Space-time variability of alkalinity in the Mediterranean Sea
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Abstract

The paper provides a basin scale assessment of the spatial distribution of alkalinity in the Mediterranean Sea. The assessment is made by integrating the available observations in a 3-D transport-biogeochemical model.

The results indicate the presence of complex spatial patterns: a marked west-to-east surface gradient of alkalinity is coupled to secondary negative gradients: 1) from marginal seas (Adriatic and Aegean Sea) to the eastern Mediterranean Sea and 2) from north to south in the western region. The west-east gradient is related to the mixing of the Atlantic water entering from the Gibraltar Strait with the high alkaline water of the eastern sub-basins, which is correlated to the positive surface flux of evaporation minus precipitation. The north to south gradients are related to the terrestrial input and to input of the Black Sea water through Dardanelles. In the surface layers, alkalinity has a relevant seasonal cycle (up to 40 µmol kg⁻¹) that is driven by physical processes (seasonal cycle of evaporation and vertical mixing) and – to a minor extent – by biological processes. A comparison of alkalinity vs. salinity indicates that different regions present different relationships: in regions of freshwater influence, the two quantities are negatively correlated due to riverine alkalinity input, whereas they are positively correlated in open sea areas of the Mediterranean Sea.

1 Introduction

The dissolution of atmospheric CO2 mitigates the effects of the atmospheric concentration of fossil carbon emissions but causes acidification in marine water. Observations of ocean acidification have already been recorded, and evidence suggests that this phenomenon is occurring at an unprecedented rate. A number of studies indicate that ocean acidification might impact the structure and functioning of ecological systems, with cascading consequences on socio-economic activities (Rodrigues et al., 2013; Turley and Boot, 2011; Melaku Canu et al., 2014). In this context alkalinity is a very relevant concept, because it is a measure of the capabilities of sea water to buffer acidification. In fact, a number of chemical compounds are dissolved in sea water, including carbonate and bicarbonate ions, boron and other weak bases, which can combine with free protons. Total alkalinity is operationally defined as the sum of these weak bases (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001), and empirically measured as the amount of protons buffered by seawater up to a reference pH level. Therefore, different regional seas present different responses to ocean acidification also as a consequence of specific alkalinity conditions, a fact that might call for specific studies. As an example, in the Mediterranean Sea - a landlocked, relatively small, highly dynamic ecosystem with high anthropic pressure in the coastal areas - the existing data indicate that alkalinity is significantly higher than in the Atlantic Ocean, and that a west-east spatial gradient is present. Thus, the alkalinity concentration increases moving from the Atlantic Ocean to the western basin of the Mediterranean and reaches its maximum values in the eastern basin (Schneider et al., 2007; Touratier and Goyet, 2011; Alvarez et al., 2014). This pattern clearly indicates that it is not possible to address the study of this basin by relying only on the results of global studies.

Global climatology has been produced for pH and alkalinity (Lee et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2014), often based on the use of empirical site-specific regressions between alkalinity and temperature and salinity data. In the open sea environment, alkalinity often correlates with salinity and temperature (Lee et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2014). The underpinning process is that evaporation (and precipitation) concentrates (or dilutes) all compounds that contribute to alkalinity. The regression coefficients, however, change with the nature and relative composition of these compounds and can vary over different regions. Furthermore, salinity cannot track the occurrence of biological processes that also modify alkalinity, such as nutrients uptake, mineralization, nitrification and denitrification (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007). Nonetheless, salinity based empirical relationships are widely used to reconstruct the spatio-temporal distribution of alkalinity from existing data sets and to infer conclusions on pH state and trends.
Several regression relationships have been proposed for the Mediterranean Sea (Schneider et al., 2007; Copin-Montegut, 1993) and indicate different reconstructions. In addition, regressions based on local areas exist (Santana-Casiano et al., 2002; Huertas et al., 2009), some of which indicate a negative correlation between alkalinity and salinity in regions of freshwater (river) input (Luccheta et al., 2010). Few data, however, are available for the Mediterranean Sea, and as such, in this important basin the statistical spatial interpolation and extrapolation of existing information are critical.

