Using O$_2$ to study the relationships between soil CO$_2$ efflux and soil respiration
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Abstract

Soil respiration is the sum of respiration processes in the soil, and is a major flux in the global carbon cycle. It is usually assumed that the CO$_2$ efflux is equal to the soil respiration rate. Here we challenge this assumption by combining measurements of CO$_2$ with high-precision measurements of O$_2$. These measurements were conducted on different ecosystems and soil types, and included measurements of air-samples taken from the soil profile of three Mediterranean sites, a temperate forest, and two alpine forests. Root-free soils from the alpine sites were also incubated in the lab. We found that the ratio between the CO$_2$ efflux and the O$_2$ influx (defined as apparent respiratory quotient, ARQ) was in the range of 0.14 to 1.23, and considerably deviated from that of 0.9±0.1 expected from the elemental composition of average plants and soil organic matter. At the Mediterranean sites, these deviations could be explained as a result of CO$_2$ dissolution in the soil water and transformation to bicarbonate.
ions in these high pH soils, and by carbonates dissolution and precipitation processes. Thus, correct estimate of the short-term, chamber-based biological respiratory flux in such soils can only be made by dividing the measured soil CO$_2$ efflux by the average (efflux weighted) soil profile ARQ. Applying this approach to a semiarid pine forest resulted in an estimated short-term biological respiration rate that could be 3.8 times higher than the chamber-measured surface CO$_2$ efflux (8.8 µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ instead of 2.3 µmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, at the time of measurement). The ARQ values often observed in the more acidic soils were unexpectedly low (<0.7). These values could result from the oxidation of reduced iron, which could previously been formed during times of high soil moisture and local anaerobic conditions inside soil aggregates, but requires further research to validate. The results reported here provide direct quantitative evidence for large temporal decoupling between soil gas exchange fluxes and biological soil respiration.

1 Introduction

Respiration in soils is a major flux in the global carbon cycle, and contributes ~100 Pg C y$^{-1}$ to the atmosphere (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010). As a result, this process has attracted much attention in recent decades (Davidson et al., 1999; Raich and Potter, 1995; Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Vargas et al., 2011). Soil respiration is defined as the sum of heterotrophic respiration by soil micro-organisms, mostly bacteria and fungi, and autotrophic respiration by living roots. It is usually estimated by measuring the CO$_2$ efflux from the soil to a chamber placed above it (Davidson et al., 2002), or modelled from the CO$_2$ concentration gradients in the soil profile (Davidson and Trumbore, 1995). Hence, the basic assumption is that the CO$_2$ efflux is equal to the soil respiration. However, the CO$_2$ efflux is not necessarily an ideal measure of the respiration rate for the following reasons:
First, instead of diffusing through the soil surface, a considerable fraction of the respired \( \text{CO}_2 \) can be dissolved in the soil water, transported in the hydrological system, or take part in reactions of the carbonate system:

\[
\text{CO}_2(\text{g}) \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_2(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (1)
\]

In a calcareous soil with a pH of ~8 most of the carbon in the soil solution is in the form of bicarbonate (\( \text{HCO}_3^- \)). Using the carbonate system equilibrium relationships (Stumm and Morgan, 2012), it can be shown that in such a pH range the storage capacity of dissolved inorganic carbon (mainly bicarbonate) in soil water is considerable. For instance, we calculated given the carbonate system constants (Stumm and Morgan, 2012), that for a soil porosity of 50% which is 50% water filled pores, a soil p\( \text{CO}_2 \) of 10,000ppm (1%), and a soil pH of ~8, the soil carbon storage capacity would be ~100g carbon m\(^{-3}\) soil (mostly as bicarbonate). This DIC storage capacity is large in comparison to typical soil respiration rates, which are in the order of ~2 gC m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). This large storage capacity is particularly important when water is replaced by rain, irrigation, or any other water supply process. In addition, some \( \text{CO}_2 \) will also be stored in gas-phase in the soil pores. However, with the same soil parameters values as above, the gas phase storage will be only in the order of 1g. Hence, in calcareous soils the gas phase storage is negligible in comparison to the storage of dissolved inorganic carbon, unless large cavities exist below the soil.

Second, in addition to the DIC storage, in calcareous soils the \( \text{CO}_2 \) can also be consumed in calcium carbonate dissolution reaction:

\[
\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \quad (2)
\]

or released in the reverse reaction. Such processes have been shown to influence the temporal variation of the soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) efflux, and to make it different than the biological process of respiration (Benavente et al., 2010; Cuezva et al., 2011; Emmerich, 2003; Eshel et al.,
Third, processes within roots may also cause the CO$_2$ efflux to be different from the actual respiration rate. For example, the CO$_2$ respired by roots can be dissolved in the xylem water and carried upward in the transpiration stream (Aubrey and Teskey, 2009; Bloemen et al., 2012).

