.
Huckriede and Meischner, 1996; Lepland and Stevens, 1998; Neumann et al., 2002) and are
of interest because of the large spatial and temporal variations in bottom water oxygen
conditions over the past century that are particularly well documented since the 1970’s
(Fonselius and Valderrama, 2003). Besides providing evidence for sporadic inflows of
oxygenated saline water from the North Sea that affect brackish bottom waters in all deep
basins (Matthäus and Franck, 1992; Matthäus et al. 2008), the available hydrographic data
indicate a major expansion of the hypoxic area in the Baltic Sea linked to increased
eutrophication (Carstensen et al., 2014; Conley et al., 2009; Gustafsson et al., 2012; Savchuk
et al., 2008). While the shallower areas in the Baltic Sea are now seasonally hypoxic, the deep
basins all show a major shift towards anoxic and sulfidic (euxinic) conditions around 1980
(Fonselius and Valderrama, 2003; Mort et al., 2010). These basin-wide changes in redox
conditions likely had a major impact on both the sources and sinks of sediment Mn in the
Baltic Sea.

River input (Ahl, 1977; Martin and Meybeck, 1979) and release from sediments (Sundby et
al., 1981; Yeats et al., 1979) are the key sources of Mn in the water column of marine coastal
basins. While in areas with oxic bottom waters, dissolved Mn produced in the sediment will
mostly be oxidized to Mn oxide in the surface layer and thus will be trapped in the sediment,
dissolved Mn may escape to the overlying water when the oxic surface layer is very thin
(Slomp et al., 1997). In the water column, this Mn may be oxidized again (e.g. Dellwig et al.,
2010; Turnewitsch and Pohl, 2010) and contribute to the depositional flux of Mn oxides
(Mouret et al., 2009), or may be laterally transferred in dissolved or particulate form. The
lateral transfer of Mn from oxic shelves to deep basins, where the Mn may be trapped and
ultimately may precipitate as authigenic minerals, is termed the “Mn shuttle” (Lyons and
Severmann, 2006).
During the expansion of hypoxia and anoxia, as observed in the Baltic Sea over the past century (Conley et al., 2009), the Mn shuttle likely became more efficient in transporting Mn to deeper, euxinic basins because of decreased trapping of Mn in oxygenated surface sediments (Lyons and Severmann, 2006). However, during an extended period of hypoxia and anoxia, sediments in hypoxic areas may become depleted of Mn oxides, thus reducing the strength of the Mn shuttle from oxic and hypoxic shelves to the deep basins. In addition, the formation rate of authigenic Mn minerals in the sediment at deep basin sites may change in response to bottom water hypoxia and anoxia. If release of dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ from Mn oxides – formed at the sediment surface following inflows of oxygenated North Sea water – is the dominant control for Mn carbonate formation in the sediment as suggested for the Gotland Deep (Neumann et al., 2002), expanding bottom water anoxia might allow Mn oxides to be reduced in the water column and at the sediment-water interface, precluding conversion to Mn carbonates. This mechanism was recently invoked to explain the lack of Mn carbonates in the sediment during periods of bottom water euxinia in the Gotland Deep during the Holocene Thermal Maximum (Lenz et al., 2014). If alkalinity is the key control, however, as suggested for the Landsort Deep (Lepland and Stevens, 1998), Mn sequestration would be expected to be similar or increase due to higher rates of sulfate reduction.

In this study, we use geochemical analyses of dated sediment cores for 8 sites in the Baltic Sea, combined with pore water data to assess the role of variations in water column redox conditions for Mn dynamics in surface sediments in the Baltic Sea. We capture the full range of redox conditions (oxic, hypoxic and euxinic) to investigate the cycling of Mn in the sediment, the present-day diffusive flux from the sediments and the sequestration of Mn in mineral phases. While the pore water data only provide a “snapshot” of the conditions at the time of sampling, the sediment data in the euxinic basins record both the expansion of hypoxia and anoxia and the effects of short-term inflows of oxygenated North Sea water. Our results indicate release of Mn from oxic and hypoxic areas as well as the deep basin sites, and sequestration of Mn carbonates and sulfides in the Landsort Deep. The lack of recent Mn accumulation at various deep basin sites suggests that inflows of oxygenated seawater are no longer consistently recorded by Mn carbonate deposits in these settings.
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area
Fine-grained sediments from 8 locations in the southern and central Baltic Sea were collected during 4 cruises between 2007 and 2011 (Figure 1, Table 1) using a multi-corer. The sites differ with respect to their water depths and their present-day bottom water redox conditions. The Fladen and LF1 sites are located in the Kattegat and along the eastern side of the Gotland Deep, respectively, and are fully oxic, whereas site BY5 in the Bornholm Basin is seasonally hypoxic (Jilbert et al., 2011; Mort et al., 2010). The remaining stations, LF3, LL19, BY15 (Gotland Basin), F80 (Fårö Deep) and LD1 (Landsort Deep), are situated below the redoxcline, which was located between 80 and 120 m water depth at the time of sampling. Therefore, bottom waters at these sites were all anoxic and sulfidic (euxinic). The latter 4 sites are located in the deep central basins of the Baltic Sea, at water depths ranging from 169 m at LL19 to 416 m at LD1. Water column data for oxygen and hydrogen sulfide for LL19 and LD1 (as recorded at LL23 as a nearby station) are available from the ICES Dataset on Ocean Hydrology (2014). The sampling and selected pore water and sediment analyses for many of our sites have been described previously (Mort et al., 2010, Jilbert et al., 2011; Jilbert and Slomp, 2013a). For completeness, all procedures are described again below.

2.2 Bottom water and pore water analyses
A bottom water sample was taken from the water overlying the sediment in each multicore as soon as possible after core collection. At each site, sediment multi-cores (<50 cm, 10 cm i.d.) were either immediately sectioned in a N₂-filled glovebox at in-situ temperature or sampled with syringes through pre-drilled holes in the core liner. A small portion of each sample was stored at 5°C or -20°C in gas-tight jars for sediment analyses. The remaining sediment was centrifuged (10-30 min.; 2500 g) in 50 ml greiner tubes to collect pore water. Both the pore water and a bottom water sample were filtered (0.45 µm pore size) and subdivided for later laboratory analyses. All pore water handling prior to storage was performed in a N₂ atmosphere. A subsample of 0.5 ml was directly transferred to a vial with 2 ml of a 2% Zn-acetate solution for analysis of hydrogen sulfide. Sulfide concentrations were determined by complexation of the ZnS precipitate using phenylenediamine and ferric chloride (Strickland and Parsons, 1972). Subsamples for total Mn, Fe, Ca and S were acidified with either HNO₃ (Fladen, BY5) or HCl (all other stations) and stored at 5°C until further analysis with
Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES; Perkin Elmer Optima 3000; relative precision and accuracy as established by standards (ISE-921) and duplicates were always <5%). Hydrogen sulfide was assumed to be released during the initial acidification, thus S is assumed to represent $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ only. Total Mn and Fe are assumed to represent $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ although in the former case some $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ may also be included (Madison et al., 2011). Subsamples for NH$_4$ were frozen at -20°C until spectrophotometric analysis using the phenol hypochlorite method (Riley, 1953). A final subsample was used to determine the pH with a pH electrode and meter (Sentron). Note that degassing of CO$_2$ may impact ex-situ pH measurements and may lead to a rise in pH (Cai and Reimers, 1993). As a consequence, our pH values should be seen as an approximation only. The total alkalinity was then determined by titration with 0.01 M HCl. All colorimetric analyses were performed with a Shimadzu spectrophotometer. Replicate analyses indicated that the relative error for the pore water analyses was generally <10%.

