Interactive comment on “Land surface phenological response to decadal climate variability across Australia using satellite remote sensing” by M. Broich et al.

Final Responses to Anonymous Referee #2 (marked by “>>”)

>>General Comments
The manuscript presents a geographically comprehensive analysis of vegetation land surface phenology variability over Australia using MODIS EVI data, with TRMM precipitation and the Southern Oscillation Index as climatic drivers. The manuscript is well written, advances the current understanding of vegetation phenology over this continent, and provides clear figures illustrating the results. Clarification regarding the methods and a few minor changes are needed however.

The implementation of the 7-parameter double logistic model needs to be clarified. The authors show in Figure 1 the 36 sites used for “algorithm development and calibration” but it is not clear how these sites were used in this regard. Stating they were calibration sites implies that they were used to either provide initial estimates of the parameters, to constrain the parameters prior to applying the model across all pixels, or perhaps to help identify the width of the smoothing filter and moving window for defining seasonal minimums. And if so, were these estimations or constraints specific to land cover types and therefore applied based on each pixels land cover? or perhaps regionally to determine areas that may exhibit dual seasonality? I suspect the sites were used simply as test cases to ensure the model produced expected results, correct? If this is the case then I don’t believe using the term calibration is correct.

We appreciate the positive feedback from Referee #2.
Our responses to general and specific comments follow (marked by “>>”; new and modified figures below).

Thank for your comment re the term 'calibration'. We agree that the term was not suitable to describe our use of the 36 sites. We changed the phrasing and now explain in more detail how we used the sites as well as the implementation of the 7-parameter double logistic model as per your suggestion.

We used the sites to determine optimized algorithm parameters such as the width of the smoothing filter and the moving window for local min and max point detection as well as the minimum cycle amplitude. The sites also served as test cases to ensure that the model algorithm, which was generic across the study area, produced expected results. We now clarify this in the text, removed the term calibration and rephrased relevant passages: e.g. in the Methods section 2.1: “For algorithm development and testing, we used a set of EVI time series at 36 sites distributed across Australia. These 36 sites represented a range of land cover and climatic zones (Table 1; Lymburner et al., 2011; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c) to ensure that the algorithm captures the variability in phenology across the country and we used them to determine optimized algorithm smoothing and threshold parameters.”

Regarding the implementation of the 7-parameter double logistic model: In a first step it was necessary to identify the locations of regularly or irregularly distributed growing cycles across the time series (e.g. annually or non-annually reoccurring growing cycles). We used a Savitsky-
Golay filter to smooth the data in preparation for the min and max point delimitation. The local min max point delineation is susceptible to noise not screened by the QA filter setting thus requiring prior smoothing. The local min and max point detection was used to define the boundaries of cycles and define the bounding areas for fitting of a 7 parameter double logistic curve to every cycle thus characterizing the cycles in a consistent way.

>>My second concern
In regard to these sites, is that only a single site is presented as an example of how well the model works (and I agree it works fairly well in this location, aside from missing a second season in 2010; see below). I would highly suggest including more plots (like those found in Figure 2) that encompass the range of land cover types and/or climate zones. They need not be as detailed as Figure 2, simply displaying the raw EVI and fitted curves would suffice. This would highlight the robustness of the model and/or the areas where the model had trouble, allowing researchers to determine whether applying this model would benefit future specific analyses.

>>Thank you. To highlight the robustness of the model and to facilitate future applications of the model by readers, we added a figure (new Figure 3) showing the raw EVI, smoothed EVI and fitted curves as well as the start and end of cycle points for three additional sites representing different land cover types and rainfall.

>>The second point regarding the model fits is that of dual seasonality within a year. The authors state a moving window was used to identify minimum points and hence the extent of the phenological cycle, and that the model was then fit to each of these phenological cycles. First, if this method identifies seasonal cycles without regard to fixed yearly intervals then why is it necessary to fit “a second 7-parameter double logistic curve” when a second phenological cycle was identified within a given year?

>>Thank you. We changed the phrasing in section 2.2.3 clarifying that: “We used the identified minimum points to define the temporal extent of phenological cycles in the entire time series. We then fitted the 7-parameters double logistic model for each identified interval. We did not expect one or multiple phenological cycles in fixed intervals of the year. We thus allowed cycles to be characterized at any time to better represent the highly variable rainfall-driven phenological patterns across Australia’s vast drylands and dual cycles in cropping and pasture zones.” Our algorithm first identified and characterized the cycles for each per pixel time series and then binned the identified results by calendar year.

>>Second, how large was the moving window and how wide was the smoothing Savitsky-Golay moving filter? The width of each of these would greatly effect whether a “second” season was detected or not. This is very apparent in Figure 2. The EVI data points display what appears to be two seasons in 2010, but the smoothing filter dampens the second season, minimums are not identified, and the second season is not detected in the curve fit.

>>We now state the width of the Savitsky-Golay filter (15 time steps) in the text in section 2.2.2.
In general, the detectability of both single cycles and cycles close together is a function of the signal amplitude, the noise level and the smoothing parameters. It is arguable if there are two cycles in 2010 in Fig 2. At this stage we focused on investigating continent-scale biogeographic patterns of land surface phenology and response of phenology to rainfall and ENSO variability. However, we acknowledge that future studies are needed to refine the algorithm for better characterizing the rainfall pulse-driven patterns of vegetation growth.

The dual seasonality problem could also be clarified by including a map showing which pixels displayed two cycles within a year and how often this occurred. This would also help to clarify the peak dates shown in Figure 4. Do these dates signify the timing of the first or second peak? Do many of the areas without a peak in a given year contain two peaks the following year (i.e. the season started late in year 1 and peaked in year 2, yet the pixel also displayed a second season in year 2). I realize that it may seem I am belaboring the dual season problem, but this can be a very common characteristic of highly variable rainfall-driven vegetation phenology and should not be overlooked. If a very low percentage of the land area does not display dual seasonality, then I would concede this point, but at this point it is unclear to what extent this occurs throughout the continent. Dual peaks within a year also can affect the results displayed in Figure 6B; lead time of SOI month relative to phenological peak.

Thank you. We appreciate the comment. Two peaks during a calendar year occurred over only 25% of the Australian land surface. Within the 14 years of study, two peaks per year occurred no more than 3 times across 96% of Australia. Areas with two peaks per year occurred mostly on cropping or pasture land uses.

In regards to the results presented in Section 3.4, I understand the authors choice to only present the most significant results (SOI in relation to peak magnitude), but I think it would be worthwhile to also present the best rainfall correlation results as well. The authors clearly state that Australia is the driest inhabited continent with one of the most variable rainfall climates in the world and vast areas of dryland systems. This warrants at least the presentation of precipitation related results, even if they were non-significant. Understanding where, and perhaps why, the EVI phenology metrics do not coincide with rainfall is an important result. A second row of maps in Figure 6 would suffice in displaying these results.

Thank you. We added a second row of maps as per the reviewer’s suggestion (new Figure 8). We added passages to the manuscript related to the expanded figure in the Results and Discussion sections. For example in the discussion section the relevant passage now reads: “We observed similar yet less concentrated pattern for the rainfall – peak magnitude correlation. We interpret this latter pattern as primarily as the effect of the large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns indicated by SOI. The lag times of correlations over North Eastern Australia varied between 1 and 6 months following SOI or rainfall. Shorter lag time (1 to 3 months) correlation patterns with SOI were observed near the West coast of Australia yet lag times following rainfall were longer (5-8 month). These patterns are spatially remote from the variability in convection over the Western Pacific (North East of Australia) indicated by SOI.”

Specific Comments

Line 55. I believe the correct term is recurring. The term reoccur more specifically refers to a single event that happens a second time, while recurring defines periodicity.
145 > Thank you. We made the change as suggested throughout the manuscript.

146 >> Lines 71-80. I would suggest moving these lines to the beginning of the introduction. They provide a good general overview of land surface phenology and would give readers unfamiliar with the topic a good initial understanding of its importance in relation to other disciplines and applications.