Process-based on numerical models represent an alternative to the use of empirical regressive models. In process-based numerical models, alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) are considered explicit state variables (master variables used to solve the carbonate system) that are transported by physical processes and modified by chemical and biological processes (Wakelinken et al., 2012; Prowe et al., 2009; Artioli et al., 2012; Turi et al., 2013; Fiechter et al., 2014). Also this approach is not free from criticalities, as it implies the need to explicitly parameterize the major known relationships among DIC, alkalinity and the biogeochemical processes, and to define exactly which chemical compounds are considered in the definition of the alkalinity. The boundary and initial conditions of the area considered must be estimated as well. The advantage of this approach is that once a numerical model exists, it can be used to interpolate existing observations and to make projections on future states.

Many studies were carried out to numerically simulate the carbonate system in the global oceans (Orr et al., 2005). In the Mediterranean Sea, box modeling estimates were made by d’Ortenzio et al. (2008), who used an array of decoupled 1-D water column biogeochemical models forced by satellite observations, and by Louanchi et al. (2009) who used a coupled data-diagnostic model approach to estimate trend in carbonate variables over last decades. An high resolution circulation model coupled with CO$_2$ module adopting a perturbation technique was used by Palmieri et al. (2014) to estimate the anthropogenic CO$_2$ in the Mediterranean Sea. However, in all these publications alkalinity was derived from a salinity regression, in agreement with Scheider et al. (2007). In contrast, in this manuscript, we dynamically simulated alkalinity, by introducing a new full state variable in an existing state-of-the-art biogeochemical model of the Mediterranean Sea (Lazzari et al., 2012). We also use the extended model to integrate, in a coherent framework, the available measures of alkalinity within the Mediterranean Sea and along its boundaries with the aim of deriving a space–time climatology of alkalinity. The model results are then used to explore the space–time variability of this important parameter and assess specific relationships between alkalinity and salinity for different regions of the Mediterranean Sea. The impact of different processes, like biological uptake, on alkalinity spatial and temporal variability is also addressed.

2 Model and data for the Mediterranean Sea

2.1 Biogeochemical and carbonate system coupled model

The biogeochemical modelling system of the Mediterranean Sea (OPATM-BFM) used in the present study is a 3-D transport reaction model. It is capable of resolving the large-scale variability and seasonal cycle of nutrients and primary producers (Lazzari et al., 2012).

The transport of dissolved and particulate matter is resolved using the OPATM transport model (Lazzari et al., 2010), offline forced by dynamic field that is reproduced by the hydrodynamic general circulation model MED16 OGCM (Béranger et al., 2005).

The transport is computed with a horizontal resolution of 1/8 o (approximately 12 km) and with a vertical z-coordinate discretization that is coarser at the bottom layers and more refined at the surface layers (13 levels in the 0–200 m layer, 7 layers in the 200–600 m layer and 23 levels in the 600-bottom layer).

The dynamics of the biogeochemical properties are described by the BFM model, a biogeochemical model that describes the cycles of nitrogen, phosphorus, silica and carbon through biotic (4 phytoplankton pools, heterotrophic bacteria, 2 microzooplankton, and 2 mesozooplankton pools) and abiotic (detritus, labile, semi-labile and refractory dissolved organic matter, dissolved inorganic compounds) components as a function of temperature and light (Lazzari et al., 2012).

The OPATM-BFM was upgraded by implementing a carbonate system module that requires two new state variables: dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity.

Alkalinity evolution is driven by physical and biological mediated processes and boundary conditions fluxes:

\[ \frac{\partial \text{ALK}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \text{ALK}}{\partial t_{\text{bio}}} + \frac{\partial \text{ALK}}{\partial t_{\text{trap}}} + \frac{\partial \text{ALK}}{\partial t_{\text{terp}}} \]  

(1)

In particular, according to its explicit conservative expression (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007), the total alkalinity dynamic is affected by the biological processes that alter the concentrations of NO$_3^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$ and NH$_4^+$ (bio term in equation 1). Nitrification, NH$_4^+$ uptake and the release of both NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ by the
phytoplankton and bacterial groups decrease alkalinity by an equivalent amount of moles. On the other hand the the uptake of NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ and the NH$_4^+$ release by phytoplankton and bacteria and the denitrification increase alkalinity (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007). The evaporation minus precipitation flux at the surface affects alkalinity by producing either a concentration or a dilution, (EmP term in equation 1). Alkalinity is then advected and diffused by the tracer transport model, which integrates the exchanges with Atlantic buffer and the input from river discharges (trsp term in equation 1).

