Nitrate source identification in the Baltic Sea using its isotopic ratios in combination with a Bayesian isotope mixing model
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Abstract

Nitrate (NO$_3^-$) is the major nutrient responsible for coastal eutrophication worldwide and its production is related to intensive food production and fossil-fuel combustion. In the Baltic Sea NO$_3^-$ inputs have increased four-fold over the last decades and now remain constantly high. NO$_3^-$ source identification is therefore an important consideration in environmental management strategies. In this study focusing on the Baltic Sea, we used a method to estimate the proportional contributions of NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition, N$_2$ fixation, and runoff from pristine soils as well as from agricultural land. Our approach combines data on the dual isotopes of NO$_3^-$ ($\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}O$-NO$_3^-$) in winter surface waters with a Bayesian isotope mixing model (Stable Isotope Analysis in R, SIAR). Based on data gathered from 47 sampling locations over the entire Baltic Sea, the majority of the NO$_3^-$ in the southern Baltic was shown to derive from runoff from agricultural land (33–100%), whereas in the northern Baltic, i.e., the Gulf of Bothnia, NO$_3^-$ originates from nitrification in pristine soils (34–100%). Atmospheric deposition accounts for only a small percentage of NO$_3^-$ levels in the Baltic Sea, except for contributions from northern rivers, where the levels of atmospheric NO$_3^-$ are higher. An additional important source in the central Baltic Sea is N$_2$ fixation by diazotrophs, which contributes 49-65% of the overall NO$_3^-$ pool at this site. The results obtained with this method are in good agreement with source estimates based upon $\delta^{15}N$ values in sediments and
a three-dimensional ecosystem model, ERGOM. We suggest that this approach can be easily modified to determine NO$_3^-$ sources in other marginal seas or larger near-coastal areas where NO$_3^-$ is abundant in winter surface waters when fractionation processes are minor.

1 Introduction

Throughout the world, anthropogenic reactive N currently exceeds natural production (Galloway et al., 2003; Gruber and Galloway, 2008). Consequently, riverine nitrogen (N) fluxes have doubled in recent years, which has strongly impacted the marine N cycle and ecosystem health, both at regional and global scales. In coastal ecosystems, the adverse effects of these excess N loads include eutrophication, hypoxia, loss of biodiversity, and habitat destruction (Galloway et al., 2003; Villnäss et al., 2013). For the shallow, brackish, semi-enclosed Baltic Sea, where intense anthropogenic nutrient loadings have been documented since the 1950s (Elmgren, 2001), riverine and atmospheric nutrient inputs are now at least four-fold higher than a century ago, when anthropogenic influence was low (Schernewski and Neumann, 2005; Stålnacke et al., 1999). Furthermore, cyanobacterial blooms, which can fix N$_2$, and thus add nutrients to the surface waters are regular large scale phenomenon each summer (Finni et al., 2001; Vahtera et al., 2007) and the overall increase in nutrient input has supported the expansion of hypoxic zones (Conley et al., 2009, 2011).

A main component of the N pool and the one most readily available is nitrate (NO$_3^-$) (Nestler et al., 2011; Vitousek et al., 1997), which derives from a wide variety of sources. These can be identified by analysis of the N and oxygen (O) isotopes ($\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$) since the isotopic ratios of NO$_3^-$ from different sources fall within distinct ranges (Kendall, 1998; Kendall et al., 2007). For example, NO$_3^-$ inputs from forested catchments can be discriminated from those coming from agricultural runoff, and the NO$_3^-$ signature of microbial nitrification differs from that of atmospheric deposition (Kendall, 1998; Kendall et al., 2007; Mayer et al., 2002). Source attribution is, however, complicated by N-transformation processes such as denitrification, nitrification, and assimilation, each of which gives rise to significant isotope fractionation. Since heavier isotopes are sequestered more slowly than lighter ones, the reaction product will be isotopically depleted compared to the original NO$_3^-$ source (Kendall, 1998). Alterations of isotope values because of microbial fractionation processes can be minimized by collecting the samples in winter, when low water temperatures reduce microbial activity (Pfenning and McMahon, 1997).
Nonetheless, source attribution is still complicated when there are more than three sources but only two isotopes that describe them (Fry, 2013). SIAR (Stable Isotope Analysis in R), a Bayesian isotope mixing model originally developed to infer diet composition from the stable isotope analysis of samples taken from consumers and their food sources (Moore and Semmens, 2008), was already successfully applied for NO$_3^-$ source identification. Xue et al. (2012, 2013) were able to estimate the proportional contributions of five potential NO$_3^-$ sources in a small watershed in Flanders (Belgium). Based on their determinations of the isotopes of nitrogen and oxygen they could show that manure and sewage were the major sources of NO$_3^-$.

In the Baltic Sea the NO$_3^-$ pool present in the surface waters in spring originates from the previous growth season and is consumed during the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom, in February/March. Stratification in summer hinders circulation down to the halocline, thus atmospheric deposition and N$_2$ fixation are the major N sources, whereas in coastal areas riverine discharge dominates (Radtke et al., 2012; Voss et al., 2011). Yet, to what extent the various NO$_3^-$ sources add to the overall pool of NO$_3^-$ in the Baltic as a whole is still a matter of debate. In this study, a source attribution for four major sources is presented. Taking the Baltic Sea as an example we will show, that the use of the isotopic composition of NO$_3^-$ ($\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$) in combination with SIAR can be used elsewhere for source identification on an ecosystem scale level.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Field sampling

