Response to reviewers

**Reviewer #1**

**Reviewer [R]**: This is a very exciting research. The paper is very well-written and interesting and should be published with very minor revisions. It brings a new perspective on cable bacteria and nanocables over geologic time scales. Well done.


Authors [A]: Ad. 1 – Of course, all of these paper listed in this point and referred to the biogeobattery idea before Nielsen & Risgaard-Petersen (2012) should be cited and we added them to the text and references.

[R]: 2 – The introduction implies that the results from Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen (2012) are related to microcable (or nanowires). This is not the case: it has been shown that they are related to cable bacteria, see details in Risgaard-Petersen, N., L. R. Damgaard, A. Revil, and L. P. Nielsen, Mapping electron sources and sinks in a marine biogeobattery, Journal of Geophysical Research Biogeoosciences, 119(8), 1475–1486, doi:10.1002/ 2014JG002673, 2014.

[A]: Ad. 2 – Risgaard-Petersen et al. (2014) showed that the so-called cable bacteria of the family Desulfovibulbaceae form a biogeobattery in marine sediments. Nevertheless, we did not mention this paper as well as the paper by Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen (2012) in the introduction. Instead, we mentioned nanowires and biofilm in the context of biogeo batteries in page 17712 (lines 20–21), however, this is consistent with the biogeochemistry mechanism described as a “network of microbial nanowires” by Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen (2015; wrongly cited as 2014 in our manuscript, see page 17721, lines 30–32) where authors followed the paper by Revil et al. (2010).

[R]: 3 – Figure 3 is great, but does not show the half-redox reactions. Note it is also possible that these bacteria are using pyrite to exchange electrons and support indeed the idea of a collaboration between these bacteria and the mineralogy. This idea was actually proposed in Naudet et al. (2003, 2004) much before the references you cite.

[A]: Ad. 3 – Thank you for this suggestion – we included half-reactions in figure 3 with appropriate references and also added the suggested references in text (chapter 6).


[A]: Ad. 4 – Right – it is changed.

[R]: 5 – Lovely or Lovley, please double check.

[A]: Ad. 5 – Lovley – we corrected this mistake.

**Reviewer #2**

Kedzierski and coworkers present here a very original interpretation of the trace fossil *Trichichnus*. From scanning electron microscope data including 3-D microCT data they propose that these structures are formed from *Thioploca* sheets, which upon on a later stage of development are
colonized by bacteria. These bacteria may eventually attach to framboids formed in the sheets and through nanowire – mineral interaction they may form a conductive network, similar to that proposed in the biogeobattery model. The whole idea, however is in my view is only, loosely founded in observations of structures, that might or might not be interpreted as remains of Thioploca filaments and indications of framboids that might or might not have been colonized by nanowire forming bacteria. I would prefer to see more hard that data that necessitate the author’s interpretation and exclude other possibilities. While the interpretation of the Trichichnus fossil as remains of Thioploca sheets might be a convenient alternative to the classical interpretation (that is a deep-tier burrow produced by unknown invertebrates), justified from observations of filamentous structures of a size that is comparable to Thioploca filaments, the hypothesis proposing the function of the structure as electric wires is not supported by the data. Though nothing in the data set contradicts the idea, my point is that idea is not needed to explain the Trichichnus fossil scientifically. Further, as there to my knowledge are no data demonstrating that biogeobatteries do form in empty Thioploca sheets (I’m not excluding that this might occur), the “Trichichnus-biogeobattery” hypothesis is not needed to understand better phenomena observed at present in nature. In other words: the hypothesis is superfluous and according to the principle of Ockham’s razor it should therefore not be included in a scientific theory. I therefore recommend that the authors reconsider the presentation of their data:
A) Focus on the thioploca interpretation and include eventually here eventually the work of Schulz et al., 2000: (Schulz, H. N., B. Strotmann, V. A. Gallardo, and B. B. Jorgensen (2000), Population study of the filamentous sulfur bacteria Thioploca spp. off the Bay of Concepcion, Chile, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 200, 117-126) as documentation for presence of iron sulfide encrusted filaments. When discussing the role of microorganisms in element turn over make sure that correct terms are used. Desulfittobacterium frappier is not related to Thioploca as indicated in the text. (p 17715 l. 20). Is this species at all present in Thioploca mats? – If not it, it is irrelevant in the context.
B) Tone down the Trichichnus-biogeobattery idea. It might be a (somewhat wild) perspective that can be expressed in a few lines in the end of the manuscript, but without substantial evidence it cannot be the main message of a scientific paper,

