

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG).  
Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Seasonal variations in metallic mercury (Hg<sup>0</sup>) vapor exchange over biannual wheat – corn rotation cropland in the North China Plain

J. Sommar<sup>1</sup>, W. Zhu<sup>1,a</sup>, L. Shang<sup>1</sup>, C.-J. Lin<sup>1,2,3</sup>, and X. B. Feng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Lamar University, Beaumont, TX 77710, USA

<sup>3</sup>College of Environment and Energy, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

<sup>a</sup>now at: Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden

Received: 10 September 2015 – Accepted: 16 September 2015 – Published: 30 September 2015

Correspondence to: J. Sommar (jonas@mail.gyig.ac.cn) and X. B. Feng (fengxinbin@vip.skleg.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

Air-surface gas exchange of  $\text{Hg}^0$  was measured in five approximately bi-weekly campaigns (in total 87 days) over a wheat-corn rotation cropland located in the North China Plain using the relaxed eddy accumulation (REA) technique. The campaigns were separated over duration of a full year period (201–2013) aiming to capture the flux pattern over essential growing stages of the planting system with a low homogeneous topsoil Hg content ( $\sim 45 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$ ). Contrasting pollution regimes influenced air masses at the site and corresponding  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration means ( $3.3$  in late summer to  $6.2 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  in winter) were unanimously above the typical hemispheric background of  $1.5\text{--}1.7 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  during the campaigns. Extreme values in bi-directional net  $\text{Hg}^0$  exchange were primarily observed during episodes of peaking  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations. In tandem with under-canopy chamber measurements, the above-canopy REA measurements provided evidence for a balance between  $\text{Hg}^0$  ground emissions and uptake of  $\text{Hg}^0$  by the developed canopies. During the wheat growing season covering  $\sim 2/3$  of the year at the site, net field-scale  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission was prevailing for periods of active plant growth until canopy senescence (mean flux:  $20.0 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) disclosing the dominance of  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil efflux during warmer seasons. In the final vegetative stage of corn and wheat, ground and above-canopy  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux displayed inversed daytime courses with a near mid-day maximum (emission) and minimum (deposition) respectively. In contrast to wheat,  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake of the corn canopy at this stage offset ground  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions with additional removal of  $\text{Hg}^0$  from the atmosphere. Differential uptake of  $\text{Hg}^0$  between wheat ( $\text{C}_3$  species) and corn ( $\text{C}_4$  species) foliage is discernible from estimated  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux (per leaf area) and Hg content in mature cereal leaves being a factor of  $> 3$  higher for wheat (at  $\sim 120 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$  dry weight). Furthermore, this study shows that intermittent flood irrigation of the air-dry field induced a short pulse of  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission due to displacement of  $\text{Hg}^0$  present in the surface soil horizon. A more lingering effect of flood irrigation is however suppressed  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil emissions, which for wet soil ( $\sim 30 \%$ -vol) beneath the corn canopy was on an average a factor of  $\sim 3$  lower than that for drier soil ( $< 10 \%$ -vol) within wheat stands.

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Extrapolation of the campaign  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux data (mean:  $7.1 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) to the whole year suggests the wheat-corn rotation cropland a net source of atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$ . The observed magnitude of annual wet deposition flux ( $\sim 8.8 \mu\text{g Hg m}^{-2}$ ) accounted for a minor fraction of soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  evasion flux prevailing over the majority of year. Therefore, we suggest that dry deposition of other forms of airborne Hg constitutes the dominant pathway of Hg input to this local ecosystem and that these deposited forms would be gradually transformed and re-emitted as  $\text{Hg}^0$  rather than being sequestered here. In addition, after crop harvesting, the practice of burning agricultural residue with considerable Hg content rather than straw return management yields seasonally substantial atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions from croplands in the NCP region.

## 1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is an important environmental contaminant because of its cyclic transport between air, water, soil and biosphere and its tendency to bioaccumulate in the environment as neurotoxic mono-methylated ( $\text{CH}_3\text{Hg}$ -) compounds (Driscoll et al., 2013). While assessments of Hg burden in environmental compartments are rather concordant, the fluxes between them are less well constrained (Selin, 2009) which specifically concern land ecosystem-atmosphere exchange of  $\text{Hg}^0$  (Zhang et al., 2012). Hg in the biosphere is derived primarily from atmospheric deposition (Grigal, 2003), where foliar uptake of  $\text{Hg}^0$  has been recognized as a principal pathway for atmospheric Hg to enter terrestrial ecosystems (Frescholtz et al., 2003; Niu et al., 2011; Obrist, 2007). In turn, the availability of soil (inorganic) mercury to aerial parts of terrestrial plants is generally low and the uptake is mainly retained in the root zone (Cavallini et al., 1999; Meng et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2014). Accumulated Hg in foliage is transferred to soil reservoirs via plant detritus (St. Louis et al., 2001) or may partially be released back to the atmosphere (Bash and Miller, 2009). In addition, Hg may enter the foliage by recycling processes releasing  $\text{Hg}^0$  from underlying soil surfaces (Millhollen et al., 2006). In review (Sommar et al., 2013a), a majority of re-

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ported  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measurements over terrestrial soils indicate net emission in warmer seasons and near-zero fluxes at cold temperatures. Soil-air  $\text{Hg}^0$  exchange is controlled by numerous factors including physico-chemical properties of and abiotic/ biotic processes in the soil, meteorological conditions and atmospheric composition (Bahlmann et al., 2006; Carpi and Lindberg, 1997; Engle et al., 2005; Fritsche et al., 2008a; Gustin, 2011; Mauclair et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008). For bare low  $\text{Hg}$ -containing soils, Briggs and Gustin (2013) proposed a conceptual model in that the soil moisture regimes largely dictates the level of  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux. The presence of vegetation has effect on the  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux from ground surfaces by modifying soil moisture by evapotranspiration as well as reducing light penetration, soil temperature and air mixing.

At landscape scale,  $\text{Hg}^0$  net exchange measurements may be made directly, using micrometeorological (MM) methods above vegetation canopies (Bash and Miller, 2009; Baya and Van Heyst, 2010; Cobos et al., 2002; Converse et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2005; Fritsche et al., 2008b; Kim et al., 1995; Marsik et al., 2005; Sommar et al., 2013b). As for numerous trace gases (Fowler et al., 2009), the exchange fluxes of  $\text{Hg}^0$  vary in sign and magnitude (bi-directional exchange). From MM-flux measurements covering larger temporal scales, it is inferred that vegetated ecosystems can represent both a source and a sink for  $\text{Hg}^0$  over shorter or longer periods, depending on the atmospheric concentration, meteorology, substrates, climate conditions and plant community composition (Bash and Miller, 2009; Converse et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2000). However, related  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measurements over managed ecosystems, such as croplands, are sparse and only at best seasonally resolved (Baya and Van Heyst, 2010). Broader seasonal flux data sets are desirable, since the annual net  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux over an ecosystem may represent a subtle balance between opposing processes (Lee et al., 2000). The assessment of local  $\text{Hg}$  balances in agricultural regions is challenging, since during a year, very different and contrasted conditions are observed from fallow period to the maximum development of crop. The foliar uptake of  $\text{Hg}^0$  by major staple grain crops has been studied at low-moderate (Niu et al., 2011) and at high exposure treatments of  $\text{Hg}^0$  vapor (Browne and Fang, 1978; Du and Fang, 1982). The early work



**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

gate  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake or emission from crop vegetation and soil, we have conducted broad seasonal measurements of field-scale  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux at three sites in distinctively different agricultural regions of China with varying level of Hg content in agricultural soil. All the selected sites were located in a rural environment without discernible adjacent anthropogenic Hg point sources. The well-characterized and typical rotation croplands investigated include either paddy or dry land cultivation only or a combination thereof over a year. We focus on the four cash crops (rice, wheat, corn, and oilseed rape) accounting for the bulk of the planting area in Mainland China. In order to determine the origin of fluxes, we combined large-scale above-canopy MM-method flux techniques with small-scale automated DFC measurement at the canopy-floor in the field experiments. In this paper, we report on  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measurements in and above a farm field growing winter wheat – summer corn in rotation during five campaigns (overall 87 sampling days) over the period May, 2012 to April, 2013. The site is located in central North China Plain (NCP, between 32–40° N and 114–121° E), which is considered as China's granary (covering about 180 000 km<sup>2</sup> of farm lands) with about half and a third of the national wheat and corn production respectively (NBSC, 1998). Besides being a major agricultural base, the NCP region is heavily populated and industrialized and suffers from serious particulate and photochemical air pollution (Wang et al., 2014c, 2015). Forthcoming communications will deal with characteristics of  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes measured over subtropical croplands growing either oilseed rape - rice in rotation or rice as a single crop. Jointly, in these papers, we are presenting growing and non-growing season  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux patterns and diurnal features. In addition, we address the role of crop vegetation as a source/sink of  $\text{Hg}^0$  based on an analysis of the measured difference between above-canopy and ground  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes. We also attempt to address the impact of field management activities (e.g. harvest, tillering and irrigation) and abrupt changes in environmental conditions (e.g. intensive precipitation) on  $\text{Hg}^0$  gas exchange.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Site description

The experimental site Yucheng Comprehensive Experimental Station (YCES, 36°57' N, 116°36' E, managed by the Chinese Academy of Sciences) is located in an alluvial plain in the lower reaches of Yellow River, Shandong province, China. There is a typical crop rotation of winter wheat and summer corn in the region without fallow between the crops. The annual mean air temperature and precipitation depth was  $12.9 \pm 0.8$  °C and  $528 \pm 197$  mm respectively for 2003–2012 (Bao et al., 2014). Due to the East Asia monsoon, the precipitation pattern is largely asymmetric with 60–70 % of the total concentrated to July–August. The wheat-growing season (mean length:  $237 \pm 8$  days) is characterized as dry, windy and with less precipitation ( $108 \pm 238$  mm) whereas the corn-growing season (mean length:  $107 \pm 7$  days) is generally categorized as semi-humid and warm temperate. The upper texture of farmland soil is a silty loam with a volumetric soil water content at field capacity of  $0.44 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$  (Li et al., 2010). In the tillage layer, soil organic content is 1.21 % and pH value is about 7.9 (Tong et al., 2014). Especially for winter wheat, precipitation does not meet crop water demand, so the cropland is flood irrigated using well water during the pre-frost, jointing, and shooting stages of wheat and prior to planting corn (typically  $\sim 100$  mm per turn). For the period of this field study (May 2012 to April 2013, Fig. 1), harvest and sowing date of wheat was on 24 June and 11 October, respectively. In turn, corn was planted on June, 28 with a density at  $65\,000$  plants  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  and harvested on 5 October. Row spacing was  $\sim 25$  cm and direction was north-south. The total Hg (THg) content in surface soils was uniform across the measurement fetch (mean:  $45 \pm 3$   $\text{ng g}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 29$ , Sommar et al., 2013b).

### Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I ◀](#)[▶ I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## 2.2 Micrometeorological flux measurements and calculations

The site was in the center of a flat  $\sim 15$  ha grain field and a minimum fetch length of at least 130 m in all directions (Sommar et al., 2013b). From a 6.5 m high flux tower erected permanently over a year-long period, MM flux measurements were conducted.

5 Sensible heat ( $H^{EC}$ ), latent heat ( $\lambda E^{EC}$ ) and  $CO_2$  fluxes ( $F_{CO_2}^{EC}$ ) were measured by the eddy covariance (EC) method using the instrumentation and protocol described in Sommar et al. (2013b) and Zhu et al. (2015a, b). In order to diminish frequency response errors, the EC sensor height was adjusted over time (2.1–4.2 m) to keep a relative height between sensors and the canopy of at least  $\sim 1.5$  m during a campaign  
10 (Burba, 2013). The frequency response of the sensor placement over canopy was investigated by spectral analysis of selected 10 Hz turbulence time series. Analogous to reported in Sommar et al. (2013b), there was over time little contribution from small eddies occurring above 5 Hz and instrumentation produced in general co-spectra similar to the references (Kaimal et al., 1972).

