

*Biogeosciences Discussions* is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

# Applying biomass and stem fluxes to quantify temporal and spatial fluctuations of an old-growth forest in disturbance

S. Liu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Y. -L. Li<sup>1</sup>, G. -Y. Zhou<sup>1</sup>, K. O. Wenigmann<sup>4</sup>, Y. Luo<sup>1,5</sup>, D. Otieno<sup>6</sup>, and J. Tenhunen<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, PR, China

<sup>2</sup>Agro-Environmental Protection Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Tianjin 300191, PR, China

<sup>3</sup>Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, PR, China

<sup>4</sup>Barr Engineering, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 55435, USA

<sup>5</sup>Climatic and Agrometeorological Center of Guangdong Province, Guangzhou 510080, PR, China

<sup>6</sup>Department of Plant Ecology, University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany

Received: 28 December 2008 – Accepted: 10 February 2009 – Published: 3 March 2009

Correspondence to: Y. -L. Li (yuelin.li@uni-bayreuth.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

A subtropical old-growth forest was analyzed over a twelve year period to investigate temporal and spatial fluctuations of biomass and stem fluxes under disturbances. Vegetations were categorized into three types caused by biotic factors and abiotic factors, including *Castanopsis chinensis* population, insect direct-influenced population, and insect indirect-influenced population according to disturbance scenarios. The biomass fluxes (including biomass growth and mortality) and stem fluxes (including stem recruitment and mortality) were used to quantify the fluctuation of population. The results showed that annual average biomass growth rate was stable throughout the three periods, 1992–1994, 1994–1999, and 1999–2004, while annual biomass mortality and stem fluxes kept increasing through the three periods. *Castanopsis chinensis* population contributed the most in biomass fluxes of the community. Biomass and stem mortalities of insect direct-influenced population increased significantly during the whole study period (1992–2004). Dynamics of indirect-influenced population were compared by dominate species, diameter classes, and spatial patterns of subplots, respectively. Results of indirect-influenced population showed that (1) the increase of biomass of the dominant species was well correlated between different intervals. Similar relationships were found in stem fluxes; (2) higher stem mortality was observed when DBH ranged from 1 to 10 cm as compared with individuals in other DBH classes; (3) stem fluxes in the canopy gaps were remarkably higher than those in closed canopy. The biomass growth rate in gaps increased remarkably after the formation of the gaps.

## 1 Introduction

Community fluctuation is the shortest temporal division of the community dynamics; as it is a non-directional, quantitative change (Glenn-Lewin et al., 1992). Disturbance is a major cause of fluctuation (Chapin et al., 2002; Rydgren et al., 2004; Elderd and Doak, 2006) especially in old-growth forests (Tappeiner et al., 1997). An emerging challenge

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

in ecosystem ecology is to improve our understanding of the properties and processes that allow forests to persist in the face of disturbance (Chapin et al., 2002).

Research on fluctuation has mainly focused on pool dynamics, such as stem density, basal area or biomass. Pool dynamics are determined by influx and efflux (Lewis et al., 2004). Thus, quantification of fluxes would be favorable to better understand the fluctuation of forests under disturbance. In this study, we applied the concepts proposed by Lewis et al. (2004) to quantify the biomass and stem fluxes (Fig. 1). First, with regard to biomass, the forest was viewed as a simple system consisting of a biomass pool with its size changing as biomass was added to the pool by growth fluxes and subtracted from the pool by mortality fluxes (Lewis et al., 2004). Second, the same system was also applied to stem: a pool of stems increased with stem recruitment (influx) and decreased with stem mortality (efflux; Lewis et al., 2004).

Stephenson and van Mantgem (2005) presented that in the absence of significant disturbance or environmental changes, mature communities experience little net change in living mass through time (Lewis et al., 2004). Few studies exist that have analyzed the fluxes of mature communities subjected to disturbance. In this paper, an old-growth forest under the disturbance was studied by quantifying stem and biomass fluxes.

The old-growth forest in Dinghushan Man and Biosphere (MAB) Reserve was selected as the study site. The forest was strongly affected by an insect, *Thalassodes quadraria* Guene, in the 1980's (Huang, 2000). The biomass and stem fluxes in a 1-ha plot of the old-growth forest were calculated over twelve years (divided into three periods: 1992–1994, 1994–1999 and 1999–2004) based on the concepts described above. The objectives of this study were to address the following questions: (1) if and how the biomass and stem fluxes of old-growth forest change under the disturbance? (2) Are there any differences in biomass and stem fluxes between species? (3) Are there differences in the stem and biomass fluxes between DBH classes? (4) Is there also a difference in stem and biomass fluxes between canopy gaps and closed canopy?

