

Changes of the CO₂ and CH₄ production potential of rewetted fens in the perspective of temporal vegetation shifts

D. Zak¹, H. Reuter¹, J. Augustin², T. Shatwell¹, M. Barth¹, J. Gelbrecht¹ and R. J. McInnes³

[1]{Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries e.V., Berlin, Germany}

[2]{Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research e.V., Müncheberg, Germany}

[3]{RM Wetlands & Environment Ltd, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom}

Correspondence to: D. Zak (zak@igb-berlin.de)

Abstract

Rewetting of long-term drained fens often results in the formation of eutrophic shallow lakes with an average water depth of less than 1 m. This is accompanied by a fast vegetation shift from cultivated grasses via submerged hydrophytes to helophytes. As a result of rapid plant dying and decomposition, these systems are highly-dynamic wetlands characterised by a high mobilisation of nutrients and elevated emissions of CO₂ and CH₄. However, the impact of specific plant species on these phenomena is not clear. Therefore we investigated the CO₂ and CH₄ production due to the subaqueous decomposition of shoot biomass of five selected plant species which represent different rewetting stages (*Phalaris arundinacea*, *Ceratophyllum demersum*, *Typha latifolia*, *Phragmites australis*, and *Carex riparia*) during a 154 day mesocosm study. Beside continuous gas flux measurements, we performed bulk chemical analysis of plant tissue, including carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and plant polymer dynamics. Plant specific mass losses after 154 days ranged from 25% (*P. australis*) to 64% (*C. demersum*). Substantial differences were found for the CH₄ production with highest values from decomposing *C. demersum* (0.4 g CH₄/kg dry mass day) that were about 70 times higher than CH₄ production from *C. riparia*. Thus, we found a strong divergence between mass loss of the litter and methane production during decomposition. If *C. demersum* as a hydrophyte is included in the statistical analysis solely nutrient contents (nitrogen and phosphorus) explain varying GHG production of the different plant species while lignin and

1 polyphenols demonstrate no significant impact at all. Taking data of annual biomass
2 production as important carbon source for methanogens into account, high CH₄ emissions can
3 be expected to last several decades as long as inundated and nutrient-rich conditions prevail.
4 Different restoration measures like water level control, biomass extraction and top soil
5 removal are discussed in the context of mitigation of CH₄ emissions from rewetted fens.

6 **1 Introduction**

7 Artificially drained minerotrophic peatlands, commonly called fens, are being rewetted on a
8 large scale in many European countries, including Germany. For instance, an area in excess of
9 20,000 ha has been rewetted in the state of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania (north-east
10 Germany) alone (Zerbe et al., 2013). The objectives behind rewetting include the reduction of
11 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, in particular of carbon dioxide (CO₂) via oxidative
12 degradation processes in the aerated peat soil, as well as the recovering of the nutrient sink
13 and ecological habitat functions of pristine fens. As a result of long-term organic soil losses,
14 subsidence and the associated lowering of the land surface, rewetting of these areas often
15 results in shallow lake formation (Zak et al., 2010). These developing ecosystems differ
16 considerably from pristine fens. Peat formation cannot occur in the open waterbody; instead
17 the highly degraded submerged peat surface becomes covered by organic sediments which
18 form readily due to the subaqueous decomposition of dying grassland vegetation that is
19 intolerant to permanent flooding and the decomposition of shoot biomass from wetland plants
20 (Hahn-Schöfl et al., 2011). With regard to lake ontogeny, these sites can be compared to lakes
21 in the process of terrestrialization, where peat formation can follow as infill proceeds to
22 surface levels (Benner and Escobar, 2009).

23 These newly formed shallow lakes with a highly degraded peat substrate are characteristically
24 eutrophic and show high mobilisations of nutrients (phosphate and ammonium) and dissolved
25 organic carbon (DOC) (Zak and Gelbrecht, 2007). Furthermore, extremely high methane
26 (CH₄) emissions from rewetted fens have been observed (Hahn-Schöfl et al., 2011). It has
27 been shown that severely degraded rewetted fens perform a net climate impact that exceeds
28 that of drained fens (Chojnicki et al., 2007; Höper et al., 2008).

29 CH₄ as well as CO₂ formation results from anaerobic microbial decomposition processes and
30 biogeochemical factors influencing the formation and release of these GHG gases from fens
31 range from pH, nutrient status, temperature, the presence of alternative terminal electron
32 acceptors as well as, perhaps most importantly, the presence of microbially available reduced
33 carbon (Bridgman et al., 2013). Most gaseous C production in pristine fens is derived from

1 young plant litter and the litter quality, hereby defined as the microbial usability of the
2 substrate, may differ substantially between plant species (Lai, 2009).

3 A systematic evaluation of the transferability of known links between site characteristics and
4 gaseous C emissions from pristine fens to rewetted fens (i.e. shallow lakes over formerly
5 drained peatlands) has not been accomplished so far. One distinct difference of rewetted fens
6 from natural fens in Central Europe is the rapid secondary plant succession. In the initial
7 phase of rewetting, *Phalaris arundinacea* has been observed to be the dominating plant
8 species; more adapted to wet-dry conditions, this species routinely dies off within the first
9 year of inundation (Hahn-Schöfl et al., 2011). Helophytes like *Typha latifolia* in marginal
10 areas and *Ceratophyllum demersum* in the open waterbody have been observed to colonize
11 the area within one or two years of rewetting (Steffenhagen et al., 2012). With increasing
12 rewetting time, the peat forming plants *Phragmites australis* and various *Carex* species, such
13 as *Carex riparia*, can become re-established (Zerbe et al., 2013). The influence of these
14 predictable vegetation shifts on CO₂ and CH₄ emissions has not been studied yet.

15 In this study, the CO₂ and CH₄ production due to the subaqueous decomposition of these five
16 most abundant plant species, which are considered to be representative of different rewetting
17 stages, were investigated during a 154 day mesocosm study. Beside continuous gas flux
18 measurements, bulk chemical analysis of plant tissue, including C, N, P, and plant polymer
19 dynamics, were performed in order to gain further insights into changing litter characteristics.
20 With respect to temporal vegetation shifts in rewetted fens, the results provide new insights
21 into the mid-term climate effect of these ecosystems and will particularly be evaluated with
22 regard to current management practices.

23 **2 Materials and methods**

24 **2.1 Sampling sites**

25 The sampling sites are located in the River Peene and River Spree valleys in north-east
26 Germany (Fig. 1). Three formerly drained rewetted fens were chosen with rewetting times
27 between 6 and 40 years. According to meteorological records from stations in Greifswald and
28 Potsdam, the mean annual temperatures (January/July) were 1.2/18.1°C and 0.7/19.1°C and
29 the mean annual precipitation was 596 and 582 mm along the River Peene and River Spree
30 sampling sites between 1991 and 2007, respectively.

31 In the River Peene valley the rewetted fens "Zarnekow" and "Menzlin" were sampled. Under
32 pristine conditions these fens were characterized as low nutrient percolation mires covered by

1 brown moss-sedge-reed communities (Zerbe et al., 2013). In the 1960s a complex drainage
2 system designed to intensify agricultural production lowered the water table to 2 m below the
3 fen surface, thereby causing peat losses and changes in the physico-chemical peat
4 characteristics (see Zak et al. (2008) for further details). Subsidence of the peat body by up to
5 1 m lowered the fen surface below the water levels of adjacent rivers. The initial fen
6 vegetation was displaced by highly eutrophic grassland species like *P. arundinacea*.
7 Rewetting of the former fen was initiated in 1995 under the auspices of the EU-funded
8 conservation project "European Agriculture Guarantee Fund". The polder system was
9 abandoned and dams were constructed in drainage ditches, resulting in large scale flooding of
10 the area. Today the average water depth at the sampling sites ranges from 0.2 to 1.2 m, the
11 vegetation includes *T. latifolia* and *C. demersum*.

12 The third sampling site is the former terrestrialization mire "Glieningmoor", located in the
13 River Spree valley. Drainage activities started in the late 19th Century, however no polders or
14 pumping stations were established. The resultant lowering of the water-table was of the order
15 of several decimetres (< 1 m). Drainage and intensive agricultural use ceased in 1977 and the
16 site was declared a nature protective area under a national designation. Non-inundated parts of
17 the fen have already become re-colonized by a range of sedge species (*C. riparia*,
18 *C. cespitosa*, *C. lasiocarpa*, *C. hartmanii*, etc.) as well as by few orchid species including
19 *Dactylorhiza majalis* and *Epipactis palustris*, indicating a successful restoration towards to
20 more natural conditions. Only a few, mostly marginal, areas chosen for plant sampling are
21 influenced by infrequent inundation (water table up to 50 cm above soil surface).

