Biogeochemical variations at the Porcupine Abyssal Plain Sustained Observatory (PAP-SO) in the northeast Atlantic Ocean, from weekly to inter-annual time scales
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Abstract

We present high-resolution autonomous measurements of carbon dioxide partial pressure $p$(CO$_2$) taken in situ at the Porcupine Abyssal Plain sustained observatory (PAP-SO) in the northeast Atlantic (49° N, 16.5° W; water depth of 4850 m) for the period 2010 to 2012. Measurements of $p$(CO$_2$) made at 30 m depth on a sensor frame are compared with other autonomous biogeochemical measurements at that depth (including chlorophyll a-
fluorescence and nitrate concentration data) to analyse weekly to seasonal controls on $p$(CO$_2$)
flux in the inter-gyre region of the North Atlantic. Comparisons are also made with *in situ*
regional time-series data from a ship of opportunity and mixed layer depth (MLD)
measurements from profiling Argo floats. There is a persistent under saturation of CO$_2$ in
surface waters throughout the year which gives rise to a perennial CO$_2$ sink. Comparison with
an earlier dataset collected at the site (2003 to 2005) confirms seasonal and inter-annual
changes in surface seawater chemistry. There is year-to-year variability in the timing of deep
winter mixing and the intensity of the spring bloom.

The 2010–2012 period shows an overall increase in $p$(CO$_2$) values when compared to
the 2003–2005 period as would be expected from increases due to anthropogenic CO$_2$
emissions. The surface temperature, wind speed and MLD measurements are similar for both
periods of time. Future work should incorporate daily CO$_2$ flux measurements made using
CO$_2$ sensors at 1 m depth and the *in situ* wind speed data now available from the UK Met
Office Buoy.
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Regional Index terms: North East Atlantic, Porcupine Abyssal Plain; geographic
bounding co-ordinates 48° N - 50° N, 16° W - 17° W

1 Introduction

A persistent feature of the subpolar North Atlantic is under-saturation of carbon
dioxide (CO$_2$) in surface waters throughout the year, which gives rise to a perennial CO$_2$ sink
(Körtzinger et al., 2008). This makes the north east Atlantic a region of great importance in
the global carbon cycle. There is evidence for inter-annual variation in the CO$_2$ sink (1–3 mol
m$^{-2}$ a$^{-1}$) due to changes in wintertime mixing and stratification (Schuster and Watson, 2007).
Changes in the amount of CO$_2$ absorbed by the ocean may have implications for the global
carbon cycle now and for the role of the ocean as a carbon sink in the future. Studies of the
physical and biological processes regulating surface water $p$(CO$_2$) (partial pressure of CO$_2$)
are required to estimate future trends in the ability of the ocean to act as a sink for increasing
CO₂ in the atmosphere. Frequent observations from fixed positions are critical to make these
calculations (McGillicuddy et al., 1998).

Accurate, high-resolution, long-term datasets are offered by time series studies such
as the Porcupine Abyssal Plain sustained Observatory (PAP-SO) in the northeast Atlantic at
49° N, 16.5° W (4850 m water depth) where a fixed-point mooring has been in place since
2002 (Hartman et al., 2012). The PAP-SO is in the North Atlantic Drift Region, a
biogeographical province defined by deep winter convective mixing (Longhurst, 2006;
Monterey and Levitus, 1997). The surface mixed layer depth can change from 25 m in the
summer to over 400 m in winter. A twofold decrease in winter nitrate concentration over a
three year period from 2003 has been attributed to a combination of shallower winter
convective mixing and changes in surface circulation (Hartman et al., 2010). The PAP-SO is
in an area with relatively high wind speeds, frequently greater than 10 m s⁻¹. High wind
speeds have a significant effect on CO₂ flux (Takahashi et al., 2002). The CO₂ flux at the
PAP-SO was calculated from ρ(CO₂), between 2003 and 2005 as a net flux into the ocean of
over 3 mol m⁻² a⁻¹ (Körtzinger et al., 2008). This is a significant sink compared with
subtropical time series sites such as ESTOC (near the Canary Islands, 29.17° N, 15.5° W),
which is an overall annual CO₂ source region (0.05 mol m⁻² a⁻¹, Gonzalez-Davila et al.,
2003).