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Forest monitoring plot

The long-term monitoring plot is located in the Dinghushan Man and Biosphere (MAB) Reserve (23°09'21" N–23°11'30" N, 112°30'39" E–112°33'41" E) in Guangdong Province, China. The Reserve covers an area of 1155 ha and has a subtropical monsoon climate. The Reserve was established in 1950 to protect the only remnant of undisturbed natural monsoon evergreen broadleaf forest in the subtropics, and was accepted as the first MAB reserve in China in 1978. It has 2054 recorded native species of higher plants. The annual mean precipitation is 1678 mm, mainly occurring from April to September. The annual mean relative humidity is 78 percent and the annual mean temperature is 22.3°C. Elevation in the Reserve ranges from about 14 m to 1000 m a.s.l. The bedrock is typically sandstone and shale (Zhou et al., 2006).

The forest at this site has not been disturbed by humans for more than 400 years (Zhou et al., 2006). The aboveground community can be divided into five layers: three arbor layers, one shrub layer, and one grass layer. In addition, the aboveground community has many kinds of interlayer plants (liana and epiphytes). The upper canopy is dominated by species with high importance values but by only a few individuals. Evergreen plants are predominant and most often natives of the tropics and subtropics, such as *Castanopsis chinensis*, *Canarium pimela*, *Schima superba*, and *Engelhardtia roxburghiana*. The sub-canopy layer is mainly composed of *Cryptocarya concinna* and *Machilus chinensis* (Zhou et al., 2006).

The long-term monitoring plot in the core area of the Reserve was established in 1982 with an area of 0.2-ha, and was expanded to 1-ha in 1992. The plot was divided into 400 contiguous 5×5 m subplots and numbered permanent stakes were placed at the corners of each subplot (Fig. 2). In 1992, all trees ≥1 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) were tagged, measured, mapped and identified to a species level (a total of 107 species). The plot was re-visited to record deaths, add and tag recruits that attained a DBH of 1 cm and renew measurements of DBH of survivals in 1994, 1999

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

and 2004.

## 2.2 Disturbance and gap formation in the plot

A serious insect disturbance occurred as a biotic factor from 1985 to 2006 in the plot. The disturbance was caused by *Thalassodes quadraria* Guene and significantly influenced the following four species of *Lauraceae*: *Cryptocarya chinensis*, *Cryptocarya concinna*, *Machilus chinensis* and *Lindera chunii*. These four species have been named as insect direct-influenced populations (Huang et al., 1998; Huang, 2000; Peng et al., 2003; Guan et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2005; Peng, 2006; Zhang, 2006). This insect disturbance brought intense fluctuation to the community (Peng, 2006). On the basis of long-term (1983–1990) monitoring, Huang (2000) found that there was a positive feedback relationship between the population dynamics of *Thalassodes quadraria* and the population dynamics of the insect-influenced population. As a feedback, the population dynamics of this insect species caused the structure changes of the plant community (Huang, 2000).

Three gaps in the canopy occurred as abiotic factors in the plot: (1) tree-fall gap: two canopy trees of *Castanopsis chinensis*, which is the only species that composes the top portion of the community's canopy, fell during 1997–1998 (Gap 1; Fig. 2). With the successive death of adjacent trees, the gap was further expanded (Liang, 2001); (2) landslide gaps: two landslides, one prior to 1992 and one in 2002, formed canopy Gap 2 and canopy Gap 3, respectively (Fig. 2).

## 2.3 Population classification

107 species of the plot were classified into three population groups according to disturbance scenarios: (1) *Castanopsis chinensis* population was the only population that composed the top portion of the canopy and was seldom affected by disturbance; (2) insect direct-influenced populations included four species that were directly affected by insects; (3) indirect-influenced populations included all the other species. Among

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

### **Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

indirect-influenced populations, 25 populations were selected based on population flux/community flux  $\geq 1\%$  in order to analyze the flux dynamics of dominant populations (Table 1).

## 2.4 Dataset and calculations

5 Biomass flux for each tree in the four inventories was estimated using regression models proposed by Wen et al. (1997). The sample trees were selected based on the species composition of trees and the distribution of DBH classes. Using the whole harvesting method, the sample trees were harvested in the forest. In order to maintain natural conditions in the long-term monitoring 1-ha plot, the sample trees were harvested within 100 m the plot in areas with the same forest characteristics. The samples were divided into trunk, branch, leaves and roots to calculate the biomass. Taking the DBH as the predictive variable, the regression models were built on the basis of the differences of DBH classes. The four regression models were estimated by DBH classes of the plot:  $DBH \leq 5$  cm,  $5 < DBH \leq 10$  cm,  $10 < DBH \leq 20$  cm and  $DBH > 20$  cm (Wen et al., 1997).

15 The biomass of each tree in the plot in 1992, 1994, 1999 and 2004 were estimated using the regression models. The biomass pool in each year was calculated by summing the total biomass of each tree. Similarly, stem pool in each year was calculated by summing the stem biomass over the total number of trees.

20 Each tree was marked as a recruit, survival or death over each period (1992–1994, 1994–1999 and 1999–2004; hereafter referred to as interval I, II and III). Based on the marker, the stem variation of recruits and deaths in the plot amounted to stem recruitment and stem mortality, respectively (Fig. 1).