147 > Thank you. We rearranged the text as suggested now starting with: “Vegetation phenology refers to the response of vegetation to inter- and intra-annual variation of climate, specifically irradiance, temperature and water (Myneni et al., 1997; White et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2003). Vegetation phenology is a useful indicator in the study of the response of ecosystems to climate variability (Zhang et al., 2012; Richardson et al., 2013), and an important parameter for land surface, climate and biogeochemical models that quantify the exchange of water, energy and gases between vegetation and the atmosphere (Pitman, 2003; Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010). A variety of applications that require the characterization of vegetation phenology include crop yield quantification, wildfire fuel accumulation, vegetation condition, ecosystem response to climate variability and climate change and ecosystem resilience (Schwartz, 2003; Liang and Schwartz, 2009; Peñuelas et al., 2009). Phenology of the vegetated land surface (land surface phenology, hereafter phenology) is “the seasonal pattern of variation in vegetated land surfaces observed from remote sensing” (Friedl et al., 2006).”

148 >> Line 118-199. This sentence is a bit hard to understand; referring to 80% and then 50% of the land area does not allow for quick comprehension. Perhaps: rainfall exceeds 600mm over 20% of the land area and is less than 300mm over 50% of the land area.

149 > Thank you. We rearranged the sentence as per the reviewer’s suggestion

150 >> Line 128. “a set of 36 trajectories” is unclear. Please be more specific. “EVI time series over 36 sites: ” Also, it may be more reader-friendly to use “time series” rather than “trajectories” when describing the EVI.

151 > We modified the phrasing as suggested and changed trajectories to time series throughout.

152 >> Line 180. Parameters of the Savitsky-Golay filter should be identified as this can have a large effect on the resulting smoothed time-series (see general comments).

153 > Thank you. We now state the parameters in the text (Section 2.2.2).

154 >> Line 186. Width of moving window needs to be identified (see general comments)

155 > We now state the moving window width of 9 time steps in the text (Section 2.2.3).

156 >> Line 237. “two” should be “to”
Interactive comment on “Land surface phenological response to decadal climate variability across Australia using satellite remote sensing” by M. Broich et al.

Final Responses to Anonymous Referee #1 (marked by “>>”)

>>General Comments
This study examined the spatial and temporal patterns of vegetation phenology in Australia. The authors also assessed the relationship between climate variability especially rainfall and vegetation phenology and productivity. The authors also developed an algorithm to extract key phenological parameters from satellite greenness index time-series. Phenological change is one of the most direct indicators of the impact of climate change to terrestrial ecosystems. Although it has been widely studied in many ecosystems, it is surprisingly rare to see landscape scale analysis of vegetation phenology in Australia, and more importantly, how climate variability contributed to the changes. This study is thus novel and important, and will contribute to our understandings of how climate variability controls vegetation phenology. The manuscript in current form is concise and well written. It can be a better paper if the following issues are addressed:

I agree with Anonymous Referee #2 that more clarification on the fitting algorithms is needed for the readers to reproduce the method. Specifically, the moving window to identify minimum and maximum needs more clarification: are those points identified local min/max points?

>>We appreciate the positive feedback from Referee #1.
Our responses to general and specific comments follow (marked by “>”; new and modified figures below).

Thank you for your comments. We now provide clarification concerning the fitting algorithms as per the suggestion of both reviewers (we modified relevant passage in sections 2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3. as detailed below). The identified minimum and maximum points are local min/max points, which we now also clarify in the text.
Regarding clarification of the 7-parameter double logistic model: In a first step it was necessary to identify the locations of regularly or irregularly distributed growing cycles across the time series (e.g. annually or non-annually reoccurring growing cycles). We used a Savitsky-Golay filter to smooth the data in preparation for the local min and max point delimitation (window width: 9 time steps). The local min and local max points delineation is susceptible to noises that were not screened by the QA filter setting thus requiring prior smoothing. The min and max point delineation was used to define the boundaries of cycles and define the bounding area for fitting a 7-parameters double logistic curve to every cycle thus characterizing the cycles in a consistent way.

We modified the text to clarify these points. The modified passage in section 2.1 now reads:

“For algorithm development and testing, we used a set of EVI time series at 36 sites distributed across Australia (Figure 1). These 36 sites represented a range of land cover and climatic zones (Table 1; (Lymburner et al., 2011; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c)) to ensure that the algorithm effectively captures the variability in phenology across the country and we used them to determine optimized algorithm parameters.”

The modified passage in section 2.2.2 now reads: “We used the quality assurance flags in the MOD13 products to discard observations with insufficient quality, which included any observation with either VI usefulness > code ‘10’, snow cover, high aerosol or climatology aerosol quantity, mixed or high clouds present or water in the Land/Water Flag. For each pixel, we first used cubic spline interpolation (Dougherty et al., 1989) to temporally gap-fill the data points discarded in the previous filtering step. Next, we smoothed the time series for each pixel using Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) with a window width of 15 time steps. This step effectively reduced the remaining noises in the time series that would otherwise impact the identification of minimum and maximum points and the subsequent fitting of a mathematical curve that we conducted to characterize the phenological cycles in a consistent way. “

The modified passage in section 2.2.3 now reads: “We identified local minimum and maximum points of the per-pixel time series using a moving window of 9 time steps and a > 0.01 EVI amplitude threshold to identify cycles of greening and browning. We used the identified minimum points to define the temporal extent of phenological cycles in the entire time series. We then fitted the 7-parameters double logistic model for each identified interval. We did not expect one or multiple phenological cycles in fixed intervals of the year. We thus allowed cycles to be characterized at any time to better represent the highly variable rainfall-driven phenological patterns across Australia’s vast drylands and dual cycles in cropping and pasture zones.”

>> If so, how did the authors determine the window size? Did the size of the window affect the result? In addition, the authors need to explain the choice of EVI >0.01 (Page 7692) and 20% amplitude threshold for the start and end of the season.

> Large areas of Australia are sparsely vegetated and with our algorithm we aimed to characterize the low amplitude phenological cycles of this sparsely covered areas that occupy most of Australia. The detectability of cycles is a function of the signal amplitude, the noise amplitude and frequency, and the smoothing parameters. The window size thus affects the results and we used the 36 sites to optimize the width of the smoothing filter and moving
window for defining seasonal minimums and maximums as well as the minimum cycle amplitude. The sites also served as test cases to ensure that the model algorithm, which was generic across the study area, produced expected results. We used the > 0.01 EVI threshold on the smoothed time series, which had lower amplitude compared to the raw, noise affected time series. The 20% amplitude threshold for the start and end of the cycle has been used in previous studies that we now cite in this context (section 2.2.3).

In section 2.1 we now state that “These 36 sites represented a range of land cover and climatic zones (Table 1; (Lymburner et al., 2011);(Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c)) to ensure that the algorithm effectively captures the variability in phenology across the country and we used them to determine optimized algorithm parameters.”

Some of the statements in the Discussion section need to be explicitly supported by the results from the current study. For example, the authors mentioned in P 7700 Line 17 that “however we see a fast response to rainfall pulses : : :”. However, according to Fig.6B, some areas in interior Australia lag behind SOI for _12 months, which thus did not support the above claim. Another example is that in P 7700, Line 27, the authors mentioned Lake Eyre, but Lake Eyre was not annotated in the figures.

Thank you. We changed the phrasing of the relevant passage in section 4.2 to “we interpret the high variability in start of cycle and peak timing (new Figure 5 and 6) as a fast response to rainfall pulses and the missing cycles (new Figure 6) were interpreted as dormant periods during dry years (Loik et al. 2004.).”

We state in the discussion (section 4.4) that the findings regarding the lag of phenological response to SOI and rainfall “contradict the concept that rainfall pulses drive rapid phenological response (Loik et al., 2004). We interpret our findings as the dominating space-time relationship between large scale atmospheric circulation pattern variability and phenological response. Yet these patterns are unlikely to represent responses to individual storm events. However, less significant relationships with different SOI and rainfall month and lag time were also present suggesting that vegetation responds to climatic variability at multiple time scales. A more in-depth analysis of the relationship between climatic drivers and phenological response across multiple temporal scales should be investigated in future research.”

We now also label Lake Eyre in Fig 1 as per the reviewer’s suggestion.

Please add the spatial resolution of TRMM. As I understand the resolution is 0.25 degree by 0.25 degree, which is much larger than the spatial resolution of MODIS. Then the authors need to explain in detail how to compare the data from these two products.

