Production regime and associated N cycling in the vicinity of Kerguelen Island, Southern Ocean
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Abstract

Although the Southern Ocean is considered a High Nutrient Low Chlorophyll (HNLC) area, massive and recurrent blooms are observed over and downstream of the Kerguelen Plateau. This mosaic of blooms is triggered by a higher iron supply resulting from the interaction between the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and the local bathymetry. Net primary production, N-uptake ($\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\text{NH}_4^+$), and nitrification rates were measured at 8 stations in austral spring 2011 (October-November) during the KEOPS 2 cruise in the Kerguelen Plateau area. Natural iron fertilization stimulated primary production, with mixed layer integrated net primary production and growth rates much higher in the fertilized areas (up to 315 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ and up to 0.31 d$^{-1}$, respectively) compared to the HNLC reference site (12 mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ and 0.06 d$^{-1}$, respectively). Primary production was mainly sustained by nitrate uptake, with f-ratios (corresponding to $\text{NO}_3^-$-uptake / ($\text{NO}_3^-$-uptake + $\text{NH}_4^+$-uptake)) lying at the upper end of the observations for the Southern Ocean (up to 0.9). We report high rates of nitrification (up to $\sim$ 3 µmol N l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, with $\sim$90% of them $<$1 µmol N l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) typically occurring below the euphotic zone, as classically observed in the global ocean. The specificity of the studied area is that at most of the stations, the euphotic layer was shallower than the mixed layer, implying that nitrifiers can efficiently compete with phytoplankton for the ammonium produced by remineralization at low light intensities. Nitrate produced by nitrification in the mixed layer below the euphotic zone is easily supplied to the euphotic zone waters above, and nitrification sustained 70 ± 30 % of the nitrate uptake in the productive area above the Kerguelen Plateau. This complicates estimations of new production as potentially exportable production. We conclude that high productivity in deep mixing system stimulates the N cycle by increasing both assimilation and regeneration.
1 Introduction

The Southern Ocean is considered a High Nutrient Low Chlorophyll (HNLC) system due to a combination of several factors, which include upwelling of nutrient-rich waters south of the Antarctic Polar Front and a combined iron and light co-limitation of phytoplankton growth (Martin, 1990; Falkowski et al., 1998; Sarmiento et al., 2004). The Southern Ocean is also recognized as a major hot spot for gas exchanges and accounts for up to 20% of the global ocean CO$_2$ uptake (Takahashi et al., 2009). Concerns regarding ongoing climate change have triggered great interest in this part of the global ocean (Le Quéré et al., 2007).

Since the Iron Hypothesis formulated in the early nineties by Martin (1990) the last two decades have witnessed an exponential interest by the scientific community on iron availability and associated biological processes. The aim was to explore the role of iron in enhancing the biological pump and the subsequent increase in the drawdown of atmospheric CO$_2$. Numerous artificial Fe-enrichment experiments (see Boyd et al., 2007) were conducted in-situ to explore the fertilization effect of iron (de Baar et al., 2005, 208; Boyd et al., 2007; Smetacek et al., 2012; Quéguiner, 2013). In parallel, several naturally Fe-enriched sites were studied (e.g., Boyd et al., 2007) to understand the mechanisms driving such iron fertilization and their impacts on biogeochemical systems without external forcing (Blain et al., 2007, 2008; Pollard et al., 2007, 2009; Bowie et al., 2011). The KEOPS project, carried out in the Kerguelen area is dedicated to the understanding of naturally iron-fertilized system functioning over a large spatial and seasonal scale. For that purpose a first cruise was completed during austral summer 2005 (KEOPS1, February-March; Blain et al., 2007) and a second cruise was completed during austral spring 2011 (KEOPS2, October-November, 2011).

Through its crustal interface the Kerguelen area (composed of Kerguelen Island, the Kerguelen Plateau and Heard Island in the south-east) enriches the surrounding waters with macronutrients and trace elements. It also strongly impacts the local oceanic physical dynamics due to its Island Mass Effect (IME; Doty and Oguri, 1956). The interactions between geostrophic water flow disturbances due to the presence of the island, the tidal activity over the plateau, and the strong winds characterizing the area, generate internal waves, eddies, jets, Ekman pumping and produces a complex hydrodynamical environment (Heywood et al., 1990; Park et al., 2008; Gille et al., 2014). These characteristics are key factors inducing the fertilization and the associated higher productivities around the
Kerguelen Island area as compared to the HNLC surrounding waters (Blain et al., 2001, 2007; Fig. 1). Moreover, a third condition impacting productivity is the proximity of the Polar Front (PF), which trajectory is steered by the bottom topography (Park et al., 2014). Such frontal system by itself offers peculiar conditions inducing significant biological activity (de Baar et al., 1995).

