We thank anonymous referee #3 for the careful reading of the revised manuscript. All of the Reviewer’s suggestions have been taken into account and the resulting modifications appear in a revised version of the manuscript following this answer. R3-Cx: Referee comment, R3-Rx: authors response

In the revised manuscript, the authors have significantly addressed many of the substantive issues raised in the three reviews. Most importantly they have withdrawn some of the emphasis on strong flux attenuation, since, as the referees pointed out, there is not sufficient evidence for this. They have also omitted some of the inappropriate comparisons. There is also now an appropriate emphasis on reporting the seasonal cycle as requested by the reviewers.

However, there is now inconsistency within the text on how the “flux attenuation” issue is dealt with and there remains text that does not sufficiently take account of the unanimous views of the referees and still puts an unjustified case for strong flux attenuation.

We thank Reviewer #3 for acknowledging the extensive modifications made to the manuscript. According to the Reviewer’s additional suggestions, we have modified further our discussion of strong flux attenuation.

**STRONG FLUX ATTENUATION considerations**

**R3-C1:** In the paragraph of lines 452 – 462 commencing “The annual POC export of...” the first comparison is made with the KEOPS 1 Blain et al. (2007) estimate. It would be more relevant to first compare with the other KEOPS 2 results and move the KEOPS 1 comparison to later in this paragraph.

**R3-R1:** We initially decided to start with a comparison of the annual estimates before comparing the short-term estimates of KEOPS2 and KEOPS1. However following the reviewer’s suggestion we now start with a comparison of the short term estimates before the annual estimate derived from KEOPS1.

**R3-C2:** In lines 454 – 457 they state that: “On shorter time scales, the POC flux recorded in the moored sediment trap represents only a small fraction (3-8%) of the POC flux at the base of the mixed layer measured by the different methods during KEOPS 2 (Table 3).” In fact these other KEOPS 2 methods measure short sampling periods/ deployments and not the annual total. It would be more informative, here, to compare the individual techniques, for example the Jouandet et al (2014) values quoted in table 3 are actually very similar to the monthly values of the authors’ results given in table 1. It would much improve this section if the different methods were compared, in turn.
R3-R2: Here we indeed compare spring and summer sediment trap fluxes with short-term estimates performed at 200 m from individual techniques in spring during KEOPS2 and summer during KEOPS1. We hope the new formulation of this paragraph is clearer. Moreover, the similarity between and the Jouandet et al. (2014) values at 350 m and the sediment trap values is discussed in the next paragraph lines 453-456: "We note that low carbon export fluxes around 300 m have been previously reported on the Kerguelen plateau. In spring 2011, UVP derived estimates of POC export at 350 m equals 0.1 to 0.3 mmol m^-2 d^-1 (Table 3), a value close to our reported value of 0.15 mmol m^-2 d^-1." Although a discussion of each technique is out of the scope of this paper, we added a brief description of the techniques.

MS change lines 443-466: “We first compared the sediment trap export fluxes with short-term estimates at 200 m in spring (KEOPS2) and summer (KEOPS1). The POC flux recorded in the moored sediment trap represents only a small fraction (3-8%) of the POC flux measured at the base of the winter mixed layer (200 m) by different approaches during the spring KEOPS2 cruise (Table 3). The same conclusion can be drawn when considering the comparison with different estimates made at the end of summer during KEOPS1. Moreover, the annual POC export of ~0.1 mol m^-2 y^-1 at 289 m (Table 1) represents only 2% of the indirect estimate of POC export (5.1 mol m^-2 y^-1) at the base of the WML (200 m) on the Kerguelen Plateau based on a seasonal DIC budget (Blain et al., 2007). The short term estimates are derived from a diverse range of methods. The ^234^Th proxy is based on the ^238^U due to its adsorption on particles, and it subsequent conversion to carbon fluxes using measured POC.^234^Th ratios. (Coale and Bruland, 1985; Buesseler et al., 2006; Savoye et al., 2006). The UVP provides high resolution images of particles (>52 µm) and the particle size distribution is then converted to carbon fluxes using an empirical relationship (Guidi et al., 2008; Picheral et al., 2010). Drifting gel traps allows the collection, preservation and imaging of sinking particles (>71 µm) that are converted to carbon fluxes using empirical volume:carbon relationship (Ebersbach and Trull, 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2011; Laurenceau-Cornec et al., 2015). Finally, drifting sediment traps are conceptually similar to moored sediment traps but avoid most of the hydrodynamic biases associated with this technique (Buesseler et al., 2007a). The diversity of the methods and differences in depth where the POC flux was estimated render quantitative comparisons challenging. Nevertheless, POC fluxes measured at 289 m with the moored sediment trap are considerably lower than other estimates made at 200 m. This result indicates either extremely rapid attenuation of flux between 200 m and 300 m or significant sampling bias by the sediment trap.”

R3-C3: Lines 475-476. “the scenario of strong flux attenuation...” is not well supported and this sentence should be deleted.

R3-R3: We reformulated this sentence and deleted “the scenario of strong flux attenuation...”

MS change lines 478 - 479: “Our calculations are thus consistent with emerging observations of significant POC flux attenuation in the Southern Ocean.”

R3-C4: In lines 493 – 495 they state “Therefore the low fluxes observed likely result from a combination of collection bias (hydrodynamics and swimmers) and strong attenuation of the POC flux between the base of the WML and 300 m.” This should be re-written to suggest that it is currently not possible to isolate a specific explanation for low flux values.
R3-R4: We reformulated this sentence.

**MS change lines 498 - 501:** “Therefore the low fluxes observed likely result from a combined effect of collection bias (hydrodynamics and swimmers) and attenuation between the base of the WML and 300 m. However, it is not possible with the current dataset to isolate a specific explanation for low flux values”

R3-C5: Lines 496-497: It is incorrect to say that the moored trap had a “Low collection efficiency” with reference to the $^{234}$Th - derived flux estimates. Simply it should be stated that the methods yielded different results and this would be better discussed in the paragraph above in lines 452 – 462.

R3-R5: We deleted this sentence. Lines 487 - 501 are dedicated to the discussion of the lower fluxes usually recorded by sediment traps compared to $^{234}$Th - derived fluxes.

R3-C6: Lines 497 – 499. “the numerous lines of evidence discussed above appear to converge on a scenario of rapid flux attenuation.”. This is not justified and should be deleted.

R3-R6: The paragraph lines 464 – 477 demonstrates from two independent measurements that attenuation coefficients (b-values) are high over the central Kerguelen Plateau and falls in the range of previously reported values for the Southern Ocean. However we followed the Reviewer’s suggestion and deleted this sentence.

R3-C7: Lines 656 – 661 in the “Conclusions” will also need to be re-written to downplay the “strong flux attenuation” emphasis.

R3-R7: We have rewritten the last sentences of this paragraph and deleted the “strong flux attenuation”.

**MS change (Conclusions):** “We report the seasonal dynamics of particulate organic carbon (POC) export under the winter mixed layer (289 m) of the naturally iron fertilized and productive central Kerguelen Plateau. Annual POC flux was remarkably low (98 mmol m$^{-2}$) and occurred primarily during two episodic (<14 days) flux events exported with a 1 month lag following two surface chlorophyll a peaks. Analysis of the hydrological conditions and a comparison with different estimates of POC fluxes in spring and summer at the same station suggests that the sediment trap was subject to possible hydrodynamic and biological biases leading to under collection of particle flux. Nevertheless the low POC export was close to other estimates of deep (>300 m) POC export at the same station and is consistent with high attenuation coefficients reported from other methods. We invoke heterotrophic microbrial activity and mesozooplankton and mesopelagic fish activity as possible explanations for efficient carbon flux attenuation and/or transfer to higher trophic levels which results in a High Biomass, Low Export environment.”