At four deep basin sites (LL19, BY15, F80, LD1), a second multicore was sampled and analysed for methane as described by Jilbert and Slomp (2013a). Briefly, a cutoff syringe was inserted into a pre-drilled, taped hole at 1.5 cm intervals directly after core collection. Precisely 10 ml wet sediment was extracted from each hole and transferred immediately to a 65 ml glass bottle filled with saturated sodium chloride (NaCl) solution. This bottle was then closed with a rubber stopper and screwcap, and a headspace of 10 ml N$_2$ gas was inserted. Methane concentrations in the headspace of the glass bottles were determined by injection of a subsample into a Thermo Finnigan Trace GC gas chromatograph (flame ionization detector, Restek Q-PLOT column of 30 m length, 0.32 mm internal diameter, oven temperature 25°C). Data were then back-calculated to the original pore water concentrations using the measured porosities (see Section 2.3). Because of degassing, which is unavoidable at sites with very high CH$_4$ concentrations, the CH$_4$ profile at LD1 is considered to be less reliable.

### 2.3 Sediment analyses

Sediment samples were freeze-dried and water contents and porosities were calculated from the weight loss, assuming a sediment density of 2.65 g cm$^{-3}$. Sediments were then ground in an agate mortar in a N$_2$ or argon-filled glovebox. From each sediment sample, aliquots for several different analyses were taken. For total organic carbon (TOC) analyses, 0.3 g of sediment was decalcified with 1M HCl and the C content was determined with a Fisons NA 1500 CNS analyser (van Santvoort et al., 2002). Based on the analyses of laboratory reference
materials and replicates, the relative error of the TOC measurements was generally less than 5%. Total sediment contents of S, Mn, Ca, Fe, Al and Mo were determined by ICP-OES, after dissolution of 0.125 g of sample with an HF/HClO₄/HNO₃ mixture in closed Teflon bombs at 90°C, followed by evaporation of the solution and redissolution of the remaining gel in 1M HNO₃ (Passier et al., 1999). The accuracy and precision of the measurements were established by measuring laboratory reference materials (ISE-921 and in-house standards) and sample replicates; relative errors were <5% for all reported elements. The detection limits of ICP-OES for Mn, Mo, Ca, Fe, Al and S in the HNO₃ solution are 0.6, 14, 5, 6 and 24 µg kg⁻¹ and 0.28 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. All elemental concentrations in the sediment were corrected for the weight of the salt in the pore water using the ambient salinity and porosity.

Age models based on ²¹⁰Pb analyses for 6 multi-cores used in this study have been previously published. For details, we refer the reader to the relevant studies: Fladen and BY5 (Mort et al., 2010), LF1 and LF3 (Jilbert et al., 2011), LL19 (Zillén et al., 2012) and BY15 (Jilbert and Slomp, 2013b). A new ²¹⁰Pb age model was constructed for LD1. Samples from the Landsort Deep (LD1) were analyzed with a Canberra BeGe gamma ray spectrometer at Utrecht University. The samples were freeze-dried, homogenized, and transferred into vent-free petri dishes, which were sealed in polyethylene bags and stored for 2 weeks before measuring. Each sample was measured until 200-250 ²¹⁰Pb gamma-ray counts were reached. For the age determination a constant rate of supply model (Appleby and Oldfield, 1983) was implemented using a background estimated from the mean counts of ²¹⁴Pb and ²¹⁴Bi. For further details on the age models and the ²¹⁰Pb data for LD1, we refer to the supplementary information Appendix A. The age model for the site in the Fårö Deep (F80) was constructed using high resolution Mo and Mn data. In 2013, an extra sediment core from this station was taken. Mini sub-cores as described in section 2.4 were embedded in Spurr’s epoxy resin and measured by Laser Ablation - Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) line scanning. The fluctuations in Mo/Al and Mn/Al ratios were coupled to the instrumental records of bottom water oxygen conditions. The 2009 multicore profiles were subsequently tuned to the dated profiles from 2013 (see Appendix A for more details).

### 2.4 Microanalysis

Mini sub-cores of 1 cm diameter and up to ~12 cm length each were taken from the top part of sediment multicores at sites LL19 and LD1 in May 2011 as described in detail by Jilbert and Slomp (2013b). Briefly, the pore water was replaced by acetone and the sub-core was...
fixed in Spurr’s epoxy resin. During the whole procedure the sub-cores remained upright. During the dewatering process the sediment compacted resulting in a reduction of length of both sections by up to 50%. After curing, epoxy-embedded sub-cores were opened perpendicular to the plane of sedimentation and the exposed internal surface was polished.

Line scans were performed with LA-ICP-MS, to measure high-resolution vertical profiles of selected elements in the resin blocks of the two cores. A Lambda Physik laser of wavelength 193 nm and pulse rate of 10 Hz was focused onto the sample surface with a spot size of 120 µm. During line scanning, the sample was moved under the laser beam with a velocity of 0.0275 mm/s, creating an overlapping series of pulse craters. From the closed sample chamber the ablated sample was transferred to a Micromass Platform ICP-MS by He-Ar carrier gas. Specific isotopes of aluminum (27Al), iron (57Fe), manganese (55Mn), sulfur (34S) and molybdenum (98Mo) were measured. For site LD1, bromine (81Br) was also measured. LA-ICP-MS data for each element were calibrated by reference to the sensitivities (counts/ppm) of the glass standard NIST SRM 610 (Jochum et al., 2011) and corrected for the natural abundances of the analyzed isotopes. All data are reported normalized to Al to correct for variations in sample yield. For S/Al data, a further sensitivity factor was applied which compensates for the contrasting relative yield of S from NIST SRM 610 with respect to embedded sediments.

The resin-embedded samples were also mounted inside an EDAX Orbis Micro XRF Analyzer to construct elemental maps at a spatial resolution of 30 µm for manganese (Mn), calcium (Ca) and sulfur (S) (Micro XRF settings: Rh tube at 30 kV, 500 µA, 300 ms dwell time, 30 µm capillary beam).

To allow comparison of the data from the micro analyses with the discrete samples, the measured profiles of the LA-ICP-MS were expanded to the original length of the core section and aligned to the discrete sample data (not shown).

2.5 Flux calculations

The diffusive flux of manganese across the sediment-water interface (Jsed) was calculated from the concentration gradient in the pore water over the upper 0.25 to 2.5 cm of the sediment with Fick’s first law for 6 sites:

\[ J_{sed} = -\phi D_{sed} \frac{dC_{Mn^{2+}}}{dx} \]  

(1)
where $\phi$ is the porosity (as listed in Appendix B), $D_{\text{sed}}$ is the whole sediment diffusion coefficient for dissolved Mn$^{2+}$, $C$ is the dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ concentration and $x$ is depth in the sediment. $D_{\text{sed}}$ was calculated from the diffusion coefficient of Mn$^{2+}$ in free solution corrected for ambient salinity and temperature ($D_{\text{SW}}$) and porosity (Boudreau, 1997):

$$D_{\text{sed}} = \frac{D_{\text{SW}}}{(1 - \ln \phi^2)}$$

Whenever possible (LL19, BY15 and F80) higher resolution data from the 2009 Aranda cruise was used for the calculation (Table 2 and data in Appendix B).