25 The change in biomass over each period was calculated based on the marker. If the marker signified a recruit or survival, this amounted to biomass growth. On the other hand, if the marker denoted death, this amounted to biomass mortality (Fig. 1).

Because the stem mortality and recruitment in interval I was small; the stem recruitment, stem mortality and biomass mortality of indirect-influenced populations were not

---

## **Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



calculated during this interval.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Fluxes at the community scale

Biomass and stem pools of the community increased continuously in the first two intervals and decreased in interval III (Table 2). In 1992–2004, the biomass and stem pools decreased by 27.4 ton/ha (t/ha) and 662 Number/ha (N/ha), respectively.

Annual biomass growth of the three periods varied little; the average was  $7.4 \pm 0.9$  t/ha/yr. Whereas the annual biomass mortality increased over the three intervals; 0.2 t/ha/yr, 4.8 t/ha/yr and 18.1 t/ha/yr, respectively (Fig. 3). The biomass growth and mortality in 1992–2004 were 87.6 t/ha and 114.9 t/ha, respectively. As for stem fluxes, annual stem recruitments in the three intervals were 3.5 N/ha/yr, 123.4 N/ha/yr and 251.8 N/ha/yr and annual stem mortality were 1.0 N/ha/yr, 99.0 N/ha/yr and 409.6 N/ha/yr. Stem fluxes expanded in the three periods. The stem recruitment and mortality in the whole census period amounted to 1883 N/ha and 2545 N/ha, respectively. From 1992 to 1999, both annual biomass growth and annual stem recruitment were higher than biomass mortality and stem mortality, therefore both biomass and stem pools had risen. However, the biomass mortality and stem mortality had remarkably exceeded biomass growth and stem recruitment in interval III, which led to significant decreases in the pools.

#### 3.2 Fluxes of *Castanopsis chinensis* population and insect direct-influence populations

The annual biomass growth of *Castanopsis chinensis* population accounted for 24.5%, 46.6% and 35.1% of the total biomass growth of the community in the three periods, respectively (Fig. 4). The annual biomass mortality also accounted for 46.0% and 32.6%

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

### Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

of the total biomass mortality of the community in interval II and III. The results suggest that the *Castanopsis chinensis* population functioned most importantly in the stability of biomass fluxes. There were only several individuals in the community, therefore the stem fluxes of *Castanopsis chinensis* population had little effect on the stem fluxes of the community.

The annual biomass mortality of insect direct-influenced populations was 30.1% in interval II and increased to 61.7% in interval III of the total biomass mortality of the community (Fig. 4). With the increase of annual biomass mortality, the annual biomass growth had reduced from 1.3 t/ha/yr in interval I, to 0.9 t/ha/yr in interval II and to 0.4 t/ha/yr in interval III. Meanwhile, the annual stem mortality of insect direct-influenced populations had increased, which amounted to 22.0% in interval II and 25.8% in interval III of the total stem mortality among the community. The annual stem recruitment decreased with the increase of stem mortality. Thus, the annual biomass and stem fluxes of insect direct-influenced populations indicated an aggravation of insect disturbance in the period.

### 3.3 Fluxes of indirect-influence populations

The biomass and stem fluxes of indirect-influenced populations had similar dynamics in the community except that the differences between biomass growth and mortality were positive in all three periods (Fig. 5). Biomass growth in the three periods was 4.5 t/ha/yr, 3.4 t/ha/yr and 3.8 t/ha/yr, while the biomass mortality was 0 t/ha/yr, 0.6 t/ha/yr and 3.5 t/ha/yr, respectively. The biomass pools of indirect-influenced populations rose in 1992–2004.

#### 3.3.1 Fluxes of dominant populations

The dominant populations in the stem fluxes were primarily composed of the populations with average DBH classes <10 cm, while those in the biomass fluxes were composed of the populations with nearly all average DBH classes ( $1.7 \pm 0.4$  cm to

## **Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

34.3±2.3 cm).

The differences between the dominant populations in the annual biomass and stem fluxes were all remarkable (Fig. 6). The results of stem fluxes were consistent with the observation of others (Sheil and May, 1996; Johnson et al., 2000; Davies, 2001).

5 For biomass fluxes, the annual biomass growth varied from 11.5 to 785.0 kg/ha/yr in interval I, from 13.6 to 850.1 kg/ha/yr in interval II and from 34.6 to 628.9 kg/ha/yr in interval III. The annual biomass mortality ranged from 0 to 142.4 kg/ha/yr in interval II and 7.2 to 695.4 kg/ha/yr in interval III. With the clear differences in biomass fluxes between dominant populations, the significance level of annual biomass growth between interval I and II and between interval II and III were both found at the 0.01 level (Pearson's correlation coefficients of 0.919 and 0.640, respectively). No correlation of annual biomass mortality between interval II and III was found ( $P=0.497$ ).