Thank you for this comment. We added the spatial resolution (0.25° x 0.25°) of TRMM_3B43.v7 to the text in section 2.1 ‘Study area and data used’. Prior to analysis we resample the TRMM data to the spatial resolution of our phenological variables. As for the implication of the spatial resolution of driver variables, a coarse spatial resolution driver can partially explain a fine-grained spatial response. In an extreme case, SOI is a proxy of the air pressure gradient between Darwin and Tahiti (~8500 km apart) yet we can detect a fine spatial scale correlation pattern differentiating for example the vegetation response of the Cooper Creek floodplain form its surroundings as the floodplain’s topography and hydrology are different from adjacent vegetated areas at a fine spatial scale.
It would be useful to compare the inter-annual variability of the start of season, and the end of season, and their relationship with the timing of rainfall (and SOI). As one previous study suggested that for deciduous forest in Australian tropical savannah, leaf-out (or leaf flushing) only occurs after the first rainfall event: Williams, R. J., et al. “Leaf phenology of woody species in a north Australian tropical savanna.” Ecology 78.8 (1997): 2542-2558.

Thank you for this suggestion. Analyzing the response of woody savanna vegetation to rainfall timing would be an interesting topic for future work. Remotely sensed phenology in savanna systems primarily reflects the dynamics of the grassy understory and, while attempts of signal disaggregation have been made (e.g. Donohue et al 2009), teasing out overstory dynamics in open canopy woody systems represents a research frontier.

In section 4.5 “Limitations and future work” we state that: “When interpreting the phenological cycles characterized here, it should be noted that the sub pixel composition of vegetation and background as well as multi-layer vegetation structure is unknown and may change over time (Zhang et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2012; Walker et al., 2014).”

Specific comments (P for Page, L for Line): P7686 L11: what does “internally” mean here? It would be better to avoid vague terms like this one.

Thank you. We removed the term from the sentence.

P7686 L15: how to define the effectiveness of the method? If the algorithm used in this study was not compared with other methods (which is the case), it will be better to refrain from using this statement.

Done. Thank you. We rephrased the sentence to: “To fill this knowledge gap and to advance phenological research, we developed an algorithm to characterize phenological cycles and analyzed geographic and climate-driven variability in phenology across Australia.”

P7690 L06: As Referee #2 suggested that more specifics are needed here. How was the calibration done? What are the land cover types of those sites (a table will be better)?

Thank you. We used the 36 sites to optimize the width of the smoothing filter and moving window for defining seasonal minimums and maximums as well as the minimum cycle amplitude (which we now specifically state in section 2.1). The sites also served as test cases to ensure that the model algorithm, which was generic across the study area, produced expected results. We removed the term calibration from the text and now state that we used the sites for “algorithm development and testing” (section 2.1). As per the reviewer’s suggestion, we added Table 1, showing the land cover classes of the test sites and the average annual rainfall to differentiate phenological test sites that belong to the same land cover class.

P7690 L13: Comparing with the 16-day EVI data used in this study, MOD09 products have higher temporal resolution (daily and 8-day), which is important for the study of phenology. The authors need to explain/discuss why the coarser temporal resolution product was selected.
Thank you. We chose the 16-day versions of the EVI data as it attenuates the noise present in higher temporal resolution versions (Solano et al. 2013) and now state this in the text in section 2.1. The passage now reads: “We chose the 16-day versions of the products as they attenuate the noise present in higher temporal resolution versions (Solano et al., 2012).”

>>P7695 L13: Please explain what is “persistent greenness”. Is it “high mean EVI, and low magnitude”?

Persistent greenness is high mean peak EVI and high mean minimum point EVI, so EVI is always relatively high. We now state this in section 3.1. in the text.

The sentence now reads: “Other areas with high levels of persistent greenness (areas with high mean peak magnitude and high mean minimum magnitude) included…”

<<Figures:
Fig.1: It will be better if the legend shows the land cover types different colors correspond to, instead of use words in the caption.

We added a color legend to the figure as per the reviewer’s suggestion (Figure 1).

Fig.7: This figure would be better if the location of the Cooper Creek floodplain is shown in the figure. In addition, north arrow would be good.

Thank you. We now show the Cooper Creek floodplain in the figure and added a north arrow as per the reviewer’s suggestion (new Figure 9).
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Abstract

Land surface phenological cycles of vegetation greening and browning, recorded by earth observing satellites, are influenced by variability in climatic forcing. Quantitative spatial information on phenological cycles and their variability is important for agricultural applications, wildfire fuel accumulation, land management, land surface modeling, and climate change studies. Most phenology studies have focused on temperature-driven Northern Hemisphere systems, where phenology shows annually recurring patterns. Yet, precipitation-driven non-annual phenology of arid and semi-arid systems (i.e., drylands) received much less attention, despite the fact that they cover more than 30% of the global land surface. Here we focused on Australia, the driest inhabited continent with one of the most variable rainfall climates in the world and vast areas of dryland systems. Detailed and internally consistent studies that investigate phenological cycles via satellite image time series and their response to climate variability across the entire continent designed specifically for Australian dryland conditions are missing. To fill this knowledge gap and to advance phenological research, we used existing methods more effectively developed an algorithm to characterize phenological cycles and analyzed to study geographic and climate-driven variability in phenology across Australia. We linked derived phenological metrics with rainfall and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI). We performed our analysis on Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) data from the MODerate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) from 2000 to 2013, which included extreme drought and wet years. We conducted a continent-wide investigation of the link between phenology and climate variability and a more detailed investigation over the Murray-Darling Basin (MDB), the primary agricultural area and largest river catchment of Australia. Results showed high inter- and intra-annual variability in phenological cycles across Australia. The peak of phenological cycles occurred not only during the austral summer but at any time of the year, and their timing varied by more than a month in the interior of the continent. The magnitude of
phenological cycle peak and the integrated greenness were most significantly correlated with monthly SOI within the preceding 12 months. Correlation patterns occurred primarily over North Eastern Australia and within the MDB predominantly over natural land cover and particularly in floodplain and wetland areas. Integrated greenness of the phenological cycles (surrogate of vegetation productivity) showed positive anomalies of more than two standard deviations over most of Eastern Australia in 2009-2010, which coincided with the transition between the El Niño induced decadal droughts to flooding caused by La Niña. The quantified spatial-temporal variability in phenology across Australia in response to climate variability presented here provides important information for land management and climate change studies and applications.

1 Introduction

Vegetation phenology refers to the response of vegetation to inter- and intra-annual variation of climate, specifically irradiance, temperature and water (Myneni et al., 1997; White et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2003). Vegetation phenology is a useful indicator in the study of the response of ecosystems to climate variability (Zhang et al., 2012; Richardson et al., 2013), and an important parameter for land surface, climate and biogeochemical models that quantify the exchange of water, energy and gases between vegetation and the atmosphere (Pitman, 2003; Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010). A variety of applications that require the characterization of vegetation phenology include crop yield quantification, wildfire fuel accumulation, vegetation condition, ecosystem response to climate variability and climate change and ecosystem resilience (Schwartz, 2003; Liang and Schwartz, 2009; Peñuelas et al., 2009). Phenology of the vegetated land surface (land surface phenology, hereafter phenology) is “the seasonal pattern of variation in vegetated land surfaces observed from remote sensing” (Friedl et al., 2006).
Vegetation phenological cycles are periodically recurring reoccurring events. In temperature-limited systems, phenological cycles occur on an annual basis, starting in spring and ending in autumn. Existing algorithms aiming to characterize phenological cycles from remotely sensed spectral vegetation ‘greenness’ indices perform well for ecosystems in temperature-driven mid- and high-latitudes (Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010; Ganguly et al., 2010). Yet, in ecosystems where rainfall is limited and highly variable such as semi-arid and arid systems (i.e., drylands; United Nations (2011)), phenological cycles may be irregular in their length, timing, amplitude and reoccurrence interval, occur at any time of the year or not occur at all in a given year (Brown and de Beurs, 2008; Ma et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2014; Bradley and Mustard, 2007).

Despite the fact that drylands cover over 30% of the global land surface and occur on every continent (United Nations, 2011), their rainfall-driven phenology that features non-annual cycles has not been well characterized. Here we focused on Australia, a continent where drylands cover more than 80% of the land surface. Recent reports by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change highlighted not only the importance of quantifying vegetation phenology in general (IPCC, 2013, 2007; Schwartz, 2013) but pointed to a lack of phenological studies for Australia and New Zealand (Keatley et al., 2013; IPCC, 2001, 2007). We developed an algorithm to characterize phenological cycles and analyzed used existing methods more effectively to quantify the phenology of Australia, as an example of a rainfall-driven dryland systems. Moreover, recent reports by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change highlighted not only the importance of quantifying vegetation phenology in general (IPCC, 2013, 2007; Schwartz, 2013) but pointed to a lack of phenological studies for Australia and New Zealand (Keatley et al., 2013; IPCC, 2001, 2007).