Here we report net primary production together with N-uptake (NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$) and nitrification rates over the Kerguelen plateau and the downstream area visited during KEOPS-2. Studies conducted during KEOPS1 showed a decoupling of the seasonal use of nitrate and silicic acid stocks over the Kerguelen Plateau (Mosseri et al., 2008), although Si:NO$_3$ assimilation ratios were close to 1, in accordance with what is expected for non-limiting iron conditions (Takeda, 1998; Hutchins and Bruland, 1998). Mosseri et al. (2008) attributed this peculiar decoupling to the capacity of diatoms to grow on ammonium that could result from high heterotrophic activity at the end of the productive season. These observations highlight the potential importance of nitrogen recycling in the surface layer. In this context, the objectives of the studies undertaken during KEOPS2 were:

1) to evaluate and compare the C- and N-assimilation rates during austral spring over the Kerguelen Plateau, in the wake of Kerguelen Island, and at a reference HNLC site,

2) to assess the importance of recycling processes for the supply of nitrogen sources for phytoplankton in the surface layer,

3) to test the intensity of nitrification and its role in the recycling of nitrogen.

2 Materials and methods

The KEOPS 2 expedition took place in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean during early spring 2011 (September to November) on board the R/V Marion Dufresne. Process studies were conducted at 8 sites (Fig. 1), including a reference station (R-2) in a HNLC off-plateau region located south-west of the Kerguelen Islands, one station (A3-2) above the Plateau, one station (E4-W) on the Plateau margin, one station (F-L) north of the Polar Front to the east of Kerguelen; further four stations (E-1, E-3, E4-E, E-5) in a complex recirculation system of a stationary meander confined by the Polar Front.

At these 8 sites we performed isotope tracer experiments to assess the following rates:
- C-fixation rates (hereafter defined as net primary production) by spiking with $^{13}$C-DIC, and subsequent measurement of the $^{13}$C incorporation into biomass.
- Ammonium and nitrate uptake rates by spiking either with $^{15}$N-$\text{NH}_4^+$ or $^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$ and subsequent measurement of the $^{15}$N incorporation into biomass.
- Nitrification rates by spiking with $^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$ and measuring the $^{14}$N isotopic dilution of $^{15}$N-nitrate resulting from the oxidation of both ammonium and nitrite by the nitrifiers. This allows us to assess the second step in the nitrification process (i.e., nitrate production).

Surface waters were sampled at 7 to 8 depths corresponding to 75%, 45%, 25%, 16%, 4%, 1%, 0.3%, 0.01% of the surface photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), using Niskin bottles mounted on a rosette fitted with a PAR sensor. For each light level, two acid-cleaned 1L polycarbonate incubation bottles were filled with seawater. The two bottles were spiked with 200 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ of $^{13}$C-$\text{HCO}_3^-$ (99 atom $^{13}$C %), corresponding to a tracer addition equivalent of $\approx 10\%$ of the surface seawater DIC concentration ($\approx 2$ mM), and providing a duplicate of net primary production. One of the bottles was spiked with $^{15}$N-$\text{NO}_3^-$ and the other with $^{15}$N-$\text{NH}_4^+$ (98 atom $^{15}$N %). The amount of spike added was calculated taking into account the original nitrate and ammonium concentrations in order to achieve concentration increments $< 10\%$. This required nutrient concentration level to be available, as obtained from the analysis of preceding CTD casts. Nitrate was measured by continuous flow analysis (Aminot and Kérouel, 2007) and ammonium via fluorometric method (Holmes et al., 1999). The continuous flow approach accuracy was assessed using reference material (Certipur, Merck). The precisions were in the range of 1-4% and the limit of detection was 0.02 $\mu$M for $\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\text{NO}_2^-$ (see also Blain et al., 2015).

Incubation bottles were then transferred into on-deck incubators, cooled with circulating surface seawater, and wrapped in neutral density screens simulating the photometric depths. Incubation experiments were stopped after 24 h. This relatively long incubation time implies that we probably underestimated uptake rates in case of (1) release of $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C to the dissolved organic pool during the course of the experiment (Bronk et al., 1994; Laws et al., 2002) and (2) ammonification, which would result in diluting the $^{15}$N-spiked ammonium pool with $^{14}$N. By applying a steady state model (Glibert et al. 1982) assuming that uptake and regeneration rates are equal for each nitrogen pool, which should be the case here given the low and relatively constant concentration of ammonium, the factor by which ammonium uptake rates are underestimated is $1.17 \pm 0.23$ (1 SD; minimum = 1.00; maximum = 2.36).
This is in the range of factors (1.5 to 2.0) suggested by Rees et al. (1995), Elskens et al. (1997) and Slawyk et al. (1997). Also, there is no significant effect on the estimation of the f-ratio (i.e., NO$_3^-$ - uptake/(NO$_3^-$ uptake + NH$_4^+$ uptake; Dugdale and Goering, 1967), as the relationship between the uncorrected and corrected f-ratio is close of 1 (0.96 ± 0.01; R$^2$ = 0.99, p value < 0.001). Given the low underestimation, uncorrected ammonium uptake rates will be presented and discussed. Moreover, we opted for long incubation times in order to enhance sensitivity for detection of nitrification, and to enable the comparison with Si uptake and dissolution experiments carried out over similar 24 h periods (Closset et al., 2014).