Measurement of O$_2$ uptake rate is an alternative approach to measure respiration, which is routinely applied in studies of aquatic systems. However, making such measurements in air-phase, and especially under field conditions, is challenging since the atmospheric background of O$_2$ is more than 500 times larger than that of CO$_2$ (20.95% versus 0.04%). Recently, Angert and Sherer (2011) have demonstrated that the combined measurement of O$_2$ uptake in addition to the CO$_2$ efflux can be used to isolate the biological respiration flux in a tree stem. This approach is based on the lower solubility of O$_2$ in water (28 times lower than that of CO$_2$ at 20°C), and also on the fact that O$_2$, in contrast to CO$_2$, does not form additional chemical species by reacting with water. Thus, the O$_2$ influx may be a better measure of respiration than the widely used CO$_2$ efflux, as was also suggested previously for plant respiration measurements in the lab (Amthor et al., 2001; Davey et al., 2004).

Here we have used high accuracy measurements of O$_2$ concentrations to study the relationships between soil CO$_2$ efflux and soil respiration in well-drained soils, and to determine how O$_2$ measurements can help to better quantify and understand soil respiration. To make our conclusions more general, the study was conducted in different ecosystems, in calcareous and non-calcareous soils, and over a wide range of soil CO$_2$ and O$_2$ concentrations.
Finally, we demonstrate how O\textsubscript{2} measurements can be used to correct CO\textsubscript{2} measurements for estimating soil respiration flux.

1.1 Expected relationships between O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} in soils

In a one-dimensional model, the change with time of the concentration (C) of a gas in soil is related to the concentration gradient with depth (z), the gas diffusivity in the soil (D) and the rate of net CO\textsubscript{2} production (P). This net rate of CO\textsubscript{2} production integrates the effects of respiration and of CO\textsubscript{2} storage/release discussed above. The one-dimensional model is summarized by the diffusion-production equation (Jury et al., 1991; Stern et al., 1999):

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} - P(z) \quad (3)
\]

This reaction-diffusion model ignores advection, that can be important in some cases (Maier et al., 2012). For this reason we have conducted all of our experiments under low wind speeds (<4 m sec\textsuperscript{-1}) conditions. For solving Eq. (3), we can for instance assume that CO\textsubscript{2} production rate decreases exponentially with depth such that P(z)=P’exp(-z/z\textsubscript{e}), where P’ is the rate of CO\textsubscript{2} production at the soil surface and z\textsubscript{e} is the depth at which the rate equals P’/e. Then the steady-state solution for the concentration gradient between the soil and the atmosphere (z=0) becomes:

\[
C(z)-C_{atm}=(P’z_e^2/D)(1-\exp(-z/z_e)) \quad (4) \quad \text{(Hesterberg and Siegenthaler, 1991)}
\]

We noted the difference C(z)-C\textsubscript{atm} with \Delta, and O\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} with the subscripts “O” and “C” (P\textsubscript{O} takes negative values since O\textsubscript{2} is consumed). Writing two equations, one for CO\textsubscript{2} and one for O\textsubscript{2}, and dividing the first by the second yields:
We will define the ratio between the soil CO₂ efflux to O₂ influx as the soil ARQ (Apparent Respiratory Quotient), which is similar to the definition for tree stems (Angert et al., 2012; Angert and Sherer, 2011), so ARQ=-P_c/P_o. If only respiration drives the soil ARQ then it will be equal to the Respiratory Quotient (RQ) or to the inverse of the oxidative ratio (OR which is 1/RQ).

The D_C/D_O term in Eq. (5) can be calculated from the relationship between the diffusivity (D) of a gas in soil and the diffusivity in air (D₀):

\[ D = Q \cdot D₀ \] (6)

Where Q is the relative effective diffusivity, that depends on the structure of the air-filled pore spaces (Millington and Shearer, 1971). Hence, we can assume that Q is identical for CO₂ and O₂. As a result, the ratio (D_C/D_O) becomes equal to the ratio of CO₂/O₂ diffusivity in air, which is 0.76 (0.138 cm²sec⁻¹ / 0.182 cm²sec⁻¹ at STP), and is independent of temperature, since for different temperatures both diffusivity coefficients will change by the same factor (Massman, 1998). Thus, Eq. (5) becomes:

\[ \text{ARQ} = -0.76(Δ_C/Δ_O) \] (7)

And the soil ARQ can be calculated from measurements of O₂ and CO₂ concentrations in the soil. It can be shown by a numerical model that Eq. (7) is valid also when other respiration profiles are assumed.

