Ocean acidification accelerates dissolution of experimental coral reef communities
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Abstract

Ocean acidification (OA) poses a severe threat to tropical coral reefs, yet much of what is known about these effects comes from individual corals and algae incubated in isolation under high pCO$_2$. Studies of similar effects on coral reef communities are scarce. To investigate the response of coral reef communities to OA, we used large outdoor flumes in which communities composed of calcified algae, corals, and sediment were combined to match the percentage cover of benthic communities in the shallow back reef of Moorea, French Polynesia. Reef communities in the flumes were exposed to ambient (~400 µatm) and high pCO$_2$ (~1300 µatm) for 8 weeks, and calcification rates measured for the constructed communities including the sediments. Community calcification was reduced by 59% under high pCO$_2$, with sediment dissolution explaining ~ 50% of this decrease; net calcification of corals and calcified algae remained positive, but was reduced by 29% under elevated pCO$_2$. These results show that despite the capacity of coral reef calcifiers to maintain positive net accretion of calcium carbonate under OA conditions, reef communities might transition to net dissolution as pCO$_2$ increases, particularly at night, due to enhanced sediment dissolution.
1 Introduction

The calcium carbonate framework produced by coral reefs hosts the highest known marine biodiversity, and protects tropical shores from wave erosion (Ferrario et al., 2014). However, in recent decades coral reefs have been impacted by a diversity of disturbances, and now are threatened by an increase in seawater temperature and ocean acidification (OA) (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Kleypas and Yates, 2009). OA is caused by the dissolution of atmospheric CO₂ in seawater, which reduces pH, depresses carbonate ion concentration, and increases bicarbonate ion concentration with no change in total alkalinity (Feely et al., 2004). The net effects of OA on coral reefs remain unclear as most studies show a decrease in organismic calcification under OA (Erez et al. 2011; Chan and Connolly, 2012), while recent work describes species-specific responses with some corals and calcifying algae resistant to decreasing pH (Comeau et al., 2013; Takahashi and Kurihara, 2013). Critically, most of these studies have been performed on individuals maintained in isolation in laboratory conditions.

The results from laboratory studies are valuable, but to examine the potential for emergent properties of coral reefs exposed to OA effects, now it is necessary to scale up from individual- to community-level experiments (Leclercq et al., 2002; Jokiel et al., 2008; Andersson et al., 2009; Dove et al., 2013; Edmunds et al., 2013). Generally there are three complementary approaches to studying the responses of coral reef communities to OA. First, in situ observations of communities living in naturally acidified water (Fabricius et al., 2011) due to volcanic activities or local conditions (Shamberger et al., 2014). Second, carbonate chemistry can be manipulated directly in situ (Kline et al., 2012), although this approach is challenging technically and has yet to be used to study
intact communities. Third, reef communities can be created \textit{ex situ} (Andersson et al., 2009; Dove et al., 2013) to allow precise control of the physical parameters predicted under future OA conditions. For our experiment, we chose to construct \textit{ex situ} communities and used, for the first time, large outdoor flumes (after Atkinson and Bilger, 1992) to investigate the effects of OA on coral reef communities.

In addition to corals and macroalgae, it is important to incorporate sediments in OA experiments, as this component of reef ecosystems may be sensitive to decreasing pH (Cyronak et al. 2013a, b; Andersson et al., 2009). Dissolution occurs on coral reefs in sediment pore-waters, or in particular microenvironments where pCO$_2$ is elevated due to biological activity (Andersson and Gledhill, 2013). Observations in Bermuda have shown that the dissolution of Mg-calcite sediments occurs in a location with seawater pCO$_2$ naturally elevated to values expected by the end of the century (Andersson et al., 2007). Further, \textit{in situ} manipulations show that elevated pCO$_2$ (~ 800 µatm) can transition the calcification budget of coral reef sediments from net precipitation to net dissolution (Cyronak et al., 2013a). Increasing pCO$_2$ likely will lead to increasing dissolution and decreased precipitation of calcium carbonate, resulting in coral reef community calcification changing from net precipitation to net dissolution (Yates and Halley, 2006; Silvermann et al., 2009; Andersson et al., 2009). Given the aforementioned results that highlight the importance of sediments in the community calcification of entire coral reefs, we included sediment chambers in our flumes (Fig. 1) to integrate reef carbonate sediments into the analysis of OA effects on communities under ecologically relevant conditions.
Our experiment investigated the response to OA of constructed reef communities representative of present day back reef communities of Moorea. Communities were incubated for 8 weeks in two flumes at ambient seawater pCO$_2$ (~ 400 µatm) and two flumes at an elevated pCO$_2$ (~1300 µatm) under natural lighting, controlled temperature, and water flow similar to those experienced on a shallow reef flat (Atkinson and Bilger, 1992; Carpenter and Williams, 2007). Calcification was measured at three levels of biological function using the alkalinity anomaly technique: whole community, sediments, and macro-calcifiers (i.e., corals and calcified algae as determined by subtraction).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Collection and sample preparation