### 2.6 Saturation state

Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations were performed for the pore water of LF3, LL19, BY15, F80 and LD1 using version 3.1.1 of the computer program PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) with the LLNL database. Our calculations should be seen as approximations with the main purpose of providing a comparison to previous calculations by Carman and Rahm (1997) and Heiser et al. (2001) to assess whether there are any indications for a change in saturation state of the pore water between inflows. The LLNL database does not contain the authigenic carbonate phases present in the Baltic Sea. However, data from the literature (Jakobsen and Postma, 1989; Sternbeck and Sohlenius, 1997; Lepland and Stevens, 1998; Huckriede and Meischner 1996; Kulik et al., 2000) suggest that Baltic carbonates are predominantly Mn carbonates with a substantial contribution of Ca. Therefore, an approximation of the solubility product of (Mn, Ca) CO$_3$ solid solutions was generated using the equations given in Katsikopoulos et al. (2009). The stoichiometric solubility product ($K_a$) was calculated using Mn$_{0.74}$Ca$_{0.26}$CO$_3$ (Kulik et al 2000) as a common ratio measured for (Mn, Ca) CO$_3$ solid solutions in Baltic Sea sediments.

An equilibrium constant $pK$ of 0.377 (Emerson et al. 1983) was used for Mn sulfide. The solubility of iron sulfide from Rickard (2006) was added to the calculations as well as MnHS$^+$ as a solute (Luther et al., 1996) because it is likely abundant in pore water in sulfidic sediments (Heiser et al., 2001). At sites LF3 and LD1, Fe$^{2+}$ was below the detection limit and the calculation of the saturation state with respect to FeS could not be performed. Carbonate alkalinity was calculated from titration alkalinity as described by Carman and Rahm (1997).
2.7 Diagenetic model for Mn

A simple diagenetic model for Mn was developed to assess the potential effect of changes in the kinetics of reductive dissolution of Mn oxides to dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ and subsequent Mn carbonate formation in Baltic Sea surface sediments following an inflow event. Our modeling is generic and addresses this research question only. Therefore, we do not attempt to describe all the relevant processes potentially controlling Mn carbonate formation in the sediment nor do we focus on a specific location. The model accounts for two biogeochemical processes: reductive dissolution of Mn oxides to Mn$^{2+}$ and precipitation of Mn$^{2+}$ in the form of Mn carbonates. Empirical rate laws for Mn oxide reduction and Mn carbonate formation are assumed, with rates depending on first order rate constants for both processes ($k_{\text{red}}$ and $k_{\text{prec}}$) and the sediment concentration of Mn oxide and dissolved Mn$^{2+}$, respectively (Berner, 1980; Slomp et al., 1997). Transport is assumed to occur through diffusion (Mn$^{2+}$) and sediment burial (Mn$^{2+}$ and both solids). Porosity ($\phi$), temperature, sediment density ($\rho_s$) and rates of sedimentation ($\omega$) are assumed constant with depth and time. The following differential equations were used:

\[
\frac{\partial C_{\text{Mn}^{2+}}}{\partial t} = D_{\text{Mn}^{2+}} \frac{\partial^2 C_{\text{Mn}^{2+}}}{\partial x^2} - \omega \frac{\partial C_{\text{Mn}^{2+}}}{\partial x} - k_{\text{prec}} C_{\text{Mn}^{2+}} + \frac{\rho_s (1-\phi)}{\phi} k_{\text{red}} C_{\text{Mn oxide}} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C_{\text{Mn oxide}}}{\partial t} = -\omega \frac{\partial C_{\text{Mn oxide}}}{\partial x} - k_{\text{red}} C_{\text{Mn oxide}} \tag{4}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C_{\text{MnCO}_3}}{\partial t} = -\omega \frac{\partial C_{\text{MnCO}_3}}{\partial x} + \frac{\phi}{\rho_s (1-\phi)} k_{\text{prec}} C_{\text{Mn}^{2+}} \tag{5}
\]

where $C_{\text{Mn}^{2+}}$, $C_{\text{Mn oxide}}$ and $C_{\text{MnCO}_3}$ are the concentrations of dissolved Mn$^{2+}$, Mn oxides and MnCO$_3$, respectively and $D_{\text{Mn}^{2+}}$ is the diffusion coefficient of dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ as defined in equation (2). The model code was written in R using the marelac (Soetaert et al., 2010) and ReacTran (Soetaert and Meysman, 2012) packages. The model domain is represented by a one-dimensional grid of 1000 cells that captures the interval from the sediment-water interface to a depth of 1 cm. Environmental parameters typical for surface sediments in the deep basins of the Baltic Sea and boundary conditions were assumed as defined in Table 3. Here, we assess a scenario for Baltic Sea sediments where Mn oxides are deposited during a period of oxic bottom water conditions for 4 months directly after a North Sea inflow followed by a period of two months in which no Mn oxides are deposited because of the
return of bottom water anoxia (Table 3; Section 4.1). We set \( k_{\text{prec}} \) to 5,000 yr\(^{-1}\), placing the maximum rate of Mn carbonate formation in the model calculations in the upper range given by Wang and Van Cappellen (1996). We then assess the response of benthic fluxes of Mn\(^{2+}\), rates of formation of Mn carbonate in the sediment and profiles of the various Mn forms to variations in \( k_{\text{red}} \) when assuming values of either 0.1, 1, 10, 100 or 1000 yr\(^{-1}\) during 4 months of the simulation followed by a period of two months with a \( k_{\text{red}} \) of 1000 yr\(^{-1}\) (i.e. representing rapid Mn oxide reduction after the return of anoxic conditions). By varying \( k_{\text{red}} \), we wish to capture a wide range in the availability of reductants for Mn oxides in the surface sediment. Values of \( k_{\text{red}} \) estimated for different sedimentary environments overlain by oxic bottom waters in the North Sea range from 0.04 -150 yr\(^{-1}\) (Slomp et al., 1997). The slightly wider range assumed here is reasonable because of the more important role of anaerobic pathways of organic matter degradation in deep basin sediments of the Baltic Sea sediments compared to those in the North Sea (e.g. Mort et al., 2010 versus Slomp et al., 1997). To assess the robustness of our results, we also perform the same simulations with even higher \( k_{\text{prec}} \) values (up to 30,000 yr\(^{-1}\)).

3 Results

At the time of sampling, bottom waters were oxic at the Fladen and LF1 sites in the eastern Gotland Basin, hypoxic at the Bornholm Basin site BY5, and anoxic and sulfidic at all other locations (Table 1). Pore water Mn\(^{2+}\) concentrations increase with depth in the sediment at most sites (Figure 2; Appendix B). At the Fladen site, however, Mn\(^{2+}\) concentrations decrease again below ca. 5 cm and at the eastern Gotland Basin sites LF1 and LF3, Mn\(^{2+}\) concentrations are lower than at other sites. Pore water Fe\(^{2+}\) shows a subsurface maximum at the Fladen and LF1 sites, but is low or absent in the pore water at all other sites. Pore water Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations show little change with depth and are in line with the salinity gradient in the Baltic Sea. Alkalinity and ammonium concentrations increase with sediment depth simultaneously with a decline in sulfate. CH\(_4\) is present at depth where sulfate is depleted at the sites in the Fårö Deep (F80) and Landsort Deep (LD1) (Appendix B). Similar to Ca\(^{2+}\), sulfate concentrations in the bottom water at the different stations are in line with the salinity gradient in the Baltic Sea (Table 1). Concentrations of hydrogen sulfide in the pore water > 2 mM are found at the Fårö Deep and Landsort Deep sites F80 and LD1. The pore waters are supersaturated with respect to Mn carbonate below the surface sediment at the Landsort Deep. The other hypoxic and anoxic sites except LF3 reach saturation only at greater depth. For Mn...
sulfide, in contrast, supersaturation is only observed at the Landsort Deep site, LD1 (Figure 3) and below 35 cm at site F80. Pore waters were supersaturated with respect to FeS at the sites in the Northern Gotland Basin (LL19), in the Gotland Deep (BY15) and Fårö Deep (F80) (Appendix B). Note that degassing of CO₂ during centrifugation may have led to a shift in pH to higher values, thereby enhancing the degree of saturation with respect to carbonate and sulfide minerals. However, an upward shift of ca. 0.5 pH units due to this effect would not greatly affect the observed trends with depth and contrasts between stations in the calculated saturation states presented. Calculated diffusive fluxes of Mn²⁺ vary from 81 to 236 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹, with the highest efflux from the sediment being observed at the hypoxic Bornholm Basin site BY5 and in the anoxic Landsort Deep (LD1)(Table 2).