10 The annual stem recruitment varied from 0.2 to 26.2 N/ha/yr in interval II and from 0.2 to 47.4 N/ha/yr in interval III. The annual biomass mortality ranged from 0 to 142.4 N/ha/yr in interval II and 7.2 to 695.4 N/ha/yr in interval III. The significant correlation of stem recruitment between interval II and III was found at the 0.01 level ( $P=0.936$ ). Simultaneously, a similar relationship of stem mortality was also found at 0.01 level for stem recruitment between interval II and III ( $P=0.978$ ).

### 3.3.2 Fluxes of DBH classes

20 Annual stem mortality decreased with the increase of DBH class (Fig. 7). The annual stem mortality in the DBH classes of 1–10 cm accounted for 97.9% in interval II and 96.0% in interval III of the total stem mortality of the community. Furthermore, the stem mortality and recruitment of each DBH class had both risen in the two periods.

25 The biomass mortality did not show a similar trend to stem mortality. The proportion of annual biomass mortality in 1–10 cm DBH class only accounted to 43.2% in interval II and 28.6% in interval III of the total biomass mortality of the community, suggesting that biomass mortality was not significantly influenced by stem mortality. There were no remarkable dynamics of annual biomass growth in the periods. The maximum annual

---

## **Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



biomass growth were found in different DBH classes for the three periods; 50–100 cm during interval I and 5–10 cm both during interval II and III.

### 3.3.3 Fluxes of subplots

The average stem mortality of each subplot was  $1.0 \pm 1.4$  N in interval II and  $3.8 \pm 3.4$  N in interval III, with maximum of 11 N and 25 N, respectively. The average of stem recruitment was  $1.4 \pm 2.5$  N in interval II and  $3.0 \pm 3.5$  N in interval III, both with a maximum of 23 N.

There were significant variances between the subplots in gaps and the subplots in closed canopy in both stem mortality and recruitment in interval II (Fig. 8; tested by ANOVA,  $p < 0.001$ ). The significant variances were also found in interval III (tested by ANOVA,  $p < 0.001$ ).

The subplots in the different gaps showed different stem fluxes dynamics (Table 3). Firstly, the stem fluxes of subplots in Gap 1 in two of the periods were both significantly higher than the subplots in the closed canopy. Secondly, in Gap 2, the stem recruitment in interval II was highest, while the stem mortality was the lowest. In interval III, the stem fluxes of Gap 2 had the similar variation with Gap 1. Thirdly, the stem mortality of Gap 3 significantly increased in interval III, which resulted from the influence of landslide.

The average annual biomass mortality of each subplot was  $3.6 \pm 28.0$  kg/yr in interval II and  $22.2 \pm 64.2$  kg/yr in interval III, respectively. The average annual biomass growth in the three intervals was  $11.2 \pm 29.0$  kg/yr,  $8.5 \pm 18.4$  kg/yr and  $9.4 \pm 15.6$  kg/yr.

The variance of biomass fluxes between the subplots in gaps and the subplots in closed canopy was only found in interval III (tested by ANOVA,  $p = 0.027$ , Fig. 9).

Compared to the annual biomass growth of Gap 1 in interval I, the annual biomass growth of Gap 1 decreased due to the influence of tree-fall in interval II, while it rapidly increased in interval III (Table 4). The biomass mortality of subplots in Gap 1 was higher than the subplots in closed canopy in both periods. As for Gap 2, the biomass growth of the subplots was always smaller than the subplots in the closed canopy in the three periods, but kept increasing, especially in interval III. The biomass mortality of Gap 2

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



also increased in interval II and III, at higher rates than the growth in biomass. Both the biomass growth and mortality of subplots in Gap 3 were affected by the occurrence of the landslide in interval III.

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Biomass, stem pools and fluxes of the community

In this study, there were similar fluctuations for stem and biomass pools, but the stem and biomass fluxes in the three periods fluctuated in different ways. In the three periods, the biomass growth was stable and the biomass mortality showed a continuous decrease, while both the stem recruitment and stem mortality increased. The old-growth forest had stable stem recruitment and biomass growth in the community fluctuation.

Disturbances such as drought, hurricanes, insect outbreaks or wind (Beard et al., 2005; Mascaro et al., 2005), and physical conditions such as temperature, light and topography, are the two primary factors which affect the temporal fluctuation of pools (Miura and Yamamoto, 2003; Lewis et al., 2004; Phillips et al., 2004). In this study, the fluctuation was affected by both disturbances and physical conditions. From 1992–1999, both biomass and stem fluxes were nearly balanced with the occurrences of insect outbreak and tree-fall. Such a balance reflected the dynamic equilibrium of biomass and stems. From 1999 to 2004, the balances of biomass and stems were broken by both an insect outbreak and the occurrence of a landslide, which caused remarkable increase of stem mortality and biomass mortality. However, stem increment kept increasing and biomass growth kept stable during the progress of disturbance.