Both at the initial and final incubation times (initial time meaning just after spiking), subsamples for nitrate (10mL) and ammonium concentrations (2 x 20mL) were directly measured. A further additional 10 mL were sampled from the $^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ incubation bottle at initial and final time for assessment of initial and final $^{15}$N-nitrate conditions. These subsamples were filtered using 0.2 µm Acrodisc filters (Sartorius) and stored at -20°C, for later analysis of nitrate isotopic composition. The remaining seawater was filtered on pre-combusted (450°C) glass fiber filters (Sartorius, MGF, nominal porosity 0.7 µm, 25 mm diameter). Filters were placed in pre-combusted scintillation vials, dried at 50°C and stored in the dark at room temperature until further analysis at the home based laboratory.

Particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and particulate organic carbon (POC) concentrations along with their $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C abundances (atom $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N %) were analysed via an elemental analyser-isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS) using the method described in Savoye et al. (2004).

Atom $^{15}$N % for nitrate at initial and final time was measured using the denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001). Briefly, 20-30 nmol of nitrate were quantitatively converted to N$_2$O gas by denitrifying bacteria (Pseudomonas aureofaciens) that lack an active N$_2$O reductase. The $^{15}$N abundance of the N$_2$O was measured by gas chromatography/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/IRMS) with on-line cryo-trapping.

C-assimilation and ammonium uptake rates ($\mu$NH$_4^+$) were calculated from the equations of Dugdale and Goering (1967) where $\text{Atom}^{\%} \, ^{13}\text{DIC} \, \text{ti}$ and $\text{Atom}^{\%} \, ^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ ti were calculated and the other parameters were measured. For C-fixation this equation writes:

$$V = \frac{(\text{atom}^{\%} \, ^{13}\text{C}_{\text{POC, ti}})}{\text{time} \cdot (\text{atom}^{\%} \, ^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC, ti}})} \quad (1)$$
\[ V = \text{specific C assimilation rate (in d}^{-1}) \times NPP \] (2)

Where \( V \) is the specific C assimilation rate (in \( \mu \text{mol C l}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1} \)), \( NPP \) net primary production (in \( \mu \text{mol C l}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1} \)), \( \text{atom } \% ^{13}C \) the measured abundances minus the natural abundances, and \( tf \) & \( ti \) refer to the final and initial time of incubation, respectively. Except for nitrate for which initial abundances were measured, the initial abundances for both DIC and \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) were calculated by taking into account the spike addition and isotopic abundance.

The nitrate uptake rate (\( \rho \text{NO}_3^- \)) was first corrected for the isotope dilution effect during incubation and then assessed with the following equations (Nelson and Goering, 1977a, b):

\[ V \text{NO}_3^- = \frac{\text{atom\%} \, ^{15}N_{PON_{tf}}}{\text{time} \times \sqrt{\text{atom\%} \, ^{15}NO_{3u}, \text{atom\%} \, ^{15}NO_{3f}}} \] (3)

\[ \rho \text{NO}_3^- = \left[ PON_{df} \right] V \text{NO}_3^- \] (4)

The nitrification (\( \text{RNO}_3^- \)) rate was assessed using the integrated rate equation of the Blackburn model (Blackburn, 1979; Elskens et al. 2005):

\[ \text{RNO}_3^- = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\text{atom\%} \, ^{15}NO_{3u}}{\text{atom\%} \, ^{15}NO_{3f}}\right) \left[ NO_{3f} - NO_{3u} \right]}{\text{time} \times \left[ NO_{3f} \right] \left[ NO_{3u} \right]} \] (5)

The uncertainty on uptake (\( \text{NPP}, \rho \text{NH}_4 \) and \( \rho \text{NO}_3^- \)) and nitrification (\( \text{RNO}_3^- \)) rates were assessed using Monte-Carlo simulations assuming normal distributions for all variables.

The modelled nitrification rates were screened for consistency between observed evolutions of nitrate concentrations over the duration of the incubation experiment and measured nitrate uptake rates. The difference between nitrate uptake and nitrification should be compatible with the change in nitrate concentration over the duration of the incubation experiment, taking into account a 10\% precision (relative standard deviation SD) on rates and concentrations measurements. When the rates given by the model were incompatible with temporal evolution of nitrate concentration, nitrification experiments were considered as being flawed and were
left out of the dataset. We point out that measuring nitrification rates under conditions of high ambient nitrate is methodologically challenging. Indeed, because ambient nitrate is high sensitivity is poor. The latter is estimated at 0.26 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) considering how much nitrification is needed to change the isotopic composition of the nitrate pool by 2 SD. Furthermore, the measurement precision (±0.26 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\); estimated by taking into account the precision on the isotopic measurement; 2 SD) is poor. We note that such values for sensitivity and precision of nitrification rates analysis exceed most of the nitrification rates reported to date for the open ocean (Ward, 2008).

