

We thank the referees for their constructive comments which were very helpful for improving our manuscript. By having performed additional model simulations and by showing additional model output (as suggested by both referees) we now provide additional information for the interpretation of our model results. This information allows to illustrate the role of individual carbon pool contributions and of model dynamics from hydrologic and depth changes.

In the following we reply to all referee comments point by point.

Reply to referee #1:

- 1) *What segregates mineral vs. organic pools? In the original version of the model, the organic pools were referred to as peatlands. What really constitutes the difference between the “mineral” and “organic” pools in this version? If we think about the analysis of Harden et al. (2012), which segregates the permafrost domain into turbels, histels, and orthels, how does mineral vs. organic correspond to these designations? Are you referring to mineral horizons and organic horizons of turbels, histels, and orthels that are not yedoma and refrozen thermokarst?*

We allocate soil carbon contents according to the inventories estimates of the Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database (Hugelius et al., ESSD, 2013). Hereby, we describe the mineral soil pool by the sum of SOC contents from orthels and turbels, and the organic pool by the SOC content from histels. So far we only had referred to this segregation in section 2.1. of the supplement and in table 1.

To clarify our classification, we now mention the segregation of organic and mineral pools in section 2.2 in the revised manuscript. To allocate SOC for the Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst pools, we assume that these inventories are largely dominated by mineral horizons and we discuss the overlap of pools in the supplement (section 2.1).

- 2) *A better description of transitions involving thermokarst lakes and wetlands It is not clear what pool is lost as the thermokarst lake and wetland pools expand. It is also not clear what pool gains when thermokarst lakes contract. Normally, when wetlands can be derived from permafrost degradation of permafrost plateaus or from the contraction of thermokarst lakes, but the carbon dynamics of these two transitions are quite different in my experience. It is also not clear to me what happens to carbon after a transition. Is the carbon pool simply transferred to the new landscape type and subject to the C dynamics of that landscape type depending on depth/latitude band?*

Each soil pool (mineral, organic, Yedoma, refrozen thermokarst) is subdivided into an aerobic and two anaerobic compartments. Given the large-scale dominance of aerobic over anaerobic landscapes (considered from a full circum-Arctic perspective), we assume that any increase in the area of anaerobic pools (wetland or thermokarst lake) will lead to a decrease of the aerobic pool fraction in each latitude band (and vice versa a decrease in anaerobic pool fractions will

result in an increase in the aerobic pool fraction). Carbon is transferred from the decreasing to the increasing pool according to the change in area fractions and is subject to the environmental control of thaw and decomposition of the corresponding new pool. We do not consider the separate, more complex case in which thermokarst lake areas, which were newly formed during our simulation period, develop into a wetland by terrestrialization (also within the time horizon of our simulations). We neither consider the reverse case of a wetland becoming a thermokarst-affected terrain. We consider these transitions an issue for future model extensions.

To clarify our underlying model assumptions for thermokarst lake and wetland dynamics we now discuss the transition of pools in the revised manuscript in section 2.1 (page7) and in the supplement (section 2.3).

- 3) *An improved justification for the substantial depth of thaw in thermokarst lakes in response to future changes in climate. The results of this study are dominated by the methane loss associated with the substantial depth of thaw in thermokarst lakes in response to future changes in climate. The justification of this is from the modelling studies of Kessler et al. (2012) and Ling (2003). But the dynamics in the lower panels of Figure 2 don't make sense to me. I wouldn't expect that the high latitude thaw depths would expand beyond the initial low latitude thaw depths. There seems to be something wrong and unrealistic with the formulations used to model the thickening of the thaw bulb in thermokarst lakes.*

Figure 2 shows a two-stage process: 1) a slow deepening of the active layer in sediments overlain by non-thermokarst ponds (until the year 2000), and 2) a strong increase in thawing rates after the pond deepens enough to prevent winter refreeze, effectively initiating a new thermokarst lake (around the year 2000). A strong talik deepening in continuous permafrost at stage 2 (Fig.2, lower panels, blue curves) below the initial active layer depth of southerly permafrost at stage 1 (Fig.2, lower panels, red curves) is not at odds with model physics. It rather describes the potential of abrupt and continuous thaw after deep thermokarst lakes have formed. In contrast to cold surrounding ground temperatures, a warm lake bottom supports strong and sustained thaw of thermokarst affected sediments. Therefore, high latitude thaw after thermokarst formation can reach deeper into the ground than at southerly permafrost regions which are not affected by thermokarst.

So far we had only discussed the two-stage description in the supplement (bottom of page 6). We now emphasize this aspect in the revised manuscript in section 3.1 and in the legend of Fig.2.

- 4) *The need to run an ensemble of control simulations for each RCP: One question that I have (and that I think will be of interest to others) is the degree to which the results are driven by the transitions vs. the depth dynamics. To answer this question it would have been helpful to have had a set of control simulations in which (1) there was no consideration of deep carbon, (2) the thermokarst lake and wetland areas were static, and (3) the combination of the two.*

We agree that additional control simulations will provide valuable information not included in the current manuscript. We now have performed additional sensitivity simulations for each RCP to illustrate the role of dynamics resulting from transitions vs. depths changes (see additional discussion in section 3.3 of the revised manuscript, and new figure S4 in the supplement).

- 5) *The need to report the amount of carbon lost from each pool I would have found it helpful to have documented the amount of carbon lost from each pool for each scenario (perhaps arranged somewhat like Table 2) reported in the supplementary information. This would help to support the text on the contribution of deep deposits on pages 16617 and 16618.*

To better support our conclusions we now address the issue of individual pool contributions by showing the amounts of carbon lost from each pool under all RCP scenarios (as suggested by the referee) in figures S2 and S3 of the supplement. We also added a discussion of the individual carbon contributions in more detail in section 3.3 of the revised manuscript.

- 6) *The need to completely revise the discussion: I found that the discussion largely repeated what had already been stated in either the results or the limitations subsection of the methods.*

We have re-structured the “Model results” and “Discussion and conclusion” sections.

*What I found missing were two issues: (1) how does this study compare with the first version of the model published in 2012,*

We now discuss the differences in simulated carbon fluxes and in the inferred temperature feedback compared to our previous study in the revised manuscript (section 3.4).

*and (2) how does this study contrast with that of Gao et al (2013).*

We now also discuss in detail the differences in approach and conclusions compared to Gao et al. (2013) in section 4 (page 21) of the revised manuscript.

*For the RCP 8.5 scenario, the previous study had lower C losses through 2100, but higher C losses through 2300. However, the estimated additional warming through 2100 and 2300 was higher in the previous study than in this study. I recognize that different model changes besides the additional pools/processes probably explain this paradox. But the differences at least need to be discussed, and the control simulations I've suggested above will help sort out the issues of the relative importance of deep carbon vs. thermokarst transitions. With respect to the comparison to Gao et al. (2013), I think it is quite important to identify the differences in approach as well as conclusions.*

As mentioned above, we now discuss in detail the differences in approach and conclusions.

### ***Specific Comments***

*Page 16600, line 23: Change “the mid of” to “the middle of”. Page 16600, line 25: Change “accounted for” to “taken into account” (don’t end with a preposition. Page 16601, line 3: Change “amounts about” to “amounts to about”.*

Modified accordingly.

*Page 16602, lines 15-18: It is not clear what is meant by “mineral” vs. “organic”. My first reaction in reading this sentence was that mineral soils, like yedoma, tend to have larger ice content than peatlands when considering the entire profile. Need to revise the sentence so that it makes sense to the reader at this point in the manuscript.*

We have modified the corresponding section to make clearer the differences between mineral and organic soils.

*Page 16604, line 7: delete “in order” – just extra words that are not needed. Page 16604, line 10:*

*Change “for abrupt thaw processes” to “for some abrupt thaw processes”. Page 16604, lines 16 and 17: Many of the models that consider permafrost carbon with depth are considering methane now, so I don’t think it is fair to say that methane is neglected in these suites of models. Page 16604, line 18: Change “not accounted for, although first modelling” to “not taken into account, although first-order modelling”. Page 16605, line 21: Change “Our proceeding” to “Our analysis”. Page 16605, line 23: Change “identifying” to “identification of”. Page 16605, line 24: Change “for shaping” to “in affecting”.*

Modified accordingly.

*Page 16606, line 10: Define what you mean by mineral and organic surface pools.*

We now refer to the subsequent section of the manuscript where pools are defined. Further, we added a “terminology and definitions section” in the supplement.

*Page 16606, line 12: Change “By taberal deposits we understand” to “We define taberal deposits as”.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Modified accordingly.

*Page 16609, line 12: Change “frozen grounds” to “frozen ground”. Page 16609, line 25: Change “who are” to “which”. Page 16613, line 10: Change “mid of” to “middle of”. Page 16614, line 2: End of first sentence needs a period.*

Modified accordingly.

*Page 16614, lines 4-9: See my general comments on this issue – this doesn’t make sense to me. There has already been strong surface warming in the southern permafrost zone, and thaw depths in lakes are generally thicker than they are in the continuous permafrost zone. So – how could the thaw depths in lakes of the continuous permafrost zone warm up more than the current thaw depths in the southern permafrost zone (especially under an RCP 2.6 scenario). In my opinion, something is seriously wrong with the physics in the model.*

See our comments above (point 3).

*Page 16617, line 18: Change “per-industrial” to “pre-industrial”. Page 16618, line 26: Shouldn’t you cite Figure 5 and Table 2 at the end of this sentence. I don’t think that Figure 5 is cited in the manuscript, at least not in section 3.4 where it should be cited. Page 16619, line 2: Change “Despite of methane release” to “Despite methane release”. Page 16620, line 18: Change “carbon can be released as” to “carbon was released as”. Page 16620, line 20: Change “can reach 87” to “reached 87”. Page 16620, line 22: Change “Modelling studies estimated” to “Other modelling studies have estimated”. Page 16622, line 19: Change “Despite of assuming” to “Despite assuming”.*

Modified accordingly.

**Reply to referee #2:**

- 1) I agree with Referee #1, who called for a better explanation of the differences between organic and mineral soils in main manuscript text.*

We now discuss the segregation of organic and mineral pools in section 2.2 in the revised manuscript (see also reply to referee 1).

- 2) *I have some questions about the treatment of “wetlands” in this study, particularly the application of thaw depth changes under saturated conditions. Permafrost thaw in permafrost plateaus typically results in ground subsidence, impoundment, and collapse-scar bog /fen formation, followed by rapid wholesale loss of near-surface permafrost. This is an abrupt thaw process that could have been considered in this study. The prescribed thermal parameters don't appear to account for non-conductive heat transfer that occurs following these ecosystem state changes, and likely underestimates thaw rates.*

In our model description of permafrost degradation we account for abrupt thaw by separately considering carbon pools which are subject to strongly enhanced thaw following ground subsidence and thermokarst formation. This does not only concern mineral soils but also our considered organic-rich pools. This point is illustrated now in the additional new figure S3 in the supplement of our revised manuscript. This figure shows the contribution of thermokarst affected soil carbon in mineral, organic, Yedoma, and refrozen thermokarst deposits. Yet we do not consider the case of a transformation of a thermokarst-affected ground into a wetland including fen/bog formation, (neither do we consider the potential reversion of a wetland into a lake). These are aspects of future model improvement. To account for the referee's comment, we now discuss the transformation of aerobic into anaerobic compartments in more detail in section 2.1 of the revised manuscript and in section 2.3 of the supplement.

Accelerated thaw of peatland permafrost carbon has been reported e.g. by Payette et al. (GRL, 2004), but the concurrent fast terrestrialization proved to stabilize the carbon balance of the investigated region. Therefore, from the viewpoint of permafrost carbon fluxes it is questionable to what extent accelerated thawing of specific permafrost features (such as peat plateaus) will have a strong impact on the large-scale Arctic carbon balance. On smaller scales, lateral thaw may also be important to consider (McClymont, JGR 2013, Baltzer et al., GCB 2014) and is likely to result in enhanced thawing at the edge of peat plateaus in sporadic and discontinuous permafrost regions.

With a focus on large-scale permafrost dynamics, Wisser et al. (ESD, 2011) have simulated soil temperatures in peatlands responding more slowly to increasing air temperatures due to the insulating properties of peat. Further, the occurrence of permafrost in warmer regions (sporadic and isolated permafrost) is mostly linked to frozen peat, which indicates that peat can be more resilient to thaw than mineral soils.

In the revised manuscript we now acknowledge that organic rich soils can reveal enhanced thaw rates due to non-conductive heat flow which we do not account for in our model setting - and we stress that we therefore consider our carbon fluxes from thawing of wetland permafrost being conservative (see section 3.2, page 16).

- 3) *The authors should describe if and how the depth distributions of soil carbon (e.g. Harden et al. 2012) were prescribed in this model. This seems like an important component, given the approach of tracking recently thawed C released in response to active layer thickness increases.*

We now describe the vertical carbon profile in section 2.1 of the revised manuscript.

- 4) *This paper would be greatly strengthened by some additional modeling simulations or sensitivity analyses designed to quantify how the inclusion of yedoma and thaw lake dynamics impacted total C loss and climate warming.*

We have performed additional model simulations to illustrate how thaw lake dynamics and the inclusion of deep carbon deposits affect total circumpolar carbon release (see new supplementary figure S4). We have also prepared two additional figures which show the contribution of carbon fluxes separated into soil types, aerobic/anaerobic fractions and deep deposits (see new supplementary figures S2 and S3). We have extended the discussion of individual pool contributions in the revised manuscript in section 3.3.

### ***Specific Comments***

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

1. *Page 16602, Lines 15-18: I'm not sure that I agree with this statement, although it's difficult to say without a better definition of mineral vs. organic soils. Clearly peatlands are highly vulnerable to permafrost thaw. Ground ice volumes are variable, and differences between organic and mineral will depending on the thickness of the deposit, no? Please clarify and add citations to justify statement.*

We have updated the corresponding section in the revised manuscript and now emphasize the vulnerability of peatlands if conditions are favourable for enhanced thaw (see also our comments above, point 2).

2. *Page 16602, Line 18: While this statement about anaerobic environments is generally true, some recent studies have shown the potential for large C loss from deep thawed peat deposits*

We now mention the work of Camill et al. (Climatic Change, 2005) and Johnson et al. (ERL 2013) at page 3 (line 29) to underline that peat deposits can be highly vulnerable to thaw.

3. Page 16602, Line 21 – *Hydrologic **and redox** conditions*

Modified accordingly.

4. Page 16603, Line 12 – *remove hyphen from “bio-geochemical”*

Modified accordingly.

5. Page 16603, Line 24 – *replace “underline” with “note” or “observe”. Also I think it would be good to mention why thermokarst has not been included to date in these models.*

Modified accordingly.

6. Page 16604, Line 15, *Change this to “pools governed by different environmental controls”*

Modified accordingly.

7. Page 16606, Line 3 – *Change composition to texture, unless you mean “chemical composition”*

Modified accordingly.

8. Page 1606, Lines 25 – 27 – *Would be good to cite Gao et al. (2013) and justify here wetland increase in the text here. How do those scenarios reconcile with findings of Avis et al. (2011)? Also add Gao et al. (2013) to reference list.*

We now refer to the work of Gao et al. (2013) and Avis et al. (2011) to stress that future changes in wetland extent are subject to large uncertainty.

9. Page 16613, Line 1 – *Use different word here than “exemplarily”*

Modified accordingly.

10. Page 16616, Line 8 – *Correct grammar here: should be “after the middle of the century”*

Modified accordingly.

11. Page 16619, Line 2 – *Grammar – omit “of” here*

Modified accordingly.

12. Page 16622, Line 13 – *Correct grammar here “despite of the organic matter”*

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

Modified accordingly.

*13. Page 16622, Line 19 – Omit “of” from “Despite of”*

Modified accordingly.

*14. Table 1, footnote e – I have some issue with the assumptions regarding thaw rates in wetland soils. In many cases, saturated conditions in high-latitude peatlands function to accelerate thaw rates, due to non-conductive heat transfer processes. This approach for wetlands needs better justification in the text.*

See our comments made in the general discussion above (point 2).