Average sedimentation rates vary significantly between sites, with 3- to 4-fold higher rates at Fladen and in the Landsort Deep (LD1) when compared to the oxic site in the eastern Gotland Basin (LF1) and Bornholm Basin (BY5)(Table 1; Figure 4). Sediments are highly porous (Appendix B) and rich in organic carbon (TOC) with maxima of ca. 5 wt% at the oxic sites Fladen and LF1 and ca. 16 wt% at the anoxic sites (Figure 4). While changes in TOC with depth at Fladen and LF1 are relatively small, distinct enrichments in TOC are observed in the upper part of the sediment at all anoxic sites. High contents of total Al, which is a proxy for clays, are in line with the presence of fine-grained sediments throughout the cores (Appendix B). Total sulfur contents are low at Fladen, but are higher at all other sites, and show considerable variation with depth in the sediment. Mn is enriched in the surface sediment at Fladen, but is nearly absent at the LF1, BY5 and LF3 sites. At sites LL19, BY15 and F80, Mn is present again but is mostly observed at greater depth in the sediment. The upper 30 cm of the sediment at site LD1 is highly enriched in Mn. Sediment Ca is high at Fladen, is enriched in the surface sediment at site LF1, is low at sites BY5, LF3 and LL19 and follows the pattern in Mn at sites BY15, F80 and LD1. Sediment Fe typically ranges between 2 to 6 wt% and there is a trend towards lower Fe contents in the upper 5 to 20 cm of the sediment, following an initial maximum at the bottom of the TOC-rich interval at many sites (Appendix B). This upward declining trend is even more apparent when the Fe contents are normalized to Al (Figure 4). Sediment Mo is low at the Fladen, LF1, BY5 and LF3 sites but is enriched at the other sites, where profiles largely follow those of TOC (Figure 4).

The LA-ICP-MS line-scans of resin-embedded surface sediments at site LL19 in the Northern Gotland Basin (Figure 5A) support the results of the discrete sample analysis (Figure 4) and
confirm that there are very few Mn rich laminae in recent sediments at this location. While most of the minor enrichments of Mn are correlated with Fe, S and Mo (Figure 5A), three peaks (at 3.6, 3.9 and 4.6 cm) are independent of these elements, suggesting that these Mn enrichments dominantly consist of carbonates. This is confirmed by the Micro-XRF maps (Figure 5B) of the corresponding interval, which indicate coincident Mn and Ca-rich layers. The maps show clear Mn carbonate layers at ~3.9 cm and ~4.6 cm. However, the third enrichment at 3.6 cm is less continuous and is only represented by one spot in the map. The two distinct Mn carbonate layers can be linked to inflow events in 1993 and 1997, using the ²¹⁰Pb-based age model for this site, after correction for compaction of the sediment during embedding.

In the surface sediments of the Landsort Deep site (LD1), in contrast, a large number of Mn enrichments with much higher concentrations than at LL19 are observed (Figure 4 and 5). The LA-ICP-MS line scans show that highest values often coincide with enrichments in S, Mo and Br but are not related to maxima in Fe. The micro-XRF-maps of Mn, Ca and S confirm that enrichments in Mn are present as discrete layers. The RGB (Mn, Ca, S) composite reveals two different compositions for the Mn enrichments. The purple layers in the RGB composite are a result of enrichments of Mn (red) and S (blue) in the same pixel, suggesting the presence of Mn sulfide. However, other layers and spots are orange to yellow, indicating coincident enrichments of Ca (green) and Mn, suggesting carbonate enrichments (Figure 5B).

Benthic fluxes of Mn²⁺ and rates of Mn carbonate formation calculated with the diagenetic model depend on the value of the rate constant for the reduction of Mn oxides (k_red) assumed for the period with oxic (4 months) bottom waters. While benthic fluxes of Mn²⁺ increase with increasing values of k_red, especially during the first 4 months of the simulation, rates of Mn carbonate formation integrated with depth decrease (Figure 6). In runs with low values of k_red, Mn carbonate is mostly formed in the 2-month anoxic phase. Corresponding profiles of Mn oxides at the end of the 4 month oxic phase and of Mn carbonates at the end of both the oxic and anoxic phases at 6 months illustrate the dependence of Mn carbonate formation in the model on the rate of reduction of Mn oxides. Example profiles of Mn²⁺ at the start of the anoxic phase are also shown. Runs with a higher rate constant for precipitation of Mn carbonates (k_prec) lead to more sharply defined peaks in Mn carbonate and more Mn carbonate
formation at higher $k_{red}$ values, but the same trends in fluxes and rates with varying $k_{red}$ are observed (not shown).

4 Discussion

4.1 Sediment Mn cycling in the Baltic Sea

Our results indicate major differences in Mn dynamics in the varied depositional settings of the Baltic Sea. Although located in the Kattegat far from the euxinic basins, processes at the Fladen site (Figure 2 and 3) can be used to illustrate the typical processes at oxic sites. Here, Mn cycling is largely internal to the sediment and the Mn that is released to the pore water at depth mostly reprecipitates upon upward diffusion into the oxic surface sediment. At the hypoxic site in the Bornholm Basin (BY5) there is no clear sediment Mn enrichment but there is release of dissolved Mn to the pore water, presumably due to dissolution of Mn oxides, within the upper 15 cm of the sediment. At this site, the highest diffusive Mn flux from the sediment to the water column was found (Table 2). At one of the sites on the slope of the eastern Gotland Basin (LF1), there is a significant release of Mn$^{2+}$ but the sediments at this site are low in solid-phase Mn. This suggests that the source of Mn at this site may be of a transient nature. Our results highlight that sediments in hypoxic areas may act as sources of Mn to the water column, with subsequent lateral transfer potentially bringing this Mn to the deep basins (Huckriede and Meischner, 1996; Jilbert and Slomp, 2013a; Lyons and Severmann, 2006; Scholz et al., 2013).

The pore water profiles of the 4 anoxic sites in the various deep basins (LL19, BY15, F80, and LD1) all are indicative of release of Mn to the pore water, either from reductive dissolution of Mn oxides or dissolution of Mn carbonates due to undersaturation (e.g. Heiser et al., 2001; Jilbert and Slomp, 2013a). As a result, diffusive Mn fluxes from the sediment to the water column are also observed at all these deep basin sites. However, the Mn released to these deep waters remains trapped below the redoxcline in the water column. Although reoxidation of the Mn and formation of mixed phases of Mn oxides and Fe-(III)-associated phosphates upon upward diffusion of Mn into the redoxcline occurs (Dellwig et al., 2010; Turnewitsch and Pohl, 2010), sinking of these phases into sulfidic waters leads to subsequent reductive redissolution.