This study was carried out in August-October 2013 in Moorea, French Polynesia, using organisms collected from the back reef of the north shore at ~ 1–2 m depth. The organisms used to construct communities in outdoor flumes were assembled to match the contemporary (in 2013) mean cover of a back reef in Moorea (Carpenter, 2014; Edmunds, 2014). Coral communities were built from the four dominant coral taxa found on the back reefs of Moorea: massive *Porites* spp. (11% cover in 2013), *Porites rus* (6%), *Montipora* spp. (3%), and *Pocillopora* spp. (2%), that together accounted for 98% of the coral cover in this habitat in 2013. In addition to corals, 6% of the surface was covered by crustose coralline algae (66% *Porolithon onkodes* and 33% *Lithophyllum flavescens*), and 5% by rubble (dead coral skeletons). After collection of corals and algae (all ~ 10 × 10 cm), they were returned to the Richard B. Gump South Pacific Research and attached to
plastic supports using epoxy glue. Following preparation, samples were left to recover in a seawater table for 3 d.

Sediments were collected from the lagoon on the north shore, ~ 200 m from the reef crest, at 2-m depth using 24 custom made boxes (0.4 × 0.3 × 0.3 m). Sediment boxes were inserted into the sediment and left in situ for 4 d to allow chemical stratification in the sediment to re-establish before transferring the boxes to the flumes. It was not possible to subsample these boxes to quantify the stratification of the sediment, and therefore we assume that 4 d was adequate for stratification to be re-established.

The four outdoor flumes consisted of a working section measuring 5.0 × 0.3 × 0.3 m. Water was re-circulated using water pumps (W. Lim Wave II 373 J s⁻¹) to obtain a 10 cm s⁻¹ flow. Flow was measured across the working section of the flume using a Nortek Vectrino Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter. At each end of the flume seawater passed through an 88-cm long transition section (circular to rectangular) that housed 20-cm (length) flow straighteners made of stacked, 3-cm diameter PVC pipe, and then into a 12.5-cm (diameter) return section. Fresh sand-filtered seawater, pumped from Cook's Bay at 12-m depth, was dispensed continuously into the flume at 5 L min⁻¹. Flumes experienced natural sunlight that was attenuated using fiberglass screens to maintain irradiances similar to ambient irradiances in the back reefs of Moorea (daily maximum of ~ 1500 µmol photons m⁻² cm⁻¹ over the incubation period determined with a 4π quantum sensor LI-193 and a LiCor LI-1400 meter). Temperature in the flumes was maintained at ~ 27 °C to match the ambient temperature in the back reef of Moorea in September-October.
2.2 Carbonate chemistry control and measurements

As the pCO₂ level in the back reef of Moorea is close to open-ocean and current atmospheric values (e.g., Comeau et al. 2014a), pCO₂ levels for the incubations were chosen to match ambient pCO₂ (~400 µatm) and the pCO₂ expected in the atmosphere by the end of the present century under a pessimistic scenario (Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5, ~1300 µatm, Moss et al., 2010). pCO₂ in the flumes was controlled using a pH-stat (Aquacontroller, Neptune systems, USA) that actuated the bubbling of either pure CO₂ or CO₂-free air into the seawater. To match the natural diel variation in pH in the back reef of Moorea (Hofmann et al., 2011; Comeau et al., 2014a) pH was maintained 0.1 unit lower at night (from 18:00 to 6:00) than during the day.