Previous studies have estimated the OR (and hence RQ) of biomass and soils organic material. The RQ of the following plants chemical classes was calculated (Randerson et al., 2006) as: 0.88 for lignin, 0.95 for soluble phenolics, 1.0 for carbohydrates, 1.4 for organic acids, and 0.73 for lipids. In anaerobic respiration RQ>>1 since CO₂ emission is uncoupled.
from O₂ consumption. Nitrate assimilation by roots will make the RQ values increase above 1, since nitrate is used instead of O₂ as electron acceptor (Lambers et al., 2008). On average, and in steady state, the RQ of respiration related to decomposition of soil organic matter, must reflect the stoichiometric ratios found in the soil organic matter. Severinghaus (1995) calculated from elemental abundance data OR values which correspond to RQ values of 0.93 for average plant, 0.95 for wood and 0.93 for soil humic acid and humins. Analysis of biomass by elemental composition and by the heat of combustion yielded similar OR values which correspond to RQ of 0.94-1.01 (Masiello et al., 2008). The corresponding RQ values found by ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance for soil (Hockaday et al., 2009) are 0.82-1.04. These values agree well with the values estimated by Severinghaus (1995) by incubation of various soils in steady-state chambers (and by one in-situ flux measurement) that correspond to RQ values of 0.8-1.0. Hence, if only respiration processes and diffusion drive the concentrations gradients in the soil, the decrease in soil oxygen (-ΔO₂) is expected to be equal to, or higher by up to 20% than, the increase in CO₂ concentration gradient, corrected for the lower diffusivity (0.76*ΔC). However, if CO₂ is removed by non-respiratory processes, such as the chemical processes in the soil, or by dissolution and biological processes within the roots, or if the respiration substrate has different RQ from the values cited above, then the -ΔO₂ can be far from 0.76*ΔC and ARQ will be significantly different than 0.9±0.1.

2 Methods

We aimed to provide observational information on the relationships between CO₂ production and O₂ consumptions across a range of soils and seasons. This included soil depth profiles (to about 150 cm) in three Mediterranean sites, and single depth samplings in temperate and alpine sites. These observations were supplemented with laboratory incubations of some of the samples, as well as analysis of the CO₂ and O₂ transport and consumption in sterilized soil columns.
2.1 In situ soil air sampling

To study the CO$_2$-O$_2$ relationships in different conditions, we chose to sample soil-air from 6 sites from different ecosystems (alpine broadleaf and needle-leaf forests, temperate forest, orchard, and Mediterranean and semi-arid pine forest), with calcareous and non-calcareous soils, and with varying soils and respiration rates, which induce varying gradients in soil CO$_2$ and O$_2$. Soil air was sampled from stainless steel tubes closed at the bottom end, and perforated near the bottom. The soil air was sampled at six sites:

1) A citrus orchard located near Kefar-Vitkin, Israel (32°23'N 34°53'E). At this site, the soil is Calcic Vertisol (FAO classification) and changes gradually from clay in the top layers to calcareous sandy clay loam in the deeper ones. This site is irrigated in summer every two weeks. Samples were taken from depths of 30, 60, 90,120 and 150cm, in duplicates. In September 1999, sampling started 10 days after the last irrigation, and in March 2000 it started 3 days after a rain event. Both samplings ended before the next rain/irrigation event.

2) Yatir forest site, a 45-yr-old Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis*) plantation located at the northern edge of the Negev desert, Israel (31°20'N, 35°20'E, elevation 650m). The forest covers an area of 2,800 ha and lies on a Rendzic Leptosol soil (FAO classification, 79 ± 45.7 cm deep), overlying chalk and limestone bedrock. The climate is hot (40-yr average mean annual temperature is 18°C) and dry (40-yr average mean annual precipitation is 280 mm). Monthly soil efflux measurements, soil moisture profiles, and determination of soil characteristics have been routinely carried out at this site (Rotenberg and Yakir, 2010). Samples for ARQ measurements were taken during 2013, from depths of 30, 60, 90, and 120 cm.
3) A pine grove site located at the Hebrew University Givat Ram campus (31°46′N, 35°12′E, elevation 771m) in Jerusalem, on the Judea hills. The climate is semi-humid Mediterranean with mean annual rainfall of 537mm (1981-2010) and an average temperature of 16.8°C. Soil type is Chromic Luvisol (FAO classification) which lies on a carbonate bedrock (Cenomenian dolomite). The vegetation is dominated by Pinus halepensis. Samples for ARQ measurements were taken from May 2012 to August 2013, at 40 cm depth.

4) A temperate forest site located on the Prospect Hill tract of Harvard Forest, near Petersham, Massachusetts USA (42°32′N, 72°11′W) at 340 m elevation. The mean annual rainfall is 1050mm. This mixed hardwood forest is about 60-yr-old and is dominated by red oak (Quercus rubra L.) and red maple (Acer rubrum L.), with some stands of hemlock, white pine, and red pine. The sampling site was near the base of the eddy covariance flux tower (Barford et al., 2001). The soil is classified as Dystric Cambisol (FAO classification), the texture is sandy loam, and the soil is well drained. Samples were taken from 85 cm depth, and 10 replicates were taken at each sampling time to ensure sufficient replication necessary due to the small soil-air O₂ gradient in this site. This resulted in standard error in the O₂ concentration measurements of ±0.02%. Samples for ARQ measurements were taken in May and July 2001.

5) An alpine beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) forest in Italy (46°03′N, 11°04′E), with mean annual air temperature of 8.6°C and average annual rainfall of 976 mm. The soil is a Calcaric Cambisol (FAO classification). This site is described in detail in Rodeghiero and Cescatti (2005) (appears there as S6). Soil air was sampled from 30 cm depth for ARQ from one soil tube in June 2011, and from two soil tubes ~3 m apart, during September 2013.
6) An alpine Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karsten) forest site in Italy (46°02′N, 11°03′E), with mean annual air temperature of 5.9° C and average annual rainfall of 1015 mm. The soil is a Calcaric Skeletic Cambisol (FAO classification). This site is described in detail by Rodeghiero and Cescatti (2005) (appears there as S8). The soil air was sampled 30 cm depth for ARQ in September 2013, from three soil tubes, which were ~3 m apart.