Vegetation phenology refers to the response of vegetation to inter- and intra-annual variation of the Earth’s climate, including irradiance, temperature and water (Myneni et al., 1997; White et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2003). Vegetation phenology is a useful indicator in the study of the response of ecosystems to climate change (Zhang et al., 2012; Richardson et al., 2013), and an important parameter for land-surface, climate and biogeochemical models that quantify the exchange of water,
energy and gases between vegetation and the atmosphere (Pitman, 2003; Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010). Other applications that require the characterization of vegetation phenology include crop yield quantification, wildfire fuel accumulation, vegetation condition, ecosystem response to climate variability and climate change and ecosystem resilience (Schwartz, 2003; Liang and Schwartz, 2009; Peñuelas et al., 2009).

Phenology at the landscape to continental scale (land surface phenology, hereafter phenology) is typically derived using time-series of remotely sensed vegetation greenness indices such as the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) (de Beurs and Henebry, 2008). Several studies have used NDVI time series recorded by the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) to investigate long-term phenological trends induced by climate change (Moulin et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2012). but due to better geometric correction and increased resolution, more recent studies used EVI time series recorded by the MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) that has better geometric correction and increased resolution compared to AVHRR (Tan et al., 2011). Compared with NDVI, EVI is less sensitive to residual atmospheric contamination and soil background variations, and has a larger dynamic range of sensitivity to vegetation greenness (Huete et al., 2002). EVI trajectories-time series measure change in an integrated property commonly referred to as ‘greenness’ has been found to be correlated with sub pixel chlorophyll content and leaf area index (Huete et al., 2014).

Once derived, Parameters describing phenological cycles parameters (hereafter phenological metrics) can be used to quantify the influence of climate change and variability on phenology (Ma et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2010). Australia has one of the most variable climates in the world, subject to high inter-annual rainfall variability due to the influence of El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Nicholls, 1991; Nicholls et al., 1997). Previous studies investigated the relationship between vegetation index time series and rainfall globally, and the correlation with soil moisture for Australia (Chen et al., 2014a; Andela et al., 2013). However, studies quantifying the relationship between
phenology and ENSO-related climate variability as shown for example for Africa (Brown et al., 2010; Philippon et al., 2014) are missing. Here we analyzed *phenological responses to climate variability through* a period of time from 2000 to 2013. This period, which encompassed the Australian Millennium Drought from 2001-2009 (van Dijk et al., 2013) and the 2010-11 La Niña associated flooding events (Heberger, 2011; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014a) and focused on one of the most affected areas, the MDB in South East of Australia (van Dijk et al., 2013; Kirby et al., 2012; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014b).

Particular emphasis was given to the MDB the catchment of Australia’s largest river system and associated ecologically valuable floodplain and wetland ecosystems and the primary agricultural area of the continent (Connell, 2007). Besides being the catchment of Australia’s largest river system and associated ecologically valuable floodplain and wetland ecosystems, the MDB contains the main agricultural area of the continent (Connell, 2007).

The objectives of this study were to: 1) characterize the inter- and intra-annual variability of phenological cycles of greening and browning, including non-annual cycles across Australia, a continent with vast areas of dryland ecosystems *using an internally consistent algorithm;* and 2) investigate the relationships between the derived phenological metrics and rainfall, as well as between phenological metrics and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI; Trenberth and Caron (2000)), an atmospheric circulation index and proxy of ENSO, across the entire continent and in more detail for the MDB.

2 Methods
2.1 Study area and data used

Australia covers an area of > 7.6 million km$^2$ and climatic zones range from tropical in the North to temperate in the South (Fig. 1). Average rainfall does not exceed 600 mm over 80% of the land area and is less than 300 mm over 50% of the land area 600 and 300 mm per year across 80% and 50% of the land, respectively (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c). Northern Australia is dominated by savanna, whereas most of the country is covered by grassland and desert vegetation (Köppen, 1884). Forest occurs at higher elevation in the temperate South West and South East where large areas of the lowlands are used for rain-fed agriculture (Fig. 1; Lymburner et al. (2011)). The MDB contains Australia’s primary agricultural area and occupies 14% of Australia in the South East of the continent (Fig. 1).

For algorithm development and testing calibration, we used a set of EVI time series trajectories at 36 sites distributed across Australia (Fig. 1). These 36 sites represented a range of land cover and climatic zones (Table 1; (Lymburner et al., 2011);(Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c)) to ensure that the algorithm effectively captures the variability in phenology across the country and we used them to determine optimized algorithm parameters. The majority (21) of our test sites were flux tower sites from the OzFlux network (2014). We selected 15 additional test sites to represent a wider coverage of climate conditions, vegetation cover and land uses.
As input data for the phenological characterization, we sourced EVI MOD13C2 and MOD13A1 with a temporal resolution of 16 days for the 18 Feb 2000 – 22 Apr 2013 time period (NASA Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center, 2014).

We used the 5.6-km product (MOD13C2) to characterize the biogeographic patterns of vegetation phenology across the entire Australian continent and the 500-m product (MOD13A1) to investigate the phenological patterns in more detail across the MDB. We chose the 16-day versions of the products as they attenuate the noise present in higher temporal resolution versions (Solano et al., 2012).

To analyze the responses of phenological metrics to rainfall variability, we used monthly data from the Tropical Rainfall Monitoring Mission Project (TRMM_3B43.v7 product; (Goddard Space Flight Center, 2014)) with 0.25° x 0.25° spatial resolution for 1999-2012. Instead of using gridded rainfall data interpolated from widely spaced weather stations across large areas of the interior, we opted for remotely sensed rainfall measured by TRMM, which is systematic across space and time.

To analyze the responses of phenological metrics to ENSO, we used monthly data of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (2014d). SOI represents the standardized difference of air pressures between Darwin and Tahiti and serves as a proxy of convection in the Western Pacific caused by ENSO sea surface temperature anomalies (Trenberth and Caron, 2000).

Across the MDB we used the Dynamic Land Cover dataset provided by Geoscience Australia (Lymburner et al., 2011) to investigate the differences between the phenological responses to SOI and rainfall over natural and managed land cover types. We derived the natural land cover class by grouping land cover dominated by trees, shrubs and grasses. The managed land cover classes encompassed rain-fed and irrigated agriculture and pasture. Almost a third of the basin’s area is managed for cropping and pasture (Lymburner et al., 2011). We also analyzed the phenological response over the ecologically valuable floodplain and wetland areas of MDB (Kingsford et al., 2004) and evaluated the floodplain’s response to SOI as a proxy of ENSO-related drought and flooding.
2.2 Phenology metrics and algorithm

2.2.1 Phenology metrics

To account for non-annual vegetation dynamics, we defined a phenological cycle not as an annually or seasonally recurring event but more broadly as a cycle of EVI-measured greening and browning that may occur more than once per year or may skip a year entirely and not occur for one or more years.

We modeled phenological cycle curves and key properties of each phenological cycle in the form of curve metrics. The phenological metrics modeled the timing and magnitude of key transitional points on the cycle’s curve and included the timing and magnitude of the minimum points before and after a phenological cycle, the peak point of the cycle and the start and end point of the cycle. In addition, we also calculated the integrated area between the start and end points of a cycle as a surrogate of vegetation productivity during a cycle (Zhang et al., 2013). By tracking the phenological cycle metrics over time, we characterized the intra- and inter-annual variability of the phenological cycle and thereby vegetation growth patterns.

2.2.2 Data pre-processing

We used the quality assurance flags in the MOD13 products to discard observations with insufficient quality, which included any observation with either VI usefulness > code ‘10’, snow cover, high aerosol or climatology aerosol quantity, mixed or high clouds present or water in the Land/Water Flag. For each pixel, we first used cubic spline interpolation (Dougherty et al., 1989) to temporally gap-fill the data points discarded in the previous filtering step. For each pixel, we first gap-filled the data points discarded in the previous step. We used cubic spline interpolation as the temporal gap filling method (Dougherty et al., 1989). Next, we smoothed the time series for each pixel using Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) with a window width of 15 time steps.
This step effectively reduced the remaining noises in the time series trajectories that would otherwise impact the identification of minimum and maximum points and the subsequent fitting of a mathematical curve that we conducted to characterize the phenological cycles in a consistent way.