Surface water samples from the Baltic Sea were collected in February 2008 (n=22) and 2009 (n=17) before the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom aboard the RV Alkor and in November 2011 (n=1) aboard the RV Meteor using a Seabird CTD system with attached water bottles. Samples from the Nemunas River (55°18’5.5 N, 21°22’53.9 E; 55°41’25.6 N, 21°7’58.4 E; n=4) and Kalix River (65°56’4.2 N, 22°53’9.2 E; n=1) (Fig.1) were taken between November 2009 and February 2010. Values for NO$_3^-$ in which atmospheric deposition was the source were obtained from wet deposition samples collected at three stations around the Baltic Sea: Warnemünde, Germany (54°10’ N, 12°5’ E.); Majstre, Sweden (57°30’ N, 18°31’ E); and Sännen, Sweden (56°13’N, 15°17’E) from December 2009 until February 2010 (Table 1). In Warnemünde, precipitation was collected on an event basis, and retrieved daily to limit microbial degradation, using a sampler consisting of a plastic funnel.
(diameter: 24 cm) connected to a 1-L polyethylene bottle. At the two Swedish stations, rainwater was sampled monthly by the Swedish Environmental Research Institute (IVL) as part of the Swedish national long-term monitoring program. Here, the sampler consisted of a plastic funnel (diameter 20.3 cm) connected to an 8-L polyethylene bag. All samples were filtered through pre-combusted Whatman GF/F filters (4 h at 400°C) and stored frozen until further analysis.

2.2 Nutrient concentrations and dual isotope analysis of NO$_3^-$

Samples were analyzed following a standard protocol for the determination of NO$_3^-$ and nitrite (NO$_2^-$) (Grasshoff et al., 1983); the precision of the method is ±0.02 µmol l$^{-1}$. Dual isotope analysis of NO$_3^-$ ($\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$) was carried out using the denitrifier method (Casciotti et al., 2002; Sigman et al., 2001), in which NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ are quantitatively converted to nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) by Pseudomonas aureofaciens (ATTC 13985), a bacterial strain that lacks N$_2$O reductase activity. In brief, N$_2$O is removed from the sample vials by purging with helium and then concentrated and purified in a GasBench II prior to analysis with a Delta Plus mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan). NO$_2^-$ was not removed since its concentrations were always less than 2% (referring to the procedure described in Casciotti et al., 2007). N and O isotope measurements of roughly 30% of the samples were replicated in separate batch analyses. Two international standards, IAEA-N3 ($\delta^{15}$N=4.7‰ vs. N$_2$; $\delta^{18}$O 25.6‰ vs. VSMOW) and USGS 34 ($\delta^{15}$N -1.8‰ vs. N$_2$; $\delta^{18}$O -27.9‰ vs. VSMOW) (Böhlke et al., 2003), were measured with each batch of samples. Samples with NO$_3^-$/ NO$_2^-$ concentrations as low as 1 µmol l$^{-1}$ were analyzed. The sample size for the actual stable isotope measurements was 20 nmol for samples with concentrations >3.5 µmol l$^{-1}$ and 10 nmol for those with concentrations <3.5 µmol l$^{-1}$. Isotope values were corrected after Sigman et al. (2009) for $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3$; single point correction was referred to IAEA-N3 for $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$. The precision was <0.2 ‰ for $\delta^{15}$N and <0.6 ‰ for $\delta^{18}$O. Together with the samples, a culture blank was analyzed to which no sample was added. The isotope ratios are reported using the delta notation in units of per mil (‰).

2.3 NO$_3^-$ sources

To estimate the contribution of different NO$_3^-$ sources, two isotopes $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ (j=2) from the four major NO$_3^-$ sources: (1) atmospheric deposition, (2) runoff from pristine soils, (3) runoff from agricultural land and (4) N$_2$ fixation were applied (Table 2). In this
context, N₂ fixation was defined as NO₃⁻ originating from the degradation and remineralization of nitrogen fixers and therefore carried their low isotopic signal. Thus, for NO₃⁻ from N₂ fixation, δ¹⁵N values of ~-2 to 0‰ were assumed, since N₂ fixation produces organic material that is only slightly N depleted against air nitrogen (Carpenter et al., 1999, 1997; Montoya et al., 2002). The δ¹⁸O values were estimated to be between -3.8‰ and 2.0‰, based on measurements in the subtropical northeast Atlantic where N₂ fixation was the main source of N (Bourbonnais et al., 2009) (δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ = 2‰) and the estimated δ¹⁸O of NO₃⁻ deriving from N₂ fixation by Sigman et al. (2009) (δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ = -0.2‰) and Bourbonnais et al. (2012) (δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ = -3.8‰).

To expand the dataset, we included NO₃⁻ isotope data from river water samples, groundwater samples, and samples from tile drain outlets collected in 2003 and published in Deutsch et al. (2006). In that study, the Warnow River (n=2) was sampled twice, in January and February 2003. These sources were likewise sampled in winter, since marked seasonal shifts in the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ can occur due to shifts in the origins of the sources (Knapp et al., 2005). Samples from tile drain outlets were used to represent NO₃⁻ from agricultural runoff and were obtained from the catchment of the Warnow River, whose waters are strongly influenced by agricultural land use (Pagenkopf, 2001). High δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ values of 9.9±1.5‰ and lower δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values of 4.6±1.0‰ are typical for areas that are influenced by agricultural activities and are similar to studies of Wankel et al. (2006) and Johannsen et al. (2008). Johannsen et al. (2008) found in the rivers Rhine, Elbe, Weser and Ems, with comparable high agricultural activities, δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ values between 8.2 and 11.2‰ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values from 0.4 to 0.9‰ in winter. However, a differentiation between NO₃⁻ from mineral fertilizers and sewage/manure was not done; rather a mixed signal from rivers that are mainly influenced by agricultural activities was taken. Groundwater samples were used as the source of NO₃⁻ from pristine land (Deutsch et al., 2006). Their δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values significantly differed from those of agricultural runoff (p<0.05) but were similar to the values of other areas, such as Biscuit Brook (Burns et al., 2009) and the San River (Koszelnik and Gruca-Rokosz, 2013), where pristine soils were sampled and reflect nitrification activity in soils unaffected by human activity.