22 Data concerning chemical characteristics of sites under investigation (soil properties and
23 water quality) can be found in Table 1.

24 **2.2 Plant sampling and sample preparation**

25 Sampling of leaf and stem plant tissue was performed at the end of the vegetation period
26 between October to November 2009. *T. latifolia*, *P. australis* and *P. arundinacea* (from
27 marginal drier places) were collected from the Menzlin site. *C. demersum* was harvested from
28 the Zarnekow site while *C. riparia* was obtained from Glieningmoor. All plant parts were
29 transferred directly to the laboratory and air-dried at 30°C for 8 days. The dried materials
30 were cut into pieces of 5-20 cm size and stored in shaded PVC-boxes at 20°C. An exception
31 to this treatment was applied to the submersed plant *C. demersum*. In contrast to emergent
32 plants, the drying of the tissue of submerged aquatic plants before decomposition within the

1 fen-waterbody is unlikely under natural conditions. To mimic the natural decomposition
2 process in fens, the collected plant parts of *C. demersum* were stored in a PVC-box under site
3 water until further utilization within a couple of days. Only a sub-sample of *C. demersum* was
4 dried for chemical analysis.

5 Prior to the start of the incubation experiment, a leaching procedure was performed for all
6 plant parts except *C. demersum*. This step was recognized to be necessary under the
7 incubation conditions, as preliminary incubation experiments had shown substantial
8 acidification of the water in the mesocosms, probably due to the decomposition of easily
9 available organic compounds within the leachate. This step can further be rationalized as
10 under natural conditions in rewetted fens senescent plant material will be subject to
11 substantial leaching before reaching the anoxic detritus mud layer that was simulated in the
12 mesocosm experiment.

13 The following leaching procedure was performed: Four charges of 50.0 g air-dried plant
14 material of each species (except *C. demersum*) were placed in 2 L polyethylene bottles. 1.5 L
15 of 1.5 mM NaCl solution was added to each bottle resulting in complete inundation of the
16 plant material. The bottles were closed and stored at room temperature with occasional
17 manual agitation. The agitation was repeated at 4 h and again at 16 h by replacing the liquid
18 phase with fresh 1.5 mM NaCl solution. In sum, three leaching steps were performed for each
19 plant material. The wet plant tissues from 3 bottles of each tissue were placed directly into the
20 mesocosms to initiate the decomposition experiment, while plant material from one treatment
21 was dried until mass constancy at 90°C to determine mass losses via leaching (i.e. to
22 determine the plant mass at the beginning of the incubation experiment) and to perform
23 chemical analysis of the initial material.

24 **2.3 Incubation experiment**

25 The plant materials (including 50 g *C. demersum* without preceding leaching) were
26 transferred into plastic cages (diameter 13 cm, length 20 cm, mesh size 1 cm) in order to fix
27 the litter at the bottom of watertight PVC pipes (15 mesocosms in total; diameter 15 cm and
28 length 35 cm; 3 replications per plant species). The *C. demersum* material was rinsed
29 carefully with tap water before incubation to remove loose particles (silt and algae). To
30 establish an active and similar microbial community in the mesocosms, 2 mL fresh detritus
31 mud taken solely from the sampling site Menzlin was added as slurry to the plant litter. Then
32 4.5 L of 3.4 mM NaHCO₃ solution was added to achieve a water level of about 10 cm below

1 the top of the mesocosm. The buffer solution served to avoid osmotic stress for
2 microorganisms and to prevent acidification of the solution during decomposition. The
3 mesocosms were closed with a gas-tight lid equipped with sampling ports for gas and surface
4 water. The mesocosms were stored in a climate chamber at a constant temperature $15 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in
5 the shade for 154 days. This temperature was selected as it was shown to be more
6 representative of the prevailing temperature of the surface sediment layer during the year
7 rather than the average air temperature in these regions ($\sim 9^\circ\text{C}$). One explanation is that
8 ground water discharge buffers in particular colder air temperatures in winter, but also much
9 higher ones in summer. Oxygen concentrations, electrical conductivity (EC) and pH were
10 measured in the water column directly above the incubated plant material using probes
11 (WTW[®]). These measurements were performed after 3, 7, 21, 49, 104 and 154 days of
12 incubation. Additional water samples (100 mL) were taken on day 7, 49 and 154 to analyse
13 dissolved organic and inorganic carbon (DOC, DIC), dissolved nitrogen (DN), soluble
14 reactive phosphorus (SRP), and total dissolved polyphenols. To avoid volume losses within
15 the water body, the removed water was replaced by fresh solution of 3.4 mM NaHCO_3 .

16 To measure CO_2 and CH_4 emissions a steady state flow through chamber system combined
17 with automated gas analysis equipment was used as described in detail by Hahn-Schöfl et al.
18 (2011). Briefly, a constant air flow of $6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ was adjusted in the open headspace of
19 the mesocosms. CO_2 and CH_4 emissions from the solutions with submerged litter were
20 calculated by measuring ambient air concentrations and concentrations in the air flowing
21 through the headspace of the mesocosms using an infrared multigasmonitor (Typ INNOVA
22 1312 from INNOVA AirTech Instruments, Ballerup, Denmark). To tackle the short term
23 changes of gas release by ebullition three measurements per hour and per mesocosm were
24 performed resulting in a total number of 72 samples per mesocosm and day. This enabled a
25 more or less accurate tracking of total CO_2 and CH_4 emissions throughout the incubation
26 period. According to our experimental design, we measured gross GHG emissions (i.e.
27 production) due to litter decomposition; naturally occurring follow-up processes in fens, such
28 as methane oxidation or detention within the mud, do not take place on a representative scale
29 within this study. Therefore the transferability of our data to 'real' ecosystems is limited to
30 the litter quality aspect and therefore the term GHG "production potential" is used in the
31 following analysis and discussion. The calculated GHG flux is always based on the initial
32 mass or initial carbon content respectively. Mass related fluxes facilitate a better comparison
33 with literature data.

1 At the end of the incubation the remaining plant material was separated from the water by
2 sieving (sieve mesh size: 1 mm). Afterwards, the plant material was freeze-dried and
3 weighed. Ground samples of the plant material from each mesocosm were used for further
4 chemical analysis.

5 In order to compare the different carbon fluxes during litter decomposition, the C-normalized
6 carbon releases to water as DOC and DIC and to air as CO₂ and CH₄ were calculated on a
7 percentual basis of total carbon loss from plant litter after 154 days. Carbon normalized
8 accumulative amounts of CO₂ and CH₄ during the experiment were calculated. The DOC and
9 DIC concentrations in the water at the end of the experiments were used to determine the
10 amount of dissolved carbon released by the litter (water removals for analysis during the
11 experiment were considered in the calculations).

12 **2.4 Chemical analysis**

13 Before chemical analysis of the different plant tissues the freeze-dried plant materials were
14 homogenised with a cross hammer mill (Fritsch GmbH, Pulverisette 19 & 14, Idar-Oberstein,
15 Germany). The total P content of ground plant materials were determined as SRP using the
16 molybdenum blue method according to Murphy and Riley (1962) after an acid digestion
17 procedure (10 mg dry sample + 2 ml 10 M H₂SO₄ + 4 ml 30 % H₂O₂ + 20 ml de-ionised water
18 at 160°C for 2 h). Nitrogen and carbon contents of plant tissues were determined using an
19 element analyzer (Vario EL by Elementar).