15 Up to now, fast high precision detectors for direct background  $Hg^0$  flux measurements by the preferred EC method are not available. A principal alternative flux measurement approach is the relaxed eddy accumulation (REA) technique (Businger and Oncley, 1990). As in EC, REA measurements is performed at a single point above the surface, but the detector required in EC is substituted by fast response sampling valves. The inlet of an  $Hg^0$ -REA-system was installed at the same height as the EC sensors,  
20 with a horizontal displacement distance to the EC sensors of 20 cm. The design and operation of the whole-air  $Hg^0$ -REA system used in this study has been described in detail by Sommar et al. (2013b). The REA-system was specifically adapted to an automatic  $Hg^0$  vapor analyzer (Model 2537B, Tekran Instruments Inc.) to measure fluxes and concentrations of  $Hg^0$ . In this system, upward and downward moving air created  
25 from eddies in the air column are sampled and separated into reservoirs by the sampling valves. Updraft and downdraft sampling conditions are dictated by  $w > \bar{w} + 0.3\bar{\sigma}_w$  and  $w < \bar{w} - 0.3\bar{\sigma}_w$  respectively, where  $\bar{w}$  is the 5-minute running average of  $w$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_w$

**BGD**

12, 16105–16158, 2015

### Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



is standard deviation of  $w$  over the same interval.  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux ( $F^{\text{REA}}$ ) is determined over 20 min sampling intervals following:

$$F^{\text{REA}} = \beta_{T_s} \cdot \sigma_w \cdot \underbrace{(\overline{C^\uparrow} - \overline{C^\downarrow})}_{\Delta C_{\text{REA}}} \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma_w$  ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) is the standard deviation of  $w$ ,  $\overline{C^\uparrow}$  and  $\overline{C^\downarrow}$  are the average mass concentration of  $\text{Hg}^0$  (at standard temperature and pressure) from updraft and downdraft samples corrected for dilution by zero air injection, respectively ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ). In turn, the empirical dimensionless parameter  $\beta_{T_s}$  was calculated on-line for each averaging period (20 min) according to:

$$\beta_{T_s} = \overline{w'T'_s} / \left[ \sigma_w \cdot \left( \overline{T_s^\uparrow} - \overline{T_s^\downarrow} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

where  $T_s$  is temperature measured by the sonic anemometer of the EC system (K),  $\overline{w'T'_s}$  is the kinematic buoyancy flux derived from EC ( $\text{K m s}^{-1}$ ) and  $\overline{T_s^\uparrow} - \overline{T_s^\downarrow}$  ( $\Delta T_{s,\text{REA}}$ ) is the average  $T_s$  difference in between up- and downdraft samples (K). If the on-line calculated  $\beta_{T_s}$  deviated outside a  $\pm 0.2$  interval of the median, the overall median value (0.46) was implemented in Eq. (1).

Bulk canopy conductance for water vapor,  $g_c$  ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), was estimated using a rearranged form of the Penman–Monteith equation (Dengel and Grace, 2010):

$$g_c = \left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta}{\gamma} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{H^{\text{EC}}}{\lambda E^{\text{EC}}} \right) - 1 \right] \cdot (1/g_a) + \left( \frac{\rho_a \cdot c_p}{\gamma} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{D_a}{\lambda E^{\text{EC}}} \right) \right\}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the rate of the increase of saturation vapor pressure with air temperature ( $\text{kPa K}^{-1}$ ),  $\gamma$  the psychrometric constant ( $\text{kPa K}^{-1}$ ),  $g_a$  is the aerodynamic conductance ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ),  $\rho_a$  the density of dry air ( $\text{mol m}^{-3}$ ),  $c_p$  the specific heat of air ( $\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ ),

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



$D_a$  the water vapor saturation deficit (kPa). Aerodynamic conductance was estimated following Thom (1975):

$$g_a = \kappa^2 \cdot u / [\ln((z - d) / z_0)]^2 \quad (4)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the von Kármán constant (0.41), and  $u$  is the wind speed measured at height  $z$  ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ).  $z_0$  is the surface roughness ( $= 0.15 \times h_c$ , where  $h_c$  is the mean canopy height) and  $d$  the zero plane displacement ( $= 0.67 \times h_c$ ). In dry, daytime conditions most of the water vapor flux  $E^{\text{EC}}$  would derive from stomata, with only minor contributions from soil and leaf surface evaporation. Consequently, we only choose daytime conditions (global radiation  $> 100 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) without the presence of a wet canopy to calculate  $g_c$  as a proxy for canopy stomatal conductance. Most of the data were collected under favorable weather conditions without rainfall and with sufficient global radiation. However, as only a limited set of  $g_c$  data was obtained from the winter campaign due to wetness constraints and instrumentation malfunction, these data were not included in any further evaluation (cf. Table 1).

### 2.3 Ancillary measurements

The REA-EC instrumentation was accompanied by an automatic weather station (HOBO U30-NRC, Onset Computer Corp., USA) equipped with sensors for bulk air (temperature and humidity), surface soil (temperature, volumetric moisture content) parameters and leaf wetness as well as sensors for global radiation (300–1100 nm) and photo-synthetically active radiation (PAR, 400–700 nm), respectively. The weather station stored data as 20 min averages with the same time interval as the flux measurements. Crop leaf area index (LAI) was measured using an area meter (LI-3100, LI-COR Biosciences) weekly during the growing season.  $h_c$  was recorded at the same time interval. For the measurement campaigns with developed canopies (1–3; See Table 1), concurrent measurements of both above-canopy  $\text{Hg}^0$  net exchange by the REA-system as well as canopy-floor air-soil exchange by a dynamic flux chamber (DFC, Lin et al.,

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2012) were conducted with 20 min time resolution. The set-up and operation of the automatic DFC system has been described elsewhere (Zhu et al., 2015a). Measurement results of air-soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux are briefly provided here in connection with the discussion of MM-derived  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes. Corn and wheat foliage samples were collected at harvest stage and analyzed for THg content. Event based Hg wet deposition and precipitation amounts were measured at an adjacent site  $\sim 400$  m N of the field site investigated. Methodological details concerning collection and Hg analysis of foliage and precipitation samples have been described elsewhere (Zhou et al., 2013).

## 2.4 Post-processing, correction methods and quality assessment of flux data

10 Hz EC flux raw data were post-processed and quality-controlled using the open-source EddyPro 5.0 flux analysis software package (LI-COR Biosciences Inc.). A series of standard data corrections were implemented described in (Zhu et al., 2015a). Tests were applied on every 20 min fast time series raw data to qualitatively assess turbulence for the assumptions required (steady-state conditions and the fulfillment of similarity conditions) for applying MM (e.g. EC and REA methods). Following the basic system of Mauder and Foken (2004) the resulting flux was marked with a quality flag (either 0, 1 or 2 denoting high, moderate and low quality, respectively).

The REA-system enabled a mode (reference sampling) during which air is sampled synchronously with both conditional inlets (with the dynamic deadband as a threshold). Regularly during the field campaigns (every 72 h), the REA system was operated in reference sampling mode to correct for minor bias between the conditional channels in Eq. (1) following Sommar et al. (2013b).

In turn, the relative uncertainty in the REA flux ( $\sigma_{F_{\text{REA}}}/F^{\text{REA}}$ ) was quantified following Kramm et al. (1999):

$$\sigma_{F_{\text{REA}}}/F^{\text{REA}} = \pm \sqrt{(\sigma_{H^{\text{EC}}}/H^{\text{EC}})^2 + (\sigma_{\Delta C_{\text{REA}}}/\Delta C_{\text{REA}})^2 + 2 \cdot (\sigma_{\Delta T_{\text{s, REA}}}/\Delta T_{\text{s, REA}})^2} \quad (5)$$

The procedures we deployed to assess uncertainty in the individual terms are described in Zhu et al. (2015b). As the sampled air was not dried, derived  $F^{\text{REA}}$  was corrected for variations in the water vapor content of the air following Lee (2000).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Flux data coverage, detection limit and uncertainty level

Five separate flux measurement campaigns were conducted over the period May 2012 to April 2013. The time and duration of the campaigns is listed in Table 1, which also include the flux data coverage for the individual sampling periods. The total flux data coverage across the five campaigns was  $\sim 73\%$ . Gaps in the measurements mainly resulted from power failures, calibration/reference sampling periods and instrumentation failures. Precipitation events leading to malfunction of the sonic anemometer contributed to  $\sim 15\%$  of the missing data. Extreme imbalances in REA up- and down-draft sampling volumes on undiluted basis could prevail periodically during very calm wind conditions. This translates into sub-optimal Hg mass loadings for analysis per sample concerning the channel associated with small volumes, which potentially yield a biased determination of  $\Delta C_{\text{REA}}$  (Zhu et al., 2015b). Data from 20 min periods were not further processed when one of the REA channels was open for sampling less than 10% of total time, which accounted for  $\sim 12\%$  of missing data. Based on the quality flag of  $H^{\text{EC}}$  data, the percentage of flux data linked with moderate to high quality turbulence during a campaign is given in Table 1. Overall,  $\sim 70\%$  of flux data belongs to this category.

The precision of the REA system to resolve concentration differences ( $\Delta C_{\text{REA}}$ ) under field conditions was derived from periods of reference sampling and based on the standard deviation of the residuals ( $\sigma_{\Delta C_{\text{REA}}}$ ) from orthogonal linear regression fitting of the conditional sampling channel reference concentrations  $C_{\text{ref}}^{\uparrow}$  vs.  $C_{\text{ref}}^{\downarrow}$  (Zhu et al., 2015b). The ambient air  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration ( $C$ )-dependent relationship

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



( $\sigma_{\Delta C_{\text{REA}}} = 0.057 + 0.016 \times C$ ,  $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ) obtained from fitting data from all reference periods ( $N = 921$ ) was used to predict the method detection limit (MDL) for each flux observation. Using this criterion, the proportion of  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux data above MDL was calculated for each campaign and listed in Table 1. Fifty-seven percent of the  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measurements were above MDL. For data integration, however, we choose to use the complete dataset since average fluxes may otherwise be overestimated. The median of relative uncertainty in individual 20 min  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes (derived by Eq. 5) is given for each campaign in Table 1. The medians were constant (28–35 %) across the campaigns. On a diurnal basis, relative uncertainty was generally largest during the hours after sunrise, when sensible heat fluxes shifted direction and  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration tended to fluctuate, cf. Zhu et al. (2015b).

### 3.2 Environmental conditions

Meteorological quantities measured for each campaign during the study period are summarized in Table 1. Air temperature and precipitation were within the range of mean values recorded for the site over the past decade (Bao et al., 2014). However, specifically for the  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measurement periods, the precipitation frequency and total depth was sparse (in total 2.7 cm) and without notably influencing soil moisture at any event. In 2012, wheat started to elongate in late March and the peak in single-sided LAI ( $\sim 2.4$ ) appeared in early May and then progressively declined as leaves in the under-layer turned yellow (Fig. 1). The weather during campaign 1 was generally fair and moist with stable stratification predominant during night ( $(z - d)/L = \zeta > 0.02$ , 78 % of time,  $L$  is the Okuhkov length) while near-neutral (37 % of time) and unstable ( $\zeta \leq 0.02$ , 45 % of time) conditions were frequent during daytime. The canopy was wet most nights due to dew condensation while soil moisture initially at  $0.22 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$  showed a declining trend over the period (Fig. 4a). Campaign 2 was characterized by warm (mean air temperature  $27^\circ\text{C}$ ) and dry weather. Unstable conditions were predominant (93 % of time) during daytime, partially leading to free convection ( $\zeta \leq 1$ ). The senescent canopy was wet

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

only on occasional nights and topsoil layer was consistently dry ( $0.06\text{--}0.09\text{ m}^3\text{ m}^{-3}$ ). In the end of campaign, after wheat harvest, the field was flood irrigated (Fig. 4b). The main growing period for corn started after mid-July (DOY  $\sim 200$ ) and maximum LAI  $\sim 3.7$  was attained during second half of August (Fig. 1). The general meteorological condition during campaign 3 was moist with periods of cloud cover and isolated rain showers. Low wind prevailed the vast majority of time (mean  $1.0\text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) and the canopy remained wet for protracted periods (Fig. 4c). The lower turbulent exchange during those days is represented by low values of  $\sigma_w$  (mean:  $0.14 \pm 0.10\text{ m s}^{-1}$ ), which has an impact on the REA flux (Eq. 1). As shown in Table 1, the mean  $\sigma_w$  for the period was lower than for any other campaign. Under the full-grown corn canopy, the topsoil remained moist over time ( $\sim 0.28\text{--}0.31\text{ m}^3\text{ m}^{-3}$ ). Hazy days with air temperature below zero were frequent during campaign 4 over frozen ground with dormant wheat. The site was under the influence by high-pressure systems and the haze reduced surface solar radiation, thereby leading to a more stable boundary layer with near-neutral or slightly stable ( $-0.02 < \zeta < 0.20$ ) atmospheric stratification dominated during daytime (81 % of time) while air was prevailingly stable during night (79 % of time). Snowfall occurred on 20 January and ground was snow-covered towards the end of the period. In 2013, the peak in LAI for wheat was higher in magnitude ( $\sim 3.75$ ) and occurred already in late April. The weather conditions during campaign 5 featured moderate-to-strong wind speeds during daytime and relatively low air humidity without precipitation events. Mostly near-neutral or slightly unstable conditions were encountered during daytime (81 % of time). During two campaigns, strong prevailing wind directions were present (Campaign 2 SW, 3 SSE), for another two there was a prevailing direction with a larger component of near counter-current flow (4, SSW–N; 5, SSW–NE) while the wind directions were more variable during campaign 3.