The dual isotopes of NO₃⁻ values presented in Deutsch et al. (2006) were analyzed according to Silva et al., (2000). In this method, NO₃⁻ is chemically converted via anion exchange resins to AgNO₃ and the δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values are measured via pyrolysis and isotopic ratio mass spectrometry (for a detailed description, see Deutsch et al. 2006). A normal
distribution of the isotopic data from the four sources was confirmed by applying the Shapiro- 
Wilk normality test. $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values from NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition 
of 0.3±1.4‰ and 76.7±6.8‰, respectively, are also in line with literature values. The $\delta^{15}$N 
values of atmospheric NO$_3^-$ are usually between -15 to +15‰ and the $\delta^{18}$O between 63 and 
94‰ (Kendall et al., 2007).

Six regions within the catchment of the Baltic Sea were investigated for their potential NO$_3^-$ 
sources (Fig. 1). According to the topography of the Baltic Sea, the samples were assigned to 
four major areas: Western Baltic Sea, Baltic Proper, Gulf of Finland, and Gulf of Bothnia. 
Additionally, three rivers differing in their degree of anthropogenic impact were included in 
this study and divided into two groups: northern and southern rivers. Rivers with high nutrient 
loads drain mainly into the southern Baltic Proper and were represented here by the Nemunas 
and Warnow Rivers, whose NO$_3^-$ concentrations in winter can be as high as 260 µmol l$^{-1}$ 
(Deutsch et al, 2006, Pilkaityte and Razinkovas 2006). The Gulf of Bothnia receives large 
amounts of fresh water from rivers represented by the Kalix River. These rivers drain mainly 
pristine, forested land and have maximum NO$_3^-$ concentrations of around 20 µmol l$^{-1}$ 
(Sferratore et al, 2008).

2.4 SIAR mixing model

The applied mixing model is described by the following equations:

$$X_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k (s_{jk} + c_{jk}) + \epsilon_{ij} \quad (1)$$

$$s_{jk} \sim N(\mu_{jk}, \omega_{jk}^2) \quad (2)$$

$$c_{jk} \sim N(\lambda_{jk}, \tau_{jk}^2) \quad (3)$$

$$\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_j^2) \quad (4)$$

where $X_{ij}$ is the observed isotope value $j$ of the mixture $i$; $i$=1,2,3,...,I are individual 
observations; and $j$=1,2,3,...,J are isotopes. $s_{jk}$ is the source value $k$ of isotope $j$ ($k$ = 1,2,3,..., 
K) and is normally distributed, with a mean of $\mu_{jk}$ and a standard deviation of $\omega_{jk}$. $p_k$ is the 
proportion of source $k$ that needs to be estimated by the model. $c_{jk}$ is the fractionation factor 
for isotope $j$ on source $k$ and is normally distributed, with a mean of $\lambda_{jk}$ and a standard 
deviation of $\tau_{jk}$. $\epsilon_{ij}$ is the residual error representing additional unquantified variations between 
mixtures and is normally distributed, with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of $\sigma_j$. Detailed 
descriptions of the model can be found in Jackson et al. (2009), Moore and Semmens (2008), 
and Parnell et al. (2010). As noted above, by collecting samples between November and
February we minimized the influence of fractionation processes such as assimilation and denitrification that can alter the isotopic signal of NO$_3^-$.

Therefore in Eq. (1) we assumed that $c_{jk} = 0$.

Two different runs of the SIAR model were performed. In the first, for the Western Baltic Sea, Baltic Proper, and Gulf of Finland, all four sources were included in the calculation. In the second, for the Gulf of Bothnia, the southern rivers, and the northern rivers, N$_2$ fixation as a potential NO$_3^-$ source was excluded since in these areas there is no N$_2$ fixation by diazotrophs because the Gulf of Bothnia is phosphorus limited, in contrast to the Baltic Proper (Graneli et al., 1990).

3 Results

3.1 NO$_3^-$ concentrations and isotopes

Winter (Nov.-Feb.) surface NO$_3^-$ concentrations ranged from a minimum of 2.6 µmol l$^{-1}$ in the open Baltic Sea to a maximum of 259 µmol l$^{-1}$ close to the estuaries of the most nutrient-rich rivers, i.e., the Nemunas and Warnow Rivers (Fig. 2, Supplement 1). In most basins of the Baltic Sea, the NO$_3^-$ concentrations in winter were almost identical, with the exception of the Gulf of Finland, where concentrations were about two-fold higher (7.6±0.9 µmol l$^{-1}$; Fig. 2).

In the western Baltic Sea, the Baltic Proper, and the Gulf of Bothnia NO$_3^-$ concentrations were similar with 3.3±0.6, 3.4±0.8, and 3.7±0.4 µmol l$^{-1}$, respectively.

Highest nitrate concentrations in the Nemunas River also corresponded to the highest $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ with 10.0 ‰ and vice versa, with lowest concentrations and nitrogen isotope values in the Baltic Proper (1.5 ‰). The $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values ranged from -2.8 ‰ in the Gulf of Bothnia to 10.6 ‰ in the Northern River, Kalix (Fig. 2, Supplement 1).

3.2 Sources of NO$_3^-$

SIAR calculated that in the southern Baltic Sea, agricultural runoff was the main NO$_3^-$ source with the highest contribution in the western Baltic Sea with up to 67 % (mean 53.5±3.2%) and in the southern rivers with up to 100% (mean 93.5±4.1%) (Table 3, Fig. 1). NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition was negligible with 3.5% (mean 1.1±0.5%) and NO$_3^-$ from pristine soils lower with up to 42% (mean 7.5±5.9%) in the western Baltic Sea (Table 3, Fig. 1). In the Baltic Proper, NO$_3^-$ from N$_2$ fixation was the dominant NO$_3^-$ source with up to 65.3% (mean 58.8±2.0%) (Table 3, Fig. 1). In the northern Baltic Sea NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition is only important in the northern rivers with a contribution of up to 23.4% (mean 11.8±1.5%)
NO$_3^-$ from pristine soils is mainly transported by the northern rivers (75.3±7.9%) to the Gulf of Bothnia where SIAR calculated that 99.0±0.9% stems from the runoff from pristine soils (Table 3, Fig. 1).