Authors
We are grateful to Referee #2 for remarks which stimulated us to clarify some of our ideas. Referee #2 claimed that the trace fossil Trichichnus “[...] might or might not be interpreted as remains of Thioploca filaments and indications of framboids that might or might not have been colonized by nanowire forming bacteria.”, and continues [...] the hypothesis proposing the function of the structure as electric wires is not supported by the data. Though nothing in the data set contradicts the idea, my point is that idea is not needed to explain the Trichichnus fossil scientifically.” In our paper there are two important points, partly time-related, listed and discussed below. Indeed, we do not need to explain Trichichnus as an electric wire. We consider this trace fossil as a remnant of the Thioploca-like bacterial mat. Such starting point entails further considerations that brought us to the (bio)geobattery idea.

1) Thioploca-like bacteria as the possible Trichichnus trace maker
None of the known organisms can produce Trichichnus-like structure visible in our CT scanner images, except for large sulphur bacteria constructing an extensive and dense mat with vertical filaments reaching the Trichichnus dimensions. Therefore, we narrowed our interpretation to Thioploca-like bacteria. At the present stage, the interpretation of Thioploca-like bacteria as the Trichichnus trace maker is based only on a rough comparison of 3D pictures. The similarity is striking and in our opinion this is the best explanation of origin of this trace fossil. As referee #2 says “[...] the interpretation of the Trichichnus fossil as remains of Thioploca sheets might be a convenient alternative to the classical interpretation [...]”.

2) transformation of Thioploca-like sheaths into Trichichnus, including their bacterial consortia and iron sulfide infilling, which could serve as „a bioelectric cable”.


**Trichichnus** is a unique structure within which several electrical processes can not only be met but also extended in time: a/ strictly biogenic; b/ combining bacteria and iron sulfides; and c/ related to iron sulfides as conductors. The filamentous forms of the family Beggiatoaceae (comprising *Thioploca* spp.) were just pointed out as the main direct competitors to cable bacteria (see Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen, 2015). In addition, *Thioploca* 's sheaths are inhabited by consortia of different bacteria (Kojima et al., 2006; Teske et al., 2009) or even protists (Buck et al., 2013). Positive hybridization with probe CoSRB385 points out that these consortia are related to members of deltaproteobacterial family Desulfobacteraceae, in competitive hybridization with almost identical (single mismatch) probe InSRB385 designed for the families Desulfobulbaceae and Desulfovibrionaceae (Teske et al., 2009). Close relationship between *Thioploca* and iron sulfides is observed already at the early stages of bacterial mat formation. Studies of *Thioploca* spp. from the Bay of Conception showed that filaments of the short-cell morphotype disappear from sheaths during autumn/winter time and these empty sheaths are stained with iron sulfide (Schulz et al. 2000; we add this paper to references). A complex electroactive biofilm, interconnecting bacterial cells and iron sulfate surfaces (see refs. in the manuscript), can form the long-distance wires reaching further than it has been documented, so far. Pyrite (iron disulfide, forming via magnetic greigite Fe₃S₄ stage) framboids, which typically infill *Trichichnus*, can extend electron transfer into later stages of diagenesis (a model described in the last chapter of the manuscript), when the metal semiconductor (pyrite wire in this case) crosses over the redox boundary, and fulfills the geobattery idea. Sulfur in iron sulfide framboids results from bacterial (typically *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*) sulfate reduction and is sometimes modified by bacterial sulfur oxidation. Referee #2 expects us to tone down the *Trichichnus*-biogeobattery idea because we do not have a substantial evidence. However, the idea is always a good starting point. There are not many or, better to say, there are practically no examples of palaeogeobatteries. In our paper, we present a potential structure from the geological past where several bioprocesses related to electron transfer in sediment could proceed. Number of evidence for such processes, both in the field and laboratory investigations, has been growing significantly for last few years. Development of new technologies, e.g. multipurpose electrodes which combine reactive measurements with electrical geophysical measurements (Zhang et al., 2010) opens new frontiers in monitoring microbial processes in sediments. We believe that our idea enhances special attention to *Thioploca* 's endobionts in respect of their electron exchange along the cell-to-mineral wires. The fossilized filamentous sulphur oxidizing bacteria operating at the oxic-anoxic interface are reported even from the Paleoproterozoic (e.g., Hiatt et al., 2015). However, we should be aware that discovery of fossilized “wires” that operated in sediment alone can be difficult. Since a “cable bacteria” trigger a rapid oxygenation of the sediment, they also allow bioturbating macrofauna to colonize the sediment in the next step and to destroy them mechanically (e.g., Malkin et al., 2014). It seems that *Trichichnus* is the only record of bi-electrical processes in the fossil state due to deep-tier occurrence of the *Thioploca* sheaths, far below the range of majority bioturbating organisms, i.e., at the depth of 20–30 cm. The sentence (p. 17715, l. 19-20) “Regarding *Thioploca* sulphur bacteria it is interesting to note that bacterial species *Desulfitobacterium frappier* is capable of both reducing [...]” is rephrased into “Interestingly, some Firmicutes bacteria species *Desulfitobacterium frappieri* is capable of both reducing [...]”. The Firmucutes bacteria species *D. frappieri* has not been recognized yet as thioploca's endobiont which mostly belongs to δ-proteobacteria. However, both of these groups represent microbes contributing to iron redox cycling.
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Abstract