20 Total polyphenol contents of solids and water were determined colorimetrically using the
21 Folin-Ciocalteu procedure slightly modified from Box (1983). In detail, for solid analysis
22 approximately 200 mg of dried ground plant material was weighed in 40 mL centrifuge tubes.
23 Extraction was performed by adding 10 mL of acetone (70%) for 20 min in an ultra sonic bath
24 at 20°C. The extracts were then centrifuged at 10,600 g for 5 min. The extraction was
25 repeated twice and 0.1 ml aliquots of the combined extracts were made up to volumes of 5 ml
26 with distilled water in 10 ml screw cap glass tubes to obtain absorbance below 0.5. For the
27 determination of total dissolved polyphenols in the liquid phase, water samples (0.2 - 2.5 mL)
28 were taken from the mesocosms, 0.75 ml sodium carbonate solution (75 g Na₂CO₃ l⁻¹) and
29 0.25 ml Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) were added and
30 reaction mixtures were vortexed for 5 s. Absorbance was read at 750 nm (Photometer Spekol
31 221, Iskra Elektronik, Stuttgart, Germany) exactly 60 min after addition of the Folin-
32 Ciocalteu reagent. The assay was calibrated with tannic acid (Fluka/Sigma-Aldrich, Munich,

1 Germany). For determination of acid detergent cellulose and acid detergent lignin in
2 following simplified denoted as ‘cellulose’ and ‘lignin’ the gravimetric method described in
3 Gessner (2005) was applied.

4 The concentrations of DOC, DIC, and DN were analysed with a C/N-Analyser (TOC 5000,
5 Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The composition of the organic fractions in the water samples were
6 determined for all plant species under investigation at days 7, 49 and 154 using liquid size-
7 exclusion chromatography in combination with organic carbon detection (LC-OCD method,
8 see Huber and Frimmel (1996)).

9 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

10 One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used with the plant species as factors to analyse
11 CO₂ and CH₄ emissions, plant tissue characteristics (C, N, P, polyphenols, cellulose, and
12 lignin) at the beginning and end of the experiments, as well as water chemistry (pH, EC,
13 oxygen, SRP, TDP, DOC, DN, dissolved polyphenols) at days 7, 49 and 154 of incubation.
14 CO₂ and CH₄ were expressed per unit carbon in plant biomass, although gas emissions based
15 on plant dry mass were assessed. The results were not affected by the choice of biomass basis.
16 Homogeneity of variance was checked using Levene’s test. If variance differed significantly
17 between species according to Levene’s test, data were transformed using appropriate log or
18 power transformation functions. Transformations were also performed occasionally even
19 when Levene’s test showed no significant difference in variance when the transformation
20 visually produced substantially more homogeneous variance. If the ANOVA indicated a
21 significant effect, Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to analyse differences between individual
22 species. To investigate whether plant tissue characteristics influenced GHG production,
23 correlations with the mean values for each species were tested. Homogeneity of residual
24 variance and influence of outliers using normalised residual plots and plots of Cook’s
25 distances were checked. All statistical analyses were performed with R version 3.0.1 (R
26 Development Core Team, 2013).

27 **3 Results**

28 **3.1 Plant litter quality and mass losses**

29 The initial bulk parameters as well as bulk parameter changes during decomposition were
30 variable among plant species whereby *C. demersum* differed substantially from the other
31 species (Table 2). Litter dry mass loss after 154 days ranged from 25 to 64%. Litter from the

1 submerged plant *C. demersum* showed the highest mass loss (64%) and a relative enrichment
2 of the initially very low carbon content of 34% to 39% after 154 days. The comparatively low
3 carbon content of *C. demersum*, about 1.4 times less than the other plant species, is consistent
4 with literature findings and holds also true for regions that presents tropical climate (e.g. Dos
5 Santos Esteves and Suzuki, 2010). Plant tissues from the other species had similar initial
6 carbon contents ranging from 47 to 49%. These carbon contents remained fairly constant
7 during decomposition, leading to C loss values similar to total mass loss (Table 2).

8 Fluctuations in the initial N content as well as changes during decomposition were much
9 more distinct than C fluctuations. The initial N content of *C. demersum* at 2.8% was at least
10 twice as high as the N contents of the other species and increased during decomposition to
11 4.3% by day 154. The other plant parts showed initial N content between 0.9% (*P. australis*)
12 and 1.8% (*P. arundinacea*). At the end of the experiment, total N loss of the litter ranged from
13 40 to 60% for all plant species, with the exception of *P. australis* plant tissue, which showed a
14 net increase of about 8% N, a finding that we cannot explain as no external N-sources were
15 present (%N loss, Table 2). Net N losses exceeded net C losses for plant tissues that had small
16 overall mass losses (i.e. *P. arundinacea*, *T. latifolia* and *C. riparia*), leading to increasing
17 C/N-ratios. *C. demersum* in contrast lost 45% of its nitrogen, a value within the range of other
18 plant species N-losses, but C-loss accounted for 59%, resulting in a C/N-decrease from 14 to
19 11.

20 At the start of the experiment, the P content of *C. demersum* was much higher than for the
21 other plant species (0.68%) and *P. arundinacea* showed the lowest P content (0.04%). While
22 species dependent differences in the initial P contents were observed, the P contents of all
23 tissues had converged to the range of 0.04-0.05% by the end of the experiment with the
24 exception of *C. demersum*, which stood out with an increase in P content to 0.96%.

25 The polyphenol content in *C. demersum* was higher than in tissues from other species by a
26 factor of 2-3 at the start of experiments but lower at the end of experiments. *T. latifolia* and
27 *P. australis* had the highest lignin and cellulose contents, followed by *C. riparia*,
28 *C. demersum*, and *P. arundinacea*. After 154 days, however, the lignin content of
29 *C. demersum* had increased to the highest value of all species.

30 The enrichment of lignin and the loss of polyphenols were common characteristics for all
31 tissues, but again most pronounced for *C. demersum*.

1 **3.2 Water quality**

2 The water quality changed throughout the experiment with different patterns for each plant
3 species and parameter (Table 3). Only the pH and oxygen values remained constant, with pH
4 in the circum-neutral range (6.1 to 6.8) and oxygen around 1 mg L⁻¹ for all plant species. One
5 exception was *C. demersum*, where pH increased from 6.6 to 7.4 (Table 3). EC increased and
6 concentrations of SRP and DN decreased during the course of experiments for all species
7 (with the exception of *C. demersum*, where DN and SRP increased). EC and nutrients (SRP,
8 DN) differed most for *C. demersum* during the experiments. EC was in the same range for all
9 species on day 7 of incubation but was higher for *C. demersum* on day 154 by a factor of 7.
10 Similarly, SRP and DN were similar for all species on day 7 but higher for *C. demersum* by a
11 factor of 150 and 10, respectively. DOC concentrations on day 7 were higher for *C. riparia*
12 and *P. arundinacea* than the other species but there were no differences in DOC at day 49 and
13 154. Polyphenol concentrations were lower on day 7 for *C. demersum* but there was no
14 significant difference between species on day 49 and 154.

15 The DOC composition in the water for all plant species under investigation shows that low
16 molecular weight substances constituted the highest proportion throughout the incubation
17 period (Fig. 2).

18 **3.3 Production of CO₂ and CH₄**

19 The daily average GHG production due to the 154 day decomposition of the different plant
20 species ranged from 0.29 to 0.68 mg C/g dry mass for CO₂ and from 0.004 to 0.3 mg C/g dry
21 mass for CH₄ (Table 4, Fig. 3). The cumulative gas production (CO₂, CH₄, and CO₂+CH₄) in
22 relation to plant carbon content was highest for *C. demersum* (Fig. 4). *P. arundinacea* showed
23 the second highest CO₂ production followed by *C. riparia*, *P. australis* and *T. latifolia* and a
24 comparably high CH₄ production. *P. australis* had higher CH₄ and total GHG production than
25 *C. riparia*, *T. latifolia* and *P. arundinacea* ($p < 0.01$).

26 Statistical analysis suggested that the gaseous C production (CO₂, CH₄, and CO₂+CH₄)
27 depends on the nutrient (N, P) content of plants. The gaseous C production correlated
28 positively with N and P, regardless of whether N or P content was considered at the start or at
29 the end of the experiment, or whether CO₂, CH₄ or the sum of both was considered ($p < 0.01$).
30 This result also did not depend on whether the mass basis for the calculation was dry mass or
31 carbon content (Table 5). It should be noted here that the statistical significance is due to a
32 large part to *C. demersum*, which constituted an outlier due to its high nutrient release and gas

1 emission. Repeating the analysis with the non-parametric Kendall rank correlation test yielded
2 no significant relationships (Table 5). Polyphenols, lignin, and cellulose had no statistical
3 correlation to gaseous C production.