*15. Table 1, Footnote d – Not entirely sure what you mean by “thaw rates are exemplary”. Could you elaborate? Did you conduct a validation experiment in comparing observed vs. modeled thaw rates for some sites?*

Our simulated thaw rates depend on four key factors: thermal ground properties, mean annual ground temperatures, active layer depth, and magnitude of the regional warming anomaly which drives permafrost degradation. We calculate thaw rates for each pool in each latitude band for each time step depending on those factors. In table 1 we show the range of our simulated thaw rates which is spanned by cold and warm mineral soil permafrost under the conditions specified under footnote d.

*16. Figure 5 - Add decimals to RCP scenarios?*

Modified accordingly.

*17. Supplemental, Page 2, Lines 15-18 – The authors should provide more detail here about soil temperature dynamics. This “lag” or “phase shift” in ground temperature has been well quantified in prior numerical evaluations. Please detail the assumptions made here.*

We now have detailed our assumptions in section 2.1 of the supplement.

*18. Supplemental, Page 3, Line 13 – This section primarily describes variation in thermal properties across soil types, but what about variation in thermal properties with frozen and unfrozen ground?*

We do not explicitly account for differences in thermal diffusivities between frozen and unfrozen ground. As the ratio of unfrozen to frozen ground generally increases from northern to southern

permafrost (because of a deepening of the active layer) we expect that an increasing contribution of unfrozen soil layers to the thermal ground state should show a general north-south dependency. In our thaw rate parametrization we introduce a latitudinal scaling of the calculated thaw rates (see section 2.2 in the supplement) and thus indirectly account for the above mentioned effect.

1

1 **Observation-based modelling of permafrost carbon fluxes**  
2 **with accounting for deep carbon deposits and thermokarst**  
3 **activity**

4  
5 **Thomas Schneider von Deimling**<sup>1,2</sup>, **Guido ~~Grosse~~<sup>2</sup>Grosse**<sup>1</sup>, **Jens**  
6 **~~Strauss~~<sup>2</sup>Strauss**<sup>1</sup>, **Lutz ~~Schirrmeister~~<sup>2</sup>Schirrmeister**<sup>1</sup>, **Anne**  
7 **~~Morgenstern~~<sup>2</sup>Morgenstern**<sup>1</sup>, Sibyll **~~Schaphoff~~<sup>1</sup>Schaphoff**<sup>2</sup>, Malte Meinshausen<sup>3</sup>,  
8 **Julia ~~Beike~~<sup>2</sup>Boike**<sup>1</sup>

9 ~~[1]{Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany}~~

10 ~~[2][1]{Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Periglacial~~  
11 ~~Research Unit, Potsdam, Germany}~~

12 ~~[2]{Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany}~~

13 ~~[3]{School of Earth Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia}~~

14 Correspondence to: T. Schneider von Deimling (~~schneider@pik-~~  
15 ~~potsdam~~Thomas.Schneider@awi.de)

16  
17 **Abstract**

18 High-latitude soils store vast amounts of perennially frozen and therefore inert organic matter.  
19 With rising global temperatures and consequent permafrost degradation, a part of this carbon  
20 storestock will become available for microbial decay and eventual release to the atmosphere. We  
21 have developed a simplified, two-dimensional multi-pool model to estimate the strength and  
22 timing of future carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) fluxes from newly thawed permafrost  
23 carbon (i.e. carbon thawed when temperatures rise above pre-industrial levels). We have  
24 especially simulated carbon release from deep deposits in Yedoma regions by describing abrupt  
25 thaw under newly formed thermokarst lakes. The computational efficiency of our model allowed  
26 us to run large, multi-centennial ensembles under various scenarios of future warming to express  
27 uncertainty inherent to simulations of the permafrost-carbon feedback.

1 Under moderate warming of the representative concentration pathway (RCP) 2.6 scenario,  
2 cumulated CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon amount to 20 to 58  
3 ~~petagrammes~~petagrams of carbon (Pg-C) (68% range) by the year 2100 and reach 40 to 98 Pg-C  
4 in 2300. The much larger permafrost degradation under strong warming (RCP8.5) results in  
5 cumulated CO<sub>2</sub> release of 42-to 141Pg-C and 157-to 313 Pg-C (68% ranges) in the years 2100  
6 and 2300, respectively. Our estimates do only consider fluxes from newly thawed permafrost but  
7 not from soils already part of the seasonally thawed active layer under ~~preindustrial~~pre-industrial  
8 climate. Our simulated methaneCH<sub>4</sub> fluxes contribute a few percent to total permafrost carbon  
9 release yet they can cause up to 40% of total permafrost-affected radiative forcing in the 21<sup>st</sup>  
10 century (upper 68% range). We infer largest methaneCH<sub>4</sub> emission rates of about 50 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> per  
11 year around the ~~mid~~middle of the 21<sup>st</sup> century when simulated thermokarst lake extent is at its  
12 maximum and when abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes is ~~accounted for~~taken into account.  
13 CH<sub>4</sub> release from newly thawed carbon in wetland-affected deposits is only discernible in the  
14 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century because of the absence of abrupt thaw processes. We further show that  
15 release from organic matter stored in deep deposits of Yedoma regions does crucially affect our  
16 simulated circumpolar methaneCH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. The additional warming through the release from  
17 newly thawed permafrost carbon proved only slightly dependent on the pathway of  
18 anthropogenic emission and amounts to about 0.03-0.14°C (68% ranges) by end of the century.  
19 The warming increased further in the 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century and was most pronounced under the  
20 RCP6.0 scenario with adding 0.16-to 0.39°C (68% range) to simulated global mean surface air  
21 temperatures in the year 2300.

22

23

## 24 **1 Introduction**

25 Soils in high northern latitudes represent one of the largest reservoirs of organic carbon in the  
26 terrestrial biosphere, holding an estimated 900-to 1700 ~~petagrammes~~petagrams (Pg) of organic  
27 carbon (Hugelius et al., 2014). While portions of this carbon pool are already affected by  
28 seasonal thaw in the active layer, substantial amounts are locked in perennially frozen deposits at  
29 depths currently exceeding the seasonal thaw depth. Zimov et al. (2006) have estimated that an  
30 amount of 450 Pg-C is stored in deep Siberian organic-rich frozen loess and have speculated that

1 | this carbon [storestock](#) could significantly contribute to global carbon fluxes when thawed. A  
2 | more recent study based on updated observations estimates a total of 211 (58 to 371) Pg-C being  
3 | stored in ice- and carbon-rich deep deposits in Siberia and Alaska (Strauss et al., 2013). As long  
4 | as frozen in the ground, permafrost organic matter is not part of the active carbon cycle and can  
5 | be considered mainly inert. With sustained warming and subsequent degradation of deeper  
6 | permafrost deposits, a part of this carbon pool will become seasonally thawed. Consequently, it  
7 | will become prone to microbial decomposition and mineralization. By ultimately increasing the  
8 | atmospheric concentration of the greenhouse gases CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, the carbon release from  
9 | thawing permafrost regions is considered a potentially large positive feedback in the climate-  
10 | carbon system (Schaefer et al., 2014), [Schuur et al., 2015](#). Given the long millennial timescale  
11 | processes leading to the build-up of old carbon in permafrost soils, future rapid releases from  
12 | these deposits are irreversible on a human timescale.

13 | However, the magnitude and timing of carbon fluxes as a consequence of permafrost degradation  
14 | are highly uncertain. This is mainly due to incomplete observational knowledge of the amount of  
15 | organic matter stored in permafrost deposits, of its quality and decomposability, as well as due to  
16 | the challenge of modelling the full chain of processes from permafrost thaw to carbon release.  
17 | Furthermore, conceptual and numerical permafrost landscape models also require suitable  
18 | upscaling methods ranging from local to global scales, based on field-based knowledge of the  
19 | surface characteristics, key processes and data collection of key parameters (Boike et al., 2012).  
20 | The vulnerability of permafrost carbon and its fate when thawed will be strongly determined by  
21 | various environmental controls (Grosse et al., 2011) such as soil type and soil moisture, which  
22 | both affect soil thermal conductivity and therefore determine the timescale of heat penetration  
23 | into the ground. Additionally, surface conditions such as organic-rich soil surface layers,  
24 | vegetation cover and snow exert strong controls on subsurface temperatures by insulating the  
25 | ground from surface air temperatures (Koven et al., 2013a). [In the absence of conditions for](#)  
26 | [abrupt permafrost thaw](#), mineral permafrost soils are typically more vulnerable to degradation  
27 | than carbon-rich organic soils; [The difference in vulnerability results from the insulating](#)  
28 | [properties of thick organic layers which slow down permafrost degradation \(Wisser et al. 2011\).](#)  
29 | [Further](#), the often higher ice-content of [the latter organic as compared to mineral soils](#) requires a  
30 | larger energy input for phase transition, and the usually anaerobic environments in organic soils  
31 | slow down carbon mineralization. [Yet, organic soils which are prone to ground subsidence and](#)

1 | [impoundment can be highly vulnerable and thus reveal permafrost degradation at increased rates](#)  
2 | [\(e.g. Camill et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2013\).](#)

3 | Therefore, for capturing site-specific pathways of carbon release from permafrost degradation, it  
4 | is important to consider the differing soil environments under which the organic matter will be  
5 | thawed. Of key importance is the impact of hydrological [and redox](#) conditions which determine  
6 | whether mineralized carbon will be emitted as CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> (Olefeldt et al., 2013). Future changes  
7 | in hydrological conditions in permafrost regions will therefore crucially affect the high latitude  
8 | carbon balance. Especially regions of ice-rich late Pleistocene deposits (Yedoma) are considered  
9 | to become potential hot spots for intensive thermokarst lake formation with consequent increases  
10 | in the fraction of permafrost-affected sediments under anaerobic environments (Walter et al.,  
11 | 2007a). Apart from affecting hydrological conditions, thermokarst lakes also exert a strong  
12 | warming of sub-lake sediments and thus enhance abrupt permafrost degradation. If thermokarst  
13 | lake depths exceed the maximum thickness of winter lake ice, these lakes retain liquid water  
14 | year-round and provide a strong warming and thawing of the underlying sediments (Arp et al.,  
15 | 2012). As a consequence, mean annual temperatures of thermokarst lake<sub>bottom</sub> sediments can  
16 | be up to 10 °C warmer than mean annual air temperatures (Jorgenson et al., 2010).

17 | So far, permafrost carbon dynamics are not included [into](#) standard climate model projections,  
18 | possibly due to only recent recognition of the large vulnerable permafrost carbon pool and given  
19 | the complexity of processes involved. The complexity arises not only from the need to simulate  
20 | physical changes in soil thermal conditions and phase transitions of water as a consequence of  
21 | various environmental controls (e.g. interactions among topography, water, soil, vegetation and  
22 | snow (Jorgenson et al., 2010)). It also arises from the challenge of describing the full chain of  
23 | ~~bio-geochemical~~[biogeochemical](#) processes for eventual carbon decomposition in the soils and  
24 | release to the atmosphere. Therefore, various aspects of permafrost physics and biogeochemistry  
25 | are only [recently](#) being implemented into current global climate models (formulated e.g. in  
26 | ~~(~~Lawrence and Slater, 2008; Koven et al., 2009; Lawrence et al., 2011; Dankers et al., 2011;  
27 | Schaphoff et al., 2013; Koven et al., 2013b; Ekici et al., 2014). First modelling results suggest a  
28 | very large range in predicted soil carbon losses from permafrost regions under scenarios of  
29 | unmitigated climate change (about 20 to 500 Pg-C by 2100, see Schaefer et al. (2014) for an  
30 | overview). This large range demonstrates the current uncertainty inherent to predictions of the  
31 | timing and strength of the permafrost carbon feedback.

1 Yet, these studies are based on models which still miss important mechanisms to capture the full  
2 complexity of the permafrost carbon feedback. Grosse et al. (2011) and van Huissteden and  
3 Dolman (2012) underlinenote that none of the current permafrost models consider the spatially  
4 inhomogeneous and potentially ~~much more~~ rapid degradation of ice-rich permafrost ~~and~~by  
5 thermokarst lake formation. This omission of abrupt thaw processes may result in  
6 underestimating an important part of anaerobic soil carbon decomposition. Studies have also  
7 underlined the importance of considering small scales: not only large Arctic lakes, but also the  
8 smaller Arctic thaw ponds, are biological hotspots for the emission of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> (Abnizova et  
9 al., 2012; Laurion, 2010). A recent expert assessment has emphasized the importance of abrupt  
10 thaw processes and so far unaccounted carbon stored in deep deposits below three meters  
11 (Schuur et al., 2013). Evidence for rapid and abrupt thaw on decadal scale, is already widespread  
12 (Jorgenson et al., 2006; Sannel and Kuhry, 2011; Kokelj et al., 2013; Reynolds et al., 2014), is  
13 likely to increase with future warming, and thus needs to be considered in order to make realistic  
14 projections of carbon dynamics in permafrost regions.

15 Our study aims to estimate the range of potential carbon fluxes from thawing permafrost by  
16 accounting for some abrupt thaw processes which can accelerate the degradation of frozen  
17 ground beyond what is inferred by standard modelling approaches that consider gradual thaw. By  
18 allocating permafrost organic matter into pools governed by different environmental controls, we  
19 describe different pathways of carbon release and we especially account for carbon released as  
20 CH<sub>4</sub>. By explicitly modelling carbon releases from deep carbon stores below three meters, we  
21 contribute to a more complete quantification of the permafrost-carbon feedback. ~~By allocating~~  
22 ~~permafrost organic matter into pools of differing environmental controls, we describe different~~  
23 ~~pathways of carbon release and we especially account for carbon released as methane which is~~  
24 ~~mostly neglected in current modelling approaches. Similarly,~~ Permafrost carbon release from  
25 deep deposits ishas mostly not ~~accounted for~~been taken into account previously, although first-  
26 order modelling studies have considered the contribution of permafrost carbon in Yedoma  
27 regions (Koven et al., 2011; Schaphoff et al., 2013). Yet in these studies the deep deposits have  
28 not contributed significantly to simulated carbon release because the models did not describe  
29 abrupt thaw processes, which may affect great depths. Khvorostyanov et al. (2008) have inferred  
30 a large contribution from Yedoma carbon deposits after the year 2300 when assuming that  
31 microbial heat strongly speeds-up permafrost degradation. To the best of our knowledge, our

1 modelling approach is the first to globally quantify the permafrost-carbon feedback for the  
2 coming centuries under considering carbon release from deep deposits and accounting for abrupt  
3 thaw processes.

4

## 5 **2 Multi-pool permafrost model**

6 Building on previous work (Schneider von Deimling et al., 2012), we have developed a  
7 simplified large-scale two-dimensional model with parameters tuned to match observed  
8 permafrost carbon characteristics. The model calculates permafrost degradation and eventual  
9 CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> release under differing environmental conditions. The newly developed model is  
10 shortly described in the following sections while more details are given in the supplementary  
11 material.

12 The model accounts for several processes which are [keycrucial](#) to the permafrost carbon  
13 feedback:

- 14 1. Depending on soil-physical factors, hydrologic conditions, and organic matter quality,  
15 permafrost carbon inventories were sub-divided into a total of 24 pools.
- 16 2. Permafrost thaw was calculated for various scenarios of global warming to determine the  
17 amount of carbon vulnerable to eventual release. Anaerobic soil fractions were  
18 calculated to determine the amount of organic matter stored in wetland- and  
19 thermokarst-affected sediments.
- 20 3. Permafrost carbon release as either CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> was calculated based on typical rates for  
21 aerobic and anaerobic carbon release.
- 22 4. By using a simplified climate-carbon model, we have determined the additional increase  
23 in global mean temperature through the permafrost carbon feedback.