### 4.2 Comparisons of the stem recruitment and mortality to other studies

Recruitment and mortality of stems with  $DBH \geq 2.5$  cm in a 60-yr-old tropical moist forest were 9% and 20% during the 10-yr period (Lang and Knight, 1983), which were both

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



significantly lower than those during 1994–2004 in the plot presented here (27% and 45%).

Furthermore, the annual stem recruitment of  $DBH \geq 10$  cm during the three intervals in this study in the Dinghushan MAB Reserve were all within the range of the tropical forest in the Amazon (Table 5; Phillips et al., 2004). However, the annual mortality of stems with  $DBH \geq 10$  cm in interval III was higher than the maximum in the Amazon (Phillips et al., 2004). This result showed that the stem was markedly affected by disturbances.

#### 4.3 The fluxes of indirect-influenced populations

The biomass pool and stem pool in the indirect-influenced populations were divided into several sub-pools by species, DBH classes and subplots in space. Under such classifications, the variations of biomass and stem fluxes among sub-pools would be helpful to understand the contribution of different sub-pools on community fluxes and the relationship between the periods.

The populations with the average  $DBH < 10$  cm dominated the stem fluxes among the indirect-influenced populations. Furthermore, higher stem mortality rates were also found in the DBH classes of 1–10 cm. This result in the sub-tropical forest is consistent with the results found in other tropical forests and boreal forests, where stem flux was commonly found in  $DBH < 10$  cm (Sheil and May, 1996; Lawson et al., 1999).

The dominant populations in the biomass fluxes were composed of the populations in nearly all average DBH classes ( $1.7 \pm 0.4$  cm to  $34.3 \pm 2.3$  cm). Furthermore, the biomass fluxes in different DBH classes were not significantly affected by the stem fluxes. There were different causes for biomass growth and mortality. The biomass growth was composed of two parts, namely, the increments of biomass of all surviving trees and that of the newly recruited trees. Although there was higher stem recruitment in DBH classes of 1–10 cm, the surviving trees in bigger DBH classes still contributed more to the biomass growth. Thus, the distribution of biomass growth for DBH classes was not highly influenced by higher stem recruitment. As for mortality, the stem mortal-

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ity of DBH classes >10 cm is remarkably smaller than DBH classes of 1–10 cm (Sheil and May, 1996). However, the biomass storage of one dead tree increases with larger DBH. Accordingly, DBH classes >10 cm, which had smaller stem mortality, actually had larger biomass mortality.

Spatially, the subplots in the gaps showed significantly higher stem fluxes than those in closed canopy; meanwhile the higher biomass accumulation rates were also found in the subplots of gaps after the gap formation. It suggests that stem fluxes were greater in gaps compared to those in non-gaps and growth rates of saplings were relatively high. This result was consistent with studies in tropical forests (Lang and Knight, 1983; Uhl et al., 1988; Hubbell et al., 1999; Battles and Fahey, 2000). Furthermore, there were also flux differences between gaps. Biomass and stem fluxes in Gap 1 were always greater than in Gap 2. This result was probably due to the different gap forming modes, for instance, tree-fall gaps formed high nutrient pools in surface soils from large masses of fresh litter from the fallen trees (Denslow et al., 1998).

## 5 Conclusions

The community fluctuation of the old-growth forest was influenced by a biotic factor and an abiotic factor. The old-growth forest had stable stem recruitment and biomass growth in the community fluctuation. The stem fluxes and biomass mortality of the community were significantly affected by disturbance, while the biomass growth appeared not to be greatly affected. *Castanopsis chinensis* population functioned most importantly in the stability of biomass fluxes. Insect direct-influenced populations were seriously influenced by disturbance. The biomass and stem fluxes of indirect-influenced populations showed the spatial and temporal variations in dominant species, DBH classes and subplots. The gaps due to tree fall and landslides had remarkably higher stem fluxes than those in closed canopy. The biomass growth rate in gaps increased remarkably after the formation of the gaps. During the disturbances, the overall community function remained stable in the old-growth forest.

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

*Acknowledgements.* This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 40730102 and 30725006).