Recently, the decline in North Atlantic CO₂ uptake from 1994/1995 to 2002–2005 has
been linked to a variation in the North Atlantic Oscillation (Schuster and Watson, 2008;
Padin et al., 2011). The decreased uptake may be a consequence of declining rates of
wintertime mixing and ventilation between surface and subsurface waters due to increasing
stratification. Enhanced stratification forms a barrier to nutrient exchange, which may result
in a progressive decline in primary production (Field et al., 1998), as was seen in the North
Atlantic between 1999 and 2004 (Behrenfeld et al., 2006). The observed decrease in nitrate
concentration and productivity in this region (Behrenfeld et al., 2006), may in turn affect the
oceanic uptake of ρ(CO₂).

In this paper, we present recent year round time-series data of temperature, salinity,
nitrate concentration, chlorophyll a-fluorescence and ρ(CO₂) collected at 30 m depth from
May 2010 to June 2012. The data are compared with an earlier published dataset (from July
2003 to July 2005) and additional ρ(CO₂) measurements made from a ship of opportunity.
The *in situ* dataset is considered in relation to convective mixing processes using mixed layer depth (MLD) estimates calculated from profiling Argo floats. The weekly air-sea CO₂ flux at the PAP-SO site was calculated from *in situ* \( p(\text{CO}_2) \) measurements and ancillary satellite wind speed datasets. The objective of this study is to examine the biogeochemical variations at the PAP-SO in the northeast Atlantic over different periods from weekly, seasonal to annual.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Study site

The position of the Porcupine Abyssal Plain sustained observatory (PAP-SO) at 49° N, 16.5° W is shown in Fig. 1. Lampitt et al. (2001) has summarised the hydrography, meteorology and upper mixed layer dynamics in the region.

#### 2.2 In Situ data

The instrumentation of the PAP-SO observatory has been described in detail by Hartman et al., 2012 (see Table 1 and Fig. 1 therein) and is briefly summarized here. Since 2002 instruments on a mooring at the PAP-SO (49° N, 16.5° W) have recorded a suite of parameters in the mixed layer. Temperature and salinity measurements were made on a frame at a nominal depth of 30 m, using Seabird SBE 37-IM MicroCAT recorders (Sea-Bird Electronics Inc., Bellevue, Washington, USA). Measurements of nitrate concentration, chlorophyll a-fluorescence and \( p(\text{CO}_2) \), were also made using biogeochemical sensors on the frame, often within the deep chlorophyll maximum. Between 2002 and 2007 the sensor frame depth varied from 20 m to 225 m, deflecting in response to local currents. A surface buoy was added in 2007 so that measurements were consistently made at 30 m depth. In 2010 collaboration with the UK Met Office led to a redesigned infrastructure, providing simultaneous surface physical and biogeochemical measurements with surface meteorological data.

\( p(\text{CO}_2) \) data during the two periods of time examined here were collected using different instrumentation. From 2003–2005 it was measured using a SAMI (Sunburst Sensors LLC, USA) sensor, which is based on equilibration of a pH indicator solution, contained in a
gas-permeable membrane, with ambient $p$(CO$_2$) and subsequent spectrophotometric
determination in the equilibrated solution (DeGrandpre et al., 1995). Twice daily $p$(CO$_2$)
measurements, from 2010 to 2012, were made using a membrane-based PRO-CO2 sensor
(Pro-Oceanus, Canada), which uses an infrared detector and is internally calibrated through
an auto-zero calibration function (Jiang et al., 2014). Note that a measurement error of an
early version of the PRO-CO2 sensor during the deployment, induced by the fluctuation of
detector cell temperature, was identified and corrected (see Jiang et al., 2014 for further
details). A pump was used (Seabird Inc.) to improve water flow across the sensor membrane
to accelerate attaining equilibrium. The surface in situ $p$(CO$_2$) time-series ceased between
2006 and 2009 due to funding issues.