24 The computational efficiency of our model allows us to explore the range of simulated  
25 permafrost carbon feedbacks by running large ensembles. Our [proceedinganalysis](#) expresses the  
26 uncertainty inherent to current knowledge of permafrost carbon release. Our framework allows  
27 [identifyingidentification of](#) key model parameters and processes and thus enables us to assess the  
28 importance of these factors [for-shapingin affecting](#) the strength and timing of the permafrost  
29 carbon feedback.

## 1 2.1 Model structure

2 The magnitude and timing of carbon release from thawing permafrost soils will be strongly  
3 determined by soil-physical factors such as soil ~~composition~~texture and organic matter  
4 decomposability, hydrologic state, and surface conditions. To account for these factors, we have  
5 developed a simplified but observationally constrained and computationally efficient two-  
6 dimensional model which allocates permafrost soil organic matter into various carbon pools.  
7 These pools describe carbon amount and quality, soil environments, and hydrological conditions  
8 (Fig. 1). To account for deposit-specific permafrost carbon vulnerability, we divide our carbon  
9 inventory into two near-surface pools (mineral and organic, 0 to 3m) and into two deep-ranging  
10 pools (Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst (including taberal sediments), 0 to 15m, see next  
11 section and Table 1). ~~By taberal deposits we understand~~ We allocate soil carbon contents  
12 according to the inventory estimates of the Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database  
13 (Hugelius et al., 2013). Hereby, we describe our mineral soil pool by the sum of SOC contents  
14 from orthels and turbels, and our organic pool by the SOC content from histels (see  
15 supplementary material for details and for soil classification definitions). We define taberal  
16 deposits as permafrost sediments that underwent thawing in a talik (a layer of year-round  
17 unfrozen ground in permafrost areas, such as under a deep lake), resulting in diagenetic alteration  
18 of sediment structures (loss of original cryostructure, sediment compaction) and biogeochemical  
19 characteristics (depletion of organic carbon). In addition, taberal deposits may be subject to  
20 refreezing (e.g., after lake drainage) (Grosse et al., 2007).

21 We describe differing hydrological controls by further subdividing each carbon pool into one  
22 aerobic fraction and two anaerobic fractions. Hereby we account for anaerobic conditions  
23 provided in wetland soils and by water-saturated sediments under thermokarst lakes. We put our  
24 model focus on the formation of new thermokarst lakes. We do not consider the contribution of  
25 lake areas which existed already under pre-industrial climate. The scarcity of observational data  
26 hampers an estimate of circumpolar lake ages. Therefore, estimates of the fraction of sub-lake  
27 sediments, which were thawed by past talik formation and growth, are highly uncertain.

28 In the following we define wetland soils from a purely hydrological viewpoint, i.e. by assuming  
29 that these soils are water-saturated and not affected by thermokarst. We further assume that  
30 anaerobic soil fractions are not stationary but will increase or decrease with climate change.

1 Therefore, we re-calculate the wetland and thermokarst fraction for each time step (see  
2 supplementary material for model details). Given the large-scale dominance of aerobic over  
3 anaerobic Arctic landscapes, we assume that wetland or thermokarst affected soils can be seen as  
4 isolated patches surrounded by aerobic soils. Our model describes the expansion of wetlands or  
5 thermokarst lakes into aerobic environments by an increase in the anaerobic area fractions at the  
6 expense of the aerobic area fraction. Vice versa, a decrease in simulated anaerobic fractions  
7 describes the falling dry of previously water-saturated ground and leads to an increase in the  
8 aerobic fraction. We do not consider the case of a thermokarst lake which develops into a  
9 wetland by terrestrialization. We neither consider the reverse case of a wetland becoming a  
10 thermokarst-affected terrain.

11 The magnitude of fractional area changes determines the amount of carbon which gets  
12 transferred between the aerobic and anaerobic pools. Carbon transferred is then subject to  
13 environmental control of thaw and decomposition of the corresponding new pool.

14 ~~Given the large scale dominance of aerobic over anaerobic landscapes (considered from a full~~  
15 ~~circum-Arctic viewpoint), we assume that any increase in the fraction of anaerobic areas~~  
16 ~~, i.e. in wetland or new thermokarst lake, will lead to a decrease in the aerobic fraction in each~~  
17 ~~latitude band. Vice versa, a decrease in the anaerobic fractions will lead to an increase in the~~  
18 ~~aerobic fraction. We do not consider the case of a thermokarst lake which develops into a~~  
19 ~~wetland by terrestrialization. We neither consider the reverse case of a wetland becoming a~~  
20 ~~thermokarst affected terrain. The change in aerobic and anaerobic areas determines the amount~~  
21 ~~of carbon which gets transferred between the pools and which then is subject to environmental~~  
22 ~~control of thaw and decomposition of the new pool.~~

23 We assume a linear increase in wetland extent with global warming with mean maximum  
24 increases up to 30% above pre-industrial wetland extent (see Table 1). We stress that future  
25 changes in wetland extent are subject to large uncertainty. While e.g. Gao et al. (2013)  
26 investigate future CH<sub>4</sub> release from Arctic regions based on simulating future increases in  
27 saturated areas, Avis et al. (2011) consider a scenario of a reduction in future areal extent and  
28 duration of high-latitude wetlands.

29 To capture ~~changes in future the growth and decline of newly formed~~ thermokarst ~~lake~~  
30 ~~coveragelakes~~, we have developed a conceptual model by making the simplifying assumption

1 that future increases in high latitude surface air temperatures are the main driver for thermokarst  
2 formation. We hereby assume that future warming results in a gradual increase [of newly](#)  
3 [formed](#) thermokarst lake areas (Smith et al., 2005; Plug and West, 2009; Walter et al., 2007b)  
4 until a maximum extent is reached (see Table 1). With further warming our model describes a  
5 decrease in thermokarst lake extent as we assume that lake drainage is becoming a key factor  
6 which strongly limits thermokarst lake area (van Huissteden et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2005;  
7 Jones et al., 2011; Morgenstern et al., 2011); see also supplementary Fig. S1).

8 As the quality of organic matter is a further key determinant for the timescale of carbon release  
9 (Strauss et al., [20142015](#)) we subdivide the carbon of each individual pool into a fast and a  
10 slowly decomposing fraction, with annual or respectively decadal timescales (Table 1). We do  
11 not describe permafrost organic matter of low quality (passive pool) which decays on a multi-  
12 centennial to millennial timescale. The partitioning of permafrost organic matter results in a total  
13 of 24 separate carbon pools which all contribute individually to simulated carbon fluxes (Fig. 1).

14 All pools and processes are stratified along latitudinal bands that provide a simplified gradient of  
15 climate and permafrost types. To describe the climate control exerted by surface-air and ground  
16 temperatures in each [latitude](#)~~latitude~~ [latitudinal](#) band, we assume that large-scale climate effects can be  
17 described by a general north-south temperature gradient. We acknowledge that longitudinal  
18 patterns can also be pronounced, but with a focus on large-scale regional rather than local  
19 changes we expect that the dominant climate control can be described by a profile of coldest  
20 permafrost temperatures at the northern limit and warmest temperatures at the southern limit  
21 (Romanovsky et al., 2010; Beer et al., 2013). Our model also resolves vertical information to  
22 account for varying carbon density with depth and to track active layer changes- [\(see section](#)  
23 [2.2\)](#). We chose a model resolution of 20 latitudinal bands (which range from 45°N to 85°N with  
24 a 2° gridding) and of 27 vertical soil layers (corresponding to layer thicknesses of 25cm for the  
25 upper four meters, and of 1m for the depth range 4 to 15m).

## 26 **2.2 Model initialization**

27 The flexibility of our model allows us to tune model parameters to observed data, e.g. to  
28 permafrost carbon inventories, carbon qualities, or active layer depths. This approach assures  
29 that our simulations do not suffer from an initial bias in the amount of modelled permafrost

1 carbon. This is contrary to model studies, which fully simulate soil thermal conditions with  
2 potentially large biases in initial permafrost extent (Slater and Lawrence, 2013). Such biases  
3 result in a large spread in simulated initial permafrost carbon ~~stores~~stocks (Mishra et al., 2013;  
4 Gouttevin et al., 2012). Based on updated Arctic soil carbon data (Hugelius et al., 2013; Hugelius  
5 et al., 2014; Strauss et al., 2013; Walter Anthony et al., 2014) we allocate permafrost carbon  
6 pools (latitudinally and vertically resolved) in different regions: two deep-ranging pools (0 to  
7 15m) in regions with Yedoma (80 Pg-C) and refrozen thermokarst deposits (240 Pg-C), and two  
8 near-surface pools (0 to 3m) in remaining regions with mineral soils (540 Pg-C) and organic  
9 soils (120 Pg-C), see the supplementary material and Table 1. [We describe the vertical soil  
10 carbon distribution separately for each meter of near-surface permafrost based on the Northern  
11 Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database \(Hugelius et al., 2013\). For deep soils below three meters we  
12 assume a constant vertical carbon density \(see Strauss et al., 2013, Strauss et al., 2015\).](#)

13 We then initialize each ~~latitude~~latitudinal band with a mean annual ground temperature between  
14  $-0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$  based on summer air temperature climatology data from the Berkeley Earth  
15 dataset (<http://berkeleyearth.org/data>; see supplementary material). The above temperature range  
16 is consistent with observed ground temperatures of continuous and discontinuous permafrost in  
17 the northern hemisphere (Romanovsky et al., 2010). We do not consider permafrost temperatures  
18 below  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$  (observed in the Canadian Archipelago and Northern Russia) which we consider in  
19 the outer tail of permafrost temperature distributions.

20 By assuming that the equilibrium active layer depth is determined by mean annual ground  
21 temperature and by the seasonal cycle of soil temperatures (see Koven et al. ~~(, 2013a))~~, we  
22 calculate typical minimum seasonal thaw depths of about ~~0.3m~~30cm (northernmost permafrost  
23 regions) and maximum seasonal thaw of about ~~2.5~~250 to ~~3m~~300cm (southernmost regions) for  
24 present-day climate conditions (see supplementary material). Although topography, soil type, as  
25 well as organic layer, vegetation cover, and snow cover variability can lead to spatially very  
26 heterogeneous patterns of active layer thicknesses, our scheme describes a latitudinal tendency of  
27 a strong north-south gradient of both subsoil temperature and active-layer thickness that  
28 generally matches observations (Beer et al., 2013).

29 By calculating the active layer depth for each carbon pool and in each latitudinal band, we can  
30 determine the fraction of permafrost carbon below the active layer and therefore the amount of

1 organic matter perennially frozen under our baseline climate conditions (i.e. pre-industrial  
2 climate). Large amounts of organic matter in permafrost soils reside in the active layer and were  
3 affected by past decomposition and release over millennia. It is unclear to what extent the quality  
4 of this seasonally-thawed organic material will allow extensive microbial decay in the future.  
5 Therefore we follow a strategy similar to Burke et al. (2012) and Harden et al. (2012) of  
6 considering only the part of permafrost carbon which was locked in perennially frozen  
7 [permafrost](#) since pre-industrial times and thus was not part of the active carbon cycle for  
8 millennia. We hereby assume that our carbon inventory describes organic matter in continuous  
9 and discontinuous permafrost. This carbon is likely to represent organic matter perennially  
10 frozen since pre-industrial climate. We do not consider soil carbon stored in younger permafrost  
11 deposits (sporadic and isolated patches) which likely had been thawed for the majority of the  
12 Holocene and therefore is likely depleted in labile organic matter. When accounting for  
13 uncertainty in model parameters, we infer a range of about 400 to 1100 Pg of carbon perennially  
14 frozen under pre-industrial climate. By combining field information with modelling, Harden et  
15 al. (2012) have estimated a total of about 130 to 1060 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under  
16 present day climate.

17 Further, we account for the fact that a large part of the permafrost carbon inventory (i.e. the  
18 passive pool) will likely be recalcitrant to decay on a multi-centennial timescale (Schmidt et al.,  
19 2011). Assuming a passive pool fraction of about 40 to 70%, only about 120 to 660 Pg of  
20 permafrost carbon can become vulnerable for eventual carbon release in our simulation setting.

21 To capture uncertainty in modelled carbon fluxes from thawing permafrost deposits, we have  
22 independently sampled a set of 18 key model parameters [to which](#) are subject to either  
23 observational or to model description uncertainty. For each warming scenario, we have  
24 performed 500 ensemble runs by applying a statistical Monte-Carlo sampling and by assuming  
25 uniformly and independently distributed model parameters and initial values.

### 26 **2.3 Permafrost thaw and carbon release**

27 With increasing high latitude warming the active layer will deepen. We model this process by  
28 assuming that climate-driven long-term thaw rates can be described depending on four key  
29 factors: physical ground properties, mean annual ground temperatures, depth of the thawed

1 sediment layer, and magnitude of the warming anomaly which drives permafrost degradation  
2 (see supplementary material). Hereby we capture factors which strongly affect pool-specific  
3 thaw dynamics, e.g. talik formation under thermokarst lakes, dampening of the thaw signal with  
4 depth, variable soil-ice contents. We therefore can determine the amount of newly thawed  
5 organic matter under various anthropogenic emission scenarios as a consequence of warming  
6 above pre-industrial temperatures. We hereby assume carbon emissions proportional to the  
7 amount of newly thawed carbon in each pool. Eventual carbon emission as CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> is  
8 determined through calculated aerobic and anaerobic emission rates (see supplementary  
9 material).

10 Finally, the permafrost model was coupled to a simple multi-pool climate-carbon cycle model to  
11 close the feedback loop: while the permafrost model simulates permafrost degradation and  
12 subsequent carbon release (as CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>), the climate carbon-cycle model calculates  
13 atmospheric changes in CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations and subsequent increases in global mean  
14 surface air temperatures. Based on state-of-the-art climate models (CMIP-5, Taylor et al., 2011),  
15 we infer polar amplification factors to describe surface air warming in each latitudinal band  
16 which then drives permafrost degradation in the next time step.

## 17 **2.4 Model limitations**

18 Our approach of modelling permafrost thaw relies on the simplifying assumption that the main  
19 driver of permafrost degradation is the rise of Arctic air temperatures. Yet soil thermal  
20 conditions can be influenced by factors other than temperature (e.g. vegetation cover, snow  
21 thickness, topography) (Jafarov et al., 2012; Jorgenson et al., 2010). We motivate our modelling  
22 approach by focusing on the large-scale and long-term deepening of active layer thickness under  
23 various warming scenarios. Although snow cover is considered a key factor for simulating  
24 present day permafrost extent consistent with observations (Koven et al., 2013a; Langer et al.,  
25 2013; Osterkamp, 2007; Stieglitz et al., 2003), it is unclear how strongly future changes in high-  
26 latitude snow cover will affect permafrost degradation. Given that no high-quality data products  
27 are available for a circumarctic mapping of snow cover, snow depth, and snow density – and  
28 given that climate models simulate strongly divergent pathways of future snowfall – we here  
29 make the simplifying assumption that the long term evolution of permafrost is largely driven by  
30 changes in surface air temperatures. Similarly, our simplified approach of describing thermokarst

1 dynamics is based on the assumption that future thermokarst formation is largely affected by  
2 increasing surface air temperature. Temperature-unrelated, local factors (such as topography,  
3 precipitation changes or wildfire) can also be key determinants for thermokarst dynamics. We  
4 understand our approach mainly as quantifying carbon fluxes under different hypotheses of  
5 future thermokarst development rather than providing deterministic and explicit predictions of  
6 individual thermokarst terrains. An alternative scenario of a reduction in high-latitude inland  
7 water surface area under future warming was e.g. investigated by Krinner and Boike (2010).

8 Nutrient limitation in the soils and abrupt carbon release after wildfires are considered two  
9 ~~farther, additional and~~ potentially important mechanisms for the carbon balance of thawed  
10 permafrost deposits which we do not consider in our model design ([Koven et al., 2015](#); Mack et  
11 al., 2004; Turetsky et al., 2011). Probably the largest effect of unaccounted processes on our  
12 simulated carbon fluxes comes from the omission of high latitude vegetation dynamics.  
13 Increased carbon uptake in a warmer climate through more productive vegetation can strongly  
14 affect the Arctic carbon balance (Schaphoff et al., 2013). The capturing of this feedback  
15 component requires the implementation of a dynamic vegetation model which is beyond the  
16 scope of this study. Also of importance in this respect is the potential restoration of carbon sinks  
17 after lake drainage which could, on the long-term, partially compensate for high CH<sub>4</sub> emission  
18 (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012; Kessler et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2012; Walter Anthony et  
19 al., 2012).