Due to the seasonal and inflow-related changes in redox conditions in the Baltic Sea, the lack of detailed data sets on Mn$^{2+}$ concentrations in the water column, and our very limited number
of study sites, we cannot accurately estimate the different reservoirs of Mn and the importance of the present-day source of Mn from sediments overlain by oxic and hypoxic and anoxic bottom waters at the basin scale. Nevertheless, we will attempt to make a rough quantification using the data that is available and will then compare it to estimates from the literature.

Taking an average deep water volume of 2,000 km$^3$ and average hypoxic area of 47,000 km$^2$ (Carstensen et al., 2014) and a deep water concentration of Mn of 8 µM (Löffler et al. 1997 as cited by Heiser et al., 2001), the amount of Mn in the deep water is estimated at 1.6 x 10$^{10}$ mol or 0.33 mol m$^{-2}$. The range in Mn fluxes in our study (0 to 236 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Table 2) is comparable to benthic fluxes measured with in-situ chambers in other areas of the Baltic Sea (e.g. the Gulf of Finland; Pakhomova et al., 2007) and estimated from pore water profiles from the 1990’s (e.g. Heiser et al., 2001). If we assume that a flux of ca. 90 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ is representative for the sediments overlain by hypoxic and anoxic bottom waters (Table 2; based on the fluxes for LL19, F80 and BY15), we calculate a yearly flux of 0.033 mol m$^{-2}$ from those sediments, which is equivalent to 10% of the inventory in the water column. In similar calculations, Heiser et al. (2001) estimated the amount of Mn in the Gotland Deep to be equal to 0.8 mol m$^{-2}$. With our estimate of the benthic flux, this would lead to a contribution of the benthic flux of less than 5%.

Note, however, that the role of the benthic flux of Mn from hypoxic sediments will vary spatially and may be biased towards high values because of preferential sampling of sites with a relatively high sediment accumulation rate in most pore water studies. This may explain the one order of magnitude lower benthic fluxes of Mn reported for the Gotland Deep area in 1999-2001 of ca. 7-8 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ by Neretin et al. (2003) when compared to those in our study (Table 2).

Benthic fluxes of Mn are also expected to be high upon the reestablishment of bottom water anoxia after an inflow and then decline with time (Neretin et al., 2003). The exact impact of inflows on the oxygenation of the bottom waters in the deep basins of the Baltic Sea varies from site to site, however, and depends on the volume and oxygen content of the inflowing water, its pathway and the oxygen concentration in the receiving basin (e.g. Carstensen et al., 2014), with the general flow of water in the deep basins going from the Gotland to the Fårö and the Landsort Deep (Holtermann et al., 2012). For example, the bottom water in the Gotland Deep was free of hydrogen sulfide for 4 months following the inflow of 1993-1994.
(Neretin et al., 2003; Yakushev et al., 2011) whereas the Landsort Deep was less affected because the bottom water at the time contained oxygen already (Figure 7). Using biogeochemical modeling of a typical inflow in the Gotland Deep area, Yakushev et al. (2011) showed that dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ in the water column was oxidized to Mn oxides and settled to the bottom over a time period of months. Dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ appeared in the water column again upon the return of bottom water anoxia and steady state conditions in the water column were established in the model after ca. 1.5 years.

In their study, Yakushev et al. (2011) concluded that sediments play only a minor role as a source of Mn a few years after an inflow. Likely, the large pool of Mn in the water column of the deep basins was mostly released from the formerly oxic sediments during the initial expansion of hypoxia during the 20th century. Based on the fact that, apart from the changes in Mn inventory between inflows, there is no clear trend in water column Mn concentrations in the Baltic Sea with time over recent decades (Pohl and Hennings, 2005), it is likely that the present-day Mn shuttling from the oxic and hypoxic areas around the deep basins is not as important quantitatively as a source of Mn to the deep basins as it was at the onset of hypoxia early in the 20th century.

Notably, Yakushev et al. (2011) consider Mn$^{3+}$ besides Mn$^{2+}$ in their model for biogeochemical dynamics in the water column in the Gotland Deep. Dellwig et al. (2012) found recently that Mn$^{3+}$ is an important component in the water column Mn cycle in the Landsort Deep but not in the Gotland Deep. Further work is required to elucidate the potential importance of this finding to Mn dynamics in the Baltic Sea and its impact on other biogeochemical cycles (e.g. Pakhomova and Yakushev, 2013) and to determine whether Mn$^{3+}$ plays a role in the sediments as well and impacts Mn sequestration (e.g. Madison et al., 2011). Field studies of Mn dynamics in the water column and sediment during and directly after an inflow would be of particular value.

### 4.2 Manganese sequestration in the anoxic basins

Formation of Mn bearing carbonates in the Gotland Basin and Landsort Deep is generally described as being ubiquitous after inflows (e.g. Jakobsen and Postma, 1989). Between inflows, when bottom waters in the deep basins of the Baltic Sea are anoxic, pore waters in the surface sediments are typically assumed to be undersaturated with respect to Mn carbonates down to a depth of ~5 to 8 cm based on saturation state calculations for idealized
minerals (Figure 3)(Carman and Rahm, 1997; Heiser et al., 2001). The dissolution of Mn oxides in the surface sediment following an inflow of oxygenated North Sea water is thought to lead to high Mn$^{2+}$ concentrations in the pore water and strong oversaturation with respect to Mn carbonates, although this has not been proven (Huckriede and Meischner, 1996; Sternbeck and Sohlenius, 1997, Heiser et al., 2001). Various authors have correlated such inflow events to specific accumulations of Mn carbonate in sediments of the Gotland Basin (e.g. Heiser et al., 2001; Neumann et al., 1997). We observe such enrichments in all our deep basin cores, with the magnitude of the enrichment increasing with water depth (Figure 4). We suggest that this water depth effect between the deep basin sites is due to increased focusing of particulate Mn oxides precipitated during inflow events with water depth, combined with a high alkalinity in the deep basins linked to organic matter degradation by sulfate reduction. Increased focusing of Mn oxides with water depth has been observed in other marine systems (e.g. Slomp et al., 1997) and high alkalinity in sulfate-bearing organic rich sediments overlain by an anoxic water column are typically linked to organic matter degradation through sulfate reduction (Berner et al., 1970).

Our microanalysis results show that the Mn carbonate enrichments at site LL19 are highly laminar in character, implying rapid precipitation at or near the sediment-water interface. Furthermore, these Mn carbonate enrichments occur independently of enrichments in Mo and S. Sedimentary Mo can be used as a proxy for sulfidic conditions close to the sediment-water interface, due to the conversion of seawater oxymolybdate to particle-reactive thiomolybdate in the presence of hydrogen sulfide (Erickson and Helz, 2000). Although the ultimate burial phase of Mo in sulfidic sediments is still debated (e.g., Helz et al., 2011), Mo concentrations have successfully been used to reconstruct the redox history of the bottom water in restricted coastal basins (Adelson et al., 2001; Jilbert and Slomp, 2013a). Sulfur enrichments in sediments are typically associated with Fe-sulfides (Boesen and Postma, 1988), and thus are also indicative of sulfidic conditions close to the sediment-water interface. The independence of Mn enrichments from those of Mo and S suggests relativelyoxic conditions at the time of Mn carbonate precipitation. Both lines of evidence support the interpretation of Mn carbonate precipitation following inflow events (Sternbeck and Sohlenius, 1997). Our age model suggests that the two pronounced Mn carbonate layers at the base of the surface-sediment block (Figure 5) correspond to inflows in 1993 and 1997 (Matthäus and Schinke, 1999).
Mn enrichments at the Landsort Deep site LD1 occur more frequently when compared to other deep basin sites (Figure 4), as observed in earlier work (Lepland and Stevens, 1998). In the Landsort Deep, Lepland and Stevens (1998) attributed the enrichments to the relatively high alkalinity. Our pore water results show that alkalinity is similar to that of F80. However, the pore water Mn$^{2+}$ concentrations at the Landsort Deep site are much higher than elsewhere (>1 µM versus <0.26 mM of Mn$^{2+}$). This may be related to the fact that the Landsort Deep is the deepest basin in the Baltic Sea and its geometry makes it an excellent sediment trap. As a consequence, sediment deposition rates are much higher than in the other Deeps (Lepland and Stevens, 1998; Mort et al., 2010). Results of the recent IODP expedition suggest that deposition rates may even be more than a factor of 6 higher; (Expedition 347 Scientists, 2014). Sediment focusing is also expected to lead to a higher input of organic matter and Mn oxides to this basin. Given that rates of mineral dissolution are expected to depend on the amount of material present, corresponding rates of input and dissolution of Mn oxide minerals in the sediment are likely higher in the Landsort Deep than at other sites. Thus, we suggest that differences in focusing of the sediment may explain the observed differences in pore water chemistry and Mn sequestration. The differences in pore water chemistry will also likely impact the exact solid phases formed in the sediments of the various deep basins.