3.3 Comparison of isotope patterns in the water column and sediments

The $\delta^{15}N$ values from surface water correlated significantly with those from surface sediments, as reported in Voss et al. (2005) (p<0.001) (Fig. 3). Stations for sediment sampling were in close vicinity to stations from water column sampling (Fig 4). In the Baltic Proper, the $\delta^{15}N$ of the surface water NO$_3^-$ was indistinguishable from the $\delta^{15}N$ of the sediment surface (3.6±1.0‰ and 3.5±0.6‰, respectively; Table 4). In the near-coastal areas of the Baltic Proper and the Gulf of Finland, the $\delta^{15}N$ of surface water NO$_3^-$ was 7.9±1.8‰, slightly higher than the surface sediment value for the same area of 7.3±2.1‰ (data in Voss et al., 2005) but still not significant different (p<0.01) (Table 4).

4 Discussion

4.1 NO$_3^-$ in the Baltic Sea

The measured winter surface water concentrations of up to 259 µmol l$^{-1}$ are typical for eutrophied systems and similar values have been reported from the Chesapeake Bay and the coastal areas of the North Sea (Dähnke et al., 2010; Francis et al., 2013). The concentrations of nutrients in the sub-basins of the Baltic Sea reflect the densities of the human populations in the vicinity of the adjacent sub-catchments. Thus, in the near-coastal area of the southern Baltic Proper, NO$_3^-$ concentrations were higher than in the northern parts, since the catchment areas of Germany, Poland, and the Baltic States are much more densely populated (>500 inhabitants km$^{-2}$) and the land is intensively used for agricultural purposes. The northern regions are dominated by boreal forests and less populated (<10 inhabitants km$^{-2}$) (Lääne et al., 2005; Stepanauskas et al., 2002; Voss et al., 2011). Consequently, for the southern Baltic Proper a relationship between fluvial NO$_3^-$ loads and NO$_3^-$ concentrations in coastal waters could be established that indicates a direct impact of riverine nutrients on coastal waters (Voss et al. 2011, HELCOM, 2009). However, there was no similar correlation between riverine N loads and nutrient concentrations either for the coastal areas of the Gulf of Bothnia or for the open waters of the Baltic Proper (Voss et al. 2011). The Gulf of Bothnia is the only sub-basin in which the effects of eutrophication are so far minor, although Lundberg et al. (2009) and Conley et al. (2011) reported a degradation in the water quality from north to south and from
the outer to the inner coastal area of the Gulf, with seasonal hypoxia at many sites. Trends of increasing nutrient levels should be interpreted as a warning signal for the future and highlight the need for management approaches based on sound knowledge of the many potential sources of NO$_3^-$.

In the Gulf of Finland, which is regarded as the most heavily eutrophied sub-basin of the Baltic Sea, a consequence of high receiving nutrient loads from the Neva River and the city of St. Petersburg (Lundberg et al. 2005), NO$_3^-$ concentrations were about two-fold higher ($7.6\pm0.9$ µmol l$^{-1}$) compared to the rest of the Baltic Sea sub-basins, where concentrations in winter were almost identical. This shows that NO$_3^-$ concentrations alone cannot be used to identify NO$_3^-$ sources for the sub-basins; rather, stable NO$_3^-$ isotopes values allow for accurate source determination, as we will show in the following sections.

### 4.2 Sources of NO$_3^-$

The use of NO$_3^-$ stable isotopes for source identification is complicated when the mixing of multiple N sources with overlapping isotopic ranges occurs together with microbial processes such as nitrification, assimilation, and denitrification (Kendall, 1998; Wankel et al., 2006). In this study, we assumed that the effects of fractionation by microbial processes were negligible because all our samples were collected in winter, at a mean temperature of $3.1\pm1.3$ °C (data not shown), when microbial activity is low (Pfenning and McMahon, 1997), as confirmed in a study of nitrification in the Baltic Sea by Jäntti et al. (2011). They showed that in the Gulf of Finland although nitrification potentials may be high during cold months, in situ nitrification is undetectable whereas the rate increases progressively towards the summer.

We are aware that the variability of the source signals must be taken into account in source attributions. Both Xue et al. (2012, 2013) and Yang et al. (2013) showed that SIAR can be applied in NO$_3^-$ source identification, although the resolution of this model is largely determined by the uncertainty of the isotopic composition of the sources. In the studies of both groups, the means and variances of the sources were calculated mostly from literature values, which were not obtained in the investigation areas, nevertheless they received consistent results. In contrast, in our study, the isotopic composition of the sources, except NO$_3^-$ from N$_2$ fixation, was determined from samples obtained within the study area. In our calculations we considered the impact of the variability of the sources and report not only mean values and error estimates but also minimum and maximum contributions, as suggested by Fry (2013) (Table 3).
4.2.1 NO\textsubscript{3} from agricultural runoff