20 Our simulated wetland CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes describe ~~methane~~CH<sub>4</sub> produced from newly thawed  
21 permafrost carbon. Yet the full carbon balance of wetlands is rather complex and possibly more  
22 affected by future changes in soil moisture, soil temperature, and vegetation composition than by  
23 the delivery of newly thawed organic matter through permafrost degradation (Olefeldt et al.,  
24 2013). The accounting of these additional factors requires the implementation of comprehensive  
25 wetland models (such as suggested by Frohking et al. (2001); Kleinen et al. (2012); Eliseev et al.  
26 (2008)).

27

## 1 3 Model results

### 2 3.1 Permafrost degradation

3 We have run our model under various scenarios of future warming, ranging from moderate  
4 (RCP2.6) to extensive (RCP8.5). Under RCP2.6, global greenhouse gas emissions peak by 2020  
5 and decline strongly afterwards. We simulate subsequent increases in global mean surface-air  
6 temperatures which are constrained to below two degrees above pre-industrial levels. In case of  
7 unmitigated climate change (RCP8.5), global mean surface air temperatures continuously  
8 increase and reach 10°C by the end of the 23<sup>rd</sup> century at the upper range of our simulations. This  
9 pronounced difference in simulated surface air temperatures results in strongly differing  
10 pathways of long-term permafrost degradation (Fig. 2).

11 Depending on initial mean annual ground temperatures (MAGT<sub>0</sub>), we ~~exemplarily~~ infer for cold  
12 (MAGT<sub>0</sub>=-10°C), medium (MAGT<sub>0</sub>=-5°C), and warm (MAGT<sub>0</sub>=-0.5°C) permafrost mean  
13 active layer depths of 20cm, 70cm, and ~~250-cm~~250cm, respectively. In a recent study, Koven et  
14 al. (2013a) have diagnosed observed active layer depths north of 55°N from a circumpolar and a  
15 Russian data set (CALM (Brown et al., 2000), and Zhang et al. (2006)). Their analysis suggests  
16 a range of measured present-day active layer depths ranging from ~~30-cm~~30 to 230cm. The authors  
17 underline the challenge of comparing ~~modeled~~modelled with observed active layer depths given  
18 the different spatial coverage of models and observations.

19 As projections of surface air temperatures only start to diverge strongly after ~~mid~~the middle of  
20 the 21<sup>st</sup> century, continuous but slow deepening of the active layer is similar under RCP2.6 and  
21 RCP8.5 until 2050 (Fig. 2). We first focus on active layer deepening of the largest pool of  
22 permafrost carbon, i.e. organic matter in mineral soils under aerobic conditions (Fig. 2, upper  
23 panels). Under moderate warming (RCP2.6), active layer depths stabilize after 2100 for cold and  
24 medium permafrost temperatures (blue and green curves). ~~Yet~~Permafrost in southerly warm  
25 regions will degrade in our simulations with disappearance of near-surface (0 to 3m) permafrost  
26 before 2100 (red curve). Under strong warming (RCP8.5), a sharp increase in thawing rates in  
27 the second half of the 21<sup>st</sup> century can be seen and the majority of model runs suggest a  
28 degradation of near-surface permafrost towards the end of the century. In northern and cold  
29 permafrost regions, a complete disappearance of near-surface permafrost is only realized after

1 2150 (blue curve, upper right panel). The sustained long-term warming leads to a continuous  
2 deepening of the permafrost table which can reach about 10m (~7 to 13m, 68% range) by the  
3 year 2300 in our simulations.

4 Under wetland conditions (i.e. water/ice-saturated sediments), the active layer shows a similar  
5 but slower deepening in response to rising surface air temperatures (Fig. 2, mid panels). In  
6 contrast, when considering thermokarst lake formation, thaw rates increase sharply (Fig. ~~2, lower~~  
7 ~~panels~~ 2, lower panels) once lakes have reached a critical depth which prevents winter refreeze.  
8 As we do not model lake depth expansion we assume that formation of new thermokarst lakes is  
9 initiated for any warming above pre-industrial climate, while we assume that critical lake depths  
10 are only realized with beginning of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (see supplementary material). In the first  
11 years after intense thermokarst formation, sub-lake talik progression is very pronounced and  
12 annual thaw rates amount many decimetres (~~see supplementary material~~) — in line with  
13 observational and modelling studies (Ling et al., 2012; Kessler et al., 2012; ~~Grosse~~). The abrupt  
14 thaw dynamics results in disappearance of near-surface permafrost well before 2050 (Fig. 2,  
15 lower panels). By the year 2100, typical talik depths amount to 10 to ~~45 meters~~ 15m. The  
16 evolution of active layer depths in thermokarst-affected deposits does not strongly differ between  
17 moderate and extensive warming (Fig. 2, lower panels). This is because the degradation in  
18 thermokarst-affected sediments is driven by lake-bottom temperatures. Averaged over a full  
19 year, lake-bottom temperatures do not strongly differ between moderate and strong surface-air  
20 warming (see also Boike et al. (2015) and supplementary material).

21 In our model setting, we explicitly account for permafrost carbon in deep inventories (Yedoma  
22 and refrozen thermokarst deposits). By the end of the 23<sup>rd</sup> century, typical depths of the  
23 permafrost table in these carbon- and ice-rich sediments reach about 5 to ~~9 meters~~ 9m under the  
24 RCP8.5 scenario if no abrupt thaw is considered (not shown). Thus even under strong surface air  
25 warming, our simulations suggest a large part of the deep carbon deposits will remain  
26 perennially frozen over the coming centuries if only gradual thaw is considered. In contrast, in  
27 most latitudes of where ice-rich Yedoma ~~regions which are~~ is affected by new thermokarst  
28 formation, thaw reaches the maximum model depth of 15m before 2300.

## 1 3.2 Permafrost carbon release

2 We define permafrost carbon fluxes similar to Burke et al. (2012) and Harden et al. (2012) as the  
3 release from newly thawed permafrost carbon, i.e. the contribution of perennially frozen soil  
4 organic matter which becomes part of the active carbon cycle if warmed above pre-industrial  
5 temperatures. We stress that these fluxes do not describe the full carbon balance of permafrost  
6 regions which is also affected by changes in vegetation uptake, new carbon inputs into deeper  
7 soil layers, and carbon release from soil surface layers which were already seasonally thawed  
8 under pre-industrial climate (see discussion in section [Model Initialization-2.2](#)).

9 Depending on the degree of ground warming and thus on the extent of active layer deepening,  
10 differing amounts of newly thawed carbon will be made available for microbial decomposition  
11 and eventual release to the atmosphere. Fig. 3 illustrates permafrost carbon thaw and emissions  
12 under a scenario of moderate warming (RCP2.6, upper panels) and extensive warming (RCP8.5,  
13 lower panels). Under RCP2.6, largest increases in newly thawed permafrost carbon (Fig. 3, first  
14 column) are realized until [midthe middle](#) of the 21<sup>st</sup> century with a total of 167 Pg-C (113 to 239  
15 Pg-C, 68% range) of which 40 to 70% is assumed part of the passive carbon pool and thus  
16 recalcitrant on the timescale considered here. In contrast, the pronounced and continuous  
17 warming under RCP8.5 results in much larger amounts of newly thawed permafrost carbon. By  
18 the year 2100, 367 Pg-C are thawed (233 to 497 Pg-C, 68% range), and through further  
19 permafrost degradation in the 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century, a total of 564 Pg-C (392 to 734 Pg-C, 68%  
20 range) of organic matter is newly thawed by the year 2300. Focusing on the top three soil meters  
21 and considering a larger uncertainty spread in the permafrost carbon inventory, two recent  
22 studies estimated a min-max range of 75 to 870 Pg (Burke et al. 2012) and of 105 to 851 Pg  
23 (Harden et al. 2012) of newly thawed permafrost carbon under RCP8.5 until the year 2100.

24 The intensity of carbon release after permafrost thaw differs strongly among the scenarios in our  
25 simulations (Fig. 3). While under RCP2.6, maximum annual CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates are constrained  
26 to about 0.4 Pg-C/yr (0.2 to 0.6 [Pg-C/yr](#), 68% range), peak emission rates under RCP8.5 amount  
27 to 1.7 Pg-C/yr (median) and can reach 2.6 Pg-C/yr (upper 68% range). The decline in emission  
28 rates in the 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century describes the depletion of thawed permafrost carbon through  
29 release to the atmosphere. Under all RCPs, peak CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates occur around the end of the  
30 21<sup>st</sup> century.

1 Due to much lower anaerobic CH<sub>4</sub> as compared to aerobic CO<sub>2</sub> production rates (Table 1), and  
2 due to the majority of soil carbon being thawed under aerobic conditions, methane-emission from  
3 thawing permafrost soils amounts to only a few percent of total permafrost carbon release.  
4 Observational and modelling experts have estimated that methaneCH<sub>4</sub> will contribute by about  
5 1.5% to 3.5% to future permafrost carbon release (Schuur et al., 2013).

6 Given the slow progression of permafrost thaw in wetland-affected sediments, CH<sub>4</sub> release from  
7 newly thawed permafrost carbon is only discernible after end of this century (Fig. 3). We  
8 consider our estimates of wetland carbon fluxes being conservative: we neither account for  
9 carbon release from organic matter contained in the active layer which is already thawed since  
10 pre-industrial times, nor do we account for enhanced thaw of water-saturated grounds affected by  
11 non-conductive heat flow.

12 Our simulations suggest maximum annual CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates of a few Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> for moderate  
13 warming, about 16 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> (8 to 28 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>, 68% range) for strong warming. To the contrary,  
14 abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes results in peak methaneCH<sub>4</sub> emission after midthe middle  
15 of this century. Under RCP2.6, maximum annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are constrained to about 5.5 Tg-  
16 CH<sub>4</sub> (up to 11.5 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> for the upper 68% range), while under RCP8.5 peak CH<sub>4</sub> emission reach  
17 about 26 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> (14 to 49 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>, 68% range). The strong decline in emission rates towards  
18 the end of the century is an expression of the sharp decrease in thermokarst lake extents through  
19 increasing drainage under sustained warming (see Fig. S1). A pronounced spike in methane  
20 emissions as a consequence of rapidly expanding and subsequently shrinking thermokarst lake  
21 areas is in line with hypotheses of past rapid thermokarst lake formation and expansion. Walter  
22 et al. (2007a) suggest an annual CH<sub>4</sub> release of 30 to 40 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> from thermokarst lakes to  
23 partially explain CH<sub>4</sub> excursions of early Holocene atmospheric methane levels. Brosius et al.  
24 (2012) discuss a yearly contribution from thermokarst lakes of 15±4 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> during the Younger  
25 Dryas and 25±5 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> during the Preboreal period.

26 Our modelled total CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes under strong warming are comparable in magnitude to an  
27 estimated current release of 24.2±10.5 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> per year from northern lakes (Walter et al.,  
28 2007b). The majority of our results suggest that methane fluxes from newly thawed permafrost  
29 carbon are an order of magnitude smaller than the contribution from all current natural (about  
30 200 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> per year) and anthropogenic (about 350 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> per year) sources (Environmental

**Kommentar [s1]:** This section has been moved to section 4.

1 ~~Protection Agency (EPA), 2010). Focusing on thermokarst lakes in ice-rich sediments (i.e. on~~  
2 ~~our Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst deposits), we infer 21<sup>st</sup>-century averaged median emission~~  
3 ~~rates of 6.3 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr which are about double compared to recent model estimates of~~  
4 ~~thermokarst lake CH<sub>4</sub> release (van Huissteden et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2013). Based on a carbon~~  
5 ~~mass balance calculation of methane release from Siberian thermokarst lakes, Walter et al.~~  
6 ~~(2007b) suggest a contribution of about 50.000 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> (or 50-100 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr over centuries) in~~  
7 ~~case of a complete thaw of the Yedoma ice complex. Considering contributions from permafrost~~  
8 ~~wetlands and lakes, Burke et al. (2012) infer 21<sup>st</sup>-century methane emission rates below 53 Tg-~~  
9 ~~CH<sub>4</sub> per year for the majority of their model runs. Although our CH<sub>4</sub> release estimates, which are~~  
10 ~~inferred by an independent modelling approach, are comparable in magnitude with recent work,~~  
11 ~~a direct comparison with studies extrapolating observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes should be considered with~~  
12 ~~care. Observed methane fluxes describe the full carbon balance, including contributions from soil~~  
13 ~~surface layers and vegetation cover, which we do not consider in our model setting.~~

14 Under strong warming, our modelled methane~~CH<sub>4</sub>~~ emissions accumulate to 836 to 2614 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>  
15 (68% range) until the year 2100. Maximum contributions until the year 2300 can reach 10.000  
16 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> (upper 68% range, see Table 2).

17 We have additionally analysed the impact of uncertainty in initial MAGT distribution on the  
18 calculated carbon fluxes. Soil temperatures affect the magnitude of carbon release in two ways.  
19 First, MAGTs determine the initial active layer profile and thus the amount of carbon perennially  
20 frozen under ~~perpre~~-industrial climate. Second, soil temperatures determine the vulnerability of  
21 permafrost carbon to future degradation. Based on a model ensemble with sampling solely  
22 uncertainty in MAGT, we inferred a spread in the year 2100 of 32.5±23% Pg-C and 81.5±8%  
23 Pg-C for the scenarios RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 respectively, which further increase to 60±33% Pg-C  
24 and 235±6% Pg-C in the year 2300. The factor 3-5 larger fractional uncertainty for the climate  
25 mitigation scenario (RCP2.6) illustrates the enhanced sensitivity to initial permafrost  
26 temperatures of modelled carbon fluxes under moderate warming.

### 27 **3.3 Contribution of individual soil pools and of deep deposits**

28 Carbon release discussed in the previous section describes the sum of fluxes over all individual  
29 soil types, hydrologic controls, and organic matter qualities (based on a total of 24 individual

1 [carbon pools, see section 2.1](#)). We illustrate the contribution of individual fluxes to the total  
2 [carbon budget in supplementary figures S2 and S3](#). It can be seen that CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are largely  
3 [controlled by contributions from mineral soils, as these soils describe the largest source of](#)  
4 [organic matter and as they are dominated by aerobic conditions \(Fig. S2\)](#). In contrast, the total  
5 [CH<sub>4</sub> balance is influenced by contributions from all soils types. In our simulation setting, 21<sup>st</sup>](#)  
6 [century CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes are largely controlled by the formation and expansion of new thermokarst](#)  
7 [lakes, while discernible CH<sub>4</sub> release from newly thawing permafrost in wetlands results only in](#)  
8 [the 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century](#).

9 We account for a total of 230 Pg of organic ~~matter~~carbon buried below ~~3~~three meters in Yedoma  
10 and refrozen thermokarst deposits (including taberal sediments). Under aerobic or wetland  
11 conditions, our simulations suggest only small contributions of these deep deposits to the total  
12 release of newly thawed permafrost carbon even under scenarios of strong warming (Fig. ~~4~~4,  
13 [supplementary figures S2 and S3](#)). Discernible contributions are only inferred towards the end of  
14 our simulations (23<sup>rd</sup> century), with fluxes from deep deposits contributing a maximum of about  
15 10% to accumulated CO<sub>2</sub> release or about 5% to total wetland CH<sub>4</sub> release (upper 68% ranges).  
16 The lagged response of deep carbon release is an expression of the slow penetration of heat into  
17 the ground. In most latitude bands under the RCP2.6 scenario, no frozen carbon from deep  
18 deposits is thawed as the moderate warming does not result in active layer depths exceeding  
19 three meters.