In turn, alkalinity – together with DIC and temperature – determines seawater pH, dissolved CO$_2$ (pCO2) and, eventually, DIC exchange at the air–sea interface (Orr et al., 1999).

2.2 Model setup

The present run covers the 1998–2004 period; the physical and biogeochemical setups are extensively detailed by Béranger et al. (2005) and Lazzari et al. (2012) and are briefly reported here. The atmospheric forcings are from the ERA40 reanalysis (Uppala et al., 2005), and from the ECMWF analysis. Net evaporation (0.7 m/y) and water balance considering fluxes at Gibraltar Strait are consistent with current knowledge (Béranger et al., 2005). The initial conditions of physical variables are based on the MODB4 climatology (Brankart and Brasseur, 1998), and those of the nutrients are based on the Medar-Medatlas datasets (Crise et al., 2003). The nutrient loads (nitrates, phosphates and silicates) are from the terrestrial inputs derived from the paper of Ludwig et al. (2009). The atmospheric inputs of phosphates and nitrates are also included, considering a mean annual value for the eastern and western Mediterranean Sea (Ribera d’Alcalá et al., 2003). A buffer area in the Atlantic Ocean is used to simulate the boundary at the Strait of Gibraltar, as detailed by Béranger et al. (2005) and Lazzari et al. (2012).

Regarding alkalinity and DIC, three mean profiles are computed from data of Meteor51 cruise (Schneider et al., 2007) and used to uniformly initialize the western Mediterranean Sea (alb, nwm, sww, swe and tyr sub-basins in Fig. 1), Ionian-Adriatic Seas (ion, adn and ads in Fig. 1) and Levantine (lev in Fig. 1). The Aegean Sea (aeg) is initialized with a mean profile that is computed from the Sesame-Aegean dataset (Table 1). A Newtonian dumping term regulates the Atlantic buffer zone that is outside the Strait of Gibraltar (atl in Fig. 1), where the alkalinity and DIC concentrations are relaxed to the mean profiles derived from the data published by Huertas et al. (2009) and Dafner et al. (2001).

The terrestrial inputs of alkalinity are computed as the products of freshwater flows, as given by Ludwig et al. (2009), and the terrestrial concentration of alkalinity per water mass, estimated from each of the 10 macro coastal sectors used by Ludwig et al. (2009), based on previously obtained data (Copin-Montégut, 1993). Table 2 reports the mean alkalinity values at the macro coastal areas and the annual loads, including the quota of the most relevant rivers. The Dardanelles inputs are considered in the same way but based on previous information (Somot et al., 2008; Copin-Montégut, 1993). Atmospheric CO2 is set according to the trend shown at the Lampedusa station (Artuso et al., 2009).

To smooth artificial effects of discontinuous initial conditions, before starting the actual biogeochemical simulation a spin up period (5 years) was run by using atmospheric forcing typical of the period.

2.3 Reference dataset for comparison

A reference dataset of alkalinity observations (Table 1) has been gathered for model initialization and validation. More than 4200 alkalinity measurements, collected through Pangea, SeaDataNet and Perseus database services, refer to several campaigns and research cruises (Table 1) in the period 1999–2011. This period is consistent with the time window of the simulation and allows to avoid possible problems of inconsistency with older data (Louanchi et al., 2009). Given the inhomogeneity of the spatial-temporal coverage of data, average climatology profiles have been computed for a grid of 1° x 1° for the year and the four seasons. The 1° x 1° bins at any given quota are considered empty when less than 4 measurements were present, or when the range of variability of the data was larger than 40 %.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Spatial variability of alkalinity