R3-C8: Section 4.4 “Rapid flux attenuation over the Kerguelen Plateau” should be changed to reflect a less definitive case to e.g. “Evidence for flux attenuation…”

R3-R8: We changed the title of this section to “Evidence for significant flux attenuation over the Kerguelen Plateau”.
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Finally, in the abstract (lines 40) “the large reduction” should be replaced with words to the effect of “a significant reduction” to achieve the appropriate nuance and line 31 “collection of only 15-30%...” should be deleted.

We changed “large reduction” to “significant reduction”. We also deleted the comparison with $^{234}\text{Th}$ fluxes in the abstract.

SECTION 4.3 Seasonal Dynamic of POC export.

An alternative explanation is that there is a later event from which the flux originates that was not expressed in surface chlorophyll (see below). This should be discussed with reference to the Rynearson et al (2013) study.

We already mentioned this potential explanation in the original version of the manuscript: lines 429 – 432: “The temporal lag of one month measured in the present study suggest either slow sinking rates (<5 m d$^{-1}$) characteristic of single phytoplanktonic cells or faster sinking particles that do not originate from the peaks of surface production.” and lines 441 – 443: “The hypothesis of a mass production of nutrient-limited resting spores post-bloom with high settling rates explains the temporal patterns of export we observed (Rembauville et al., 2014)”. To make this clearer we have modified that original sentence too:

MS change lines 406 – 409: “The temporal lag of one month measured in the present study suggest either slow sinking rates (<5 m d$^{-1}$) characteristic of single phytoplanktonic cells or faster sinking particles that originate from sub-surface production peaks undetected by satellite.”

It is generally accepted that satellite detection depth is 20-50 m (Gordon and McCluney, 1975)…”The satellite detection depth is, in fact, not more than 20 m in these circumstances. See, for example, Fig. 3 of [Smith R.C. (1981) “Remote sensing and depth distribution of ocean chlorophyll” Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 5, 359-361]. Since the minimum surface chlorophyll indicated in Fig. 7 appears to exceed 0.2 micro-g/L, then 20 m would be a maximum. A phytoplankton biomass would therefore only have to be deeper than 20 m to be undetected by satellite. This is significant, since the resting spores collected in traps in the North Atlantic by Rynearson et al (2013) were not part of surface samples. This paper should also be cited here in a modified discussion.

We added the reference for the satellite detection depth and changed the corresponding sentence. The resting spore formation and the associated driving factors are fully discussed is the “Part 2” paper, including the Rynearson et al. (2013) reference. We choose not to discuss these points in detail in this “Part 1”. Furthermore, it is entirely possible in the Rynearson dataset that the *Chaetoceros* aff. *diadema* vegetative cells were not detected in the uppermost surface samples because resting spore formation and export had already occurred at the time of sampling, as in fact suggested by their dominance as settling particles several hundred metres deep. *Chaetoceros Hyalochaete* populations are certainly present at 10 m from station A3 on the Kerguelen plateau (Armand et al., 2008).

MS change lines 409 - 412: “It is generally accepted that satellite detection depth is 20-50 m (Gordon and McCluney, 1975), and can be less than 20 m when surface chlorophyll a exceed
0.2 µg L⁻¹ (Smith, 1981), which prevents the detection of deep phytoplanktonic biomass structures (Villareal et al., 2011)."
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Abstract

A sediment trap moored in the naturally iron-fertilized Kerguelen plateau in the Southern Ocean provided an annual record of particulate organic carbon and nitrogen fluxes at 289 m. At the trap deployment depth current speeds were typically low (~10 cm s\(^{-1}\)) and primarily tidal-driven (M\textsubscript{2} tidal component). Although advection was weak, the sediment trap may have been subject to hydrodynamical and biological (swimmer feeding on trap funnel) biases. that could explain the collection of only 15-30\% of the \(^{234}\)Th derived flux. Particulate organic carbon (POC) flux was generally low (<0.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) although two episodic export events (<14 days) of 1.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) were recorded. These increases in flux occurred with a 1-month time lag from peaks in surface chlorophyll and together accounted for approximately 40\% of the annual flux budget. The annual POC flux of 98.2±4.4 mmol m\(^{-2}\) y\(^{-1}\) was low
considering the shallow deployment depth, but comparable to independent estimates made at similar depths (~300 m) over the plateau and to deep-ocean (>2 km) fluxes measured from similarly productive iron-fertilized blooms. Although undertrapping cannot be excluded in shallow moored sediment trap deployment, we hypothesize that grazing pressure, including mesozooplankton and mesopelagic fishes, may be responsible for the large significant reduction in POC flux beneath the base of the winter mixed layer. The importance of plankton community structure in controlling the temporal variability of export fluxes is addressed in a companion paper.
1 Introduction

The biological carbon pump is defined as the vertical transfer of biologically fixed carbon in the ocean surface to the ocean interior (Volk and Hoffert, 1985). Global estimates of Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) export cluster between 5 Pg C y\(^{-1}\) (Moore et al., 2004; Lutz et al., 2007; Honjo et al., 2008; Henson et al., 2011; Lima et al., 2014) to 10 Pg C y\(^{-1}\) (Laws et al., 2000; Schlitzer, 2004; Gehlen et al., 2006; Boyd and Trull, 2007; Dunne et al., 2007; Laws et al., 2011). The physical transfer of dissolved inorganic carbon to the ocean interior during subduction of water masses is two orders of magnitude higher (> 250 Pg C y\(^{-1}\), Karleskind et al., 2011; Levy et al., 2013). The global ocean represents a net annual CO\(_2\) sink of 2.5 Pg C y\(^{-1}\) (Le Quéré et al., 2013), slowing down the increase of the atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration resulting from anthropogenic activity. Although the Southern Ocean (south of 44°S) plays a limited role in the net air-sea CO\(_2\) flux (Lenton et al., 2013), it is a key component of the global anthropogenic CO\(_2\) sink representing one third the global oceanic sink (~1 Pg C y\(^{-1}\)) while covering 20 % of its surface (Gruber et al., 2009). The solubility pump is considered as the major component of this sink, whereas the biological carbon pump is considered to be inefficient in the Southern Ocean and sensitive to iron supply.