### 3 Results

Except for station (F-L) north of the Polar Front, the different water masses and associated biogeochemical properties were characteristic of the Antarctic Zone: relatively warmer waters in the upper mixed layer (defined following the density criterion of 0.02 kg m\(^{-3}\); de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004) and the remnants of winter water in the lower part of the mixed layer (temperature minimum layer; Park et al., 2008). South of the Polar Front, mixed layer macro-nutrient levels were high and their distributions were relatively uniform between stations (Blain et al., 2015; Closset et al., 2014), with an average nitrate concentration of ~25 µmol l\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2a). In agreement with a scenario of progressive nutrient consumption during meridional water transfer northward across the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (Sigman et al., 1999; Sarmiento et al., 2004), the station north of the Polar Front (F-L) had higher surface temperatures (1 to 2°C – data not shown) and lower nitrate concentrations (Fig. 2a). Both ammonium and nitrite concentrations in the mixed layer were low and remained relatively constant over time (~0.25 µmol l\(^{-1}\)), indicating a tight balance between production and consumption processes (Fig. 2b, c and Annex). There is, however, an exception for station F-L north of the Polar Front where a gradient in ammonium concentration was observed within the mixed layer. This contrasts most stations where an ammonium accumulation (up to 0.5 µmol l\(^{-1}\)) was observed below the mixed layer (Fig. 2b). An ammonium accumulation probably results from an imbalance between ammonium production (remineralization) and consumption processes (assimilation + nitrification) (Blain et al., 2015).

Both particulate organic C and N concentrations (POC and PON) increased from the HNLC reference station (R-2) to the meander stations (E stations), and then to the high productive sites, over the Kerguelen Southeast Plateau (A3-2) and at the margin (E4-W) as well as...
further north of the Polar Front (F-L) (Fig. 3). Overall, this spatial distribution of phytoplankton biomass is in agreement with satellite chl-a observations (Fig. 1). A positive relationship is apparent between biomass (µmol l⁻¹) and assimilation rates (µmol l⁻¹ d⁻¹), as well as specific uptake rate (V; d⁻¹; growth rate) both for carbon and nitrogen (Fig. 3a, b, c, d). Therefore a negative relationship holds between biomass and doubling time (Td = ln(2)/V; in days) (Fig. 3e, f). The bloom over the Kerguelen Plateau (A3-2 and E4-W) and north of the Polar Front (F-L) presented higher assimilation rates per unit of C and N biomass than the stations in the HNLC area (R-2) and the meander (E stations).

In general, vertical profiles of net primary production followed light availability in the mixed layer, with a sharp decrease below the euphotic layer depth (Zeu; 1% surface PAR depth) (Fig. 4). Primary production extended well below Zeu, although at much lower rates than at shallower depths. This was particularly obvious for the most productive stations; primary production rates were still 0.36, 0.37, and 0.12 µmol C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ at the 0.3% PAR level, as compared to rates of 11.9, 8.3, and 6.2 µmol C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the near-surface; for stations F-L, E4-W, and A3-2, respectively. At the 0.01% PAR level we observed still significant primary production at F-L, E4-W and A3-2 stations (0.12, 0.06 and 0.08 µmol C d⁻¹, respectively), while at the least productive sites primary production at 0.01% PAR was low to non detectable (Fig. 4).

Vertical profiles of NO₃⁻ assimilation rates closely paralleled the vertical evolution of primary production (Fig. 4) but the decrease in NH₄⁺ assimilation with depth was less severe than that for NO₃⁻. Nitrate was still preferentially assimilated over ammonium at most of the stations, except at the HNLC reference station (Fig. 5a). This resulted in high f-ratios i.e. NO₃⁻ uptake/(NO₃⁻ uptake + NH₄⁺ uptake) (Dugdale and Goering, 1967) which increased in the euphotic layer from ~0.4 at the less productive HNLC reference station to ~0.9 at station F-L, north of the Polar Front.

We observe significant nitrification rates in the mixed layer with maximal rates generally near the bottom of the euphotic layer (Fig; 4 and 5b). Nitrification reached up to ~ 3 µmol N l⁻¹ d⁻¹, though ~90% of the values were < 1 µmol N l⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Fig. 4).
4 Discussion

4.1 High primary production in naturally iron-fertilized blooms

Several massive blooms occur in the core of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current in the Kerguelen area (Fig. 1): (i) over the Kerguelen Southeast Plateau, remarkably constrained by the bathymetry, (ii) in a plume extending eastward through the interaction between the Polar Front jet, crossing the plateau in a narrow mid-depth channel just to the south of the Kerguelen Island, and the rise bordering the basin to the north, (iii) and to the easternmost part of the study area in a zone of retroflection of the Polar Front and of eddy mixing between Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic Surface Waters (see Park et al., 2014). This complex distribution is in agreement with an input of iron from the interaction between the iron-deficient Antarctic Circumpolar Current and the local bathymetry (Blain et al., 2007; Sokolov and Rintoul, 2007). Trace metals, and iron in particular, are required for many important cellular processes such as photosynthesis (including photo-adaptation), respiration, and nitrate reduction. Iron-enrichment experiments ranging from bottle incubations to large scale open-ocean amendment studies have demonstrated that iron supply stimulates phytoplankton growth in the Southern Ocean (Martin, 1990; de Baar et al., 1990, 2005; Boyd et al., 2007). Primary production up to 130 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) has been reported in these iron-fertilized patches (Gall et al., 2001; Gervais et al., 2002; Coale et al., 2004; Smetacek et al., 2012). Naturally iron fertilized systems usually present higher rates of primary production: e.g., up to ~275 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) over the South Georgia plateau (Korb et al., 2005); and up to ~250 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) within the fast-flowing, iron-rich jet of the Polar Front in the Atlantic sector (Jochem et al., 1995) and in the iron-fertilized plume of Crozet Islands (Seeyave et al., 2007). The production rates reported here for the fertilized areas (35 to 315 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) are in line with these previous studies (Table 1), confirming that the spring phytoplankton blooms developing over, and downstream of the Kerguelen Plateau are sustained by very high rates of net primary production. Primary production in the adjacent HNLC area (R-2; ~ 13 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) is lower and in agreement with the primary production reported for the HNLC pelagic zone in the Southern Ocean (from 4 to 33 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); Arrigo et al., 2008).