### 3.3 Ambient Hg<sup>0</sup> concentrations

NCP is one of the heaviest impacted regions in China in terms of airborne Hg pollution and total Hg deposition fluxes (Wang et al., 2014b). Notwithstanding YCES is in a rural area, the surrounding NCP region has a high proportion of heavy and chemical industry clusters involving high energy consumption provided by foremost coal-fired power plants resulting in substantial Hg emissions to air (Zhang et al., 2013). Hg emission sources in rural districts include domestic and field burning of crop residue (Huang et al., 2011) and illegal artisanal gold mining utilizing mercury amalgamation (AMAP/UNEP, 2013; Hall et al., 2014).

Throughout the measurement periods, concentrations of Hg<sup>0</sup> were significantly variable (coefficient of variation: 27–33 % for the individual campaigns). As listed in Table 1, the overall span of Hg<sup>0</sup> observations ranged from background values infrequently below 2 ng m<sup>-3</sup> to episodic peaks well above 10 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. The overall average concentration of Hg<sup>0</sup> for the measurement periods was 4.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, which exceeded the highest seasonal average (3.5 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, summer) measured at a rural site in the Beijing region (Zhang et al., 2013) and that (2.7 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, winter) measured at the tip of Shandong peninsula (Ci et al., 2011). Mean values fell between 3.4 and 5.2 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for the growing season individual campaigns (1–3, 5). Campaign 4 in January 2013 with a mean Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration of 6.2 ng m<sup>-3</sup> was characterized by prolonged and severe haze pollution episodes over the NCP region (Wang et al., 2014c). Available data show for Jinan municipal area, circa 50 km SE of the site, that hourly averaged fine particulate (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) concentrations ranged between ~100–600 µg m<sup>-2</sup> over the duration of Campaign 4 (Wang et al., 2014a). The Hg<sup>0</sup> (YCES) and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration (Jinan) time series have similar trends, implying that Hg<sup>0</sup> at least in part share sources with air PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution. For January 2013, there is a contemporary atmospheric Hg data set collected in Qingdao (a major coastal city, ~340 km E.) averaging 2.8 ± 0.9 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for Hg<sup>0</sup> and 245 ± 174 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for particulate-bound Hg (Zhang et al., 2014) indicating that

BGD

12, 16105–16158, 2015

#### Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



particulate-bound Hg (PBM) making up a substantial fraction of aerial Hg during the widespread winter haze.

All Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration data sets showed positive skewness and kurtosis indicating a predominant influence of emission sources. In the panels of Fig. 2, the directionality of Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration during the five campaigns was investigated by plotting pollution roses. In general, Hg<sup>0</sup> showed no manifest dependence on ground wind direction over the sampling periods. However, during April 2013 (Fig. 2e), the significantly lower Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration associated with north-easterly wind directions was tentatively identified as air masses arriving from NE China/Russian Far-East via a slower passage over Bohai Sea (Fig. S1, Supplement).

Diurnal Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration features for the each of the campaigns are shown in Fig. 3. Distinct profiles, which peaked during morning/daytime and reached a minimum at dusk/night-time were representative for most campaigns. During these periods, Hg<sup>0</sup> displayed a significant negative correlation with atmospheric stability (Spearman's rank correlation,  $p < 0.01$ ). This daily variation pattern may reflect a limited importance of local ground-based Hg<sup>0</sup> sources as no considerable level of Hg<sup>0</sup> concentrations were observed to build up within shallow nocturnal boundary layer. First with the development of the mixed layer, concentrations increased conceivably due to mixing-in of more Hg<sup>0</sup>-rich air from aloft. This is indicative of the intensity of regional Hg emission sources. In contrast, during the June campaign, episodes of elevated Hg<sup>0</sup> values occurred during series of nights associated with slightly stable conditions and low wind speeds ( $< 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) but without a discernible dependence of wind direction (Fig. 4b). All of this suggests that the peaks derive from nocturnal in-field burning of crop residue occurring in the surrounding countryside during harvest season (Huang et al., 2011).

### 3.4 Cropland-atmosphere exchange of Hg<sup>0</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub>

In order to research the Hg<sup>0</sup> exchange between a cropland and the atmosphere, it is necessary to understand the seasonal variation in key environmental factors. For example, measured CO<sub>2</sub> net exchange provided valuable information about crop productivity

BGD

12, 16105–16158, 2015

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and farmland ecosystem respiration over time. In Table 1, a statistical summary of  $\text{Hg}^0$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  net fluxes are given for the five sampling periods. Since both the distribution of  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes and air concentrations deviated for several of the campaigns significantly from normality (Shapiro–Wilk test,  $p < 0.001$ ) median is supplied in Table 1 as an estimator of central tendency. Moreover, the statistical dependence between variables was assessed by non-parametric tests (Spearman's rank correlation, Table 2). Due to large spread in  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux data, numerical smoothing was in this study performed on all data sets using a 9-point moving average (which corresponds to an interval of 3 hours) to reduce the variability and therefore allow for a better visual interpretation of diurnal variations (Cobos et al., 2002; Fritsche et al., 2008c). The associated time-series of smoothed  $\text{Hg}^0$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  flux are displayed in the composite Fig. 4. However, since the smoothing procedure introduces data manipulation, smoothed data are not used in any statistical treatments (such as correlation analysis, Table 2) or in the calculation of cumulative fluxes (shown in Figs. 4 and 8).

### 3.4.1 Average and ranges of fluxes for the different measurement periods

Previous studies of the wheat – corn rotation farmland at YCES evinced it to be a significant sink of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  during the main growing seasons of winter wheat and corn (e.g. Li et al., 2006; Tong et al., 2014), which for our study overlap with campaign 1 (May) and 3 (August–September) and the end part of campaign 5 (late April). Using EC technique, a mean  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake of 7.7, 6.2 and  $6.7 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  was determined for each of these sampling period (c.f. Fig. 4a, c and e). For the senescent wheat (campaign 2),  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake declined rapidly and in-turn ecosystem  $\text{CO}_2$  respiration progressively gained more importance during day-time (with increased maximum temperatures) resulting in a mean  $\text{CO}_2$  net exchange slightly below zero ( $-0.7 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). The average  $\text{Hg}^0$  above-canopy net flux was positive for the main growing season of winter wheat until harvest (April: 17.3, May: 26.7 and June:  $16.5 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) while slight dry deposition of  $\text{Hg}^0$  predominated over the field with a fully developed corn canopy

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

(August - September, mean flux:  $-11.8 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). DFC measurements underneath the developed canopies show significant  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil emissions during daytime (Fig. 5). In more detail, mean air-soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes were more strong evasive under the wheat canopy (May:  $39.9$  and June:  $31.5 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) than under the denser corn canopy (August–September:  $10.8 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). Sampling periods conducted over wheat in early growing stages were characterized by near-zero mean  $\text{CO}_2$  net flux (November:  $0.5$ , January:  $-0.4$  and Early April:  $-0.7 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). As reported in Zhu et al. (2015a), collocated MM- and chamber flux measurement systems gauged unanimously positive net  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux (mean range:  $2.2\text{--}7.6 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) over the field during November.  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes during early April were also predominantly positive (mean:  $19.5 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) while the cumulative  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux was negative (mean:  $-11.6 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) for the winter period (Campaign 4) involving prolonged and severe haze air pollution episodes.

Irrespective of sampling period, extreme values in net  $\text{Hg}^0$  exchange (Table 1) were observed primarily during and after episodes when air with highly elevated  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration advected over the fetch. Although highly dynamic, vertical fluxes of  $\text{Hg}^0$  were on an average substantially negative during such an event (cf. Fig. 4). On several occasions, the REA system gauged significant emission fluxes during the immediate period ensuing a major  $\text{Hg}^0$  dry deposition event. This temporal development also seen in other studies (Bash and Miller, 2007; Cobbett and Van Heyst, 2007) demonstrates the potential of deposited  $\text{Hg}^0$  to be promptly recycled to the atmosphere.

During most of the sampling campaigns, above-canopy  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux followed a discernible diurnal pattern with the absolute magnitude of the flux being largest during daytime periods and generally small at night (Fig. 3). However, the cycle of prevailing developed and weak atmospheric turbulence during day and night respectively was periodically disrupted by windy conditions extending into dark hours facilitating turbulent exchange (mostly encountered in winter and spring campaigns; Fig. 3g and i).

### 3.4.2 Hg<sup>0</sup> flux patterns during main growing season

Although the REA-measurements during the spring and summer campaigns indicate the wheat cropland to be a continual net source of atmospheric Hg<sup>0</sup>, the correlation ( $\rho$ , Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient) between Hg<sup>0</sup> flux and other measured parameters varied significantly between the individual campaigns (Table 2). In contrast to a well-defined diurnal pattern in soil Hg<sup>0</sup> efflux observed over the campaigns (Fig. 5), the average profiles in above-canopy Hg<sup>0</sup> flux were non-uniform at the diurnal timescale. As shown in Fig. 3, Hg<sup>0</sup> net fluxes above wheat canopies before canopy closure (April) and during senescence (June) were positive during day-time and from sunrise to noon respectively; while at anthesis stage (May) composite flux data aligned to early afternoon minimum with mean deposition. The close agreement between the chamber and micrometeorological estimates during field inter-comparison (Zhu et al., 2015a) prompted us in the present study to interpret Hg<sup>0</sup> in-canopy fluxes from the difference between REA- and DFC-observations despite the fact that the methods covering different spatial scales. For two of the campaigns (1 and 3) during the final vegetative stage of corn and wheat, ground and above-canopy Hg<sup>0</sup> fluxes displayed inversed daytime courses with near mid-day maximum and minimum respectively (Fig. 6). These data supported that the active growing and developed cereal canopies acted as a day-time sink of Hg<sup>0</sup> and at least in part were able to offset the concurrent emission from ground surfaces. During these periods, above-canopy Hg<sup>0</sup> flux was negatively correlated with air Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration and positively correlated with CO<sub>2</sub> flux (Table 2). To examine temporal variability of fluxes in more detail, specific observations for campaigns 1 and 3 are presented in succession below.

During day-time for a series of days with significant CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (4–9 May), Hg<sup>0</sup> dry deposition was predominant (Fig. 4a). From the mid of the campaign and onwards, periods of above-canopy Hg<sup>0</sup> emission become more frequent than deposition during daytime. Such a trend in above-canopy Hg<sup>0</sup> flux is not reflected in ground Hg<sup>0</sup> flux and may therefore be related to in-canopy Hg<sup>0</sup> source/sink characteristics. During the

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



May period, the mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  net fluxes were negative for the hours coincident with the diurnal maximum in  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration (Fig. 3a, b). Given the indication for  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake by the canopy when ambient concentrations were elevated, lower  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations during the second phase of the period together with expected decline in  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake with growth progression (Du and Fang, 1983) may explain the result. The principal diel period of  $\text{Hg}^0$  deposition did not concur with the peak canopy conductance during morning hours, suggesting that foliar uptake of  $\text{Hg}^0$  is not limited by periods of ample stomatal conductance. Instead, maximum mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  deposition during campaign 1 appeared in the early afternoon, which is in concert with that of  $\text{O}_3$  observed in a contemporary study over wheat at YCES (Zhu et al., 2015c). Daytime deposition of  $\text{Hg}^0$  were also gauged over fully leafed graminaceous plant canopies by Lee et al. (2000) and Fritsche et al. (2008c) and attributed to plant biological activities such as photosynthesis. Since net  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes were bi-directional with atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations appearing to play a significant role in controlling flux, the response may be interpreted with the concept of an  $\text{Hg}^0$  canopy compensation point (Bash and Miller, 2009; Ericksen and Gustin, 2004; Hanson et al., 1995; Poissant et al., 2008). The apparent compensation point calculated from linear regression ( $r = -0.32$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) was at  $\sim 5.3 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  for May. However, it is clear that the parameter is a composite term, which is influenced by component sources/sinks within the canopy as well as at ground (Wright and Zhang, 2015). In particular, air-soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux observations were overall not linked to a compensation point behavior ( $r = 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.22$ ) but at large governed by the effect of global radiation and soil temperature (explaining 68.3% of variance in the total data, stepwise multivariate regression).