## References

- Battles, J. J. and Fahey, T. J.: Gap dynamics following forest decline: a case study of red spruce forests, *Ecol. Appl.*, 10, 760–774, 2000.
- 5 Beard, K. H., Vogt, K. A., Vogt, D. J., Scatena, F. N., Covich, A. P., Sigurdardottrir, R., Siccama, T. G., and Crowl, T. A.: Structural and functional responses of a subtropical forest to 10 years of hurricanes and droughts, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 75, 345–361, 2005.
- Chapin III, F. S., Matson, P. A., and Mooney, H. A.: *Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology*, 1st, ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 281–304, 2002.
- 10 Davies, S. J.: Tree mortality and growth in 11 sympatric Macaranga species in Borneo, *Ecology*, 82, 920–932, 2001.
- Denslow, J. S., Ellison, A. M., and Sanford, R. E.: Treefall gap size effects on above- and below-ground processes in a tropical wet forest, *J. Ecol.*, 86, 597–609, 1998.
- 15 Elderd, B. and Doak, D. F.: Comparing the direct and community-mediated effects of disturbance on plant population dynamics: flooding, herbivory and *Mimulus guttatus*, *J. Ecol.*, 94, 656–669, 2006.
- Glenn-Lewin, D. C., Peet, R. K., and Veblen, T. T.: *Plant Succession: Theory and Prediction*, Chapman & Hall, London, UK, 23–28, 1992.
- 20 Guan, L., Zhou, G., Zhang, D., Liu, J., and Zhang, Q.: Twenty years of litter fall dynamics in subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forests at the Dinghushan forest ecosystem research station, *Acta Phytocologica Sinica*, 28, 449–456, 2004 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Huang, Z., Kong, G., and Wei, P.: Plant species diversity dynamics in Dinghu Mountain forests, *Chinese Biodiversity*, 6, 116–121, 1998 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- 25 Huang, Z. L.: The interactions of population dynamics of *Thalassodes quadraria* and the plant community structure and climate factors in Dinghushan, *Chinese Journal of Ecology*, 19, 24–27, 2000 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hubbell, S. P., Foster, R. B., O'Brien, S. T., Harms, K. E., Condit, R., Wechsler, B., Wright, S. J., and de Lao, S. L.: Light-gap disturbances, recruitment limitation, and tree diversity in a neotropical forest, *Science*, 283, 554–557, 1999.
- 30

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Johnson, C. M., Zarin, D. J., and Johnson, A. H.: Post-disturbance aboveground biomass accumulation in global secondary forests, *Ecology*, 81, 1395–1401, 2000.
- Lang, G. E. and Knight, D. H.: Tree growth, mortality, recruitment, and canopy gap formation during a 10-year period in tropical moist forest, *Ecology*, 64, 1075–1080, 1983.
- 5 Lawson, D., Inouye, R. S., Huntly, N., and Carson, W. P.: Patterns of woody plant abundance, recruitment, mortality and growth in a 65 year chronosequence of old-fields, *Plant Ecol.*, 145, 267–279, 1999.
- Lewis, S. L., Phillips, O. L., Baker, T. R., Lloyd, J., Malhi, Y., Almeida, S., Higuchi, N., Laurance, W. F., Neill, D. A., Silva, J. N. M., Terborgh, J., Lezama, A. T., Vasquez Martinez, R., Brown, S., Chave, J., Kuebler, C., Nunes Vargas, P., and Vinceti, B.: Concerted changes in tropical forest structure and dynamics: evidence from 50 South American long-term plots, *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B.*, 359, 421–436, 2004.
- 10 Liang, X.: Study on gap habit changes and species ecophysiological responses in Dinghushan, China, Master's dissertation of South China institute of botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1–97, 2001 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Mascaro, J., Perfecto, I., Barros, O., Boucher, D. H., de la Cerda, I. G., Ruiz, J., and Vandermeer, J.: Aboveground biomass accumulation in a tropical wet forest in Nicaragua following a catastrophic hurricane disturbance, *Biotropica*, 37, 600–608, 2005.
- Miura, M. and Yamamoto, S. I.: Effects of sprouting and canopy states on the structure and dynamics of a *Castanopsis cuspidata* var. *sieboldii* sapling population in an old-growth evergreen broad-leaved forest, *Forest. Ecol. Manag.*, 183, 387–400, 2003.
- 20 Peng, L.: Changes in interspecific association of the climatic climax vegetation from 1984 to 2006 in Dinghushan, Guangdong, China, *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 26, 3732–3739, 2006 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- 25 Peng, S., Huang, Z., Zhou, G., Zhou, X., Zhang, C., and He, W.: Gap formation characteristics and its effects on sapling composition and diversity in Dinghushan biosphere reserve, *Journal of Tropical and Subtropical Botany*, 11, 229–235, 2003 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Phillips, O. L., Baker, T. R., Arroyo, L., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Lewis, S. L., Lloyd, J., Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., Neill, D. A., Nunez Vargas, P., Silva, J. N. M., Terborgh, J., Vasquez Martinez, R., Alexiades, M., Almeida, S., Brown, S., Chave, J., Comiskey, J. A., Czimczik, C. I., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T., Kuebler, C., Laurance, S. G., Nascimento, H. E. M., Olivier, J., Palacios, W., Patino, S., Pitman, N. C. A., Quesada, C. A., Saldias, M., Torres Lezama, A., and Vinceti, B.: Pattern and process in Amazon tree turnover, 1976–2001,