The high-resolution analyses for the Landsort Deep site (LD1) also show that, besides Mn carbonate enrichments, there are several distinct layers of Mn sulfide in the surface sediments (Figure 5). These appear to coincide with enrichments in Mo, suggesting formation of Mn sulfides during intervals of more reducing conditions (Mort et al., 2010). Furthermore, we observe simultaneous enrichments of Br (Figure 5), which suggests higher organic carbon contents (Ziegler et al., 2008). These results could imply that increased rates of sulfate reduction linked to elevated inputs of organic material to the sediments drive the formation of Mn sulfide. We note that the interval presented in the XRF map covers only a few years of sediment accumulation, possibly suggesting rapid changes in Mn mineralogy in response to seasonal variability of the organic matter flux (Figure 5). Primary productivity in the Baltic Sea is known to vary seasonally (Bianchi et al., 2002; Fennel, 1995). Further work is required to determine conclusively the mechanisms of MnS formation. While the presence of MnS has been shown for the earlier anoxic time intervals in the Baltic (Böttcher and Huckriede, 1997; Lepland and Stevens, 1998), this is the first time Mn sulfides are reported for such near-surface sediments in the Baltic Sea.
The contrasting controls on Mn mineral formation in the Landsort Deep, compared to the other deep basin sites, are further illustrated by a comparison of the trends in total Mn and Mo concentrations (Figure 4) with measured bottom water oxygen concentrations for the period 1955 to 2010 (ICES Dataset on Ocean Hydrography 2014) for sites in the northern Gotland Basin (LL19) and the Landsort Deep (LD1) (Figure 7). At site LL19, Mn enrichments in the sediments coincide with low values of Mo in the sediment and inflows of oxygenated water. This suggests that Mn burial is enhanced under more oxygenated bottom water conditions. At LD1, in contrast, high Mn contents are observed from 1965 onwards, independent of inflows, with the highest Mn values coinciding with periods with the highest sulfide concentrations that occur in particular since the year 2000. This supports our hypothesis that the formation of Mn carbonate minerals in the Landsort Deep is not always related to inflows and that the Mn oxide supply is higher and more continuous when compared to the other basins.

4.3 Changes in Mn burial linked to expanding hypoxia

Strikingly, the more reducing conditions in the Gotland Basin (LL19, BY15) and Fårö Deep sites (F80) over the past decades, as recorded in the Mo profiles (Figures 4 and 7), are accompanied by a strong reduction in sediment Mn burial. Given the suggested link between Mn burial and inflows, it is important to assess the occurrence of these inflows. During the past two decades, there were two major (1993, 2003) and several minor inflow events (e.g. 1997) into the Baltic Sea. The event in 1993 was one of the strongest in the last 60 years (Matthäus et al., 2008) and the inflow of 2003 (Feistel et al., 2003) was weaker but still significant enough to reoxygenate the bottom water of the deep basins (Figure 7). However, at LL19, Mn sequestration in the sediment between 2000 and 2010 has been negligible and the inflow in 2003 is not recorded as a Mn carbonate enrichment (Figure 7), whereas in the high resolution geochemical analyses Mn layers are clearly visible in both the LA-ICP-MS and micro-XRF scans (Figure 5) and can be linked to the inflows in 1993 and 1997. A similar “missing” Mn carbonate layer was observed by Heiser et al. (2001) in the Gotland Deep and attributed to re-dissolution of Mn carbonate linked to resuspension events and mixing of the sediment into unsaturated bottom waters. However, our cores were clearly laminated and the 210Pb profiles also show no evidence for mixing. We therefore conclude that, with the increased hypoxia and euxinia in the Baltic Sea, Mn oxides are no longer converted to stable Mn carbonates following inflows.
The formation of Mn carbonates in Baltic Sea sediments is typically believed to be induced by the high alkalinity linked to organic matter degradation combined with high Mn\(^{2+}\) concentrations in the surface sediment upon dissolution of Mn oxides following inflows (Lepland and Stevens, 1998). After an inflow, supersaturation with respect to Mn carbonates is thus expected to be reached in the surface sediment and not only at depths below ca. 5-10 cm (Figure 3). But what can inhibit the formation of these Mn carbonates? One possibility is that at high pore water sulfide concentrations, Mn sulfides form instead of Mn carbonates. However, given that there is negligible Mn enrichment in the upper sediments of F80, BY15 and LL19 today, we can exclude that possibility. Mn carbonate formation could be reduced if alkalinity declined, but alkalinity in the bottom waters of the Gotland Deep has in fact increased recently (e.g. Ulfsbo et al., 2011). High phosphate concentrations in the surface sediment may potentially negatively affect the rate of Mn carbonate formation (Mucci, 2004). However, there is no evidence for a significant rise in dissolved phosphate in the pore water of Gotland Basin sediments over the past decades (e.g. Carman and Rahm, 1997; Hille et al., 2005; Jilbert et al., 2011). Alternatively, we hypothesize that the Mn oxides that are formed following modern inflow events might be reductively dissolved faster than previously. As a consequence, the Mn\(^{2+}\) released from the oxides could then escape to the overlying water instead of being precipitated in the form of Mn carbonate. This is in line with the results of the simple diagenetic model where high rates of Mn oxide reduction lead to less Mn carbonate formation (Figure 6).

There are multiple possible reductants for Mn oxides in marine sediments, including sulfide, Fe\(^{2+}\) (e.g. Canfield and Thamdrup, 2009), NH\(_4^+\) (e.g. Luther et al., 1997), and CH\(_4\) (Beal et al., 2009), with the role of the latter two reductants in marine sediments still being debated. Given that the Fe\(^{2+}\) and CH\(_4\) concentrations in the pore waters of the surface sediments of the Gotland Basin area are negligible, these constituents are unlikely to play an important role as a reductant for Mn oxides in the northern Gotland Basin (LL19), Fårö Deep (F80) and Gotland Deep (BY15) sites. Furthermore, there is no evidence for a major recent change in pore water CH\(_4\) concentrations in the surface sediments. There is evidence, however, for a recent rise in the bottom water sulfide concentrations in the deep basins of the Baltic Sea (Figure 7) linked to eutrophication (Carstensen et al., 2014). As shown for the northern Gotland Basin site (LL19), the more persistent presence of high concentrations of bottom water sulfide and enrichments in sediment Mo, coincide with the decline in Mn in the sediment (Figure 7).
We hypothesize that Mn oxides that are formed following modern inflow events and that are deposited on the seafloor (Heiser et al., 2001) are no longer being converted to Mn carbonates because of higher ambient concentrations of sulfide in the bottom water and in the pore water. These higher sulfide concentrations are likely the direct result of increased sulfate reduction driven by the ongoing rise in productivity in the Baltic Sea (Gustafsson et al., 2012; Carstensen et al., 2014). The observed decline in Fe/Al at our deep basin sites (Figure 3) suggests more muted shuttling of Fe oxides from shelves to the deeps linked to the expanding hypoxia (e.g. Scholz et al., 2014) which may have reduced the buffer capacity of the sediments for sulfide (e.g. Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008).