The physical and biogeochemical results of the present simulation have been extensively presented and validated by Béranger et al. (2005) and Lazzari et al. (2012), respectively. Here, we focus on the alkalinity time and space variability in the Mediterranean Basin. The results show that the Mediterranean Sea is characterized by alkalinity values that are much higher (100–150 µmol kg$^{-1}$ higher) than those observed in the Atlantic Ocean at the same latitude (Lee et al., 2006). The results indicate a strong surface
west-to-east gradient (Fig. 2a), with values ranging from 2400 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) near the Strait of Gibraltar to 2700 µmol kg\(^{-1}\), as simulated in the upper ends of the eastern marginal seas (Adriatic and Aegean Seas). These features are in agreement with the recent experimental findings (Schneider et al., 2007; Touratier and Goyet, 2011; Alvarez et al., 2014). The west-to-east gradient is a permanent structure recognizable at all depths, but less marked in the maps of the intermediate and deep layers (Fig. 2). Indeed, the range of variability of alkalinity for the deepest layer spans from 2560 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) of the Alboran Sea to 2620 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) of the Levantine sub-basin (2650–2670 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in the deeper layers of the Adriatic and Aegean Seas). At the surface, alkalinity dynamics are driven by three major factors: the input in the eastern marginal seas (the terrestrial input from Po and other Italian rivers and the input from the Dardanelles, see Table 2), the effect of evaporation in the eastern basin, and the influx of the low alkaline Atlantic water. The thermohaline basinwide circulation modulates the intensity and the patterns of the spatial gradients. Intermediate and deep layers show lower dynamics and less variability. The Adriatic and Aegean Seas recharge the Levantine intermediate and deep waters as shown by the calculated fluxes at the boundaries of these sub-basins. On average, the Otranto strait accounts for a northward flux of 8.18 x 10\(^{12}\) mol y\(^{-1}\) at surface (0-200m) and a southward flux of 8.21 x 10\(^{12}\) mol y\(^{-1}\) at the intermediate and deep layers. The Aegean sea import 35.9 x 10\(^{12}\) mol y\(^{-1}\) from the eastern Mediterranean Sea at the 0-200m layer but it export 36.5 x 10\(^{12}\) mol y\(^{-1}\) to the intermediate and deep layers of Levantine and Ionian sub-basins.

The excess of alkalinity in the intermediate and deep layers of the eastern sub-basins is then spread towards the western sub-basins by the thermohaline cell Mediterranean circulation. At the Sicily channel, the eastward surface flux (0-200) of 48.3 x 10\(^{12}\) mol y\(^{-1}\) is more than counterbalanced by a westward flux of 56.9 x 10\(^{12}\) mol y\(^{-1}\) at the intermediate and deep layers, which results consistent with the spreading of the Levantine Intermediate Water described by Alvarez et al. (2014).

The model reconstructions of horizontal gradients at the different depths are quite consistent with the reference values (Fig. 2). High correlation values and low relative root mean square error values (depicted in the Taylor diagram of Fig. 3) quantitatively confirm the good performance of the model in reproducing the mean annual fields. Higher relative errors (normalized bias and RMSE) are registered at the deepest layers (Fig. 2d and symbol e in Fig. 3), where the effect of input of high alkaline water from the Aegean and Adriatic to the Ionian Sea and Levantine regions appears to be partly underestimated. However, considering the absolute values, the bias and RMSE are quite low: 10.4 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) and 14.6 µmol kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. These values are significant only because of the low variability in these layer (standard deviation equals to 15.8 µmol kg\(^{-1}\)). The bias error is pretty low for all the layers except for the surface one. The model performance at surface is worsened by an overestimation of values (about 20 µmol kg\(^{-1}\)) in the Ionian and western part of Levantine sub-basins.

### 3.2 Alkalinity vs. salinity regression

It has been recognized that several relationships between alkalinity and salinity are appropriate for different sub-basins of the Mediterranean Sea, given the different sources of alkalinity and water mass dynamics (Schneider et al., 2007; Touratier and Goyet, 2011; Luchetta et al., 2010). The results of the present simulation allow for the basin-wide quantification of these regressions and for the investigation of the rationale of their differences (Fig. 4).