Following “the iron hypothesis” in the nineties (Martin 1990), iron limitation of high nutrient low chlorophyll (HNLC) areas, including the Southern Ocean, has been tested in bottle experiments (de Baar et al., 1990) and through in situ artificial fertilization experiments (de Baar et al., 2005; Boyd et al., 2007). Results from these experiments are numerous and essentially highlight that iron limits macronutrient (N, P, Si) utilization (Boyd et al., 2005; Hiscock and Millero, 2005) and primary production (Landry et al., 2000; Gall et al., 2001; Coale et al., 2004) in these vast HNLC areas of the Southern Ocean. Due to a large macronutrient repository the biological carbon pump in the Southern Ocean is considered to be inefficient in its capacity to transfer atmospheric carbon to the ocean interior (Sarmiento...
In the context of micronutrient limitation, sites enriched in iron by natural processes have also been studied and include the Kerguelen islands (Blain et al., 2001, 2007), the Crozet islands (Pollard et al., 2007), the Scotia Sea (Tarling et al., 2012), and the Drake Passage (Measures et al., 2013). Enhanced primary producer biomass in association with natural iron supply (Korb and Whitehouse, 2004; Seeyave et al., 2007; Lefèvre et al., 2008) strongly support trace-metal limitation. Furthermore, indirect seasonal budgets constructed from studies of naturally fertilized systems have been capable of demonstrating an increase in the strength of the biological carbon pump (Blain et al., 2007; Pollard et al., 2009), although strong discrepancies in carbon to iron sequestration efficiency exist between systems. To date, direct measurements of POC export from naturally fertilized blooms in the Southern Ocean are limited to the Crozet Plateau (Pollard et al., 2009; Salter et al., 2012). The HNLC Southern Ocean represents a region where changes in the strength of the biological pump may have played a role in the glacial-interglacial CO$_2$ cycles (Bopp et al., 2003; Kohfeld et al., 2005) and have some significance to future anthropogenic CO$_2$ uptake (Sarmiento and Le Quéré, 1996). In this context, additional studies that directly measure POC export from naturally iron-fertilized blooms in the Southern Ocean are necessary.

POC export can be estimated at short timescales (days to weeks) using the $^{234}$Th proxy (Coale and Bruland, 1985; Buesseler et al., 2006; Savoye et al., 2006), by optical imaging of particles (e.g. Picheral et al., 2010) or by directly collecting particles into surface-tethered sediment traps (e.g. Maiti et al., 2013 for a compilation in the Southern Ocean) or neutrally buoyant sediment traps (e.g. Salter et al., 2007; Rynearson et al., 2013). Temporal variability of flux in the Southern Ocean precludes extrapolation of discrete measurements to estimate seasonal or annual carbon export. However seasonal export of POC can be derived from biogeochemical budgets (Blain et al., 2007; Pollard et al., 2009) or be directly measured by moored sediment traps (e.g. Salter et al., 2012). Biogeochemical budgets are capable of
integrating over large spatial and temporal scales but may incorporate certain assumptions and lack information about underlying mechanisms. Direct measurement by sediment traps rely on fewer assumptions but their performance is strongly related to prevailing hydrodynamic conditions (Buesseler et al., 2007a), which can be particularly problematic in the surface ocean. Measuring the hydrological conditions characterizing mooring deployments is therefore crucial to address issues surrounding the efficiency of sediment trap collection.

The ecological processes responsible for carbon export remain poorly characterized (Boyd and Trull, 2007). There is a strong requirement for quantitative analysis of the biological components of export to elucidate patterns in carbon and biomineral fluxes to the ocean interior (Francois et al., 2002; Salter et al., 2010; Henson et al., 2012; Le Moigne et al., 2012; Lima et al., 2014). Long-term deployment of moored sediment traps in areas of naturally iron fertilized production, where significant macro- and micro-nutrient gradients seasonally structure plankton communities, can help to establish links between ecological succession and carbon export. For example, sediment traps around the Crozet Plateau (Pollard et al., 2009) identified the significance of Eucampia antarctica var. antarctica resting spores for carbon transfer to the deep ocean, large empty diatom frustules for Si:C export stoichiometry (Salter et al., 2012), and heterotrophic calcifiers for the carbonate counter pump (Salter et al., 2014).

The increase in primary production resulting from natural fertilization might not necessarily lead to significant increases in carbon export. The concept of “High Biomass, Low Export” (HBLE) environments was first introduced in the Southern Ocean (Lam and Bishop, 2007). This concept is partly based on the idea that a strong grazer response to phytoplankton biomass leads to major fragmentation and remineralization of particles in the twilight zone, shallowing the remineralization horizon (Coale et al., 2004). In these environments, the efficient utilization and reprocessing of exported carbon by zooplankton leads to fecal pellet
dominated, low POC fluxes (Ebersbach et al., 2011). A synthesis of short-term sediment trap deployments, $^{234}$Th estimates of upper ocean POC export and in situ primary production measurements in the Southern Ocean by Maiti et al. (2013) has highlighted the inverse relationship between primary production and export efficiency, verifying the HBLE status of many productive areas in the Southern Ocean. The iron fertilized bloom above the Kerguelen Plateau exhibits strong remineralization in the mixed layer compared to the mesopelagic, (Jacquet et al., 2008) and high bacterial carbon demand (Obernosterer et al., 2008), features consistent with a HBLE regime. Moreover, an inverse relationship between export efficiency and zooplankton biomass in the Kerguelen Plateau region support the key role of grazers in the HBLE scenario (Laurenceau-Cornec et al., 2015). Efficient grazer responses to phytoplankton biomass following artificial iron fertilization of HNLC regions also demonstrate increases in net community production that are not translated to an increase in export fluxes (Lam and Bishop, 2007; Tsuda et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2013; Batten and Gower, 2014).

POC flux attenuation with depth results from processes occurring in the euphotic layer (setting the particle export efficiency, Henson et al., 2012) and processes occurring in the twilight zone between the euphotic layer and ~1000 m (Buesseler and Boyd, 2009), setting the transfer efficiency (Francois et al., 2002). These processes are mainly biologically-driven (Boyd and Trull, 2007) and involve a large diversity of ecosystem components from bacteria (Rivkin and Legendre, 2001; Giering et al., 2014), protozooplankton (Barbeau et al., 1996), mesozooplankton (Dilling and Alldredge, 2000; Smetacek et al., 2004) and mesopelagic fishes (Davison et al., 2013; Hudson et al., 2014). The net effect of these processes is summarized in a power-law formulation of POC flux attenuation with depth proposed by Martin et al. (1987) that is still commonly used in data and model applications. The b-exponent in this formulation has been reported to range from 0.4 to 1.7 (Buesseler et al.,
2007b; Lampitt et al., 2008; Henson et al., 2012) in the global Ocean. Nevertheless, a change in the upper mesopelagic community structure (Lam et al., 2011), and more precisely an increasing contribution of mesozooplankton (Lam and Bishop, 2007; Ebersbach et al., 2011) could lead to a shift toward higher POC flux attenuation with depth.

In this paper, we provide the first annual description of the POC and PON export fluxes below the mixed layer within the naturally fertilized bloom of the Kerguelen Plateau and we discuss the reliability of these measurements considering the hydrological and biological context. A companion paper (Rembauville et al., 2014) addresses our final aim: to identify the ecological vectors that explain the intensity and the stoichiometry of the fluxes.
2 Material and Methods

2.1 Trap deployment and mooring design

As part of the KEOPS2 multidisciplinary program, a mooring line was deployed at station A3 (50°38.3 S – 72°02.6 E) in the Permanently Open Ocean Zone (POOZ), south of the Polar Front (PF) (Fig. 1). The mooring line was instrumented with a Technicap PPS3 (0.125 m² collecting area, 4.75 aspect ratio) sediment trap and inclinometer (NKE S2IP) at a depth of 289 m (seafloor depth 527 m) (Fig. 2). A conductivity-temperature-pressure (CTD) sensor (Seabird SBE 37) and a current meter (Nortek Aquadopp) were placed on the mooring line 30 m beneath the sediment trap (319 m). The sediment trap collection period started on 21 October 2011 until 7 September 2012. The sediment trap was composed of twelve rotating sample cups (250 mL) filled with a 5 % formalin hypersaline solution buffered with sodium tetraborate at pH = 8. Rotation of the carousel was programmed to sample short intervals (10-14 days) between October and February, to optimize the temporal resolution of export from the bloom, and long intervals (99 days) between February and September. All instruments had a 1 hour recording interval. The current meter failed on the 7th April 2012.