Without much day-to-day variation,  $\text{Hg}^0$  dry deposition occurred during daytime over the entire campaign 3 (Fig. 4c). At the diurnal timescale,  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux shows a shallow minimum ( $\sim -40 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) over corn just before noon-time coinciding with the peak in atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration (Fig. 3e, f). Under the dense corn canopy structure the magnitude of daytime  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux from soil was on an average a factor  $\sim 3$  lower compared to that within the wheat canopy (Fig. 5) and may attributed to a combina-

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



tion of lower light transmission to ground and profoundly dampened diurnal courses in surface soil temperature (cf. Fig. 4). In addition, the entirely moist surface soil may restrain  $\text{Hg}^0$  evasion by reducing its mobility through the soil profile (Schlüter, 2000). The divergence in dynamic scale of the diurnal  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes observed at each vertical level (Fig. 6) indicate that corn with a higher above-ground biomass is a weaker  $\text{Hg}^0$  sink (per leaf area) than wheat as previously been inferred from controlled experiments (Browne and Fang, 1983; Niu et al., 2011).  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake in cereals is plausibly associated with the enzymatic conversion of  $\text{Hg}^0$  to  $\text{Hg}^{II}$  species within the foliar cavity (Du and Fang, 1983). Transient  $\text{Hg}^0$  foliar uptake during campaign 1 and 3 (encompassing similar meteorological conditions, Table 1) as calculated from the integrated imbalances between ground and above-canopy flux during daytime was at  $0.17 \pm 0.08$  and  $0.46 \pm 0.32 \mu\text{g m}^{-2}$  leaf area  $\text{day}^{-1}$ , respectively. The apparent canopy compensation point of  $\sim 3.6 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  is lower than derived for the wheat during campaign 1. The discrepancy may in part be explained by the greater positive responses in  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake to both light and temperature for wheat ( $C_3$  plant) compared to corn ( $C_4$  plant) reported by Du and Fang (1982). Essentially, above-canopy  $\text{Hg}^0$  dry deposition during campaign 1 was confined to the mid-day, which was characterized by elevated  $\text{Hg}^0$  in addition to high temperatures and irradiance. Individually all these parameters have been reported to significantly promote  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake by wheat (Du and Fang, 1982) and in close association their combined effect appear required to offset the substantial ground emission of  $\text{Hg}^0$ .

The dynamics of  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux over wheat during April and June campaigns with net  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission prevailing during daytime and small nocturnal median fluxes suggests a limited capacity of the canopy to recapture  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux from ground. Being a  $C_3$ -plant, the foliar  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake is susceptible to light and temperature conditions (Du and Fang, 1982). Under sub-optimal conditions with low leaf temperature present in April (mean air temperature  $9.8^\circ\text{C}$ ), resistances are accordingly increased and rates of  $\text{Hg}^0$  net uptake by wheat foliage are presumably lower. Over the senescent canopy,  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux showed frequently a profound short-term temporal variability overlaid on a trend to-

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



wards higher emission rates (Fig. 4b). The changing physiological properties of wheat occurring after the onset of senescence (Grossman-Clarke et al., 1999) together with crop water stress might account for the disparity between early phase-May and June day-time  $\text{Hg}^0$  canopy-scale fluxes. A prominent feature of the average diurnal pattern of latter fluxes is the more largely  $\text{Hg}^0$  net emission during the early morning (Fig. 3c). The temporary low  $\text{Hg}^0$  ground evasion (mean:  $9.9 \pm 25.0 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) indicating that the episodic  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions stem from above-ground (cf. Fig. 5b). Owing to the dry conditions, we may exclude the possibility of  $\text{Hg}^0$  deriving from evaporation of dew-wetted foliar surfaces. It is more likely that the morning peak in  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux results from canopy release of  $\text{Hg}^0$  (following the timing of maximum values of  $g_c$  and there is an overall positive correlation between flux and  $g_c$ ,  $\rho = 0.23$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and venting of the canopy by increasing wind speeds. For wheat, there is observational evidence for transpiration flow transport of  $\text{Hg}^{II}$  species (Khozhina et al., 2001), which may become chemical reduced when reaching mesophyll through electron transfer schemes from the anti-oxidative defense system via ascorbate and potentially emitted as  $\text{Hg}^0$  (Battke et al., 2005). In association with rapid decline in canopy transpiration (Table 1) and enzymatic-mediated  $\text{Hg}^0$  oxidation in mature wheat (Du and Fang, 1983), our result suggests a capacitance of  $\text{Hg}^0$  storage within the substomatal cavity which being released when the stomata once open. The fact that the morning peak in  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission occurs albeit elevated  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration in air renders more credibility to this hypothesis.

### 3.4.3 $\text{Hg}^0$ flux patterns during non-growing season

For the periods with near-zero  $\text{CO}_2$  net flux indicative of non-significant plant growth, there is a marked difference between overall  $\text{Hg}^0$  net emission occurring during late fall and early spring and net deposition during mid-winter (Tukey-Kramer test,  $p < 0.01$ ). The experimental field with emerging wheat was relatively dry during these sampling periods (soil moisture content at 5 cm depth of  $0.06\text{--}0.17 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ ). Without a significant canopy cover, the farmland-atmosphere  $\text{Hg}^0$  net exchange gauged during

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

these periods would essentially derive from soil fluxes. In correspondence to air-soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes measured within the developed canopies during warmer seasons (Fig. 5), field-scale  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes were during November associated with an average diurnal profile featuring maximum emission near mid-day ( $\sim 40 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ; Fig. 9 in Zhu et al., 2015a). The higher mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes observed during early April compared to November (Sect. 3.4.1) may in part be linked to warming soil temperatures during the former period (mean:  $10.9$  vs.  $5.3$  °C) given the similar level of surface soil moisture. Numerous studies have shown that surface soil temperature has a strong influence on relatively dry soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux due to its role in enhancing volatilization (Carpi and Lindberg, 1997; Gustin et al., 1997; Poissant et al., 2004; Xiao et al., 1991). In the current study,  $\text{Hg}^0$  dry deposition occurred more frequently than emission at daytime (Fig. 3g) during the winter period with sub-zero ground temperatures (Fig. 4d). In contrast to the late fall and early spring period,  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes were in winter significant negatively correlated with  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration ( $\rho = -0.35$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). A better part of the cumulative  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux occurred in a few distinct periods (13–15 January; 22–24, Fig. 4d) whereas for the remainder there was small day-to-day variation. These periods were characterized by more extreme values in  $\text{Hg}^0$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  air pollution (Sect. 3.3). In addition, snowfall samples collected had elevated Hg concentrations (Sect. 3.5) suggesting enrichment by scavenging of Hg bound to aerosols. It should be noted that  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes reported here for winter could represent extremes rather than average seasonal conditions. As can be seen in Fig. 4d, events of substantial  $\text{Hg}^0$  dry deposition were in general followed by a period of net emission suggesting frozen surfaces to be a transient sink for atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$ . Cobbett and Van Heyst (2007) also found that elevated concentrations of  $\text{Hg}^0$  ( $> 10 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ) resulted in highly dynamic net  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes over agricultural soil below  $0$  °C with dry deposition shifting to emission whereas net exchange were concomitantly low under ambient conditions.

### 3.4.4 Flux responses to abrupt changes in environmental conditions

Hg<sup>0</sup> flux data were examined for discernible response to abrupt changes in environmental conditions due to agricultural management operations (e.g. tilling and irrigation) and precipitation as such events have previously been linked to increases in Hg<sup>0</sup> emission from soils (Bash and Miller, 2007; Baya and Van Heyst, 2010; Gillis and Miller, 2000; Lindberg et al., 1999). In June 2012, while the topsoil was substantially dry ( $\sim 0.06 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ ), wheat harvest (the fields making up our primary fetch) started on 23 June and was completed the next following day. The harvesting had no discernible boosting effect on Hg<sup>0</sup> concentration in air while Hg<sup>0</sup> air-surface exchange showed significant bi-directional fluctuations during this period yielding a surplus of Hg<sup>0</sup> emission (Fig. 4b). In turn, field flood irrigation was conducted on 26 June starting from the southern end of the field south of the eddy tower (distance  $\sim 130 \text{ m}$ ). The flooding of southern field was completed soon after noon-time (indicated by the ramp in soil moisture measured near the flux tower, Fig. 7). During most of this irrigation period the REA flux footprint fall outside the primary area. However, as wind gradually turned towards southerlies (and increasing from  $\sim 2$  to  $\sim 4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ), the integrated flux signal derived increasingly from wetted field surfaces with good representativeness commencing at noon and following few hours (90% isopleth footprints predicted at  $107 \pm 43 \text{ m}$  during this period, concerning models employed for this purpose cf. Sommar et al., 2013b). As seen in Fig. 7, Hg<sup>0</sup> and water vapor fluxes jointly show enhancement after the wind transition indicating volatilization of Hg<sup>0</sup> from soils occurred in response to field irrigation. After initial spike-like features ( $> 300 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ), there is a decline in Hg<sup>0</sup> flux over the time the irrigated field was up-wind the measurement system (until  $\sim 17:30$ ). Similar observations have been made from field and controlled laboratory experiments, where prompt and substantial release of Hg<sup>0</sup> from soils has been observed following precipitation/irrigation provided the soil initially was quite dry (Bahlmann et al., 2004; Lindberg et al., 1999; Song and Van Heyst, 2005). Possible causes to the observed pattern include physical displacement of soil pore air enriched in Hg<sup>0</sup> and desorption

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



of  $\text{Hg}^0$  loosely bound onto surfaces as water percolates into the soil (Lindberg et al., 1999). Over the course of the rest of campaign 2, the magnitude and variability in  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux was substantially lower (minor emission flux predominant) than before irrigation (Fig. 4b). In correspondence, Schroeder et al. (2005) found that persistent rain and high soil moisture contents inhibit  $\text{Hg}$  evasion from soils, which could be linked to restrictions in the replenishment of  $\text{Hg}^0$  towards the soil surface due to low diffusivity through water-filled micropores (Schlüter, 2000). Overall, precipitation events were scarce during the flux measurement periods. During campaign 3, two substantial precipitation events occurred on 2 and 7 September (c.f. Fig. 4c) but none of the events yielded any discernible enhancement in  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission (unanimously gauged by REA and DFC). As aforementioned, surface soil was relatively moist during this period, which may act as a controlling factor (Song and Van Heyst, 2005).

### 3.5 Wet deposition Hg fluxes and mature crop foliar Hg concentrations

For the study period with a precipitation depth of 51 cm, the volume weighted mean THg concentration in precipitation was  $17.2 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$  corresponding to a cumulated wet deposition flux of  $8.8 \mu\text{g Hg m}^{-2}$  (Fig. 8). Maximum concentrations ( $-113.3 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$ ) were detected in event precipitation during winter. However,  $\sim 65\%$  of the THg wet deposition flux for the period occurred during the summer months due to the largely asymmetric pattern in annual precipitation (cf. Fig. 8 and Table S1 in the supplementary information). The large temporal variability and range of concentrations among the samples (Table S1) corresponds well with observations at rural sites influenced by strong regional Hg combustion sources (Keeler et al., 2006; Schwesig and Matzner, 2000).

THg content in mature corn and wheat foliage associated with stands of a dry leaf mass density of  $\sim 0.5 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$  was determined to  $36.4 \pm 3.1$  ( $n = 3$ ) and  $122.9 \pm 13.9 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$  dry weight ( $n = 6$ ) respectively. The observed foliar Hg level is comparable with the results obtained from controlled exposure of corn and wheat to elevated  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations in air (Niu et al., 2011). The higher Hg accumulation in wheat

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

compared to corn foliage aligns well with the differential  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake inferred from  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measurements (Sect. 3.4.2). Furthermore, compartmentalized Hg analysis of mature corn plants shows the Hg content increased in the order root ( $5.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 5$ ) < stem ( $12.8 \pm 3.5 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 5$ ) < foliage, which is indicative that vegetative uptake of airborne  $\text{Hg}^0$  is primarily retained in cereal crop leafage. Worth to notice is also that the THg content in our wheat foliage samples exceeding the maximum level ( $110 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$  dry weight) in animal feeding material (forage) issued by the European Union (EC, 2002). In addition, a survey of heavy metals in wheat and corn crops grown in the study area by Lin et al. (2010) has revealed Hg content in wheat grain at levels proximate to or prevalently exceeding the Chinese tolerance limit for food ( $20 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$  dry weight,).