4 For all plant species CO₂ production was the major pathway for total C losses, accounting for
5 about 30 to 44% while the production of CH₄ made up only a minor portion of C losses
6 (Table 4). Only in the case of *C. demersum* CH₄ did substantially contribute to C loss (20%).
7 The DOC and DIC production (determined at the end of the incubation) accounted for 4.1 to
8 7.7% and 0.6 to 14.3% of the total C losses, respectively. A substantial part of carbon losses,
9 for some plant species a major part, could not be quantified by gaseous C production and
10 DOC/DIC release (Table 4). This ‘balance gap’ might in part be attributed to the release of
11 fine particulate organic matter smaller than 1 mm (mesh size of sieve for removal of plant
12 residuals, see section 2.4) and to the release of volatile organic compounds (e.g. Bäckstrand et
13 al., 2010).

14 **4 Discussion**

15 The wetland plant species considered in this study (*P. arundinacea*, *C. demersum*, *T. latifolia*,
16 *P. australis*, and *C. riparia*) have fast metabolism and growth and become abundant at
17 different rewetting stages in inundated peatlands (Zerbe et al., 2013). In vigorous stands, the
18 annual shoot biomass production may exceed 0.1-2 kg of dry mass per square meter,
19 consequently altering the carbon and nutrient cycles of these newly formed ecosystems
20 (Steffenhagen et al., 2012; Zak et al., 2014). The senescent shoot biomass of these plant
21 species (harvested at the end of the vegetation period) were chosen for an incubation
22 experiment to elucidate their importance for elevated GHG emissions from inundated
23 peatlands (Koch et al., 2014) depending on the chemical composition of plant litter.

24 **4.1 Litter breakdown and greenhouse gas production**

25 Litter breakdown and GHG production of shoot biomass under natural conditions is the result
26 of a distinct sequence of differing processes which were widely mimicked in this study.
27 Firstly, the “leaching stage” which occurs subsequent to die back of plants causing high mass
28 losses of plant nutrient stock (Gessner, 1991; Aerts and De Caluwe, 1997), hydrolysable
29 polyphenols and other mostly low molecular weight organic substances such as carbohydrates
30 and amino acids (Best et al., 1990; Maie et al., 2006); secondly, the comparatively fast
31 decomposition under aerobic conditions before and after collapse of shoot biomass into the
32 surface water; and, finally, the slowed decomposition of plant litter under prevailing low-

1 oxygen or even anaerobic conditions if submerged plant litter reaches the newly formed
2 detritus mud layer (Asaeda et al., 2002). It is not possible to distinguish clearly if, or when,
3 the subsequent decomposition of plant litter takes place mainly under anaerobic conditions in
4 the experiment described. However, low-oxygen concentrations in the surface water layer
5 (Table 3) and the release of CH₄ during the entire incubation period with a lag phase of about
6 four weeks at the onset of the incubation (Fig. 4) indicates that at least part of the incubated
7 litter was decayed anaerobically. In particular for *C. demersum* it can be assumed that
8 anaerobic conditions dominated due to the narrow production ratio of CO₂ versus CH₄ of
9 about two (see below). It is also important to consider that in-situ litter breakdown is driven
10 by various detritivorous macroinvertebrates called shredders (Hieber and Gessner, 2002),
11 however these were not present in the experiment. Therefore, and due to other reasons, it is
12 necessary to be cautious if transferring the experimental results to natural field conditions (see
13 section 4.2).

14 In accordance with previous studies, it was found that leaching contributed to major P losses
15 for all tested plant species (in average 50 to 80% of initial P mass), but also for N with losses
16 up to about 40%, as recorded for *P. australis*, and to some extent similarly for C but at much
17 lower rates (single results are not shown). There is some evidence that part of the high
18 leaching losses were supported by the prior drying of the plant litter (Gessner, 1991), however
19 drying is a naturally occurring process in these systems where emergent helophytes are yet to
20 collapse and become submerged. In addition, substantial parts of potentially enzyme
21 inhibiting polyphenols become released so that the overall leaching may strongly impair the
22 decomposability of plant litter in one direction or the other. Therefore the litter quality of the
23 leached material was chosen (with exception of *C. demersum*) to test the importance of
24 different plant compounds on the detected mass losses and GHG production. While there is
25 clear evidence that prior drying affects the decomposition of plant litter due to substantial
26 leaching we assume that possible differences compared to fresh incubated plant litter (herein
27 *C. demersum*) become balanced in the longer term i.e. in order of weeks and months as tested
28 in this study.

29 Different models exist that aim to predict GHG emissions from inundated wetlands. However,
30 some of the models simply consider the water table as a key factor (Couwenberg et al., 2011),
31 while more local adapted models include environmental parameters including vegetation, net
32 primary production and average mass losses due to decomposition (Potter et al., 2011).
33 Despite its importance, the influence of plant specific litter quality on GHG production in
34 wetlands remains poorly understood and litter quality is thus treated as a constant in most

1 GHG emission models (Bridgham et al., 2013). In the present study, the plant specific mass
2 losses due to subaqueous litter decomposition varied by a factor of three while for instance
3 the CH₄ emissions varied by a factor of 70. *P. australis* showed the lowest mass loss of all
4 tissues, but the second highest methane production. This indicates, that the litter quality of the
5 plant parts were highly distinct, but more importantly that there is no simple linear
6 relationship between mass loss and CH₄ production concerning litter from different plant
7 species. Low litter quality, if defined by low mass loss during decomposition, does not imply
8 low methane production.

9 There exists the possibility of a traditional explanation of the above mentioned divergence of
10 mass loss and CH₄ emissions between plant species. The experimental design of this
11 subaqueous decomposition study was not completely anaerobic, as the water was not initially
12 deoxygenated and diffusion of oxygen from the air into the water was possible all times, as it
13 might occur under natural conditions. Methanogenesis is suppressed by more
14 thermodynamically favourable metabolic pathways in the presence of alternative terminal
15 electron acceptors (TEA), especially oxygen (Bridgham et al., 2013). Assuming a certain
16 amount of oxygen initially present and a constant diffusion of oxygen from the air into the
17 waterbody, CH₄ production due to decomposition would be preferentially suppressed in plant
18 tissues with a low carbon quality that decompose at a slower rate. As a result, the CO₂ : CH₄
19 ratios would be higher for more slowly decomposing plant parts and the CH₄ : mass loss ratio
20 would be lower. In an exclusively fermentative and methanogenic system the CO₂ : CH₄ ratio
21 should be ca. 1 : 1 (Keller et al., 2009). In the present study, *C. demersum* had the highest
22 mass loss and showed a CH₄ : CO₂ ratio of 2.3, however, the second highest CH₄ : CO₂ ratio
23 was found for *P. australis*, the tissue with the lowest mass loss of all species (i.e. lowest
24 quality) in this experiment (Table 4). Consequently, the differences in CH₄ emissions in
25 relation to mass loss in this study were most likely not primarily a result of different TEA
26 supply but a function of litter quality.

27 The concepts of litter quality and their overall importance in the decomposition process of
28 fresh litter have been widely studied in lakes, wetlands and other aquatic environments
29 (Gessner, 2000; Hieber and Gessner, 2002). Plant litter high in cellulose, hemicellulose, and
30 sugars and low lignin content decompose at a faster rate than litter with high lignin content
31 (Reddy and DeLaune, 2008). In this study, the enrichment of lignin concurred with the mass
32 loss (Table 2), indicating the recalcitrance of this biopolymer. Cellulose content decreases in
33 *C. demersum*, even if the overall carbon content of this tissue increases, but increases for *P.*
34 *australis* were detected and for other plants the content remains fairly constant. Thus the data

1 show common relationships between biopolymer dynamics and mass loss during
2 decomposition, but no correlations with the observed CH₄ emissions. Polyphenols are another
3 class of substances that can inhibit decomposition processes (Freeman et al., 2001) but our
4 data showed no correlation between mass loss or GHG emissions and polyphenol content.

5 N dynamics are an additional important aspect of litter decomposition. Decomposing
6 microorganisms depend on N sources for their anabolism and increasing concentrations of N
7 within the litter suggest microbial activity (Tremblay and Benner, 2006). Actually, the sole N
8 source in this study was the plant tissue itself, thus no external nitrogen fixation could occur.
9 However, atmospheric N could explain the increased amount of N for *P. australis*, however if
10 this source can be relevant needs further investigations. All plant tissues except *P. australis*
11 lost between 40 and 60% of their N content which were in part recovered within the water
12 phase as dissolved N (Table 2 & 3). This indicates that the decomposition process of all
13 tissues was not N limited. A decrease of the C/N ratio was observed for *C. demersum* and *P.*
14 *australis*, but due to the data basis we could not assign if this relative enrichment of N was
15 due to the high C loss or to microbial N fixation and if any link to the high CH₄ production
16 was present.