20 Yet if abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes is accounted for, the fast propagation of sub-lake  
21 taliks can unlock large amounts of perennially frozen deep organic matter even within this  
22 century- [\(see supplementary figures S2 and S3\)](#). Our simulations suggest that until 2100 about 25  
23 to 30% of emitted ~~methane~~CH<sub>4</sub> from thermokarst lakes stems from contributions of deep  
24 permafrost carbon (Fig. 4, lower panel). Maximum contributions until 2300 can amount to 35%  
25 (upper 68% range).

26 [We have performed additional model simulations to illustrate the extent to which our simulated](#)  
27 [permafrost carbon fluxes are affected by changes in anaerobic soil fractions and by deep carbon](#)  
28 [release. For this purpose we have run two further model ensembles under identical parameter](#)  
29 [settings for each warming scenario in which we 1\) fixed anaerobic soil fractions at initial values](#)  
30 [\(i.e. static anaerobic soil fractions\), and 2\) disregarded soil carbon below 3 meters. Resulting](#)

1 [CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes reveal a comparable magnitude under the different simulation settings because our](#)  
2 [simulated changes in anaerobic soil fractions and contributions from deep carbon deposits do](#)  
3 [only slightly affect total CO<sub>2</sub> release. Yet these factors were found to exert a strong control on](#)  
4 [simulated CH<sub>4</sub> release \(supplementary figure S4\). Especially CH<sub>4</sub> release in the 21<sup>st</sup> century is](#)  
5 [largely driven by the contribution from newly formed thermokarst lakes, enhanced by carbon](#)  
6 [release from deep deposits.](#)

### 8 **3.4 Permafrost-affected warming**

9 To disentangle the warming caused by anthropogenic greenhouse gas emission from warming  
10 caused by permafrost-carbon release, we have performed paired-simulations under identical  
11 parameter settings – once with the permafrost module activated and once deactivated. The  
12 difference in global mean surface-air temperatures between each pair of ensemble simulations is  
13 what we define as the additional global warming caused by newly thawed permafrost carbon (i.e.  
14 permafrost-affected warming).

15 Although permafrost carbon release increases strongly with rising global temperatures (Fig. 3),  
16 our results suggest a permafrost-affected global warming of about 0.05°C to 0.15°C (68% range)  
17 until 2100 which is only slightly dependent on the anthropogenic emission pathway. ([Fig. 5,](#)  
18 [Table 2](#)). The quasi path-independency of the permafrost temperature feedback is an expression  
19 of the decreasing radiative efficiency under high atmospheric greenhouse gas levels. Long-term  
20 warming from the release of newly thawed permafrost carbon can add an additional 0.4°C (upper  
21 68% range) to global temperatures until the year 2300. Despite ~~of methane~~CH<sub>4</sub> release  
22 contributing only a few percent to total permafrost carbon release, our analyses suggest that it  
23 can cause up to about 40% (upper 68% range) of permafrost-affected warming. In the 22<sup>nd</sup> and  
24 23<sup>rd</sup> century the radiative balance is largely affected by aerobic permafrost carbon release as  
25 emitted CO<sub>2</sub> accumulates over centuries in the atmosphere – in contrast to the fast decline in  
26 ~~methane~~CH<sub>4</sub> anomalies with a typical CH<sub>4</sub> life-time of about a decade.

#### 1 4 Discussion and conclusions

2 This paper presents a new observation-based model for assessing long-term climatic  
3 consequences of permafrost degradation. Our simulation strategy consisted in partitioning carbon  
4 inventories into different pools of varying soil and surface conditions to model site-specific  
5 carbon release. Rather than trying to capture permafrost-carbon dynamics in detail, we instead  
6 have aimed at describing in a simplified manner a multitude of processes which are key to  
7 permafrost carbon release – such as abrupt thaw in thermokarst-affected sediments. We have  
8 especially aimed at accounting for the contribution of carbon release from known deep deposits  
9 in the 1.3 million km<sup>2</sup> large Yedoma region of Siberia and Alaska (Strauss et al., 2013; Walter  
10 Anthony et al., 2014), which had been neglected in most previous modelling studies. Our  
11 computationally efficient model has enabled us to scan the large uncertainty inherent to  
12 observing and modelling the permafrost carbon feedback. In our study we had focused on the  
13 contribution of newly thawed permafrost carbon which becomes vulnerable through soil  
14 warming above pre-industrial temperatures. However, we stress that the full permafrost carbon  
15 feedback is also affected by ~~contributions~~ carbon fluxes from sources not considered in this  
16 study, such as the contribution from soil surface layers (seasonally thawed active layer) and  
17 changes in high-latitude vegetation. With rising soil temperatures, further contributions will also  
18 result from known carbon stocks in permafrost regions, which are not ~~considered in this~~  
19 ~~study~~ classified as gelisols (e.g. histosols). Finally, abrupt thaw processes other than thermokarst  
20 (e.g. caused by wildfires, coastal and thermal erosion) not considered in our study will  
21 potentially result in enhanced permafrost carbon fluxes (Grosse et al., 2011).

22 The large spread in future carbon release from permafrost degradation inferred from modelling  
23 studies (see Schaefer et al. (2014) and Schuur et al. (2015) for an overview) is caused by various  
24 factors. One key issue are pronounced differences in the strength of simulated permafrost  
25 degradation. In a recent observationally-constrained model study, Hayes et al. (2014) suggest a  
26 mean deepening of the active layer of 6.8 cm over the period 1970 to 2006. We simulate a  
27 deepening by 5.9 to 15.5 cm (68% range) over the same period when focusing on our mineral  
28 soil pool under aerobic conditions. By the year 2100, our simulations suggest a mean active layer  
29 deepening of this pool by 40 to 76 cm under RCP2.6, and of 105 to 316 cm under RCP8.5. The  
30 latter range covers a large part of previous estimates, although some studies suggest lower values  
31 (Schaefer et al., 2014). Yet a comparison of aggregated simulated active layer depths should be

1 considered with care as differences in definitions (e.g. of the considered permafrost domain and  
2 its vertical extent) or different assumptions of future warming can lead to estimating  
3 systematically lower or higher active layer depths.

4 Our simulations suggest that permafrost emissions will be strongly constrained when limiting  
5 global warming: under a climate mitigation pathway (RCP2.6), the increase in high latitude  
6 temperatures results in a moderate deepening of the active layer which stabilizes in most  
7 latitudes after the year 2100 (in line with diagnostics based on complex models (Slater and  
8 Lawrence, 2013)). Until end of the century about 36 Pg (20 to 58 Pg, 68% range) of carbon ~~can~~  
9 ~~be~~ released as CO<sub>2</sub>. Under strong warming (RCP8.5), permafrost degradation proves  
10 substantial and cumulated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ~~can reach~~ 87 Pg-C (42 to 141 Pg-C, 68%  
11 range) by the year 2100. A release of 87 Pg-C corresponds to a mean loss of about 12% of our  
12 initial inventory of 750 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under pre-industrial climate. Other  
13 modelling studies have estimated a loss of 6- to 33% of initial permafrost carbon stocks, while  
14 the majority of models suggest a loss of 10 to 20% (Schaefer et al., 2014). Incubation of  
15 permafrost soil samples suggest a carbon loss from mineral soils under aerobic conditions of  
16 13% and 15% over 100 years when assuming thaw during 4four months in a year (Schädel et al.  
17 2013; Knoblauch et al. 2013).

18 ~~The sustained long term warming under RCP8.5 results in an almost complete degradation of~~  
19 ~~near surface permafrost in the 22<sup>nd</sup> century and illustrates the long term consequences of~~  
20 ~~permafrost carbon release: our simulations suggest that until the year 2300, a total of about 157~~  
21 ~~to 313 Pg C can be released to the atmosphere. Peak emissions occur at the end of the 21<sup>st</sup>~~  
22 ~~century and reach 2.5 Pg C per year under strong warming (RCP8.5, upper 68% range). In the~~  
23 ~~22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century depletion of permafrost carbon gets increasingly noticeable and total~~  
24 ~~emissions from newly thawed carbon decline.~~ Our analyses have shown a large potential of  
25 reducing uncertainty in simulated carbon fluxes especially for climate mitigation pathways when  
26 more and spatially higher resolved data of present day permafrost temperatures will be available.

27 Based on our conceptual model of thermokarst lake formation and drainage, our results suggest  
28 that abrupt thaw can unlock large amounts of frozen carbon within this century. We infer a  
29 deepening of the permafrost table by several meters in 100 years after thermokarst initiation,  
30 with additional talik propagation large enough to fully thaw sediments to our lower pool

1 boundary (15m) in the second half of the 22<sup>nd</sup> century. Subsequent CH<sub>4</sub> release from newly  
2 thawed permafrost under RCP8.5 results in ~~peak~~-emissions ~~up to that peak at~~ about 50 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>  
3 per year (upper 68% range) in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. ~~Our modelled methane releases are~~ A pronounced  
4 spike in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions as a consequence of a magnitude comparable to paleo-based estimates  
5 from past rapidly expanding and subsequently shrinking thermokarst dynamics (lake areas is in  
6 line with hypotheses of past rapid thermokarst lake formation and expansion. Walter et al.,  
7 (2007a); suggest an annual CH<sub>4</sub> release of 30 to 40 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> from thermokarst lakes to partially  
8 explain CH<sub>4</sub> excursions of early Holocene atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> levels. Brosius et al., (2012) ~~and~~  
9 ~~suggest slightly larger~~ discuss a yearly contribution from thermokarst lakes of 15±4 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>  
10 during the Younger Dryas and 25±5 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> during the Preboreal period.

11 Our modelled total CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes under strong warming are comparable in magnitude to an  
12 estimated current release of 24.2±10.5 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> per year from northern lakes (Walter et al.,  
13 2007b). The majority of our results suggest CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon  
14 are an order of magnitude smaller than the contribution from all current natural (about 200 Tg-  
15 CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) and anthropogenic (about 350 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) sources (Environmental Protection Agency  
16 (EPA), 2010). Focusing on thermokarst lakes in ice-rich deposits (i.e. on Yedoma and refrozen  
17 thermokarst deposits), we infer 21<sup>st</sup> century averaged median emission rates of 6.3 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>/yr  
18 which are about double compared to two recent modelling studies (Gao et al., 2013; estimate  
19 based on a stochastic thaw-lake model for Siberian ice-rich deposits (van Huissteden et al.,  
20 2011). Using an integrated earth-system model framework, Gao et al. (2013) estimate that  
21 increases in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions until 2100 from inundated area expansion and soil warming range  
22 between 5.6 to 15.1 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>/yr. In contrast to our analyses, their simulated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes are  
23 largely dominated by wetland CH<sub>4</sub> release because they assume a fixed value of 3.35 for the  
24 wetland:lake ratio in regions north of 45°. Even under assumptions of maximum increases in  
25 saturated areas, Gao et al. (2013) simulate future thermokarst lake extents which cover only a  
26 few percent of Arctic landscapes. In our model setting (see table 1), we have investigated the  
27 scenario of a potential large transformation of northern landscapes, considering up to 50% of ice-  
28 rich regions being affected by newly formed thermokarst lakes – and therefore we simulate a  
29 much larger CH<sub>4</sub> contribution from permafrost sediments affected by thermokarst.

30 Burke et al. (2012) infer 21<sup>st</sup> century annual CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates from permafrost wetlands and  
31 lakes below 53 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> for the majority of their model runs. Although our CH<sub>4</sub> release estimates,

Kommentar [s2]: Moved from 3.2.

Kommentar [s3]: Comparison with Gao et al. (2013)

1 [which are inferred by an independent modelling approach, are comparable in magnitude with](#)  
2 [recent work, a direct comparison with studies extrapolating observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes \(e.g. van](#)  
3 [Huissteden et. al \(2011\); Gao et al. \(2011\)\) should be considered with care. Observed CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes](#)  
4 [describe the full carbon balance, including contributions from soil surface layers and vegetation](#)  
5 [cover, which we do not consider in our model setting.](#)

6  
7 In contrast to abrupt thaw and fast release under thermokarst lakes, [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#) release from  
8 newly thawed carbon in wetland-affected soils is slow with discernible contributions only in the  
9 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century. Although contributing only a few percent to total permafrost carbon  
10 release, our simulated [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#) fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon can cause up to  
11 40% of permafrost-affected warming in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Given the short lifetime of  
12 [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#), the radiative forcing from permafrost carbon in the 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century is largely  
13 dominated by aerobic CO<sub>2</sub> release.

14 Under strong warming, our modelled [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#) emissions from newly thawed permafrost  
15 accumulate to some thousand [terra-grammesTg](#) until the year 2100, with maximum contributions  
16 of 10.000 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> (upper 68% range) until the year 2300 (see Table 1). Yet the release of this  
17 amount of CH<sub>4</sub> would only slightly affect future atmospheric [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#) levels under projected  
18 RCP CH<sub>4</sub> emissions as the anthropogenic contribution will dominate atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>  
19 concentrations. [Based on a carbon mass balance calculation of CH<sub>4</sub> release from Siberian](#)  
20 [thermokarst lakes, Walter et al. \(2007b\) suggest a contribution of about 50.000 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub> \(or 50 to](#)  
21 [100 Tg-CH<sub>4</sub>/yr over centuries\)](#) in the extremely unlikely case of a complete thaw of the Yedoma  
22 [ice complex, Walter et al. \(2007b\) have discussed a contribution of 50.000 Tg of methane being](#)  
23 [released into the atmosphere deposits.](#)

24 To put into relation the contribution of carbon fluxes from deep deposits to the total, circumpolar  
25 release from newly thawed permafrost, we have analysed the contribution of individual pools.  
26 Our simulations suggest that the omission of deep carbon [storesstocks](#) is unlikely to strongly  
27 affect CO<sub>2</sub> release from permafrost degradation in the coming centuries. In contrast, CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes  
28 from newly thawed permafrost are strongly influenced by carbon release from organic matter  
29 stored in deep deposits. Although our considered deep pools cover only about 12% of the total  
30 area of northern hemisphere gelisols, and despite of the organic matter in these pools being

1 buried deep in the ground, these pools contribute significantly to the total CH<sub>4</sub> balance because  
2 abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes can unlock a large portion of previously inert organic  
3 matter. About a quarter of 21<sup>st</sup> century [thermokarst lake](#) CH<sub>4</sub> release stems from newly thawed  
4 organic matter stored in deep deposits (i.e. from soil layers deeper than 3m). Further, our  
5 analyses revealed that the release from mineralization of labile organic matter contributes  
6 disproportionately high to these fluxes. Despite ~~of~~ assuming a fast (labile) pool fraction of only a  
7 few percent, our simulated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from newly thawed labile organic matter account for up to  
8 half of the total thermokarst-affected deep CH<sub>4</sub> release in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Therefore, improved  
9 observational estimates of the share of labile organic matter would help to reduce uncertainty in  
10 simulated [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#) release from deep carbon deposits (Strauss et al., [20142015](#)). The  
11 analysis of individual deep pools revealed a [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#) release ~~about a factor of two larger~~  
12 from refrozen thermokarst ~~compared up~~ to [twice the emission from](#) unaltered Yedoma.

13 Our results suggest a mean increase in global average surface temperature of about 0.1°C by the  
14 year 2100 (0.03 to 0.14°C, 68% ranges) caused by carbon release from newly thawed permafrost  
15 soils. Long-term warming through the permafrost carbon feedback (year 2300) can add an  
16 additional 0.4°C (upper 68% range) to projected global mean surface air temperatures.