### 2.2 Diffusion experiments in sterilized soils columns

To study the effects of soil chemistry and gas diffusion separately from biological effects, we conducted a set of experiments with sterilized soils columns. The soil columns were prepared by filling a glass tube, (8 cm long, 0.6 cm outer diameter, 0.4 cm internal diameter) with 2.0-2.4 g loose soil or sand. The soils samples were: 1) Chromatic Luvisols (FAO classification) with clay content of 49%, soil pH=7.6, sampled at a site with natural vegetation and Mediterranean climate in Judean mountains (31°42′N, 35°3′E); 2) Sample from site 5 - clay content 42%, soil pH 7.3; 3) Sample from site 6 - clay content 31%, soil pH 4.9; and 4) Acid-washed sand (Merck) - clay content 0%. The soils were sterilized by gamma radiation from a Cesium-137 source for at least 5 hours. Overnight incubation of the gamma-treated soils showed no CO₂ emission and no O₂ consumption even after re-wetting the soils, which indicates that the sterilization was successful.

Plugs made of alumina wool were inserted in both ends of the glass tube to keep the soil in place, while allowing air movement. The soil column was placed horizontally and connected to a 3.6 mL glass flask equipped with a Louwers O-ring high-vacuum-valve. CO₂ and O₂ were set to either diffuse out of the flasks, or into it, by either: 1) Connecting a flask with 8700 ppm CO₂ in N₂ to one end of the soil column, while leaving the other end open to the outside air, or, 2) Connecting one side of the column to a flask with outside air, and the other
end of the soil column to 40 ml flasks filled with the above CO₂-N₂ mixture. Diffusion across the soil columns was allowed for 30-60 minutes before the flasks were closed and CO₂ and O₂ concentrations in the flask were then measured as indicated below. Based on the O₂ concentrations in the flasks at the end of the experiments, we calculated the expected CO₂ concentration, assuming that diffusion was the only process taking place, knowing the ratio between the diffusivities of these two gases (0.76, see introduction). We note that the use of CO₂-N₂ mixtures in the experiments slightly changed the diffusivity ratios, compared to that of air, but the effect was considered to be within the uncertainty of the measurement (~0.02 in the diffusivity ratios) and was not considered in the calculations.

2.3 Soil incubation experiments

To study the effects of heterotrophic respiration, separately from the effects of root respiration and that of gas diffusion in the soil profile, we conducted incubation experiments. To this end, soils were sampled at the alpine sites in September 2013 and were incubated for ~5-44 hours in 60ml glass flasks connected with Swagelok Ultra-Torr tee fittings to two 3.6 mL glass flask equipped with Louwers high-vacuum-valves. Before the incubation, the soils were sieved to 2mm to remove roots, and repeated incubations were made with the same soils. Before the last incubation, sucrose (50μmol g⁻¹ soil) was added to the soils. Soil moisture content and soil pH were measured, and the total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in the soil solution was calculated based on these parameters and the CO₂ concentration using the carbonate systems constants and equations (Stumm and Morgan, 2012). The DIC values were used to calculate “corrected ARQ” that accounts for the fraction of respired CO₂ which is not in the gas phase.

2.4 Gas analysis

Samples of soil air were collected in pre-evacuated ~3.6 mL glass flasks with Louwer™ O-ring high-vacuum valves. Before sampling, the dead volume in the tubing and flask necks was
purged with soil air by a plastic syringe equipped with three-way valve. Duplicate samples were taken in all sites, except in the Harvard forest site where 10 replicates were taken (due to the close-to-ambient O₂ concentrations). At sites 1 and 4 oxygen concentrations were calculated from δO₂/Ar values that were measured on a Finnigan Delta-plus mass-spectrometer, assuming that since argon is inert, its concentration is constant (Angert et al., 2001). The standard error in the O₂ concentration measurements was ±0.08% at site 1 and 0.02% at site 4. The air used for CO₂ measurements was collected in evacuated blood collection tubes (vacutainers®) at site 1, and in syringes at site 4, and in the same flasks used for O₂ in all other sites. At sites 1 and 4 the CO₂ concentration was measured in the laboratory with a LI-COR-6252 (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) by the method described in Davidson and Trumbore (1995) with a relative error of ±5%. For the other sites as well as for the diffusion and incubation experiment (see below) the CO₂ and O₂ concentrations were measured on an air circulating system similar to that described in Angert and Sherer (2011). The O₂ concentration was measured by a fuel-cell based O₂ analyzer (Sable Systems FC-10) that was in the circulation loop. The analyzer [O₂] reading was corrected for the system's internal pressure and for dilution by water vapor. Water vapor concentrations and CO₂ concentrations were determined by a Li-840A (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) infra-red-gas-analyzer, through which the air flow in the circulating system passed before entering the oxygen analyzer. The accuracy and precision in [O₂] and [CO₂] determination by this method was ±0.04% for both gases.