Although measured by different instruments, the two $p$(CO$_2$) data sets were calibrated
in a similar way to make them comparable: the sensor outputs were calibrated against $p$(CO$_2$)
values calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and total alkalinity (TA) from
discrete samples taken at the mooring site during deployment/recovery cruises; and
plausibility checks were made with underway $p$(CO$_2$) measurements around the PAP site.
The 2003-2005 data were previously published (see Körtzinger et al., 2008 for details) with a
precision of 1 µatm and accuracy estimated as 6-10 µatm. The 2010-2012 data have a similar
precision (1 µatm) and accuracy (6 µatm).

A Hobi Labs Inc., HS-2 fluorometer (Arizona, USA) was used on the PAP-SO
mooring to estimate chlorophyll a concentration until 2005 when an alternative ECO FLNTU
(WETlab, USA) fluorometer came into use. The quoted precision for fluorescence measured
by these fluorometers is 0.04%, however as described by Hartman et al., (2010), fluorescence
output can only provide an approximation of the chlorophyll a concentration. The
fluorescence/chlorophyll a concentration ratio changes throughout the year, due to variations
in the phytoplankton species composition. On the mooring, chlorophyll a-fluorescence
measurements were taken every 2 hours over the 1 year deployments and biofouling was
controlled using motorised copper shutters on each of the fluorometers.

Nitrate concentration measurements were initially made using wet chemical NAS
Nitrate Analysers (EnviroTech LLC, USA), precision 0.2 µmol l$^{-1}$, as described in Hydes et
al. (2000), with twice daily sampling frequency and internal calibration as described by
Hartman et al. (2010). From 2010 additional higher frequency inorganic nitrate
measurements were made using UV detection methods (ISUS, Satlantic), with a precision of 1 µmol l⁻¹.

For each instrument the manufacturer’s calibration was checked at the start of each deployment and a correction for instrument drift was made using a second calibration check on recovery of the instruments. Biogeochemical data from the PAP-SO are available from www.eurosites.info/pap and the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC). Data presented here cover the period when \( p(\text{CO}_2) \) measurements are available, July 2003 to the end of June 2005 (with deployments in July 2003, November 2003, June 2004) and the period from May 2010 to June 2012 (with sensor deployment in May 2010, September 2010, July 2011, May 2012). All of the measurements are within the mixed layer although the depth of measurements is closer to the 30 m nominal depth after mooring redesign to incorporate a surface float in 2007.

2.3 Other observational data sources

Temperature and salinity data were taken from Argo floats (http://www.coriolis.eu.org), extracting \((30 \pm 5)\) m depth data. To obtain a continuous seasonal description, a large region around the PAP site was selected (45° N to 52° N and 26.08° W to 8.92° W, excluding the shelf area). The Argo data have a potentially lower accuracy (0.005 °C for temperature and 0.1 for salinity) than the in situ MicroCAT data (0.002 for salinity and 0.002 °C for temperature). However the Argo data were chosen over the in situ data for all calculations as they have a larger temporal coverage and are internally consistent.

The \( p(\text{CO}_2) \) time-series was compared with surface data from a ship of opportunity (SOO) running from Portsmouth, UK to the Caribbean (Schuster et al., 2007). Onboard the SOO continuous \( p(\text{CO}_2) \) measurements are made using a calibrated system with a showerhead equilibrator (Schuster et al., 2007). Data are available from the Surface ocean CO₂ atlas (SOCAT) http://www.socat.info/. Discrete nutrient samples were collected at 4 hour intervals along the same route and were analysed ashore (Hartman et al., 2008). This provides an approximately monthly nutrient sample and \( p(\text{CO}_2) \) data points close to the PAP-SO on the return route of the ship. The nominal depth of these samples is 5 m, which is shallower than the 30 m samples from the PAP-SO. We selected SOO data between 52° N
and 45° N and 8.92° W and 26.08° W, and then took the average $p$(CO$_2$) values that were within that area on the same day as the sample from the PAP-SO site.