---

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**S. Liu et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B., 359, 381–407, 2004.
- Rydgren, K., Økland, R. H., and Hestmark, G.: Disturbance severity and community resilience in a boreal forest, *Ecology*, 85, 1906–1915, 2004.
- Sheil, D. and May, R. M.: Mortality and recruitment rate evaluations in heterogeneous tropical forests, *J. Ecol.*, 84, 91–100, 1996.
- Shi, J., Huang, Z., Yi, W., Ouyang, X., and Zhou, X.: Dynamics of *Erythrophleum Fordii* community and conservation strategies, *Journal of Northwest Forestry University*, 20, 65–69, 2005 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Stephenson, N. L. and van Mantgem, P. J.: Forest turnover rates follow global and regional patterns of productivity, *Ecol. Lett.*, 8, 524–531, 2005.
- Tappeiner, J. C., Huffman, D., Marshall, D., Spies, T. A., and Bailey, J. D.: Density, ages, and growth rates in old-growth and young-growth forests in coastal Oregon, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 27, 638–648, 1997.
- Uhl, C., Clark, K., Dezseo, N., and Maquirino, P.: Vegetation dynamics in Amazonian treefall gaps, *Ecology*, 69, 751–763, 1988.
- Wen, D., Wei, P., Kong, G., Zhang, Q., and Huang, Z.: Biomass study of the community of *Castanopsis chinensis* + *Schima superba* + *Cryptocarya concinna* in a Southern China Reserve, *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 17, 497–504, 1997 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, C., Huang, Z., Shi, J., Li, L., Wei, S., and Li, J.: Dynamics and causes of woody plant death in the monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest in Dinghushan Nature Reserve, *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 26, 2457–2462, 2006 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhou, G., Liu, S., Li, Z., Zhang, D., Tang, X., Zhou, C, Yan, J., and Mo, J.: Old-growth forests can accumulate carbon in soils, *Science*, 314, p. 1417, 2006.
- Zhou, X., Huang, Z., Ouyang, X., Li, J., Guan, L., Xu, G., and Zhang, C.: Succession of the original *Castanopsis chinensis* – *Cryptocarya chinensis* – *Schima superba* community of monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest in Dinghushan Nature Reserve, *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 25, 37–44, 2005 (in Chinese with English abstract).

**BGD**

6, 2723–2753, 2009

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 1.** *Castanopsis chinensis* population, insect direct-influenced populations and dominant populations of indirect-influenced populations. DBH is the average of 1992, 1994, 1999 and 2004 and the standard deviation is also provided. A code is only provided for the dominant populations among the indirect-influenced populations. The code correlates to the population code used in Fig. 6.

Populations	Family	DBH (cm)	Code
<i>Castanopsis chinensis</i>	<i>Fagaceae</i>	76.4±1.5	–
<i>Machilus chinensis</i>	<i>Lauraceae</i>	18.0±1.4	–
<i>Cryptocarya chinensis</i>	<i>Lauraceae</i>	13.5±0.5	–
<i>Cryptocarya concinna</i>	<i>Lauraceae</i>	9.5±3.7	–
<i>Lindera chunii</i>	<i>Lauraceae</i>	4.1±0.0	–
<i>Engelhardia roxburghiana</i>	<i>Juglandaceae</i>	34.3±2.3	I01
<i>Schima superba</i>	<i>Theaceae</i>	32.8±1.8	I02
<i>Pygeum topengii</i>	<i>Rosaceae</i>	18.9±1.9	I03
<i>Gironniera subaequalis</i>	<i>Ulmaceae</i>	10.9±1.1	I04
<i>Caryota ochlandra</i>	<i>Palmae</i>	9.5±0.6	I05
<i>Acmena acuminatissima</i>	<i>Myrtaceae</i>	9.3±0.3	I06
<i>Canarium album</i>	<i>Burseraceae</i>	7.0±1.2	I07
<i>Meliosma rigida</i>	<i>Sabiaceae</i>	6.7±1.0	I08
<i>Pterospermum acerifolium</i>	<i>Sterculiaceae</i>	6.6±0.5	I09
<i>Mallotus paniculatus</i>	<i>Euphorbiaceae</i>	5.5±1.6	I10
<i>Sarcosperma laurinum</i>	<i>Sapotaceae</i>	5.0±0.6	I11
<i>Syzygium rehderianum</i>	<i>Myrtaceae</i>	4.9±0.6	I12

## Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 1.** Continued.