pH was measured daily using a portable pH meter (Orion 3-stars, Thermo-Scientific, USA) fitted with a DG 115-SC pH probe (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland) calibrated every other day with Tris/HCl buffers (Dickson et al., 2007). pH also was measured spectrophotometrically using m-cresol dye (Dickson et al., 2007) at regular intervals. pH measured spectrophotometrically or using a pH electrode provided similar results with means differing < 0.01 pH unit. Measurement of total alkalinity (AT) was made using open-cell potentiometric titrations (Dickson et al., 2007) using 50-mL samples of seawater collected every 2-3 d. Titrations of certified reference materials provided by A. G. Dickson (batch 122) yielded AT values within 3.5 µmol kg⁻¹ of the nominal value (SE = 3.1 µmol kg⁻¹; n = 14). Parameters of the carbonate system in seawater were calculated using the R package seacarb (Lavigne and Gattuso, 2013).
Calcification measurements were measured using the total alkalinity anomaly method (Chisholm and Gattuso, 1991). Calcification measurements were made every 7 d on the constructed community, and in the analysis of sediments alone, after 7, 30, and 56 d of treatments. During incubations, the addition of seawater was stopped so that each flume operated in a closed loop; seawater samples for $A_T$ then were taken every 3 h during the day and every 6 h at night. To maintain $A_T$ and nutrients close to ambient levels, water in the flumes was refreshed every 3-6 h for 30 min. Regular refreshing limited changes in alkalinity during incubations to $<50-100 \mu$mol kg$^{-1}$, which corresponded to variations in aragonite saturation state ($\Omega$) of $<0.1-0.2$. Nutrient changes in the flumes were monitored during four incubations and the changes in nitrate and ammonium during incubations were $<2 \mu$mol L$^{-1}$. To conduct incubations with sediments alone, corals and coralline algae were removed from the flumes for 24 h and held in a separate tank where conditions were identical to those in the flumes. Corals and coralline algal calcification was calculated by subtracting the mean light and dark net calcification of the sediments from the community calcification. For both corals and algae, buoyant weight (Davies, 1989) was recorded before and after the 8-week treatments and converted to dry weight to quantify the contribution of each functional group to the calcification budget. Sediment grain size of each flume was analyzed in triplicate using sediment sieves. Three vertical cross sections of sand (~600g) were collected from each flume sediment chamber and dried at 60 °C to remove moisture. Sand then was sieved through five separate sediment sieves (149 µm, 420 µm, 840 µm, 3360 µm) yielding six size class fractions for each flume (n = 3).
2.4 Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing), and assumptions of normality and equality of variance were evaluated through graphical analyses of residuals. Calcification rates were analyzed using a repeated measure ANOVA in which the within subject factor was time (week), pCO$_2$ was a fixed effect, and duplicate flumes was a nested effect.

3 Results

3.1 Carbonate chemistry and organism condition

Mean pCO$_2$ in the four flumes during the 8-week incubation was 456 ± 21 µatm and 451 ± 21 µatm in the ambient treatments, and 1329 ± 28 µatm and 1306 ± 41 µatm in the high pCO$_2$ treatments (± SE, n = 42). pCO$_2$ differed between treatments (repeated measure ANOVA, F$_{1,232}$ = 734.38, p < 0.001), but there was no difference within treatments (F$_{2,232}$ = 0.16, p = 0.852). Communities were maintained in conditions within the flumes that were super-saturated with respect to aragonite, as $\Omega_{arag}$ ~ 3.5 under ambient conditions, and ~ 1.6 in the high pCO$_2$ treatment.

No Pocillopora spp. and Montipora spp. colonies died during the 8-week treatments, but 10% of the Porites pooled across flumes died by the end of the experiment, regardless of treatment, because of an outbreak of corallivorous nudibranchs feeding on this taxon (Phestilla spp.). Coralline algae (~ 70%) died at the end of the incubation, which was likely due to sediment abrasion. No difference in mortality or bleaching was observed between treatments for corals and calcified algae.
3.2 Community

Net calcification was higher at ambient versus high pCO₂ (Fig. 2A), both during the day and night (repeated measures ANOVA, F\textsubscript{1,2} = 84.9, p = 0.012 and F\textsubscript{1,2} = 44.9, 0.022, respectively); there were no differences between flumes within each treatment so the nested factor was removed from the final analysis. At night, treatment effects were more striking than during the day, as calcium carbonate dissolution exceeded precipitation at high pCO₂ (-1.6 ± 0.9 gCaCO₃ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}), whereas net calcification remained positive at ambient pCO₂ (2.7 ± 0.6 gCaCO₃ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) (both means ± SE, n = 16). Calcification integrated over 24 h highlighted the difference between treatments (F\textsubscript{1,2} = 869.2, p = 0.001), with calcification 59% lower at high pCO₂ than at ambient pCO₂.