The relationship computed using the model grid points of the pelagic areas (points with depths greater than 200 m) is somehow consistent with the one computed on observations (Table 3), and supports the conclusion that at a basin scale, the effect of evaporation and the end term of Atlantic water are the major drivers for alkalinity spatial dynamics. However, a close analysis reveals that the Mediterranean regression is the average of several regressions: one computed for the eastern sub-basins (ion and lev), a second one computed for the western sub-basins (alb, tyr, sww and swe) and two more for the eastern marginal seas (Adriatic and Aegean Seas). Results, therefore, highlight that the two main sub-basins are affected by different sources of interference. The western regression has a higher correlation and lower dispersion values for residuals and lower regression coefficient values compared with the eastern sub-basin. Therefore, the latter is characterized by the presence of more intense sources of variability. Negative correlations between salinity and alkalinity are observed in the marginal seas, where the changes in salinity no longer can be related to evaporation processes, but they track the input of alkalinity at zero or low salinity from rivers and the Dardanelles. The Adriatic Sea regression shows the highest dispersion in coefficient values and error of residuals as a consequence of the higher variability generated by the local and topographic effects. Further, for the nwm sub-basin, the effect of inputs from Rhone and Ebro rivers generates a negative correlation, but this effect remains confined only in the Gulf of Lion. Other local effects can produce deviations from the general relationship, as for example, the coastal areas of the Gulf of Gabes and the far eastern coastal areas of the Levantine sub-basin, where local sources of terrestrial inputs are associated with high rates of evaporation flux and thus produce the orange and red clusters lying above the Mediterranean Sea regression (dashed thick line).
Our regressions update the findings of the Schneider et al. (2007). The steeper slopes and the less negative intercepts of our regressions reflects the fact that our data cover the whole Mediterranean basin from Gibraltar to the end of Levantine sub-basin. Difference between our model and observation regressions is not statistically significant, but the lower slope of the model regression likely reflects the model overestimation of Ionian points (Fig. 2). Given the relative weight of this sub-basin to the total number of gridpoints of the Mediterranean domain, the Ionian model overestimation, indeed, causes the raise of the lower left end of the regression line (Fig. 4). Seasonal variability of the basin wide regression leads to an uncertainty of the slope and intercept estimates of about 6% and 30%, respectively (Table 3). The slope increases during summer and decreases during winter (Table 3) reflecting the differential impact of different factors in the different sub-basins throughout the year.

3.3 Temporal variability of alkalinity

A marked seasonal cycle is simulated in the surface layer (Fig. 5): the range of the mean annual variation of surface alkalinity varies from approximately 10–15 µmol kg⁻¹, for the Alboran and the North western regions, to up to 50 µmol kg⁻¹, in the Balearic region, in the Aegean Sea, in patches of the southern western region and in the Sicily channel. The extent of seasonal variability is consistent with available observations, as shown by the high values of the skill indexes depicted in the Taylor diagram for the seasonal comparison (symbols in Fig. 3). Vertical profiles (Fig. 6) are generally characterized by large variability at surface, minimum values between the surface and 100 m, a sharp increase until 200–250 m and almost stationary values below 400–500 m (Fig. 5), but important differences between the eastern and western profiles highlight the presence of different forcings, water mass dynamics and confinements in each area.

The largest fluctuations are observed in the transition areas between different sub-basins, where the surface circulation modifies the patterns of the horizontal gradients throughout the year (e.g. Balearic area, edges of the Sicily channel, and northern and eastern Ionian Sea, Fig. 5). On the other hand, mesoscale dynamics and horizontal transport of the low alkaline Atlantic water generates the patches of large annual fluctuations in the southwestern Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 5). These large fluctuations are mainly confined to the first 50 meters of the water column (Fig. 6b, d-f). High annual range of seasonal cycle is simulated for the southern and central Aegean Sea, where the influence of the Dardanelles boundary and of seasonal cycle of surface enrichment and mixing is significant.

In the western Mediterranean Sea two areas are characterized by a low range (15-20 µmol kg⁻¹) of the annual cycle at surface: the Alboran and the northwestern sub-basins. In the first area, the impact of the constant boundary condition at the Atlantic boundary buffer area limits the seasonal oscillation. In the second area, a high vertical ventilation causes an exceeding vertical homogenization of the water column below 20-30m throughout the year (Fig. 6a): the lower limit of the range of the graph at surface (blue line in Fig. 6a) has values of 2580 µmol kg⁻¹ which seems as an overestimation with respect to the lower limit of observations at the Dyfamed station reported by Copin Montegut and Begovic (2002).