The trace fossil *Trichichnus* is proposed as an indicator of fossil bioelectric bacterial activity at the interface oxic—anoxic zone of marine sediments. This fulfils the idea that such processes, commonly found in the modern realm, should be also present in the geological past. *Trichichnus* is an exceptional trace fossil due to its very thin diameter (mostly less than 1 mm) and common pyritic filling. It is ubiquitous in some fine-grained sediments, where it has been interpreted as a burrow formed deeper than any other trace fossils, below the redox boundary. *Trichichnus* formerly referred to as deeply burrowed invertebrates, has been found as remnant of a fossilized intrasediment bacterial mat that is pyritized. As visualized in 3-D by means of X-ray computed microtomography scanner, *Trichichnus* forms dense filamentous fabric, which reflects that produced by modern large, mat-forming, sulphide-oxidizing bacteria, belonging mostly to *Thioploca*-related taxa, which are able to house a complex bacterial consortium. Several stages of *Trichichnus* formation, including filamentous, bacterial mat and its pyritization, are proposed to explain an electron exchange between oxic and suboxic/anoxic layers in the sediment. Therefore, *Trichichnus* can be considered a fossilized “electric wire”.

1 Introduction

Bioelectric bacterial processes are omnipresent phenomena in the recent oxic–anoxic transition zones of marine sediments (see Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen, 2015 for review). It is intriguing that they have not so far been recognized from the geological past as it was suggested by Risgaard-Petersen et al. (2012). One reason could be that effects of such
bacterial processes, leading to oxygenation of the anoxic sediments on the sea floor, are eventually destroyed by subsequent bioturbation (Malkin et al., 2014). We propose here that the usually pyritized marine trace fossil *Trichichnus* Frey, 1970 can be interpreted as a fossil record of a complex bioelectrical microoperations-eable resulting from bacterial activities in the oxygen depleted part of marine sediments. *Trichichnus* is a branched or unbranched, straight to winding, hair-like, cylindrical structure, mostly 0.1–0.7 mm in diameter, commonly pyritized, oriented at various angles (mostly vertical) with respect to the bedding. The common pyritization is a particular feature of *Trichichnus*, which is generally absent in other trace fossils. *Trichichnus* is reported from both shallow- and deep-sea, mostly fine-grained sediments (Frey, 1970; Wetzel, 1983). It ranges from the Cambrian (Stachacz, 2012) to the Holocene (Wetzel, 1983). There are distinguished *Trichichnus appendicus* displaying thin appendages; and *T. linearis* or *T. simplex* probably differ only in the presence of a lining, which likely is diagenetic in origin (see Uchman, 1999, for review). *Trichichnus* is common in sediments in which pore waters were poorly oxygenated (McBride and Picard, 1991; Uchman, 1995) and is considered to be one of the first trace fossils recording colonization of the sea floor after improvement of oxygenation, penetrating sediments below the redox boundary, and having a connection to oxygenated waters on the sea floor (Uchman, 1995). It belongs to the ethological category chemichnia distinguished for trace fossils produced by organisms feeding by means of chemosymbiosis (Uchman, 1999).

*Trichichnus* was previously interpreted as a deep-tier burrow produced by unknown opportunistic invertebrates in poorly oxygenated sediments (McBride and Picard, 1991; Uchman, 1995). It has also been compared to an open burrow in modern deep-sea sediments more than 2 m long and no more than 0.5 mm thick (Thomson and Wilson, 1980; Weaver and Schultheiss, 1983). The sipunculid worm *Golfingia* has been considered as a possible modern example of tracemakers of very narrow and long tubes similar to *Trichichnus* (Romero-Wetzel, 1987). However, 3-D microCT scanner images of *Trichichnus* presented in this paper reflect fabric produced by modern, large, sulphur bacteria. Therefore, a new interpretation of *Trichichnus* is possible by its comparison to modern sulphur bacteria such as *Thioploca* spp. and their post-mortem history. Moreover, we propose a biogeochemical model of *Trichichnus* assisted electron transfer between different redox zones of the sediment.