3 Results

The derived ARQ values are well beyond the range expected for steady-state respiration (both below and above this range). In temperate and alpine soils we found values that were lower than expected despite the low pH values, which limit DIC storage.
Soil depth profiles: The results of soil air in-situ measurements at the Mediterranean sites 1 and 2 are presented in Fig 1, 2. The decrease in oxygen (-ΔO$_2$) was larger than the diffusion corrected increase in carbon dioxide (0.76ΔCO$_2$) in site 2 in January, and the ARQ value was 0.68 at 30cm depth, and ranged between 0.14 to 0.22 at the 60-120 cm depth range. In April the 0.76ΔCO$_2$ value was closer to that of -ΔO$_2$ and the average ARQ value in the profile was 0.79. In site 1 the ARQ values were as low as 0.29 on some dates (10 March, 150 cm depth), but were close to 1.0, or above 1.0 (1.23, for the profile average on 12-Sep) on others.

Single point measurements: At the third Mediterranean site (site 3), the decrease in oxygen (-ΔO$_2$) was larger than the diffusion corrected increase in carbon dioxide (0.76ΔCO$_2$) during some months, and equal to it within the experimental uncertainty in other months (Fig. 3). The results from the temperate forest site (site 4) and alpine forest sites (sites 5 and 6) are presented in Table 1, which shows ARQ values ranging between 0.23 and 0.96.

Diffusion experiments: The diffusion experiments results are presented in Fig. 4. The CO$_2$ concentrations at the end of the experiments with acid-washed sand, and gamma-sterilized alpine soils, agreed well with the values calculated from the O$_2$ concentration (based on relative rates of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ diffusion in air). In contrast, the experiments with Mediterranean calcareous soils fell below the 1:1 line, indicating lower measured CO$_2$ than that expected from diffusion processes alone.

Soil incubation experiments: The incubation experiment with alpine soils (Table 2) gave dissolution corrected ARQ values ranging between 0.60 and 1.24 (0.54-0.92 uncorrected). The results indicate decreasing ARQ values with time since soil sampling, from ~0.9 to ~0.8 and ~0.8 to ~0.6 in soil samples from the two depths of site 6 over about 140 hours; and from ~0.9 to ~0.7 in site 5 sample over a similar period. This trend was reversed in later incubations when sucrose was added, with ARQ values of 0.74-1.24.
4 Discussion

4.1 Relationships between CO$_2$ and soil respiration in calcareous soils

The results from the Mediterranean calcareous soils sites (sites 1, 2 and 3, Fig. 1, 2 and 3) show ARQ values well below 0.9, as well as values slightly above 0.9. These values clearly exceeded the range expected for soil respiration (see Introduction). These deviations from the expected RQ value were evident in all three sites, despite an order of magnitude difference in soil CO$_2$ concentrations. It should be noted that our analysis is based on the assumption of soil air in steady-state, and that due to low wind speeds (<4 m sec$^{-1}$) during the sampling, gas exchange was only by diffusion, so that advection could be ignored. In an extreme case in which advection was dominating the gas exchange, the 0.76 factor in Eq. (7) should be omitted, and the low range of our ARQ values would be 0.30 instead of 0.26, which would not significantly affect our interpretation.

We hypothesize that the low ARQ values can be explained if in addition to respiration, the soil gases are also involved in reactions in the soil-water, and soil carbonates system. For example, in site 1, during the March sampling, a large portion of the CO$_2$ in the soil was probably dissolved and much of it transformed into bicarbonate, as a result of the high pH values and the high [CO$_2$]. In the September sampling at the same site, the ARQ values were slightly above 1.0, which may indicate that the soil solution was releasing carbon stored in soil water-carbonates system, as hypothesized above. This carbon dioxide was probably stored as bicarbonate shortly after irrigation (before the start of the sampling) when CO$_2$ concentration in soil air was higher than during sampling. Thus, the difference between the ARQ values of the March and the September samplings could be attributed to opposing directions of the CO$_2$ fluxes between the soil air and the soil solution (driven by opposing direction of the gradient between the two). The direction could have been also influenced by
the source of the soil water. In March, the source was rainwater that contains very little dissolved carbon, while in September it was irrigated by groundwater that most likely contained high concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon. In a similar way, the January profile in site 2 indicated large uptake of CO$_2$ by fresh rainwater, and much smaller uptake later in the rainy season when the exchange of soil water slowed down. In addition to CO$_2$ storage and transport in soil water, the dissolved CO$_2$ can react with the bedrock derived soil carbonate minerals. Such interactions are supported by the high $\delta^{13}$C values of around -14‰ observed in soil CO$_2$ and DIC in site 2 (Carmi et al., 2013). These values are significantly higher compared with the $\delta^{13}$C values of -21‰ to -23‰ observed in the forest trees (Klein et al., 2005) and may indicate that the dissolved CO$_2$ and bicarbonate interact with bedrock carbonates (producing $\delta^{13}$C value of soil CO$_2$ in equilibrium with carbonate minerals of -8‰ to -9‰). While the isotopes do not indicate net fluxes, they do indicate that the rate of interactions with the soil minerals can be significant even compared to the rapid biological processes.