Through collaboration with the UK Met Office *in situ* wind speed data are available since 2010. However for consistency in calculations of CO$_2$ flux between the two time periods (2003–2005 and 2010–2012) considered here we took wind speed data from weekly satellite data: Fleet Numerical Meteorology and Oceanography Center (FNMOC) 1° by 1°.

We calculated a weekly mean from the 6 hourly, 10 m height data; available from http://las.pfeg.noaa.gov/.

The air-sea CO$_2$ flux (in mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) was calculated from the air-sea $p$(CO$_2$) difference, temperature and salinity (30 m) and wind speed at 10 m height, using the following equation:

$$F$(co$_2$) = $k . K_0 \left[p$(CO$_2$_{sea}) – $p$(CO$_2$_{air})\right] \tag{1}$$

Where $k$ is the transfer coefficient based on the wind speed-dependent formulation of Nightingale et al. (2000), scaled to the temperature-dependent Schmidt number according to Wanninkhof (1992), $K_0$ is the CO$_2$ solubility at the *in situ* temperature and salinity after Weiss (1974). While $p$(CO$_2$, sea) and $p$(CO$_2$, air) are the CO$_2$ partial pressures of seawater and average CO$_2$ dry mole fraction measured in air, respectively. As $p$(CO$_2$) was reported throughout this manuscript, we used $p$(CO$_2$) for the air-sea flux calculation. Using $f$(CO$_2$) for the calculation would generate the same results of flux estimates. The atmospheric $p$(CO$_2$) is calculated from monthly averaged x(CO$_2$) measured at Mace Head (53.33° N, 9.90° W) assuming 100 % water vapour saturation under 1 atm air pressure. Please note that 1 atm = 1.01325 bar.

Total alkalinity (TA) was calculated from Argo temperature and salinity (30 m), following the relationship for the North Atlantic developed by Lee et al. (2006) with an uncertainty of ± 6.4 µmol kg$^{-1}$ (Lee et al., 2006). The DIC concentration was then calculated from TA and $p$(CO$_2$) using the “seacarb” package (Lavigne and Gattuso, 2011), with Argo temperature and salinity (30 m) and nutrient concentrations set to zero. The chosen constants were Lucker et al. (2000) for $K_1$ and $K_2$, Perez and Fraga (1987) for $K_f$ and the Dickson (1990) constant for $K_s$, as recommended by Dickson et al. (2007). We followed Körtzinger et al. (2008)’s method to correct the DIC changes driven by air-sea exchange:
Using TA and $p(\text{CO}_2)$ to calculate DIC, and taking the various uncertainties in the calculation into account, introduces an error in the order of 7.0 µmol kg$^{-1}$.

The MLD was calculated from density profiles using global gridded fields of temperature and salinity collected by Argo floats, XBTs, CTDs and moorings. These data are collected and made freely available by the Coriolis project and programmes that contribute to it (http://www.coriolis.eu.org). We used the near real time mode data as these datasets have been quality control checked. Before deciding on a MLD definition an inter-comparison of many definitions commonly used in the literature was done such as density differences, temperature differences and density gradients (Kara et al. 2000; Thomson and Fine 2003; Montegut et al. 2004). A subset of the global density profiles calculated from the gridded temperature and salinity fields was used to compare the different methods. The depth of the mixed layer was estimated through visual inspection of over 3000 profiles, following a similar approach used by Fiedler (2010). The Holte and Talley (2009) density difference algorithm gave the closest match with the visually estimated MLD (RMSD 29.38 m). The depth of the mixed layer was defined by a density difference of 0.03 kg m$^{-3}$ from the density at a reference depth (in this case 10 m to avoid diurnal changes in temperature and salinity at the surface). This Holte and Talley (2009) density difference algorithm incorporates linear interpolation to estimate the depth at which the density difference is crossed.