The isotopic values of riverine NO\textsubscript{3} were previously shown to be enriched when agricultural land is the source of inputs (Johannsen et al., 2008; Mayer et al., 2002; Voss et al., 2006). Catchments with high percentages of agricultural and/or urban land use export NO\textsubscript{3} with δ\textsubscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} values of around 7‰. In the same study, the oxygen isotope ratios of NO\textsubscript{3} were almost uniformly 13±1‰ (Mayer et al. 2002). Johannsen et al. (2008) measured δ\textsubscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} values of 11.3‰ in highly eutrophied rivers draining into the North Sea, whereas the highest δ\textsubscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3} value was 2.2‰. In the Oder River outflow, a main NO\textsubscript{3} contributor to the Baltic Sea, δ\textsubscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} of 7.6‰ and δ\textsubscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3} of 2.9‰ were determined (Korth et al., 2013). Our measurements for the Warnow and Nemunas Rivers fall in the expected range, with a mean δ\textsubscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} of 9.2‰ and a mean δ\textsubscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3} of 3.1‰, and are consistent with the high percentages of agricultural land in the river catchment areas: 50% for the Warnow River (Pagenkopf, 2001) and 50% for the Nemunas River (Christoph Humborg, personal communication, 2011). For both, SIAR calculations indicated that 75.2–100% (mean 93.5±4.2%) of the NO\textsubscript{3} pool is from agricultural runoff. NO\textsubscript{3} with this signature seems to be transported to the central Baltic Sea, since SIAR-based estimates showed significant percentages of agriculturally derived NO\textsubscript{3} in the Western Baltic Sea (41.0–66.5%; mean: 53.5±3.2%), the Baltic Proper (32.8–45.5%; mean: 39.0±1.6%), and the Gulf of Finland (40.9–63.4%; mean: 51.9±3.0%). However, high percentages were only expected for the Gulf of Finland and the Western Baltic Sea, where large N loads from agricultural land have been documented (Hong et al., 2012). Indeed, for the Baltic Proper, the sizeable contribution of agricultural NO\textsubscript{3} (39.0±1.6%) was surprising and contrasted with previous findings that nearly excluded riverine NO\textsubscript{3} as a major nutrient source for the central Baltic Sea (Voss et al., 2005, 2011). However, Neuman (2000) estimated that 13% of the N input of the Oder River is transported to the central Baltic Sea, while Radtke et al. (2012) could show, using a source attribution technique in the three-dimensional ecosystem model ERGOM (Ecological ReGional Ocean Model), that at least a part of the dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) load from the Vistula River, the main NO\textsubscript{3} contributor to the Baltic Sea (Wulff et al., 2009), enters the Baltic Proper. This 3D model comprises a circulation model, a thermodynamic ice model, and a biogeochemical model and utilizes the Modular Ocean Model, MOM3.1 (Radtke et al., 2012).
Another explanation for the high estimated agricultural influence in our study could be the intrusion of water containing NO$_3^-$ with similar NO$_3^-$ isotope values as our agricultural NO$_3^-$ source during mixing/advection from below the halocline. Deep-water NO$_3^-$ in the Baltic Sea has a $\delta^{15}$N of about 7‰ (Frey et al. unpubl. data), which is higher than the average deep-water ocean NO$_3^-$ signature of 5‰ (Sigman et al., 2000). This elevated $\delta^{15}$N in NO$_3^-$ mainly comes from water column denitrification in the oxic-anoxic interface in water at a depth of about 100 m (Dalsgaard et al., 2013). However, the year-to-year variations in DIN due to vertical mixing and advection from below the halocline are sensitive to hydrographic conditions. When the halocline is weak and well ventilated, oxygen conditions improve, resulting in higher DIN concentrations in deep waters and greater advection and/or mixing (Vahtera et al, 2007) such that the NO$_3^-$ contribution from below the halocline is difficult to estimate.

Overall, the range of 32.8–45.5% (mean: 39.0±1.6%) determined for NO$_3^-$ presumably originating from agricultural runoff has to be considered with caution, because the former imprint of deep water column denitrification and mixing/advection of this isotopically enriched NO$_3^-$ from below the halocline with the residual winter surface NO$_3^-$ pool could have resulted in an overestimation of the percentage of NO$_3^-$ from agricultural runoff in the Baltic Proper.

### 4.2.2 NO$_3^-$ from N$_2$ fixation

The average $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ value of 3.6‰ for the Baltic Proper is slightly lower than the ocean average of around 5‰ (Sigman et al., 2000) and presumably reflects the influence of N$_2$ fixation. This is because the $\delta^{15}$N of newly fixed N is between -2 and 0‰ such that NO$_3^-$ has slightly lower $\delta^{15}$N values (Knapp et al., 2005; Liu et al., 1996). The $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ value of -0.5‰ in the Baltic Proper is also slightly lower than the ocean average of 1.5‰, and close to our theoretical considered value of -0.7±2.9‰ after the degradation and remineralization of N$_2$ fixers.

N$_2$ fixers are abundant in summer, reflecting the stimulation of their growth by the low N/P ratios. N in the cyanobacterial biomass is remineralized over the winter months and the resulting NO$_3^-$ remains in the water masses down to the halocline. Our results show that the contribution of N$_2$ fixation by diazotrophs to the NO$_3^-$ pool is 49.3–65.3% (mean 58.8±2.0%). This is slightly higher compared to the data reported by Wasmund et al. (2001), who estimated that 39% (370 ktons yr$^{-1}$) of a total input of 955 ktons N yr$^{-1}$ (HELCOM, 2002) stems from N$_2$ fixations in the central Baltic Sea. Both Radtke et al. (2012) and Voss et al.
(2005) concluded that N$_2$ fixation was the main NO$_3^-$ source in the Baltic Proper. Using an independent approach, we were able to confirm the contribution of N$_2$ fixation in this area. In addition, we found that N$_2$ fixation is also a major source of NO$_3^-$ in the Western Baltic Sea and the Gulf of Finland (respectively, 11.0–51.9% (mean 37.9±5.1%) and 32.7-59.0% (mean 45.5±3.2%)). This finding is consistent with our current understanding of N$_2$ fixation in the Gulf of Finland (Vahtera et al, 2005) whereas the western Baltic Sea is rather perceived as an area with no N$_2$ Fixation activity (Stal et al., 2003). In summary, our results provide important evidence that N$_2$ fixation by cyanobacteria is a significant N source not only in the Baltic Proper but also in the Western Baltic Sea and Gulf of Finland.

4.2.3 NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition

NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition is generally heavily enriched in $^{18}$O (>60‰) because of reactions involving ozone (O$_3$), which is anomalously enriched in heavy oxygen isotopes (Durka et al., 1994; Kendall et al., 2007). This is consistent with the $\delta^{18}$O measurements at the three stations around the Baltic Sea, where the averaged isotope value in winter was 77‰ (Table 1).