2 Material and methods summary
The morphology and chemistry of *Trichichnus* from several samples, represented by Albian turbiditic marly mudstones (Silesian Nappe, Polish Carpathians); Valanginian–Hauterivian pelagic marlstones (Kościeliska Marl Formation, the Tatra Mts.) and Eocene silty shales (Magura Nappe, Polish Carpathians), were studied using a scanning electron microscope with field emission (FE-SEM, Hitachi S4700), equipped with EDS (Noran Vantage) which allows to determine of chemical compounds of the examined objects. The studies on morphology and infilling of *Trichichnus* were also supported using the polarising light microscope Nikon Eclipse Pol E-600. All of the above were carried out at the Institute of Geological Sciences of the Jagiellonian University in Kraków. Moreover, the X-ray computed microtomography (microCT) scanner (SkyScan 1173) available at the Institute of Palaeontology, University of Vienna, was used to carry out the main research on the spatial organization of the *Trichichnus* (Tab. 1).

All samples of trace fossil *Trichichnus* presented in the paper are housed at the Institute of Geological Sciences, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland, collection of Alfred Uchman and Zbigniew Sawłowicz. No permits were required for the described study, which complied with all relevant regulations.

### 3 Results

With the naked eye or under light microscope *Trichichnus* is easily distinguished in all rocks samples mainly by its different colour (Fig. 1a–d). Macroscopically *Trichichnus* is similar in all samples, and shows a light halo around the trace, except in burrows without iron mineral infillings (Fig. 1c and d). Neither the length nor width of the trace depends on the type of the host rock. The boundary between the trace fossil and the rock is usually sharp (Fig. 1e).

The SEM studies revealed that majority of the studied *Trichichnus* is filled with frambooids and frambooidal aggregates (Fig. 1e and f). Framboidal forms are composed of iron sulphides (most probably pyrite), Fe oxy/hydroxides or a mixture of both. The microcrystals composing frambooids are generally well-developed and their sizes are usually about 1–2 µm, generally dependent on the size of the framboid. Most of the microcrystals in frambooids are closely packed. SEM-EDS study shows that the colourful halo around traces does not reveal any chemical differences from the composition of the surrounding rock. It is likely that the amount of iron minerals in the halo is below the detection limit of the EDS.
method. Apart from the iron minerals, subordinate amounts of several other minerals, generally corresponding to the host rock, such as calcite, quartz and gypsum, are observed.

MicroCT scans allowed three dimensional visualization of several Trichichnus embedded in a dark mudstone from the Oligocene Menilite Formation in the Western Carpathians, Poland. The density difference between the sediment matrix and the pyritic trace fossil fillings allowed extremely accurate three dimensional visualization (Fig. 2). The results show a very dense fabric composed of Trichichnus fillings of variable size and orientation, which are only partly visible on a sample surface. Since the resolution of the 3-D model here presented is 9.97 μm, all the structures larger than 10 μm are visible and renderable. The distribution of diameters of the traces displays two major peaks: 600 μm for the thickest traces and 90 μm for the very thin ones (Fig. 2a; supplementary movie file).

The Trichichnus morphology seen in 3-D microCT scanner image (Fig. 2a—c) is similar to structures produced by filamentous bacteria (e.g., Pfeffer et al., 2012) or to large, mat-forming, filamentous sulphur bacteria, e.g., Thioploca spp. (Fossing et al., 1995 – Fig. 3aA; Schulz et al., 1996 – Fig. 8; Jørgensen and Gallardo, 1999 – Fig. 5) (see also Heutell et al., 1996). The microCT scanner images also reveal different spatial organizations, density, diameters and shapes of the Trichichnus. These correspond to different parts of vertical system of the Thioploca mat in sediment. Also the density of Trichichnus (Fig. 2a), which constitutes 3.1% of the whole scanned sediment volume, is comparable to the Thioploca mat in their upper shallow subsurface part. Other 3-D scanner images (Fig. 2b, and c) show lowered density of Trichichnus, comparable to middle and bottom parts of the Thioploca filamentous mat spatial system.