2.2.3 Curve fitting and phenological metric derivation

We identified local minimum and maximum points of the per-pixel time series using a moving window of 9 time steps and a > 0.01 EVI amplitude threshold to identify cycles of greening and browning. We used the identified minimum points to define the temporal extent of phenological cycles in the entire time series. We and then fitted the 7-parameters double logistic model mathematical function for each identified interval. We did not expect a one or multiple phenological cycles in a fixed intervals of the year. We thus but allowed the cycles to occur and be characterized at any time without a predefined intervals to better represent the highly variable rainfall-driven phenological patterns across Australia’s vast drylands and dual cycles in cropping and pasture zones.

We fitted 7-parameter double logistic curves to each cycle in the per-pixel time series, defined as:

\[
\text{EVI}(t) = V_{\text{min}_a} + \frac{V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}_a}}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{T_{\text{mid}_a} - t}{S_a} \right)} - \frac{V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}_b}}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{T_{\text{mid}_b} - t}{S_b} \right)}
\]

(1)

where \(V_{\text{min}_a}\) and \(V_{\text{min}_b}\) are equal to the first and second minimum EVI, respectively. \(V_{\text{max}}\) is the high asymptote in the double logistic model, \(T_{\text{mid}_a}\) is the time when EVI reached half of \(V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}_a}\). \(T_{\text{mid}_b}\) is the time when EVI reached half of \(V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}_b}\). \(S_a\) and \(S_b\) are the scale parameters on the increasing and the decreasing side of the curve, respectively. If a second phenological cycle was identified within a year, a second 7-parameter double logistic curve was fitted to characterize this cycle. We identified the start and end points of each cycle as the points where the EVI reached 20% of the amplitude, between the first minimum and the peak, and the peak and the second
minimum, respectively as also used in other studies (Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010; Tan et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2011; Delbart et al., 2005).

An example of the algorithm processing steps is shown for the Alice Springs flux tower site (Fig. 2). The site represents Acacia woodlands in the arid interior of Australia. The site serves as an example showing how our algorithm derives phenological metrics to characterize the high temporal variability in phenological cycles for the interior of Australia.

Place Fig. 2 around here

We provide further examples of how the algorithm characterized the phenological cycles over different land cover types in different rainfall zones in Fig. 3. The sites’ location and description is provided in Fig. 1 and Table 1, respectively.
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2.3 Analysis of spatial-temporal patterns of phenology across Australia

After deriving phenological cycles and their metrics from per-pixel greenness time series trajectories, we analyzed the metrics across Australia at two levels of temporal aggregation: 1) In the form of summary statistics (mean and standard deviation) across greenness trajectory to quantify overall phenological variability over the 14-year time series; and 2) In the form of inter-cyclic variability as the difference between a metric of one cycle and the following cycle over the 14-year time series. For a given site, we calculated for example the mean peak magnitude and the peak magnitude’s standard deviation. An example of inter-cycle variability of metrics is our analysis of peak timing for all peaks across the time series. We also analyzed the deviation of an individual phenological cycle integral relative to the expected variability. For this purpose, we calculated the standardized
anomaly of each cycle’s integral as the difference of the cycle’s integral from the mean integral divided by the standard deviation of the integrals.

2.4 Analysis of spatial-temporal patterns of Australian phenology in response to rainfall and SOI variability

We further analyzed the statistical relationship between phenological cycle peak magnitude and cycle integrated greenness and TRMM rainfall and SOI (four combinations of correlation analyses) across Australia and in more detail for the MDB. The cycle peak magnitude represents maximum greenness while the cycle integrated greenness serves as a proxy of ecosystem productivity (Zhang et al., 2013). We used non-parametric Spearman rank correlation tests (Lehmann and D’Abrera, 1975), hereafter Spearman rho, to determine the strength and significant or monotonic relationships between rainfall and each of the two phenology metrics as well as SOI and the two phenology metrics. We evaluated relationships between rainfall and SOI as the explanatory variables binned over different intervals and with different lead times to the phenological cycle integral and peak magnitude, which were used as the response variables. We binned rainfall accumulation for intervals of 1 to 12 months and average SOI values for periods of 1 to 12 months up to 12 months prior to the phenological cycle peak.

The underlying assumption for investigating Spearman rho correlations between phenology and rainfall or SOI was that a significant and strong monotonic relationship between a phenological metric and preceding rainfall or SOI suggested that the phenology metric (peak magnitude and integrated greenness) is likely driven by the respective climate variable.

Aiming to identify correlation patterns and how these patterns change as a function of binning interval (1 – 12 months) and lead times (up to 12 months), we extracted for each pixel and binning interval the most significant test result. For each potential driver and binning interval, we analyzed
the lead time, correlation and significance value. We illustrated the results only for areas that were significant (p-value < 0.05) and had a rho value of > 0.6.

Using the above methodology, we conducted a continent-wide analysis and a higher resolution analysis investigating the relationship of SOI with phenology metrics for the MDB in South Eastern Australia. Within the MDB we further investigated relationship between SOI and phenology (differences in correlation patterns) over natural and managed land cover types as well as the catchment’s floodplain and wetlands.

3 Results

3.1 Mean and variability of peak and minimum magnitude as well as start and end of cycle timing across 14 years

We evaluated the mean and variability of the peak and minimum magnitude across the 14-year time series to investigate the inter-annual variations in vegetation phenology. The highest mean peak magnitude occurred in a narrow area covered predominantly by evergreen humid tropical forest along the North Eastern coast (areas with high EVI in Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B light color areas in Fig. 3A). The same area also had the highest mean minimum magnitude values, indicating that greenness was persistently high (light color areas in Fig. 4 B). Other areas with high levels of persistent greenness (areas with high mean peak magnitude and high mean minimum magnitude) included temperate grasslands in coastal locations of South East Australia, temperate broadleaf forest in the South East and South West of the continent, and across most of Tasmania (light color areas in Fig. 4 A and B). The largest mean seasonal amplitude (peak minus minimum magnitude) occurred in areas used for crop cultivation and grazing in the South West and the South East. Areas of low mean peak amplitude were found across large parts of the interior (darker tone areas in both Fig. 4 A and B) with the exception of the desert river beds.
The highest level of variability in peak magnitude occurred over cropped areas in the South East and South West of Australia (light colored areas in Fig. 4 C). High variability of peak magnitude over natural vegetation cover was observed for example for regions predominantly covered with tropical tussock grasses in the inland North and North East as well as areas with predominant chenopod woody shrubs cover along the Great Australian Bight along the Southern coast of Australia (light color areas in Fig. 4 C). High variability in minimum magnitude occurred at higher elevations of the Southern Great Dividing Range in South East of Australia (light color areas in Fig. 4 D) and around the center of the arid Lake Eyre, which is the lowest point of the continent.

We also evaluated the mean and variability of the start and end of cycle timing across the 14-year time series. Across Western and South Eastern Australia the mean start of cycles occurred during the first half of the year and the mean end of cycle occurred in the second half of the year (Fig. 5 A1). Across Northern and Eastern Australia, the mean start of cycles occurred during the second half of the year and the mean end of cycle occurred in first half of the following year (Fig. 5 A2). The variability in start and end of cycle was highest across interior Australia with the area of high variability being higher for the end of cycle timing (Fig. 5 B1 and 2).

3.2 Inter-cycle variability in peak timing

The timing of the first cycles’ peak within each year showed large variation from one year to another across most of Australia (Fig. 6). Variations in peak timing were observed over most of interior Australia. Peak timing was later than average in 2001, 2004 and 2005 (Fig. 6), but earlier in 2010-
2012 over interior Australia (Fig. 6). The peak timing in the wet tropical savannas of the Northern Territory and for most of the South West wheat belt was relatively stable (Fig. 6). The center of the continent showed an earlier than average peak in 2002 and 2009.