2.3 Surface chlorophyll data

The MODIS AQUA level 3 (4 km grid resolution, 8 day averages) surface chlorophyll a product was extracted from the NASA website (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/) for sediment trap deployment period. An annual climatology of surface chlorophyll a concentration, based on available satellite products (1997-2013), was calculated from the multisatellite Globcolour product. The Globcolour level 3, (case 1 waters, 4.63 km resolution, 8 day averages) product merging Seawifs, MODIS and MERIS data with GSM merging model (Maritorena and Siegel, 2005) was accessed via http://www.globcolour.info. Surface chlorophyll a concentrations derived from Globcolour (climatology) and MODIS data
(deployment year) were averaged across a 100 km radius centered on the sediment trap deployment location (Fig. 1).

### 2.3 Time series analyses of hydrological parameters

Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis was performed on the annual time series data obtained from the mooring, depth and potential density anomaly (\(\sigma_\theta\)) that were derived from the CTD sensor. Significant peaks in the power spectrum were identified by comparison to red noise, a theoretical signal in which the relative variance decreases with increasing frequency (Gilman et al., 1963). The red noise signal was considered as a null hypothesis and its power spectrum was scaled to the 99\(^{th}\) percentile of \(\chi^2\) probability. Power peaks higher than 99 \% red noise values were considered to be statistically significant (Schulz and Mudelsee, 2002), enabling the identification of periods of major variability in time series. In order to identify the water masses surrounding the trap, temperature and salinity recorded by the mooring CTD were placed in context to previous CTD casts conducted at A3 during KEOPS1 (39 profiles, 23 January 2005 - 13 February 2005) and KEOPS2 (12 profiles, from 15 - 17 November).

### 2.4 Sediment trap material analyses

Upon recovery of the sediment trap the pH of the supernatant was measured in every cup and 1 mL of 37 \% formalin buffered with sodium tetraborate (pH=8) was added. After allowing the particulate material to settle to the base of the sample cup (~24 hrs), 60 mL of supernatant was removed with a syringe and stored separately. The samples were transported in the dark at 4°C (JGOFS Sediment Trap Methods, 1994) and stored under identical conditions upon arrival at the laboratory until further analysis. Nitrate, nitrite, ammonium and phosphate in the supernatant were analysed colorimetrically (Aminot and Kerouel, 2007) to check for possible leaching of dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus from the particulate phase.
Samples were first transferred to a petri dish and examined under stereomicroscope (Leica MZ8, x10 to x50 magnification) to determine and isolate swimmers (i.e. organisms that actively entered the cup). All swimmers were carefully sorted, cleaned (rinsed with preservative solution), enumerated and removed from the cups for further taxonomic identification. The classification of organisms as swimmers remains subjective and there is no standardized protocol. We classified zooplankton organisms as swimmers if organic material and preserved structures could be observed. Empty shells, exuvia (exoskeleton remains) and organic debris were considered part of the passive flux. Sample preservation prevented the identification of smaller swimmers (mainly copepods) but, where possible, zooplankton were identified following Boltovskoy (1999).

Following the removal of swimmers, samples were quantitatively split into eight aliquots using a Jencons peristaltic splitter. A splitting precision of 2.9 % (coefficient of variation) was determined by weighing the particulate material obtained from each of four 1/8th aliquots (see below). Aliquots for chemical analyses were centrifuged (5 min at 3000 rpm) with the supernatant being withdrawn after this step and replaced by milliQ-grade water to remove salts. (Milli-Q rinses were compared with ammonium formate. Organic carbon content was not statistically different although nitrogen concentrations were significantly higher, consequently Milli-Q rinses were routinely performed). The rinsing step was repeated three times. The remaining pellet was freeze-dried (SGD-SERAIL, 0.05-0.1 mbar, -30 °C to 30 °C, 48h run) and weighed three times (Sartorius MC 210 P balance, precision 10^{-4} g) to calculate the total mass. The particulate material was ground to a fine powder and used for measurements of particulate constituents.

For particulate organic carbon (POC) and particulate organic nitrogen (PON) analyses, 3 to 5 mg of the freeze-dried powder was weighed directly into pre-combusted (450°C, 24h) silver cups. Samples were decarbonated by adding 20 µL of 2M analytical grade Hydrochloric
acid (Sigma-Aldrich). Acidification was repeated until no bubbles could be seen, ensuring all particulate carbonate was dissolved (Salter et al., 2010). Samples were dried overnight at 50 °C. POC and PON were measured with a CHN analyzer (Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHNS/O Elemental Analyzer) calibrated with glycine. Samples were analysed in triplicate with an analytical precision of less than 0.7 %. Due to the small amount of particulate material in sample cups #5 and #12, replicate analyses were not possible. Uncertainty propagation for POC and PON flux was calculated as the quadratic sum of errors on mass flux and POC/PON content in each sample. The annual flux (± standard deviation) was calculated as the sum of the time-integrated flux.

3. Results

3.1 Physical conditions around trap

The sediment trap was deployed in the upper layers of Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW), beneath seasonally mixed Winter Water (WW) (Fig. 2). The depth of the CTD sensor varied between 318 m and 322 m (1 % and 99 % quantiles), with rare deepening to 328 m (Fig. 3a). Variations in tilt angle of the sediment trap were also low, mostly between 1 ° and 5 °, and occasionally reaching 13 ° (Fig. 3d). Current speed amplitude varied between 4 cm s⁻¹ and 23 cm s⁻¹ (1 % and 99 % quantiles) with a maximum value of 33 cm s⁻¹ and a mean value of 9 cm s⁻¹ (Fig. 3e). Horizontal flow vectors were divided between northward and southward components with strongest current speeds observed to flow northward (Fig. 3f and 3g).

The range in potential temperature and salinity was 1.85–2.23 °C and 34.12 – 34.26 (1 % - 99 % quantiles) (Fig. 3b and 3c). From July to September 2012, a mean increase of 0.2°C in potential temperature was associated with a strong diminution of high frequency noise suggesting a drift of the temperature sensor. Consequently these temperature data were
rejected from the time-series analysis. The potential temperature/salinity diagram is compared to KEOPS1 and KEOPS2 CTD downcast at station A3 (Fig. 4). The CTD sensor recorded the signature of the UCDW and no intrusion of overlying WW could be detected.

The power spectrum of vertical sediment trap displacements identified six significant peaks corresponding to frequencies of 6.2 h, 8.2 h, 23.9 h, 25.7 h and 14 days (Fig 5a). Concomitant peaks of depth, angle and current speed were also observed with a period of 14 days. However, spectral analysis of the potential density anomaly $\sigma_0$ revealed only one significant major power peak corresponding to a frequency of 12.4 h (Fig. 5b). Isopycnal displacements were driven by the unique tidal component (M2, 12.4h period) and trap displacements resulted from a complex combination of multiple tidal components. The power spectrum analysis suggested that a 40 hour window was relevant to filter out most of the short term variability (black line in Fig 3a – 3e).