Populations	Family	DBH	Code
<i>Aporusa yunnanensis</i>	<i>Euphorbiaceae</i>	4.8±0.3	I13
<i>Schefflera octophylla</i>	<i>Araliaceae</i>	4.8±0.2	I14
<i>Ficus esquiroliana</i>	<i>Moraceae</i>	4.6±0.6	I15
<i>Xanthophyllum hainanense</i>	<i>Polygalaceae</i>	4.6±0.3	I16
<i>Mallotus apelta</i>	<i>Euphorbiaceae</i>	3.6±0.7	I17
<i>Macaranga sampsonii</i>	<i>Euphorbiaceae</i>	3.6±1.1	I18
<i>Ardisia quinquegona</i>	<i>Myrsinaceae</i>	2.7±0.2	I19
<i>Mischocarpus pentapetalus</i>	<i>Sapindaceae</i>	2.6±0.5	I20
<i>Psychotria rubra</i>	<i>Rubiaceae</i>	2.4±0.2	I21
<i>Ormosia glaberrima</i>	<i>Leguminosae</i>	2.4±0.3	I22
<i>Aidia canthioides</i>	<i>Rubiaceae</i>	2.4±0.2	I23
<i>Blastus cochinchinensis</i>	<i>Melastomataceae</i>	1.7±0.0	I24
<i>Evodia leptota</i>	<i>Rutaceae</i>	1.7±0.4	I25

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

**Table 2.** Fluctuations of biomass and stem pools of the community.

Year	Biomass ( $t \times ha^{-1}$ )	Stem ( $N \times ha^{-1}$ )
1992	317.8	4 338
1994	332.7	4 343
1999	349.1	4 465
2004	290.4	3 676

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

**Table 3.** Stem fluxes of subplots in canopy gaps and closed canopy for intervals II and III.

Position	Number	Stem recruitment (N)		Stem mortality (N)	
		Interval II	Interval III	Interval II	Interval III
Subplot in Gap 1	28	3.3±5.0	5.9±5.3	2.1±1.7	4.3±5.1
Subplot in Gap 2	24	6.2±4.5	5.8±3.8	0.3±0.8	4.1±3.1
Subplot in Gap 3	12	0.3±0.7	0.3±0.7	1.0±0.7	7.8±2.6
Subplot in closed canopy	336	1.0±1.4	2.6±3.1	0.9±1.4	3.6±3.2

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

**Table 4.** Biomass fluxes of subplots in canopy gaps and in the closed canopy.

Position	Biomass growth ( $\text{kg}\times\text{yr}^{-1}$ )			Biomass mortality ( $\text{kg}\times\text{yr}^{-1}$ )	
	Interval I	Interval II	Interval III	Interval II	Interval III
Subplots in Gap 1	9.0±13.4	8.4±12.1	17.0±22.5	5.8±9.8	23.4±67.0
Subplots in Gap 2	3.3±5.0	4.0±3.1	8.1±6.6	4.3±20.4	17.6±32.0
Subplots in Gap 3	8.6±10.6	5.0±5.6	2.8±5.9	1.2±3.4	59.4±76.4
Subplots in closed canopy	12.1±31.2	9.0±19.7	9.1±15.4	3.4±29.9	21.1±65.0

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

**Table 5.** The percent of stem motality and stem recruitment of the monitoring standard of  $DBH \geq 10$  cm in Dinghushan MAB Reserve.

	Interval I	Interval II	Interval III
Stem mortality	0.0%	1.6%	7.6%
Stem recruitment	2.4%	1.7%	4.1%

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.



**Fig. 1.** Diagram for calculating stem and biomass fluxes.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 2.** Subplots in the 1-ha long-term monitoring plot in Dinghushan Man and Biosphere Reserve, Guangdong Province, China. Each subplot is 5 m×5 m. Dark area, dark gray area, light gray area and hatched area refer to closed canopy, Gap 1 (tree fall gap), Gap 2 (landslide prior to 1992) and Gap 3 (2002 landslide), respectively.

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.




**Fig. 3.** Biomass fluxes (A) and stem fluxes (B) of the community.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.



**Fig. 4.** (A) Biomass fluxes of *Castanopsis chinensis* population, (B) biomass fluxes of insect direct-influenced populations and (C) stem fluxes of insect direct-influenced populations.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.



**Fig. 5.** Biomass and stem fluxes of indirect-influenced populations as indicated by **(A)** biomass fluxes and **(B)** stem fluxes.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.



**Fig. 6.** Biomass and stem fluxes of each dominant population as indicated by **(A)** biomass growth, **(B)** biomass mortality, **(C)** stem recruitment and **(D)** stem mortality.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify biomass fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.



**Fig. 7.** Biomass and stem fluxes of each DBH class (cm) as indicated by **(A)** biomass growth, **(B)** biomass mortality, **(C)** stem recruitment and **(D)** stem mortality.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.




**Fig. 8.** Filled contour maps of stem fluxes (N) for each subplot as indicated by **(A)** stem recruitment in interval II, **(B)** stem recruitment in interval III, **(C)** stem mortality in interval II and **(D)** stem mortality in interval III.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass and stem fluxes to quantify fluctuations of an old-growth forest**

S. Liu et al.



**Fig. 9.** Filled contour maps for biomass fluxes ( $\text{kg} \times \text{yr}^{-1}$ ) of each subplot as indicated by **(A)** biomass growth in interval I, **(B)** biomass growth in interval II, **(C)** biomass growth in interval III, **(D)** biomass mortality in interval II and **(E)** biomass mortality in interval III.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)