The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index (after Hurrell, 1995) was obtained from the University of East Anglia web site http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/nao/.

### 3 Results

Figures 2a–c show the *in situ* observations from the PAP-SO at 30 m depth, including $p(\text{CO}_2)$, chlorophyll a-fluorescence and nitrate concentration. Figure 2a shows the range of $p(\text{CO}_2)$ from 2003 to 2005, which was also shown in Körtzinger et al. (2008). The range was 74 µatm (300 to 374 µatm) and the mean was 339 µatm. In comparison, $p(\text{CO}_2)$ between 2010 and 2012 had a 57 µatm range (327 to 384 µatm) with a higher mean of 353 µatm. The $p(\text{CO}_2)$ data for the 2010–2012 period are confirmed by SOO data from the Portsmouth to Caribbean route in Fig. 2a (see Fig. 1 for positions of the SOO samples). Körtzinger et al.
(2008) also reported a good comparison with a SOO route from Kiel, to the north of the
Portsmouth to Caribbean route, for the 2003–2005 data. The SOO data fill in the gap in the
time series when PAP-SO \( p(CO_2) \) data were not available due to failure of the instrument
logger. The higher \( p(CO_2) \) values in the 2010 to 2012 period are confirmed by the SOO data.

*In situ* chlorophyll data in Fig. 2b shows the characteristic chlorophyll a-fluorescence
increase for this area during the spring bloom. There is large inter-annual variability in both
the timing and magnitude of the spring bloom for the two time periods shown. For example
the spring bloom in 2004 started in late May compared with an earlier bloom in 2011 (that
started in April). The increase in chlorophyll a fluorescence during the 2011 spring bloom
was also larger compared with the other years shown.

Nitrate concentration data in Fig. 2c shows the characteristic seasonality, with
increased winter nitrate concentrations and depletion following the spring bloom (seen in Fig.
2b). The seasonality in the nitrate concentration is similar for the two periods shown (2003–
2005 and 2010–2012). SOO nitrate concentration data show a good agreement with the PAP-
SO data throughout 2010–2012 and fill in the gaps in early 2011 when nutrient measurements
at the PAP-SO are not available. Overall, the *in situ* data show a characteristic increase in
inorganic nitrate concentrations, and \( p(CO_2) \), through the winter as fluorescence decreases.
However, winter nitrate concentrations are significantly lower in the 2004/2005 winter
compared with other years as has been discussed in Hartman et al., (2010).

Fig. 3a shows the Argo temperature data extracted at 30 m depth and the *in situ*
MicroCAT temperature data at the PAP-SO. Temperature shows opposite seasonal variations
to the \( p(CO_2) \) and nitrate concentration from *in situ* data. A comparison of Argo temperature
with *in situ* 30 m MicroCAT data (n=112, comparison not shown) suggests errors of up to 1
% for temperature in the Argo data compared with the *in situ* data (when available). Both
datasets show that the temperature variations in these years are very similar, showing a
summer-winter difference of 6 °C (Fig.3a).

The seasonality of the *in situ* data can be put in context when looking at the MLD in
Fig. 3b. The increase in \( p(CO_2) \) and nitrate concentration corresponds to deeper convective
mixing in winter. The MLD range varies little over the winters considered here (Fig. 3b) and
the maximum MLD does not exceed 260 m. However the timing of the maximum winter
mixed layer depth at PAP-SO varies from year to year. For example the maximum MLD
(Fig. 3b) for the 2010/2011 winter reached 215 m in February 2011 compared with earlier and deeper mixing (to 257 m) in the following 2011/2012 winter (December 2011).

The calculated DIC concentrations (Fig. 3c) show a closer relationship to the MLD seasonality than nitrate concentration data. Seasonal variation in the concentration of both DIC and nitrate is similar apart from the 2004/2005 winter; when low DIC concentrations were not seen at the same time as the low nitrate concentrations (Fig. 3c).