A pseudo-lagrangian trajectory was calculated by cumulating the instantaneous current vectors (Fig 6). Over short time-scales (hours to day) the trajectory displays numerous tidal ellipses. The flow direction is mainly to the South-East in October 2011 to December 2012 and North-East from December 2011 to April 2012. For the entire current meter record (6 months) the overall displacement followed a 120 km northeasterly, anticlockwise trajectory with an integrated current speed of approximately 1 cm s$^{-1}$.

### 3.2 Seasonality of surface chlorophyll a concentration above trap location

The seasonal variations of surface chlorophyll $a$ concentration for the sediment trap deployment period differed significantly from the long-term climatology (Fig 7a). The bloom started at the beginning of November 2011, ten days after the start of the sediment trap deployment. Maximum surface chlorophyll $a$ values of 2.5 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ occurred on the first week of November and subsequently declined rapidly to 0.2 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ in late December 2011. A
second increase in surface chlorophyll $a$ up to 1 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ occurred in January 2012 and values decreased to winter levels of 0.2 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ in February 2012. A short-term increase of 0.8 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ occurred in mid-April 2012.

### 3.3 Swimmer abundances

No swimmers were found in cups #3 and #5 (Table 2). Total swimmer numbers were highest in winter (1544 individuals in cup #12). When normalized to cup opening time, swimmer intrusion rates were highest between mid-December 2011 and mid-February 2012 (from 26 to 55 individuals d$^{-1}$) and lower than 20 individuals d$^{-1}$ for the remainder of the year. Swimmers were numerically dominated by copepods throughout the year, but elevated amphipod and pteropod abundances were observed at the end of January and February 2012 (Table 2). There was no significant correlation between mass flux, POC and PON fluxes and total swimmer number or intrusion rate (Spearman’s correlation test, $p > 0.01$). Copepods were essentially small cyclopoid species. Amphipods were predominantly represented by the hyperidean *Cyllopus magellanicus* and *Themisto gaudichaudii*. Pteropods were represented by *Clio pyramidata, Limacina helicina* forma *antarctica* and *Limacina retroversa* subsp. *australis*. Euphausiids were only represented by the genus *Thysanoessa*. One *Slapa thompsoni* salp (aggregate form) was found in the last winter cup #12.

### 3.4 Seasonal particulate organic carbon and nitrogen fluxes

Particulate organic carbon flux ranged from 0.15 to 0.55 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ during the productive period except during two short export events of 1.6 ± 0.04 and 1.5 ± 0.04 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ sampled in cups #4 (2 to 12 December 2011) and #9 (25 January to 8 February 2012), respectively (Fig. 7b). The two flux events occurred with an approximate time lag of one month compared to peaks in surface chlorophyll $a$ values. A modest value of 0.27±0.01 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ was observed in autumn (cup #11, 22 February to 30 May 2012). The lowest POC flux
was measured during winter (0.04 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, cup #12, 31 May to 7 October). Assuming that POC export was negligible from mid September to mid October, the annually integrated POC flux was 98.2 ± 4.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ (Table 1). The two short (<14 days) export events accounted for 16.2±0.5 % (cup #4) and 21.0±0.6 % (cup #9) of the annual carbon export out of the mixed layer (Table 1). Mass percentage of organic carbon ranged from 3.3 % to 17.4 % (Fig. 7b). Values were slightly higher in autumn and winter (respectively 13.1±0.2 % and 11±2.1 % in cups #11 and #12) than in the summer, with the exception of cup #5 where the highest value of 17.4 % was observed. PON fluxes followed the same seasonal patterns as POC. This resulted in a relatively stable POC:PON ratio that varied between 6.1 to 7.4, except in the autumn cup #11 were it exceeded 8.1 (Table 1).

4 Discussion

4.1 Physical conditions of trap deployment

Moored sediment traps can be subject to hydrodynamic biases that affect the accuracy of particle collection (Buesseler et al., 2007a). The aspect ratio, tilt and horizontal flow regimes are important considerations when assessing sediment trap performance. Specifically, the line angle and aspect ratio of cylindrical traps can result in oversampling (Hawley, 1988). Horizontal current velocities of 12 cm s$^{-1}$ are often invoked as a critical threshold over which particles are no longer quantitatively sampled (Baker et al., 1988). During the sediment trap deployment period we observed generally low current speeds (mean < 10 cm s$^{-1}$) with 75% of the recorded data lower than 12 cm s$^{-1}$. Despite the high aspect ratio of the PPS3 trap (4.75), and the small mooring line angle deviations, it is likely that episodic increases in current velocities (>12 cm s$^{-1}$) impacted collection efficiency. When integrated over the entire current meter record (October 2011 to April 2012), the resulting flow is consistent with the annual
northeastward, low velocity (\(\sim 1 \text{ cm s}^{-1}\)) geostrophic flow previously reported over the central part of the Kerguelen plateau (Park et al., 2008b).

The depth of the winter mixed layer (WML) on the Kerguelen Plateau is usually shallower than 250 m (Park et al., 1998; Metzl et al., 2006). The sediment trap deployment depth of \(~300\text{ m}\) was selected to sample particle flux exiting the WML. The moored CTD sensor did not record any evidence of a winter water incursion during the deployment period, confirming the WML did not reach the trap depth. The small depth variations observed during the deployment period resulted from vertical displacement of the trap. Variations of \(\sigma_0\) may have resulted from both vertical displacement of the CTD sensor and possible isopycnal displacements due to strong internal waves that can occur with an amplitude of \(>50\text{ m}\) at this depth (Park et al., 2008a). Our measurements demonstrate that isopycnal displacements are consistent with the M2 (moon 2, 12.4 h period) tidal forcing described in physical modeling studies (Maraldi et al., 2009, 2011). Spectral analysis indicates that high frequency tidal currents are the major circulation components. Time-integrated currents suggest that advection is weak and occurs over longer timescale (months). Assuming the current flow measured at the sediment trap deployment depth is representative of the prevailing current under the WML, more than three months are required for particles to leave the plateau from the A3 station, a timescale larger than the bloom duration itself. Therefore we consider that the particles collected in the sediment trap at station A3 were produced in the surface waters located above the plateau during bloom conditions.

### 4.2 Swimmers and particle solubilization

Aside from the hydrodynamic effects discussed above, other potential biases characterizing sediment trap deployments, particularly those in shallow waters, is the presence of swimmers and particle solubilization. Swimmers can artificially increase POC fluxes by entering the
cups and releasing particulate organic matter or decrease the flux by feeding in the trap funnel (Buesseler et al., 2007a). Some studies have focused specifically on swimmer communities collected in shallow sediment traps (Matsuno et al., 2014 and references therein) although trap collection of swimmers is probably selective and therefore not quantitative. Total swimmer intrusion rate was highest in cups #6 to #9 (December 2011 to February 2012) generally through the representation of copepods and amphipods (Table 2). The maximum swimmer intrusion rate in mid-summer as well as the copepod dominance is consistent with the fourfold increase in mesozooplankton abundance observed from winter to summer (Carlotti et al., 2014). Swimmer abundance was not correlated with mass flux, POC or PON fluxes, suggesting that their presence did not systematically affect particulate fluxes inside the cups. Nevertheless such correlations cannot rule out the possibility of swimmers feeding in the trap funnel modifying particle flux collection.