In the southwest Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 6c,d), the mixing of Levantine water (below 400 m) and the Atlantic water (upper layer) generates a zone (between 150 and 400 m) that is characterized by large temporal variability. Moving eastward, to points e and f of Fig. 6, the modified Atlantic water generates a minimum at 50–100 m in the summer, when evaporation produces a surface lens of high alkaline concentration (red lines in Fig. 6). During winter the profiles tend to be more homogeneous (blue lines in Fig. 6). Below the modified Atlantic water, the intermediate Levantine water, characterized by alkalinity values of 2610–2620 µmol kg⁻¹, shows low seasonal variability and decreasing values moving westward, as also pointed out by Alvarez et al. (2014). This layer is recharged by the high alkalinity values and dense water that are generated in the Adriatic and Aegean Seas and subsequently deepens in the Levantine and Ionian sub-basins.

In the Levantine and Ionian sub-basins, the seasonal cycle of summer evaporation and winter mixing produces large excursion at surface (up to 40-45 µmol kg⁻¹) and a mean seasonal variability of more than 30 µmol kg⁻¹ in the first 50–100 m (Fig. 5 and 6b).

3.4 Impacts of biological and physical processes on alkalinity variability.

According to the synthetic equation (1), Figures 7 and 8 report the mean annual cycle of the monthly rate of variation of alkalinity due to biological and physical (transport plus evaporation minus precipitation) processes for the selected sub-basins. The contribution of evaporation minus precipitation is added to the physical plots in Fig. 8.

The physical contribution exceeds by 5-8 times the biological one, however in the surface layers of the water column, biological processes significantly contribute to the annual variability (Fig. 7) by increasing alkalinity during winter-spring period. The largest impact of biology is in the nwrm region
whereas eastern sub-basins are less impacted (Fig. 7). The high rate of plankton production causes a large uptake of NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ (which exceed the NH$_4^+$ uptake) during the first half of the year, triggering an increase of alkalinity that can be higher than 0.05 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the first 50–100 m of the water column. During summer, the productivity decreases (Lazzari et al., 2012), and in the layer below 100–120 m, the mineralization of NO$_3^-$ (through processes of mineralization of NH$_4^+$ and subsequent nitrification) and of PO$_4^{3-}$ generally prevails. At these depths, biology contributes negatively to alkalinity throughout the year even if at lower rate (blue area in Fig. 7). The relevance of biological processes in contributing to the alkalinity dynamics decreases from the northwestern to the eastern regions, according to the well-known decrease in the Mediterranean trophic gradient (Lazzari et al., 2012). The depth at which the positive impact of biology on alkalinity becomes zero increases eastward from 80–100 m to 120 m of the eastern sub-basins (Fig. 7), according to the deepening of the deep chlorophyll maximum from west to east (deep red spots in summer of plots of Fig. 7). This indicates that biology contributes to the high temporal variability of the surface values in the western sub-basins and that, together with physical processes, it contributes to the increase in alkalinity in the subsurface layer in the eastern sub-basins.

The term of surface evaporation minus precipitation, which is generally positive for the Mediterranean Sea, contributes to increasing the surface alkalinity, with an average rate that ranges from 6 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the western sub-basins to 7 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in the eastern sub-basins (Fig. 8 upper panel). During summer, the increase of alkalinity due to the concentration process can reach values up to 0.3 mmol m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ in the first 30-50 meters (Fig. 8 lower panel). The autumn and winter vertical mixing drives a homogenization of the water column by decreasing surface values and increasing subsurface values. In the southern Adriatic Sea (ads) and Aegean Sea (aeg), these effects of recharging the deeper layers below 200-300 are particularly visible during the autumn-winter vertical ventilation. The different pattern shown for the sww sub-region and partly to ssw sub-region is related to the impact of the lateral transport of low alkaline water from the Atlantic that contrasts the increase due to evaporation at surface.