Our results show that direct inputs of atmospheric deposition contribute less NO$_3^-$ than all other sources. Indeed, among all basins of the Baltic Sea, that has a total area of 415.266 km$^2$, the maximum mean contribution was in the Western Baltic Sea 0 to 3.5% (mean 1.1±0.5%). Moreover, using a dataset from Michaels et al. (1993), Duce et al. (2008) estimated that even an extremely rare and large atmospheric deposition event distributed over a 25-m mixed-layer depth would increase the reactive N concentration only by around 0.045 µmol l$^{-1}$. A study in the Kattegat estimated an input of 52 kt N yr$^{-1}$ from atmospheric deposition, which implied rather limited nutritional support for phytoplankton (Spokes et al., 2006). Taking into account that in the Baltic Proper, with an area of 211.069 km$^2$, in winter the mixed-layer depth is 80–100 m and that the residual NO$_3^-$ pool, with a concentration of 3.6 µmol l$^{-1}$, has a $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ of -0.5‰, a similar rain event with a $\delta^{18}$O of 76.7‰ (Table 1) would increase the $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ of the residual NO$_3^-$ pool only by 0.2–0.3‰, which is within our analytical error. Even though several rain events typically occur during winter, their influence seems to be too low to leave a detectable isotopic imprint. Additionally, the NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition is presumably intensively cycled through the organic N pool in spring and summer such that after several mineralization cycles its origin is difficult to recognize isotopically (Mayer et al., 2002).
In the Kalix River $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ was clearly enriched (10.6‰) compared to the values determined for the Baltic Sea. We calculated that in this river up to 23.4% (mean 11.8±1.5%) of the NO$_3^-$ originates from atmospheric deposition. Mayer et al. (2002) compared the isotopic NO$_3^-$ signature of 16 watersheds in the USA and were able to show that riverine NO$_3^-$ derived from atmospheric NO$_3^-$ deposition and not from nitrification in soils is the dominant N input in predominantly forested watersheds, when riverine NO$_3^-$ concentrations are generally low. Therefore only in the Kalix River, where up to 97% of the catchment with a size of 18.130 km$^2$ is covered by forests and NO$_3^-$ concentrations are low during winter (Voss et al., 2011), was the imprint of NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition visible; by contrast, in the southern Baltic Sea and the rivers draining into it, the anthropogenic influence due to agriculture is very high and therefore masks atmospheric contributions. However, NO$_3^-$ loads to the northern Baltic Sea from the Kalix River and other, similar boreal rivers are small, comprising only about 20% of the sea's total N load (Voss et al., 2011). Thus, overall, we assume that atmospheric deposition is a very minor source of NO$_3^-$ in the Baltic Sea.

### 4.2.4 NO$_3^-$ from pristine soils

In general, in rivers such as the Kalix River, whose catchments include pristine vegetation, $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ values are low while those of $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ are high (Voss et al., 2006). This finding was confirmed in the present study, in which $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values of 1.6‰ and 10.6‰, respectively, were determined. In the Kalix River, the NO$_3^-$ contribution from the runoff of pristine soils as determined by SIAR is 33.8–92.8% (mean 75.3±7.9%). In pristine soils the isotopic NO$_3^-$ signal is mainly derived from nitrification, which is in agreement with previous studies of small catchments, where much of the NO$_3^-$ was shown to be of microbial origin (Campbell et al., 2002; Kendall et al., 2007; Mayer et al., 2002). Similar $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ values were reported for areas where pristine soils were also sampled. For example, $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values of 1.9 and 2.8‰ were determined for Biscuit Bay (Burns et al., 2009) and 2.9 and 2.8‰ for the San River (Koszelnik and Gruca-Rokosz, 2013), respectively. The higher $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values of the Kalix River can, as discussed above, be attributed to atmospheric deposition.

For the Gulf of Bothnia, where the catchment is dominated by pristine areas like forests (50%) and shrubs (20%), NO$_3^-$ from pristine soils contributes 91.7–100% (99.0±0.9%). However, for the Baltic Proper the NO$_3^-$ contribution from pristine soils is negligible, because the NO$_3^-$ derived from nitrification is very low in concentrations and remains in the Gulf.
because of the cyclonic circulation in the Bothnian Sea and Bothnian Bay (Humborg et al., 2003) and the high residence time of the water (7.4 years) which results in a rather slow exchange with the rest of the Baltic Sea (Myrberg and Andrejev, 2006).

4.3 Comparison of isotope patterns in the water column and sediments

Correlations between δ\(^{15}\)N values from the water column and surface sediment is a common feature in coastal basins, like Cariaco Basin (Thunell et al., 2004), Guaymas Basin, Monterey Bay, and San Pedro Basin (Altabet et al., 1999). This occurs when NO\(_3^-\) in the surface mixed layer is fully consumed, which is the case in the Baltic Proper during the spring bloom, when the only significant loss comes from the sinking of particulate nitrogen (Altabet et al., 1999). Moreover, high organic matter preservation seems to stimulate the similarity in the δ\(^{15}\)N in the surface water and sediments as seen in other depositional environments (Thunell et al., 2004).

Overall, the comparison with the sediment data set from Voss et al. (2005) shows that the isotopic signature of NO\(_3^-\) in the euphotic layer of the Baltic Sea is directly transferred to the particulate organic nitrogen pool and is subsequently found in the sediment surface as detritus, thus conserving information about the origin of this NO\(_3^-\) source. Additionally, we could show how consistent the nitrogen input to the sediments is over the years. Even though, our surface water samples were sampled from 2008 to 2011, the surface sediment samples from 1993 to 2003 and deposited in the period of approximately 10 years before collection, the comparison of the δ\(^{15}\)N values showed that there is no significant difference. Coastal areas preserve the isotope signature of riverine sources while the open Baltic Sea sediments indeed mirror the nitrogen input dominated by N\(_2\) fixation. Moreover the data demonstrate that no change over time in the input of NO\(_3^-\) sources has occurred.