At the most productive stations of the different bloom sites, primary production is still occurring below 1% PAR attenuation, which is generally considered to represent the lower limit of the euphotic layer. Such an observation is not unusual and this has been recently
discussed by Marra et al. (2014). In the present study primary production rates generally reach zero within the confinement of the mixed layer, fluxes were therefore integrated between surface and bottom of the mixed layer. Moreover, the mixed layer is characterized by a steep density gradient at the bottom, implying uniform biogeochemical properties and limited exchanges with the underlying ocean. Primary production, therefore, affects and is affected by the biogeochemical properties of this layer. Note however than at stations E-3 and E-5 the euphotic layer extends deeper than the mixed layer, and accordingly there was still some primary production measurable below the mixed layer. Therefore, for these two stations, integration of primary production over the mixed layer underestimates primary production integrated over the euphotic layer by 40 and 20%, respectively.

Notwithstanding the complex interplay between biogeochemical and physical processes in this large-scale natural iron-fertilization experiment, we do observe a strong, relatively simple linear relationship between the amount of biomass (µmol C L\(^{-1}\) and µmol N L\(^{-1}\)), and both, assimilation rates (µmol C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) and µmol N L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and specific growth rates (d\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 3). The increase in biomass normalized primary production with increasing biomass cannot be explained by variations in macro-nutrient concentrations or temperature in the mixed layer. Indeed, the maximum difference in temperature was less than 2°C and according to the growth-temperature relationship described in Eppley (1972) such a small range of temperature cannot explain the large change in growth rate. Throughout the study period the uniformly high macro-nutrient concentrations (Blain et al., 2015; Closset et al., 2014; Fig. 2) remained at levels preventing any Si, P or N limitation of phytoplankton growth (e.g., Sarthou et al., 2005, and reference therein).

The likeliness of a larger supply of iron and trace metals over the Kerguelen Plateau and north of the Polar Front (Martin, 1990; de Baar et al., 2005; Boyd et al., 2007; Blain et al., 2007) stands out as the most important cause for the observed differences in primary production (from \(\sim\)0 to 9 µmol C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and C growth rate (from \(<\)0.1 and 0.3 d\(^{-1}\)) between stations (Fig. 3). Over the shallow plateau iron is supplied through the interaction of the bottom waters with sediments and possible igneous outcrops. By this process, subsurface water is becoming enriched in iron, which subsequently can be efficiently supplied to the mixed layer via vertical mixing (Blain et al., 2007; Park et al., 2008). At the Polar Front iron fertilization results from the previously described enrichment over the plateau and the spreading of this enrichment eastward through the additional interaction between the Polar Front jet and local
bathymetry (Mongin et al., 2008; Sanial et al., 2015). This mode of supply can be compared
with the Polar Front associated bloom in the Atlantic sector, where iron is supplied by lateral
advection from the Patagonian shelves and islands in the Scotia Ridge area (de Baar et al.,
1995; Löscher et al., 1997) or by direct lithogenic aerosol deposition (Quéguiner et al., 1997).
Similar increases in growth rate driven by the degree of iron fertilization (Fig. 3) were
reported earlier for the Southern Ocean (e.g., the growth rate increase from <0.1 to 0.5 d\(^{-1}\) in
the SOIREE fertilized patch; Gall et al., 2001).

Net primary production during summer over the Kerguelen Plateau (January-February,
KEOPS 1; Mosseri et al., 2008; Lefèvre et al., 2008), reached values close to ~80 mmol C m\(^{-2}\)
d\(^{-1}\). Such rates are lower than those for spring presented here (~200 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) (Table 1). This seasonal pattern is in agreement with satellite chl-a distribution data showing that the
bloom starts in early November, reaches its maximum level in late November-early
December, and collapses in January-February (Mongin et al., 2008). Contrasting with the
spring situation studied here (KEOPS 2) the summer situation (KEOPS 1) does not show any
relationship between biomass and primary production or growth rate (Fig. 6). In early
February (KEOPS 1), primary production and growth rate rapidly decreased in the euphotic
layer from about 14 down to 2 µmol C l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), and from ~0.3 down to 0.01 d\(^{-1}\), respectively.
Associated with these changes, the mixed layer production system evolved from being
autotrophic, characteristic for spring, to heterotrophic with respiration exceeding
photosynthesis (Lefèvre et al., 2008; Christaki et al., 2014). With nitrate and phosphate at
non-limiting concentrations, a top-down control of phytoplankton development (mostly
diatoms; George et al., 2014; Sackett et al., 2014) is the most likely cause of this change
during the decay of the bloom in late summer (KEOPS 1; Carlotti et al., 2008; Brussaard et
al., 2008; Sarthou et al., 2008). A Si limitation of diatom growth during this period cannot be
ruled out either, since measured silicic acid concentrations (~1 µmol l\(^{-1}\)) are significantly
below measured half saturation constants for Si-uptake (5-15 µmol l\(^{-1}\); Mosseri et al., 2008).
However, Fv/Fm ratios (ratio of variable fluorescence Fv to the maximum fluorescence Fm,
quantitatively related to the efficiency of photochemistry – Falkowski and Raven, 1997) over
the plateau in late summer remained high, indicating that the phytoplankton community was
relieved from nutrient stress, including iron stress (Timmermans et al., 2008).