Over interior Australia peak timing varied by over a month from one year to another. Areas for which no peak was observed in a given year (shown in gray in Fig. 6) occurred primarily in the drylands of the continent’s interior, where phenological cycles may not follow an annually recurring pattern. For example, areas with no peak over interior Australia in Fig. 6 for 2005 and 2008 can be also traced in Fig 2. where the phenological trajectory of the Alice Springs site did not show a peak in those years.
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3.3 Variability of cycle-integrated greenness

Greenness integrated between the start and end of a phenological cycle can provide a first approximation of vegetation productivity (Ponce Campos et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Standardized anomalies of integrated greenness highlight the deviation of an individual value from the mean, relative to the expected level of variability (the standard deviation). Standardized anomalies of integrated greenness were highly variable across time (Fig. 7). Negative standardized anomalies of integrated greenness (red tones in Fig. 7) occurred across the continent in most areas in 2002 and vast areas of the continent in 2008 and 2009. Large areas of negative anomalies also occurred in 2001 to 2003 and from 2004 to 2009. Large areas of positive standardized anomalies (green tones in Fig. 7), with increased greening of 1 to 2 standard deviations, occurred in 2010 a year of particularly high rainfall.

Place Fig. 7 around here
When relating the cycles’ standardized anomalies of integrated greenness to the phenological trajectory at the Alice Springs tower site, the widespread negative standardized anomaly over interior Australia in 2008 (Fig. 7) was not represented in the site’s curve (Fig 2.) where no cycle started or ended in 2008 and 2009. Conversely, the positive standardized anomalies of cycles that started in 2010 and 2011 over large areas of Eastern and interior Australia can also be seen in the Alice Springs curve in the form of larger than average integrals (Fig 2.).

3.4 Analysis of spatial-temporal patterns of Australian phenology relative to rainfall and SOI variability

We conducted correlation analysis relating two climate drivers (SOI and rainfall) and two phenological metrics (first peak magnitude and cycle integral of each year), respectively (four combinations). Each of the four analysis included climate drivers binned over periods between 1 and 12 months within the 12 month period leading up to the phenological peak. We found that areas with significant correlations between SOI and phenology or rainfall and phenology were most widespread for a binning interval of one month. Areas with significant correlations shrank as we increased the binning interval of SOI or rainfall from 1 to 12 months.

The spatial pattern of significant correlations (areas significantly correlated, correlation strength, and lead times) was generally similar for all four combinations of variables. However, the patterns of significant correlation between peak magnitude and climate variables covered a larger area compared to patterns of significant correlation between cycle integral and climate variables. The patterns of significant SOI-driven correlation with phenology covered a larger and more concentrated area compared to the rainfall driven correlation patterns. Given the above similarities and the largest extent of significant correlation patterns at a single month binning interval, we limit
the presentation of results to the most significant monthly SOI and – cycle peak magnitude and the most significant monthly rainfall – cycle peak magnitude correlation.

The most significant correlation of monthly SOI and cycle peak magnitude and monthly rainfall and cycle peak magnitude were most widespread in North Eastern Australia (Fig. 8 C). Lead times between the most significantly correlated driver month and the phenological cycle peak were 1 to 6 months for North Eastern Australia and 7 to 12 months for the East Australian interior representing an increase in lead time along a gradient of decreasing rainfall (Fig. 8 A and B). These correlation patterns extended into the Australian interior along desert river drainage lines such as the Cooper Creek. The floodplain of the middle reach of the Cooper Creek can be clearly distinguished in the correlation pattern, indicating a strong response of the floodplain vegetation to SOI variability (Fig 9). Additional correlation patterns with a shorter lag time behind SOI (1-3 months) were observed near the West coast of Australia with longer lag times of 5-8 month behind rainfall (Fig 8 A).

In the MDB, correlation patterns between monthly SOI and cycle peak magnitude occurred primarily over natural vegetation cover as opposed to areas used for agriculture or pasture (managed land cover). The percentage of all significant relationships over natural land cover was 83.6% as opposed to 15.9%, the percentage of all significant relationships over managed land cover (Table 2). These percentages were disproportional to areal percentages of natural and managed land cover within the MDB (71.8% and 28.2%, respectively). The highest percentage of significantly correlated areas within each land cover class and highest mean rho values were found in areas dominated by shrubs,
trees and grasses. Irrigated agriculture and pasture had the smallest percentage of correlated area (Table 2) compared to other land cover classes.

The ecologically valuable floodplains and wetlands of the MDB made up 10.9% of the basin area and were of mixed land cover composition. The percentage of all areas with significant correlations between monthly SOI and phenological cycle peak magnitude in floodplains and wetlands was disproportionally higher (14.8%) than the percentage of area occupied by this zone (10.9%). In addition, 6.1% of the floodplain and wetlands area showed significant relationships with monthly SOI, which is higher than for any of the individual land cover classes in Table 2.
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4 Discussion

4.1 A phenological characterization of Australia that accommodates non-annual phenological cycles

Our research characterized the cycles and variability of non-annual vegetation phenology across Australia and identified their relationships with variability in rainfall and ENSO-related large scale atmospheric circulation. We provide a characterization of annual and non-annual phenological cycles of vegetation greening and browning for Australia based on MODIS EVI data. We used an enhanced phenology model to characterize rainfall-driven phenology across the Australian continent, which includes large dryland regions. Very few studies have previously quantified the land surface phenology of dryland systems (Walker et al., 2014), likely due to the fact that the phenology of these systems is more complex than that of most temperature-limited regions (Walker et al., 2014; Primack and Miller-Rushing, 2011). Dryland phenology responds to a variable
rainfall regime where the timing and magnitude of precipitation events varies inter-annually (Loik et al., 2004; Brown et al., 1997).

We identified and characterized rainfall-driven phenological cycles at any time of the year over a 14-year time series rather than within a predefined interval of every calendar year. This is important as the timing of phenological cycles varied and not every phenological cycle metric occurred in every year. We first identified points demarcating phenological cycles from the entire EVI time series and then characterized the cycles using mathematical curves. For example, we did not identify a cycle peak for every year and every pixel (areas shown in gray in Fig. 6). However, this does not imply that no cycle occurred but that the vegetation at these sites and points in time could be greening up towards a peak in the following year, browning down towards an end of cycle point or be in a phase between cycles. For example, the absence of peaks over interior Australia in 2005 and 2008 (Fig. 6) is also reflected in Fig 2. where the phenological trajectory of the Alice Springs site in interior Australia was in between phenological cycles. Phenological cycles thus need to be analyzed in the temporal context of multiple years. While most studies of phenology attempted to fit phenological curves within a predefined interval every calendar year, certain authors have proposed methods that include iterating the curve fitted to the vegetation index trajectory or by fitting a curve of vegetation index versus accumulated moisture (Tan et al., 2011; Brown and de Beurs, 2008). Our approach to characterize non-annual phenology can be applied to other areas with rainfall-driven phenology and thus contributes to our understanding of non-annual, rainfall-driven phenological dynamics globally.

4.2 Phenology of Australia’s interior

For the interior of Australia we identified low phenological peak and minimum magnitude and associated small amplitude (darker tone areas in both Fig. 4 A and B), high variability in magnitude, timing and cycle integral. In addition, a peak was not identified in every year for large areas of the interior. Most areas of the interior are dryland systems with sparse vegetation cover and where
vegetation phenology is driven by highly irregular rainfall timing and amounts (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c, e) and hydrologic regimes can be difficult to predict (Young and Kingsford, 2006). Thus we do not see a strong phenological response (low amplitude), however we interpret the high variability in start of cycle and peak timing (Fig 4 and Fig 5.) as a fast response to rainfall pulses and the missing cycles (Fig 5.) were interpreted as dormant periods during dry years (Loik et al. 2004). We interpret these patterns of variable phenological cycles over interior Australia, where a cycle may vary in timing and length, or may skip a year entirely, to occur as a function of high climate variability. De Jong et al. (2012) identified frequent trend breaks of greening and browning over Australia that may be related to the non-annual phenological cycles identified here.

Desert river beds in the interior of the continent had low minimum but moderate peak magnitude. The elevated peak magnitudes are caused by flooding driven by high amounts of distant rainfall (Young and Kingsford, 2006). The center of the arid Lake Eyre basin showed high variability in minimum magnitude. Lake Eyre is the center of a sparsely vegetated, close drainage basin and the fact that we identified high variability was in line with known flooding patterns as this salt lake is reached by flooding only once in a century (McMahon et al., 2005). We interpret the positive anomaly in 2010 (Fig. 7) as a function of the La Niña floods (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014a).