17 It has been suggested that CO₂ and CH₄ production due to anaerobic respiration in fens is
18 primary from dissolved organic matter and fresh carbon inputs (Bridgham et al., 2013). In line
19 with this assumption we found that the dominant fraction of DOC in this study consisted of
20 low molecular substances like acetate that can directly serve as substrates for methanogens
21 (Fig. 2). The present distribution of DOC molecular weight is very different from the
22 distribution found in natural environments that consists primarily of high molecular weight
23 substances (Zak et al., 2004). We therefore suggest that this fresh DOC is highly labile and
24 indeed plays a dominant role in the measured GHG production. Consequently the
25 concentrations of DOC as measured three times during the decomposition experiment are not
26 representative for the DOC released from the litter but are the sum of DOC release and DOC
27 respiration (Table 3). This would explain why the DOC concentrations remained fairly small
28 and constant for all species over time despite the great differences in litter mass loss. Most
29 notable is the enrichment of DIC during the decomposition of *C. demersum* that showed the
30 highest mass loss and the highest GHG production from all species but showed no enrichment
31 of DOC.

4.2 Implications for peatland restoration

Due to the small scale and in vitro nature of the incubation experiment, there are limits on extrapolating results to the “real world”. The following section considers other variables controlling carbon fluxes under in-situ conditions and discusses different restoration measures to mitigate GHG emission, in particular of CH₄, based on our findings.

As documented from other similar wetland types, such as shallow lakes, it was assumed that in the aquatic systems investigated the die-off of submerged and emergent macrophytes at the end of the growing season is the primary source of detritus production and therefore contributes significantly to biogenic gas production, while the release of methane by degraded peat at the fen surface and deeper less decomposed peat can be neglected (Hahn-Schöfl et al., 2011). In addition, it was shown that the net CO₂ exchange becomes negative shortly after rewetting of degraded peatlands, but the lake formation generates CH₄ hot spots (Wilson et al., 2009). Although the re-establishment of the C sink function can be rapid and substantial in inundated peatlands (Cabezas et al., 2014), the release of CH₄, with a 25 times higher global warming potential, results in a “net warming effect”. Therefore further consideration of CH₄ is required.

Among the plant species investigated, *C. demersum* had the highest CH₄ production potential, but the recorded biomass production was 6 to 16 times lower on average than the helophytes under investigation (Steffenhagen et al., 2012). Less aboveground biomass leads to lower detritus production and hence to less substrate for methanogenesis. Accordingly, taking data of the annual biomass production of the sampled ‘Peene sites’ and the CH₄ production potential (determined at 15 °C) together, the annual CH₄ production potential would account for about 150 kg CH₄/ha for *C. demersum* and about 250 kg CH₄/ha for *P. australis* (Table 6). However, it should be noted that biomass production of *C. demersum* can be much higher depending on specific site conditions (Küchler, 1986). The estimated annual CH₄ release for *P. australis* is in the middle of the range of emissions determined for a *P. australis* stand recorded recently in-situ for an inundated coastal brackish fen (46 – 1,329 kg CH₄/ha a) located in the neighbourhood of the investigated ‘Peene sites’ (Koch et al., 2014). A maximum rate of about five times higher cannot be explained by differences in biomass production but may imply that other sources or variables contribute to high CH₄ emissions. Apart from the decomposition of fresh detritus organic matter, the older accumulated detritus material might contribute to CH₄ production in the course of sediment diagenetic processes as well as the consumption of dead root material and organic compounds leached from roots

1 (Potter et al., 2014). Other variables which influence the in-situ methane emissions include: i)
2 oxygen release in the rhizosphere of emergent helophytes so that a major part of the produced
3 CH₄ may become oxidised, ii) the methane transport through plant aerenchyma, iii) seasonal
4 and spatial changes of temperature as well as methanogen or methanotroph community and,
5 iv) the water quality, i.e. the level of sulfate concentrations (Fritz et al., 2011; Bridgham et al.,
6 2013; Koch et al., 2014).

7 Despite of the complexity of factors controlling in-situ methane emissions experimental
8 findings enable us to discuss different restoration measures, including water level control,
9 biomass extraction and top soil removal.

10 1. Water level was found to be a main driver for CH₄ emissions from peat soils (Kim et al.,
11 2012). In terms of peatland restoration, the optimum would be to adjust water tables to the
12 surface or just below, thus preventing inundated conditions as far as possible (Joosten et al.,
13 2012). Such an approach would facilitate conditions for new peat formation and elevated CH₄
14 emissions would be unlikely as shoot biomass would be decomposed primarily under aerobic
15 conditions. However, the subsidence and peat loss by several decimetres, the damage of the
16 oscillation capability, and a pronounced microtopography of long-term drained areas results
17 in the formation of shallow lakes with water depths which vary spatially from a few
18 centimetres to several decimetres, even across short distances, render a single water depth
19 unfeasible. If the water table can be managed, e.g. by installing a dam or controlling the water
20 outlet from the peatland, the water table depth should not exceed 0.5 m since this is the
21 minimum depth required for *C. demersum* to grow (Hutchinson, 1975).

22 2) In most cases, water level management is both economically and technically unfeasible so
23 that the harvesting and removal of aboveground biomass should be considered as an option to
24 mitigate CH₄ emissions. The removal of *P. arundinacea* and other grassland species including
25 sod cutting before rewetting would strongly reduce the initial high CH₄ emissions within the
26 first one or two years of inundation (Hahn-Schöfl et al., 2011). Harvesting of submerged and
27 emerged wetland plants might be also be feasible, however this may be technically more
28 challenging and would potentially carry a high cost. Therefore the use of plant material for
29 biogas or in the case of *P. australis* additionally as fodder, building material or other purposes
30 (Joosten et al., 2012) can be useful to offset these costs. However, the removal of above
31 ground plant material would also reduce siltation rates within the shallow lakes so that the re-
32 colonisation with peat-forming plants adapted to widely non-inundated conditions, such as
33 low sedges and brown mosses, can be retarded.

1 3) The removal of upper degraded peat soils often only less than 30 cm thick before rewetting
2 would minimise the nutrient availability for plants and would also provide a re-colonisation of
3 plants adapted to more nutrient poor conditions (Emsens et al., 2015). This so-called top soil
4 removal could potentially lower substantially the biomass production and additionally the
5 chemical composition of plant material might change towards a more refractory character,
6 however this needs further investigation.

7 **5 Conclusions**

8 The typical temporal vegetation shifts in inundated formerly drained fens from cultivated
9 grasses via submerged hydrophytes to helophytes will strongly alter the GHG emission
10 potential. This study shows that *C. demersum* a dominating hydrophyte in open shallow
11 waters of the initial stage of rewetted fens generates higher CH₄ emissions than helophytes
12 under investigation. However, even as succession towards plants with a lower GHG
13 production potential like *P. australis* occurs, high CH₄ emissions in rewetted fens can be
14 expected to continue for several decades as long as inundated conditions prevail. It is
15 important to note that high mass losses in the course of litter decay cannot be equated with a
16 high CH₄ emission. Further investigations are needed to show how other common wetland
17 vegetation, for example floating macrophytes like Lemnaceae or other *Carex* species adapted
18 to inundated conditions, contribute to GHG emissions. In addition, it is not clear yet to which
19 extent a lowering of nutrient conditions would affect the decomposability of plant litter in
20 inundated fens. To answer this question, it is recommendable to obtain plant samples from
21 inundated sites where degraded nutrient enriched top soil has been removed.

22

23 **Author contribution**

24 D. Z., J. G., M. B. and J. A. designed the experiment; M. B. and D. Z. carried out the
25 experiments; T. S. and H. R. did data analysis; D. Z. and H. R. prepared the manuscript with
26 contributions from all co-authors.

27

28 **Acknowledgements**

29 We gratefully acknowledge all colleagues of the Department of Chemical Analytics and
30 Biogeochemistry of the Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries
31 supporting the comprehensive chemical analyses and for their technical assistance during
32 field and lab work. Two anonymous reviewers and Dr. Bärbel Tiemeyer as guest editor are

1 also thanked for the comments and suggestions which have helped to improve this
2 manuscript. The study was supported by the Department of Environment of Mecklenburg-
3 West Pomerania, the European Agriculture Guarantee Fund, and the “Deutsche
4 Forschungsgemeinschaft”.