17 Our analyses suggest ~~at that the~~ [permafrost-affected induced additional](#) warming ~~which~~ is similar  
18 under ~~differing~~ [different](#) scenarios of anthropogenic emissions – despite of largest carbon release  
19 from permafrost degradation under strong warming. The weak path dependency is a consequence  
20 of the decreasing radiative efficiency of emitted permafrost carbon under increasing [greenhouse](#)  
21 ~~gas levels. background CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations.~~

22 [In a previous study \(Schneider von Deimling et al. \(2012\) – referred to as SvD2012 in the](#)  
23 [following – the authors calculated carbon fluxes from degradation of near-surface permafrost](#)  
24 [based on a model which described permafrost dynamics in less detail but was coupled to a more](#)  
25 [comprehensive description of climate-carbon cycle feedbacks \(MAGICC-6, Meinshausen et al.,](#)  
26 [2011\). The various differences in model description between SvD2012 and our current study](#)  
27 [\(SvD2015\) affect simulated permafrost carbon fluxes and the inferred temperature feedback in](#)  
28 [multiple ways. In contrast to SvD2012, we now resolve vertical model levels and account for](#)  
29 [depth dependent thaw dynamics and carbon distribution. This allows us to better initialize our](#)  
30 [model based on observed active layer profiles and soil carbon concentrations. As a consequence](#)

**Kommentar [s4]:** Comparison with our previous work

1 [of our improved thaw rate parametrization \(see section 2.2 of the supplement\), in our new study](#)  
2 [we simulate increased permafrost thaw \(compared to SvD2012\), especially under moderate](#)  
3 [warming. Therefore, we now generally simulate larger carbon fluxes in the 21<sup>st</sup> century which](#)  
4 [are also due to an improved tuning of soil carbon decomposition. Yet in our current study, we](#)  
5 [model smaller cumulated carbon fluxes in the 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> century under RCP8.5 because we](#)  
6 [consider a smaller fraction of permafrost carbon being available for long-term release.](#)

7 [The quantification of additional warming through permafrost carbon release requires a model](#)  
8 [description of translating permafrost carbon fluxes into atmospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and](#)  
9 [CH<sub>4</sub>, and ultimately into global mean temperature anomalies. In SvD2012, these calculations](#)  
10 [were based on the MAGICC-6 model \(Meinshausen et al., 2011\), while in our current study we](#)  
11 [use a more simplified description based on Allen et al. \(2009, see supplement section 2.5\).](#)  
12 [Finally, the use of a fully-fledged carbon cycle emulation \(MAGICC-6\) in SvD2012 results in](#)  
13 [additional carbon fluxes from non-permafrost terrestrial and oceanic sources which are triggered](#)  
14 [by additional warming through permafrost degradation – and thus increase the overall](#)  
15 [temperature feedback. Differences in estimates of permafrost affected warming between](#)  
16 [SvD2012 and SvD2015 illustrate that factors independent from permafrost dynamics \(such as](#)  
17 [differing model formulations of ocean heat uptake\) do affect the strength of the inferred](#)  
18 [temperature feedback.](#)

19 MacDougall et al. (2012) also modelled a permafrost-carbon feedback largely independent of the  
20 emission pathway but inferred larger upper estimates of permafrost-affected warming due to  
21 considering a much larger pool available for carbon release triggered by permafrost degradation.  
22 An increase in the permafrost temperature feedback with global warming was inferred by Burke  
23 et al. (2012) who considered a much larger spread in the near-surface permafrost carbon  
24 inventory (~300 to 1800 Pg-C) and who estimated the permafrost temperature feedback by the  
25 year 2100 as 0.02 to 0.11°C and 0.08 to 0.36°C (90% ranges) under RCP2.6 and RCP8.5  
26 respectively.

27 [In conclusion, our results demonstrate that deep carbon deposits and abrupt thaw processes, such](#)  
28 [as provided by thermokarst lake formation, should be included into future model simulations for](#)  
29 [an improved representation of the permafrost-carbon feedback.](#)

30

## 1 5 Outlook

2 We consider our estimates conservative because carbon release from further, in this study  
3 unaccounted sources, are likely to increase the strength of the full permafrost-carbon feedback.

4 ~~Firstly,(1)~~ Our study focuses solely on the carbon fluxes resulting from newly thawed soils and  
5 deposits in our simulation scenarios, thus excluding carbon fluxes from permafrost-affected soils  
6 in the current active layer. These soils will also warm to different levels under RCP scenarios  
7 and very likely will be subject to enhanced mineralization of the large already seasonally thawed  
8 C pool of about 500 Pg (Hugelius et al., 2014). ~~Secondly,(2)~~ We do not account for the  
9 contribution of newly thawed organic matter of low quality, which we assume recalcitrant on the  
10 timescale considered here (i.e. 40 to 70% of thawed organic matter is not available for release).

11 More data and longer time series of incubation experiments, in combination with modelling work  
12 of soil-carbon dynamics, are needed to better constrain timescale assumptions for soil organic  
13 matter decomposition. Also of importance are improved data-based estimates of

14 ~~CH<sub>4</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub>anaerobicCO<sub>2</sub> anaerobic~~ production ratios, which determine the share of carbon emitted  
15 as CH<sub>4</sub>. ~~Thirdly,(3)~~ We do not account for the presence, and potential thaw and mobilization, of

16 deep frozen carbon outside the Yedoma and ~~RTKrefrozen thermokarst~~ region. Currently no  
17 coherent data is available on the distribution and organic carbon characteristics of soils and

18 sediments below ~~3three~~ meter depth for large regions in Siberia, Alaska, and Canada. Our model  
19 results suggest that these depths will be affected by thaw over the coming centuries and available

20 thawed organic matter would contribute to the permafrost carbon feedback. ~~Fourthly,(4)~~ We do  
21 not consider carbon release from degrading submarine permafrost which might result in an

22 underestimation of circumpolar permafrost-affected ~~methaneCH<sub>4</sub>~~ fluxes in our study (Shakhova  
23 et al., 2010). ~~Fifthly,(6)~~ Extensive permafrost degradation can support a large and abrupt release

24 of fossil CH<sub>4</sub> from below the permafrost cap based on presence of regional hydrocarbon  
25 reservoirs and geologic pathways for gas migration (Walter Anthony et al., 2012). We do not

26 consider this pathway of ~~potentially~~ abrupt ~~methaneCH<sub>4</sub>~~ release which could lead to a non-  
27 gradual increase in the permafrost-carbon feedback if sub-cap CH<sub>4</sub> increases non-linearly with

28 warming. Likely, the most important omission in our study stems from changes in the high-  
29 latitude carbon balance caused by altered vegetation dynamics. Here, an increased carbon uptake

30 through more productive high-latitude vegetation and the renewal of carbon sinks in drained  
31 thermokarst basins can considerably decrease the net carbon loss on centennial time-scales

1 (Schaphoff et al., 2013; van Huissteden et al., 2011). Yet this loss can be partially compensated  
2 through enhanced respiration of soil-surface organic matter which is stored in large amounts in  
3 permafrost regions (but which was not incorporated into permafrost in the past and thus is not  
4 considered in this study here). On the other hand, a transition from tundra- towards taiga-  
5 dominated landscapes as a consequence of high-latitude warming can strongly decrease surface  
6 albedo and therefore additionally warm permafrost regions. We consider the implementation of  
7 high-latitude vegetation dynamics into permafrost models a key step towards an improved  
8 capturing of the timing and strength of the full permafrost-carbon feedback.

9

## 10 **Acknowledgements**

11 Special thanks to S. Mathesius for the analysis of CMIP-5 data, G. Hugelius for providing soil  
12 carbon data for near-surface permafrost inventories, M. Allen for having provided an earlier  
13 version of the climate carbon cycle model, H. Lantuit for discussing aspects of permafrost  
14 degradation through coastal erosion, C. Schädel for discussing incubation results of soil carbon  
15 lability, and [KateyK.](#) Walter Anthony and [HannaH.](#) Lee for discussing ratios of [methaneCH<sub>4</sub>](#)  
16 versus carbon dioxide production rates.

17

18 ~~Portions of~~ This study ~~werewas~~ supported by the Federal Environment Agency for Germany  
19 (UBA) under project UFOPLAN FKZ 3712 41 106 and ERC Starting Grant #338335.

20 J. Strauss was supported by a grant of the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes (German  
21 National Academic Foundation) ~~and~~, the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research  
22 (Grant 01DM12011) ~~and the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association~~  
23 ~~(#ERC-0013). A. Morgenstern was supported by the Helmholtz Postdoc Programme of the~~  
24 ~~Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association (#PD-003). M. Meinshausen was~~  
25 ~~supported by the Australian Research Council grant ARC FT130100809.~~

26

## 1 **References**

- 2 Abnizova, A., Siemens, J., Langer, M., and Boike, J.: Small ponds with major impact: The  
3 relevance of ponds and lakes in permafrost landscapes to carbon dioxide emissions, *Global*  
4 *Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26, GB2041, 10.1029/2011GB004237, 2012.
- 5 Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., Lu, Z., and Whitman, M. S.: Shifting balance of thermokarst lake ice  
6 regimes across the Arctic Coastal Plain of northern Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39,  
7 L16503, 10.1029/2012GL052518, 2012.
- 8 Avis, C. A., Weaver, A. J., and Meissner, K. J.: Reduction in areal extent of high-latitude  
9 wetlands in response to permafrost thaw, *Nature Geosci*, 4, 444-448, 2011.
- 10 Beer, C., Fedorov, A. N., and Torgovkin, Y.: Permafrost temperature and active-layer thickness  
11 of Yakutia with 0.5-degree spatial resolution for model evaluation, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 305-  
12 310, 10.5194/essd-5-305-2013, 2013.
- 13 Boike, J., Langer, M., Lantuit, H., Muster, S., Roth, K., Sachs, T., Overduin, P., Westermann, S.,  
14 and McGuire, A. D.: Permafrost – Physical Aspects, Carbon Cycling, Databases and  
15 Uncertainties, in: *Recarbonization of the Biosphere*, edited by: Lal, R., Lorenz, K., Hüttnl, R. F.,  
16 Schneider, B. U., and von Braun, J., Springer Netherlands, 159-185, 2012.
- 17 Boike, J., Kattenstroth, B., Abramova, K., Bornemann, N., Chetverova, A., Fedorova, I., Fröb,  
18 K., Grigoriev, M., Grüber, M., Kutzbach, L., Langer, M., Minke, M., Muster, S., Piel, K.,  
19 Pfeiffer, E. M., Stoof, G., Westermann, S., Wischnewski, K., Wille, C., and Hubberten, H. W.:  
20 Baseline characteristics of climate, permafrost and land cover from a new permafrost  
21 observatory in the Lena River Delta, Siberia (1998–2011), *Biogeosciences*, 10, 2105-  
22 2128, 10.5194/bg-10-2105-2013, 2013.
- 23 [Boike, J., Georgi, C., Kirilin, G., Muster, S., Abramova, K., Fedorova, I., Chetverova, A.,](#)  
24 [Grigoriev, M., Bornemann, N., and Langer, M.: Physical processes of thermokarst lakes in the](#)  
25 [continuous permafrost zone of northern Siberia - observations and modeling \(Lena River Delta,](#)  
26 [Siberia\), \*Biogeosciences Discussions\* \(accepted\), 2015.](#)
- 27 Brosius, L. S., Walter Anthony, K. M., Grosse, G., Chanton, J. P., Farquharson, L. M., Overduin,  
28 P. P., and Meyer, H.: Using the deuterium isotope composition of permafrost meltwater to

1 constrain thermokarst lake contributions to atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> during the last deglaciation, *Journal*  
2 *of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G01022, 10.1029/2011JG001810, 2012.

3 Brown J., Hinkel K. M., and Nelson F. E.: The circumpolar active layer monitoring (calm)  
4 program: research designs and initial results, *Polar Geogr.*, 24, 166–258, 2000.

5 Burke, E. J., Hartley, I. P., and Jones, C. D.: Uncertainties in the global temperature change  
6 caused by carbon release from permafrost thawing, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1063-1076, 10.5194/tc-6-  
7 1063-2012, 2012.

8 [Camill, P.: Permafrost Thaw Accelerates in Boreal Peatlands During Late-20th Century Climate](#)  
9 [Warming, \*Climatic Change\*, 68, 135-152, 10.1007/s10584-005-4785-y, 2005.](#)

10 Conrad, R., Klose, M., and Claus, P.: Pathway of CH<sub>4</sub> formation in anoxic rice field soil and rice  
11 roots determined by <sup>13</sup>C-stable isotope fractionation, *Chemosphere*, 47, 797-806,  
12 10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00120-0, 2002.

13 Dankers, R., Burke, E. J., and Price, J.: Simulation of permafrost and seasonal thaw depth in the  
14 JULES land surface scheme, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 773-790, 10.5194/tc-5-773-2011, 2011.

15 Dutta, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Neff, J. C., and Zimov, S. A.: Potential carbon release from  
16 permafrost soils of Northeastern Siberia, *Global Change Biology*, 12, 2336-2351, 2006.

17 Ekici, A., Beer, C., Hagemann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., and Hauck, C.: Simulating high-  
18 latitude permafrost regions by the JSBACH terrestrial ecosystem model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7,  
19 631-647, 10.5194/gmd-7-631-2014, 2014.

20 Eliseev, A. V., Mikhov, I. I., Arzhanov, M. M., Demchenko, P. F., and Denisov, S. N.:  
21 Interaction of the methane cycle and processes in wetland ecosystems in a climate model of  
22 intermediate complexity, *Izvestiya Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics*, 44, 139-152, 2008.

23 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA): Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from natural  
24 sources EPA 430-R-10-001, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 2010.

25 Frauenfeld, O. W., Zhang, T., Barry, R. G., and Gilichinsky, D.: Interdecadal changes in  
26 seasonal freeze and thaw depths in Russia, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109,  
27 D05101, 10.1029/2003JD004245, 2004.

1 Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S.,  
2 Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W.,  
3 Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.-  
4 G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, K., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate–Carbon  
5 Cycle Feedback Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model Intercomparison, *Journal of Climate*,  
6 19, 3337-3353, 10.1175/JCLI3800.1, 2006.

7 Frolking, S., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R., Richard, P. J. H., Lavoie, M., and Muller, S. D.:  
8 Modeling northern peatland decomposition and peat accumulation, *Ecosystems*, 4, 479-498,  
9 2001.

10 Gao, X., Schlosser, C. A., Sokolov, A., Anthony, K. W., Zhuang, Q., and Kicklighter, D.:  
11 Methane Feedback : What is the Worst We Can Expect ?, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8,  
12 2013.

13 Gouttevin, I., Menegoz, M., Dominé, F., Krinner, G., Koven, C., Ciais, P., Tarnocai, C., and  
14 Boike, J.: How the insulating properties of snow affect soil carbon distribution in the continental  
15 pan-Arctic area, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G02020,  
16 10.1029/2011JG001916, 2012.

17 ~~Grosse, G.: A synthesis of thermokarst and thermo-erosion rates in northern permafrost regions,~~  
18 ~~(in preparation).~~

19 ~~Grosse, G.~~, Schirrmeister, L., Siegert, C., Kunitsky, V. V., Slagoda, E. A., Andreev, A. A., and  
20 Dereviagyn, A. Y.: Geological and geomorphological evolution of a sedimentary periglacial  
21 landscape in Northeast Siberia during the Late Quaternary, *Geomorphology*, 86, 25-51,  
22 10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.08.005, 2007.

23 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Turetsky, M., McGuire, A. D., Camill, P., Tarnocai, C., Frolking, S.,  
24 Schuur, E. A. G., Jorgenson, T., Marchenko, S., Romanovsky, V., Wickland, K. P., French, N.,  
25 Waldrop, M., Bourgeau-Chavez, L., and Striegl, R. G.: Vulnerability of high-latitude soil organic  
26 carbon in North America to disturbance, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, G00K06, 2011.

27 Harden, J. W., Koven, C. D., Ping, C.-L., Hugelius, G., David McGuire, A., Camill, P.,  
28 Jorgenson, T., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G. J., O'Donnell, J. A., Schuur, E. A. G., Tarnocai, C.,  
29 Johnson, K., and Grosse, G.: Field information links permafrost carbon to physical

1 vulnerabilities of thawing, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, L15704, 10.1029/2012GL051958,  
2 2012.