Particle solubilization in preservative solutions may also lead to an underestimation of total flux measured in sediment traps. Previous analyses from traps poisoned with mercuric chloride suggest that ~30 % of total organic carbon flux can be found in the dissolved phase and much higher values of 50 % and 90 % may be observed for nitrogen and phosphorous, respectively (Antia, 2005; O’Neill et al., 2005). Unfortunately the use of a formaldehyde-based preservative in our trap samples precludes any direct estimate of excess of dissolved organic carbon in the sample cup supernatant. Furthermore, corrections for particle leaching have been considered problematic in the presence of swimmers since a fraction of the leaching may originate from the swimmers themselves (Antia, 2005), potentially leading to over-correction. Particle solubilization may have occurred in our samples as evidenced by excess PO₄³⁻ in the supernatant. However the largest values were measured in sample cups where total swimmers were abundant (cups #8 to #12, data not shown). Consequently, it was not possible to discriminate solubilisation of P from swimmers and passively settling particles
and it therefore remains difficult to quantify the effect of particle leaching. However, leaching of POC should be less problematic in formalin-preserved samples because aldehydes fix organic matter, rather than in addition to poisoning microbial activity.

4.3 Seasonal dynamics of POC export

The sediment trap record obtained from station A3 provides the first direct estimate of POC export covering an entire season over the naturally fertilized Kerguelen Plateau. We observed a temporal lag of one month between the two surface chlorophyll a peaks and the two export events. Based on a compilation of annual sediment trap deployments, Lutz et al. (2007) reported that export quickly follows primary production at low latitudes whereas a time lag up to two months could occur at higher latitudes. A 1-2 month lag was observed between production and export in the pacific sector of the Southern Ocean (Buesseler et al., 2001), as well as along 170°W (Honjo et al., 2000) and in the Australian sector of the Subantarctic Zone (Rigual-Hernández et al., 2015). The temporal lag between surface production and measured export in deep traps can originate from ecological processes in the upper ocean (e.g. carbon retention in the mixed layer) as well as slow sinking velocities (Armstrong et al., 2009) and one cannot differentiate the two processes from a single deep trap signal. A global-scale modeling study suggests that the strongest temporal decoupling between production and export (more than one month) occurs in areas characterized by a strong seasonal variability in primary production (Henson et al., 2014). The study attributes this decoupling to differences in phenology of phytoplankton and zooplankton and evokes zooplankton ejection products as major contributors to fast sinking particles sedimenting post bloom.

On the Kerguelen Plateau there is evidence that a significant fraction of phytoplankton biomass comprising the two chlorophyll peaks is remineralized by a highly active heterotrophic microbial community (Obernosterer et al., 2008; Christaki et al., 2014). Another fraction is likely is channeled toward higher trophic levels through the intense
grazing pressure that support the observed increase in zooplankton biomass (Carlotti et al., 2008, 2014). Therefore an important fraction of phytoplankton biomass increases observed by satellite may not contribute to export fluxes. Notably, the POC:PON ratio measured in our trap material is close to values reported for marine diatoms (7.3 ± 1.2, Sarthou et al., 2005), compared to the C:N ratio of zooplankton faecal pellets which is typically higher (7.3 to >15, Gerber and Gerber, 1979; Checkley and Entzeroth, 1985; Morales, 1987). Simple mass balance would therefore suggest a significant contribution of phytoplanktonic cells to the POC export, which is indeed corroborated by detailed microscopic analysis (Rembauville et al., 2014).

Although we observed increasing contribution of faecal pellet carbon post-bloom (Rembauville et al., 2014), in line with the model output of Henson et al. (2014), differences in phytoplankton and zooplankton phenology do not fully explain the seasonality of export on the Kerguelen Plateau. Considering the shallow trap depth (289 m) and typical sinking speed of 100 m d\(^{-1}\) for phyto-aggregates (Allredge and Gotschalk, 1988; Peterson et al., 2005; Trull et al., 2008a), aggregate-driven export following bloom demise would suggest a short lag of a few days between production and export peaks. The temporal lag of one month measured in the present study suggest either slow sinking rates (<5 m d\(^{-1}\)) characteristic of single phytoplanktonic cells or faster sinking particles that do not originate from the peaks of surface production originate from sub-surface production peaks undetected by satellite. It is generally accepted that satellite detection depth is 20-50 m (Gordon and McCluney, 1975), and can be less than 20 m when surface chlorophyll \(a\) exceed 0.2 \(\mu g\) L\(^{-1}\) (Smith, 1981), which prevents the detection of deep phytoplanktonic biomass structures (Villareal et al., 2011). Although subsurface chlorophyll maximum located around 100 m have been observed over the Kerguelen Plateau at the end of the productive period, they have been interpreted to result from the accumulation of surface production at the base of the mixed layer rather than a
subsurface productivity feature (Uitz et al., 2009). In support of this detailed taxonomic analysis of the exported material highlight diatom resting spores as major contributors to the two export fluxes rather than a composite surface community accumulated at the base of the mixed layer. The hypothesis of a mass production of nutrient-limited resting spores post-bloom with high settling rates explains the temporal patterns of export we observed (Rembauville et al., 2014). However a better knowledge of the dynamics of factors responsible for resting spore formation by diatoms remains necessary to fully validate this hypothesis.

4.4 Rapid Evidence for significant flux attenuation over the Kerguelen Plateau

The Kerguelen Plateau annual POC export (98.2±4.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$) approaches the median global ocean POC export value comprising shallow and deep sediment traps (83 mmol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$, Lampitt and Antia, 1997), but is also close to values observed in HNLC areas of the POOZ (11-43 mmol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ at 500 m, Fischer et al., 2000). Moreover, the magnitude of annual POC export measured at ~300m on the Kerguelen Plateau is comparable to deep-ocean (>2 km) POC fluxes measured from the iron-fertilized Crozet (60 mmol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$, Salter et al., 2012) and South Georgia blooms (180 mmol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$, Manno et al., 2014).

The annual POC export of ~0.1 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ at 289 m (Table 1) represents only 2% of the indirect estimate of POC export (5.1 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$) at the base of the WML (200 m) on the Kerguelen Plateau based on a seasonal DIC budget (Blain et al., 2007). On shorter time scales, the POC flux recorded in the moored sediment trap represents only a small fraction (3-8%) of the POC flux at the base of the winter mixed layer (200 m) measured by different methods during KEOPS2 (Table 3). The same conclusion is true when considering the comparison with different estimates made during KEOPS1. The diversity of the methods and the difference in the depth where the POC flux was estimated render quantitative comparisons challenging, but it appears the POC fluxes measured at 289 m with the moored sediment trap
are considerably lower than some other estimates. This result indicates either extremely rapid attenuation of flux between 200 m and 300 m or major sampling bias by the sediment trap.