Conversely, large variability of peak timing and cycle integrated greenness from one to another phenological cycle was found not just in the interior of Australia but across most of the continent (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). High inter-annual variability in water availability across most of Australia rather than for the continent’s interior has also been demonstrated by the Australian Water Availability Project (2014).
4.3 Australia’s phenology, the 2001 to 2009 Millennium Drought and La Niña high precipitation event in 2010

The years with widespread negative standard anomalies of cycle integrated greenness coincided with the Millennium Drought from 2001 to 2009 (Heberger (2011); Fig. 7). Dryland vegetation is subject to environmentally marginal conditions and is therefore highly sensitive to climate variability (Hufkens et al., 2012; Brown et al., 1997).

Yet, the spatial extent of negative anomalies in certain years that extend beyond the dry interior suggested temporary yet severe drought-related water limitations also in the monsoonal North and the temperate area of South Eastern and South Western Australia (Fig. 7). The large positive standardized anomalies of cycle integrated greenness identified in this work across most of Eastern Australia in 2010 (1 to 2 standard anomalies; Fig. 7) coincided with a strong La Niña event and associated high rainfall and floods that broke the Millennium Drought (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014a; Heberger, 2011). This pattern includes the desert rivers extending from North Eastern Australia to Lake Eyre, which experienced a major flood in 2010.

While the relationship between ENSO cycles and rainfall variability primarily over Eastern Australia has been investigated before (van Dijk et al., 2013; Risbey et al., 2009), our research has quantified vegetation response across Australia to the transition from a strong El Niño drought to La Niña wet periods. While the positive vegetation response to the 2010 La Niña occurred over Eastern Australia that is also influenced by ENSO cycles (van Dijk et al., 2013; Nicholls, 1991; Nicholls et al., 1997), the negative vegetation response during the Millennium Drought cover a larger area and occurred across the continent.

4.4 Spatially explicit relationship between phenology and climatic variability

We found that SOI-driven patterns of correlation with phenology covered a larger area compared to rainfall-driven patterns likely because SOI is a more generic proxy of climatic variability that
influences temperature, incoming solar radiation and rainfall rather than rainfall alone (Risbey et al., 2009; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014f) and because not all ecosystems of Australia are only limited by water availability but also by temperature and radiation (Nemani et al., 2003).

The spatial extent of areas where we detected correlation between SOI or rainfall and phenological metrics shrank with longer binning intervals of the climatic drivers. This suggested that relationships between climatic drivers and phenological variability were strongest for driver variability within a specific month of the year (e.g., SOI in September) as opposed to driver variability within for example a 6 month period (e.g., mean SOI across 6 months starting in April). This falls in line with the findings by Stone et al. (1996) who identified relationships between short-term SOI dynamics at specific times of the year and rainfall. Previous studies (e.g. Brown et al. (2010)) using seasonal or longer temporal aggregation of driver variables may therefore have not identified the full spatial extent of correlation patterns.

We found the most concentrated significant correlation patterns between SOI and peak magnitude in North Eastern Australia, which is in the proximity of the West Pacific convection variability indicated by SOI. We observed similar yet less concentrated pattern for the rainfall – peak magnitude correlation. We interpret this latter pattern as primarily as the effect of the large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns indicated by SOI. The lag times of correlations over North Eastern Australia varied between 1 and 6 months following SOI or rainfall. Shorter lag time (1 to 3 months) correlation patterns with SOI were observed near the West coast of Australia yet lag times following rainfall were longer (5-8 month). These patterns are spatially remote from the variability in convection over the Western Pacific (North East of Australia) indicated by SOI. They may be related to influence of the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) and the interaction between SOI and IOD (Risbey et al., 2009), which may explain the difference in lead time of the SOI and rainfall drivers. Over North Eastern Australia and the East Australian interior, the identified 3 to 6 and 7 to 12 months lag time of phenological cycle peak magnitude was similar for the SOI and rainfall driver. The lag times identified here fell within the range of aggregation found by Andela et al. (2013) who related NDVI with
rainfall. A study by Chen et al. (2014b) identified short lags (predominantly 1 month) between soil moisture and NDVI, which are shorter than most of the lags we identified here. Soil moisture in the previous month may provide the most direct relationship with vegetation response (as it represents water available to vegetation) but the climatic conditions that drive soil moisture may precede the soil moisture by a few months (Philippon et al., 2014). The identified increase in lag time between SOI and phenological peak magnitude and rainfall and phenological peak magnitude along a gradient of decreasing rainfall was in agreement with the findings by Andela et al. (2013). However, these findings contradict the concept that rainfall pulses drive rapid phenological response (Loik et al., 2004). or may suggest that vegetation responds to climatic variability at multiple time scales. We interpret our findings as the dominating space-time relationship between large scale atmospheric circulation pattern variability and phenological response. Yet these patterns are unlikely to represent responses to individual storm events. However, less significant relationships with different SOI and rainfall month and lag time were also present suggesting that vegetation responds to climatic variability at multiple time scales. A more in-depth analysis of the relationship between climatic drivers and phenological response across multiple temporal scales should be investigated in future research.

The proportion of areas for which we identified significant correlations was generally smaller than those identified in other studies (e.g. Andela et al. (2013) and Chen et al. (2014a)). This could be related to the relatively short time series we used and consequently the smaller power of our correlation analysis. Nonetheless, the spatial pattern of correlation was most widespread in North Eastern Australia and along desert river beds (e.g., Cooper Creek) in the interior. These patterns agreed spatially with what would be expected from the SOI-approximated moisture source over the West Pacific and the associated progression of rainfall and runoff into interior Australia.

We conducted a higher spatial resolution correlation analysis for the MDB to investigate sensitivity of the area’s vegetation to SOI variability. The MDB contains the primary agricultural area of Australia and the basin’s agriculture was severely impacted by the Millennium Drought (van Dijk et
We identified correlation patterns between SOI and peak magnitude primarily over natural vegetation cover as opposed to areas used for dryland agriculture or pasture. As expected, irrigated agriculture had the lowest percentage of area with significant correlations between SOI and phenological peak magnitude. The lowest percentage of area with significant correlations over managed land may be explained by the effort that land managers and irrigators make to archive maximum production regardless of climatic variability (e.g. fertilization, use of pesticides, crop rotation, livestock density, movement and irrigation) whereas landscapes with natural vegetation cover may respond directly to climatic variability. In the context of climatic influence on agriculture in the MDB, van Dijk et al. (2013) suggested that the Millennium Drought impact on dryland wheat yields was offset by steady increases in cropped area and plant water use efficiency as well as possibly CO₂ fertilization. As a zone of special interest within the MDB we focused on floodplains and wetlands. These ecosystems were strongly impacted by the Millennium Drought and 2010 La Niña floods (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014b; Leblanc et al., 2012). Across the MDB’s floodplains and wetlands, we identified the highest percentage of areas (6.1%) with significant correlation between SOI and phenological peak magnitude compared to other natural or managed land cover, highlighting the sensitivity of these ecosystems to ENSO-related climatic variability. We attributed the low percentage to limited test power as a function of the relatively short time series (14 years) used here. For example Brown et al. (2010) found between 10% and 27% of certain areas in Africa to be significantly correlated with atmospheric indices using a 25-year AVHRR time series.

4.5 Limitations and future work

Several caveats of our work should be noted. When interpreting the phenological cycles characterized here, it should be noted that the sub pixel composition of vegetation and background as well as multi-layer vegetation structure is unknown and may change over time (Zhang et al.,
Various methods for validating remotely sensed metrics of phenological cycles with ground-based observations have been discussed including flux tower productivity time series, ground based radiation sensor time series, phenocam time series as well as crowd sourced citizen science (Richardson et al., 2007; Liang and Schwartz, 2009; Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2013). Validation of the phenological metrics developed here is currently underway.

The phenological metrics derived and described here represent different stages of vegetation growth. They have been made freely available in contribution to the Australian Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) and can be downloaded from the AusCover TERN Sydney node1: http://data.c3.uts.edu.au providing opportunities for a range of applications.

In this work we traced phenological cycles over time, quantified cycles’ inter-annual variability and investigate their relationship with rainfall and ENSO thereby advancing phenological research for Australia, a country with extensive drylands. The phenological metrics provided here can be further used for characterizing the effect of anthropogenic disturbances on phenology and unraveling this effect from the influence of climatic forcing related to ENSO. Another opportunity for future work is the reanalysis of trends and trend breaks in vegetation dynamics and climatic drivers (Donohue et al., 2009; de Jong et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014a).
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Figure captions

Fig. 1. Land cover map of Australia shows closed and open tree cover in dark and light green, respectively. The purple colors that occur predominantly in the South West and South East represent crops and pasture. Brown marks shrubs, orange colors mark tussock grass and light brown colors mark hummock grass cover across most of the semi-arid and arid interior (land cover classes were aggregated based on: Lymburner et al. (2011). The most prominent topographic feature is the Great Dividing Range that runs along the Eastern seaboard. Locations of the 21 OzFlux flux tower sites and 15 additional sites are shown as red and blue circles and were used for phenological trajectory evaluation. We used the EVI time series at the sites for phenological algorithm development and testing (site list provided in Table 1). The phenology for the sites marked by a large black circle is presented and discussed in Section 2.2.3. The bottom left panel shows the extent of the MDB.