To conclude, the resulting increase in integrated primary production (up to 8 times; Table 1)
between fertilized (Plateau and Polar Front) and unfertilized areas (HNLC reference site) is
similar to the largest increase reported for artificial iron addition experiments worldwide (Boyd et al., 2007; up to 10 times). Despite the much higher primary production for the fertilized area in comparison with the HNLC area, the export of organic matter remains relatively small during spring and summer (Savoye et al., 2008; Planchon et al., 2015). Low export efficiency suggests that the products of primary production are mainly recycled within the mixed layer by an efficient microbial loop (Sarthou et al., 2008; Obernosterer et al., 2008; Christaki et al., 2014; Malits et al., 2014). The carbon sequestration efficiency induced by iron-fertilization remains proportionally relatively low (Jacquet et al., 2008, 2015).

4.2 Upper Ocean N cycling: high f-ratios and nitrification rates in deep productive mixed layer

Integrated N-uptake rates and f-ratios lie in the upper range of values reported for the Southern Ocean (Table 1), and are indicative of a nearly completely NO$_3^-$-based primary production (Sambrotto and Mace, 2000; Savoye et al., 2004; Mulholland and Lomas, 2008; Cochlan, 2008). The f-ratio increases with measured N-uptake rates (NO$_3^-$ + NH$_4^+$), from ~0.3 in the less productive HNLC reference station to ~0.8 in the productive areas over the Kerguelen Plateau and north of the Polar Front (Fig. 5a; Table 1). Such relationship with productivity is in agreement with an enhancement of both specific and absolute NO$_3^-$ uptake rates under iron-replete conditions (Van Leeuwe et al., 1997; Timmermans et al., 1998; Franck et al., 2000; Cochlan et al., 2002; Coale et al., 2004; Lucas et al., 2007) (Fig. 3), as well as with the natural variability of the f-ratio in the Southern Ocean related to productivity (HNLC vs. productive areas; Cochlan, 2008). Below the euphotic layer, ammonium is preferentially assimilated over nitrate, with f-ratios ranging between 0.1 and 0.5 (data not shown). Assimilation of nitrate is energetically more demanding than assimilation of ammonium and should be more dependent on light. Once nitrate has been transported into the cell, it has to be further reduced into ammonium before it can be assimilated (Mulholland and Lomas, 2008). At the end of summer, the f-ratio over the plateau decreases rapidly, from 0.6 to 0.2, indicating that the system evolved from a nitrate-based to an ammonium-based primary production (Mosseri et al., 2008; P. Raimbault, KEOPS 1 database). Such an evolution is in agreement with an increase in ammonium availability in a decaying bloom (Sambrotto and Mace, 2000; Cochlan, 2008). Note that our f-ratios are most probably overestimated because they do not take into account the assimilation of dissolved organic nitrogen by phytoplankton (Bronk et al., 2007). However, we note that urea uptake, a proxy
for dissolved organic N assimilation, is usually relatively low south of the Polar Front, where dissolved inorganic N is abundant (Waldron et al., 1995; Sambrotto and Mace, 2000; Savoye et al., 2004).

Nitrification rates are high (up to 3 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), with ~90% of the cases <1 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) at low light intensities, and insignificant (below our detection limit) at high light intensities (Figs. 4 and 5b). Such rates (~90% of the cases) are in the range of maximum rates reported for the open ocean (up to 0.75 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) in the Peru Upwelling (Lipschultz et al., 1991; Ward, 2008), and above the one reported for the Southern Ocean (< 0.1 µmol l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\); Olson, 1981; Bianchi et al., 1997). The Southern Ocean nitrification data available in the literature pertain to fall and winter, seasons during which primary production and remineralization are expected to be low. Nitrification occurs in two steps, the oxidation of ammonium to nitrite and the oxidation of nitrite to nitrate, mediated by distinct groups of microorganisms (Ward, 2008). We only assessed the second step of the nitrification processes (i.e., nitrate production). However, a balance between the first and second steps is likely, given the relatively low and constant ammonium and nitrite concentrations in the mixed layer (Fig. 2) even at the seasonal scale (Fripiat et al., in revision).

The observed variation of nitrification with depth supports the fact that the process is partly light-inhibited (Fig. 5b) and this is in agreement with what we know about the distribution of nitrification in the ocean (Hagopian and Riley, 1998; Ward, 2008). High nitrification rates are usually reported near the bottom of the euphotic layer where organic matter is still abundant and light is much reduced, allowing the nitrifiers to compete with phytoplankton for the ammonium produced by remineralization (Lipschultz et al., 1991; Ward, 2008). Below the euphotic layer, organic matter degradation and organic matter export lead to ammonium release which is in fine nearly-quantitatively converted into nitrate (Ward and Zafiriou, 1988; Newel et al., 2011). As the process closing the internal N cycle (NO\(_3^-\) → PON → NO\(_3^-\)) nitrification likely tracks primary production. The unusual high rates of nitrification across the study area likely result from the particularly high rates of primary production, which are in the upper range for those observed in the Southern Ocean (section 4.1).