5 Conclusions

By combining dual isotope data of winter NO\(_3^-\) (δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) and δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3^-\)) in surface waters with a Bayesian isotope mixing model (SIAR), we estimated the contribution of four major NO\(_3^-\) sources for the different basins of the Baltic Sea. A clear shift in the source of NO\(_3^-\) inputs, from agricultural sources in the south to runoff from pristine soils in the north, was identified. However, we could not fully determine how much of the agriculturally derived NO\(_3^-\) entering the Baltic Sea finally ends up in the open waters of its central region, where the addition of deep-water NO\(_3^-\) with similar isotope values might falsely, indicate a higher
contribution. However, we were able to show that \( N_2 \) fixation is an important \( NO_3^- \) source in the central Baltic Sea while the contribution of \( NO_3^- \) from atmospheric deposition is only a minor one.

Because they are particularly sensitive to human pressure and global climate change, marginal seas, including the Baltic Sea, will no doubt be affected by the increases in temperature and precipitation predicted for the near future (BACC, 2008). Indeed, increasing atmospheric depositions of \( NO_3^- \) in the world’s oceans have already been reported, by Duce et al. (2008) and Kim et al. (2011) and, may impact northern catchments of the Baltic Sea to a larger extent. Additionally, in coastal waters under increasing eutrophication pressure the efficiency of \( NO_3^- \) removal was shown to be reduced (Lunau et al., 2013; Mulholland et al., 2008), and this additional \( NO_3^- \) may alter the biogeochemical cycle. Therefore, the identification of \( NO_3^- \) sources, especially as anticipated in response to global climate change, is important for future environmental management strategies for the Baltic Sea and other marine environments. We suggest that with an adaption of the potential sources the approach used in this study can easily be applied in other environments where \( NO_3^- \) is a major N contributor.