The interrelation between DIC and nitrate concentrations can be considered by comparing the C : N ratios to the Redfield ratio (Redfield, 1958). The 2003-2005 time period has already been considered in Körtzinger et al. (2008) so is not reproduced here. Following Körtzinger et al. (2008) we calculated DIC, corrected for gas exchange. DIC concentrations were plotted against the in situ nitrate concentrations in different seasons for 2010-2012 (Fig. 4). The C: N ratio differed from the Redfield ratio of 6.6 with especially high values in spring (14.3).

Figure 5a shows weekly satellite wind speed data used to calculate the CO₂ flux. The wind speeds were similar in the two periods. There is an earlier period of days with high wind speeds towards the end of 2011 that can be compared with the CO₂ data presented. The annual average wind speed was 8.2 m s⁻¹ for both time periods. The maximum was 14 m s⁻¹, although in situ winds of up to 20 m s⁻¹ were seen from the Met Office data (eurosites.info/pap), this is not seen in the weekly averaged satellite wind speed data presented.

Figure 5b shows the sea-to-air CO₂ flux (where a positive flux is defined as from sea to the atmosphere). This was calculated from in situ p(CO₂) data and satellite wind speed data (Fig. 5b). The week by week variation in CO₂ flux is shown and an overall average for the two periods of time has been calculated as (-5.7 ± 2.8) mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for the 2003–2005 period and (-5.0 ± 2.2) mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for the 2010–2012 period. SOO data have been used at the start of 2011 when in situ p(CO₂) data were unavailable. The start and end months of the two periods of time differs, which will contribute to the errors in the flux measurements. However the errors are comparable for the two periods of time considered and overall the average for the two time periods is similar.
There is little variation in CO₂ flux and MLD between the years shown but for completeness the NAO index is shown in Fig. 5c. The 2003/2004 winter NAO was near zero and the 2004/2005 winter NAO was also low, between −2 to +1. In contrast there is a large range in the winter NAO in the 2010/2011 winter when the NAO changed from −4 to +3. Overall the range in the NAO values was larger for the 2010 to 2012 time period shown.

4 Discussion

4.1 PAP-SO seasonal variation

The 2003–2005 and 2010–2013 datasets show very similar seasonal patterns between the years. Concentrations of nitrate and DIC exhibit seasonal variations opposite to temperature. The seasonal variation in nitrate and DIC concentrations is controlled by convective mixing (resulting in the winter maximum) and biological uptake during the spring bloom period (resulting in the summer minimum), which is similar to elsewhere in the North Atlantic (Jiang et al. 2013).

The $p(CO_2)$ distribution pattern at the PAP-SO site is characterized by a single annual peak (high in winter and low in summer), which is similar to that of nutrient and DIC concentrations, but in antiphase to the temperature signal. Jiang et al. (2013) compared seasonal carbon variability between different sites in the North Atlantic and suggested a latitudinal change in $p(CO_2)$ seasonality from the temperature-dominated oligotrophic subtropical gyre to the subpolar region where $p(CO_2)$ is dominated by changing concentrations of DIC. Our $p(CO_2)$ observations at the PAP-SO site show the subpolar-like seasonal pattern, which is similar to that of the Ocean Weather Station M (Skjelvan et al., 2008). The surface $p(CO_2)$ is mainly governed by the varying DIC concentration while the seasonal cooling and warming have a contrasting effect.

The time integrated uptake of DIC and nitrate during the spring bloom is reflected by the slope of the linear regression between them (Fig. 4). The ratio of DIC and nitrate concentrations from 2010-2012 shows higher values than the Redfield C : N ratio of 6.6. For example the spring-time ratio of 14.3 (± 5) was considerably higher than the Redfield ratio, in agreement with similar “carbon overconsumption” ratios seen for the North Atlantic (e.g. 14.2, Sambrotto et al., 1993). This value is in agreement with the single C : N ratio reported
previously at the PAP-SO of 11.0 (Körtzinger et al., 2008). In both cases the DIC concentrations were calculated and therefore associated with errors in the order of 7.0 µmol kg\(^{-1}\). We have demonstrated seasonal variation in the C : N ratio at the PAP-SO, with an autumn C : N value that is closer to the Redfield ratio and large deviations from the Redfield ratio in winter.