5

1 **References**

- 2 Aerts, R., and De Caluwe, H.: Nutritional and plant-mediated controls on leaf litter
3 decomposition of carex species, *Ecology*, 244-260, 1997.
- 4 Asaeda, T., Nam, L. H., Hietz, P., Tanaka, N., and Karunaratne, S.: Seasonal fluctuations in
5 live and dead biomass of *Phragmites australis* as described by a growth and decomposition
6 model: implications of duration of aerobic conditions for litter mineralization and
7 sedimentation, *Aquatic Botany*, 73, 223-239, 2002.
- 8 Bäckstrand, K., Crill, P. M., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Mastepanov, M., Christensen, T. R.,
9 and Bastviken, D.: Annual carbon gas budget for a subarctic peatland, Northern Sweden,
10 *Biogeosciences*, 7, 95-108, 2010.
- 11 Benner, M., and Escobar, J.: Ontogeny of Aquatic Ecosystems, in: *Encyclopedia of Inland*
12 *Waters*, edited by: Likens, G., 2009.
- 13 Best, E. H., Dassen, J. H. A., Boon, J. J., and Wieggers, G.: Studies on decomposition of
14 *Ceratophyllum demersum* litter under laboratory and field conditions: losses of dry mass and
15 nutrients, qualitative changes in organic compounds and consequences for ambient water and
16 sediments, *Hydrobiologia*, 194, 91-114, 1990.
- 17 Bridgham, S. D., Cadillo-Quiroz, H., Keller, J. K., and Zhuang, Q.: Methane emissions from
18 wetlands: biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global scales,
19 *Global Change Biology*, 19, 1325-1346, 2013.
- 20 Cabezas, A., Pallasch, M., Schönfelder, I., Gelbrecht, J., and Zak, D.: Carbon, nitrogen, and
21 phosphorus accumulation in novel ecosystems: Shallow lakes in degraded fen areas,
22 *Ecological Engineering*, 66, 63-71, 2014.
- 23 Chojnicki, B. H., Augustin, J., and Olejnik, J.: Impact of reflooding on greenhouse gas
24 exchange of degraded fen peatlands, in: *Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on*
25 *Carbon in Peatlands*, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 15-18 April 2007, 2007.
- 26 Couwenberg, J., Thiele, A., Tanneberger, F., Augustin, J., Bärtsch, S., Dubovik, D.,
27 Liashchynskaya, N., Michaelis, D., Minke, M., Skuratovich, A., and Joosten, H.: Assessing
28 greenhouse gas emissions from peatlands using vegetation as a proxy, *Hydrobiologia*, 674,
29 67-89, 2011.
- 30 Dos Santos Esteves, B., and Suzuki, M. S.: Limnological variables and nutritional content of
31 submerged aquatic macrophytes in a tropical lagoon. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, 22, 187-
32 198, 2010.
- 33 Emsens, W. J., Aggenbach, C. J. S., Smolders, A. J. P., and van Diggelen, R.: Topsoil
34 removal in degraded rich fens: Can we force an ecosystem reset? *Ecological Engineering*, 77,
35 225-232, 2015.
- 36 Freeman, C., Ostle, N., and Kang, H.: An enzymatic latch on a global carbon store. *Nature*,
37 409, 149, 2001.
- 38 Fritz, C., Pancotto, V. A., Elzenga, J. T. M., Visser, E. J. W., Grootjans, A. P., Pol, A.,
39 Iturraspe, R., Roelofs, J. G. M., and Smolders, A. J. P.: Zero methane emission bogs: extreme
40 rhizosphere oxygenation by cushion plants in Patagonia, *New Phytologist*, 190, 398-408,
41 2011.
- 42 Gessner, M. O.: Differences in processing dynamics of fresh and dried leaf litter in a stream
43 ecosystem, *Freshwater Biology*, 26, 387-398, 1991.

- 1 Gessner, M. O.: Breakdown and nutrient dynamics of submerged Phragmites shoots in the
2 littoral zone of a temperate hardwater lake, *Aquatic Botany*, 66, 9-20, 2000.
- 3 Gessner, M. O.: Proximate lignin and cellulose., in: *Methods to study litter decomposition: A*
4 *practical guide*, edited by: Graca, M. A. S., Bärlocher, F., and Gessner, M. O., 115-120, 2005.
- 5 Hahn-Schöfl, M., Zak, D., Minke, M., Gelbrecht, J., Augustin, J., and Freibauer, A.: Organic
6 sediment formed during inundation of a degraded fen grassland emits large fluxes of CH₄ and
7 CO₂, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 1539-1550, 2011.
- 8 Hieber, M., and Gessner, M. O.: Contribution of stream detritivores, fungi, and bacteria to leaf
9 breakdown based on biomass estimates, *Ecology*, 83, 1026-1038, 2002.
- 10 Höper, H., Augustin, J., Cagampan, J. P., Drösler, M., and Lundin, L.: Restoration of
11 peatlands and greenhouse gas balances, in: *Peatlands and Climate Change*, edited by: Strack,
12 M., International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, 182-210, 2008.
- 13 Huber, S. A., and Frimmel, F. H.: Size-exclusion-chromatography with organic carbon
14 detection (LC-OCD): A fast and a reliable method for characterisation of hydrophilic organic
15 matter in natural waters, *Vom Wasser*, 277-290, 1996.
- 16 Hutchinson, G. E.: *A Treatise on Limnology. Vol III. Limnological Botany*, John Wiley &
17 Sons, New York, 1975.
- 18 Joosten, H., Tapio-Biström, M.-J., and Tol, J.: *Peatlands - guidance for climate change*
19 *mitigation through conservation, rehabilitation and sustainable use*, Food and Agriculture
20 Organization of the United Nations and the Wetlands International, 2012.
- 21 Keller, J. K., Weisenhorn, P. B., and Megonigal, J. P.: Humic acids as electron acceptors in
22 wetland decomposition, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 1518-1522, 2009.
- 23 Kim, D. G., Vargas, R., Bond-Lamberty, B., and Turetsky, M. R.: Effects of soil rewetting
24 and thawing on soil gas fluxes: a review of current literature and suggestions for future
25 research, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2459-2483, 2012.
- 26 Koch, S., Jurasinski, G., Koebisch, F., Koch, M., and Glatzel, S.: Spatial Variability of Annual
27 Estimates of Methane Emissions in a Phragmites Australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. Dominated
28 Restored Coastal Brackish Fen, *Wetlands*, 34, 593-602, 2014.
- 29 Kuchler, L.: Analyse der Wasserpflanzenentwicklung in Grabensystemen zur
30 Grundwasserregulierung, *Acta hydrochimica et hydrobiologica*, 14, 47-57, 1986.
- 31 Lai, D. Y. F.: Methane Dynamics in Northern Peatlands: A Review, *Pedosphere*, 19, 409-421,
32 2009.
- 33 Maie, N., Jaffé, R., Miyoshi, T., and Childers, D.: Quantitative and Qualitative Aspects of
34 Dissolved Organic Carbon Leached from Senescent Plants in an Oligotrophic Wetland,
35 *Biogeochemistry*, 78, 285-314, 2006.
- 36 Murphy, J., and Riley, J. P.: A modified single solution method for the determination of
37 phosphate in natural waters, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 27, 31-36, 1962.
- 38 Potter, C., Melack, J., and Engle, D.: Modeling Methane Emissions from Amazon Floodplain
39 Ecosystems, *Wetlands*, 34, 501-511, 2014.
- 40 R Core Team: *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for
41 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <http://www.R-project.org/>, 2013.
- 42 Reddy, K. A., DeLaune, R. D.: *Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Science and Applications*,
43 Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, 2008.