3.3 Sediments

Sediment grain sizes in the flumes were similar between flumes and fractionated (by weight) to 5.3 ± 0.5% < 149 µm grain size, 56.5 ± 1.4% > 149 µm and < 420 µm, 25.9 ± 0.4 % > 420 µm < 840 µm, 10.1 ± 0.5% > 840 µm and < 3360 µm, and 2.2 ± 0.9% > 3360 µm. Net calcification of the sediments alone differed between treatments, during the day and night (F\textsubscript{1,2} = 344.2, p = 0.003 and F\textsubscript{1,2} = 282.6, p = 0.003, respectively) (Fig. 2B), but there were no differences between flumes within each treatment, hence the nested factor was removed from the final analysis. Net calcification pooled among treatments was negative during the day (-1.0 ± 1.3 gCaCO₃ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) and night (-3.72 ± 0.6 gCaCO₃ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) at high pCO₂, whereas net calcification was positive during the day (1.4 ± 1.1 gCaCO₃ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) and negative at night (-1.0 ± 0.8 gCaCO₃ m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) in the ambient treatment. When calcification was integrated over 24 h, pCO₂ effects were significant (F\textsubscript{1,
\(2 = 886.5, p = 0.001\), with dissolution exceeding precipitation at high \(pCO_2\) (-2.3 ± 1.1 gCaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)), and a nearly balanced calcification budget under ambient \(pCO_2\) (0.2 ± 0.9 gCaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)).

### 3.4 Corals and calcifying algae

The total net calcification of corals and calcifying algae was estimated by subtracting the mean sediment calcification rates from the total community calcification in each flume. Net calcification of the corals and calcifying algae differed between treatments during the day (\(F_{1,2} = 32.3, p = 0.030\)) and night (\(F_{1,2} = 22.9, p = 0.041\)) (Fig. 2C). In contrast to the whole community and the sediments alone, net calcification of corals and calcifying algae was positive at night at high \(pCO_2\) (1.1 ± 0.5 gCaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) in 12 h), but was 24% and 44% lower at high \(pCO_2\) compared to ambient \(pCO_2\) during the day and night, respectively. Net calcification integrated over 24 h also differed between treatments (\(F_{1,2} = 2569, p < 0.001\)) with calcification at ambient \(pCO_2\) 29% higher than at high \(pCO_2\).

Calcification of the constructed reef communities was driven principally by corals, since their contribution to the calcification budget, based on dry weight calculated from the changes in buoyant weight, was ~98% of the total (Fig. 3). Massive *Porites* spp. was the main contributor among the corals, with an increased contribution to the calcification budget at high \(pCO_2\) (40% at ambient \(pCO_2\), and 48.5% at high \(pCO_2\), Fig. 3). In contrast, the importance of *P. rus*, *Montipora* spp., and *Pocillopora* spp. was reduced at high \(pCO_2\). The small contribution of coralline algae to the calcification budget was due to high mortality perhaps leading to potential dissolution during the last
weeks of the incubation. Furthermore, while the ratio of planar area to surface area for crustose coralline algae is close to one, corals have a disproportionately large surface area to planar area ratio due to their three-dimensional structure. With such a large actual surface area, the corals made a large contribution to the calcification budget of the communities assembled in the flumes.

4 Discussion

Using outdoor flumes, we show that the effects of OA on coral reef communities are greater than estimates obtained by summing results obtained by incubating organisms in isolation under similar conditions and assuming their contribution to community calcification is proportional to their planar cover. Indeed, at the community level, the reduction in net calcification attributed to high pCO₂ was greater than the mean reduction of 26% calculated in a recent meta-analysis of the effects of future conditions (~1300 µatm pCO₂) based on the consequences of high pCO₂ on organismic calcification (Chan and Connolly, 2013). This discrepancy likely is not caused by experimental bias, as rates of net community calcification in the flumes in the ambient treatment were similar to rates measured for back reef communities on the north shore of Moorea. For instance, in 2012 and 2013 we measured calcification rates during the day that ranged from 5 to 25 gCaCO₃ m⁻² d⁻¹ (R.C. Carpenter, unpublished data), which spans the rates measured in flumes during the present study (i.e., 13.9 gCaCO₃ m⁻² d⁻¹ in the light, Fig. 2A). Net community calcification for the back reef of Moorea in 1991 (~ 19–25 gCaCO₃ m⁻² d⁻¹; Gattuso et al., 1996) also was similar to the rates measured in the flumes (this study) and in the field as described above. Rates of calcification in the present study under ambient
conditions also are similar to the 7.9 gCaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ reported by Andersson et al. (2009) for a reef community from Kaneohe Bay (Hawaii) that was assembled and incubated in mesocosms. However, while community calcification was still positive under high pCO$_2$ in the present study, Andersson et al. (2009) measured negative calcification (i.e., net dissolution) in their coral reef communities incubated at a pCO$_2$ twice that of current ambient values. The differences between the present study and that of Andersson et al. (2009) may be due to methodological effects. Andersson et al. (2009) manipulated pH through acid additions (we used CO$_2$ bubbling), and also used a different assemblage of species and sediments in dissimilar proportions compared to the present study.