We first compared the sediment trap export fluxes with short-term estimates at 200 m in spring (KEOPS2) and summer (KEOPS1). The POC flux recorded in the moored sediment trap represents only a small fraction (3-8%) of the POC flux measured at the base of the winter mixed layer (200 m) by different approaches during the spring KEOPS2 cruise (Table 3). The same conclusion can be drawn when considering the comparison with different estimates made at the end of summer during KEOPS1. Moreover, the annual POC export of ~0.1 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ at 289 m (Table 1) represents only 2% of the indirect estimate of POC export (5.1 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$) at the base of the WML (200 m) on the Kerguelen Plateau based on a seasonal DIC budget (Blain et al., 2007). The short term estimates are derived from a diverse range of methods. The $^{234}$Th proxy is based on the $^{234}$Th deficit relative to the $^{238}$U due to its adsorption on particles, and it subsequent conversion to carbon fluxes using measured POC:$^{234}$Th ratios. (Coale and Bruland, 1985; Buesseler et al., 2006; Savoye et al., 2006). The UVP provides high resolution images of particles (>52 µm) and the particle size distribution is then converted to carbon fluxes using an empirical relationship (Guidi et al., 2008; Picheral et al., 2010). Drifting gel traps allows the collection, preservation and imaging of sinking particles (>71 µm) that are converted to carbon fluxes using empirical volume:carbon relationship (Ebersbach and Trull, 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2011; Laurenceau-Cornec et al., 2015). Finally, drifting sediment traps are conceptually similar to moored sediment traps but avoid most of the hydrodynamic biases associated with this technique (Buesseler et al., 2007a). The diversity of the methods and differences in depth where the POC flux was estimated render quantitative comparisons challenging. Nevertheless, POC fluxes measured at 289 m with the moored sediment trap are considerably lower than other estimates made at 200 m.
This result indicates either extremely rapid attenuation of flux between 200 m and 300 m or significant sampling bias by the sediment trap.

We note that low carbon export fluxes around 300 m have been previously reported on the Kerguelen Plateau. In spring 2011, UVP derived estimates of POC export at 350 m equals are 0.1 to 0.3 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Table 3), a value close to our reported value of 0.15 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). In summer 2005, POC export at 330 m from gel trap equals is 0.7 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Ebersbach and Trull 2008), which is also close to our value of 1.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). Using the Jouandet et al. (2014) data at 200 m (1.9 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and 350 m (0.3 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and the Ebersbach and Trull (2008) data at 200 m (5.2 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and 330 m (0.7 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) leads to Martin power law exponents values of 3.3 and 4, respectively. These values are high when compared to the range of 0.4–1.7 that was initially compiled for the global ocean (Buesseler et al., 2007b). However, there is increasing evidence in support of much higher b-values in the Southern Ocean that fall in the range 0.9–3.9 (Lam and Bishop, 2007; Henson et al., 2012; Cavan et al., 2015). Our calculations are thus consistent with emerging observations of significant POC flux attenuation in the Southern Ocean, and support a scenario of strong POC flux attenuation between 200 m and 350 m over the Kerguelen Plateau.

Using the aforementioned b values (3.3 and 4) and the POC flux derived from \(^{234}\)Th deficit at 200 m in spring (Planchon et al., 2014), we estimate POC fluxes at 289 m of 0.7 to 1.1 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). The flux measured in our sediment trap (0.15 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) data represents 14 % to 21 % of this calculated flux. Very similar percentages (21 % to 27 %) are found using the POC fluxes derived from the \(^{234}\)Th deficit in summer (Savoye et al., 2008). Therefore we consider that the moored sediment trap collected ~15-30 % of the \(^{234}\)Th–derived particle flux equivalent throughout the year. Trap-derived particle fluxes can represent 0.1 to >3 times the \(^{234}\)Th-derived particles in shallow sediment traps (Buesseler, 1991; Buesseler et al., 1994; Coppola et al., 2002; Gustafsson et al., 2004) and this difference is largely attributed to the
sum of hydrodynamic biases and swimmer activities (Buesseler, 1991), although it probably also includes the effect of post-collection particle solubilisation. In the Antarctic Peninsula, $^{234}$Th derived POC export was 20 times higher than the fluxes collected by a shallow, cylindrical, moored sediment trap at 170 m (Buesseler et al., 2010). The present deployment context is less extreme (depth of 289 m, mean current speed <10 cm s$^{-1}$, low tilt angle, high aspect ratio of the cylindrical PPS3 trap) but we consider that hydrodynamics (current speed higher than 12 cm s$^{-1}$ during short tidal-driven events) and possible zooplankton feeding on the trap funnel are potential biases that may explain in part the low fluxes recorded by the moored sediment trap. Therefore the low fluxes observed likely result from a combined effect of likely result from a combination of collection bias (hydrodynamics and swimmers) and strong attenuation of the POC flux between the base of the WML and 300 m. However, it is not possible with the current dataset to isolate a specific explanation for low flux values.

Despite our conclusion that the moored sediment trap deployment was characterized by a low collection efficiency of ~15-30% with reference to $^{234}$Th-derived fluxes, the numerous lines of evidence discussed above appear to converge on a scenario of rapid flux attenuation. Strong POC flux attenuation over the Kerguelen Plateau compared to the open ocean is also reported by Laurenceau-Cornec et al. (2015) who associate this characteristic to a HBLE scenario and invoke the role of mesozooplankton in the carbon flux attenuation. Between October and November 2011, mesozooplankton biomass in the mixed layer doubled (Carlotti et al., 2014) and summer biomass was twofold higher still (Carlotti et al., 2008). These seasonal patterns are consistent with the maximum swimmer intrusion rate and swimmer diversity observed in summer (Table 2). It has previously been concluded that zooplankton biomass is more tightly coupled to phytoplankton biomass on the plateau compared to oceanic waters, leading to higher secondary production on the plateau (Carlotti et al., 2008, 2014). Further support linking zooplankton dynamics to HBLE environments of
iron-fertilized blooms are the findings of Cavan et al. (2015) that documents the lowest export ratio (exported production/primary production) in the most productive, naturally fertilized area downstream of South Georgia. Another important ecosystem feature associated to the HBLE environment of the Kerguelen Plateau, and likely shared by other island-fertilized blooms in the Southern Ocean, is the presence of mesopelagic fishes (myctophid spawning and larvae foraging site, Koubbi et al., 1991, 2001). Mesopelagic fishes can be tightly coupled to lower trophic levels (Saba and Steinberg, 2012) and can play a significant role in carbon flux attenuation (Davison et al., 2013). Although important for carbon budgets it is a compartment often neglected due to the challenge of quantitative sampling approaches. We suggest that the HBLE scenario and large attenuation of carbon flux beneath the WML at Kerguelen may represents the transfer of carbon biomass to higher and mobile trophic groups that fuel large mammal and bird populations rather than the classical remineralization-controlled vertical attenuation characterizing open ocean environments. Although technically challenging, testing this hypothesis should be a focus for future studies in this and similar regions.

5. Conclusion

We have reported the seasonal dynamics of particulate organic carbon (POC) export under the winter mixed layer (289 m) of the naturally iron fertilized and productive central Kerguelen Plateau. Annual POC flux was very remarkably low (98 mmol m⁻²) and most of it occurred primarily during two episodic (<14 days) flux events exported with a 1 month lag following two surface chlorophyll a peaks. Analysis of the hydrological conditions and a comparison with different estimates of POC fluxes in spring and summer at the same station suggests that the sediment trap was subject to possible hydrodynamic and biological biases leading to under collection of particle flux. Nevertheless the low POC export was close to other estimates of deep (>300 m) POC export at the same station and is consistent with high attenuation
coefficients reported from other methods. Taken together these data suggest that the low fluxes can be explained in part by strong flux attenuation between the winter mixed layer depth (~200 m) and the trap depth (~300 m). We invoke mesozooplankton and the activity of mesopelagic fishes as possible explanations for efficient carbon retention and/or transfer to higher trophic levels at the base of the mixed layer which results in a High Biomass, Low Export environment. We invoke heterotrophic microbial activity and mesozooplankton and mesopelagic fish activity as possible explanations for efficient carbon flux attenuation and/or transfer to higher trophic levels which results in a High Biomass, Low Export environment.