- 1 Steffenhagen, P., Zak, D., Schulz, K., Timmermann, T., and Zerbe, S.: Biomass and nutrient
2 stock of submersed and floating macrophytes in shallow lakes formed by rewetting of
3 degraded fens, *Hydrobiologia*, 692, 99-109, 2012.
- 4 Tremblay, L. and Benner, R.: Microbial contributions to N-immobilization and organic matter
5 preservation in decaying plant detritus, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70, 133-146,
6 2006.
- 7 Wilson, D., Alm, J., Laine, J., Byrne, K. A., Farrell, E. P., and Tuittila, E.-S.: Rewetting of
8 Cutaway Peatlands: Are We Re-Creating Hot Spots of Methane Emissions?, *Restoration
9 Ecology*, 17, 796-806, 2009.
- 10 Zak, D., Gelbrecht, J., and Steinberg, C.E.W.: Phosphorus retention at the redox interface of
11 peatlands adjacent to surface waters in northeast Germany. *Biogeochemistry*, 70, 357-368,
12 2004.
- 13 Zak, D., and Gelbrecht, J.: The mobilisation of phosphorus, organic carbon and ammonium in
14 the initial stage of fen rewetting (a case study from NE Germany), *Biogeochemistry*, 85, 141-
15 151, 2007.
- 16 Zak, D., Gelbrecht, J., Wagner, C., and Steinberg, C. E. W.: Evaluation of phosphorus
17 mobilization potential in rewetted fens by an improved sequential chemical extraction
18 procedure, *European Journal of Soil Science*, 59, 1191-1201, 2008.
- 19 Zak, D., Wagner, C., Payer, B., Augustin, J., and Gelbrecht, J.: Phosphorus mobilization in
20 rewetted fens: the effect of altered peat properties and implications for their restoration,
21 *Ecological Applications*, 20, 1336-1349, 2010.
- 22 Zak, D., Gelbrecht, J., Zerbe, S., Shatwell, T., Barth, M., Cabezas, A., and Steffenhagen, P.:
23 How helophytes influence the phosphorus cycle in degraded inundated peat soils –
24 Implications for fen restoration, *Ecological Engineering*, 66, 82-90, 2014.
- 25 Zerbe, S., Steffenhagen, P., Parakenings, K., Timmermann, T., Frick, A., Gelbrecht, J., and
26 Zak, D.: Ecosystem Service Restoration after 10 Years of Rewetting Peatlands in NE
27 Germany, *Environmental Management*, 51, 1194-1209, 2013.
- 28

1 Table 1. Selected data on chemical composition of surface water (SW), soil pore water (PW),
 2 and peat of the sites under investigation (EC = electrical conductivity, SRP = soluble reactive
 3 phosphorus, N = nitrogen, Ca = calcium, and C = carbon). A detailed description of peat and
 4 water sampling can be found in Zak et al. (2010). Peat data from two different soil depths are
 5 given as medians of always three sub samples.

Sites/year of rewetting		‘Zarnekow’/2004		‘Menzlin’/2002		‘Glieningmoor’/1977	
SW	Sampling time	March 2004 – July 2012		April 2003 – July 2012		July 2008 – May 2013	
	Number of samples	36		12		40	
	Water depth	ca. 0.2 – 1.2 m		ca. 0.3 – 0.5 m		ca. 0.0 – 0.6 m	
		Median	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range
	pH	7.7	6.4-9.0	7.4	6.8-9.1	7.2	6.7-8.5
	EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	834	522-1127	1201	684-1513	468	267-674
	SRP (mg/L)	0.44	0.02-2.88	0.98	0.17-2.19	0.01	0.003-0.039
	Nitrate-N (mg/L)	0.05	0.01-5.2	0.05	0.03-0.06	0.01	0.01-0.13
PW	Sampling time	March 2004 – July 2012		April 2003 – July 2012		December 2009	
	Sampling depth	0-60 cm		0-60 cm		0-60 cm	
	Number of samples	201		39		3	
		Median	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range
	pH	6.9	6.3-7.4	6.6	6.3-6.9	6.2	6.1-6.3
	EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	2320	1083-4850	1046	690-1503	309	305-368
	SRP (mg/L)	1.01	0.49-6.18	8.80	1.95-18.90	0.23	0.15-0.26
	$\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ (mg/L)	11.1	6.0-19.3	9.4	2.2-22.5	1.1	0.8-1.2
Ca (mg/L)	709	293-1185	145	88-218	39	37-47	
Peat	Sampling time	August 2004		February 2005		December 2009	
	Number of samples	3	3	3	3	3	3
	sampling depth	0-30 cm	30-60 cm	0-20 cm	20-60 cm	0-20 cm	20-60 cm
	Peat degradation	amorph, earthified	moderately decomposed	amorph, earthified	moderately decomposed	amorph, earthified	highly decomposed
	Parent material	unidenti- -fiable	tall sedges	unidenti- -fiable	reed, tall sedges	unidenti- fiable	sedges, mosses
	C content (mg/g DM)	398	444	381	510	186	380

N content (mg/g DM)	34	28	32	32	14	25
P content (mg/g DM)	1.34	0.54	2.01	0.55	0.94	0.63

1

2

1 Table 2. Plant litter parameters at the start and at the end (= 154d) of the experiment and mass
 2 losses of total mass (TM), carbon (C), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) throughout the
 3 incubation. All values are related to dry mass and given as means (n = 3). ADC = acid
 4 detergent cellulose, ADL = acid detergent lignin. 95% confidence intervals are given in
 5 parentheses (for some parameter calculation was not feasible).

Parameter	Time of sampling	<i>P. arundinacea</i>	<i>C. demersum</i>	<i>T. latifolia</i>	<i>P. australis</i>	<i>C. riparia</i>
% TM loss	end	44.6	64.0	36.8	25.1	30.8
% C loss	end	44.8	58.8	36.0	26.3	29.2
% N loss	end	63.1	44.7	47.8	-8.5	39.5
% P loss	end	44.6	49.6	63.5	50.1	42.3
C%	start	47.2 (45.9-48.5)	34.3 (26.4-42.2)	47.5 (46.2-48.8)	48.0 (47.3-48.6)	48.4 (48.1-48.7)
	end	47.1 (46.4-47.7)	39.3 (37.3-41.3)	48.1 (47.9-48.3)	47.2 (47.0-47.4)	49.5 (49.3-49.7)
N%	start	1.8 (1.5-2.1)	2.8 (2.6-2.9)	1.1 (1.1-1.2)	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)
	end	1.2 (1.0-1.5)	4.3 (4.1-4.6)	0.9 (0.6-1.3)	1.1 (1.07-1.14)	1.4 (0.9-1.9)
P%	start	0.04 (0.04-0.05)	0.68 (0.36-0.99)	0.07 (0.04-0.09)	0.06 (0.05-0.08)	0.06 (0.05-0.07)
	end	0.04 (0.03-0.06)	0.96 (0.54-1.37)	0.04 (0.04-0.05)	0.04 (0.03-0.04)	0.05 (0.03-0.07)
%ADL	start	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	3.0 (1.7-4.3)	7.7 (7.5-8.0)	11.1 (10.2-12.1)	5.0 (4.3-5.7)
	end	5.7 (4.9-6.5)	19.2 (15.3-23.1)	11.9 (10.8-13.0)	16.0 (15.6-16.4)	8.3 (7.8-8.8)
%ADC	start	39.4 (38.8-39.9)	24.7 (22.7-26.7)	47.1 (46.8-47.5)	47.6 (46.3-48.9)	45.2 (43.6-46.8)
	final	38.1 (35.5-40.7)	20.8 (18.9-22.6)	43.7 (42.0-45.4)	58.0 (57.3-58.7)	42.6 (38.1-47.1)
%Polyphenols	start	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	5.8 (4.8-6.8)	4.1 (3.9-4.3)	1.5 (1.3-1.6)	2.9 (2.6-3.2)
	end	0.1 (0.08-0.11)	0.07 (0.03-0.10)	2.0 (1.5-2.6)	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	2.5 (2.3-2.8)
C/N (mole)	start	31	14	49	60	35
	end	46	11	61	50	43
ADL/N	start	1.3	1.1	7.0	12.3	3.1
	end	4.8	4.5	13.2	14.5	5.9

6

7

1 Table 3. Chemical composition of the water phase of incubated submerged plant litter at
 2 different sampling days (n.d. = not detectable, n.a. = not analysed). Values are given as means
 3 (n = 3), 95% confidence intervals are given in parentheses (for some parameter calculation
 4 was not feasible, negative values are set to zero).