The discrepancy in the evaluation of the effects of high pCO$_2$ at the community level (the present study) versus organismic level (previous studies) was the result of dissolution of sediments that represented up to 50% of the decrease in calcification at high pCO$_2$. Increased dissolution of sediments at high pCO$_2$ likely was caused by the reduction of the seawater saturation state in the flumes, as we did not detect any difference in respiration and photosynthesis under elevated pCO$_2$ (results not shown) that could also affect sediment dissolution (Andersson and Gledhill, 2013). Our results reveal the sensitivity of carbonate sediments to dissolution at elevated pCO$_2$, and they are in agreement with a recent manipulative experiment conducted on Heron Island, where dissolution of in situ areas of sand (1.7 m depth) exceeded precipitation at pCO$_2 > 500$ μatm (Cyronak et al., 2013a). During a mesocosm experiment, Dove et al. (2013) also demonstrated that a pH of 7.7 caused a change in sediment granularity to favor small-grained (i.e., ≤ 1 mm) sediments as a result of dissolution or increased bioerosion of larger grains. In this case, bioerosion was more likely than dissolution, as dissolution
would favor a loss of the smallest grains as a result of their higher surface area to volume ratio. Size-frequency distribution of sediment grain was not different between treatments at the end of our incubations and therefore is unlikely to have affected the treatment effects we detected. Sensitivity of coral reef communities to dissolution has been shown previously for communities constructed in mesocosms in Hawaii, where dissolution (-3.6 mmol CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) was detected at night under conditions of double ambient pCO$_2$ (Andersson et al., 2009). In this case, dissolution was attributed to the thin layer of sediment that accumulated at the bottom of the mesocosms (Andersson et al., 2009).

In addition to chemical dissolution occurring in the communities constructed in the present study, we cannot exclude the possibility that at least some of the apparent community dissolution was caused by enhanced bioerosion, which for example previously has been show to occur when blocks of *Porites lobata* are incubated under 750 μatm pCO$_2$ for 3-month (Tribollet et al. 2009). In future work it will be important to census the fragments of coral and rock to quantify the presence of bioeroders and their relative contribution to dissolution under ambient and OA conditions.

When the effect of sediment dissolution was subtracted from the overall net calcification rate for the communities assembled in our flumes, corals and coralline algae alone exhibited a decrease in net calcification of 29% over 24 h at elevated pCO$_2$ versus ambient pCO$_2$. Such a decrease falls within the range of values we have previously reported for organismic effects of high pCO$_2$, in which the calcification rates of 16 calcifiers in Moorea declined 0–40% at 1300 μatm pCO$_2$ compared to ambient pCO$_2$ (Comeau et al., 2013; Comeau et al., 2014b). It is also within the range of the predicted changes for calcification of corals under a tripling of pCO$_2$ (relative to present values).
estimated by meta-analysis (i.e., a ~ 26% reduction; Chan and Connolly, 2012). As the decrease in calcification recorded in the present study for corals and coralline algae alone was within the range of previous studies, this supports our assumptions that calcification of macro-calcifiers is equal to the difference between net sediment calcification and net community calcification. This “subtraction method” for calculating the calcification rate of corals and coralline algae included in community experiments has some limitations, as it assumes that the calcification of the sediments and the macro-calcifiers are independent. Such interactions might occur, for example, if dissolution of the sediment would locally enhance total alkalinity that would, in turn, favor calcification by macro-calcifiers. Testing for such feedback mechanisms among the different compartments of the communities we built was beyond the scope of the present study, but it will be important to consider such effects in future experiments.