The biogenic silicon, diatoms assemblages and faecal pellet fluxes are reported in a companion paper that identifies the primary ecological vectors regulating the magnitude of POC export and seasonal patterns in BSi:POC export (Rembauville et al., 2014).
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Table 1: Dynamics of carbon and nitrogen export fluxes at station A3 collected by the sediment trap at 289 m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cup</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
<th>POC (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>PON (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>POC:PON</th>
<th>Contribution to annual export (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21/10/2011</td>
<td>04/11/2011</td>
<td>0.15 ±0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.80 ±0.56</td>
<td>2.11 ±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>04/11/2011</td>
<td>18/11/2011</td>
<td>0.14 ±0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.09 ±0.67</td>
<td>1.94 ±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18/11/2011</td>
<td>02/12/2011</td>
<td>0.15 ±0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ±0.00</td>
<td>7.33 ±0.31</td>
<td>2.12 ±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>02/12/2011</td>
<td>12/12/2011</td>
<td>1.60 ±0.04</td>
<td>0.23 ±0.01</td>
<td>6.95 ±0.29</td>
<td>16.18 ±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12/12/2011</td>
<td>22/12/2011</td>
<td>0.34 ±0.00</td>
<td>0.05 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.87 ±0.08</td>
<td>3.41 ±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22/12/2011</td>
<td>01/01/2012</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.04</td>
<td>0.08 ±0.01</td>
<td>6.70 ±0.78</td>
<td>4.82 ±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>01/01/2012</td>
<td>11/01/2012</td>
<td>0.42 ±0.02</td>
<td>0.06 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.73 ±0.46</td>
<td>4.23 ±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11/01/2012</td>
<td>25/01/2012</td>
<td>0.34 ±0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.94 ±0.38</td>
<td>4.83 ±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>25/01/2012</td>
<td>08/02/2012</td>
<td>1.47 ±0.03</td>
<td>0.20 ±0.01</td>
<td>7.38 ±0.26</td>
<td>20.98 ±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>08/02/2012</td>
<td>22/02/2012</td>
<td>0.55 ±0.04</td>
<td>0.08 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.97 ±0.88</td>
<td>7.83 ±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>22/02/2012</td>
<td>31/05/2012</td>
<td>0.27 ±0.01</td>
<td>0.03 ±0.00</td>
<td>8.09 ±0.22</td>
<td>26.84 ±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>31/05/2012</td>
<td>07/09/2012</td>
<td>0.04 ±0.00</td>
<td>0.01 ±0.00</td>
<td>6.06 ±0.17</td>
<td>4.71 ±0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual export (mmol m⁻² y⁻¹) 98.24 ±4.35 13.59 ±0.30
Table 2: Number of swimmer individuals found in each cup and swimmer intrusion rate (number d⁻¹, *bold italic* numbers) for each taxa and for the total swimmers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cup</th>
<th>Copepod</th>
<th>Pteropod</th>
<th>Euphausid</th>
<th>Ostracod</th>
<th>Amphipod</th>
<th>Cnidaria</th>
<th>Polychaete</th>
<th>Ctenophore</th>
<th>Siphonophore</th>
<th>Salp</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>741</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3: Synthesis of estimates of POC fluxes at the base of, or under, the mixed layer at station A3 from the KEOPS 1 cruise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>POC flux (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KEOPS1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoye et al., 2008</td>
<td>234Th deficit</td>
<td>23 Jan – 12 Feb 2005</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>25.7 ± 3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>24.5 ± 6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebersbach and Trull, 2008</td>
<td>Drifting gel trap,</td>
<td>4 Feb 2005</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>optical measurements and constant C</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conversion factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>430</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jouandet et al., 2008</td>
<td>Annual DIC budget</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>MLD base</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trull et al., 2008b</td>
<td>Drifting sediment trap</td>
<td>4 Feb 2005</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7.3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Feb 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jouandet et al., 2011</td>
<td>In situ optical measurement (UVP) and</td>
<td>22 Jan 2005</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>power function C conversion factor</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEOPS2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planchon et al., 2014</td>
<td>234Th deficit, steady state model</td>
<td>20 Oct 2011</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurenceau-Cornec et al., 2015</td>
<td>Drifting gel trap, optical measurement of particles</td>
<td>16 Nov 2011</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drifting sediment trap</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jouandet et al., 2014</td>
<td>In situ optical measurement (UVP) and power function C conversion factor</td>
<td>21 Oct 2011</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures captions

Figure 1. Localization of the Kerguelen Plateau in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean and detailed map of the satellite-derived surface chlorophyll $a$ concentration (MODIS level 3 product) averaged over the sediment trap deployment period. Sediment trap location at the A3 station is represented by a black dot, whereas the black circle represents the 100 km radius area used to average the surface chlorophyll $a$ time series. Arrows represent surface geostrophic circulation derived from the absolute dynamic topography (AVISO product). Positions of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current core (AAC core), the Polar Front (PF) and the Fawn Through Current (FTC) are shown by thick black arrows. Grey lines are 500 m and 1000 m isobaths.

Figure 2. Schematic of the instrumented mooring line against vertical temperature profiles. The sediment trap and the current meter/CTD sensor location on the mooring line are shown by white circles. Temperature profiles performed during the sediment trap deployment (20 October 2011) are represented by grey lines. Black full line is the median temperature profile from 12 casts realized on the 16 November 2011. Dashed black lines are the first and third quartiles from these casts. The grey rectangle represents the Kerguelen Plateau seafloor. The different water masses are Antarctic Surface Water (AASW), Winter Water (WW) and Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW).

Figure 3. Hydrological properties recorded by the instrument mooring at station A3. a) depth of the CTD sensor, b) salinity, c) potential temperature, d) line angle, e) current speed, grey lines are raw data, black lines are low-pass filtered data with a Gaussian filter (40 hour window as suggested by the spectral analysis), f) direction and speed of currents represented by vectors (under sampled with a 5 hours interval) and g) wind rose plot of current direction.
and intensities, dotted circles are directions relatives frequencies and colors refer to current speed (m s\(^{-1}\)).

**Figure 4.** Potential temperature/salinity diagram at station A3. Data are from the moored CTD (black dots), KEOPS1 (blue line) and KEOPS2 (red line). Grey lines are potential density anomaly. The different water masses are Antarctic Surface Water (AASW), Winter Water (WW) and Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW).

**Figure 5.** Power spectrum of the spectral analysis of a) depth time series and b) potential density anomaly time series. Pure red noise (null hypothesis) is represented by red dashed lines for each variable. The period corresponding to a significant power peak (power peak higher than the red noise) is written.

**Figure 6.** Progressive vector diagram (integration of the current vectors all along the current meter record) calculated from current meter data at 319 m. The color scale refers to date.

**Figure 7.** Seasonal variations of surface chlorophyll \(a\) and particulate organic carbon (POC) export. a) Seasonal surface chlorophyll concentration and 16 years climatology (Globcolour) averaged in a 100 km radius around the station A3 station The black line represents the climatology calculated for the period 1997/2013, whilst the green line corresponds to the sediment trap deployment period (2011/2012). b) POC flux (grey bars) and mass percentage of POC (red dotted line). Error bars are standard deviations from triplicates, bold italic numbers refer to cup number.
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