Parameter*	Sampling day	<i>P. arundinacea</i>	<i>C. demersum</i>	<i>T. latifolia</i>	<i>P. australis</i>	<i>C. riparia</i>
pH	7	6.4 (6.2-6.7)	6.6 (5.9-7.3)	6.8 (6.3-7.4)	6.6 (6.4-6.7)	6.5 (6.3-6.6)
	49	6.1 (5.7-6.5)	6.7 (6.0-7.4)	6.7 (6.6-6.9)	6.5 (6.2-6.8)	6.3 (5.4-7.2)
	154	6.3 (5.9-6.7)	7.4 (7.1-7.7)	6.7 (6.0-7.3)	6.8 (6.3-7.4)	6.5 (5.7-7.2)
EC (µS/cm)	7	366 (345-386)	553 (423-683)	452 (400-504)	351 (340-362)	410 (399-421)
	49	396 (361-431)	1741 (1610-1880)	546 (526-566)	347 (340-355)	494 (477-512)
	154	425 (297-553)	3510 (3350-3670)	618 (587-649)	373 (357-388)	474 (441-507)
O ₂ (mg/L)	7	1.0 (0.9-1.2)	n.d.	1.3 (0.2-2.5)	1.3 (0.5-2.1)	1.1 (0.1-2.0)
	49	1.3 (1.1-1.4)	n.d.	1.4 (1.4-1.4)	0.9 (0.0-1.8)	1.4 (0.4-2.3)
	154	1.3 (0.8-1.8)	n.d.	1.1 (0.4-1.8)	1.1 (0.5-1.6)	1.0 (0.3-1.7)
SRP (mg/L)	7	0.87 (0.5-1.3)	1.53 (0.0-3.1)	1.13 (0.5-1.8)	0.28 (0.1-0.5)	1.32 (0.7-2.0)
	49	0.14 (0.0-0.4)	17.30 (0-39)	0.96 (0-1.9)	0.04 (0.03-0.04)	0.35 (0-1.13)
	154	0.07 (-0.01-0.15)	16.98 (4.2-29.7)	0.17 (0-0.33)	0.08 (0.03-0.13)	0.15 (0-0.44)
DOC (mg/L)	7	106 (74-138)	32 (13-51)	53 (32-74)	45 (32-57)	95 (69-120)
	49	129 (60-199)	93 (-28-214)	49 (41-57)	94 (65-123)	111 (0-233)
	154	135 (-8-277)	105 (52-158)	90 (10-170)	103 (81-126)	93 (0-202)
DIC (mg/L)	7	44	62	51	45	42
	49	52	n.a.	69	41	68
	154	55	418	73	49	58
DN (mg/L)	7	4.1 (2.9-5.4)	2.3 (1.6-3.1)	3.0 (1-5)	3.3 (1-6)	3.7 (2.0-5.4)
	49	7.6 (3.5-11.6)	25.8 (17-35)	1.2 (0.6-1.8)	5.4 (1-10)	9.8 (7.3-12.2)
	154	14.0 (0-31)	112 (89-135)	3.8 (-2-10)	14.4 (8-21)	9.3 (0-18)
Polyphenols (mg/L)	7	20.1 (15-25)	3.1 (0-6)	22.5 (17-28)	14.9 (9-21)	23.9 (12-36)
	49	26.1 (7-46)	n.a.	10.7 (6-16)	n.a.	14.1 (5-23)
	154	24.6 (0-50)	n.a.	18.6 (0-40)	n.a.	13.9 (8-20)

5 * EC = electrical conductivity; SRP = soluble reactive phosphorus; DOC = dissolved organic
 6 carbon; DIC = dissolved inorganic carbon; DN = dissolved nitrogen.

7

1 Table 4. Daily averages of Gaseous carbon (C) production due to the 154 day decomposition
 2 of different wetland plant (given as mg C/g dry mass for CO₂ and as µg C/g dry mass for
 3 CH₄) and carbon balance for the decomposition experiment as calculated by carbon losses
 4 from tissues via gaseous and aqueous pathways (calculation is based on initial dry mass).
 5 Values of carbon losses and balance gap are given in percent of total carbon loss from the
 6 plant tissue due to decomposition. Values in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals.

Parameter	<i>P. arundinacea</i>	<i>C. demersum</i>	<i>T. latifolia</i>	<i>P. australis</i>	<i>C. riparia</i>
CO ₂ production	0.37 (0.33-0.41)	0.68 (0.44-0.93)	0.31 (0.21-0.41)	0.34 (0.28-0.40)	0.29 (0.20-0.38)
CH ₄ production	9.4 (4.1-14.7)	302.7 (249-356)	8.1 (3.1-13.0)	30.8 (23.1-38.6)	4.3 (-2.3-11.0)
CO ₂ : CH ₄ ratio	40	2.3	38	11	67
C loss via CO ₂ / %	29.7	43.9	30.3	41.8	32.9
C loss via CH ₄ / %	0.7	19.5	0.8	3.8	0.5
C loss via DOC / %	7.7	4.1	5.3	7.7	6.8
C loss via DIC / %	0.6	14.3	1.9	0.6	1.2
balance gap / %	62.3	18.2	61.7	46.1	58.5

7 DOC = dissolved organic carbon; DIC = dissolved inorganic carbon.

8

1 Table 5. Significance level (p values) of correlations between contents of nitrogen (N) or
 2 phosphorus (P) and greenhouse gas (GHG) production considering litter characteristics at the
 3 beginning of the experiment ('start') and at the end of the experiment ('final'). The mean
 4 GHG production rates of each species (*Phalaris arundinacea*, *Ceratophyllum demersum*,
 5 *Typha latifolia*, *Phragmites australis*, and *Carex riparia*) were related either to dry mass or to
 6 C content. Due to the high influence of *C. demersum*, we tested correlations with both the
 7 Pearson correlation test (PCT) and the non-parametric Mann-Kendall test (MKT, *C.*
 8 *demersum* was excluded). Polyphenols, lignin and cellulose had no significant effect on GHG
 9 production.

10

	start				final							
	CO ₂		CH ₄		CO ₂ +CH ₄		CO ₂		CH ₄		CO ₂ +CH ₄	
	PCT	MKT	PCT	MKT	PCT	MKT	PCT	MKT	PCT	MKT	PCT	MKT
Significance levels if GHG production rates related to dry mass												
N	0.015	0.22	0.027	0.46	0.027	0.46	0.007	0.46	0.002	0.81	0.002	0.81
P	0.001	0.22	0.01	0.46	0.01	0.46	0.005	1	0.000	1	0.000	1
Significance levels if GHG production rates related to carbon content												
N	0.018	0.22	0.027	0.46	0.027	0.46	0.003	0.46	0.001	0.81	0.001	0.81
P	0.001	0.22	0.009	0.46	0.009	0.46	0.002	1	0.000	1	0.000	1

11


12

1 Table 6. Estimation of annual methane production due to decomposition of fresh aboveground
 2 plant litter on the basis of annual biomass production determined for sampled rewetted fens in
 3 the River Peene valley (Steffenhagen et al. 2012, Zak et al. 2014) and the daily methane
 4 production potential determined under lab conditions (see section 2.4). The latter values are
 5 related on initial dry mass.

Plant species	in-situ annual biomass production kg dry mass/ha	Experimental determined daily methane production g CH ₄ /kg dry mass	annual methane production potential kg CH ₄ /ha
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	6500	0.013	30
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	1000	0.404	147
<i>Typha latifolia</i>	12100	0.011	47
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	16600	0.041	249
<i>Carex riparia</i>	7700	0.006	16

6

7




1

2

3 Figure 1. The sampling sites located in north-eastern Germany.


4



1 ■ low molecular weight substances □ humic-like substances ■ high molecular weight substances
 (e.g. acetate, amino acids) (e.g. polyphenolics) (e.g. polysaccharides)


2

3 Figure 2. Composition of dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the water phase for all incubated
 4 plant species determined by liquid size-exclusion chromatography in combination with
 5 organic carbon detection (mean, n = 3).



1
2
3
4
5
6

Figure 3. Boxplots of gas emission rates (mg CO₂-C/ g C; μg CH₄-C/ g C) based on initial carbon content of each species. Whiskers represent Min and Max values. Different letters indicate significant differences between species (p < 0.05).



1

2

3 Figure 4. Cumulative release of CO₂ and CH₄ from different incubated plant material under
 4 submerged conditions over an incubation period over 154 d. The daily C-normalized gaseous
 5 C release is given in brackets (zero order rate constant: $k(\text{CO}_2) / \text{mgC/d gC}$, $k(\text{CH}_4) / \mu\text{gC/d}$
 6 gC calculated for day 40 to 154). Values are related to initial carbon contents given as means
 7 ($n = 3$). For better visualisation the cumulative values after always seven days are highlighted
 8 by symbols.