The particularity of our study is that a significant fraction of the mixed layer (except for stations E-3 and E-5) extends below the euphotic layer (Fig. 4). The nitrate produced by nitrification at low-light intensities can be easily transported into the euphotic layer and supports directly regenerated primary production. Such findings have profound consequences
on the concept of new production (Dudgale and Goering, 1967; Eppley and Petterson, 1979).

Under steady-state conditions and averaged over appropriate time scales, new production is
the fraction of primary production that can be exported from the euphotic layer, without
depleting the system in nutrients. New production is therefore balanced by the supply of new
nutrients into the euphotic layer. New nutrients are distantly produced, supplied into the
euphotic layer by water mixing or atmospheric deposition/exchange, and assimilated during
the vegetative season. Generally in the ocean, the euphotic layer is deeper than the mixed
layer (e.g., in the low-latitude oceans). Under such conditions, nitrate produced by
nitrification below the euphotic layer can only become available for subsequent primary
production by diapycnal mixing, through the lower boundary of the mixed layer. In this
context, nitrate has generally been considered as a new nutrient (Dugdale and Goring, 1967),
especially in the Southern Ocean where it is also considered as the dominant nitrogen source
assuming negligible N₂ fixation. Following this rationale, new production in the Southern
Ocean is usually calculated by multiplying the primary production with the f-ratio (Eppley
and Peterson, 1979; Savoye et al., 2004). However, nitrification in a deep mixed layer may
fuel an important input of nitrate in the euphotic layer with, therefore, a significant fraction of
nitrate-based primary production being actually regenerated production. To calculate correctly
the new production (f-ratio x NPP), one needs to subtract the nitrification term from the
nitrate uptake term (Yool et al., 2007). The nitrification depth profiles were well resolved at
only 5 stations, permitting nitrification rates to be integrated over the mixed layer depth
(Table 1). For the productive stations A3-2 and E4-W where low-light (< 1% PAR) prevails
over 40-70% of the mixed layer, nitrification decreased the f-ratio from ~0.8 ± 0.0 to ~0.2 ±
0.2, implying that 70 ± 30 % of the nitrate uptake was sustained by nitrification. This is in
agreement with Fripiat et al. (in revision) who simulated the change of the fixed N pools and
their isotopic composition (δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O) from October to February for the Kerguelen
Plateau and show that nitrification can account for 40 to 80% of the seasonal nitrate
assimilation. The significance of nitrification above the plateau was also inferred from the
temporal change of the nitrate isotopic composition during spring (KEOPS 2), sustaining ~50
% of the nitrate assimilation (Dehairs et al., 2015). By inspecting the short-term changes in
the meander area, the contribution of nitrification to nitrate assimilation varies significantly
between successive visits. During the first visit in the meander area (E-1), integrated
nitrification was higher than that of integrated nitrate uptake. This implies that 100% of the
nitrate assimilated by phytoplankton originates from regeneration. This contribution decreases
during the two subsequent visits implying the f-ratio to change from 0.7 to 0.3 and from 0.7 to
0.6 for E4-E and E-5, respectively. The low contribution of nitrification during the last visit is
in agreement with a mixed layer depth (MLD) being shallower than the euphotic layer depth,
and implying a vertical segregation between nitrate uptake and nitrification delimited by a
sharp density gradient defining the MLD.

High mixed layer nitrification rates in the fertilized area are also in agreement with (i) the
measured low carbon export efficiency (NPP/\(^{234}\)Th-export, with \(^{234}\)Th-export estimated at
100m; Planchon et al., 2014), and (ii) the low seasonal nitrate depletion (Mosseri et al., 2008)
despite high nitrate assimilation rates in the mixed layer. Significant nitrification can also
explain why silicic acid is depleted (with high biogenic silica production/dissolution ratio) but
not nitrate (Mosseri et al., 2008; Closs et et al., 2014). Si:NO\(_3\) assimilation ratios were close to
1 (Mosseri et al., 2008; Closs et al., 2014), in accordance with what is expected for non-
limiting iron conditions (Takeda, 1998; Hutchins and Bruland, 1998). Without nitrification,
nitrate should be depleted similar to silicic acid. The hypothesis put forward by Mosseri et al.
(2008), attributes this peculiar decoupling to the capacity of diatoms to grow on ammonium
resulting from high heterotrophic activity. Such a hypothesis appears unlikely here since for
all stations, except R-2, ammonium assimilation rates are much lower than nitrate assimilation
rates. Thus, we hypothesize that in a deep mixing system nitrifiers can efficiently compete
with phytoplankton for ammonium.