The observed variations in the ARQ values at the three calcareous sites provide direct evidence that in such soils the momentary CO$_2$ flux from the soil does not represent well the rate of soil respiration. This conclusion is strengthened by the diffusion experiments, which showed that in the calcareous soils, also in the absence of biotic reactions, the resulting CO$_2$ concentrations were lower than expected if diffusion was the only active process. Several previous studies arrived at the same conclusion, based on the mismatch between the observed CO$_2$ fluxes, and biological models of respiration, or based on geochemical modeling (Eshel et al., 2007; Hastings et al., 2005; Schlesinger et al., 2009; Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2010). However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first quantification of this effect using O$_2$ for intact
soil profiles. A corrected estimate of soil respiration can be made by dividing the measured
$CO_2$ efflux by the efflux weighted average soil profile ARQ.

To demonstrate this correction, we applied it at site 2 (Yatir forest), in which we measured
detailed profiles. The diffusivity profile in the soil was calculated from the available soil
properties and soil moisture profiles (Klein et al., 2013) after Moldrup et al. (2003). From the
diffusivity and the CO$_2$ concentrations profiles, we calculated the expected net CO$_2$ efflux
from each layer. Note that this calculation assumes steady-state (and hence ignores storage in
the gas phase), and neglects non-diffusive transport which in some cases can be important
(Maier et al., 2012). In addition, it was shown that this widely used approach is sensitive to
the choice of the diffusion model (Pingintha et al., 2010). Using the estimated respiration flux
in each layer, we calculated the flux-weighted average ARQ for the entire profile during this
sampling. The resulting weighted average ARQ is 0.26, which indicates that the biological
respiration flux at this time of measurements was in fact 3.8 higher than the CO$_2$ efflux.
Hence, the apparent soil respiration flux of 2.3 $\mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, obtained by chamber
measurement at the surface, was corrected by the weighted ARQ value to obtain the actual
respiration rate of 8.8 $\mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This value is consistent with previously observed
rates of $\sim$8 to $\sim$15 $\mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at this site during the wet season (October to April;
(Grünzweig et al., 2009)). The chemical interactions of resired CO$_2$ with the soil solution
and minerals can thus considerably bias the estimates of short-term dynamics of soil
respiration at the hourly and daily measurements made by soil chambers or even by eddy
covariance flux measurements. At these time scales, the soil CO$_2$ efflux will not be a good
indicator for the biological process of respiration in such sites. However, on longer time
scales this effect is expected to be canceled out, since during soil drying, CO$_2$ will be emitted
out of the soil in higher rate than the actual respiration flux yielding high ARQ values, as
evident in site 1 during the September experiment. This is because drying increases the soil solution DIC concentrations, and the respired CO$_2$ that was consumed in dissolution (Eqs. (1), (2)) will be re-emitted during drying associated re-precipitation of carbonate. Only DIC removal by drainage represents a permanent CO$_2$ loss. However, such drainage is low in Mediterranean soils in general, and in dry environments in particular. For example, in site 2, over 95% of the rainfall is accounted for by evapo-transpiration (Raz-Yaseef et al., 2010).

The soil CO$_2$ efflux measurements are usually reported and interpreted as soil respiration. Upscaling point measurements, on particular dates, to the entire year and entire region, are usually done by fitting the efflux data to some temperature and soil moisture functions - assuming that the efflux is controlled only by the biological response of respiration. Based on the data we show here, it seems important in calcareous soils to correct the efflux to non-biological processes. Accurate O$_2$ measurements, which are relatively fast and inexpensive, were lately developed (Hilman and Angert, manuscript in preparation), were used here, and could facilitate similar studies in the future. We recommend that such future studies will also include incubations of detached roots for ARQ measurements, to improve the method accuracy by having direct measurements of the root respiration component. A previous study found the same RQ values for detached and intact roots: 0.80 to 0.95, which is within the range we assumed here.

4.2 Relationships between CO$_2$ and O$_2$ in non-calcareous soils

The low ARQ values found at the in-situ measurements in temperate forest (site 4, ARQ range: 0.58 to 0.70) and alpine forest (sites 5,6, ARQ range: 0.23 to 0.96) are surprising. At soil pH of 4.3 and 4.9 (sites 4 and 6, respectively) almost no dissolved carbon in the soil solution can be in the form of bicarbonate or carbonate. Since the amount of carbon that can be dissolved in the form of CO$_2$(aq) is limited, the overall storage will be small. For example,
at pH 4 and [CO$_2$] in soil air of 7000ppm only 1gC can be stored in the solution that is present in 1m$^3$ of soil (assuming that the solution occupies 25% of the volume). Since summer respiration rates in these sites are in the order of few g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, it is obvious that the water entering the soil during a rain event cannot absorb CO$_2$ for more than a few hours and thus will not remove significant fraction of the respiratory production. Hence, the low ARQ in these soils is probably not driven by carbonate chemistry. This assertion is supported by the soil incubation experiments.