4 Discussion

Three-dimensional reconstructions of Thioploca spp. (Fossing et al., 1995; Schulz et al., 1996; Jørgensen and Gallardo, 1999) resemble Trichichnus in the 3-D scanner images. Moreover, the common pyritization of Trichichnus is may be related to sulphate-reducing bacteria, like δ-proteobacteria Desulfovibrio sp., which can co-occur with sulphur-oxidizing bacteria, such as Beggiatoa or Thioploca (e.g., Jiang et al., 2012), the life mode of various sulphur bacteria, which specialized in sulphide oxidation using electron transportation and nitrate stored in cell vacuoles as a terminal electron acceptor (Jørgensen and Gallardo, 1999; Jørgensen and...
Therefore, we consider *Thioploca*-like, mat-forming, large, sulphur bacteria and related filamentous sulphide-oxidizing bacteria (see Teske et al., 1995) supposedly sulphide-oxidizing bacteria as a tracemaker of *Trichichnus* tunnels and their spatial organization. The *Thioploca*-like mat, usually hosting other bacteria or small protists (e.g., Buck et al., 2014), combined with the post-mortem history of sulphur bacterial mat systems, are referred to the idea of bioelectrochemical systems (BESs), including the microbial fuel cells (MFCs) and biogeobatteries (e.g., Naudet et al., 2003, 2004; Naudet and Revil, 2005; Linde and Revil, 2007; Ntarlagiannis et al., 2007; Logan, 2009; Teske et al., 2009; Nielsen and Girguis, 2010; Revil et al., 2010; Borole et al., 2011; Hubbard et al., 2011; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2014; Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen, 2015 for review).

In biogeobattery systems, bacteria are interconnected cells to cells or cells to mineral surfaces via electrically conductive appendages – bacterial pili (nanowires) – making a complex electroactive network (biofilm) (Ntarlagiannis et al., 2007; Revil et al., 2010; Borole et al., 2011; Reguera et al., 2005; Gorby et al., 2006; El-Naggar et al., 2010; Nielsen et al., 2010; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2014; Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen, 2015). The electron transport in BESs may be realized in various ways, including transfer through long bacterial nanowires or along biofilm matrix (Loveley, 2008). Its magnitude and duration mostly depend on atmospheric oxygen (electron acceptor) availability that generates electrical self-potentials in the sediments between oxidized and anoxic zones (see Ntarlagiannis, 2007; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2014). Studies reporting extracellular bioelectric current concerned dissimilatory metal-reducing bacteria, such as *Geobacter sulfurreducens*, *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 and the thermophilic, fermentative bacterium *Pelotomaculum thermopropionicum* or the oxygenic phototrophic cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* (Borole et al., 2011). Natural conductive minerals (e.g., magnetite, greigite—*an intermediate stage for pyrite formation*) can facilitate electron transfer between different species of microbes. Presumably microbes should preferentially use mineral particles, discharging electrons to and accepting them from mineral surfaces, in particular for long-distance electron transfer (Kato et al., 2012). The interaction between minerals and bacteria can be quite complex as Kato et al. (2013) showed that e.g., *G. sulfurreducens* constructed two distinct types of extracellular electron transfer (EET) paths, in the presence and absence of iron-oxide minerals. It is worth noting that large scale sulphide ores, where pyrite is commonly a main component, have been regarded as geobatteries for many years and used by geophysicists for their exploration. In natural electrochemical
processes ore serves as a conductor to transfer the electrons from anoxic to oxic environments (Sato and Mooney, 1960; Naudet et al., 2003). Newer reports show that coupling of geochemical reactions between shallower and deeper layers of the sediment can be also realized by vertical centimetre-long bacterial filaments of multicellular bacteria of the family Desulfobulbaceae, the so-called cable bacteria (Pfeffer et al., 2012; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2014; Schauer et al., 2014). Nevertheless, only the giant sulphur bacteria, so-called a “macro-bacteria” are able to produce mat spatial system in the scale of Trichichnus. Macro-bacteria of Beggiatoacea family are considered to be the most direct competitors to cable bacteria representing Desulfobulbaceae family at the interface of oxic–anoxic zone of marine sediments (see Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen, 2015). We propose Thioploca spp., one of the genus of Beggiatoacea family (see Salman et al., 2011), as a tracemaker of Trichichnus.