5 Conclusions

This study confirms the impact of iron-fertilization on primary production in the Southern
Ocean. Naturally fertilized areas sustain a much higher integrated primary production (up to
315 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and growth rates (up to 0.31 d\(^{-1}\)) than unfertilized HNLC areas (12 mmol
C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); 0.06 d\(^{-1}\), respectively). Primary production in the euphotic layer of the fertilized
areas is mainly sustained by nitrate uptake (f-ratio up to 0.9). In the unfertilized areas, the
contribution of ammonium to primary production increases. However, part of the nitrate
assimilation by phytoplankton is provided from mixed layer nitrification which is high (up to
~ 3 \(\mu\)mol N l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), with ~90% of them <1 \(\mu\)mol N l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and presents maximum rates at the
base of the euphotic zone and below. Such high nitrification rates can be explained by (i) a
deep mixed layer -encompassing the euphotic layer- allowing nitrate assimilation and
regeneration to take place within the same water mass and (ii) the especially high rates of
primary production making the studied Kerguelen area one of the most productive systems in
the open ocean, likely stimulating nitrogen N assimilation and regeneration. All these
conditions likely contribute to creating a favorable environment for nitrifiers.
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Table 1: Mixed layer integrated values for particulate organic C (POC), net primary production (NPP), ammonium uptake (NH$_4^+$ upt.), nitrate uptake (NO$_3^-$ upt.), ammonium + nitrate uptake (NH$_4^+$ + NO$_3^-$ upt.), nitrification (Nitr.), f-ratio, and corrected f-ratio by taking into account the contribution of nitrification (Yool et al., 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>POC</th>
<th>NPP</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$ upt.</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ upt.</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$ + NH$_4^+$ upt.</th>
<th>Nitr.*</th>
<th>f ratio</th>
<th>corr. f ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNLC reference station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-2 26 Oct. 2011</td>
<td>240.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meander station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-1 30 Oct. 2011</td>
<td>436.2</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-3 4 Nov. 2011</td>
<td>325.8</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4-E 14 Nov. 2011</td>
<td>891.0</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-5 19 Nov. 2011</td>
<td>465.4</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>529.6</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen Plateau and margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4-W 12 Nov. 2011</td>
<td>1276.8</td>
<td>252.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3-2 17 Nov. 2011</td>
<td>2146.0</td>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>1711.4</td>
<td>216.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
<td>614.7</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-L 7 Nov. 2011</td>
<td>1565.9</td>
<td>314.6</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only 5 stations had a sufficient depth resolution to allow an integration to be made

**As the f-ratio is giving the proportion of primary production sustained by new nutrients. If 100% of the nutrient are considered as regenerated nutrients (Nitrification > N uptake), then the proportion of new nutrient is zero.
Figure 1: Map of the KEOPS 2 cruise area (Indian sector of the Southern Ocean) showing the location of stations discussed in the study, courtesy from Ivia Closset. Dotted line represents the position of the Polar Front from Park et al. (2014). The inset picture gives indication about surface chl-a (color scale), surface velocity fields (arrows) and the Polar Front (PF, black line). Black dots in the inset picture represent KEOPS 2 transect stations which were not concerned by this study; stations for which we performed incubations are represented by the white circles: the bloom stations over the Kerguelen plateau (E4-W and A3-2) and North of the Polar Front (F-L); and the meander stations (E stations). The reference HNLC station (R-2) lays outside the area covered by the map (66.69°E, 50.39°S). This satellite picture corresponds to the last week of the KEOPS2 cruise. Courtesy of Y. Park and colleagues.
Figure 2: Nitrate (a), ammonium (b), and nitrite (c) concentration ($\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) vs. depth (m). See Annex for detailed [NH$_4^+$] vertical profiles and associated Zeu, MLD per station.
Figure 3: Euphotic layer (100 to 1% PAR) relationship between: (a) POC and NPP also called C-assimilation rate (µmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)); (b) PON (µmol L\(^{-1}\)) and N-assimilation rates (µmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)); (c) POC and specific C assimilation rate (growth rate; d\(^{-1}\)); (d) PON and specific N assimilation rate (growth rate; d\(^{-1}\)); (e) POC and POC doubling time; (f) PON and PON doubling time.
Figure 4: Vertical distribution of Net Primary Production NPP (left column), N-uptake (middle column), and nitrification (right column; error bar corresponding to the 5 and 95% percentiles) rates between stations (rows). Note that there is a change of scale between reference R-2 site, high productivity sites (A3-2, F-L, E4-W) and meander sites (E1, E3, E4-E, E5). The dashed line represents the euphotic layer depth (Zeu = 1% PAR attenuation) and the full line represents the mixed layer depth.
Figure 4 (suite)
Figure 5: Relationship between mixed layer depth integrated N-uptake (NH$_4^+$ + NO$_3^-$) and f-ratio (a), and between PAR (%) and nitrification rates (b).
Figure 6: Relationship in the euphotic layer (100 to 1% PAR) between biomass (POC) and net primary production (a), and specific C assimilation rates (growth rate) (b). The KEOPS2 stations are represented in blue, with the circles for the HNLC station (R-2), the normal triangles for the meander stations (E1 to E5), the inversed triangles for the plateau stations (A3-2 and E4-W), and the squares for the station north of the Polar Front (F-L). The KEOPS1 stations are in red (Raimbault, KEOPS 1 database). Inversed red triangles represent the stations over the Kerguelen Southeast Plateau, the red circles the HNLC area east of the plateau (not sampled during KEOPS2).