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 $\text{Hg}^0$ exchange between atmosphere and grain croplands

Measurements over the wheat-corn rotational cropland in NCP show that each of vegetation and soil exchange processes are important in defining net  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes. The emergence of a canopy layer creates a sink for atmospheric Hg while the canopy cover reduces the potential of underlying soil to act as an  $\text{Hg}^0$  source. Our data also impart that besides vegetation density (LAI) and the physical plant structure, the type of cultivated cereal crop has an effect on  $\text{Hg}^0$  gas exchange by species-specific foliage uptake rates. Nevertheless, chamber measurement made here evinced that ground  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions within developed crop canopies are substantial in the warmer season. Regardless of growing stage,  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake by wheat canopies is not equal to cumulatively offset the  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux from ground surfaces (April–June mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  net flux:  $20.0 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). Flux data available in this study over corn indicate that the full-grown, dense canopy can dominate the  $\text{Hg}^0$  exchange process resulting in daytime net deposition. For the early growing stages of corn not measured in this study, MM flux measurements by (Cobos

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



et al., 2002) and (Baya and Van Heyst, 2010) over non-contaminated soils (THg:  $\sim 25$  and  $\sim 50 \text{ ng g}^{-1}$  respectively) quantified net  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission to prevail (mean flux: 9.7 and  $15.2 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). Considering all the micro-meteorological  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux data set collected over the full year 2012–2013 study period (using REA during campaign 1–5 and MBR during IC in November, Zhu et al., 2015a) yield an overall mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  evasion flux of  $7.1 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  accounting for nearly 5700 individual  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux observations. Although, there is substantial periods over the 2012–2013 study when flux measurements not were conducted, it appears that the wheat-corn rotational cropland investigated constitute a net source of atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  on an annual basis. Any definite estimate of annual  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux is however not feasible due to the large uncertainty inherent in such an attempt at extrapolation. Before a robust estimate can be constructed, other factors such as the degree of inter-annual variability must also be considered. Nevertheless, the direction and magnitude of the mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measured at our site agree well with that ( $6.3 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) reported by Baya and Van Heyst (2010) for  $\text{Hg}^0$  exchange over a soybean - corn cropland (November–April and June). For croplands, the study of Baya and Van Heyst (2010) is the only one found in the literature of comparable temporal extent with our study. Although spanning across seasons, their reported  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux data however only marginally target seasons with substantial crop canopy closure. There are growing seasonal studies of  $\text{Hg}^0$  net exchange over biomes predominantly vegetated by stands of non-cereal graminaceous plants. Lee et al. (2000) reported for a fetch of growing salt meadow cord grass a trend from net emission during the period before complete leaf-out (mean:  $1.8 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) to predominant dry deposition during full foliage stage (mean:  $-3.3 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). In correspondence to our study, Smith and Reinfeldter (2009) observed significant day-time  $\text{Hg}^0$  deposition over a full-grown canopy (*Phragmites australis*) coinciding with elevated ambient air concentrations.

The magnitude of average mid-day  $\text{Hg}^0$  dry deposition over full-grown wheat and corn (Fig. 6) could be reproduced using a single-layer modeling approach (Wesely and Hicks, 1977) with a total leaf conductance parameterization to  $\text{Hg}^0$  according to Lindberg et al. (1992) and input of average mid-day observations of conductances  $g_a$

Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



( $3.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ) and  $g_c$  ( $2.1 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ) together with  $C$  ( $4.1\text{--}6.6 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ). Associated cereal foliar  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake rates estimated by combined REA- and DFC-measurements compares in magnitude ( $\sim 7\text{--}19 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ leaf area h}^{-1}$ ) favorably with observations for aspen foliage in controlled gas-exchange systems operated at moderately elevated  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations (Ericksen and Gustin, 2004; Ericksen et al., 2003). The periods with consistent daytime imbalances between above- and under-canopy flux during early phase of campaign 1 (wheat) and campaign 3 (corn) explain up to  $\sim 20\%$  and  $\sim 50\%$  of the quantity of Hg accumulated by the mature foliage of wheat and corn respectively. This indicates that a relatively high contribution of the mercury load to the cereal occurring during its final vegetative stage. In many circumstances, our micrometeorological flux estimates appear better suited to address the magnitude of the net cropland-atmosphere exchange and not ideal in combination with DFC for constraining vegetative  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake as the ground surface is the major source of  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission. Nonetheless, controlled experiments (Niu et al., 2011) envisaged on-going assimilation of atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  by winter wheat during its extensive period of leaf production for which we have limited REA and DFC flux data coverage.

## 4.2 Implications for estimation of a local Hg budget

Besides  $\text{Hg}^0$  air-surface exchange focused on in this study, Hg input through dry deposition of other atmospheric Hg forms (gaseous oxidized mercury (GOM) and particulate-bound mercury (PBM)) and bulk THg wet deposition are potentially important pathways in the local Hg cycle. Projecting the scale of THg wet deposition to  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil emission predominant over the vast majority of year (Fig. 8), it is unlikely that wet deposition even on a short-term basis could support for the magnitude of volatilization observed at YCES. Given the top soil horizon has a uniform and low THg content, it is also unlikely that its inherent Hg pool can sustain substantial losses to atmosphere via  $\text{Hg}^0$  volatilization without continual replenishment. We therefore hypothesize that Hg input from a combined removal of atmospheric GOM and PBM constitute the major de-

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I ◀](#)[▶ I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

position pathway to this site. High ratios of  $\text{PBM}_{2.5}$  (Hg bound to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ) to GOM as well as of  $\text{PBM}_{2.5}$  to  $\text{Hg}^0$  are characteristic for atmospheric Hg in the NCP region (Zhang et al., 2013). In China, there is a paucity of observational Hg dry deposition studies. However, a high dry-to-wet Hg deposition ratio has been inferred from studies of Chinese forested ecosystems (Fu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2009). Predicted total Hg deposition to the site area using simulations by the GEOS-Chem model is elevated and of the order  $80\text{--}100\ \mu\text{g m}^{-2}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$  (Wang et al., 2014b), which would in theory quantitatively allow for a reasonably high  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux from agricultural soils in the NCP region. This presupposes that a significant portion of  $\text{Hg}^{II}$  species deposited to the ecosystem is labile towards reduction to  $\text{Hg}^0$ , which in succession should be extensively re-emitted back to the atmosphere. In the literature, there is support for that contemporary deposited Hg to larger extent than the ambient Hg pool in terrestrial ecosystems is recycled to the atmosphere via surface photo-reduction and re-volatilization (Eckley et al., 2013; Erickson et al., 2005; Graydon et al., 2006, 2012; Hintelmann et al., 2002). The observed abrupt pulse in  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions from dry soil in response to flood irrigation (Sect. 3.4.4) suggests the seasonal presence of an ample pool of  $\text{Hg}^0$  in the upper soil horizon. Soil characteristics present at YCES such as low level of organic matter (Edwards and Howard, 2013; Fu et al., 2012; Sigler and Lee, 2006), clayey components (Biester et al., 2002) and high alkalinity (Landa, 1978; Xin and Gustin, 2007; Yang et al., 2007) appear to facilitate  $\text{Hg}^0$  formation, which in association with prevalent residual porosity allows for  $\text{Hg}^0$  mobility towards the soil-air interface and losses to air. The differential magnitude of  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil efflux measured under developed canopies with moist and dry soil respectively indicates that the combined level of precipitation/irrigation is one of the most important seasonal variables that control the magnitude of  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission from ground at the site.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, we present a broad seasonal record of  $\text{Hg}^0$  net flux observations during 2012–2013 over a wheat-corn inter-cropping field located in the North China Plain. Our work appears to be the first that investigated  $\text{Hg}^0$  gas-exchange along the essential growing phases of a managed cropland from sowing to the crop maximum development. Our initial hypothesis was that the elevated atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations in the NCP region would promote depositional  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes over cropland ecosystems provided with low native soil Hg content. However, during the wheat growing season covering  $\sim 2/3$  of the year at the site, we observed net  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission prevailing during periods of active plant growth until canopy senescence (April–June mean  $\text{Hg}^0$  net flux:  $20.0 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). The result can be explained by a dominance of  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions from ground surfaces during daytime in relation to  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake by overlying foliage regardless of canopy cover. In comparison to corn, the developed wheat stand provide limited canopy cover and had less effect in attenuating light and dampening the evolution of high surface soil temperatures which promoted elevated daytime soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux (daily mean maximum fluxes  $> 100 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) during warm seasons. Only in the fully leafed period (anthesis stage), wheat canopy shows high capacity to recapture  $\text{Hg}^0$  emissions from the soil during the day.  $\text{Hg}^0$  efflux under the corn canopy near peak LAI was on an average a factor of three lower and the temporal dynamics of net  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux above the canopy indicated the cropland to be a weak  $\text{Hg}^0$  sink during this period. Measurements near peak LAI of wheat and corn suggest  $\text{Hg}^0$  exchange following the concept of a canopy compensation point (at  $\sim 5.3$  and  $\sim 3.6 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  respectively). In response to agricultural management by flood irrigation, a peak of enhanced  $\text{Hg}^0$  emission was recorded from the initially dry field as an effect of expulsion of soil gas rich in  $\text{Hg}^0$  by infiltrating water. In conclusion, it appears that the wheat-corn rotational cropland investigated constitute a net source of atmospheric  $\text{Hg}^0$  on an annual basis. In addition, the practice of in-field burning of crop residue in the NCP seasonally release substantial amount of  $\text{Hg}^0$  to air from the plant material and burnt soil. Due to the imbalance

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



between THg wet deposition ( $\sim 8.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$ ) at YCES and gaseous loss by  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil volatilization predominant over the vast majority of year, it is suggested that dry deposition of other forms of airborne Hg (GOM and PBM) would constitute the major pathway of local Hg input. Gradual reduction of previous deposited atmospheric Hg promoted by warming temperature and solar load may explain the summer season observation of a discernible  $\text{Hg}^0$  soil pool. Future experimental work should besides net canopy-scale and canopy-floor  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes also focus on elucidating foliage Hg exchange processes by in-canopy measurements.

**The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bgd-12-16105-2015-supplement.**

*Acknowledgements.* This research was supported by the State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, the 973 Program (2013CB430002), the National Science Foundation of China (41373056, 41030752) as well as by the Chinese Academy of Sciences through an instrument development program (YZ200910). The technical staff of YCES is greatly appreciated for the facilities and infrastructure kindly made available to the authors.

## References

- AMAP/UNEP: Technical Background Report for the Global Mercury Assessment 2013, Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme, Oslo, Norway/UNEP Chemicals Branch, Geneva, Switzerland, 263 pp., 2013.
- Bahlmann, E., Ebinghaus, R., and Ruck, W.: The effect of soil moisture on the emission of mercury from soils, *Mater. Geoenviron.*, 51, 791–794, 2004.
- Bahlmann, E., Ebinghaus, R., and Ruck, W.: Development and application of a laboratory flux measurement system (LFMS) for the investigation of the kinetics of mercury emissions from soils, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 81, 114–125, 2006.