In these incubation experiments of alpine soils (Table 2), the soil pH and water content were measured, and the ARQ was corrected accordingly, assuming equilibrium between the headspace and the soil water. This correction was small, as can be expected, in the acidic soils. The non-geochemical control on ARQ in the alpine soils was also demonstrated by the diffusion experiments in gamma-sterilized soils. In the alpine soils the measured CO$_2$ was as expected based on O$_2$ and the ratio of diffusivities of the two gases (0.76, same as used for the in-situ profiles ARQ calculations), as it was in the experiments with acid-washed sand (Fig. 3). The incubation experiments, performed on roots-screened soils, also indicated that the low ARQ measured in the soils profile occurs with no presence of roots, and thus, processes within roots are not the sole driver of the ARQ<1.0. The DIC corrected ARQ during incubation showed values as low as 0.60, with an average of 0.78. The ARQ values of the incubated soils also showed a decrease with time since sampling. However, the ARQ increased to 0.92 following the addition of sucrose. The incubation results indicate that the low ARQ values found in the in-situ measurements in the acidic and neutral soils are real (e.g. not an artifact of the soil air profile sampling or modeling), and need to be explained.

A Similar decrease in incubated soil RQ with time (up to 100 days) since sampling was observed for incubation of soils from grassland sites (Severinghaus, 1995). This study also
reported values that correspond to ARQ of 0.59-0.78 for “Biosphere 2” soils, which may have resulted from carbonate reactions during the incubation. However, since the alkalinity or pH was not measured, this could not be confirmed. Other soils incubated in that research in an open system with no CO₂ build-up gave values that correspond to ARQ of 0.83-0.95, and 0.84 for in-situ soil-chamber experiment, which are within the expected range of 0.9±0.1. Seibt et al. (2004) reported values in a forest soil chamber which correspond to RQ of 1.5, (and to 1.06 after removing one data point which was considered to be an outlier) which is higher than the 0.90 value reported recently for a soil chamber at a forest in Japan (Ishidoya et al., 2013). Soil profile RQ values of 1.0 were found at Amazonian tropical forest in Peru (Angert et al., 2012). In contrast, low RQ values were reported for the incubation of acidic soils from Argentina (0.27-0.65) (Aon et al., 2001), and from Germany (Dilly, 2001) (<0.5 for some soils). In the latter soils the RQ increased to ~1.0 immediately after glucose addition, and reached ~1.3 with time. The low RQ in these soils (before glucose addition) was explained to be substrate related. This explanation may also fit our incubation results from sites 4, 5 and 6. The decrease of ARQ with time since soil sampling can be explained as the result of the exhaustion of labile sugars and organic acids supplied by the root exudates, while the re-supply of sucrose supported the increase in ARQ towards 1.0.

While the exhaustion of labile substrate hypothesis seems to fit nicely the result of these experiments and of previous ones, it leaves open the question of the non-labile substrates - what are they and why do they produce low RQ? The literature values correspond to RQ of 0.93 for average plant matter, 0.95 for wood and 0.93 for soil humic acid and humins (Severinghaus, 1995). Another estimate for average plant RQ is 0.95-0.98 (Randerson et al., 2006), and the RQ of the following plants chemical classes was calculated as: 0.88 for lignin, 0.95 for soluble phenolics, 1.0 for carbohydrates, 1.4 for organic acids, and 0.73 for lipids. Since only lipids are associated with low RQ, and since they are ~10% of the soil carbon
(Ziegler, 1989), a straightforward explanation would be that lipids are the non-labile substrates responsible for the low RQ. However, since this will imply that almost 100% of the respiration in some of our incubation experiments is using lipids as substrates, we do not find this explanation very plausible.

The low RQ values cannot be explained by nitrification (ammonium oxidation). Indeed, this process lowers the RQ, since it consumes oxygen but does not emit CO₂. However, the elemental composition based RQ values cited above, already account for the content of reduced nitrogen and hence for nitrification. Moreover, it does not seem likely that this process will become more important with incubation time, since ammonium stocks will probably be depleted.

We speculate that the most likely process that can explain the low RQ values in non-calcareous soils is the oxidation of Fe²⁺ (and another reduced species), which consumes O₂ but does not release CO₂. While the soils we studied were well-aerated, it was previously shown that even in such soils, anoxic microsites might be present inside soil aggregates (von Fischer and Hedin, 2002). The Fe²⁺ can be formed inside the soil aggregates when the soil is wet (or when respiration rates are very high, like after sucrose addition), and as the soil dries up (or sucrose stock depletes) oxygen can diffuse into the soil aggregate and react with the Fe²⁺. Under this explanation, the RQ will be above 1.0 when the aggregates are anoxic, since CO₂ will be produced but Fe³⁺ and not O₂ will be the oxygen acceptor. Since the soil diffusivity in this step is low, there will be limited gas exchange between the aggregate and its surrounding, and this high RQ value will be hard to measure. As the soil dries up, the RQ will drop below 1.0, since oxygen will be consumed by Fe²⁺ with no CO₂ production. Thus, on long-term average the RQ should match the value expected from the elemental composition of plants to keep ecosystem stoichiometry balanced. This suggested mechanism should be
tested in future studies. If \( \text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+} \) redox reactions are found to be common and quantitatively important with respect to oxygen fluxes in soils, this would provide another mechanism by which the instantaneous respiration rate is decoupled from the gas fluxes. In previous studies (Hall et al., 2013; Hall and Silver, 2013) highland soils with mean bulk soil-air \( \text{O}_2 \) of 19%, were found to have over 6mg g\(^{-1}\)(soil) of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \). Such concentration of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) can sustain many days of oxidation at the rates we measured in our soil incubation experiments.