The rate of reduction of Mn oxides with sulfides is assumed to linearly depend on the concentration of sulfide according to the following rate law (Wang and Van Cappellen, 1996):

$$ R = k_{\text{red}} C_{\text{Mn oxides}} $$

(6)

where $k$ is a rate constant (with a value $<10^8 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and $C_{\text{TS}}$ stands for the total sulfide concentration, i.e. the sum of the concentrations of $H_2S$ and $HS^-$ (in M). In our modeling approach, the rate law for this process is assumed equal to

$$ R = k_{\text{red}} C_{\text{Mn oxides}} $$

(7)

Thus, if sulfide is the reductant, $k_{\text{red}}$ can be assumed to be equivalent to the product of $k$ and $C_{\text{TS}}$. Sulfide will be absent in oxygenated pore waters, i.e. can be below 1 µM in the surface sediment, but also can range up to 1.1 to 2.2 mM as observed at sites F80 and LD1 (Figure 2; Appendix B). Corresponding $k_{\text{red}}$ values for surface sediments in the Baltic Sea would then be expected to range over 3-4 orders of magnitude and stay below $10^5 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which is in line with our assumptions. Mn carbonate formation is found to critically depend on the value of $k_{\text{red}}$ (Figure 6). While we are aware that other factors than the availability of Mn are also critical to Mn carbonate formation, these model results do provide support to our suggestion that a recent rise in sulfide concentrations in the pore waters and bottom waters may have made the surface sediments more hostile to the preservation of Mn oxide after an inflow and might contribute to their reduction. More Mn$^{2+}$ could then escape to the overlying water instead of being precipitated in the form of Mn carbonate, explaining the lack of recent Mn enrichments.
4.4 Implications for Mn as a redox proxy

In the classic model of Calvert and Pedersen (1993), Mn enrichments in sediments are indicative of either permanent or temporary oxygenation of bottom waters. Sediments of permanently anoxic basins, in contrast, are assumed to have no authigenic Mn enrichments because there is no effective mechanism to concentrate the Mn oxides. Our results for the Gotland Deep area indicate that the temporary oxygenation of the basin linked to inflows is no longer recorded as a Mn enrichment in the recent sediment when hypoxia becomes basin-wide. Thus, a decline in Mn burial (or a complete lack of Mn) in geological deposits in combination with indicators for water column euxinia such as elevated Mo contents may point towards expanding hypoxia, but does not exclude temporary oxygenation events. Strikingly, only very little Mn was buried at sites F80 and LL19 during the previous period of hypoxia in the Baltic Sea during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (Jilbert and Slomp, 2013b) as well as at the end of the Holocene Thermal Maximum at site LL19 (Lenz et al., 2014). This may be in line with hypoxia that was equally intense and widespread in the basin at the time as it is today. Our results for the Landsort Deep suggests that Mn enrichments may also form frequently in an anoxic basin as Mn carbonates and sulfides if the input of Mn from the surrounding area is exceptionally high due to sediment focusing. Mn enrichments in geological deposits thus can be indicative of both oxic and anoxic depositional environments, emphasizing the need for multiple redox proxies.

5 Conclusions

We show that the most recent sediments in the Fårö Deep and Gotland Deep contain low concentrations of Mn near the sediment surface. We hypothesize that this is due to the expansion of the area with hypoxic bottom waters and the development of more continuous bottom water euxinia over the past decades, linked to ongoing eutrophication and possibly due to the reduced input of Fe-oxides that can act as a sink for sulfide. The high ambient sulfide concentrations in the sediment and water column after an inflow event are suggested to contribute to conditions that are conducive to faster dissolution of Mn oxides, leading to less formation of Mn carbonates and more loss of Mn$^{2+}$ to the water column. Our hypothesis is supported by the results of a simple diagenetic model for Mn. It is also in accordance with the general interpretation of sediment records of Mn in paleoceanography and the use of Mn as a redox proxy where absence of Mn carbonates in sediments is assumed to be indicative of euxinic bottom waters (e.g. Calvert and Pedersen, 1993). In the Landsort Deep, in contrast,
Mn sulfides and carbonates are still being precipitated. This could be due to strong focusing of Mn-rich sediment particles and high rates of sediment accumulation in the Landsort Deep. Our results indicate that sediment Mn carbonates in the other deep basins of Baltic Sea no longer reliably and consistently record inflows of oxygenated North Sea water. This has implications for the use of Mn enrichments as a redox proxy when analyzing geological deposits.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by grants from the Baltic Sea 2020 foundation, the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO Vidi and Vici), Utrecht University (via UU short stay fellowship 2011), the EU-BONUS project HYPER and the European Research Council under the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme for ERC Starting Grant #278364. MW acknowledges Natural Environment Research Council [fellowship #NE/J018856/1]. We thank the captain and crew of RV Skagerrak (2007), RV Aranda (2009), RV Heincke (2010) and RV Pelagia (2011) and all participants of the cruises for their assistance with the field work. We thank Simon Veldhuijzen for his contribution to the analyses for site F80.
References


Canfield, D. E. and Thamdrup, B.: Towards a consistent classification scheme for geochemical environments, or, why we wish the term 'suboxic' would go away, Geobiology, 7, 385-392, 2009.


Feistel, R., Nausch, G., Mohrholz, V., Lysiak-Pastuszak, E., Seifert, T., Matthaus, W.,
Kruger, S., and Hansen, I. S.: Warm waters of summer 2002 in the deep Baltic Proper,

Fennel, W.: A model of the yearly cycle of nutrients and plankton in the Baltic Sea, J Mar

Fonselius, S. and Valderrama, J.: One hundred years of hydrographic measurements in the

Gustafsson, B. G., Schenk, F., Blenckner, T., Eilola, K., Meier, H. M., Müller-Karulis, B.,
Neumann, T., Ruoho-Airola, T., Savchuk, O. P., and Zorita, E.: Reconstructing the

sediments in stagnant basins by seawater inflow: A study of surface sediments from the

Helz, G. R., Bura-Nakić, E., Mikac, N., and Ciglenečki, I.: New model for molybdenum
behavior in euxinic waters, Chem Geol, 284, 323-332, 2011.

Hille, S., Nausch, G., and Leipe, T.: Sedimentary deposition and reflux of phosphorus (P) in
the Eastern Gotland Basin and their coupling with P concentrations in the water column,


Huckriede, H. and Meischner, D.: Origin and environment of manganese-rich sediments

Huerta-Diaz, M. A. and Morse, J. W.: Pyritization of trace metals in anoxic marine sediments,

ICES Dataset on Ocean Hydrography. The International Council for the Exploration of the
Sea, Copenhagen. 2014.

interface in a permanently anoxic basin: Framvaren Fjord, Norway, Geoichim Cosmochim


Jilbert, T. and Slomp, C. P.: Rapid high-amplitude variability in Baltic Sea hypoxia during the Holocene, Geology, 41, 1183-1186, 2013b


Madison, A. S., Tebo, B. M., and Luther, G. W.: Simultaneous determination of soluble manganese (III), manganese (II) and total manganese in natural (pore) waters, Talanta, 84, 374-381, 2011.