An alkalinity budget is then compiled in order to quantify sources and sinks: the input from rivers and from Dardanelles amount to 0.9 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$ and 1.15 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$, respectively. The simulated net flow at Gibraltar is an export of alkalinity equals to 3.1 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$. Compared to the findings of Schneider et al. (2007) who estimated a net alkalinity outflow at Gibraltar of 0.8 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$, our estimation is almost 4 times bigger and closer to the estimate of 2.43 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$ given by Copin-Montégut (1993). Biological processes act as a source of alkalinity in the 0-100m layer (~0.52 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$) and as a sink of alkalinity in the intermediate and deep layers (~0.47 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$). A basin scale budget of mass of proton acceptor compounds contributing to alkalinity, therefore, sums up to an annual loss of about 1 x 10$^{12}$ mol y$^{-1}$, equivalent to 0.3 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ or - assuming that most processes are confined in the upper 300 meters - of about 1 mmol/m$^{2}$ y$^{-1}$, i.e. not too far from a steady state.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we used a calibrated state-of-the-art 3-D transport-biogeochemical-carbonate model to integrate the observations of alkalinity collected over the last decade into a single picture. The study returns a coherent picture of the space–time evolution of this parameter, which is crucial for properly assessing the impacts of ocean acidification.

Different factors (e.g., ingress of low alkaline water from Atlantic Ocean, surface concentration due to evaporation in the eastern sub-basins, and input from rivers and Dardanelles) shape a basin wide west to east gradient coupled with north to south gradients in the marginal seas (Adriatic and Aegean) and in the western sub-basin. As a consequence, the regression between salinity and alkalinity strongly varies from region to region and highlights that it is possible to use a single equation to reconstruct alkalinity values over the whole Mediterranean Sea only if marginal seas and regions of freshwater influence are not considered. The regression equations for each of these regions, treated separately, were also computed. A range up to 40 µmol kg$^{-1}$ of the seasonal cycle should be considered typical at surface in several parts of the Mediterranean Sea. Different processes trigger the alkalinity variability in different regions: seasonal cycle of summer concentration due to evaporation and winter vertical mixing in the eastern sub-basins, intense biological processes in the northwestern sub-region and horizontal transport in the southwestern sub-regions.
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Table 1. List of available alkalinity datasets for the Mediterranean Sea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meteor51</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>June 2001</td>
<td>Schneider et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteor84</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>Tanhua et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosope</td>
<td>Western Mediterranean and Ionian Sea</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Bégovic and Copin, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourn2008</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>June-July 2008</td>
<td>Touratier et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame Egeo</td>
<td>Aegean Sea</td>
<td>April, September 2008</td>
<td><a href="http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data">http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame Regina Maris</td>
<td>Alboran Sea</td>
<td>April, September 2008</td>
<td><a href="http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data">http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame Garcia del cid</td>
<td>Alboran Sea</td>
<td>April, September 2008</td>
<td><a href="http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data">http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame Adriatic</td>
<td>Adriatic and northern Ionian Sea</td>
<td>April, October 2008</td>
<td><a href="http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data">http://isramar.ocean.org.il/PERSEUS_Data</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARBGIB 1-6</td>
<td>Gibraltar Strait, Alboran Sea</td>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>Huertas, 2007a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIFT1-2</td>
<td>Gibraltar Strait, Alboran Sea</td>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>Huertas, 2007b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyfamed</td>
<td>Gulf of Lions</td>
<td>2001-2005</td>
<td>Copin-Montégut and Bégovic, 2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Alkalinity mean annual discharges for the Mediterranean sub-basins and quota of the discharges due to major rivers (second column). Values of alkalinity concentration in the terrestrial input of the given sub-basins (third column) are derived from Copin-Montégut (1993).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-basins and major rivers</th>