Large communities of the sulphur bacteria Thioploca spp. produce the filaments consisting of thousands of cells that can penetrate 5–15 cm down into the sediment. These filaments (trichomes), bundled in common slime sheaths, make a dense bacterial mat, where the density decreases with depth leaving single sheaths in the deepest part of the spatial system of the mat. The Thioploca sheaths, rarely branched, extend in different directions, mostly vertically. One sheath can accommodate up to 100 filaments of 15–40 µm in diameter each, giving about 4 mm for the maximum diameter of the sheath (Fossing et al., 1995; Schulz et al., 1996). Thioploca trichomes gliding within sheaths can migrate through several redox horizons, coupling the reduction of nitrate in the overlying waters and the oxidation of dissolved sulphide in the sulphate reduction zone (Fossing et al., 1995; Heutell et al., 1996). Therefore, the sheaths are used as communication tubes and enable the sulphur bacteria to switch vertically between nitrate and sulphide (electron acceptor and donor, respectively). This sulphide oxidization mechanism leads to accumulation of elemental sulphur globules in the bacterial cells. Moreover, Thioploca trichomes may leave their sheaths and move into other sheaths, thus, one sheath can be occupied by different species (Jørgensen and Gallardo, 1999).

Empty sheaths were found even at >20 cm depth into the sediment. In contrast, the sheaths were not found shallower than a depth of a few centimetres in the sediments. Therefore, the surface and topmost part of the Thioploca mat system is formed by single bacterial filaments only (Schulz et al., 1996). However, brackish/freshwater T. ingrica may not form any mats at the sediment surface, showing biomasses peak 4–7 cm below the sediment surface (Høgslund et al., 2010).
The *Thioploca* sheaths – either filled with trichomes or abandoned – may be closely associated with other filamentous sulphate-reducing bacteria like *Desulfonema* sp. (Jørgensen and Gallardo, 1999; Teske et al., 2009). Empty sheaths were found even at >20 cm depth into the sediment. In contrast, sheaths were not found shallowly than a depth of a few centimetres in the sediments. Therefore, the surface and topmost part of the *Thioploca* mat system is formed by single bacterial filaments only (Schulz et al., 1996). *Thioploca* spp. are known from both marine and lake environments. Nevertheless, their mass occurrences are related to high organic production and oxygen depletion, e.g., the Chile and Peru offshore upwelling system (Jørgensen and Gallardo, 1999).

Pyritized organic remains are common in the sedimentary record and various mechanisms of fossil pyritization have been described (e.g., Canfield and Raiswell, 1991; Briggs et al., 1996). Apart from the similarity between the *Trichichnus* fabric and spatial organization of the *Thioploca* mat system, the common pyritization of *Trichichnus* also indicates its close relation to the sulphate-reducing bacteria. Schulz et al. (2000) observed that empty sheaths of *Thioploca* from the Bay of Conception during autumn/winter time were stained with iron sulphides. As the most abundant metal sulphide in nature, pyrite FeS$_2$ has a major influence on the biogeochemical cycles of sulphur and iron, and through its oxidation also of oxygen. There have been several important reviews on sedimentary pyrite formation (e.g., Berner, 1970; Schoonen, 2004; Rickard and Luther, 2007, and references therein), and specifically on iron sulphide frambooids (e.g., Love and Amstutz, 1966; Raiswell, 1982; Wilkin and Barnes, 1997; Sawłowicz, 2000; Ohfuji and Rickard, 2005, and references therein). It is important to stress that at low temperature pyrite growth is usually preceded by the formation of unstable iron monosulphides, such as mackinawite and greigite. The latter is the ferrimagnetic inverse thiospinel of iron. Pyrite, like other sulphide minerals, is a semiconductor determined to be “semi-metallic” but its conductivity in different admixtures varies widely between 0.02 and 562 (Ωcm)$^{-1}$ (Rimstidt and Vaughan, 2003, and references therein).

Pyrite has a great potential in both interaction with microorganisms and electron transfer as its range of morphological, chemical and electric characteristics is quite large. Both iron and sulphur, necessary for pyrite formation, are pivotal for microbes. For instance, more than 50% of reduced sulphate in sediments inhabited by *Thioploca* off the Chilean coast is accumulated in the pyrite pool (Ferdelman et al., 1997). Iron is an important carrier of electrons in
microbial ecosystems (Wielenga et al., 2001) and bacteria play an important role in different processes of iron oxidation and reduction (Weber et al., 2006). Interestingly, some Firmicutes bacteria, specifically Desulfitobacterium frappieri, are capable of both reducing Fe$^{3+}$ with H$_2$ as an electron donor and oxidizing Fe$^{2+}$ with nitrate as an electron acceptor (Shelobolina, 2003). In sedimentary environments, the major source of sulphur incorporated into iron sulphides is H$_2$S or HS$^-$ resulting from bacterial sulphate reduction. Sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) use sulphate as the terminal electron acceptor of their electron transport chain (e.g., Widdel and Hansen, 1992). SRB are usually regarded as strictly anaerobic, but recent investigations showed that SRB are both abundant and active in the oxic zones of mats (see Baumgartner et al., 2006 for review). Iron monosulphides and pyrite, including framboids, are quite common in some microbial mats (e.g., Popa et al., 2004; Huerta-Diaz et al., 2012) but their formation is complicated and difficult to study. Nevertheless, sulphur content and sulphur speciation may not correlate to microbial metabolic processes (Engel et al., 2007).