### 4.2 Air-sea CO\(_2\) flux

Wind speeds have an indirect impact on the biogeochemistry, in particular \(p(\text{CO}_2)\). In the North Atlantic the strength and frequency of wintertime storms is significantly increasing (Donat et al., 2011). Wind speeds are similar for the two time periods considered here. However there is some suggestion of an earlier increase in winds at the start of the 2011/2012 winter (Fig. 5a) coinciding with an earlier increase in mixing (Fig. 3b). Although the CO\(_2\) flux is not linked linearly to the wind speed there is a corresponding decrease in CO\(_2\) flux into the ocean at this time.

It is well known that the northeast Atlantic is a strong CO\(_2\) sink with large variability. The observations at the PAP-SO provide high frequency data to follow the variability in CO\(_2\) exchange. The largest CO\(_2\) flux shown here was in September 2004, as a combined result of low seawater \(p(\text{CO}_2)\) (Fig. 2a) and high wind speed (Fig. 5b). Larger CO\(_2\) flux into the ocean may have occurred in 2011 considering the large, early spring bloom seen in that year but we do not have \(\textit{in situ}\) PAP-SO \(p(\text{CO}_2)\) data to calculate the flux at that time. However flux calculations from SOO data in early 2011 do not suggest an increase in CO\(_2\) flux. Increases in productivity do not necessarily result in enhanced oceanic CO\(_2\) uptake as the gas exchange is also affected by other factors such as temperature and wind speed (Dumousseaud et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2013). The average is similar for the years presented with values of -5.7 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) in 2003–2005 and -5.0 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) from 2010–2012.

### 4.3 PAP-SO inter-annual variations

It is suggested that NAO plays an important role in modulating the inter-annual variability in the northeast Atlantic region by affecting the intensity of winter convection (Bennington et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2013). The Gibraltar minus Iceland version of the NAO
index is really most applicable to the winter half of the year. During positive NAO periods, the PAP-SO region experiences subpolar-like conditions, with strong wind stress and deep mixed layers (Henson et al., 2012). However the MLD did not vary significantly at the PAP-SO between the 2003–2005 and 2010–2012 time periods shown here (with a range of only 215 to 257 m for deepest winter MLD between the years. In previous years such as 2009/2010 deep winter mixing of 390 m has been seen with an NAO reaching -3, (not shown). NAO is unlikely to have a large role as the PAP-SO as winter sea surface temperature and MLD were similar in the time periods from 2003–2005 and 2010–2012. Data from a winter with deeper mixing would need to be put into the comparison to resolve this.

There was a twofold decrease in nitrate concentrations in the 2004/05 winter despite sea surface temperature and MLD values being close to other years. The low values were confirmed by SOO data, also shown in Hartman et al. (2010). As discussed in Hartman et al. (2010) the lower winter nitrate concentration seen in 2004/2005 did not correlate with a decrease in the MLD and this showed the influence of horizontal mixing at the PAP-SO. It was suggested that lateral advection to the site at that time introduced a subtropical water mass with a lower nitrate concentration. Earlier time-series studies largely ignored circulation at the PAP-SO site, assuming convective mixing is a dominant process influencing mixed layer temperature and nitrate concentrations in the region (Williams et al., 2000; Körtzinger et al. 2008). However, fixed-point time-series observations are influenced by spatial variability passing the point of observation (McGillicuddy et al., 1998; Painter et al., 2010). It is clear from Hartman et al. (2010) that lateral advection may significantly influence the surface temperature and nitrate concentrations in the region of the PAP-SO site.

The observed seawater $p(\text{CO}_2)$ increased from $(339 \pm 17)$ µatm in 2003-2005 to $(353 \pm 15)$ µatm in 2010-2012, which largely agrees with the increasing rate of surface seawater $p(\text{CO}_2)$ observed in the North Atlantic basin of $(1.84 \pm 0.4)$ µatm a$^{-1}$ (Takahashi et al. 2009). Despite similar maximum winter MLD in 2003–2005 and 2010–2012, the timing and intensity of the spring bloom is quite different and the cause of this requires further investigation.