Final word of caution: The ratio between oxygen consumption to \( \text{CO}_2 \) release (OR, the inverse of RQ) in soil respiration is an important parameter in estimates of global carbon sinks from atmospheric \( \text{O}_2 \) measurements (Keeling et al., 1996). Small deviations in the global soil respiration OR from the assumed value, can introduce considerable error to such estimates (Randerson et al., 2006). In this study we report large deviation measured in RQ (and hence OR), but such effects might be temporal, or local fluctuations, and cannot be used to infer the global annual average value before a more systematic measurement program is applied.

5 Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that in contrast to the common assumption, soil ARQ (and RQ) values are rarely 1.0, and often deviate from this value considerably. In calcareous soils this is most likely due to chemical reactions with the soil solution and minerals, which need to be accounted for during attempts to estimate the biological \( \text{CO}_2 \) efflux on short time-scales, such as weekly to seasonal. This can be done by introducing measurements of the weighted average ARQ in the soil profile, as done here, and then dividing the measured \( \text{CO}_2 \) efflux by the observed ARQ. Such measurements become less important on annual and longer time scales when the effects of \( \text{CO}_2 \) storage and release are probably canceled out. In acidic and
neutral soils, the variations in RQ are probably related to substrates and process that are not well understood at present and warrant further research.
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Table 1. The [CO$_2$], [O$_2$], and ARQ (average values of replicates) for in-situ measurements in acidic and neutral soils in temperate and alpine forest sites (sites 4, 5, 6). Apparent respiratory quotient (ARQ) values different from the 0.9±0.1 expected for respiration (based on plant composition) were observed in these soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Soil pH</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>CO$_2$ %</th>
<th>O$_2$ %</th>
<th>ARQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/05/2001</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Temperate forest</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>0.58±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/07/2001</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Temperate forest</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>0.70±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Alpine forest</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>0.23±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/09/2013</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Alpine forest</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>0.64±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/09/2013</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Alpine forest</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>20.77</td>
<td>0.96±0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Results of the soil incubation experiments with alpine forest soils. The soils were sieved to remove roots before incubation. The apparent respiratory quotient (ARQ) values declined with time since sampling, and increase following the addition of sucrose, with good agreement between pair measurements. For calculating the “dissolution corrected ARQ” the dissolved inorganic carbon in the soil solution was calculated, given the CO₂ partial pressure, the temperature, and the soil solution pH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Start time after sampling (h)</th>
<th>Incubation time (h)</th>
<th>CO₂ (%)</th>
<th>O₂ (%)</th>
<th>ARQ</th>
<th>dissolution corrected ARQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>32.78</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>147.40</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>19.49</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>147.40</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>337 +sucrose</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>337 +sucrose</td>
<td>22.37</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.73</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.73</td>
<td>45.62</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>147.40</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>19.88</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>147.40</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>19.22</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>337 +sucrose</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>337 +sucrose</td>
<td>22.37</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>146.53</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>146.53</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>337 +sucrose</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-20cm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>337 +sucrose</td>
<td>22.37</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure captions**

Figure 1. Temporal variations in depth profiles of $-\Delta O_2$ (open blue squares, $O_2$ decrease from ambient) and $0.76\Delta CO_2$ (red diamonds, $CO_2$ increase above ambient corrected for lower gas diffusivity compared to $O_2$) profiles in the soil of site 1 (citrus orchard). The March experiment started 3 days after a rain event, while the September experiment started 10 days after irrigation. Error bars are smaller than the markers.

Figure 2. The $-\Delta O_2$ (open blue squares, $O_2$ decrease from ambient) and $0.76\Delta CO_2$ (red diamonds, $CO_2$ increase above ambient corrected for lower gas diffusivity compared to $O_2$) profiles in the soil of site 2 (semi-arid pine forest) in January (a) and April (b). The values are in percent (and in order of magnitude lower than in Fig. 1). Some error bars are smaller than the markers.

Figure 3. Temporal changes in $-\Delta O_2$ (open blue squares, $O_2$ decrease from ambient) and $0.76\Delta CO_2$ (red diamonds, $CO_2$ increase above ambient corrected for lower gas diffusivity compared to $O_2$) at the soil of site 3 (pine stand; 40cm depth) from May 2012 to August 2013. Most error bars are smaller than the markers.

Figure 4. Diffusion in gamma-sterilized soils. For sand and alpine soils the measured $CO_2$ agrees well with the values calculated from $O_2$ concentration and the known diffusivity ratio, but this was not the case for Mediterranean soils, where measured $CO_2$ concentrations were lower than expected from $O_2$ measurements.
measured CO$_2$ [%] vs. modeled CO$_2$ [%] (based on O$_2$)
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