Oxidation of iron sulphides is one of the most common processes in marine sediments. For example, Passier et al. (1999) reported that in the Mediterranean sapropels the percentage of initially formed iron sulphides that were re-oxidized varied from 34 to 80%. Oxidation of iron sulphide framboids to iron (hydro)oxides is often observed in fossils (e.g., Luther et al., 1982; Sawłowicz, 2000). There is a significant difference between oxidation of pyrite and its precursors. For example, FeS, but not pyrite, can be oxidized microbially with NO$_3^-$ as an electron acceptor (Schippers and Jørgensen, 2002).

6 Conclusions and model of *Trichichnus*

*Trichichnus* is a good example of microbiological and mineralogical collaboration, propounded earlier by Naudet et al. (2003, 2004). Its role in electron transfer is still enigmatic, but several stages can be proposed (Fig. 3). It should also be noted that the relatively large length of *Trichichnus* and its continuation through different redox zones may result in its vertical internal zonation. As suggested earlier, *Trichichnus* may reflect former slime sheaths, where large sulphur bacterial trichomes, like *Thioploca* community, move up and down. There is growing evidence (e.g., Teske et al., 2009; Prokopenko et al., 2013; Buck et al., 2014 and references therein), that *Thioploca* sheaths house a complex bacterial consortium. In our
opinion it is a matter of time before additional microbes will be found associated with
*Thioploca* or their post-mortem sheaths.

(1) The earliest stage of the *Trichichnus* formation is related to a sulphuric microbial mat in
the dysoxic zone, connecting the anoxic-sulphidic substrate. Long, filamentous bacteria
structured like electric cables facilitate electron transport over centimetre distances in marine
sediment (Pfeffer et al., 2012; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2014). The filamentous multicellular,
aerobic bacteria of the family *Desulfobulbaceae* (cable bacteria), living together with
*Thioploca* in the sheath, conduct electrons through internal insulated wires from cells in the
sulphide oxidation zone to cells in the oxygen reduction zone Pfeffer et al. (2012). For
*Thioploca* itself such behaviour has not been proved yet (see Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen,
20154 for review). The electric circuit is balanced by charge transport by ions in the
surrounding environment (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 20142; Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen,
2015).

(2) The next stage is related to the iron sulphidization process. Microorganisms can influence
both the precipitation of sulphides and their morphology. Bacterial components, e.g., cell
walls, facilitate mineralization by sequestration of metallic ions from solution and provide
local sites for nucleation and growth (Beveridge and Fyfe, 1985). Iron sulphides (framboids)
can form within a matrix of bacteria and biopolymers both during the life of bacterial
consortium (see MacLean et al., 2008) and after their death. It should be noted that the
process of sheath infilling varies within the system. For example, larger sheaths may be
abandoned by trichomes of *Thioploca* faster, compared to smaller ones (Schulz et al., 1996).
The biofilm in a proto-*Trichichnus* capsule seems to be an ideal place for the formation of
iron sulphides. Formation of pyrite, probably formerly ferromagnetic greigite, depends on
availability of soluble Fe(II) from a surrounding sediment and sulphides (H₂S or
polysulphides). Crystals in framboids are generally closely packed, and framboids themselves
often too, but when it is not the case, the bacterial pili are believed to connect dispersed
conductive minerals (Revil et al., 2010). Cell-to-mineral wires support the thesis that the
metallic conductor-like pyrite occurring in sediment might be responsible for the electron
flow (see also Nielsen et al., 2010). Growth of a biofilm – developed in the mucus of the large
sulphur bacteria sheath – on pyrite can enhance conductivity supporting the biogeobattery
idea. The oldest microbial communities colonising sedimentary pyrite grains were found in a
~3.4 billion-year-old sandstone from Australia (Wacey et al., 2011).
The process of electron transfer can continue also after death termination of microbial consortia. Decomposition of organic matter inside the channel creates the local reducing microenvironment, which promotes pyrite formation (e.g., Berner, 1980; Raiswell, 1982), even when the surrounding sediment is not fully anoxic. It is tempting to propose that perhaps some iron sulphide forms resulted from a replacement of sulphur globules (stored intra- and extracellularly by chemolithoautotrophic bacteria, e.g., *Thioploca* or by purple and green sulphur bacteria, Oschmann, 2000), either inside sulphur bacteria cells or after their lysing. Pyrite might form the sulphur globules stored intra- and extracellularly by chemolithoautotrophic bacteria (e.g., *Thioploca*) or by purple and green sulphur bacteria (Oschmann, 2000). Spheroidal crystalline aggregates representing early pyrite and subsequently replaced by iron hydroxides were found in Silurian cyanobacterial filaments (Tomescu et al., 2006). Formation of pyrite framboids via replacement of sulphur grains was also shown experimentally by Křibek (1975).