<th>Alkalinity discharges [Gmol y(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Alkalinity concentration [mmol m(^{-3})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alboran (alb)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>southwest west (sww) and southwest east (swe)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>northwest Mediterranean (nwm)</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>2960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebro</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhone</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrrhenian Sea (tyr)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>northern Adriatic Sea (adn)</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>southern Adriatic Sea (ads)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Po</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionian Sea (ion)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegean Sea (aeg)</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>2620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dardanelles</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levantine (lev)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Coefficients of the alkalinity vs salinity linear regression of the surface layer (mean 0-50m) computed for the model results (year and seasonal averages) and for observations of dataset listed in Tab. 1. Coefficients of Schneider et al. (2007) regression are also reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alk = a · Sal + b</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>RMS(resid)</th>
<th>n.data</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model, mean layer 0-50m, year</td>
<td>85.4 (± 4.8)</td>
<td>-656.9 (± 183.4)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations of Table 2 datasets, mean layer 0-50m</td>
<td>88.7 (± 7.5)</td>
<td>-834.5 (± 282.5)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider et al. (2007), observations of Meteor 51/2</td>
<td>73.7 (± 3.0)</td>
<td>-285.7 (± 114.9)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model, mean layer 0-50m, winter</td>
<td>83.1 (± 3.8)</td>
<td>-582.8 (± 145.1)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model, mean layer 0-50m, spring</td>
<td>81.7 (± 4.3)</td>
<td>-528.9 (± 163.1)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model, mean layer 0-50m, summer</td>
<td>85.0 (± 5.8)</td>
<td>-648.2 (± 223.2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model, mean layer 0-50m, autumn</td>
<td>86.4 (± 5.7)</td>
<td>-701.9 (± 219.5)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Map of the Mediterranean Sea domain reporting the sub-basins indication (atl, Atlantic buffer zone; alb, Alboran; nwm, northwest Med; sww, southwest west; swe, southwest east; tyr, Tyrrhenian Sea; ion, Ionian Sea; adn, northern Adriatic Sea; ads, southern Adriatic Sea; aeg, Aegean Sea; lev, Levantine), the location of principal rivers and straits.
Figure 2. Alkalinity maps for selected layers: surface (a), 100–200 m (b) 400–1500 m (c) 1500–4000 (d). Model maps refer to the average of the simulation. Maps of 1° x 1° annual climatology are reported in the upper right corner of each selected layer.
Figure 3. Taylor diagram of alkalinity of the mean annual model and observation fields for the following layers: surface (a), 50–100 m (b), 100–200 m (c), 200–1500 m (d), and 1500–4000 m (e) and for the following seasons at the surface layer: winter (+), spring (-), summer (x), autumn (*). The color of symbols and the bar report the bias (mean model-observation error).
Figure 4. Regressions between salinity and alkalinity at the surface. Colored points belong to the different sub-basins of Fig. 1. Regression for the Mediterranean Sea is shown by a dashed line, and the equation is reported in the black box (95% confidence intervals of the coefficients are in brackets; p< 0.001; R2 = 0.91; RMSD = 18.8). The regression uses data from the alb, sww, swe, tyr, ion, lev sub-basins with depths greater than 200 m. Sub-basin regressions are computed for the Adriatic sea (all data; R2 = 0.45, RMSD = 32.0), the Aegean Sea (all data; R2 = 0.77, RMSD = 9.3), the western Mediterranean Sea (data with depths greater than 200 m; R2 = 0.95, RMSD = 11.6) and the eastern Mediterranean Sea (data with depths greater than 200 m; R2 = 0.74, RMSD = 13.8).
Fig. 5. Map of the mean annual range of alkalinity for the surface layer (0-50m). Letters indicate the coordinates of the profiles given in Fig. 6.
Figure 6. Mean annual vertical profiles of alkalinity (black line) in selected points of the Mediterranean Sea (points in Fig. 5). Mean annual range of variability between winter (blue dashed line) and summer (red dashed line) profiles.
Figure 7. Annual evolution of the mean sub-basin average vertical profiles of the biological term of $\frac{\partial \text{ALK}}{\partial t}$ equation. Monthly values are averaged considering only areas deeper than 200m.
Figure 8. Annual evolution of the mean sub-basin average vertical profiles of the transport term of $\frac{\partial \text{ALK}}{\partial t}$ equation (lower panels), and evolution of evaporation minus precipitation term (upper panels). Monthly values are averaged considering only areas deeper than 200m.