1 Equatorial Pacific, ODP Leg 201, Site 1226 and DSDP Leg 68, Site 503, Sedimentology, 56, 2 1552-1568, 2009.


Zillén, L., Lenz, C., and Jilbert, T.: Stable lead (Pb) isotopes and concentrations – A useful independent dating tool for Baltic Sea sediments, Quat Geochronol, 8, 41-45, 2012.
Table 1. Characteristics of the 8 study sites in the Baltic Sea. Redox: bottom water redox conditions at the time of sampling. Pore water samples were obtained during every cruise and were similar between years at each station. Here, the most complete data sets for each station are presented. Average sedimentation rates for the last 30 years are based on $^{210}$Pb dating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>Sedimentation Rate (cm yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Redox</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fladen</td>
<td>Fladen</td>
<td>R/V Skagerak Sept. 2007</td>
<td>57°11.57N 11°39.25E</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>oxic</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF1</td>
<td>Northern Gotland Basin</td>
<td>R/V Aranda May/June 2009</td>
<td>57°58.95N 21°16.84E</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>oxic</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY5</td>
<td>Bornholm Basin</td>
<td>R/V Skagerak Sept. 2007</td>
<td>55°15.16N 15°59.16E</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>O$_2=4.0$ µM</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF3</td>
<td>Eastern Gotland Basin</td>
<td>Sediment: R/V Aranda May/June 2009</td>
<td>57°59.50N 20°46.00E</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>H$_2$S=2.9 µM</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL19</td>
<td>Northern Gotland Basin</td>
<td>Sediment: R/V Aranda May/June 2009</td>
<td>58°52.84N 20°18.65E</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>H$_2$S=19.9 µM</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY15</td>
<td>Gotland Deep</td>
<td>Sediment R/V Aranda May/June 2009</td>
<td>57°19.20N 20°03.00E</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>H$_2$S=74.1 µM</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F80</td>
<td>Fårö Deep</td>
<td>R/V Heincke</td>
<td>July 2010</td>
<td>58°00.00N</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sediment: R/V Aranda</td>
<td>May/June 2009</td>
<td>19°53.81E</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>H₂S=45.6 μM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pore water: R/V Heincke</td>
<td>July 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD1</td>
<td>Landsort Deep</td>
<td>R/V Pelagia</td>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>58°37.47N</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May/June 2011</td>
<td>18°15.23E</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>anoxic and sulfidic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Diffusive fluxes of Mn across the sediment-water interface at all 6 sites. For further details, see text. For the bottom water and pore water data, see Appendix B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Year and cruise</th>
<th>Depth range cm</th>
<th>Diffusive Mn flux µmol m(^{-2}) d(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LF1</td>
<td>Northern Gotland Basin</td>
<td>2009 R/V Aranda</td>
<td>BW-0.25</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY5</td>
<td>Bornholm Basin</td>
<td>2009 R/V Aranda</td>
<td>BW-0.5</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL19</td>
<td>Northern Gotland Basin</td>
<td>2009 R/V Aranda</td>
<td>BW-0.25</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY15</td>
<td>Gotland Deep</td>
<td>2009 R/V Aranda</td>
<td>BW-0.25</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F80</td>
<td>Fårö Deep</td>
<td>2009 R/V Aranda</td>
<td>BW-0.25</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD1</td>
<td>Landsort Deep</td>
<td>2011 R/V Pelagia</td>
<td>BW*-2.5</td>
<td>~220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* LD1 has no measured bottom water sample. Therefore, the flux was estimated using the bottom water value from the Landsort Deep site BY31 from Mort et al. 2010.
Table 3. Environmental parameters, boundary conditions (where x=0 refers to the sediment-water interface and x = 1 cm refers to a depth of 1 cm in the sediment) and first-order rate constants used in the simple diagenetic model for Mn for a “typical” Gotland basin sediment, including the sources, where relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental and transport Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Porosity (vol%)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Salinity</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Table 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sedimentation rate (m yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>Table 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary condition at sediment water interface (x=0)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed concentration, Mn²⁺ (mol m⁻³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed flux of MnCO₃ (mol m⁻² y⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient flux of Mn oxides (mol m⁻² y⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- kred (yr⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- kprec (yr⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For all chemical species a zero-gradient boundary condition was specified at the bottom of the model domain.
Figure 1  Bathymetric map and depth profile of the Baltic Sea showing the locations of the sampling sites.
Figure 2. Pore water profiles of manganese (II), iron (Fe), calcium, alkalinity, ammonium and sulfate for all 8 sites and hydrogen sulfide for the 5 deepest sites. Note, that Fe$^{2+}$ is below detection limit in core LF3 and LD1 and dissolved sulfide is expressed as HS$^-$, some H$_2$S can be present as well.
Figure 3 Saturation indices (SI) for Mn carbonate (here as Mn$_{0.74}$Ca$_{0.26}$CO$_3$) and Mn sulfide as calculated from the pore water data with PHREEQC.
Figure 4: Sediment depth profiles of total organic carbon (TOC), sulfur (S), manganese (Mn), iron to aluminum ratio (Fe/Al), calcium (Ca) and molybdenum for all 8 sites. Note the different scale for manganese at Fladen and LF1, and LD1. Grey lines indicate the years 1990 and 1940, based on sediment dating. These date markers are used to demonstrate the variability of sedimentation rates in the study area.
Figure 5 A: High resolution elemental profiles of Fe/Al, Mn/Al, S/Al, Mo/Al and Br/Al (only LD1) generated by LA-ICP-MS line scanning for resin-embedded surface sediment blocks. Note the difference in absolute values for Mn/Al between LL19 and LD1. The depth scale refers to the compacted sediment in the resin blocks (the total length of wet sediment prior to embedding was 5.5 cm (LL19) and 11.3 cm (LD1)). Peaks marked with a * exceed the scale.

B: Compilation of micro XRF maps for station LL19 and LD1 showing the distribution of manganese (red), calcium (green) and sulfur (blue) at the depth indicated by grey panels in the LA-ICP-MS line scans. Color intensity within each map is internally proportional to XRF counts, but relative scaling has been modified to highlight features. The fourth picture for each station shows a RGB (red-green-blue) composite of the three elements with orange to yellow colors indicating a mix of Mn and Ca, and therefore, representing Ca-Mn carbonates. The pink/purple represents a mix of Mn and S, hence Mn sulfide.
Figure 6. A: Total Mn$^{2+}$ efflux and B: integrated rates of Mn carbonate formation over the upper cm of the sediment (in mol m$^{-2}$) for the first 4 months (with $k_{\text{red}}$ either 0.1, 1, 10, 100 or 1000 yr$^{-1}$) and for the total 6 months (with $k_{\text{red}}$ equal to 1000 yr$^{-1}$ to represent anoxia during the last two months of each simulation) as described in the text. C: Depth profiles of Mn oxide (after 4 months), Mn$^{2+}$ at the start of the anoxic phase and MnCO$_3$ (after 6 months) as calculated with the model in the same scenarios as A and B.
Figure 7. Records of sediment manganese and molybdenum for 1955-2010 for core LL19 and core LD1 and corresponding bottom water oxygen and sulfide concentrations from monitoring data (for LD1 the nearby monitoring station LL23 was used; ICES Dataset on Ocean Hydrography, 2014).