5 Conclusions and further work
We have presented recent year round surface time-series biogeochemical data at the PAP-SO and compared it with previous observations. The surface $p(\text{CO}_2)$, and concentrations of DIC and nitrate, at the PAP-SO all show a clear seasonal cycle, which is mainly controlled by winter convective mixing and biological activity in the spring bloom. However the suggestion that inter-annual variability is dominated by convection (Bennington et al., 2009) is not clear as the MLD did not vary significantly between the winter periods shown. An especially low winter nitrate concentration in 2005 was observed, thought to be due to surface advection and this highlights the need to consider advection when dealing with time series data in the future. Despite the similar winter physical conditions (temperature and MLD), there is a year to year difference in the timing and intensity of the spring blooms, which requires further investigation. At PAP-SO, increasing mean seawater $p(\text{CO}_2)$ from $(339 \pm 17) \, \mu\text{atm}$ in 2003 to $(353 \pm 15) \, \mu\text{atm}$ in 2011 was observed. However the mean air-sea CO$_2$ flux did not show a significant change. It varied from $(-5.7 \pm 2.8) \, \text{mmol m}^{-2} \, \text{d}^{-1}$ in 2003-2005 to $(-5.0 \pm 2.2) \, \text{mmol m}^{-2} \, \text{d}^{-1}$ in 2010-2012.

In 2010, collaboration between the UK’s Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) and Meteorological Office led to the first simultaneous monitoring of in situ meteorological and ocean variables at the PAP-SO (Hartman et al., 2012). From 2013 additional measurements of $p(\text{CO}_2)$ will be made at the site, at the shallower depth of 1 m, and should further improve the SOO comparison. The site could be used to investigate the effect of different parameterizations (Prytherch et al., 2010) and wind products on calculations of CO$_2$ flux, in particular during the high wind conditions seen. Using the contemporaneous atmospheric and ocean datasets we will be able to investigate the effect of storms on CO$_2$ flux and resolve daily variability.
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Figure 1. Map of the inter-gyre region of the northeast Atlantic showing the bathymetry around the PAP observatory (white diamond) and the ship of opportunity (SOO) sampling positions (red circles) from 2010 to 2012.
Figure 2. *In situ* 30 m PAP-SO data (blue circles) from 2003–2005 and 2010–2012 and 5 m SOO data (red squares) with vertical lines to represent the start of each year showing: (a) $p$(CO$_2$); (b) chlorophyll a concentration; (c) weekly averaged nitrate concentration.
Figure 3. Data from 2003–2005 and 2010–2012 (blue circles) with vertical lines to represent the start of each year showing: (a) Argo temperature data from 30 m depth around the PAP-SO and in situ MicroCAT temperature data at 30 m (red circles); (b) calculated mixed layer depth (MLD) data; (c) calculations of weekly dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations based on in situ PAP-SO $p$(CO$_2$) and salinity-based TA parameterisations (see text for details) with additional DIC calculations based on SOO data (red circles).
Figure 4. The relationship between concentrations of gas exchange-corrected DIC and nitrate (2010-2012) at the PAP-SO showing 4 different seasons: Winter (January–March, red squares); Spring (April-June, green triangles); Summer (July-September, blue diamonds); Autumn (October-December, dark blue crosses). The green line shows the ratio in spring (14.3) and the blue line is the ratio in autumn (6.4), with the Redfield ratio of 6.6 shown for reference as a dashed line.
Figure 5: Data from 2003-2005 and 2010-2012 (blue circles) for (a) weekly satellite wind data in the region of the PAP-SO; b) calculations of weekly sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux (negative: into the ocean) from in situ PAP-SO $p$(CO$_2$) data and satellite wind (see text for details) with additional flux calculations from SOO data (red circles); c) the monthly NAO index.