Our results demonstrate the utility of large outdoor flumes for investigating the responses of coral reef communities to OA. Similar rates of calcification in the field and in the flumes suggest that the communities assembled in the flumes effectively mimicked both the biological communities and the physical and chemical conditions characterizing the back reef of Moorea. The ability to create ecologically relevant flow conditions in the flumes is likely to be especially important for establishing ecological relevance, as flow is critical in modulating mass transfer and metabolism of coral reef organisms (Atkinson and Gilmer, 1992; Carpenter and Williams, 2007, Comeau et al. 2014c). In the case of stony corals, for example, high flow speeds are suspected to enhance coral calcification by favoring proton export from coral tissue through boundary layers (Jokiel, 2011; Jokiel et al., 2014), and for coralline algae, might increase sensitivity to OA by reducing the
capacity to maintain high pH in the diffusion boundary layer adjacent to the algal thallus (Cornwall et al., 2013, 2014).

5 Conclusion

The present results suggest that, despite a reduction in calcification, calcifying reef organisms may maintain net positive calcification under pCO$_2$ as high as 1300 µatm. However, at the scale of coral reef communities in back reef habitats, community net calcification will be affected strongly and negatively, at least for reefs similar in community structure to those in Moorea in 2013. The present experiments demonstrate the importance of living organisms on benthic surfaces in maintaining a positive balance between precipitation and dissolution of calcium carbonate. Whereas several reefs around the world are already at the threshold between precipitation and dissolution of calcium carbonate (Silverman et al., 2009, 2014), the susceptibility of coral reefs to net dissolution in the future likely will be linked directly to the proportion of the reef covered by macro-calcifiers and sediments. In addition to dissolution, it also is possible that coral reefs will be exposed to increased bioerosion at high pCO$_2$ (Wisshak et al., 2012; Crook et al., 2013) that will decrease the integrity of the carbonate framework. Our results suggest that under OA conditions anticipated by the end of the current century, at least some tropical corals and calcifying algae will persist, but the function of the coral reef community as a net precipitator of calcium carbonate and as a physical structure to protect coasts against erosion (Ferrario et al., 2014) will be challenged.
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Table 1. Mean carbonate chemistry in the four flumes (F1-4) during the 8-week incubation. The partial pressure of CO$_2$ (pCO$_2$), the aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{arag}}$) and the calcite saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{calc}}$) were calculated from pH$_T$, total alkalinity ($A_T$), temperature and salinity. The values presented are mean ± SE (n = 56). SE for salinity was < 0.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flume</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>pH$_T$</th>
<th>$A_T$ (µmol. kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pCO$_2$ (µatm)</th>
<th>$\Omega_{\text{arag}}$</th>
<th>$\Omega_{\text{calc}}$</th>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>High pCO$_2$</td>
<td>7.603 ± 0.008</td>
<td>2343 ± 1</td>
<td>1329 ± 28</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.04</td>
<td>27.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td>8.010 ± 0.012</td>
<td>2339 ± 1</td>
<td>456 ± 19</td>
<td>3.49 ± 0.07</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.11</td>
<td>26.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>High pCO$_2$</td>
<td>7.617 ± 0.014</td>
<td>2345 ± 1</td>
<td>1306 ± 42</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.08</td>
<td>27.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td>8.015 ± 0.013</td>
<td>2339 ± 1</td>
<td>451 ± 18</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.07</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.11</td>
<td>26.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure legends

Figure 1. Photographs of the outdoor flumes. a) The flumes consisted of a 5.00 × 0.30 × 0.30 m working section, and a lower sediment chamber (2.50 × 0.30 × 0.25 m) in which sediments were maintained, and together contained ~ 600 L of seawater. b) Communities matching the average composition (in 2013) of the back reef in Moorea were constructed in the flumes.

Figure 2. Calcification in the light, dark, and integrated over 24 h for intact communities (A), sediment (B), and corals and coralline algae (C) maintained under ambient and high pCO₂ (~ 1300 µatm). The grey bars represent the calcification measured in the ambient conditions and the black bars are calcification in the elevated pCO₂ treatment.

Figure 3. Relative contribution of each functional group of corals and calcifying algae to the calcification budget of communities as a function of their contribution to the planar surface area of calcifiers in the flumes. Contribution to the calcification budget was derived from the buoyant weight measurements made on each individual at the beginning and end of the 8-week incubation. The grey (ambient condition) and black (high pCO₂) squares correspond to the mean ± SD specific contributions of massive Porites (mP), Porites rus (Pr), Pocillopora spp. (Po), Montipora spp. (Mo), Porolithon onkodes (Ph), and Lithophyllum flavescens (Lf). The dashed line corresponds to a contribution to the calcification budget equivalent to the planar surface areas of calcifier in the flumes.
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