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Bao, X. Y., Wen, X. F., Sun, X. M., Zhao, F. H., and Wang, Y. Y.: Interannual variation in carbon sequestration depends mainly on the carbon uptake period in two croplands on the North China Plain, *PLoS ONE*, 9, e110021, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110021, 2014.
- Bash, J. O. and Miller, D. R.: A note on elevated total gaseous mercury concentrations downwind from an agriculture field during tilling, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 388, 379–388, 2007.
- Bash, J. O. and Miller, D. R.: Growing season total gaseous mercury (TGM) flux measurements over an *Acer rubrum* L. stand, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 5953–5961, 2009.
- Battke, F., Ernst, D., and Halbach, S.: Ascorbate promotes emission of mercury vapour from plants, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 28, 1487–1495, 2005.
- Baya, A. P. and Van Heyst, B.: Assessing the trends and effects of environmental parameters on the behaviour of mercury in the lower atmosphere over cropped land over four seasons, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8617–8628, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8617-2010, 2010.
- Biester, H., Müller, G., and Schöler, H. F.: Binding and mobility of mercury in soils contaminated by emissions from chlor-alkali plants, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 284, 191–203, 2002.
- Briggs, C. and Gustin, M. S.: Building upon the Conceptual Model for Soil Mercury Flux: Evidence of a Link Between Moisture Evaporation and Hg Evasion, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 224, p. 1744, 2013.
- Browne, C. L. and Fang, S. C.: Uptake of mercury vapor by wheat – an assimilation model, *Plant Physiol.*, 61, 430–433, 1978.
- Browne, C. L. and Fang, S. C.: Differential uptake of mercury vapor by gramineous C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants, *Plant Physiol.*, 72, 1040–1042, 1983.
- Burba, G.: Eddy covariance method for scientific, industrial, agricultural and regulatory applications: A field book on measuring ecosystem gas exchange and areal emission rates, *LI-COR Biosciences*, Lincoln, NE, USA, 331 pp., 2013.
- Businger, J. A. and Oncley, S. P.: Flux measurement with conditional sampling, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Techn.*, 7, 349–352, 1990.
- Carpi, A. and Lindberg, S. E.: Sunlight-mediated emission of elemental mercury from soil amended with municipal sewage sludge, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 31, 2085–2091, 1997.
- Cavallini, A., Natali, L., Durante, M., and Maserti, B.: Mercury uptake, distribution and DNA affinity in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) plants, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 243, 119–127, 1999.
- Cobbett, F. D. and Van Heyst, B. J.: Measurements of GEM fluxes and atmospheric mercury concentrations (GEM, RGM and Hg-p) from an agricultural field amended with biosolids in

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Southern Ont., Canada (October 2004–November 2004), *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 2270–2282, 2007.

Cobos, D. R., Baker, J. M., and Nater, E. A.: Conditional sampling for measuring mercury vapor fluxes, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 4309–4321, 2002.

5 Converse, A. D., Riscassi, A. L., and Scanlon, T. M.: Seasonal variability in gaseous mercury fluxes measured in a high-elevation meadow, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 2176–2185, 2010.

Cui L., Feng X., Lin C.-J., Wang X., Meng B., Wang X., and Wang H.: Accumulation and translocation of <sup>198</sup>Hg in four crop species, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 33, 334–340, 2014.

Dengel, S. and Grace, J.: Carbon dioxide exchange and canopy conductance of two coniferous forests under various sky conditions, *Oecologia*, 164, 797–808, 2010.

10 Driscoll, C. T., Mason, R. P., Chan, H. M., Jacob, D. J., and Pirrone, N.: Mercury as a global pollutant: Sources, pathways, and effects, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 4967–4983, 2013.

Du, S. H. and Fang, S. C.: Uptake of elemental mercury vapor by C<sub>3</sub> species and C<sub>4</sub> species, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 22, 437–443, 1982.

15 Du, S. H. and Fang, S. C.: Catalase activity of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> species and its relationship to mercury vapor uptake, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 23, 347–353, 1983.

Eckley, C. S., Parsons, M. T., Mintz, R., Lapalme, M., Mazur, M., Tordon, R., Elleman, R., Graydon, J. A., Blanchard, P., and St Louis, V.: Impact of closing Canada's largest point-source of mercury emissions on local atmospheric mercury concentrations, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 10339–10348, 2013.

20 Edwards, G. C. and Howard, D. A.: Air-surface exchange measurements of gaseous elemental mercury over naturally enriched and background terrestrial landscapes in Australia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5325–5336, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5325-2013, 2013.

Edwards, G. C., Rasmussen, P. E., Schroeder, W. H., Wallace, D. M., Halfpenny-Mitchell, L., Dias, G. M., Kemp, R. J., and Ausma, S.: Development and evaluation of a sampling system to determine gaseous Mercury fluxes using an aerodynamic micrometeorological gradient method, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D10306, doi:10.1029/2004JD005187, 2005.

25 Engle, M. A., Gustin, M. S., Lindberg, S. E., Gertler, A. W., and Ariya, P. A.: The influence of ozone on atmospheric emissions of gaseous elemental mercury and reactive gaseous mercury from substrates, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 7506–7517, 2005.

30 Erickson, J., Gustin, M., Lindberg, S. E., Olund, S. D., and Krabbenhoft, D.: Assessing the Potential for Re-emission of Mercury Deposited in Precipitation from Arid Soils Using a Stable Isotope, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 8001–8007, 2005.

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Ericksen, J. A. and Gustin, M. S.: Foliar exchange of mercury as a function of soil and air mercury concentrations, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 324, 271–279, 2004.
- Ericksen, J. A., Gustin, M. S., Schorran, D. E., Johnson, D. W., Lindberg, S. E., and Coleman, J. S.: Accumulation of atmospheric mercury in forest foliage, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 1613–1622, 2003.
- European Commission: 2001/C 96 E/35, Amended proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on undesirable substances and products in animal nutrition, *Official Journal of the European Communities*, 44, 346–361, 2001.
- Frescholtz, T. F., Gustin, M. S., Schorran, D. E., and Fernandez, G. C. J.: Assessing the source of mercury in foliar tissue of quaking aspen, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 22, 2114–2119, 2003.
- Fritsche, J., Obrist, D., and Alewell, C.: Evidence of microbial control of Hg<sup>0</sup> emissions from uncontaminated terrestrial soils, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 171, 200–209, 2008a.
- Fritsche, J., Obrist, D., Zeeman, M. J., Conen, F., Eugster, W., and Alewell, C.: Elemental mercury fluxes over a sub-alpine grassland determined with two micrometeorological methods, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 2922–2933, 2008b.
- Fritsche, J., Wohlfahrt, G., Ammann, C., Zeeman, M., Hammerle, A., Obrist, D., and Alewell, C.: Summertime elemental mercury exchange of temperate grasslands on an ecosystem-scale, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 7709–7722, doi:10.5194/acp-8-7709-2008, 2008c.
- Fu, X., Feng, X., Zhang, H., Yu, B., and Chen, L.: Mercury emissions from natural surfaces highly impacted by human activities in Guangzhou province, South China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 54, 185–193, 2012.
- Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., and Wang, S. F.: Exchange fluxes of Hg between surfaces and atmosphere in the eastern flank of Mount Gongga, Sichuan province, southwestern China, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D20306, doi:10.1029/2008jd009814, 2008.
- Fu, X. W., Zhang, H., Yu, B., Wang, X., Lin, C.-J., and Feng, X. B.: Observations of atmospheric mercury in China: a critical review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 9455–9476, doi:10.5194/acp-15-9455-2015, 2015.
- Gillis, A. A. and Miller, D. R.: Some local environmental effects on mercury emission and absorption at a soil surface, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 260, 191–200, 2000.
- Graydon, J. A., St Louis, V. L., Lindberg, S. E., Hintelmann, H., and Krabbenhoft, D. P.: Investigation of mercury exchange between forest canopy vegetation and the atmosphere using a new dynamic chamber, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 4680–4688, 2006.

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Graydon, J. A., St Louis, V. L., Lindberg, S. E., Sandilands, K. A., Rudd, J. W. M., Kelly, C. A., Harris, R., Tate, M. T., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Emmerton, C. A., Asmath, H., and Richardson, M.: The role of terrestrial vegetation in atmospheric Hg deposition: Pools and fluxes of spike and ambient Hg from the METAALICUS experiment, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 26, GB1022, doi:10.1029/2011GB004031, 2012.

Grigal, D. F.: Mercury sequestration in forests and peatlands: A review, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 32, 393–405, 2003.

Grossman-Clarke, S., Kimball, B. A., Hunsaker, D. J., Long, S. P., Garcia, R. L., Kartschall, T., Wall, G. W., Printer, P. J., Wechsung, F., and LaMorte, R. L.: Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on canopy transpiration in senescent spring wheat, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 93, 95–109, 1999.

Gustin, M. S.: Exchange of mercury between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystems, in: *Advances in Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology of Mercury*, edited by: Cai, Y., Liu, G., and O'Driscoll, N. J., John Wiley & Sons, 423–451, 2011.

Gustin, M. S., Taylor, G. E., and Maxey, R. A.: Effect of temperature and air movement on the flux of elemental mercury from substrate to the atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 102, 3891–3898, 1997.

Hall, C., Mao, H., Ye, Z., Talbot, R., Ding, A., Zhang, Y., Zhu, J., Wang, T., Lin, C.-J., Fu, C., and Yang, X.: Sources and dynamic processes controlling background and peak concentrations of TGM in Nanjing, China, *Atmosphere*, 5, 124–155, 2014.

Hanson, P. J., Lindberg, S. E., Tabberer, T. A., Owens, J. G., and Kim, K. H.: Foliar Exchange of Mercury-Vapor - Evidence for a Compensation Point, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 80, 373–382, 1995.

Hintelmann, H., Harris, R., Heyes, A., Hurley, J. P., Kelly, C. A., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Lindberg, S., Rudd, J. W. M., Scott, K. J., and St Louis, V. L.: Reactivity and mobility of new and old mercury deposition in a Boreal forest ecosystem during the first year of the METAALICUS study, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 5034–5040, 2002.

Huang, X., Li, M., Friedli, H. R., Song, Y., Chang, D., and Zhu, L.: Mercury emissions from biomass burning in China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 9442–9448, 2011.

Kaimal, J. C., Wyngaard, J. C., Izumi, Y., and Coté, O. R.: Spectral characteristics of surface layer turbulence, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 98, 563–589, 1972.

Keeler, G. J., Landis, M. S., Norris, G. A., Christianson, E. M., and Dvonch, J. T.: Sources of mercury wet deposition in Eastern Ohio, USA, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 5874–5881, 2006.

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Khozina E. I., Palesskii S. V., and Saprykin A. I.: Migration of heavy metals to the atmosphere in the process of transpiration, *Atmos. Ocean. Opt.*, 14, 553–556, 2001 (In Russian with English summary).

Kim, K. H., Lindberg, S. E., and Meyers, T. P.: Micrometeorological measurements of mercury vapor fluxes over background forest soils in eastern Tennessee, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 267–282, 1995.

Kramm, G., Beier, N., Dlugi, R., and Müller, H.: Evaluation of conditional sampling methods, *Beitr. Phys. Atmos.*, 72, 161–172, 1999.

Landa, E. R.: Soil-water content and temperature as factors in volatile loss of applied mercury(II) from soils, *Soil Sci.*, 126, 44–48, 1978.

Lee, X.: Water vapor density effect on measurements of trace gas mixing ratio and flux with a massflow controller, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 17807–17810, 2000.

Lee, X., Benoit, G., and Hu, X.: Total gaseous mercury concentration and flux over a coastal saltmarsh vegetation in Connecticut, USA, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 4205–4213, 2000.

Li, J., Yu, Q., Sun, X. M., Tong, X. J., Ren, C. Y., Wang, J., Liu, E. M., Zhu, Z. L., and Yu, G. R.: Carbon dioxide exchange and the mechanism of environmental control in a farmland ecosystem in North China Plain, *Sci. China Ser. D*, 49, 226–240, 2006.

Li, L., Nielsen, D. C., Yu, Q., Ma, L., and Ahuja, L. R.: Evaluating the crop water stress index and its correlation with latent heat and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over winter wheat and maize in the North China Plain, *Agric. Wat. Manage.*, 97, 1146–1155, 2010.

Lin, C.-J., Zhu, W., Li, X., Feng, X., Sommar, J., and Shang, L.: Novel dynamic flux chamber for measuring air-surface exchange of Hg<sup>0</sup> from soils, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 8910–8920, 2012.

Lin, J., Wang, W. Y., Li, Y. H., and Yang, L. S.: Heavy metals in soil and crops of an intensively farmed area: A case study in Yucheng City, Shandong province, China, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 7, 395–412, 2010.

Lindberg, S. E., Meyers, T. P., Taylor, G. E., Turner, R. R., and Schroeder, W. H.: Atmosphere-surface exchange of mercury in a forest – Results of modeling and gradient approaches, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 97, 2519–2528, 1992.

Lindberg, S. E., Zhang, H., Gustin, M., Vette, A., Marsik, F., Owens, J., Casimir, A., Ebinghaus, R., Edwards, G., Fitzgerald, C., Kemp, J., Kock, H. H., London, J., Majewski, M., Poissant, L., Pilote, M., Rasmussen, P., Schaedlich, F., Schneeberger, D., Sommar, J., Turner, R.,

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Wallschläger, D., and Xiao, Z.: Increases in mercury emissions from desert soils in response to rainfall and irrigation, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 104, 21879–21888, 1999.