A halo observed around *Trichichnus* (Fig. 1c and d) results from oxidation of pyrite or former iron mono-sulphide infilling the trace fossil. The oxidation stage can take place both during the earliest and later stages of diagenesis (the latter is out of the scope of this paper). Re-oxidation processes of pyrite framboids are common in tropical upwelling area, caused by bioturbation and possible contributions from sulphide-oxidizing bacteria (Diaz et al., 2012 and references therein). It would additionally expand, but also complicate, the process of electron transfer in sediments.

Summarizing, we believe that *Trichichnus* is a good example of fossilized bacterial bio-electrical wire a potential ancient place where several bioprocesses related to electron transfer in sediment could proceed. A number of evidences for such processes, both in the field and laboratory investigations, has been growing significantly for last few years.

Development of new technologies, e.g., multipurpose electrodes which combine reactive measurements with electrical geophysical measurements (Zhang et al., 2010), opens new frontiers in monitoring microbial processes in sediments. We believe that our idea enhances special attention to *Thioploca* endobionts in respect of their electron exchange along the cell-to-mineral wires. Such interpretation greatly shows improves our knowledge about how this phenomenon has could have been widespread in sedimentary environments and through the geological time.
**Supplementary information** Video captured from an X-ray CT microscanner provides dimensional visualization of the dense *Trichichnus* fabric comparable with the upper part of the bacterial filamentous *Thiopteca*-like mat system.
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Tab. 1. Scanner setting data used for X-ray computed microtomography (microCT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scanner</td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera Pixel Size</td>
<td>50.0 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Voltage</td>
<td>130 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Current</td>
<td>61 μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera binning</td>
<td>1 x 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>Al 1mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>650 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation step</td>
<td>0.15°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame averaging</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360° Rotation</td>
<td>On</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan Duration</td>
<td>14h 22m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel Size</td>
<td>9.97646 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. **Macro- and microimages of *Trichichnus* in the rock fractures and slabs** (a–c - Albian turbidic marly mudstones, Kozy, Polish Carpathians; d – Valanginian–Hauterivian pelagic marlstones. Dolina Kościeliska valley, Tatra Mountains, Poland, e, f – Eocene silty shales, Zbludza, Polish Carpathians). a, b, subhorizontal network of *Trichichnus*. c, d, halo (diffusion zone of Fe (oxy)hydroxides) around horizontal (c) and vertical (d) *Trichichnus*. e, infilling of a fragment of *Trichichnus* with abundant pyrite framboids and Fe (oxy)hydroxides (SEM BSE). f, magnification of image e. Specimen numbers: a – INGUJ147P70, b – INGUJ147P71, c – INGUJ147P72, d – INGUJ155P20, e, f – INGUJ144P159.
Fig. 2. X-ray computed microtomography scanner images of different parts of
*Trichichnus* spatial complex, Oligocene, Skole Nappe Polish Flysch Carpathians. a, dense
*Trichichnus* fabric, similar to the upper part of the *Thioploca* mat system. b, *Trichichnus*
fabric comparable with the middle part of the *Thioploca* mat system. c, *Trichichnus* fabric
depicting the lower part of the *Thioploca* mat system.
Fig. 3. **Model of the origin of Trichichnus.** The ecology of *Thioploca* mat system and their sulphide oxidation chemistry (**left**). The *Thioploca* sheaths system below the diffusive boundary layer forms a construction which may be inhabited by small bacteria making the conductive nanowire-pyrite framboids biofilm. This triggers the electric self-potential between the sulphidic zone and mixed layer, thus, the electron flow (**right**). The different part of the *Trichichnus* compared with the different part of the former *Thioploca* mat system are shown for the comparison (**right**). *Thioploca* spp. nitrogen, carbon and sulphur metabolism reactions taken from Teske and Nelson (2006); half-reactions on *Trichichnus* adapted from Nielsen and Risgaard-Petersen, 2015.