### 6 Supplement 1

Table S1: Overview of the sampling stations (location and selected chemical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>( NO_3^-+NO_2^- ) [µMol]</th>
<th>( \delta^{15}N ) (‰)</th>
<th>( \delta^{18}O ) (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Baltic Sea</td>
<td>11.02.2008</td>
<td>12,4498</td>
<td>54,6503</td>
<td>8,87</td>
<td>3,79</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.02.2008</td>
<td>12,7056</td>
<td>54,6959</td>
<td>9,22</td>
<td>3,81</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.02.2008</td>
<td>13,0583</td>
<td>54,7950</td>
<td>8,51</td>
<td>4,26</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.02.2008</td>
<td>13,2770</td>
<td>54,8598</td>
<td>8,20</td>
<td>2,71</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.02.2008</td>
<td>13,9460</td>
<td>54,7099</td>
<td>7,73</td>
<td>2,81</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>3,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.02.2008</td>
<td>13,9886</td>
<td>55,0624</td>
<td>7,73</td>
<td>3,04</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>3,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.02.2008</td>
<td>14,1578</td>
<td>54,0763</td>
<td>5,98</td>
<td>46,25</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>1,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.02.2008</td>
<td>14,2827</td>
<td>54,6338</td>
<td>7,74</td>
<td>2,97</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Proper</td>
<td>25.02.2009</td>
<td>15,5695</td>
<td>55,5169</td>
<td>7,76</td>
<td>2,80</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.02.2009</td>
<td>17,5808</td>
<td>57,2238</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>3,44</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>-0,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.02.2009</td>
<td>17,3519</td>
<td>57,7003</td>
<td>7,01</td>
<td>3,52</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.02.2009</td>
<td>18,2335</td>
<td>58,5837</td>
<td>6,45</td>
<td>3,79</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>-0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.02.2009</td>
<td>19,8832</td>
<td>59,7502</td>
<td>5,65</td>
<td>3,70</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>-0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.02.2008</td>
<td>14,5376</td>
<td>55,4046</td>
<td>7,71</td>
<td>3,13</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>-0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.02.2008</td>
<td>14,7156</td>
<td>55,4659</td>
<td>7,68</td>
<td>3,23</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.02.2008</td>
<td>15,3344</td>
<td>55,3835</td>
<td>7,51</td>
<td>3,07</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>-0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.02.2008</td>
<td>15,6326</td>
<td>55,4564</td>
<td>7,48</td>
<td>2,95</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.02.2008</td>
<td>15,9834</td>
<td>55,2501</td>
<td>7,62</td>
<td>3,54</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>-1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>salinity</td>
<td>oxygen</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.02.2008</td>
<td>15,9837</td>
<td>55,2498</td>
<td>7,64</td>
<td>3,27</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>-1,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.02.2008</td>
<td>17,0665</td>
<td>55,2168</td>
<td>7,45</td>
<td>3,24</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>-1,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.02.2008</td>
<td>18,2351</td>
<td>55,3266</td>
<td>7,37</td>
<td>2,91</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>-1,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.02.2008</td>
<td>18,4013</td>
<td>55,5502</td>
<td>7,34</td>
<td>2,61</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>-1,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.02.2008</td>
<td>18,6002</td>
<td>55,6339</td>
<td>7,37</td>
<td>2,76</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>-1,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.02.2008</td>
<td>18,8658</td>
<td>55,8413</td>
<td>7,37</td>
<td>2,88</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.02.2008</td>
<td>19,1677</td>
<td>56,0841</td>
<td>7,37</td>
<td>2,71</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.02.2008</td>
<td>19,5833</td>
<td>56,6334</td>
<td>7,34</td>
<td>3,46</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>-1,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.02.2008</td>
<td>19,8289</td>
<td>57,0713</td>
<td>7,34</td>
<td>3,34</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>-2,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.02.2008</td>
<td>20,0506</td>
<td>57,3196</td>
<td>7,35</td>
<td>3,38</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>-2,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Finnland</td>
<td>04.03.2009</td>
<td>24,8500</td>
<td>59,8036</td>
<td>5,24</td>
<td>6,82</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Bothnia</td>
<td>04.03.2009</td>
<td>25,6325</td>
<td>59,8659</td>
<td>5,09</td>
<td>8,12</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Bothnia</td>
<td>04.03.2009</td>
<td>23,9989</td>
<td>59,6836</td>
<td>5,35</td>
<td>8,77</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Bothnia</td>
<td>04.03.2009</td>
<td>22,9002</td>
<td>59,4836</td>
<td>6,11</td>
<td>6,95</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.02.2009</td>
<td>19,1177</td>
<td>60,1913</td>
<td>5,42</td>
<td>3,10</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.02.2009</td>
<td>19,1464</td>
<td>60,6967</td>
<td>5,45</td>
<td>3,81</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>-1,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.02.2009</td>
<td>19,2836</td>
<td>61,2265</td>
<td>5,54</td>
<td>3,96</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>-0,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.02.2009</td>
<td>19,4678</td>
<td>61,7032</td>
<td>5,57</td>
<td>3,40</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>-1,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.02.2009</td>
<td>19,7182</td>
<td>62,1441</td>
<td>5,45</td>
<td>3,49</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>-1,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.02.2009</td>
<td>19,9682</td>
<td>62,5872</td>
<td>5,52</td>
<td>3,66</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>-2,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.02.2009</td>
<td>20,4862</td>
<td>63,0417</td>
<td>5,54</td>
<td>4,31</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>-0,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.11.2011</td>
<td>21,584</td>
<td>63,834</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>5,53</td>
<td>1,83</td>
<td>-2,79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rivers</td>
<td>15.11.2009</td>
<td>21,38187</td>
<td>55,30128</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>145,03</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rivers</td>
<td>01.12.2009</td>
<td>21,38187</td>
<td>55,30128</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>179,18</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rivers</td>
<td>07.12.2009</td>
<td>21,13293</td>
<td>55,69043</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>114,87</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rivers</td>
<td>22.02.2010</td>
<td>21,13293</td>
<td>55,69043</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>140,47</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rivers</td>
<td>17.01.2003</td>
<td>12,1429</td>
<td>54,03246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>259,00</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rivers</td>
<td>13.02.2003</td>
<td>12,1429</td>
<td>54,03246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>135,00</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern rivers</td>
<td>11.11.2009</td>
<td>22,8415</td>
<td>65,9335</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,41</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1. NO$_3^-$ concentrations and $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values of wet atmospheric deposition. Data are from Warnemünde (Germany), Sännén (Sweden), and Majstre (Sweden).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ (µmol L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warnemünde</td>
<td>21.12.2009</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnemünde</td>
<td>04.01.2010</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnemünde</td>
<td>19.01.2010</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnemünde</td>
<td>01.02.2010</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>65.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnemünde</td>
<td>19.02.2010</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warnemünde</td>
<td>22.02.2010</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sännén</td>
<td>Dec. 2009</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sännén</td>
<td>Jan. 2010</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sännén</td>
<td>Feb. 2010</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majstre</td>
<td>Dec. 2009</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Means and standard deviations of the $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values of the NO$_3^-$ sources used in the SIAR mixing model. For further details, see Material and Methods, SIAR mixing model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition</td>
<td>0.3±1.4</td>
<td>76.7±6.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Warnemünde (Germany), and Sännen and Majstre (Sweden)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ from pristine soils</td>
<td>1.3±1.4</td>
<td>1.5±0.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Deutsch et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ from agricultural runoff</td>
<td>9.9±1.5</td>
<td>4.6±1.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tile-drain outlets, Warnow River</td>
<td>Deutsch et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ from N$_2$ fixation</td>
<td>-1.0±1.0</td>
<td>-0.7±2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
<td>Carpenter et al., 1999, 1997; Bourbonnais et al., 2009, 2012; Montoya et al., 2002; Sigman et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Source attribution results: Mean, standard deviation, and minimum and maximum values for the potential contributions of four potential NO$_3^-$ sources for the areas Western Baltic Sea, Baltic Proper, Gulf of Finland, Gulf of Bothnia, southern rivers, and northern rivers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ from pristine soils</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ from agricultural runoff</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ from N$_2$ fixation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Min-Max</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Min-Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Baltic Sea</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
<td>0.0–3.5</td>
<td>7.5±5.9</td>
<td>0.0–42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Proper</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.0–0.9</td>
<td>2.1±1.9</td>
<td>0.0–14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Finland</td>
<td>0.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.0–2.0</td>
<td>2.4±2.1</td>
<td>0.0–24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Bothnia</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.0–0.5</td>
<td>99.0±0.9</td>
<td>91.7–100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern rivers</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.0–1.3</td>
<td>6.4±4.2</td>
<td>0.0–24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern rivers</td>
<td>11.8±1.5</td>
<td>6.6–23.4</td>
<td>75.3±7.9</td>
<td>33.8–92.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Comparison of $\delta^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$ values from surface water samples and $\delta^{15}$N values from sediments samples in sub-regions of the Baltic Sea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baltic southern coastal areas/ Gulf of Finland</th>
<th>Central Baltic Proper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N sediments</td>
<td>$7.3 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($%o$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$ surface water column</td>
<td>$7.9 \pm 1.8$</td>
<td>$3.6 \pm 1.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($%o$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Station Map of the Baltic Sea and percent contribution of the four nitrate sources, NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition (blue), pristine soils (red), agricultural runoff (green), and N$_2$ fixation (black), for the Western Baltic Sea, Baltic Proper, Gulf of Finland, Gulf of Bothnia, southern rivers, and northern rivers. Stations are indicated as black dots. For more details see Suppl. Table 1.
Figure 2. Surface water column NO$_3^-$ concentrations (A), $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ values (B), and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values (C) for the Baltic Sea. Stations are indicated as black dots. Additional NO$_3^-$ concentrations were obtained from the Data Assimilation System (DAS) (http://nest.su.se/das/) in winter (Nov.–Feb.) of the years 2000 to 2012.
Figure 3. $\delta^{15}N$ from sediment samples vs. $\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ from surface water samples. $\delta^{15}N$ values from sediments were taken from Voss et al. (2005). The positive slope suggests a tight coupling between $\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ in surface waters and $\delta^{15}N$ in sediment samples.
Figure 4. Station map for the comparison of isotope patterns in the water column and sediments. Gray circles are the stations referred to in Voss et al. (2005) and black crosses are those from this study. Isotope values were compared at stations with the same number.