Marsik, F. J., Keeler, G. J., Lindberg, S. E., and Zhang, H.: Air-surface exchange of gaseous mercury over a mixed Sawgrass-Cattail stand within the Florida Everglades, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 4739–4746, 2005.

Mauclair, C., Layshock, J., and Carpi, A.: Quantifying the effect of humic matter on the emission of mercury from artificial soil surfaces, *Appl. Geochem.*, 23, 594–601, 2008.

Mauder, M. and Foken, T.: Documentation and instruction manual of EC Software Package TK2, Department of Micrometeorology, University of Bayreuth, *Arbeitsergebnisse* 26, 45 pp., 2004.

Meng, B., Feng, X., Qiu, G., Cai, Y., Wang, D., Li, P., Shang, L., and Sommar, J.: Distribution patterns of inorganic mercury and methylmercury in tissues of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) plants and possible bioaccumulation pathways, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 58, 4951–4958, 2010.

Millhollen, A. G., Obrist, D., and Gustin, M. S.: Mercury accumulation in grass and forb species as a function of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations and mercury exposures in air and soil, *Chemosphere*, 65, 889–897, 2006.

NBSC: China Statistical Yearbook, National Bureau of Statistics of China, Beijing, China, 1998.

Niu, Z. C., Zhang, X. S., Wang, Z. W., and Ci, Z. J.: Field controlled experiments of mercury accumulation in crops from air and soil, *Environ. Poll.*, 159, 2684–2689, 2011.

Niu, Z. C., Zhang, X. S., Wang, S., Zeng, M., Wang, Z. W., Zhang, Y., and Ci, Z. J.: Field controlled experiments on the physiological responses of maize (*Zea mays* L.) leaves to low-level air and soil mercury exposures, *Environ. Sci. Poll. Res.*, 21, 1541–1547, 2014.

Obrist, D.: Atmospheric mercury pollution due to losses of terrestrial carbon pools?, *Biogeochemistry*, 85, 119–123, 2007.

Poissant, L., Pilote, M., Constant, P., Beauvais, C., Zhang, H. H., and Xu, X. H.: Mercury gas exchanges over selected bare soil and flooded sites in the bay St. Francois wetlands (Quebec, Canada), *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 4205–4214, 2004.

Poissant, L., Pilote, M., Yumvihoze, E., and Lean, D.: Mercury concentrations and foliage/atmosphere fluxes in a maple forest ecosystem in Québec, Canada, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D10307, doi:10.1029/2007JD009510, 2008.

Schlüter, K.: Review: Evaporation of mercury from soils, An integration and synthesis of current knowledge, *Environ. Geol.*, 39, 249–271, 2000.

---

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**J. Sommar et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I ◀](#)[▶ I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Schroeder, W. H., Beauchamp, S., Edwards, G., Poissant, L., Rasmussen, P., Tordon, R., Dias, G., Kemp, J., Van Heyst, B., and Banic, C. M.: Gaseous mercury emissions from natural sources in Canadian landscapes, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D18302, doi:10.1029/2004JD005699, 2005.

5 Schwesig, D. and Matzner, E.: Pools and fluxes of mercury and methylmercury in two forested catchments in Germany, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 260, 213–223, 2000.

Selin, N. E.: Global biogeochemical cycling of mercury: A review, *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, 34, 43–63, 2009.

Sigler, J. M. and Lee, X.: Gaseous mercury in background forest soil in the northeastern United States. *J. Geophys. Res. –Biogeo.*, 111, G02007, doi:10.1029/2005jg000106, 2006.

10 Smith, L. M. and Reinfelder, J. R.: Mercury volatilization from salt marsh sediments, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 114, G00C09, doi:10.1029/2009jg000979, 2009.

Smith-Downey, N. V., Sunderland, E. M., and Jacob, D. J.: Anthropogenic impacts on global storage and emissions of mercury from terrestrial soils: Insights from a new global model, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 115, G03008, doi:10.1029/2009jg001124, 2010.

15 Sommar, J., Zhu, W., Lin, C.-J., and Feng, X.: Field approaches to measure Hg exchange between natural surfaces and the atmosphere – A review, *Crit. Rev. Env. Sci Technol.*, 43, 1657–1739, 2013a.

Sommar, J., Zhu, W., Shang, L. H., Feng, X. B., and Lin, C. -J.: A whole-air relaxed eddy accumulation measurement system for sampling vertical vapour exchange of elemental mercury, *Tellus B*, 65, 19940, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.19940, 2013b.

Song, S., Selin, N. E., Soerensen, A. L., Angot, H., Artz, R., Brooks, S., Brunke, E.-G., Conley, G., Dommergue, A., Ebinghaus, R., Holsen, T. M., Jaffe, D. A., Kang, S., Kelley, P., Luke, W. T., Magand, O., Marumoto, K., Pfaffhuber, K. A., Ren, X., Sheu, G.-R., Slemr, F., Warneke, T., Weigelt, A., Weiss-Penzias, P., Wip, D. C., and Zhang, Q.: Top-down constraints on atmospheric mercury emissions and implications for global biogeochemical cycling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 7103–7125, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7103-2015, 2015.

Song, X. and Van Heyst, B.: Volatilization of mercury from soils in response to simulated precipitation, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 7494–7505, 2005.

20 30 St. Louis, V. L., Rudd, J. W. M., Kelly, C. A., Hall, B. D., Rolffhus, K. R., Scott, K. J., Lindberg, S. E., and Dong, W.: Importance of the forest canopy to fluxes of methyl mercury and total mercury to boreal ecosystems, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35, 3089–3098, 2001.

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Streets, D. G., Hao, J., Wu, Y., Jiang, J., Chan, M., Tian, H., and Feng, X.: Anthropogenic mercury emissions in China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 7789–7806, 2005.
- Thom, A. S.: Momentum, mass and heat exchange in plant communities, in: *Vegetation and the atmosphere*, edited by: Monteith, J. L., Academic Press, New York, 57–109, 1975.
- 5 Tong, X., Li, J., Yu, Q., and Lin, Z.: Biophysical controls on light response of net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in a winter wheat field in the North China Plain, *PLoS ONE*, 9, e89469, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089469, 2014.
- U.S.G.S.: Mineral Commodity Summaries 2015 – Mercury, US Geological Survey, available at: <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/mercury/mcs-2015-mercu.pdf>, (last access: September 2015), 2015.
- 10 Wang, D. Y., He, L., Shi, X. J., Wei, S. Q., and Feng, X. B.: Release flux of mercury from different environmental surfaces in Chongqing, China, *Chemosphere*, 64, 1845–1854, 2006.
- Wang, J., Wang, S., Jiang, J., Ding, A., Zheng, M., Zhao, B., Wong, D. C., Zhou, W., Zheng, G., Wang, L., Pleim, J. E., and Hao, J.: Impact of aerosol–meteorology interactions on fine particle pollution during China’s severe haze episode in January 2013, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 9, 094002, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/9/094002, 2014a.
- 15 Wang, L., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Nielsen, C., McElroy, M. B., and Hao, J.: Source apportionment of atmospheric mercury pollution in China using the GEOS-Chem model, *Environ. Pollut.*, 190, 166–175, 2014b.
- 20 Wang, L. T., Wei, Z., Yang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, F. F., Su, J., Meng, C. C., and Zhang, Q.: The 2013 severe haze over southern Hebei, China: model evaluation, source apportionment, and policy implications, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 3151–3173, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3151-2014, 2014c.
- Wang, S., Zhang, L., Wang, L., Wu, Q., Wang, F., and Hao, J.: A review of atmospheric mercury emissions, pollution and control in China, *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.*, 8, 631–649, 2014d.
- 25 Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Xiao, J., Ci, Z., and Yu, P.: Mercury fluxes and pools in three subtropical forested catchments, southwest China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 157, 801–808, 2009.
- Wen, L., Chen, J., Yang, L., Wang, X., Xu, C., Sui, X., Yao, L., Zhu, Y., Zhang, J., Zhu, T., and Wang, W.: Enhanced formation of fine particulate nitrate at a rural site on the North China Plain in summer: The important roles of ammonia and ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 101, 294–302, 2015.
- 30 Wesely, M. L. and Hicks, B. B.: Some factors that affect the deposition rates of sulfur dioxide and similar gases on vegetation, *J. Air Waste Manage.*, 27, 1110–1117, 1977.

**Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I ◀](#)[▶ I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Wright, L. P. and Zhang, L. M.: An approach estimating bidirectional air-surface exchange for gaseous elemental mercury at AMNet sites, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 7, 35–49, 2015.
- Xiao, Z. F., Munthe, J., Schroeder, W. H., and Lindqvist, O.: Vertical fluxes of volatile mercury over forest soil and lake surfaces in Sweden, *Tellus B*, 43, 267–279, 1991.
- 5 Xin, M. and Gustin, M. S.: Gaseous elemental mercury exchange with low mercury containing soils: Investigation of controlling factors, *Appl. Geochem.*, 22, 1451–1466, 2007.
- Yang, Y. K., Zhang, C., Shi, X. J., Lin, T., and Wang, D. Y.: Effect of organic matter and pH on mercury release from soils, *J. Environ. Sci.-China*, 19, 1349–1354, 2007.
- Zhang, H., Lindberg, S. E., and Kuiken, T.: Mysterious diel cycles of mercury emission from soils held in the dark at constant temperature, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 5424–5433, 2008.
- 10 Zhang, L., Blanchard, P., Gay, D. A., Prestbo, E. M., Risch, M. R., Johnson, D., Narayan, J., Zsolway, R., Holsen, T. M., Miller, E. K., Castro, M. S., Graydon, J. A., Louis, V. L. St., and Dalziel, J.: Estimation of speciated and total mercury dry deposition at monitoring locations in eastern and central North America, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4327–4340, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4327-2012, 2012.
- 15 Zhang, L., Wang, S. X., Wang, L., and Hao, J. M.: Atmospheric mercury concentration and chemical speciation at a rural site in Beijing, China: implications of mercury emission sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10505–10516, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10505-2013, 2013.
- Zhang, Y. Q., Liu, R. H., Cui, Y. Q., Zhou, J. P., and Wang, Y.: The characteristic analysis of atmospheric mercury during haze days in Qingdao, China *Env. Sci.*, 34, 1905–1911, 2014.
- 20 Zhou, J., Feng, X., Liu, H., Zhang, H., Fu, X., Bao, Z., Wang, X., and Zhang, Y.: Examination of total mercury inputs by precipitation and litterfall in a remote upland forest of Southwestern China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 81, 364–372, 2013.
- Zhu, J. S., Wang, D. Y., Liu, X. A., and Zhang, Y. T.: Mercury fluxes from air/surface interfaces in paddy field and dry land, *Appl. Geochem.*, 26, 249–255, 2011.
- 25 Zhu, W., Sommar, J., Lin, C.-J., and Feng, X.: Mercury vapor air-surface exchange measured by collocated micrometeorological and enclosure methods – Part I: Data comparability and method characteristics, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 685–702, doi:10.5194/acp-15-685-2015, 2015.
- 30 Zhu, Z., Sun, X., Zhao, F., and Meixner, F. X.: Ozone concentrations, flux and potential effect on yield during wheat growth in the Northwest-Shandong Plain of China, *J. Environ. Sci. (China)*, 34, 1–9, 2015.

Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

**Table 1.** Summary of turbulent  $\text{Hg}^0$  fluxes measured by REA technique, micrometeorological parameters measured by EC and auxiliary meteorological and environmental observations (presented as 20-min averages) during the five campaigns.