3 Hayes, D. J., Kicklighter, D. W., McGuire, A. D., Chen, M., Zhuang, Q., Yuan, F., Melillo, J.  
4 M., and Wullschleger, S. D.: The impacts of recent permafrost thaw on land-atmosphere  
5 greenhouse gas exchange, *Environmental Research Letters*, 9, 045005, 2014.

6 Hugelius, G., Tarnocai, C., Broll, G., Canadell, J. G., Kuhry, P., and Swanson, D. K.: The  
7 Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database: spatially distributed datasets of soil coverage and  
8 soil carbon storage in the northern permafrost regions, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 3-13,  
9 10.5194/essd-5-3-2013, 2013.

10 Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L.,  
11 Schirmer, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B.,  
12 Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: ~~Improved estimates show large~~  
13 ~~Estimated stocks of~~ circumpolar ~~stocks of~~ permafrost carbon ~~while quantifying substantial~~  
14 ~~quantified~~ uncertainty ranges and ~~identifying remaining~~ identified data gaps, *Biogeosciences*  
15 ~~Discuss.~~, 11, ~~4771-4822~~6573-6593, 10.5194/bgd-11-4771-2014, 2014.

16 Jafarov, E. E., Marchenko, S. S., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Numerical modeling of permafrost  
17 dynamics in Alaska using a high spatial resolution dataset, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 613-624,  
18 10.5194/tc-6-613-2012, 2012.

19 ~~Johnson, K. D., Harden, J. W., McGuire, A. D., Clark, M., Yuan, F., and Finley, A. O.:~~  
20 ~~Permafrost and organic layer interactions over a climate gradient in a discontinuous permafrost~~  
21 ~~zone, Environmental Research Letters~~, 8, 035028, 2013.

22 Jones, B. M., Grosse, G., Arp, C. D., Jones, M. C., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Romanovsky, V.  
23 E.: Modern thermokarst lake dynamics in the continuous permafrost zone, northern Seward  
24 Peninsula, Alaska, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, G00M03,  
25 10.1029/2011JG001666, 2011.

26 Jones, M. C., Grosse, G., Jones, B. M., and Walter Anthony, K.: Peat accumulation in drained  
27 thermokarst lake basins in continuous, ice-rich permafrost, northern Seward Peninsula, Alaska,  
28 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G00M07, 10.1029/2011JG001766, 2012.

1 Jorgenson, M. T., Shur, Y. L., and Pullman, E. R.: Abrupt increase in permafrost degradation in  
2 Arctic Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L02503-L02503, 2006.

3 Jorgenson, M. T., Romanovsky, V., Harden, J., Shur, Y., O'Donnell, J., Schuur, E. A. G.,  
4 Kanevskiy, M., and Marchenko, S.: Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change,  
5 *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, 40, 1219-  
6 1236, 2010.

7 Kaufman, D. S., Ager, T. A., Anderson, N. J., Anderson, P. M., Andrews, J. T., Bartlein, P. J.,  
8 Brubaker, L. B., Coats, L. L., Cwynar, L. C., Duvall, M. L., Dyke, A. S., Edwards, M. E., Eisner,  
9 W. R., Gajewski, K., Geirsdóttir, A., Hu, F. S., Jennings, A. E., Kaplan, M. R., Kerwin, M. W.,  
10 Lozhkin, A. V., MacDonald, G. M., Miller, G. H., Mock, C. J., Oswald, W. W., Otto-Bliesner, B.  
11 L., Porinchu, D. F., Rühland, K., Smol, J. P., Steig, E. J., and Wolfe, B. B.: Holocene thermal  
12 maximum in the western Arctic (0–180°W), *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 23, 529-560,  
13 10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.09.007, 2004.

14 Kessler, M. A., Plug, L. J., and Walter Anthony, K. M.: Simulating the decadal- to millennial-  
15 scale dynamics of morphology and sequestered carbon mobilization of two thermokarst lakes in  
16 NW Alaska, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G00M06,  
17 10.1029/2011JG001796, 2012.

18 Khvorostyanov, D. V., Ciais, P., Krinner, G., and Zimov, S. A.: Vulnerability of east Siberia's  
19 frozen carbon stores to future warming, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L10703, 2008.

20 Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V., and Schuldt, R. J.: A dynamic model of wetland extent and peat  
21 accumulation: results for the Holocene, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 235-248, 10.5194/bg-9-235-2012,  
22 2012.

23 Knoblauch, C., Beer, C., Sosnin, A., Wagner, D., Pfeiffer, E. M.: Predicting long-term carbon  
24 mineralization and trace gas production from thawing permafrost of Northeast Siberia, *Global  
25 Change Biology*, 19, 1160–1172, 2013.

26 Kokelj, S. V., Lacelle, D., Lantz, T. C., Tunnicliffe, J., Malone, L., Clark, I. D., and Chin, K. S.:  
27 Thawing of massive ground ice in mega slumps drives increases in stream sediment and solute  
28 flux across a range of watershed scales, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 118,  
29 681-692, 10.1002/jgrf.20063, 2013.

1 Koven, C., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.: On the  
2 formation of high-latitude soil carbon stocks: Effects of cryoturbation and insulation by organic  
3 matter in a land surface model, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L21501,  
4 10.1029/2009GL040150, 2009.

5 Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner,  
6 G., and Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming,  
7 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 14769-14774, 2011.

8 Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., and Stern, A.: Analysis of Permafrost Thermal Dynamics and  
9 Response to Climate Change in the CMIP5 Earth System Models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 1877-  
10 1900, 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00228.1, 2013a.

11 Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., Subin, Z. M., Tang, J. Y., Torn, M. S., Collins, W. D., Bonan, G. B.,  
12 Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.: The effect of vertically resolved soil biogeochemistry and  
13 alternate soil C and N models on C dynamics of CLM4, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 7109-7131,  
14 10.5194/bg-10-7109-2013, 2013b.

15 Krinner, G., and Boike, J.: A study of the large-scale climatic effects of a possible disappearance  
16 of high-latitude inland water surfaces during the 21<sup>st</sup> century, *Boreal Environment Research* 15,  
17 203-217, 2010.

18 Langer, M., Westermann, S., Heikenfeld, M., Dorn, W., and Boike, J.: Satellite-based modeling  
19 of permafrost temperatures in a tundra lowland landscape, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 135,  
20 12-24, 10.1016/j.rse.2013.03.011, 2013.

21 Laurion, I., Vincent, W. F., MacIntyre, S., Retamal, L., Dupont, C., Francus, P., Pienitz, R.:  
22 Variability in greenhouse gas emissions from permafrost thaw ponds, *Limnology and*  
23 *Oceanography* 55, 115–133, 2010.

24 Lawrence, D. M., and Slater, A. G.: Incorporating organic soil into a global climate model,  
25 *Climate Dynamics*, 30, 145-160, 2008.

26 Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P.  
27 J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.:  
28 Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of the

1 Community Land Model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 3,  
2 10.1029/2011ms000045, 2011.

3 Lee, H., Schuur, E. A. G., Inglett, K. S., Lavoie, M., and Chanton, J. P.: The rate of permafrost  
4 carbon release under aerobic and anaerobic conditions and its potential effects on climate, *Global*  
5 *Change Biology*, 18, 515-527, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02519.x, 2012.

6 Ling, F.: Numerical simulation of permafrost thermal regime and talik development under  
7 shallow thaw lakes on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108,  
8 4511-4511, 2003.

9 Ling, F., Wu, Q., Zhang, T., and Niu, F.: Modelling Open-Talik Formation and Permafrost  
10 Lateral Thaw under a Thermokarst Lake, Beiluhe Basin, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, *Permafrost and*  
11 *Periglacial Processes*, 23, 312-321, 10.1002/ppp.1754, 2012.

12 MacDougall, A. H., Avis, C. A., and Weaver, A. J.: Significant contribution to climate warming  
13 from the permafrost carbon feedback, *Nature Geosci*, advance online publication, 2012.

14 Mack, M. C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R., and Chapin, F. S.: Ecosystem  
15 carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization, *Nature*, 431, 440-443,  
16 2004.

17 Marcott, S. A., Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., and Mix, A. C.: A Reconstruction of Regional and  
18 Global Temperature for the Past 11,300 Years, *Science*, 339, 1198-1201,  
19 10.1126/science.1228026, 2013.

20 Mishra, U., Jastrow, J. D., Matamala, R., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Harden, J. W., Ping, C. L.,  
21 Michaelson, G. J., Fan, Z., Miller, R. M., McGuire, A. D., Tarnocai, C., Kuhry, P., Riley, W. J.,  
22 Schaefer, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Jorgenson, M. T., and Hinzman, L. D.: Empirical estimates to  
23 reduce modeling uncertainties of soil organic carbon in permafrost regions: a review of recent  
24 progress and remaining challenges, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 035020, 2013.

25 Morgenstern, A., Grosse, G., Günther, F., Fedorova, I., and Schirrmeister, L.: Spatial analyses of  
26 thermokarst lakes and basins in Yedoma landscapes of the Lena Delta, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 849-  
27 867, 10.5194/tc-5-849-2011, 2011.

28 Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., Shine, K. P., and Stordal, F.: New estimates of radiative forcing due  
29 to well mixed greenhouse gases, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 2715-2718, 1998.

1 Olefeldt, D., Turetsky, M. R., Crill, P. M., and McGuire, A. D.: Environmental and physical  
2 controls on northern terrestrial methane emissions across permafrost zones, *Global Change*  
3 *Biology*, 19, 589-603, 10.1111/gcb.12071, 2013.

4 Osterkamp, T. E.: Causes of warming and thawing permafrost in Alaska, *Eos, Transactions*  
5 *American Geophysical Union*, 88, 522-523, 10.1029/2007EO480002, 2007.

6 Plug, L. J., and West, J. J.: Thaw lake expansion in a two-dimensional coupled model of heat  
7 transfer, thaw subsidence, and mass movement, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,  
8 114, F01002, 10.1029/2006JF000740, 2009.

9 Raynolds, M. K., Walker, D. A., Ambrosius, K. J., Brown, J., Everett, K. R., Kanevskiy, M.,  
10 Kofinas, G. P., Romanovsky, V. E., Shur, Y., and Webber, P. J.: Cumulative geocological  
11 effects of 62 years of infrastructure and climate change in ice-rich permafrost landscapes,  
12 Prudhoe Bay Oilfield, Alaska, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 1211-1224, 10.1111/gcb.12500,  
13 2014.

14 Romanovsky, V. E., Smith, S. L., and Christiansen, H. H.: Permafrost thermal state in the polar  
15 Northern Hemisphere during the international polar year 2007–2009: a synthesis, *Permafrost and*  
16 *Periglacial Processes*, 21, 106-116, 10.1002/ppp.689, 2010.

17 Sannel, A. B. K., and Kuhry, P.: Warming-induced destabilization of peat plateau/thermokarst  
18 lake complexes, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, G03035,  
19 10.1029/2010JG001635, 2011.

20 Scanlon, D., and Moore, T.: Carbon dioxide production from peatland soil profiles: The  
21 influence of temperature, oxic/anoxic conditions and substrate, *Soil Science*, 165, 153-160, 2000.

22 Schädel, C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bracho, R., Elberling, B., Knoblauch, C., Lee, H., Luo, Y.,  
23 Shaver, G. R., and Turetsky, M. R.: Circumpolar assessment of permafrost C quality and its  
24 vulnerability over time using long-term incubation data, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 641-652,  
25 10.1111/gcb.12417, 2014.

26 Schaefer, K., Lantuit, H., Romanovsky, V. E., Schuur, E. A. G., and Witt, R.: The impact of the  
27 permafrost carbon feedback on global climate, *Environmental Research Letters*, 9, 085003, 2014.

28 Schaphoff, S., Heyder, U., Ostberg, S., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., and Lucht, W.: Contribution of  
29 permafrost soils to the global carbon budget, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 014026, 2013.

1 Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Overduin, P. P., Strauss, J., Schuur, E. A. G., and  
2 Hubberten, H.-W.: Fossil organic matter characteristics in permafrost deposits of the northeast  
3 Siberian Arctic, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, G00M02,  
4 10.1029/2011JG001647, 2011.

5 Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A.,  
6 Kleber, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P.,  
7 Weiner, S., and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property,  
8 *Nature*, 478, 49-56, 2011.

9 Schneider von Deimling, T., Meinshausen, M., Levermann, a., Huber, V., Frieler, K., Lawrence,  
10 D. M., and Brovkin, V.: Estimating the near-surface permafrost-carbon feedback on global  
11 warming, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 649-665, 2012.

12 Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V.,  
13 Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P., Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A.,  
14 Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C., Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G., and Zimov, S.  
15 A.: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: Implications for the global carbon  
16 cycle, *Bioscience*, 58, 701-714, 2008.

17 Schuur, E. A. G., Abbott, B. W., Bowden, W. B., Brovkin, V., Camill, P., Canadell, J. G.,  
18 Chanton, J. P., Chapin, F. S., III, Christensen, T. R., Ciais, P., Crosby, B. T., Czimczik, C. I.,  
19 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Jastrow, J. D., Jones, J. B., Kleinen, T.,  
20 Koven, C. D., Krinner, G., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M.,  
21 O'Donnell, J. A., Ping, C. L., Riley, W. J., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Sannel, A. B. K.,  
22 Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Sky, J., Subin, Z. M., Tarnocai, C., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P.,  
23 Walter Anthony, K. M., Wickland, K. P., Wilson, C. J., and Zimov, S. A.: Expert assessment of  
24 vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change, *Climatic Change*, 119, 359-374,  
25 10.1007/s10584-013-0730-7, 2013.

26 [Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schadel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J.,](#)  
27 [Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D.,](#)  
28 [Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., and Vonk, J. E.: Climate change](#)  
29 [and the permafrost carbon feedback, \*Nature\*, 520, 171-179, 10.1038/nature14338, 2015.](#)

1 Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying  
2 wetland methane fluxes, *Biogeochemistry*, 41, 23-51, 1998.

3 Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Salyuk, A., Yusupov, V., Kosmach, D., and Gustafsson, O.:  
4 Extensive Methane Venting to the Atmosphere from Sediments of the East Siberian Arctic Shelf,  
5 *Science*, 327, 1246-1250, 2010.

6 Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Koch, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Unger, N., and Bauer, S. E.:  
7 Improved Attribution of Climate Forcing to Emissions, *Science*, 326, 716-718,  
8 10.1126/science.1174760, 2009.

9 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis,  
10 S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics,  
11 plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model,  
12 *Global Change Biology*, 9, 161-185, 2003.

13 Slater, A. G., and Lawrence, D. M.: Diagnosing Present and Future Permafrost from Climate  
14 Models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5608-5623, 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00341.1, 2013.

15 Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M., and Hinzman, L. D.: Disappearing arctic lakes,  
16 *Science*, 308, 1429-1429, 2005.

17 Stieglitz, M., Déry, S. J., Romanovsky, V. E., and Osterkamp, T. E.: The role of snow cover in  
18 the warming of arctic permafrost, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 1721,  
19 10.1029/2003GL017337, 2003.

20 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Wetterich, S., Borchers, A., and Davydov, S. P.: Grain-size  
21 properties and organic-carbon stock of Yedoma Ice Complex permafrost from the Kolyma  
22 lowland, northeastern Siberia, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26, GB3003,  
23 10.1029/2011GB004104, 2012.

24 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Ulrich, M., Herzschuh, U., and  
25 Hubberten, H.-W.: The deep permafrost carbon pool of the Yedoma region in Siberia and  
26 Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, [2013GL058088](https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058088), 10.1002/2013GL058088,  
27 2013.

1 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Mangelsdorf, K., Eichhorn, L., Wetterich, S., and Herzsuh, U.:  
2 Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon - a ~~case~~ study from Arctic Siberia,  
3 Biogeosciences (~~under review~~), 2014, 12, 2227-2245), [10.5194/bg-12-2227-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2227-2015), 2015.

4 Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment  
5 Design, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93, 485-498, [10.1175/BAMS-D-11-](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1)  
6 00094.1, 2011.