Fig. 2. Algorithm steps applied to the 14-year MODIS EVI trajectory (MOD13C2 single 5.6-km pixel) for the Alice Springs flux site representing semi-arid mulga (Acacia) woodland of the center of Australia. (A) EVI time series after screening out low quality observations (brown circles), EVI time series after gap filling and smoothing (blue circles), and flagged minimum and peak of cycle points (green diamonds). (B) Curves fitted as 7-parameter double logistic functions (red squares) characterizing the phenological cycles, and identifying start and end of cycles points (yellow circles) delineating the cycles. The timing, length, amplitude, and magnitudes of the phenological cycles at the site vary inter-annually.

Fig. 3. Examples of temporal variability of the characterized phenological cycles for the Sturt Plains, Calperum, and Great Western Woodlands sites (refer to Fig. 1 and Table 1 for the sites’ location and description, respectively). Based on 14-years of MODIS EVI data after screening out low quality observations (brown circles), EVI time series after gap filling and smoothing (blue circles), fitting 7-parameter double logistic functions (red squares) and identifying start and end of cycles points (yellow circles) delineating the characterized phenological cycles.

Fig. 4. Mean of peak magnitude (A), mean of minimum magnitude (B), standard deviation of peak magnitude (C) and standard deviation of minimum magnitude (D). A map of dominant land cover type is provided in Fig. 1.

Fig. 5. Mean Julian day of the start of the phenological cycles (A1) and standard deviation of the start of the phenological cycles in number of days (B1) and mean Julian day of the end of the phenological cycles (A2) and standard deviation of the end of the phenological cycles in number of days (B2) across the 14-year time series.

Fig. 6. Inter-annual variation in the peak timing. The Julian day of the phenological cycles’ peak is displayed in the calendar year when the peak occurred. The mean (x) and standard deviation (σ) of the cycle peak
timing is provided for reference. The scale is cyclic. Areas where no peak was observed during a given calendar year are shown in gray.

Fig. 7. Mean of the cycles’ integral greenness across the time series (top left panel in day units) and standardized anomaly of each cycle’s integrated greenness. The standardized anomalies of the cycles are shown in the year when the cycle started. For example, for a site with six phenological cycles across the time series that started in 2001, 2002, 2003, 2005, 2008 and 2010, the cycles’ standard deviations are shown in 2001, 2002, 2003, 2005, 2008 and 2010. All other years are shown as gray as no phenological cycle start was detected for those years. The white circle in the top left panel mark the OzFlux site shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 8. Statistically significant relationships between monthly SOI and phenological cycle peak magnitude (top row) and monthly rainfall and phenological cycle peak magnitude (bottom row). (A) SOI and rainfall month most significantly correlated with peak magnitude. (B) Lead time of SOI and rainfall month relative to phenological peak and (C) Spearman’s rho. Areas with p > 0.05 area shown in white. The black box in the top right panel marks the extent of the area shown in Fig. 7 centered on the Cooper Creek floodplain in interior Eastern Australia.

Fig. 9. Significant Spearman rho correlations (shown in green) between monthly SOI and phenological cycle peak magnitude over a region in central Australia. The Cooper Creek floodplain of the middle reach of the Cooper Creek is visible in the center. Only areas with p < 0.05 and rho >= 0.6 are shown.
### Table 1. Names, locations, land cover class (Lymburner et al., 2011) and, average annual rainfall amounts (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c) for the 36 sites shown in Fig. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Ozflux site</th>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Lat (°S)</th>
<th>Long (°E)</th>
<th>Land cover classes</th>
<th>Average annual rainfall [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nullabour</td>
<td>NU</td>
<td>-30.275</td>
<td>127.175</td>
<td>Woody shrubs scattered</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Blight Desert</td>
<td>GB</td>
<td>-29.125</td>
<td>133.075</td>
<td>Woody shrubs sparse</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Eyre</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>-27.425</td>
<td>137.225</td>
<td>Woody shrubs sparse</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Western Woodlands</td>
<td>GWW</td>
<td>-30.225</td>
<td>120.625</td>
<td>Woody trees scattered</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of Shark Bay</td>
<td>ESB</td>
<td>-24.475</td>
<td>116.325</td>
<td>Woody shrubs sparse</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Western Australia</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>-24.125</td>
<td>124.175</td>
<td>Woody shrubs sparse</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Southeast Australia</td>
<td>IEA</td>
<td>-29.425</td>
<td>144.225</td>
<td>Woody shrubs sparse Chenopods</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calperum</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>-34.025</td>
<td>140.375</td>
<td>Woody trees scattered</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Australian wheat belt</td>
<td>WAW</td>
<td>-32.125</td>
<td>117.425</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids rainfed</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated cropping</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>-35.275</td>
<td>145.275</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids rainfed</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Springs</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>-22.275</td>
<td>133.225</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson Desert</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>-20.475</td>
<td>124.025</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamersley</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>-22.275</td>
<td>115.725</td>
<td>Woody shrubs sparse</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Western Woodlands flux</td>
<td>GWWF</td>
<td>-31.925</td>
<td>120.075</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland Tussock</td>
<td>QTU</td>
<td>-21.225</td>
<td>143.075</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West Queensland</td>
<td>NWQ</td>
<td>-19.525</td>
<td>140.025</td>
<td>Woody trees scattered</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Land Cover Description</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturt Plains</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>-17.175</td>
<td>133.375</td>
<td>Woody trees sparse</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riggs Creek</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>-36.625</td>
<td>145.575</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids rainfed pasture</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcturus</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>-23.875</td>
<td>149.275</td>
<td>Woody trees open</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingin</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>-31.375</td>
<td>115.725</td>
<td>Woody trees sparse</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otway</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>-38.525</td>
<td>142.825</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids rainfed pasture</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wombat</td>
<td>WO</td>
<td>-37.425</td>
<td>144.075</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland Plain</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>-33.725</td>
<td>150.725</td>
<td>Woody trees sparse</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry River</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>-15.275</td>
<td>132.375</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallaby Creek</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>-37.425</td>
<td>145.175</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daly River Pasture</td>
<td>DRP</td>
<td>-14.075</td>
<td>131.375</td>
<td>Woody trees open</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West of North Queensland</td>
<td>WNQ</td>
<td>-16.275</td>
<td>142.475</td>
<td>Woody trees sparse</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimmo</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>-36.225</td>
<td>148.575</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samford</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>-27.425</td>
<td>152.825</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumbarumba</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>-35.675</td>
<td>148.175</td>
<td>Woody trees open</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Springs</td>
<td>HO</td>
<td>-12.475</td>
<td>131.175</td>
<td>Woody trees open</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dampier peninsula</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>-15.125</td>
<td>125.725</td>
<td>Woody trees sparse</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dargo</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>-37.125</td>
<td>147.175</td>
<td>Herbaceous graminoids rainfed pasture</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Tasmania</td>
<td>NWT</td>
<td>-41.225</td>
<td>145.175</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Tribulation</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>-16.125</td>
<td>145.375</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daintree</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>-16.225</td>
<td>145.425</td>
<td>Woody trees closed</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Percentage distribution of most significant correlation relationship between monthly SOI and phenological peak magnitude per land cover class across the MDB. Shown are percentages of the MDB occupied by different land cover, percentage of basin-wide significantly correlated areas per land cover, percent of significantly correlated land cover class and average rho value per land cover.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregated land cover classes (LCC)</th>
<th>Percent of basin covered by each LCC</th>
<th>% of the areas of significant correlations between monthly SOI and peak magnitude within each LCC</th>
<th>% of each LCC where significant correlation between monthly SOI and peak magnitude occurred</th>
<th>Average rho of significant correlations within LCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubs</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasses</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain-fed agriculture and pasture</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated agriculture and pasture</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cooper Creek floodplain