Variable	Unit	1 (2–18 May 2012)		2 (12–29 Jun 2012)		3 (29 Aug–17 Sep 2012)		4 (12–24 Jan 2013)		5 (1–24 April 2013)	
		Wheat, ~65–70 cm, LAI ~2.4–1.0		Wheat, ~70 cm, LAI < 1.0		Corn, ~255 cm, LAI ~3.6–2.7		Wheat, ~10 cm, LAI ~0.4		Wheat, ~30–35 cm, LAI ~1.8–3.6	
		Range	Mean (median)	Range	Mean (median)	Range	Mean (median)	Range	Mean (median)	Range	Mean (median)
Air temperature	°C	9.7–30.1	20.4 (20.0)	13.4–38.1	26.9 (26.4)	8.5–33.7	21.1 (21.3)	–13.1–6.6	–2.2 (–2.3)	0.0–22.8	9.8 (9.8)
Soil temperature	°C	14.7–26.3	19.9 (19.4)	18.9–32.9	26.6 (26.7)	17.5–26.7	22.0 (21.8)	–6.6–0.0	–1.5 (–0.8)	1.5–22.3	10.9 (10.4)
Air humidity	%	1.7–99.7	84.3 (90.7)	18.1–99.3	59.1 (59.9)	33.7–99.8	85.2 (92.4)	52.7–99.8	90.7 (95.1)	34.3–100	73.0 (74.5)
Global radiation	$\text{W m}^{-2}$	0.6–1065.6	249.7 (54.4)	0.6–956.9	206.8 (45.6)	0.6–1010.6	176.2 (11.9)	0.6–428.1	57.1 (0.6)	0.6–890.6	158.4 (7.5)
Leaf wetness	%	3.5–100.0	42.8 (19.4)	2.4–100.0	19.9 (5.3)	5.9–100.0	59.4 (91.9)	5.9–100.0	89.5 (100.0)	2.4–100	37.0 (8.2)
Precipitation	mm	[–]	0.2	[–]	1.2	[–]	13.6	[–]	4.0	[–]	8.0
PAR photon flux	$\mu\text{E}$	1.2–1956.2	449.6 (91.6)	1.2–1826.2	414.0 (106.2)	1.2–2021.2	350.3 (26.2)	1.2–778.7	104.5 (1.2)	1.2–1621	298 (13.7)
Soil water content	(%–vol)	10.4–21.6	14.6 (14.0)	5.5–36.6	11.1 (8.1)	28.0–30.5	29.0 (28.9)	4.6–14.6	6.4 (6.1)	5.3–8.8 <sup>b</sup>	6.3 (6.1) <sup>b</sup>
Wind speed	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	0.01–4.08	1.32 (1.22)	0.01–7.24	2.00 (1.74)	0–6.08	1.00 (0.86)	0.01–7.61	2.02 (1.67)	0.00–8.91	2.74 (2.60)
Friction velocity	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	0.01–0.54	0.16 (0.15)	0.01–0.71	0.18 (0.17)	0–0.61	0.13 (0.10)	0.01–0.75	0.15 (0.12)	0.00–1.59	0.23 (0.19)
$\sigma_w$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	0.01–0.67	0.19 (0.19)	0.01–0.79	0.23 (0.23)	0.01–0.63	0.14 (0.12)	0.02–0.62	0.21 (0.19)	0.01–0.88	0.29 (0.27)
Bulk canopy conductance <sup>a</sup>	$\text{cm s}^{-1}$	0–9.7	2.1(1.8)	0–2.3	0.5 (0.4)	0–8.9	2.1 (1.9)	–	–	0–9.3	1.9 (1.6)
$\text{CO}_2$ flux	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$	–43.4–13.0	–7.7 (–1.7)	–12.5–9.4	–0.7 (0.7)	–45.3–10.9	–6.2 (–1.1)	–5.7–2.8	–0.4 (–0.1)	–40.3–11.1	–5.3 (0.1)
Latent heat flux	$\text{W m}^{-2}$	–211.6–551.4	119.5 (36.1)	–41.4–381.3	31.0 (17.2)	–225.8–385.7	62.3 (18.5)	–179.6–268.2	6.1 (4.3)	–180.7–363.5	66.2 (36.1)
Sensible heat flux	$\text{W m}^{-2}$	–139.8–144.1	–4.4 (–3.8)	–93.1–343.6	59.3 (2.7)	–111.8–216.7	13.3 (–0.4)	–116.1–178.3	1.7 (–0.2)	–243.9–167.6	11.6 (–2.9)
Ambient air $\text{Hg}^0$ conc.	$\text{ng m}^{-3}$	2.22–12.57	5.19 (4.94)	1.77–10.09	3.90 (3.59)	1.87–10.57	3.42 (3.31)	2.71–13.02	6.22 (6.24)	1.21–7.28	3.72 (3.39)
Above-canopy $\text{Hg}^0$ flux	$\text{ng m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$	–888.7–927.8	26.7 (13.4)	–491.8–467.6	16.5 (10.8)	–794.5–420.1	–11.8 (–6.1)	–1051.5–508.9	–11.6 (–6.7)	–926.6–483.5	17.3 (12.2)
$\text{Hg}^0$ deposition velocity	$\text{cm s}^{-1}$	–2.06–1.82	–0.12 (–0.10)	–1.86–1.34	–0.04 (–0.02)	–1.19–1.50	0.10 (0.07)	–2.95–1.99	0.01 (0.04)	–2.03–1.88	–0.19 (–0.12)
$\text{Hg}^0$ flux data coverage	%	[–]	74.0	[–]	82.2	[–]	86.1	[–]	83.0	[–]	51.3
Data with developed turbulence <sup>c</sup>	%	[–]	68.9	[–]	75.2	[–]	67.7	[–]	70.9	[–]	68.0
$\text{Hg}^0$ flux data < MDL	%	[–]	54	[–]	61	[–]	57	[–]	59	[–]	64
$\text{Hg}^0$ flux uncertainty	%	[–]	(32)	[–]	(28)	[–]	(36)	[–]	(35)	[–]	(29)

<sup>a</sup> Data for daytime when global radiation > 100  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ .<sup>b</sup> Data cover only the initial part of the campaign.<sup>c</sup> Flux data associated with turbulence quality classes 0 and 1.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

**Table 2.** Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficients between  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux (REA-method),  $\text{Hg}^0$  air concentration and other measured parameters. Significance levels  $p < 0.01$ ,  $p < 0.001$  are indicated by italic and black bold-faced fonts, respectively.

Variable	2-18 May 2012		12-29 Jun 2012		29 Aug-17 Sep 2012		12-24 Jan 2013		1-24 Apr 2013	
	Ambient air $\text{Hg}^0$	$\text{Hg}^0$ flux								
Air temperature	<b>0.15</b>	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	0.04	<b>0.30</b>	<b>-0.14</b>	<b>0.29</b>	0.05	<b>0.39</b>	0.06
Soil temperature	-0.01	<b>-0.13</b>	<b>-0.42</b>	0.01	<b>0.14</b>	<b>-0.22</b>	<b>0.17</b>	0.03	<b>0.21</b>	<i>0.11</i>
Air humidity	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.19</b>	-0.05	-0.01	<b>0.17</b>	-0.01	<i>-0.10</i>	-0.00	<b>-0.21</b>
Global radiation	<b>0.20</b>	<b>-0.20</b>	<b>-0.18</b>	0.07	<b>0.28</b>	<b>-0.29</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>-0.16</b>	0.04	<b>0.19</b>
Leaf wetness	0.07	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.28</b>	0.01	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>-0.14</b>	0.05	<b>0.18</b>	<b>-0.23</b>
PAR photon flux	<b>0.21</b>	<b>-0.19</b>	<b>-0.19</b>	0.07	<b>0.25</b>	<b>-0.27</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>-0.17</b>	0.04	<b>0.19</b>
Soil water content	<b>0.24</b>	<b>-0.19</b>	-0.01	-0.07	<i>-0.08</i>	0.03	<b>0.21</b>	0.01	-	-
Wind speed	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>-0.23</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>-0.26</b>	<i>0.09</i>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>-0.26</b>	<b>0.37</b>
Friction velocity	<b>0.37</b>	<i>0.08</i>	<b>-0.21</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>-0.28</b>	0.08	<b>0.26</b>	<b>-0.37</b>	<b>0.13</b>
Bulk canopy conductance	<b>0.28*</b>	0.08*	<i>-0.12*</i>	<b>0.23*</b>	<i>0.19*</i>	<i>-0.14*</i>	-	-	<b>-0.23*</b>	<b>-0.33*</b>
$\text{CO}_2$ flux	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>0.25</b>	-0.02	0.01	<b>-0.19</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>-0.23</b>	<b>0.17</b>	-0.09	<i>-0.12</i>
Latent heat flux	<b>0.21</b>	<b>-0.14</b>	<b>-0.28</b>	0.00	<b>0.21</b>	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>0.15</b>	0.07	<b>-0.14</b>	0.07
Sensible heat flux	<i>0.09</i>	<b>-0.24</b>	-0.08	<i>-0.10</i>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>-0.12</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>-0.29</b>	<b>0.19</b>	0.05
Ambient air $\text{Hg}^0$	[-]	<b>-0.30</b>	[-]	-0.03	[-]	<b>-0.26</b>	[-]	<b>-0.35</b>	[-]	-0.07
$\text{Hg}^0$ flux	<b>-0.30</b>	[-]	-0.03	[-]	<b>-0.26</b>	[-]	<b>-0.35</b>	[-]	-0.07	[-]

\* Data for daytime when global radiation > 100  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.



**Figure 1.** Seasonal variation in LAI ( $\text{m}^2\text{m}^{-2}$ , yellow filled circles) and canopy height (green filled diamonds) for 2012–2013 (in part). The duration of the five flux sampling periods (with numbers given in consecutive order) is indicated by magenta shaded boxes. The unfilled box resembles the time duration of a field inter-comparison (IC) of chamber and micro-meteorological flux measurement techniques to quantify  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux (Zhu et al., 2015a).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.



**Figure 2.** Polar histograms of 20 min averaged  $5^\circ$  per bin  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ) classified into four magnitude levels ( $\leq 3$ ,  $>3-4$ ,  $>4-6$  and  $\geq 6$   $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ). The letter assigned to each pollution rose refers to the campaign number in consecutive order ( $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$  etc.).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Figure 3.

Seasonal variations in mercury flux


J. Sommar et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.




**Figure 3.** Diurnal variation in above-canopy  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux (Left panels) and  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations (Right panels) during the five campaigns.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I ◀](#)[▶ I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Seasonal variations in mercury flux**

J. Sommar et al.



**Figure 4.**

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)   [Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)   [References](#)

[Tables](#)   [Figures](#)

[◀](#)   [▶](#)

[◀](#)   [▶](#)

[Back](#)   [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



## BGD

12, 16105–16158, 2015

Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.




Figure 4.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.



**Figure 4.** Time series of the selected measurement data for the individual campaigns at YCES in consecutive order (a–e). Panels from the top downwards: Air and below canopy surface soil temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , maroon and red solid lines respectively) and global radiation ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ , yellow solid line); event precipitation (mm, black solid line), relative humidity (%), canopy wetness (%) and soil water content (%-volume of field capacity, blue dashed line); wind speed ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , olive solid line) and wind direction ( $^{\circ}$ , brown open circles); Smoothed  $\text{Hg}^0$  ( $\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ , black solid line) and  $\text{CO}_2$  flux ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , magenta solid line); ambient air  $\text{Hg}^0$  concentration ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ , grey filled circles) and cumulative  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux ( $\mu\text{g m}^{-2}$ , maroon filled circles). Dates refer to China Standard Time (major ticks indicate midnight).  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux data were smoothed by a 9-point moving average, where the shaded grey area represents its standard deviation. In Fig. 4b, the blue arrow associated with caption “Harvest” indicates the end of wheat harvest that started on 23 June.



# BGD

12, 16105–16158, 2015

## Seasonal variations in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Figure 5.** Diurnal variation in air-soil  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux measured by a DFC underneath the developed canopies (Upper: Campaign 1, middle: 2, lower: 3): Note the divergent axis scale for the plot in the upper panel. Box horizontal border lines represent 25th and 75th percentiles from bottom to top, the whiskers include 10th and 90th percentiles. Open circles indicate outliers. The solid line in the box represents median.

Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.




**Figure 6.** Local polynomial-smoothed diurnal curves of above-canopy (blue line) and ground (maroon line) Hg<sup>0</sup> flux during campaign 1 (upper panel) and 3 (lower panel). Lines and envelopes depict mean and 90% confidence intervals. Note the divergent y axis scales for the plots.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.



**Figure 7.** Time-series of latent heat flux ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ , red filled squares),  $\text{Hg}^0$  flux ( $\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ , grey filled diamonds), wind direction ( $^\circ$ , yellow filled circles), soil water content (%-vol. of field capacity, dashed dark blue line) and leaf wetness (% , light blue solid line) measured during and after field irrigation (26 June).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seasonal variations  
in mercury flux

J. Sommar et al.



**Figure 8.** Time series (May 2012–May 2013) of (a) above-canopy (blue-shaded bars) and air-soil Hg<sup>0</sup> flux (red-shaded bars) cumulated for each sampling campaign and (b) of event measured (shaded bars, right axis) and THg wet deposition flux cumulated over the period (dotted blue line shaded down to abscissa, left axis).