7 Turetsky, M. R., Kane, E. S., Harden, J. W., Ottmar, R. D., Manies, K. L., Hoy, E., and  
8 Kasischke, E. S.: Recent acceleration of biomass burning and carbon losses in Alaskan forests  
9 and peatlands, Nature Geoscience, 4, 27-31, 2011.

10 van Huissteden, J., Berrittella, C., Parmentier, F. J. W., Mi, Y., Maximov, T. C., and Dolman, A.  
11 J.: Methane emissions from permafrost thaw lakes limited by lake drainage, Nature Clim.  
12 Change, 1, 119-123, 2011.

13 van Huissteden, J., and Dolman, A.: Soil carbon in the Arctic and the permafrost carbon  
14 feedback, Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4, 545-551, 2012.

15 Velichko, A. A., Catto, N., Drenova, A. N., Klimanov, V. A., Kremenetski, K. V., and Nechaev,  
16 V. P.: Climate changes in East Europe and Siberia at the Late glacial-holocene transition,  
17 Quaternary International, 91, 75-99, [10.1016/S1040-6182\(01\)00104-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182(01)00104-5), 2002.

18 Walter Anthony, K. M., Anthony, P., Grosse, G., and Chanton, J.: Geologic methane seeps along  
19 boundaries of Arctic permafrost thaw and melting glaciers, Nature Geoscience, 5, 419-426,  
20 2012.

21 Walter Anthony, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P. M., Iii, F. S. C.,  
22 Finlay, J. C., Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frolking, S.: A shift of thermokarst  
23 lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the Holocene epoch, Nature, 511, 452-456,  
24 [10.1038/nature13560](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13560), 2014.

25 Walter, B. P., and Heimann, M.: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane  
26 emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model  
27 parameters, and climate, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 14, 745-765, 2000.

28 Walter, K. M., Edwards, M. E., Grosse, G., Zimov, S. A., and Chapin, F. S.: Thermokarst lakes  
29 as a source of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> during the last deglaciation, Science, 318, 633-636, 2007a.

1 Walter, K. M., Smith, L. C., and Chapin, F. S.: Methane bubbling from northern lakes: present  
2 and future contributions to the global methane budget, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal  
3 Society a-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences, 365, 1657-1676, 2007b.

4 [Wisser, D., Marchenko, S., Talbot, J., Treat, C., and Frohling, S.: Soil temperature response to  
5 21st century global warming: the role of and some implications for peat carbon in thawing  
6 permafrost soils in North America, Earth Syst. Dynam., 2, 121-138, 2011.](#)

7 Yi, S., Wischniewski, K., Langer, M., Muster, S., and Boike, J.: Freeze/thaw processes in  
8 complex permafrost landscapes of northern Siberia simulated using the TEM ecosystem model:  
9 impact of thermokarst ponds and lakes, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1671-1689, 10.5194/gmd-7-  
10 1671-2014, 2014.

11 Yoshikawa, K., and Hinzman, L. D.: Shrinking thermokarst ponds and groundwater dynamics in  
12 discontinuous permafrost near council, Alaska, Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 14, 151-  
13 160, 10.1002/ppp.451, 2003.

14 Zimov, S. A., Davydov, S. P., Zimova, G. M., Davydova, A. I., Schuur, E. A. G., Dutta, K., and  
15 Chapin, F. S.: Permafrost carbon: Stock and decomposability of a globally significant carbon  
16 pool, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L20502, 10.1029/2006GL027484, 2006.

17 Zhang, T., Frauenfeld, O. W., and Barry, R. G.: Time series of active layer thickness in the  
18 Russian Arctic, 1930-1990, Digital media, National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO.  
19 URL <http://nsidc.org/data/arcss160.html>, 2006

20  
21

1 Table 1. Permafrost model parameters and uncertainties.

2 Some parameters are soil pool specific (MS: mineral soils, ORG: organic soils, Y: Yedoma,  
 3 RTK: refrozen thermokarst deposits (separated into surface and taberal sediments), some  
 4 parameters depend on hydrologic conditions (AER: aerobic, WET: wetland anaerobic, TKL:  
 5 thermokarst lake anaerobic), and some parameters depend on organic matter quality (FAST and  
 6 SLOW).

Parameter	Unit	Default setting	Uncertainty range	References
<b>Carbon inventory</b>				
Mineral soils (MS) 0-3m (orthels & turbels)	Pg-C	540	±40%	Hugelius et. al (2014)
Organic soils (ORG) 0-3m (histels)	Pg-C	120	±40%	Hugelius et. al (2014)
Yedoma (Y) 0-15m	Pg-C	83	±75%	Strauss et al. (2013)
Refrozen thermokarst deposits				
RTK <sub>Surface</sub> (0-5m)	Pg-C	128	±75%	Strauss et al. (2013)
RTK <sub>Taberal</sub> (5-15m)		114	±75%	Walter-Anthony et al. (2014)
Fraction Fast Pool <sup>(a)</sup>	%	2.5	1-4	(Dutta et al. (2006);Burke et al. (2012);Schädel et al. (2014))
Fraction Slow Pool	%	45	30-60	(Sitch et al. (2003);Koven et al. (2011);Burke et al. (2012))
<b>Carbon release</b>				
Turnover time of aerobic slow pool at 5°C <sup>(b)</sup>	yrs	25	10-40	Sitch et al. (2003), Burke et al. (2012), Dutta et al. (2006)
Ratio of production CH <sub>4</sub> :CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>aerobic</sup>		1:50	±50%	Lee et al. (2012);Schoor et al. (2008);Segers (1998)
Ratio of production CH <sub>4</sub> :CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>anaerobic (c)</sup>		FAST 1:1 SLOW 1:7	±20% ±50%	Walter-Anthony et al. (2014) Lee et al. (2012)
Q <sub>10</sub> sensitivity aerobic		2.5	1.5-3.5	Schädel et al. (2013) and

				references therein
Q <sub>10</sub> sensitivity anaerobic		3.0	2-6	Walter and Heimann (2000)
CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation rate	%	TKL 15	10-20	See Burke et al. (2012) and references therein
		WET 40	20-60	
<b>Permafrost thaw</b>				
Thaw rate (MS, AER) for warm and cold permafrost <sup>(d)</sup>	cm/yr/K	1.0 0.1	±50% ±50%	Frauenfeld et al. (2004), Hayes et al. (2014), Schaphoff et al. (2013)
Scale factor thermal diffusivity WET:AER <sup>(e)</sup>		1/3	±30%	see <sup>(e)</sup>
Scale factor thermal diffusivity TKL:AER <sup>(e)</sup>		9.3	±30%	Kessler et al. (2012)
<b>Wetland description</b>				
Wetland extent <sup>(f)</sup> (pre-industrial)	%	MS 2	±50%	GLWD, Lehner and Döll (2004)  Burke et al. (2012)
		ORG 60	±10%	
		Y, RTK 40	±10%	
maximum increase in wetland extent <sup>(g)</sup> (above pre-industrial)	%	MS 30	±50%	Gao et al. (2013)
		ORG,Y,RTK 10	±50%	
<b>Thermokarst description</b>				
Newly formed thermokarst lake fraction $F^{TKLmax}$	%	MS 8	±25%	see supplementary material
		(coverage ORG 16	±25%	
		per Y 40	±25%	
		latitude) RTK 25	±25%	
High latitude temperature anomaly $dT^{TKLmax}$ at $F^{TKLmax}$ <sup>(h)</sup>	°C	5	4-6	see supplementary material

1 <sup>(a)</sup> For Yedoma deposits, we assume a doubled labile fraction (5±3%) as sedimentation of organic  
2 material was rather fast and had favoured the burial of fresh organic carbon with little decomposition in  
3 the past (Strauss et al., 2012). In contrast, we assume a reduced labile fraction in tabular sediments of  
4 1% as these deposits had been thawed over long timescales in the past and are therefore depleted in  
5 high quality organic matter (Walter et al., 2007b; Kessler et al., 2012).

6 <sup>(b)</sup> We assume the turnover time of the fast pool to be one year.

7 <sup>(c)</sup> We discard very small ratios of CH<sub>4</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub><sup>anaerobic</sup> inferred from incubation experiments as it is likely that  
8 these ratios are strongly affected by a large CO<sub>2</sub> pulse during the initial phase of the incubation.

1 <sup>(d)</sup> Indicated thaw rates are exemplary for warm and cold permafrost (corresponding to a MAGT of just  
2 below 0°C and -10°C). They were calculated based on equation (1) (supplementary material) by  
3 assuming that above-zero temperatures prevail during four months per year and that thaw is driven by a  
4 surface temperature warming anomaly of 1°C.

5 <sup>(e)</sup> We prescribe aggregated thermal diffusivities for soils under aerobic conditions and use scale factors  
6 to determine modified thermal diffusivities under anaerobic conditions. Based on observational evidence  
7 (Romanovsky et al., 2010), we assume reduced thaw rates for the wetland pools as water-saturated soils  
8 require an increased latent heat input for thaw of ice-filled pore volumes. For the thermokarst soil carbon  
9 pools, we tuned scaling factors to reproduce long-term behaviour of talik propagation as simulated by  
10 Kessler et al. (2012).

11 <sup>(f)</sup> Based on the GLWD database, Burke et al. (2012) estimate an area coverage of 9% for wetlands and  
12 3% for lakes for all permafrost regions. Based on calculated permafrost deposit extents (Hugelius et al.,  
13 2014), we estimate an area weighting of 80%:15%:2.5%:2.5% for the permafrost extents of our four soil  
14 pools (MS:ORG:Y:RTK). This results in a total weighted initial wetland extent of about 13%.

15 <sup>(g)</sup> The potential for increases in wetland extent in mineral soils is considered larger than for the other soil  
16 pools because the initial assumed wetland fraction in mineral soils is rather small.

17 <sup>(h)</sup> Early Holocene warming by a few degrees Celsius in northern hemisphere land areas (Kaufman et al.,  
18 2004; Velichko et al., 2002; Marcott et al., 2013) resulted in rapid and intensive thermokarst activity (Walter  
19 et al., 2007a; Brosius et al., 2012).

1 Table 2.  
 2 Cumulated carbon fluxes and increase in global average surface temperature through newly  
 3 thawed permafrost in the years 2050, 2100, 2200 and 2300. Median and 68% ranges (in brackets)  
 4 were calculated from an ensemble of 500 model runs which account for parameter uncertainty.

	2050	2100	2200	2300
<b>RCP2.6</b>				
cumulated CO <sub>2</sub> [Pg-C]	17 (8 29)	36 (20 58)	56 (35 89)	64 (40 98)
cumulated CH <sub>4</sub> [Tg- CH <sub>4</sub> ]	173 (85 354)	446 (218 921)	818 (410 1753)	1035 (539 2236)
dT (PF) [°C]	0.03 (0.01 0.05)	0.06 (0.03 0.10)	0.10 (0.06 0.15)	0.11 (0.06 0.18)
<b>RCP4.5</b>				
cumulated CO <sub>2</sub> [Pg-C]	18 (9 32)	54 (28 92)	118 (75 180)	155 (104 216)
cumulated CH <sub>4</sub> [Tg-CH <sub>4</sub> ]	227 (109 466)	1126 (538 2356)	3117 (1657 5969)	4705 (2592 8449)
dT (PF) [°C]	0.03 (0.01 0.05)	0.08 (0.05 0.14)	0.16 (0.10 0.25)	0.19 (0.13 0.29)
<b>RCP6.0</b>				
cumulated CO <sub>2</sub> Pg-C]	18 (8 30)	60 (29 101)	156 (103 224)	193 (134 270)
cumulated CH <sub>4</sub> [Tg-CH <sub>4</sub> ]	201 (97 407)	1270 (663 2440)	3104 (1818 5372)	4615 (2664 7778)
dT (PF) [°C]	0.03 (0.01 0.05)	0.08 (0.04 0.13)	0.18 (0.11 0.29)	0.24 (0.16 0.39)
<b>RCP8.5</b>				
cumulated CO <sub>2</sub> [Pg-C]	20 (9 36)	87 (42 141)	194 (136 270)	228 (157 313)
cumulated CH <sub>4</sub> [Tg-CH <sub>4</sub> ]	333 (154 665)	1474 (836 2614)	3592 (2141 6093)	5877 (3644 9989)
dT (PF) [°C]	0.03 (0.02 0.05)	0.09 (0.05 0.14)	0.14 (0.10 0.21)	0.16 (0.11 0.23)

5  
 6  
 7  
 8

1  
2 Figure 1. Schematic subdivision of permafrost soil carbon stocks into the four main pools  
3 (mineral soils, organic soils, refrozen thermokarst deposits (including taberal), and Yedoma  
4 deposits) and into aerobic (dark yellow) and anaerobic (blue: thermokarst lake, green: wetland)  
5 fractions. Individual boxes indicate the vertical extent and overall soil carbon quantity, as well as  
6 the aerobic and anaerobic fractions (not fully to scale). The dashed lines illustrate the model  
7 resolution into latitudinal bands (only shown for the mineral soil carbon pool) and vertical layers.  
8 Exemplarily, for the mineral soil carbon pool the North-South gradient of active layer depth (red  
9 line) and soil carbon release as CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> are also shown (broad arrows). Not shown is the  
10 additional differentiation into a fast and slow pool component.  
11

1  
2 Figure 2. Simulated changes in active layer depths ALD for mineral soils under moderate  
3 (RCP2.6) and extensive (RCP8.5) warming (left and right panels). Shown is the deepening of the  
4 active layer from the year 1900 until 2300 for a north-south gradient of different initial  
5 permafrost temperatures (blue: MAGT<sub>0</sub>=-10°C, green: MAGT<sub>0</sub>=-5°C, red: MAGT<sub>0</sub>=-0.5°C)  
6 and for different hydrologic conditions (a,b: aerobic, c,d: wetland, e,f: thermokarst lake). [We](#)  
7 [assume that newly formed lakes reach the critical depth which prevents winter refreeze by the](#)  
8 [year 2000](#). Vertical bars illustrate the model spread inferred from an ensemble of 500 runs (68%  
9 range). The horizontal dashed lines denote the near-surface permafrost boundary (3m). Note the  
10 different y-axes scales.

11  
12 Figure 3. Simulated increase in newly thawed permafrost carbon C and resulting rates of annual  
13 CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> release under moderate (upper panels) and extensive (lower panels) global  
14 warming for the years 1900 to 2300. CH<sub>4</sub> release is shown separately for fluxes from  
15 [wetlandwetlands](#) (WET) and [newly formed thermokarst lakelakes](#) (TKL) ~~pools~~. Blue lines  
16 show ensemble simulation results based on 500 model runs which account for parameter  
17 uncertainty. Black lines show statistical quantiles (solid line: median, dashed lines: 68% range,  
18 dotted lines: 80% range). Shown are contributions aggregated over all individual pools, summed  
19 over all latitudes and depths layers.  
20

1  
2 Figure 4. Contribution of deep permafrost carbon deposits to total carbon fluxes under aerobic  
3 (upper panel) and anaerobic (lower panel) conditions. Shown is the contribution of cumulated  
4 CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from deep deposits (3- to 15m) to total circumarctic carbon release (0- to  
5 15m) under strong warming (RCP8.5). Solid lines represent median values, dashed lines 68%  
6 ranges. ~~CH<sub>4</sub> release is shown separately for~~ The contribution of deep deposits to wetland-affected  
7 sedimentsCH<sub>4</sub> release (green) and ~~for~~ to thermokarst-affected sedimentsCH<sub>4</sub> release (blue-) is  
8 shown separately.  
9

1

2 Figure 5. Increase in global average surface air temperature through newly thawed permafrost  
3 carbon under various anthropogenic warming scenarios (RCP2.6 to RCP8.5). Blue lines show  
4 ensemble simulation results based on 500 model runs which account for parameter uncertainty.  
5 Black lines show statistical quantiles (solid line: median, dashed lines: 68% range, dotted lines:  
6 80% range). Shown is the temperature feedback as a consequence of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> release from  
7 all individual pools.

8

9